Assessing the key concerns in snow storage: A case study for China

Xing Wang[1,2], Feiteng Wang[1], Jiawen Ren[1,2], Dahe Qin[1,2], Huilin Li[1]

[1]Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science and Frozen Soil Engineering~~State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science~~, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

[2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Correspondence: Feiteng Wang (wangfeiteng@lzb.ac.cn)

Abstract. Snow security plays a crucial role in ensuring the success of winter sports events and supporting the ski industry. One effective approach to enhancing snow reliability is through snow storage. Despite its potential benefits, investigations~~the investigation~~ of snow storage in China have~~has~~ been limited. To address this gap, we implemented snow storage covered with geotextiles~~geotextile~~ at two venues, namely the Big Air Shougang (BAS) in Beijing for the 2022 Winter Olympic Games and the National Biathlon Center (NBC) in Chongli for the 2022 Winter Paralympic Games, in response to possible extreme meteorological conditions. ~~In order to~~To assess the key concerns associated with snow storage: ablation (the process of snow loss) and snow properties~~snow quality~~, we introduced a fine snow pile monitoring system and ~~utilized~~ the SNOWPACK model. Our observations revealed that, by February 18, the snow pile at the BAS had lost 158.6 m^3 of snow (equivalent to 6.7% of the initial volume). Subsequently, the accelerated ablation was influenced by meteorological conditions and a thin geotextile layer~~both meteorological conditions and the presence of a thin geotextile layer~~. Between January 16 and April 15, the snow pile at the BAS experienced a total loss of 1242.9 m^3 of snow (corresponding to 52.7% of the original volume). Regarding snow properties, no significant variations were~~With regard to snow quality, there were no significant variations~~ modeled at the study sites, except for the upper part of the snow piles. Notably,~~It was noted that~~ the evaporation of the ~~wet~~ geotextiles contributed to slowing ~~down~~ the ablation process. Consequently, we discourage the use of impermeable coverage schemes for snow storage. Instead, the thickness of the cover played a vital role in preserving the snow pile. At Beijing and Chongli, the 0.7 m and 0.4 m thick cover layers, respectively, were found to protect approximately half of the ~~snow height~~snow pile height over the summer season. Importantly,

the evolution of snow properties~~snow quality evolution~~ was consistent across the different cover

30   thicknesses. The findings of our study have implications for the ski industry in China, as they provide

valuable insights into snow storage techniques and their impact on snow reliability.

1   Introduction

Snow storage is the conservation of snow covered with thermal insulation materials over a period,

following the principles of low environmental impact and cost-effectiveness (Grünewald et al., 2018;

35   Lintzén and Knutsson, 2018). Winter sports, such as ~~like~~ skiing, are highly sensitive to climate change,

and the effects of global warming and uncertain winter snowfall can significantly impact winter sports

events and the ski industry. In this context, snow storage is considered a more reliable approach than

snowmaking for ensuring snow security, as ~~it~~ the latter requires specific weather conditions such as low

air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed (Olefs et al., 2010; Spandre et al., 2017).

40   Implementing snow storage not only ensures smooth competition but also portrays a positive image in

terms of snow security and the professionalism of event hosts (Grünewald et al., 2018). Snow storage

has successfully been ~~successfully~~ used in various major winter sports events in the past to tackle

unpredictable meteorological conditions, including events such as the cross-country in Davos, Altay,

and Vuokatti, the biathlon world cup in Östersund, the ski jumping world cup in Titisee-Neustadt, and

45   the 2014 Winter Olympics in Sochi (Grünewald et al., 2018; Lintzén and Knutsson, 2018; Pestereva,

2014). Additionally, ski resorts in Scandinavia, the Alps, and Canada have ~~utilized~~ used snow storage ~~in~~

~~Scandinavia, the Alps, and Canada~~ for several years. In past warm ski seasons, the ski season length,

skiable terrain, snow properties~~snow quality~~, and snowmaking days were reported to decrease in

Canada (Rutty et al., 2017). As climate change continues, snow reliability is expected to decrease,

50   particularly for low and mid-altitude ski resorts (Demiroglu et al., 2016; Willibald et al., 2021).

Snowmaking and snow storage have become essential elements of modern snow management in ski

resorts (Steiger and Scott, 2020; Weiss et al., 2019). Snow storage serves as a complementary solution

to address the limitations of snowmaking due to meteorological conditions and resource constraints. By

using snow storage, ski resorts can ensure an exact opening date for the ski season and even extend the

55   season when snowmaking is not feasible or efficient. For instance, in Piteå, nearly 2500 m^3 of snow

covered with bark was stored to guarantee an early start for the ski track opening (Lintzén, 2012). Grünewald et al. (2018) demonstrated the use of a snow pile covered with sawdust for cross-country skiing in Switzerland. Weiss et al. (2019) explored the feasibility of snow storage in the northern United States. An approach that involves collecting natural snow from winter and spring snowfall

60 events and storing it for ski track construction could help reduce electricity and water consumption for snowmaking. Geotextiles haveGeotextile has been shown to decrease the total ablation of snow and ice by around 60% in an Austrian glacier ski resort (Olefs and Fischer, 2008). Furthermore, there is increasing interest in using stored natural snow for summer building refrigeration (Hamada et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2016; Skogsberg and Nordell, 2001).

65 Snow storage can be carried out using four different methods: indoor storage, on-ground storage, open pit storage, and underground storage (Skogsberg and Nordell, 2006). These approaches aim to alter the snow surface energy balance and slow down the melting rate of snow piles. Indoor and open pit storage methods are particularly useful for extracting cold energy from snow, making them suitable for building refrigeration purposes (Hamada et al., 2007; Moe, 2018). However, storing snow in open

70 pits and underground is limited by site constraints, and indoor storage is not practical for large-scale snow storage. As a result, the most commonly employed method in the ski industry is storing snow on the ground with thermal insulation materials, providing flexibility in implementation. For instance, Ter-Zakaryan et al. (2019) stored snow on a ski track at the end of the ski season. At glacier ski resorts, the approach involves placing insulation materials directly on top of the natural snow when it reaches its

75 maximum height and removing the materials before the first persistent snowfall occurs in late summer or early autumn (Olefs and Fischer, 2008). On the other hand, glacier-free ski resorts collect natural and/or artificial snow, groom it, and storeuse the method of collecting natural and/or artificial snow, grooming it, and storing it with thicker insulation materials (Weiss et al., 2019).

However, detailedDetailed research on snow storage for the ski industry, especially in the Asian

80 region, is still limited. Many aspects of snow storage remain unknown, such as snow propertiessnow quality, localized storage schemes, and the evolution of snow piles, and the factors influencing them, have not been thoroughly investigated. We performed snow storage to address this knowledge gap and ensure snow safety during the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games in case of extreme

meteorological conditions.~~To address this knowledge gap and ensure snow safety during the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games under extreme meteorological conditions, we performed snow storage. Utilizing~~ Using a terrestrial laser scanner, we obtained high-resolution repeated geometrical data of the snow piles. Additionally, we conducted continuous monitoring of the thermal conditions of the snow piles, a detail not frequently found in published literature. This comprehensive analysis allows for a thorough examination and evaluation of the evolution of snow piles and the factors affecting them. We also explored snow storage over the summer season in Beijing and Chongli, which are regions with a high concentration of Chinese ski areas (An et al., 2019). In summary, the main objectives of this study are: (i) to investigate the evolution of snow piles and the factors influencing them, (ii) to assess the performance of the SNOWPACK model in conjunction with investigation data ~~in~~ from China, particularly in mid-latitude and low-altitude regions, and (iii) to identify significant considerations in snow storage based on the aforementioned investigation and validation of the SNOWPACK model. By accomplishing these aims, we aim to provide a comprehensive technical procedure and knowledge of snow storage and its effects, given the rapid growth of the Chinese ski industry.

