Climate change is rapidly deteriorating the climatic signal in Svalbard glaciers
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Abstract

The Svalbard archipelago is particularly sensitive to climate change due to the relatively low altitude of its main ice fields and its geographical location in the higher North Atlantic, where the effect of the Arctic Amplification is more significant. The largest temperature increases have been observed during winter, but increasing summer temperatures, above the melting point, have led to increased glacier melt. Here, we evaluate the impact of this increased melt on the preservation of the oxygen isotope signal ($\delta^{18}O$) in firn records. $\delta^{18}O$ is commonly used as proxy for past atmospheric temperature reconstructions and, when preserved, it is a crucial parameter to date and align ice cores. By comparing four different firn cores collected in 2012, 2015, 2017 and 2019 at the top of the Holtedahlfonna ice field (1100 m. a.s.l.), we show a progressive deterioration of the isotope signal and we link its degradation to the increased occurrence and intensity of melt events. Our findings indicate that starting from 2015, there has been an escalation in melting and percolation resulting from changes in the overall atmospheric conditions. This has led to the deterioration of the climate signal preserved within the firn or ice. Our observations correspond with the model's calculations, demonstrating an increase in water percolation since 2014, potentially reaching deeper layers of the firm. Although the $\delta^{18}O$ signal still reflects the interannual temperature trend, more frequent melting events may in the future affect the interpretation of the isotopic signal, compromising the use of Svalbard ice cores. Our findings highlight the impact and the speed at which Arctic Amplification is affecting Svalbard's cryosphere.

Introduction

Arctic regions are undergoing faster warming than the global average, due to the so-called “Arctic Amplification” (Serreze and Barry, 2011). Arctic Amplification is caused by various feedback processes in the atmosphere-ocean-ice system and significantly affects the Arctic North Atlantic region. Arctic warming is not seasonally uniform and has the largest impact in the winter months and close to the surface (Dahlke and Maturilli, 2017). Furthermore, it is not evenly distributed across the Arctic; the largest warming rates are over the Barents/Kara Seas, where autumn and winter sea-ice retreat is the most pronounced (Lind et al., 2018; Isaksen et al., 2022, 2016). However, even at tropospheric levels, there is a significant warming signal in recent decades that peaks in the Svalbard region, and more generally, in the North Atlantic sector of the Arctic (Dahlke and Maturilli, 2017). Rates there are up to four times the global average since 1979 (Rantanen et al., 2022b).

Glaciers and ice caps in the Svalbard archipelago cover an area of ~34,000 km$^2$, representing about 6% of the world’s glacier area outside the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. Svalbard glaciers contain 7740 ± 1940 km$^3$ (or Gigaton; Gt) of ice, sufficient to raise global sea level by 1.7 ± 0.5 cm if
totally melted (Schuler et al., 2020; Geyman et al., 2022; van Pelt et al., 2019). As a result of both
Arctic Amplification and their peculiar position at the edge of Arctic sea ice retreat, they are
experiencing among the fastest warming on Earth (Noël et al., 2020).

Ongoing climate trends also affect the state of the seasonal snowpack in Svalbard (Østby et al., 2017;
van Pelt et al., 2016), with the number of days with snow-cover on the ground in Longyearbyen
Mayen, mosj.no). The change in the Svalbard climate also has strong repercussions for the entire
environment of the archipelago, leading to an increase in frequency of Rain on Snow (RoS) events
(Wickström et al., 2020; Salzano et al., 2023) which lead to pervasive ice layers (Sobota et al., 2020)
covering the ground, limiting access to food for reindeers (Peeters et al., 2019). It has also led to a
reduction in sea ice that is limiting and changing the hunting area of polar bears. These changes over
time might be captured in ice core records. Ice cores are commonly used to derive information about
past climate conditions and atmospheric composition, including traces of natural events such as
volcanic eruptions (Sigl et al., 2014), anthropogenic contamination (Vecchiato et al., 2020) and past
temperature variability (Wolff et al., 2010), revealing that abrupt climate changes have repeatedly
occurred over the last ice age. For example, during the so-called Dansgaard-Oeschger events,
temperatures rose by about 5°C within centuries (Boers, 2018). However, even during these natural
abrupt events, a complete transition from stadial (glacial) to interstadial (warm) conditions took about
a century (Scoto et al., 2022; Steffensen et al., 2008). Current temperature rise in Svalbard is much
faster than the one observed during the D-O events, with the annual mean surface air temperature
increasing on average by +1.3 °C ± 0.7 °C per decade, and winter mean temperature increasing by
+3.1 ± 2.4 °C per decade (Dahlke et al., 2020; Maturilli et al., 2013).

