



# The evolution of future Antarctic surface melt using **PISM-dEBM-simple**

Julius Garbe<sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Zeitz<sup>1,2</sup>, Uta Krebs-Kanzow<sup>3</sup>, and Ricarda Winkelmann<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Member of the Leibniz Association, P.O. Box 60 12 03, 14412 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>2</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Physics and Astronomy, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany <sup>3</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

Correspondence: Julius Garbe (julius.garbe@pik-potsdam.de)

**Abstract.** It is virtually certain that Antarctica's contribution to sea-level rise will increase with future warming, although competing mass balance processes hamper accurate quantification of the exact magnitudes. Today, ocean-induced melting underneath the floating ice shelves dominates mass losses, but melting at the surface will gain importance as global warming continues. Meltwater at the ice surface has crucial implications for the ice sheet's stability, as it increases the risk of hydrofrac-

- 5 turing and ice-shelf collapse that could cause enhanced glacier outflow into the ocean. Simultaneously, positive feedbacks between the atmosphere and the ice elevation and albedo can accelerate mass losses and increase the ice sheet's sensitivity to warming. However, due to long response times it may take hundreds to thousands of years until the ice sheet fully adjusts to the environmental changes. Therefore, ice sheet model simulations must be computationally fast and capture the relevant feedbacks, including the ones at the ice–atmosphere interface.
- 10 Here we use the novel surface melt module dEBM-simple, coupled to the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM), to estimate the impact of 21<sup>st</sup>-century atmospheric warming on Antarctic surface melt and long-term ice dynamics. As an enhancement compared to the widely adopted positive degree-day (PDD) scheme, dEBM-simple includes an implicit diurnal cycle and computes melt not only from the temperature, but also from the influence of solar radiation and changes in ice albedo, thus accounting for the melt–albedo feedback. We calibrate PISM-dEBM-simple to reproduce historical and present-day Antarctic
- 15 surface melt rates given by the regional climate model RACMO2.3p2 and use the calibrated model to assess the range of possible future surface melt trajectories under SSP5-8.5 warming projections, extended beyond 2100 under fixed climatological conditions. Our findings reveal a substantial speed-up in ice flow associated with large-scale elevation reductions in sensitive ice-sheet regions, underscoring the critical role of self-reinforcing ice-sheet-atmosphere feedbacks on future mass losses and sea-level contribution from the Antarctic Ice Sheet on centennial to millennial timescales.

# 20 1 Introduction

Over the past decades, observations have shown that the Antarctic Ice Sheet has been losing mass to the ocean at increasing rates (Shepherd et al., 2012; Gardner et al., 2018; The IMBIE Team, 2018; Rignot et al., 2019), thereby contributing to global sea-level rise (Meredith et al., 2019). To date, Antarctica's contribution to sea-level rise has been comparatively modest, but is





expected to increase in the future (Fox-Kemper et al., 2021; Seroussi et al., 2020). With a volume of 58 m sea-level equivalent
(Fretwell et al., 2013; Morlighem et al., 2019), the Antarctic Ice Sheet is the largest freshwater reservoir on Earth and thus represents the by far largest potential source of future sea-level rise under global warming.

Changes in the total mass of the ice sheet are governed by changes in mass accumulation at the surface and ice discharge into the ocean. At its upper surface, the ice sheet gains mass mainly through snowfall, while mass is lost around its edges to the ocean through the calving of icebergs and melting underneath the floating ice shelves that surround most of Antarctica's