2   Study sites and snow storage for the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic and Paralympic Games

We implemented snow storage at two venues, namely the Big Air Shougang (BAS) and the National Biathlon Center (NBC), to ensure a smooth competition process. The BAS, located in the central urban area of Beijing, served as the venue for Winter Olympic skiing events ~~venue~~. The storage site (39.908°N, 116.147°E, 75.8 m a.s.l.) is 0.36 km away in south from the track of the BAS (Fig.~~ure~~ 1a and 1b), ~~lying in cleared~~ on clear and flat soil ground. Such a short distance facilitates the transportation of stored snow. The surrounding buildings and fences provided additional wind protection to the snow pile. Snow production for storage began on December 26, 2021, and continued until January 11, 2022, ~~utilizing~~ using two snow fan guns~~snowmakers~~. The shape of the snow pile was adjusted by moving the ~~snowmakers~~snow fan guns. On January 3, 2022, we started installing an automatic meteorological station, thermal sensors, and gathering the initial snow density data. Taking into account various factors, including meteorological conditions, material availability ~~of materials~~, and

construction considerations, a 0.004 m thin reflective layer (geotextile) was used to cover the snow pile on January 21, 2022. The geotextiles were securely fastened and connected using white ropes. Finally, in late April, ~~the~~ BAS staff removed the snow pile.

As for the NBC, it is situated in the Chongli district, approximately 52.63 km northeast of Zhangjiakou. At this location, the ~~second~~ snow pile (40.912°N, 115.469°E, 1639.5 m a.s.l.) was established adjacent to the para tracks by adjusting the snow fan guns~~snowmakers~~ and covering the pile with a 0.004 m thin geotextile on January 20, 2022 (~~Figure 1c~~ Fig. 1d and ~~1d~~1e). Rocks wrapped in geotextiles and white ropes were used to secure the geotextile layer. The site at the NBC also had clear~~ed~~ soil ground but was harder compared to the BAS site. Additionally, it was surrounded by mountains on the east and west sides. Snow production for storage ~~took place~~occurred between January 12 and January 16, 2022, benefiting from lower air temperatures. A monitoring system for snow storage, similar to the one used ~~for~~at the BAS pile, was installed. In early March, the stored snow was removed ~~using~~with groomers to prepare the track for para cross-country skiing and para biathlon.


Figure 1: Snow storage at the Big Air Shougang (BAS) and the National Biathlon Center (NBC), 2022. Snow storage sites at the BAS **(a)** and the NBC **(e̶d)** (satellite images from google earth). The snow pile before being covered on January 16, 2022 at the BAS **(b)**. The fences encasing the BAS snow pile **(c)**. T̶the snow pile covered with geotextile on January 20, 2022 at the NBC **(d̶e)** (photos provided by Xing Wang).

3 Data and methods

3.1 Meteorological data

The main meteorological conditions surrounding the snow piles were recorded using two automatic meteorological stations,. which~~These stations~~ were installed adjacent to the snow piles on January 10 and January 20, 2022, at the BAS and the NBC, respectively. Due to venue management restrictions, the ~~sensors'~~ height~~s~~ of the sensors ~~was~~ were maintained at 2–3 m above the ground. The parameters, ~~were~~ measured at a 15-minute resolution, included air temperature, relative humidity, incoming and outgoing shortwave radiation and longwave radiation, wind speed, maximum wind speed, and wind direction. Precipitation data were obtained from the Mentougou national meteorological station (39.888°N, 116.156°E, 85.5 m a.s.l.), located 2.35 km away from the BAS storage site, and the Chongli national meteorological station (40.954°N, 115.269°E, 1239.8 m a.s.l.), situated 17.44 km away from the NBC site. Additionally, long-term meteorological data from these national stations for the year~~s~~ ~~2019 2022~~2021 were acquired from the National Meteorological Science Data Center to assess ~~snowmaking conditions and~~ snow storage over the summer season. Since incoming shortwave radiation data were unavailable for the Mentougou and the Chongli national meteorological stations, this information was provided by the Daxing national meteorological station (39.718°N, 116.354°E, 37.5 m a.s.l.) for Beijing and the Zhangbei national meteorological station (41.150°N, 114.700°E, 1393.3 m a.s.l.) for Chongli.

3.2 Snow pile data

A monitoring system for ~~the~~ snow piles was established, and the information regarding monitoring parameters, sensors, and devices can be found in Table 1. The repeated geometrical data of the snow piles were obtained using a *Riegl* VZ®-6000 Class 3B terrestrial laser scanner (TLS). Four temporal terrestrial laser scanning data were collected at the BAS on January 16 (TLS1), February 18 (TLS2), March 9 (TLS3), and April 15 (TLS4), 2022, respectively. As for the NBC, one terrestrial laser scanning survey was performed on January 20, 2022, and no additional data was available for subsequent times. A series of sensors were installed to continuously monitor the thermal conditions of the snow piles.~~To continuously monitor the thermal conditions of the snow piles, a series of sensors~~

6

were installed. In January 2022, the snow density was measured 3 m below the top surface using an ice core drilling with extension rods at both the BAS and the NBC. Further details can be found in the supplementary material.

Table 1: Snow piles monitoring parameters, sensors and devices information at the BAS and the NBC.

Parameters	Sensors or devices	Accuracy
Snow pile spatial information	Riegl VZ®-6000	± 15 mm
External geotextile surface temperature	Infrared radiometer sensor SI-111-SS	± 0.2 °C for -10 to 65 °C ± 0.5 °C for -40 to 70 °C
Snow--geotextile interface temperature	Thermistor sensor PT100	± 0.15 °C for -50 to 200 °C
Snow--geotextile interface heat flux	Foil heat flux sensor FHF02SC	--
Internal snow temperature	Thermistor sensor temperature chain	± 0.02 °C for -30 to 30 °C
Density	Electronic scale	± 0.01 g
	Ice core drilling	--

3.3 TLS data processing

Geographic analysis cannot directly utilize use the raw point clouds exported from the TLS. Therefore, we employed the software RiSCAN PRO® v 2.14 software (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2022) for post-processing, with most operations automated. Initially, we removed noise points with low reflectance and/or high deviation, as well as points isolated from all scan stations, to eliminate their impact on subsequent registrations. At this stage, the point clouds from each scan station were treated as independent entities. The second step involved automatic registration to combine these individual point clouds. Subsequently, a fine registration was achieved through multi-station adjustment based on plane patches derived from the point clouds. In the third step, the registered point clouds were transformed into a global coordinate system (UTM 50N) using tie points created from the ground control points. Lastly, we exported the processed point clouds, filtered to 0.01 m in XYZ directions (octree mode), as in the las LAS format. These exported point clouds were then utilized used to generate 0.05 m resolution DSMs using ESRI ArcMap 10.6. The geographic analysis and processing of these DSMs were conducted in ESRI ArcMap 10.6 and PyCharm IDE 2021.

3.4 Snow model

The SNOWPACK model is a one-dimensional physical model that utilizes uses a finite-element method to provide a detailed description of mass and energy exchange between snow, atmosphere, and

soil (Bartelt and Lehning, 2002; Luetschg et al., 2008). It has been widely used to study snow evolution (Horton and Haegeli, 2022; Keenan et al., 2021; Wever et al., 2015). For our study, we employed the SNOWPACK model to simulate the top position evolution of the snow piles where the geotextile-snow interfaces were fitted with sensors and unaffected by geotextile overlaps at both the BAS and the NBC. Additionally, the model was ~~utilized~~ used to simulate snow storage at the tops of the snow piles over the summer season~~over the summer season at the top of the snow piles~~.