Snowmelt and water percolation at the sampling site can move the chemical constituents across the
layers (Spolaor et al., 2021; Avak et al., 2019) disturbing the original signal. Prolonged events can
even fully compromise the preservation of the climatic information contained by ice cores. Avak et.
al., (2019) showed that atmospheric composition was well preserved in an Alpine ice core during the
winter, but that the melting in the spring and early summer caused a preferential loss of certain major
ions and trace elements. In particular, the elution behavior of major ions is most likely controlled by
redistribution processes occurring during snow metamorphism, as underlined by recent work
investigating the distribution of impurities within the ice matrix (Bohleber et al., 2021). Variable
mobility has also been observed for trace elements, although they have been suggested to be better
preserved than major ions (Avak et al., 2019). Since the temperature is below the melting point (<0°C)
throughout the year, the Antarctic and the Greenland plateaus are the best locations for such studies,
nevertheless, rare melting events have been observed in the Greenland plateau (Bonne et al., 2015).
Beyond the polar regions, many other drilling sites have been investigated including the Alps (Arienzo et al., 2021; Gabrielli et al., 2016; Schwikowski et al., 1999), the Himalayas (Thompson et al., 2018; Dahe et al., 2000), the Andes mountain range (Hoffmann et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2021), the Canadian Arctic (Zdanowicz et al., 2018) and the Svalbard archipelago (Isaksson et al., 2005; Wendl et al., 2015) to reconstruct the past atmospheric and climate condition as well as the anthropogenic contamination (Vecchiato et al., 2020) in different and specific regions of the Earth.

There are several ice caps in Svalbard, but given their relatively low altitude, most are not suitable for the preservation of a pristine climate archive. The glacier equilibrium line altitude (ELA) varies across the different regions of the archipelago, but is generally situated between 300 to 700 m a.s.l (van Pelt et al., 2019). In the southern part of the archipelago, the ELA is lower due to the higher winter snow accumulation, while in the northern part, the ELA rises to 600-700 m. Signal preservation requires drilling to be above the ELA for regular snow accumulation, but also, so that summer percolation only moderately affects the upper firn layers.

Several drilling operations have collected ice core records in the Svalbard archipelago. The longest ice-core record (in time coverage) was collected from Lomonosovfonna, at 1230 m a.s.l., and covered ~1200 years of Svalbard climate history (Divine et al., 2011) and was used in conjunction with a more recent core drilled in Holtedahlfonna (1140 m a.s.l.) that covered the past 300 years to reconstruct past winter surface air temperature for Svalbard based on isotopic analysis (Divine et al., 2011). The authors were able to identify three major sub-periods providing valuable insights into the historical temperature variations in Svalbard from this ice core. The first period, spanning from 800 to 1800, was characterized by a continuous decline in winter temperatures that occurred at a rate of about 0.9 °C per century. The second period that occurred during the 1800s was the coldest century in Svalbard, with a winter cooling of 4°C relative to the 1900s associated with the Little Ice Age. Finally, based on the reconstructed temperature data, the authors identified a third period characterized by rapid warming and the reduction in sea-ice extent as of the beginning of the 1900s. These findings highlight the validity of using isotope data for temperature reconstructions.