- 30 coastline, as well as by dynamic thinning and accelerated outflow of grounded ice. At present, the overall mass changes of the ice sheet are dominated by the Amundsen Sea Embayment sector of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and the Antarctic Peninsula, where ice shelves, driven by relatively warm ocean waters, are melted from below (Pritchard et al., 2012; Depoorter et al., 2013; Rignot et al., 2013; Jenkins et al., 2018; Holland et al., 2019) and ice is lost through iceberg calving (Depoorter et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2022). By providing a mechanical buttressing on upstream glaciers, the ice shelves are crucial in modulating ice
- 35 discharge from the grounded ice inland (Dupont and Alley, 2005; Gudmundsson, 2013; Fürst et al., 2016). While thinning or even disintegration of the floating shelves does not directly affect the sea level, it reduces this restraining effect, causing an acceleration of outlet glacier flow from the grounded ice sheet towards the coast and consequently a greater freshwater flux into the ocean (Scambos et al., 2004; Rott et al., 2011; Paolo et al., 2015; Gardner et al., 2018), thereby adding to sea-level rise. Despite major model improvements over the past, large uncertainties in projected future sea-level contribution from Antarc-
- 40 tica remain (Pattyn and Morlighem, 2020). Besides uncertainties in the climate forcing (Seroussi et al., 2020), much of these uncertainties originate from the poorly understood response of East Antarctica to atmospheric and oceanic warming (Stokes et al., 2022), which may emerge as the single largest driver of future sea level simply due to the sheer size of the ice sheet. In contrast to the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, mass gains and losses of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet are close to balance, although its contribution to sea-level rise has slightly increased recently (Gardner et al., 2018; The IMBIE Team, 2018; Rignot et al.,
- 45 2019). The considerable spread in estimates of East Antarctic mass balance is mainly caused by uncertainties in the surface mass balance (the net mass accumulation/ablation rate at the ice sheet surface) rather than ice discharge (Stokes et al., 2022). At present, the surface mass balance of Antarctica is largely dominated by snowfall, as average air temperatures over most parts of the ice sheet are below the freezing point and thus too low to cause substantial snow or ice melting at the surface. Other surface mass balance components such as rain, sublimation/evaporation, blowing snow erosion/deposition, or meltwater
- 50 runoff are at least one order of magnitude smaller (Lenaerts et al., 2019; Stokes et al., 2022). In particular, summer melting in Antarctica is currently mostly confined to the ice shelves and the lower-elevation margins of the ice sheet with most intense and widespread melting occurring on the Antarctic Peninsula (Tedesco and Monaghan, 2009; Munneke et al., 2012; Trusel et al., 2013) where air temperatures are highest.

Under the comparatively cold conditions at present a major portion of the surface meltwater refreezes in the firn layer (Lenaerts et al., 2019). However, persisting and actively evolving large-scale surface drainage systems have been observed that transport meltwater through networks of surface streams and supraglacial ponds across the ice sheet and onto the ice shelves (Kingslake et al., 2017; Bell et al., 2018). In particular, active and widespread formation of supraglacial meltwater lakes has recently been shown to also play a major role in the ice sheet mass balance in East Antarctica (Stokes et al., 2019; Arthur





et al., 2022). The presence of meltwater on the ice shelf surface has important implications for the stability of the Antarctic
60 Ice Sheet, as it facilitates meltwater-induced fracture propagation ("hydrofracturing"), thereby increasing the risk of ice-shelf collapse (e.g., Scambos et al., 2000; Noble et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020). For example, the breakup of the Larsen A Ice Shelf in the mid-1990s as well as the collapse of Larsen B Ice Shelf over a period of just a few weeks in 2002 have been linked to this process (Scambos et al., 2000, 2004; Rignot et al., 2004; Broeke, 2005a). Even more concerning is that the disintegration of buttressing ice shelves caused by increased meltwater production might promote unstable and potentially irreversible rapid
65 inland ice retreat through instability mechanisms in some regions of the grounded ice sheet. In marine ice-sheet regions –

- regions that are characterized by ice resting on deep and often inland-sloping beds submerged hundreds to thousands of meters below sea level, as found in most of West Antarctica and large parts of East Antarctica (Morlighem et al., 2019) – the ice sheet is susceptible to instability mechanisms known as 'marine ice-sheet instability' (Weertman, 1974; Schoof, 2007) and 'marine ice cliff instability' (Bassis and Walker, 2012; Pollard et al., 2015) that could potentially cause long-term global sea-level rise on the order of multiple meters (DeConto and Pollard, 2016; Sun et al., 2020; DeConto et al., 2021).
- As warming progresses over the coming centuries, ice mass losses resulting from surface meltwater runoff are projected to increase (Trusel et al., 2015; Kittel et al., 2021; Gilbert and Kittel, 2021). At the same time, an increase in snowfall, associated with the higher saturated vapor pressure of a warmer atmosphere (Frieler et al., 2015; Palerme et al., 2017), is expected to largely compensate for the projected increase in surface runoff (Favier et al., 2017; Medley and Thomas, 2018; Stokes
- 75 et al., 2022). However, the balance between both processes still remains unclear and might shift in the future. In 21<sup>st</sup>-century model projections of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance, the increasing surface mass balance (especially in East Antarctica) is outweighing increased discharge, even under high-end forcing scenarios (Seroussi et al., 2020; Favier et al., 2017; Edwards et al., 2021; Stokes et al., 2022). However, in long-term (multi-centennial- to millennial-scale) warming simulations the positive surface mass balance trend shows a peak and subsequent reversal (Golledge et al., 2015; Golledge, 2020; Garbe et al., 2020).
- 80 Owing to the positive surface-elevation-melt feedback (Weertman, 1961; Levermann and Winkelmann, 2016) this effect can be enhanced once a surface lowering is triggered through initial melting. The point at which the surface mass balance of an ice sheet becomes negative is sometimes referred to as a critical tipping point for ice mass loss (Robinson et al., 2012; Garbe et al., 2020).
- Surface melt can also be enhanced by the positive melt–albedo feedback: when snow or ice melt, meltwater at the surface or refreezing meltwater in the snow and firn layers decrease the albedo (i.e., the reflectivity) of the surface, leading to a higher absorption of incoming solar radiation and in return more intense melt (Jakobs et al., 2019). This feedback has been shown to play a crucial role over large parts of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to accelerate surface melt (Jakobs et al., 2021). Particularly in long-term ice-sheet model simulations and sea-level rise projections it is therefore decisive to include this melt–albedo feedback in addition to mechanisms like the surface-elevation–melt feedback (Fyke et al., 2018).
- 90 While a number of sophisticated process-based regional climate models are available and used to model the ice–atmosphere interactions and their influence on the historical and future evolution of the surface energy and mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (e.g., Wessem et al., 2018; Agosta et al., 2019; Souverijns et al., 2019; Bromwich et al., 2013; Trusel et al., 2015; Lenaerts et al., 2018; Kittel et al., 2021; Mottram et al., 2021), such models are often too computationally demanding to