In the SNOWPACK model, the meteorological forcing data were obtained from nearby automatic meteorological stations at the BAS and the NBC. The initial densities and temperatures of the snow layers were based on measurements, while the initial snow grain radius and liquid water content were set to 1 mm and 3%, respectively, based on experiences and previous studies (Grünewald et al., 2018). The SNOWPACK model allows for the inclusion of other materials as the topmost layer, with specified mechanical and thermal properties (Grünewald et al., 2018; Luetschg et al., 2008; Olefs and Lehning, 2010). All other materials are represented as a three-component porous matrix (solid, void, and water). Valuable information on snow storage simulation has been provided by Rinderer (2009) and Grünewald et al. (2018). For the 0.004 m thin geotextile layer, the initial density and thermal conductivity were set at 111.1 kg m^{-3} and 0.06 W m^{-1} K^{-1}, respectively, while other properties were obtained from the literature (Rinderer, 2009). In the simulation of snow storage over the summer season, an all-sky algorithm was applied to generate incoming longwave radiation, satisfying the meteorological requirements ~~in~~ of the SNOWPACK model. The properties of the sawdust and straw chips layers in the model were assumed to be the same as described in previous studies (Grünewald et al., 2018; Rinderer, 2009), except for the thermal properties and densities, which were taken from a previous study (Wang et al., 2021).

4   Results

4.1   Meteorological conditions and snow properties~~snow quality~~

Figure 2 presents the ~~diurnal~~ daily mean meteorological parameters from the two nearby automatic meteorological stations during specific periods: January 11 to April 27, 2022, at the BAS, and January 21 to March 13, 2022, at the NBC. A significant difference in mean air temperatures was observed

between January 21 and March 13 at the two snow storage sites, with 1.5 °C at the BAS and –11.1 °C at the NBC. Trends of increasing and then decreasing air temperatures and relative humidity were noted during the Winter Olympic competition days (WOcd) at the BAS (February 7 to 15) and the NBC (February 5 to 19) (Fig.ure 2a and 2c). The air temperature gradually rose between the WOcd and the Paralympic competition days (WO-WPcd) at the NBC (February 20 to March 4), which was not favorable for snowmaking. In contrast, the variations in relative humidity were conducive to snowmaking during the same period (Fig.ure 2c). Overall, the wet bulb temperature remained well below the threshold for snowmaking (Sect. 5.1), indicating the availability of artificial snow before the Paralympic competition days (WPcd) at the NBC. The mean air temperature, relative humidity, incoming shortwave radiation, and incoming longwave radiation for the entire record at the BAS were 5.9 °C, 40.6%, 160.8 W m^{-2}, and 287.2 W m^{-2}, respectively, while those at the NBC were –11.1 °C, 56.7%, 157.3 W m^{-2}, and 200.3 W m^{-2}, respectively. The mean wind speed was 0.5 m s^{-1} at the BAS and 1 m s^{-1} at the NBC. The predominant wind directions were opposite at the BAS and the NBC (Fig.ure 2e and 2f), possibly due to local topography. Precipitation was scarce, resulting in reduced track maintenance needs, with cumulative precipitations of 36.7 mm at the BAS and 14.2 mm at the NBC.

Figure 2: ~~Diurnal~~Daily mean meteorological conditions at the BAS **(a, b, e)** (January-April) and the NBC **(c, d, f)** (January-March), 2022. **(a, c)** The blue and orange lines represent air temperature (TA) and relative humidity (RH), respectively. **(b, d)** The red and black lines indicate incoming shortwave radiation (ISWR) and incoming longwave radiation (ILWR), respectively. **(e, f)** Wind speed (WS) and wind direction (WD). The vertical light cyan shading signifies the Winter Olympic competition days (WOcd) at the BAS (February 7 to 15 ~~February~~) and the NBC (February 5 to 19 ~~February~~). The vertical light green shading signifies the Winter Paralympic competition days (WPcd) at the NBC (5 to 13 March). The BAS is not a Winter Paralympic venue.

On January 16, three groups of snow cores were extracted and weighed from 3 m below the top surface of the snow pile at the BAS. The mean density of the snow cores (1 m below the top surface) was 459.5 kg m^{-3} at the BAS. The mean density increased with depth, but the values at 2 and 3 m were similar~~close~~, at 533.5 and 538.7 kg m^{-3}, respectively. Similarly, at the NBC, the mean densities at 1, 2, and 3 m below the top surface were 478.1, 515.3, and 529.0 kg m^{-3}, respectively. When snow falls on the ground, continuous changes in grain size, shape, and cohesion affect the physical properties of the snow. On January 7, February 7, February 20, and March 11, an increase in grain size over time with low cohesion on the top surface was observed at the BAS. On February 20, an "ice layer" formed by freezing top surface meltwater existed 0.1–0.2 m below the top surface. This layer is characterized by

10

low permeability and porosity (Colbeck, 1982), meaning ~~that~~ less heat from the surface is transferred by the meltwater to the snow below the "ice layer". The pores above the "ice layer" were gradually filled with liquid water, and horizontal flow might occur (Colbeck, 1972).

4.2 Digital surface models (DSMs) and mass changes derived from TLS data

Four TLS surveys were conducted to generate DSMs at the BAS (Fig.~~ure~~ 3e–3h) which were then used for hillshade rendering (~~Figure 3~~Fig. 3a–3d) and mass changes analysis (Fig.~~ure~~ 3i–3k). However, only one TLS survey was available at the NBC~~at the NBC, only one TLS survey was available~~, so no change analysis was provided. The absolute ~~volume of the~~ snow pile volume required a bare ground elevation model, which was unavailable for both the BAS and the NBC. Therefore, it was approximated through interpolation based on ~~the~~ nearby ground. The initial snow pile volume at the BAS was 2356.6 m³. Due to limitations of the snow storage site~~'s limitations~~, the shape of the snow pile was long and narrow, resulting in a high upper surface area-to-volume ratio of 0.63. The snow pile edges with low ~~snow height~~snow pile heights in a large area may also explain this high value. Additionally, the snow pile surface was noticeably uneven over time (Fig.~~ure~~ 3a–3d), caused by the nonuniform melting between the geotextile overlaps and other parts. Beyond the WOcd, a TLS survey was performed on February 18 at the BAS, revealing a slight volume change of 158.6 m³ snow loss between January 16 (TLS1) and February 18 (TLS2). From the second (February 18) to the third survey (March 9), 304.2 m³ of snow was lost, and a significant snow volume loss of 780.1 m³ occurred from March 9 (TLS3) to April 15 (TLS4). This considerable snow volume loss after the WOcd was expected, and the accelerated melting was mainly influenced by meteorological conditions. The thin geotextile layer could not provide adequate protection as air temperature rose (Olefs and Lehning, 2010; Rinderer, 2009). Furthermore, fouling on the external geotextile surface decreased shortwave radiation reflectivity. The snow pile showed an evident tendency of increasing ablation on the long sides, particularly the southwest side (Fig. 3i–3k). Three main factors contributed to this tendency: coverage, wind, and shortwave radiation. An air layer existed between the snow surface and geotextile on the long sides due to the geometry, while the overlap facilitated air circulation between the internal and external geotextile layers, promoting heat exchange without thermal resistance from the geotextile layer. Wind erosion also contributed to increased snow volume loss, with the southwest side being windward

265   of the snow pile (Fig.~~ure~~ 2e), while the northeast side was leeward, leading to convective heat

exchange differences. In the morning, buildings obscured the direct shortwave radiation reaching the

northeast side, but it remained unimpeded when the sun was in the south, southwest, and west

orientations (Fig.~~ure~~ 1a). Densification and ablation affected the ~~snow height~~snow pile height

variations. The ~~high initial density reduced settlement, resulting~~model result showed that ~~in~~ a 6.0%

270   increased density on April 15 ~~(Sect. 4.4)~~. Consequently, melting accounted for 1101.5 m³ of snow

volume ~~loss~~reduction, while densification contributed to 141.4 m³ of snow volume reduction. The DSM

and hillshade rendering at the NBC are shown in Fig.~~ure~~ 4a and 4b, respectively, with an initial snow

pile volume of 2995.2 m³, which was 638.6 m³ larger than that of the BAS.