Other Svalbard ice cores have also been retrieved from Austfonna (750 m. a.s.l.), covering approximately 900 years and Vestfonna (600 m a.s.l.) covering approximately 500 years, and showed that most of the chemical constituents contained in the initial snow cover remained in the ice cores, although melt water percolation had led to their re-distribution (Watanabe et al., 2001) (Matoba et al., 2002). The Holtedahlfonna ice core was also used to study major ions (Beaudon et al., 2013) and when compared to the Lomonosovfonna core, the obtained record suggests that there are local influences affecting the studied chemical species, possibly related to the proximity of the Greenland Sea. In addition, east–west disparities between the cores were also apparent and were attributed to
different air mass sources for these two regions of the Svalbard Archipelago. Although part of the
signal variability of the Svalbard ice core was attributed to summer melting, a multi-year resolution
environmental record was preserved, likely due to the formation of thin ice layers in the annual
snowpack, which act as barriers to the deeper elution of ions. However, all these cores were recovered
in late 90s or early 2000s when the temperature rise due to Arctic Amplification was less extreme
and the current state of these ice caps and their validity for climate reconstruction is unknown.

In addition to deep-drilling, numerous investigations using shallow ice cores from the Holtedahlfonna
have consistently highlighted the site's significance for climate research (Burgay et al., 2021; Barbaro
et al., 2017; Ruppel et al., 2017). In light of the Arctic Amplification, Svalbard glaciers and the
climate signal they provide are in danger of being degraded, and their reliability for future climate
studies needs to be assessed. In order to do so, we conducted oxygen isotopic composition (δ18O)
analysis on a series of four shallow ice cores collected at the summit of the Holtedahlfonna ice field.

These ice cores were obtained in different years and cover overlapping atmospheric deposition
periods, offering insights into the evolution of isotopic stratigraphy over time. In this paper, we
focused on δ18O because it is a widely utilized parameter in ice core science for reconstructing past
temperature changes (Divine et al., 2011; Stenni et al., 2017) and it is comparatively less influenced
by melting and percolation events (Pohjola et al., 2002) than other chemical parameters analyzed in
ice cores. We compared the δ18O signals among the different shallow cores and discuss the impact
of summer melting and meteorology using glacier mass balance measurements and snowpack
modeling.

2. Methodology

2.1 The Holtedahlfonna ice field

Holtedahlfonna (HDF – Figure 1) is the largest ice field (ca. 300 km²) in northwestern Spitsbergen,
located about 40 km from the Ny-Ålesund research station. It covers an elevation range of 0–1241 m
a.s.l. (Nuth et al., 2017) and the upper part of the glacier, located approximately at 1100 m a.s.l., has
a positive annual snow mass balance, ca. +0.50 m. w.e. a⁻¹ (van Pelt et al., 2019). The site has already
been studied for long term paleoclimate reconstruction, covering the past 300 years (Divine et al.,
2011; Goto-Azuma et al., 1995). In April 2005, a 125 m a long ice core was drilled using an
electromechanical corer and the bottom temperature in the borehole was –3.3°C, assuring cold ice
conditions over the entire ice thickness. Ice temperature measured in the borehole featured a
maximum of –0.4°C at 15 m depth, indicative of firn-warming due to the release of latent heat from
refreezing (Beaudon et al., 2013).

2.2 The Holtedahlfonna shallow firn cores: collection and processing
In the spring seasons of 2012, 2015, 2017 and 2019, a total of four shallow cores were obtained from the summit of the Holtedahlfonna ice field (79°09′N, 13°23′E; 1150 m. a.s.l.). The shallow cores were collected using a 4′′ fiberglass Kovacs Mark-II ice corer driller powered by an electric drill and reached depths of 7-10 m into the firn. All shallow cores were drilled from the bottom of the annual snowpack\last summer surface. Length and density of each firn core section were logged, stored in plastic sleeves, and transported back to Ny-Ålesund for laboratory analysis. For cores collected in 2012, 2017 and 2019, core samples were processed in a class-100 laminar flow hood in the laboratory of the Italian research station “Dirigibile Italia” in Ny-Ålesund. Core sections were cut into pieces of 5 to 7 cm length using a ceramic knife and the external part of the core physically removed to avoid contamination. The density was measured for each sample produced. The core 2015 was processed as reported in Ruppel et al. (2017).