There are noticeable negative values in ~~snow height~~snow pile height and snow pile height~~snow

275   height~~ variations at the edges of the snow pile due to different reasons. In Fig.~~ure~~ 3e–3h, this occurs

because the bare ground interpolation is higher than the actual ground, as evident from the expansion of

the negative area over time. In Fig.~~ure~~ 3i, the negative values result from the presence of natural snow.

In Fig.~~ure~~ 3j and 3k, irregular stacks of geotextiles appear to be the primary reason. On ~~18~~ February 18,

we observed that natural snow on the long sides accumulated at the edges of the snow pile due to

280   gravity, and at that time, the artificial snow beneath the geotextile was slightly lost. Instead, most of the

artificial snow at the edges disappeared, and wind caused the geotextiles to form irregular stacks on ~~9~~

March 9 and ~~15~~ April 15. Since the negative areas have low snow pile height~~snow height~~, they have a

minor impact on volume analysis and are treated as zero.

Figure 3: The BAS snow pile. Hillshade rendering based on the TLS-derived DSMs on January 16 (TLS1) **(a)**, February 18 (TLS2) **(b)**, March 9 (TLS3) **(c)**, and April 15 (TLS4) **(d)**, 2022. Spatial distribution of ~~snow pile height~~snow height (HS) referenced by the interpolated ground for TLS1 **(e)**, TLS2 **(f)**, TLS3 **(g)**, TLS4 **(h)**. Spatial distribution of ~~snow pile height~~snow height variations (dHS) for TLS1-TLS2 **(i)**, TLS1-TLS3 **(j)**, and TLS1-TLS4 **(k)**.

Figure 4: The NBC snow pile. Hillshade rendering based on the TLS-derived DSM on January 20, 2022 **(a)**. Spatial distribution of ~~snow pile height~~snow height (HS) referenced by the interpolated ground **(b)**.

13

4.3 Measured snow pile thermal regime

The external geotextile surface temperatures on the southwest side of the snow pile at the BAS ~~was~~ were found to be more sensitive to meteorological conditions than those on the northeast side ~~at the BAS~~ (Fig~~ure~~. 5a), likely due to factors such as wind, radiation, and the presence of an air layer. The slight difference in external geotextile surface temperatures along the long sides ~~on the snow pile's long sides~~ before January 25 at the BAS can be explained by two reasons (Fig. 5a). First, the snow pile was not immediately covered until January 21, so the data represented the snow surface temperature on the long sides between January 17 and 20. Second, a snowfall event ~~occurred~~ between January 21 and 24~~, leading to~~ resulted in the geotextile surface being covered with natural snow, which ~~and~~ subsequently led to a re-recording ~~of~~ the snow surface temperature. Phase transitions on the snow surface can moderate temperature variations. According to ~~In~~ hourly temperature data from January 17 to April 24, the mean peak temperatures on both long sides occurred simultaneously ~~together~~ at 14:00, with 0.9 °C on the northeast side and 1.1 °C on the southwest side at the BAS, influenced by incoming shortwave radiation, air temperature, and terrain shadowing ~~(Sect. 4.2)~~. The underlying snow surface, longwave radiation, and evaporation strongly cooled the external geotextile surface. The external geotextile surface temperatures ~~was~~ were lower than the air temperatures at both the BAS and the NBC. The mean external geotextile surface temperatures on the two sides were nearly equal (Fig~~ure~~. 5b), with –19.7 °C on the northwest side and –19.4 °C on the short southwest side at the NBC. At the NBC, t~~The~~ maximum hourly temperature on the northwest side occurred at 14:30 with –11.9 °C, half an hour later than the ~~short~~ southwest side with –10.8 °C. ~~This difference was also due to the solar incidence angle on the snow pile.~~

Figure 5: ~~Diurnal~~ Daily mean measured external geotextile surface temperatures on snow piles sides and modeled external geotextile surface temperatures on top of snow piles at the BAS **(a)** and the NBC **(b)**, 2022. The horizontal dashed line indicates 0 °C.

The ~~time series of~~ temperatures at the top geotextile-snow interfaces and ~~different~~ at various depths within the snow piles are shown in ~~Figure 9a~~ Fig. 6a and 6b at the BAS and the NBC, respectively. At the BAS, ~~While~~ temperatures at the upper snow pile clearly fluctuated ~~clearly in the upper snow pile~~ due to meteorological conditions ~~at the BAS~~, whereas the peaks and valleys of the temperature curves at ~~in~~ the ~~center~~ central snow pile, especially at the 2.45 m depth, tended to ~~disappear~~ diminish~~, especially at the 2.45 m depth~~. ~~The d~~Diurnal variations can be detected at the interface and ~~the~~ at a depth of 0.2 m ~~depth~~ with delayed peak temperatures (5 h of time lag) for TLS1-TLS2, while the 0.45 m depth reaches 13 h of time lag (Fig. S1). Regarding the mean hourly temperatures during the same period, temperatures above 0 °C were observed at 13:00 (0.~~28~~3 °C) and 14:00 (0.2~~4~~ °C) at the top geotextile-snow interface, indicating surface melting occurred. In contrast, the maximum temperature at a depth of 0.2 m occurred at 18:00 (–3.4~~2~~ °C). The temperature~~Temperature~~ gradients between different depths (ranging from 0.2 to 2.45 m with 0.25 m intervals) and the top geotextile-snow interface showed an increasing trend followed by a decrease for TLS1-TLS2, with the transition occurring at a depth of 0.7 m with a value of 4.4~~1~~ °C·m^{-1}. The depth of 0.2 m exhibited the smallest

15

temperature gradient (1.7 °C·m⁻¹) due to its proximity to the boundary, which facilitates heat and mass transfer. For TLS1-TLS2, the temperature gradient at a depth of 2.45 m was 2.0 °C·m⁻¹. From March 8 onwards, a reversal in the temperature gradient direction was observed, leading to a change in the direction of heat and water vapor flow dominated by the temperature gradient. The temperatures increased over time and eventually exceeded 0 °C, which can be explained by rising air temperature and the thermistor sensors being less distant from the surface or possibly exposed. The temperature curve in Fig. 6a suggests that the recorded location decreased by 2.45 m on approximately April 24.

At the NBC, no diurnal temperatures above 0 °C were observed either at the geotextile-snow interface or within the snow pile (at depths ranging from 0.25 to 2.25 m, with a 0.25 m interval). At the interface and the 0.25 m depth, temperature trends increased, decreased, and then increased again during the WOcd and WO-WPcd. However, temperatures at the 2.25 m depth did not follow these trends observed in the upper snow pile but instead continuously decreased. This phenomenon is primarily due to the attenuation and lag of temperature waves by the snow layers. Compared with the BAS, the snow pile at the NBC experienced more significant temperature gradients between the geotextile-snow interface and several depths. Additionally, the temperature gradient decreased with depth, with a value of 6.1 °C·m⁻¹ at the 2.25 m depth during the pre-competition days (Pre-WOcd) at the NBC. Given the similar initial conditions of snow piles at the BAS and the NBC, the temperature differences within snow piles are mainly caused by the meteorological conditions.