2.3 Oxygen stable isotope analysis ($\delta^{18}$O)

The samples for oxygen isotopic analyses ($\delta^{18}$O) were melted at room temperature (≈20°C) and transferred into 2-mL clear glass vials filled to the top. Samples were kept refrigerated at +4°C and analyzed at Ca Foscari University of Venice (2017 and 2019) and at Tallinn University of Technology (2012 and 2015). In both cases, the isotopic measurements were carried out using a Picarro L1102-i analyser coupled with a CTC Pal autosampler. The instrument uses Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CRDS) technology, based on the unique near-infrared absorption spectrum of each gas-phase molecule. The autosampler injects the melted sample into the vaporizer (set at 110°C), where it becomes gaseous and is then transferred into the cavity (nitrogen is used as a carrier), in which the measurement occurs. The instrument datasheet reports an analytical precision of ± 0.10 δ‰ for $\delta^{18}$O. Each sample was injected eight times: only results within ± σ from the 8-repetition average were kept for records, while outliers were discarded. Internal isotopic standards periodically calibrated against IAEA-certified standards (V SMOW 2 and SLAP 2) were used for calibration.

2.4 Holtedahlfonna surface mass balance

Surface mass balance (SMB) of Holtedahlfonna is monitored by the Norwegian Polar Institute (Kohler, 2013). SMB is obtained from repeated field visits at the end of winters and summers, with winter snow-depth sounding and density measurements and repeated height readings of an array of stakes along the glacier centerline. Balance estimates are extrapolated over the entire glacier basin by determining the balance as function of elevation and averaging them, applying weights determined from the distribution of glacier area as a function of elevation. This method quantifies the glacier-wide SMB, i.e., the mass changes at the surface of the glacier, and within near-surface layers, but
211 does not include internal mass changes below the last summer surface. SMB measurements at
212 Holtedahlfonna started in 2003; since the drilling site is in the accumulation area, these measurements
213 provide information of the seasonal accumulation, but disregard the internal accumulation that may
214 occur due to refreezing of meltwater in layers below the last summer surface. The uppermost part of
215 HDF has had a consistently positive mass balance and is therefore assumed to preserves most of its
216 annual snow deposition.

217 2.5 Estimation of Meteorological condition at the summit of the Holtedahlfonna ice field
218 In absence of in-situ meteorological measurements at the drill site, we obtained long-term seasonal
219 (DJF, MAM, JJA and SON) temperature and precipitation series from the high-resolution CARRA
220 dataset (Copernicus Arctic Regional Re-Analysis, Schyberg et al., 2020). This 2.5 km resolution
221 product covering the period 1991-2020 is downscaled from ERA5(Hersbach et al., 2020) using the
222 state-of-the-art weather prediction model HARMONIE-AROME (Bengtsson et al., 2017). CARRA
223 has several improvements compared to ERA5, including assimilation of a large amount of additional
224 surface observations, use of satellite data, and improved representation of sea ice; it is therefore likely
225 to provide the best estimate of meteorological conditions in the Barents Sea region.
226 The CARRA reanalysis is also used to force the CryoGrid community model (Westermann et al.,
227 2023) to simulate glacier mass balance, seasonal snowpack evolution and meltwater runoff across
228 Svalbard Franz-Joseph Land and Novaya Zemlya. The model couples the surface energy balance and
229 a multi-layer subsurface module to resolve meltwater production, percolation, storage, refreezing and
230 runoff, accounting for the interaction with local density and temperature stratigraphers. The vertical
231 discretization comprises 47 layers of variable vertical extend to cover the uppermost 20 m below the
232 surface (Steffensen Schmidt et al., 2023).

3. RESULTS
3.1 Shallow firn core dating and alignment

To date the core, we use the seasonal cycle (where present) of the δ18O data together with the mass
237 balance data available since 2003. Core depths were converted to water equivalent using the density
238 data acquired during the core processing. Density for the 2015 core is taken from Ruppel et al., (2017),
239 the 2012 values are published in (Spolaor et al., 2013), and density for the 2017 and 2019 cores are
240 presented in this work; density profiles of the four shallow cores (Figure S1) all reveal a similar
241 pattern.

The cores were collected within 50 m of the mass balance stake HDF-10. The stake measurements,
243 which show a consistently net positive mass balance (Figure 2), provide a historical record of
snowpack accumulation that can be directly used to assign a specific year to firm core depth range (Figure 3).

Oxygen stable isotopes can be used independently to annually date the ice, but only in ice-core archives where the seasonal signal is well preserved. This means that snow accumulation needs to be sufficiently high, and the summer ablation should not compromise the stratigraphy by redistributing and smoothing the original atmospheric signal. By combining the annual accumulation and the core depth expressed in water equivalent and the seasonality of δ¹⁸O (where available and preserved), we can date and align all four cores (Figure 3).

The cores cover 14 years in total (from 2005 to 2018). The time coverage for each core is reported in Table 1 together with additional information for each firn core. The 2012 core had a δ¹⁸O average value of -15.3 ± 1.0 ‰, the 2015 core a value of -15.1 ± 0.8 ‰, the 2017 core an average value of -14.4 ± 0.7 ‰ and the 2019 core an average value -14.1 ± 1.2 ‰. Specific features overlap in the four cores (Figure 3 and 6), and show a general increasing trend in δ¹⁸O from 2005 until 2018. In particular, the 2012 and 2015 cores have similar fluctuations with shared features, particularly during 2005-2006, which was used for core alignment. They also showed similar features in the remaining periods that they each covered, though with minor differences. The high δ¹⁸O values in 2013 that occurred in the 2015 core are also clearly found in the 2017 core, helping to synchronize the records. The alignment of the 2019 core with previous cores could only be done through mass balance values, since the δ¹⁸O values did not show the same peaks as the other records. In particular, the decrease in δ¹⁸O values recorded in the period representing 2016 was not present in the 2017 core.

3.2 Meteorological condition at the Holtedahlfonna ice field summit

The meteorological conditions at the Holtedahlfonna ice field summit from 1991 to 2019 were retrieved from model re-analysis and provide a clear overview of the on-going changes occurring at the site.

The annual average winter temperatures (DJF) at the HDF summit (located at 1100 m a.s.l.) ranged from -25°C to -15°C, and show an increasing trend of 2.37°C per decade for the period 1991–2019 (Figure 4a - blue line). The annual average spring and summer temperatures (MAM) ranged from -17°C to -12°C (Figure 4a - green line) and -5°C to -1°C (Figure 4a - red line), respectively. The average temperature increase per decade since 1991 was 0.38°C for spring and 0.51°C for summer. The temperature during fall (SON) increased by 1.47 °C per decade and ranged from -15°C and -5°C (Figure 4a - brown line).

Although the average seasonal summer temperatures were below the water melting point, positive degree days (PDD – Figure 4b, expressed as the sum of mean daily temperatures for all days during
a period where the temperature is above 0°C), occurred at the summit of HDF, causing snowpack melting. The cumulative annual PDD, retrieved from model temperature series outputs, showed a stable value for the period 1990 to 2015, although some years (1994, 1999, 2010) and periods (2001–2006) were characterized by an increased PDD. A net increase from 2015 to the present time was recorded. Snow melting at the site was clearly visible and confirmed by the presence of several ice lenses in the core (Spolaor et al., 2013; Burgay et al., 2021).

The annual model estimated precipitation (1991–2019) ranged between 630 to 1170 mm w.e. per year, with a slight increase in the most recent period (Figure 4d). A similar trend was also observed in Ny-Ålesund (Førland et al., 2020). Seasonal precipitation (Figure S2) was most abundant during fall (SON) and winter (DJF), with an average precipitation of 286 mm w.e. and 274 mm w.e, respectively, and a relative average contribution of 32% and 31%, respectively, to the total deposition. The lowest precipitation occurred in spring (MAM) and summer, with an average precipitation of 170 mm w.e. and 145 mm w.e., respectively, which represents an average contribution of 20% of the total deposition in spring and 17% of the total precipitation in summer.