Figure 6: ~~Diurnal~~ Daily mean measured temperatures at the top geotextile-snow interfaces and different depths in snow piles at the BAS **(a)** and the NBC **(b)**, 2022.

4.4 Modeled snow pile heights~~snow height~~ and snow properties~~snow quality~~

~~As mentioned earlier (Sect. 3.4), w~~We focused on modeling the top position evolution of the two snow piles, where the geotextile-snow interfaces were equipped with sensors, ~~and not~~ unaffected by geotextile overlaps. This way allowed us to ~~easily~~ parameterize the boundary conditions easily, irrespective of overlaps, air layers, and air circulation between the internal and external geotextile layers. Furthermore, it enabled a meaningful comparison between the modeled external geotextile temperatures and the measured geotextile-snow interface temperatures ~~(Sect. 4.5)~~. The snow pile heights were determined using 0.05 m resolution grids. ~~The measured snow height was~~ derived from ~~a corresponding 0.05 m resolution grid based on four~~ five terrestrial laser scanning surveys, where temperature measurements were conducted at the geotextile-snow interface encompassed by the grids. The initial snow pile heights~~snow height of this grid was~~ were 646.4 cm at the BAS and 572.4 cm at the NBC. We also considered terrain shadowing caused by surrounding buildings in the model (Bavay and Egger, 2014). Figure 7~~Figure 7~~a illustrates the modeled temporal evolution of height~~snow height~~ for the two snow piles. At the BAS, the modeled snow pile height~~snow height~~ dropped by 268.2 cm between January 17 and April 27. Due to lower air temperatures, the modeled snow pile at the NBC

17

370   experienced slight ablation from January 22 to March 4. The modeled downward trend aligns with the

measured heat flux at the top geotextile-snow interface at the BAS (Figs.~~ure~~ 7a and ~~Figure S1~~S2). However, the model ~~result~~ slightly underestimated~~overestimated~~ the measured snow pile height drop on

April 15~~snow height~~ (~~Figure 7~~Fig. 7b). The deviations between modeled and measured snow pile height drops were -10 cm (-34%), -5 cm (-19%), and 1 cm (1%) for the periods January 16 to February

375   18, February 18 to March 9, and March 9 to April 15, respectively. As air temperatures rose (Fig. 1), the gap between modeled and measured snow pile height drops diminished, eventually trending towards overestimation, a deviation consistent with previous studies (Grünewald et al., 2018; Olefs and

Lehning, 2010). Interestingly, at lower air temperatures, the model underestimated the measured snow pile height drops for the first (-1.9 °C) and second (4.6 °C) periods. There are three possible

380   explanations: ~~The model showed a 3% overestimation of snow height on April 15. This deviation is a result of three effects:~~ (i) the wind speed was recorded lower than actual due to the weather station being positioned lower than the fences, thereby subject to the shielding effect of the fences~~wind speed was recorded lower than actual due to the presence of fences~~ (~~Figure 1b~~Fig. 1c); (ii) the fouling on the external geotextile surface ~~as mentioned in Sect. 4.2~~; (iii) inherent limitations of the model itself. At

385   higher air temperatures, the wind speed and fouling reasons appeared not to alter the model overestimation for the third period (11.0 °C). On April 24, the modeled snow pile height dropped by 249.5 cm, consistent with temperature indicators at a depth of 245 cm within the snow pile, indicating a drop in snow pile height of approximately 245 cm (Fig. 6a).~~On April 24, the modeled snow height dropped by 249.5 cm, in line with the temperature indication (Sect. 4.3).~~ To investigate the differences

390   between covered and uncovered snow piles, we established two ~~schemes~~ scenarios in the SNOWPACK model (~~Figure 7~~Fig. 7a). Compared to the uncovered scenario, the geotextile offered protection for 45% of the snow pile height~~snow height~~ on April 27 at the BAS. Minor disparities between uncovered and covered snow pile height~~snow height~~ ~~are~~ were detected in the early stages. However, it would be premature to infer from these results that the geotextile played a negligible role during these periods, as

395   wind erosion on the southwest side of the snow pile was observed at the BAS on February 7, with a maximum difference of 12 cm between covered and uncovered snow surfaces (~~Figure S2~~Fig. S3).

~~Figure 7c and 7d illustrate the distribution of liquid water in the snow piles at the BAS and the NBC.~~

Initially, the modeled mean liquid water content of the geotextile cover was zero until February 25, after which it reached a mean of 5.5%~~stabilized at 7–8%~~ at the BAS. Diurnal variations can be detected in the later period, peaking at 41%. An examination~~Examination~~ of the flux components revealed that net longwave radiation was the most significant expenditure ~~component~~ in the earlier period, while the latent heat (-44.38 W m^{-2}) was lower than the net longwave radiation (-27.04 W m^{-2}) in the later period. This contrast suggests that the liquid water content of the geotextile contributes to the latent heat and reduces ablation. ~~The liquid water content in the snow layers of both piles showed no significant variation, except for the upper part of the snow piles (Figure 7c and 7d).~~ At the NBC, the mean liquid water content of the geotextile cover was zero, resulting in a small latent heat (~~Figure 9~~Fig. 9). The liquid water content in the snow layers of both piles showed no significant variation, except for the upper part of the snow piles. In ~~Figure 7c~~Fig. 7c and ~~7f~~7d, we present~~ed~~ the modeled snow density for the covered snow piles at the BAS and the NBC, respectively. The low porosity (mean = 44%) of the initial snow layers contributed to slight variations in snow pile density at both the BAS and the NBC compared to the seasonal snow cover. Assuming the initial snow density within the lower part of the snow pile is the same as at 3 m depth, the snow density increased by 6.4% at the BAS on April 27 and by 2.2% at the NBC on March 4.

Figure 7: Measured and modeled snow piles evolution. **(a)** Modeled snow pile height~~snow height~~ evolution for covered (Geotextile) and uncovered (No Geotextile) at the BAS and the NBC, 2022. Measured snow pile height~~snow height~~ for four terrestrial laser scanning surveys and corresponding modeled values at the BAS **(b)**.

~~Modeled liquid water content for covered snow piles at the BAS (c) and the NBC (d).~~ Modeled snow density for covered snow piles at the BAS (~~e~~c) and the NBC (~~f~~d).

4.5 Modeled top external geotextile surface temperature~~s~~ and energy balance

~~The external geotextile surface temperature is a significant parameter that serves as Dirichlet boundary conditions.~~ Figure 5~~a and 5b~~ demonstrate~~s~~ that the model effectively captured the trends in external geotextile surface temperature~~s~~. Before January 25, t~~T~~iny differences between the modeled top external geotextile surface temperatures and the measured external surface temperatures on the snow pile sides were detected at the BAS due to snowfall. Early simulations were limited by initial conditions and the model itself, leading to unreliable results. This insight may help~~s~~ explain the differences between the modeled top external geotextile surface temperatures and the measured external surface temperatures on the snow pile sides before January 31 at the NBC. Figure 8 presents the modeled top surface temperatures (covered and uncovered) and the measured top geotextile-snow interface temperatures at the BAS and the NBC. The relationship between the modeled top external geotextile surface and the measured top geotextile-snow interface temperatures can be used to evaluate the model performance. The top external geotextile surface temperature~~s~~ above 0 °C ~~was~~ were generally underestimated (mean modeled geotextile surface temperature = 2.8 °C, mean interface temperature = 2.9 °C) at the BAS. ~~This deviation is attributed to an underestimation of convective heat transfer and an overestimation of geotextile reflectivity (Sect. 4.2), SNOWPACK model, of course, cannot be excluded from contributing to this deviation.~~ Contrary to the external geotextile surface temperature, the additional heat will be ~~utilized~~ used for melting snow instead of raising the snow surface temperature when the bare snow surface temperature reaches around 0 °C (~~Figure 8~~Fig. 8). Between January 17 and April 27 at the BAS, the modeled mean top external geotextile surface temperature was –1.0 °C, while at the NBC, it was –18.2 °C between January 22 and February 26.