Although the annual mass balance was always positive, the summer mass balance was both positive and negative depending on the meteorological conditions (Figure 2). The winter accumulation represented between 60% and 100% of the net annual mass balance at the site. Even though the summer mass balance data from 2015 to 2020 were positive, melting also occurred and water percolated into the snow and firn before refreezing.

Most of the melting occurred during the summer period (JJA), but melting events also occurred during fall and late spring (Figure S3). The estimated annual melting at the site from 1991-2020 (Figure 4c) varied between 960 mm w.e (2020) and 117 mm w.e (2008) and showed a clear increasing tendency following temperature rise. Moreover, autumn snowpack melting events, previously rare, became a more regular phenomenon in the period 2015 to 2019. However, spring snowmelt is sporadic (2011) and rare.

In addition to meteorological reanalysis from the HARMONIE-AROME model, the CryoGrid simulation provided information about the presence of liquid water in the firn and its penetration (Figure 5). Percolation was mainly confined to the surface layer between 1991 (beginning of the simulation) to the end of the 90s(except 1999). Percolation increased significantly from 2000 onwards. In particular, for the period 2004-2005, severe surface melt events occurred (Figure 2c and Figure S3), causing water percolation for several meters (Figure 5). The 2006 to 2014 period was characterized by relatively limited surface melting and the lowest amount of percolated water, which did not exceed one (2006 and 2008) to four (2010 and 2011) annual snow accumulation periods. Based on the model’s calculations, water percolation increased since 2014 and was able to reach
deeper firn strata. Although the model suggests the presence of liquid water in the firn, water and elution channels are complex to simulate and likely present high spatial variability. Hence, we only consider the data presented in Figure 5 in a qualitative manner to evaluate the possible presence or absence of liquid water within the snowpack and its theoretical penetration/percolation depth.

4. DISCUSSION

The aim of this paper is to evaluate the effect of temperature rise on the δ¹⁸O Holtedahlfonna ice core signal preservation. Our discussion will focus only on the periods covered by the shallow cores. Based on the δ¹⁸O records of the four shallow cores, it is evident that the seasonal signal for the core 2019 and 2017 experienced considerable changes and progressively deteriorated. While wind redistribution can transport snow, it primarily affects snow deposited at similar altitudes, which tends to have a similar water stable isotope fingerprint. It is highly improbable that snow deposited at lower elevations could be lifted and deposited at the summit of Holtedahlfonna in quantities sufficient enough to completely degrade the climate signal preserved in the ice. Moreover, analysis of wind patterns in Ny-Ålesund does not indicate any significant shifts or changes in average wind velocities (Cisek et al., 2017).

We hypothesize that the most important parameters affecting the pristine atmospheric signal trapped in the snow is the amount of snow melting, which depends on the snow and meteorological conditions, and the penetration of the melt water into the snowpack.

In the core collected in 2012 (Figure 3), the seasonal variations are clear for almost the entire period except for 2004-2005, a period characterized by significant summer melt that disturbed the atmospheric signal trapped in the ice. However, for the period 2006-2011, the seasonality is clear and each δ¹⁸O seasonal cycle is confined within the annual snow mass balance measurements.

The 2015 core still presented the seasonal cycle in the upper half of the core, corresponding to the second period (2010-2014). However, the seasonal feature of the δ¹⁸O identified in the 2012 core for the periods 2008–2009 was no longer present, suggesting a possible elution caused by the percolation of liquid water (Figure 5). The model simulation supports the possibility that post deposition events may have occurred within the firn due to the percolation of liquid water.

The most striking change in terms of the δ¹⁸O seasonal cycle occurred in the 2017 core. The 2017 core overlapped with the 2015 core for the period 2012-2014 and, while the seasonality for this period was well defined in the 2015 core, only the seasonal δ¹⁸O for year 2013 was visible in the 2017 core. The δ¹⁸O seasonal cycle of 2014 has undergone significant smoothing and the δ¹⁸O seasonal cycle in 2012 is no longer visible. For the period 2015-2016, the seasonal cycle was not clear, although oscillations were still present.
In the most recent core collected in 2019, a seasonal $\delta^{18}O$ cycle could no longer be detected and particular features, such as the drop in the $\delta^{18}O$ signal in 2016 (not observed in the 2017 core), was not linked to a drop in the temperature, since 2016 was the warmest year on record (Figure 6, red dots).

Two independent statistical analyses, one using the significant value of a regression model and the other using the spectral analysis, were performed on the shallow core records to test the presence of seasonal oscillation on the $\delta^{18}O$ signal. Both statistical analyses demonstrated the disappearance of the seasonal signal in the most recent (2017 and 2019) shallow cores (full details are reported in the supplementary material - section 2). Using the linear regression model for each core and each year, we first identified the maximum and the minimum for the $\delta^{18}O$ signal (Figure 6) and then calculated the weighted slope between each extreme value (Figure 7). We determined the annual maximum and minimum values by referencing the annual mass balance dating for individual shallow cores (Figure 3). Specifically, within the time windows defined by the mass balance values, we pinpointed the extreme values closest to the start or conclusion of the glaciological year, while adhering to the alternating pattern between maximum and minimum values. The significant values of the seasonality of the weighted slope considering the increasing and decreasing periods separately is presented in Table S1. A significant seasonality (p-value < 0.05) is only observed in 2012 and 2015 ice cores.

The change in the seasonal patterns of precipitation, and to a lesser degree, the overall quantity, could have influenced the $\delta^{18}O$ signal of the four cores. However, from the model results, the seasonal contribution to the total annual precipitation did not change significantly (Figure S2). This would suggest that precipitation does not play a central role in explaining the degradation, or possible change, in the $\delta^{18}O$ signal, and that increased melting and water percolation might have had a larger effect. Instead, the increase in year-round precipitation could enhance melt water formation during the summer periods. The preservation of the ice core climate signal strongly depends on the amount of snow melt during summer and the capability of water to penetrate the snowpack, which in turn is controlled by snow temperature. The progressive atmospheric warming, the increase of summer melting and water percolation as well as the water movement within the snowpack could all have had an impact on the $\delta^{18}O$ signal present in the Holtedahlfonna firn ice.

The progressive degradation and loss of the seasonality of the $\delta^{18}O$ signal in the shallow core (2004 - 2018) is also supported by the results obtained from the $\delta^{18}O$ signal in the 2005 core. In the deep core collected in 2005, the seasonal signal of the $\delta^{18}O$ in the period 1960 to 2000 was well preserved (Figure S5). The signal determined in the 2005 Holtedahlfonna deep ice core shared similar features with those determined in the 2012 and 2015 shallow cores, where the seasonal oscillations were still partially present, but not with signals determined in the 2017 and 2019 cores, where the seasonality...
in δ¹⁸O almost disappeared. We suggest that since 2015, estimated melting and percolation increased because of the evolution of the general atmospheric conditions, causing a deterioration of the climate signal preserved in the firn/ice.

Water stable isotopes are commonly used as a temperature proxy. By overlapping the water stable isotope profiles measured in the shallow cores and, comparing their trends with the annual average temperature, we suggest that the general atmospheric temperature trend is still preserved within the HDF ice (Figure 8), although some clear deterioration is visible. For example, the highest annual temperature values recorded in 2016 were not mirrored in the δ¹⁸O record from the 2017 and 2019 cores. This underscores the impact of high temperatures on the preservation of pristine atmospheric signals in ice cores that have significantly impacted the preservation of the atmospheric signal, since temperature values.