**Figure 8:** Daily mean m̶M̶odeled top surface temperatures for covered, uncovered, and measured top geotextile-snow interfaces at the BAS and the NBC, 2022. The horizontal dashed line indicates 0 °C.

The important energy balance analysis (F̶i̶g̶u̶r̶e̶ ̶9̶Fig. 9) reveals that covered snow piles had lower fluxes than uncovered piles for all components at the BAS. The most significant energy expenditure component at the BAS between January 17 and April 27 was latent heat, indicating that the evaporation of wet geotextile significantly contributed to protecting the snow pile. In contrast, the net longwave radiation was the most significant expenditure component at the NBC. The largest incoming component at the BAS was sensible heat, which differs from high-latitude and/or high-altitude regions (Grünewald et al., 2018; Olefs and Lehning, 2010). This contrast highlights the need for improving the thermal insulation capacity of a cover layer in mid-latitude and low-altitude regions. Furthermore, considering the enhanced snowmaking capabilities and more favorable snowfall conditions in high-latitude and/or high-altitude regions, the importance of snow storage escalates in mid-latitude and low-altitude regions. The contribution of heat from rain was minimal, with 0.01 W m^{-2} at the BAS and 0 W m^{-2} at the NBC. The energy balance components at the NBC illustrate the conditions for covered and uncovered snow piles under 0 °C air temperature. The mean external geotextile surface temperature (–18.2 °C) was lower than the bare snow surface temperature (–16.9 °C), and both were below the air temperature (–14.5 °C), resulting in greater sensible heat and net longwave radiation for the covered snow pile. Due to higher reflectivity, the net shortwave radiation for a covered snow pile was lower than that for an uncovered one. The magnitude of incoming energy components was small, and the incoming components were dampened when passing through the geotextile cover. When the external geotextile surface temperature is higher than the bare snow surface temperature (i.e., air temperature is

21

above 0 °C), the conditions are reversed. The sensible heat, latent heat, and net longwave radiation of the covered snow pile are lower than those of the uncovered pile. As a result, the differences in snow pile heightsnow height increase between covered and uncovered snow piles (Figure 7Fig. 7a).


Figure 9: Important energy balance components for covered and uncovered at the BAS between January 17 and April 27, 2022 and the NBC between January 22 and March 4, 2022. SH for sensible heat. LH for latent heat. Ln for net longwave radiation. Sn for net shortwave radiation.

4.6   Snow storage over the summer season in the Beijing and Chongli regions

The short-term snow storage is rarely employed in ski resort management strategies, while preserving snow over the summer season is a critical practice for ski resorts (Grünewald et al., 2018; Ter-Zakaryan et al., 2019; Weiss et al., 2019). Considering the numerous ski resorts and venues in the Beijing and Chongli regions, it is imperative to investigate the evolution of snow piles over the summer season in these areas. The primary meteorological data for this investigation were obtained from the Mentougou and Chongli national meteorological stations between January and October, 2021. To ensure environmental friendliness and ease of shaping, natural thermal insulation materials were considered, with straw being a preferred choice due to its reliable thermal insulation performance and easy availability in northern China. For practical and cost-effective reasons, a combination of two layers of thermal insulation materials was used, consisting of geotextile to reflect incoming shortwave radiation, along with straw chips. Additionally, sawdust was included to examine differences in comparison to straw chips. It is worth noting that, in terms of density, straw chips, and sawdust are larger than

traditional foam insulation materials (Wang et al., 2021). resulting in their higher thermal storage capacity.

In the SNOWPACK settings, we determined an initial height of 7 m, a snowpack temperature of 0 °C, and densities following the snow pile at the BAS. Figure 10 illustrates the modeled top snow pile height variations with different thicknesses in Beijing and Chongli from January 1 and October 31, 2021. Considering the thin geotextile layer (0.004 m), the second layer thickness is considered to be the overall cover layer thickness. To maintain at least half of the snow pile height over the summer season in Beijing, a minimum cover layer thickness of 0.7 m was required, while in Chongli, this value was 0.4 m. Apart from ablation, snow properties, including density, grain size, and liquid water content, are crucial for ski industry applications. The results show minor variations in snow properties over the summer season between cover layer thicknesses of 0.7 to 1.1 m in Beijing and 0.4 to 1.1 m in Chongli. The mean snow densities, grain sizes, and liquid water contents for snow storage were higher than those of the ski track surface layers (Table 2), indicating that the stored snow can be used for the bottom and the middle layers of the ski track. Generally, as the cover layer thickness increased, the increase in snow pile height became less significant. Moreover, as the cover layer thickness increased, the snow pile height curves in two places kept approving, indicating that the large thickness contributes to snow pile height being incredibly insensitive to meteorological conditions. The above phenomena can be explained from a heat transfer perspective (Grünewald et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). The remaining snow pile height with a sawdust cover was slightly higher than that of a straw chips cover.


Figure 10: The modeled remaining snow pile height varies with different cover thickness at Beijing and Chongli between January and October, 2021. Cover layer: sawdust overlain by geotextile (a reflective layer); straw chips overlain by geotextile (a reflective layer).

23

Table 2: Modeled snow properties for snow storage over the summer season on October 31, 2021 and measured snow properties at the Wanlong ski resort, China (density and liquid water content were measured by the Snow Fork instrument for 0.2 m below a track surface, grain size was measured by the Anyty 3R-V500IR/UV series optical microscope for 0.7 m below a track surface) and a cross-country racing track, Canada (Wagner and Horel, 2011).

| Sites	Density (kg m^{-3})	Grain size (mm)	Liquid water content (%)
Beijing (snow storage)	605.5	2.30	3.2
Chongli (snow storage)	609.6	2.29	3.2
Wanlong ski resort	473	0.67	2.6
A cross-country racing track	525	0.3	--

5 Discussion

5.1 Sensitivity evaluations of snow storage to the main meteorological conditions, cover layer parameters, and snow pile initial conditions

To examine how snow pile height responds to key meteorological conditions, we input various scenarios into the model based on measurements at the BAS from January 17 to March 27. The results reveal that the snow pile height is was most sensitive to air temperature (Figure 11Fig. 11a), which is expected due to the limitations of geotextile thickness (thickness = 0.004 m). Geotextile is an insulation material with excellent thermal parameters, superior to sawdust (thickness = 0.3–0.8 m) which is commonly applied in snow storage (Grünewald et al., 2018; Lintzén and Knutsson, 2018). The tThickness is a vital parameter for heat transfer, and thus it affects heat resistance, thermal storage capacity, time lag, and decrement factor of the cover layer (Ozel, 2013; Wang et al., 2021). However, a very high thicknesses, in the range of tens of centimeters, are is not impractical for geotextile due to construction and cost limitations. Theise characteristic limitations prevent of geotextile from adapting effectively to makes it unable to adapt to snow storage under a higher air temperatures. Wind speed and incoming shortwave radiation have had less impact on snow pile height (Figure 11Fig. 11b and 11c). The increase in wind speed leads to higher sensible heat but lower latent heat, while tThe high shortwave radiation reflectivity of geotextile reduces its sensitivity to incoming shortwave radiation. The increased precipitation slightly changed snow pile height (Figure 11Fig. 11d). During the model period, solid precipitation constituted 70% of the total precipitation which interacts with the geotextile surface through heat exchange, melting, evaporation, and sublimation for cooling. However, even when the model was run with 15 times the measured precipitation (380 mm) to exclude the low sensitivity

24

caused by low measured precipitation, the reduction in ablation was minimal, with an increase of only 15 cm in snow pile height.