5. Conclusion

5. Conclusion
An ice core drilled at the summit of Holtedahlfonna has previously been used to provide atmospheric and climate conditions about the past 300 years. Before 2005, the site was characterized by moderate summer melting, yet the snow and ice analysed proved to preserve important climate information as well as the main seasonal features. The current warming of the Svalbard archipelago has clearly enhanced glacial mass loss, with a rise in the equilibrium line altitude and a shorter snow season. This study is the first investigating the impact of temperature rise on climate signal preservation within the firn/ice in one of the highest ice fields in Svalbard. The direct effect of higher temperatures has increased summer melt and enhanced meltwater percolation. In this study, we have shown that the climate signal preserved in the ice has been progressively deteriorated. For example, in seven years, the seasonal signal visible in the 2012 core has completely disappeared in the 2019 core, most likely due to increased snow summer melting and water percolation. However, although the δ¹⁸O seasonal signal has disappeared, the overall atmospheric warming signature is still preserved in the ice/firn, suggesting that the site is still suitable for long record paleoclimate reconstruction. However, with the current warming rate of the Svalbard archipelago and the consequent increase in summer melting, Holtedahlfonna and other ice fields at similar altitudes might no longer provide suitable records of the climatic condition. Glaciers worldwide are currently not only losing mass at unprecedented rates, but also the climatic information they contain.
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FIGURES

Figure 1. Location of the drilling site (red star) within the Holtedahlfonna (HDF) ice field as compared to the Ny-Ålesund research village (NyA). Maps from https://toposvalbard.npolar.no (last access: 5th June 2023).
Figure 2. Mass balance measurements, modelled precipitation and snow melt at the drilling site for the period 2004-2019. a) cumulative surface mass balance (SMB) expressed in cm of w.e., b) comparison of modeled total annual precipitation (green – in mm w.e) and modeled melt (red in mm w.e). c-e) net, winter and summer mass balance (cm w.e.) measured at the top of the Holtedahlfonna ice field, respectively.
Figure 3. Oxygen stable isotope profiles $\delta^{18}$O of the shallow cores. The shallow core was aligned by converting the depth to depth expressed in cm of w.e. using the annual mass balance (MB) data. The white and pink colors distinguish different years based on the MB measurements and are reported in the upper panel. The “0 cm” value refers to the last summer snow surface.
Figure 4. Modeled meteorological conditions at the Holtedahlfonna shallow core drilling site (1150 m a.s.l.) from 1991 to 2019 at seasonal resolution. a) winter (DJF - blue), spring (MAM – green), summer (JJA – red) and fall (SON – brown) temperatures, with increasing trend line for the period investigated. b) annual PDD value (grey). c) annual melting (in mm w.e in red). d) annual total precipitation (in mm w.e. – blue)
Figure 5. Evolution of the water content in the snowpack at the top of Holtedahlfonna estimated by model simulation between 1990 and 2020. The chart shows the volumetric water content (%) in the snow/firn (white to blue color), surface height evolution (black line), 0°C isotherm (red). Dashed lines show the period covered by the four shallow cores.
Figure 6. Identification of the annual minimum and maximum values of $\delta^{18}$O (red and blue points) based on the annual mass balance dating for the four shallow cores (panel a – 2012 core, panel b – 2015 core, panel c – 2017 core, panel d – 2019 core).
Figure 7. Representation of the slope between the annual maximum and minimum value of $\delta^{18}O$ weighted on the percent difference in the value of $\delta^{18}O$ for each annual mass balance for the four shallow cores. The black line represents the trend of weighted slope change along the years (panel a – 2012 core, panel b – 2015 core, panel c – 2017 core, panel d – 2019 core).
Figure 8. Estimated annual average temperature at the top of Holtedahlfonna ice field (black square) obtained from the monthly atmospheric re-analysis data as described in section 2.5 and presented in figure S4. The circles representing the δ¹⁸O signal of the four shallow cores (black circle for the 2012 core, green circles for the 2015 core, blue circle for the 2017 core and red circle for the 2019 core).
**TABLES**

**Table 1.** Shallow ice core descriptions. The table reports the length expressed in cm and in water equivalent (w.e.) and the estimated (Est. start year\Est. end year) time coverage. The average density of the cores is also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core ID</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Length (cm w.e.)</th>
<th>Ave density (kg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Est. Start year</th>
<th>Est. End year</th>
<th>Drilling period</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>April 2017</td>
<td><em>Burgay et al. 2021</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1185</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td><em>Ruppel et al. 2017</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
<td><em>Spolaor et al. 2013</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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