The cover layer parameters play a crucial role in the model performance, some of which can be obtained from literature rather than direct measurements (Lintzén and Knutsson, 2018). In order to implore the ~~influence~~ impact of cover layer parameters ~~on the model performance~~, we selected thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, reflectivity, and thickness as variable parameters in the model. The results demonstrate that the thermal parameters of the geotextile contribute d minimally to ablation mitigation. In contrast, geotextile reflectivity proved critical ~~emerges as the most critical parameter in reducing ablation~~ (~~Figure 11~~Fig. 11e)~~,~~ where ~~a~~A reflectivity of 0.9 ~~slows down~~decreased ablation by 21%, while a reflectivity of 0.4 ~~increases~~increased it by 35%. This underscores the importance of precise settings for geotextile reflectivity in the model. ~~It is important to carefully consider the geotextile reflectivity setting in the model. As previously stated, adding more cover layers can enhance thermal performance.~~ With a configuration of 18 geotextile layers (total thickness = 0.072 m), ablation ~~was~~is reduced by 12% (Fig. 11f). However, this effect is insignificant compared to the construction difficulty. The 0.4 m thick geotextile layer, main sawdust thickness in snow storage, reduce~~d~~s ablation significantly by 78%.

Snow pile initial conditions also affect the ablation, and these variations are attributed to grooming practices and local meteorological conditions. Figure 11~~Figure 11~~g and 11h demonstrate that snow pile height increase~~d~~s with density while it ~~decreases~~decreased with liquid water content. In the density sensitivity experiments, the liquid water content and grain size were kept constant, that is, the density changed the volume fractions of ice and air. Conversely, in the liquid water content experiments, the density remained constant, but the volume fractions of water, air, and ice ~~changed~~varied. These results indicate that the positive impact of grooming practices on snow storage.

Figure 11: Model sensitivity to main meteorological conditions, cover layer parameters, and snow pile initial conditions. **(a)** Air temperature. **(b)** Wind speed. **(c)** Incoming shortwave radiation. **(d)** Precipitation. **(e)** Reflectivity. **(f)** The number of cover layers. **(g)** Snow density. **(h)** Snow liquid water content and corresponds to a general classification (Fierz et al., 2009).

5.2   SNOWPACK model and Llimitations

The model result showed a good agreement with measured snow pile height on April 15, 2022, yet showed inconsistent deviations across three validation periods between January 16 and April 15, with the final period tending to overestimate the snow pile height drop. Interestingly, the external geotextile temperatures above 0 °C were generally underestimated, and the mean temperature was also underestimated for the final period (mean modeled top external geotextile surface temperature = 3.2 °C, mean measured top geotextile-snow interface temperature = 4.1 °C). This indicates that the overestimation of snow pile height drop is due to varying deviations in estimating multiple physical sub-processes driving snow ablation.

Simulations were not conducted for the snow pile sides, primarily due to various reasons. Firstly, the lateral variations and the influence of radiation and wind make it challenging to obtain accurate boundary conditions on the snow pile sides (Grünewald et al., 2018). Additionally, the lack of uniformity between the geotextile and snow surface, such as the presence of air layers and overlaps, further complicates the boundary conditions, and makinges it difficult to quantify these factors at with a high-resolution on at the snow pile sides. In a snow storage simulation study, it is a difficult point to

575 obtain high-resolution boundary conditions under a snow pile scale. Moreover, we decided not to explore other snow cover models in snow storage because of this considerable extra effort and as we wanted to focus on the analysis and evaluation of snow piles evolution~~variations~~ and test the SNOWPACK model performance at mid-latitude and low-altitude regions, with the aim of providing a tool for feasibility analysis in China.

580 ~~Another limitation of the study pertains to wind conditions. The location of the meteorological station being lower than the fences led to an underestimation of turbulent flux. This aspect should be considered in future studies to improve the accuracy of simulations.~~ Naturally, snow properties~~snow quality~~ is are a crucial consideration, especially in major winter sports events, encompassing factors like grain size, density, and liquid water content. However, conducting manual snow profiles to assess 585 snow properties~~snow quality would~~ requires disturbing the snow piles, leading to a reduction in stored snow and an acceleration of ablation. Finding alternative methods to assess snow properties~~snow quality~~ without damaging the snow piles would be beneficial.

6 Conclusions and perspectives

This study investigated snow storage cases for the Beijing 2022 Winter Olympic and Paralympic 590 Games, with a particular emphasis on two key aspects: ablation and snow properties~~snow quality~~. The 1-D SNOWPACK model was first evaluated for snow storage covered with geotextile at the mid-latitude and low-altitude regions. Meteorological data were obtained from automatic stations near the snow storage sites and national meteorological stations, while the geometry and thermal regime of the snow piles were obtained through terrestrial laser scanning surveys and thermal sensors, respectively.

595 The study draws several important conclusions regarding snow storage in China: a) A significant loss of 1242.9 m^3 of snow volume (52.7% of the initial volume) occurred between January 16 and April 15 at the BAS. The geotextile effectively protected the snow pile during Pre-WOcd and WOcd at the BAS~~,~~. However,~~but~~ beyond February, using ~~the snow storage with~~ geotextile alone for snow storage ~~became~~ proved unfeasible due to substantial losses. b) The SNOWPACK model demonstrated its capability to 600 accurately reproduce the snow pile evolution in mid-latitude and low-altitude regions of China. While there was a slight underestimation (7%) in the top snow pile height drop at the BAS, the model

27

exhibited excellent agreement with the measured snow pile heightsnow height, especially considering the sensitivity to deviations of a thin geotextile layer. c) There were minimal variations in liquid water contents and densities of the snow layers at the BAS and the NBC, except for the upper parts of the snow piles. d) The evaporation of the geotextile surface played a significant role in protecting the snow pile. Consequently, impermeable coverage schemes for snow storage are not recommended. e) The study revealed that 0.4 m thick cover layers could protect half of the snow pile heightsnow height in Chongli between January and October, 2022, while in Beijing, the required thickness needed to be increased to 0.7 m in Beijing. The evolution of snow properties evolutionsnow quality over the summer, with different thicknesses and sites, exhibited consistent patterns.

While large-scale snow storage requires substantial investment and determination, the specific quantitative economic effects of snow storage remain unknown. In future studies, it would be beneficial to consider factors such as transportation, thermal insulation materials, manpower, construction machinery, snowmaking, and ski resort income in when assessing the economic impacts of snow storage. Additionally, further research on the snow pile sides could provide valuable insights. Given the importance of snow reliability for ski resorts in southern China, characterized by short snowmaking hours and high wet bulb temperatures, the study could explore short-term snow storage options for this region.

Data availability

All raw data can be provided by the corresponding authors upon request.

Author contributions

DQ, FW, and JR conceived the idea and contributed to the overall framing of the study. XW wrote the manuscript and collected, processed, and analyzed data. HL contributed to the implementation of the model. JR and FW revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to editing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

References

An, H., Xiao, C. and Ding, M., 2019. The spatial pattern of ski areas and its driving factors in China: a strategy for healthy development of the ski industry. Sustainability, 11(11): 3138, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su11113138.

Bartelt, P. and Lehning, M., 2002. A physical SNOWPACK model for the Swiss avalanche warning: Part I: numerical model. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 35(3): 123-145, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(02)00074-5.

Bavay, M. and Egger, T., 2014. MeteoIO 2.4. 2: a preprocessing library for meteorological data. Geoscientific Model Development, 7(6): 3135-3151, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-3135-2014.

Colbeck, S., 1972. A theory of water percolation in snow. Journal of glaciology, 11(63): 369-385, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000022346.

Colbeck, S.C., 1982. An overview of seasonal snow metamorphism. Reviews of Geophysics, 20(1): 45-61, https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i001p00045.

Demiroglu, O.C., Turp, M.T., Ozturk, T. and Kurnaz, M.L., 2016. Impact of climate change on natural snow reliability, snowmaking capacities, and wind conditions of ski resorts in Northeast Turkey: A dynamical downscaling approach. Atmosphere, 7(4): 52, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/atmos7040052.

Fierz, C., Armstrong, R.L., Durand, Y., Etchevers, P., Greene, E., McClung, D.M., Nishimura, K., Satyawali, P.K. and Sokratov, S.A., 2009. The international classification for seasonal snow on

the ground.

Grünewald, T., Wolfsperger, F. and Lehning, M., 2018. Snow farming: conserving snow over the summer season. The Cryosphere, 12(1): 385-400, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-385-2018, 2018.

Hamada, Y., Nagata, T., Kubota, H., Ono, T. and Hashimoto, Y., 2012. Study on a snow storage system in a renovated space. Renewable energy, 41: 401-406, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.11.012.

Hamada, Y., Nakamura, M. and Kubota, H., 2007. Field measurements and analyses for a hybrid system for snow storage/melting and air conditioning by using renewable energy. Applied energy, 84(2): 117-134, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2006.07.002.

Horton, S. and Haegeli, P., 2022. Using snow depth observations to provide insight into the quality of snowpack simulations for regional-scale avalanche forecasting. The Cryosphere, 16(8): 3393-3411, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-3393-2022, 2022.

Keenan, E., Wever, N., Dattler, M., Lenaerts, J., Medley, B., Kuipers Munneke, P. and Reijmer, C., 2021. Physics-based SNOWPACK model improves representation of near-surface Antarctic snow and firn density. The Cryosphere, 15(2): 1065-1085, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1065-2021, 2021.

Kumar, V., Hewage, K., Haider, H. and Sadiq, R., 2016. Techno-economic performance evaluation of building cooling systems: A study of snow storage and conventional chiller systems. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 130: 8-20, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2016.07.004.

Lintzén, N., 2012. Snow storage in Piteå 2012. Luleå tekniska universitet.

Lintzén, N. and Knutsson, S., 2018. Snow storage–Modelling, theory and some new research. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 153: 45-54, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2018.04.015.

Luetschg, M., Lehning, M. and Haeberli, W., 2008. A sensitivity study of factors influencing warm/thin permafrost in the Swiss Alps. Journal of Glaciology, 54(187): 696-704, http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/002214308786570881.

Moe, J.M., 2018. Using stored snow as cooling at Oslo airport, Norway, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Civil Engineering. Thomas Telford Ltd, pp. 11-16.

Olefs, M. and Fischer, A., 2008. Comparative study of technical measures to reduce snow and ice ablation in Alpine glacier ski resorts. Cold regions science and technology, 52(3): 371-384, http://dx.doi.org/j.coldregions.2007.04.021.

Olefs, M., Fischer, A. and Lang, J., 2010. Boundary Conditions for Artificial Snow Production in the Austrian Alps. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 49(6): 1096-1113, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010jamc2251.1.

Olefs, M. and Lehning, M., 2010. Textile protection of snow and ice: Measured and simulated effects on the energy and mass balance. Cold regions science and technology, 62(2-3): 126-141, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.03.011.

Ozel, M., 2013. Determination of optimum insulation thickness based on cooling transmission load for building walls in a hot climate. Energy Conversion and Management, 66: 106-114, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2012.10.002.

Pestereva, N.M., 2014. Modern engineering technology to adapt to the adverse weather and climatic

700   conditions at mountain ski resorts. Life Science J, 11(9): 800-804.,

RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2022. Operating & Processing Software RISCAN PRO for Riegl 3D Laser Scanners. RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems Gmbh Horn, Austria.

Rinderer, T., 2009. Snowfarming–Experimenteller Vergleich und numerische Modellierung zweier Isoliermethoden zur Konservierung von Schnee, Thesis, Ludwig Maximilians-Universität
705   München, München, Germany.

Rutty, M., Scott, D., Johnson, P., Pons, M., Steiger, R. and Vilella, M., 2017. Using ski industry response to climatic variability to assess climate change risk: An analogue study in Eastern Canada. Tourism Management, 58: 196-204, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.10.020.

Skogsberg, K. and Nordell, B., 2001. The Sundsvall hospital snow storage. Cold Regions Science and
710   Technology, 32(1): 63-70, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(00)00021-5.

Skogsberg, K. and Nordell, B., 2006. Snow cooling in Sweden, International Conference on Thermal Energy Storage: 31/05/2006-02/06/2006. Richard Stockton College of NJ.

Spandre, P., François, H., Thibert, E., Morin, S. and George-Marcelpoil, E., 2017. Determination of snowmaking efficiency on a ski slope from observations and modelling of snowmaking events
715   and   seasonal   snow   accumulation.   The   Cryosphere,   11(2):   891-909, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-891-2017, 2017.

Steiger, R. and Scott, D., 2020. Ski tourism in a warmer world: Increased adaptation and regional economic   impacts   in   Austria.   Tourism   Management,   77:   4032-4032, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.104032.

720   Ter-Zakaryan, K., Grigoryan, A., Medvedev, A., Efimov, B. and Poserenin, A., 2019. Snow insulation and conservation systems, E3S Web of Conferences. EDP Sciences, pp. 01038.

Wagner, W. and Horel, J., 2011. Observations and simulations of snow surface temperature on cross-country ski racing courses. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 66(1): 1-11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.12.003.

725   Wang, X., Qin, D.H., Ren, J.W. and Wang, F.T., 2021. Numerical estimation of thermal insulation performance of different coverage schemes at three places for snow storage. Advances in Climate Change Research, 12(6): 903-912, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2021.10.003.

Weiss, H.S., Bierman, P.R., Dubief, Y. and Hamshaw, S.D., 2019. Optimization of over-summer snow storage   at   midlatitudes   and   low   elevation.   The   Cryosphere,   13(12):   3367-3382,
730   http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-3367-2019, 2019.

Wever, N., Schmid, L., Heilig, A., Eisen, O., Fierz, C. and Lehning, M., 2015. Verification of the multi-layer SNOWPACK model with different water transport schemes. The Cryosphere, 9(6): 2271-2293, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-2271-2015, 2015.

Willibald, F., Kotlarski, S., Ebner, P.P., Bavay, M., Marty, C., Trentini, F.V., Ludwig, R. and Grêt-
735   Regamey, A., 2021. Vulnerability of ski tourism towards internal climate variability and climate change in the Swiss Alps. Science of the Total Environment, 784: 147054, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147054.