run in coupled dynamical atmosphere-ice-sheet model setups over timescales beyond the end of the century. To overcome
this deficiency, empirical-based statistical surface melt parameterizations are commonly adopted in ice-sheet models, often
referred to as 'temperature-index schemes'. The perhaps most prominent example is the widely used positive degree-day
(PDD) method, which assumes that surface melt is proportional to the number of days with air temperatures above the melting point (e.g., Braithwaite, 1985; Reeh, 1991; Hock, 2003).

- Here, we apply the novel surface model dEBM-simple, which has recently been implemented by Zeitz et al. (2021) as a
  surface mass balance module in the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM; Bueler and Brown, 2009; Winkelmann et al., 2011), for the first time in an Antarctic Ice Sheet model configuration. The dEBM-simple is a slightly modified version of the "simple" diurnal Energy Balance Model put forward by Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) and extends the conventional PDD approach to explicitly include the influence of solar radiation and parameterizes the ice surface albedo as a function of melting, thus implicitly accounting for the melt–albedo feedback (Zeitz et al., 2021). The model requires only monthly surface air temperatures and precipitation as inputs, yet it accounts for the diurnal energy cycle of the ice surface. Its computational efficiency is comparable
- to that of the PDD method, making it particularly suitable for long-term (millennial-scale) prognostic ice-sheet model runs. A "full" version of the diurnal Energy Balance Model (dEBM; regarding the main differences compared to the "simple" model version, see below) was recently introduced by Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2021) and has shown good skill in simulating the surface mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet in a recent model intercomparison project (GrSMBMIP, Fettweis et al., 2020).
- In this work, we first calibrate the coupled PISM-dEBM-simple model setup to correctly reproduce historical and presentday Antarctic melt rate patterns by using output from the regional atmospheric climate model RACMOv2.3p2 (Wessem et al., 2018) (Sects. 4) and validate it by comparing its performance against RACMO and PDD (Sect. 5.1). To investigate the evolution of Antarctic surface melt under warmer than present conditions, we then force the calibrated model with a strong 21<sup>st</sup>-century warming scenario from RACMO2.3p2 in idealized atmospheric warming simulations (Sect. 5.2) and estimate the robustness
- 115 of the results with regard to different modeling choices (Sect. 5.3). In order to study the long-term effects of intensified surface melting on the dynamics of the Antarctic Ice Sheet and to account for the longer timescales of involved feedbacks, we extend the simulations after the year 2100 beyond the end of the available forcing under fixed end-of-century atmospheric climate conditions until the year 3000, when the ice sheet has reached a state close to equilibrium with its environment (Sect. 5.4). In the final sections, we discuss our findings (Sect. 6) and draw some brief conclusions (Sect. 7).

## 120 2 Model description

For the model experiments described here, we use the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM; Bueler and Brown, 2009; Winkelmann et al., 2011; The PISM Authors, 2020; https://www.pism.io, last access: 1 December 2022), coupled to a "simple" version of the diurnal Energy Balance Model (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018) to serve as a surface mass balance module (PISM-dEBM-simple; Zeitz et al., 2021). The implementation of the dEBM-simple in PISM including the adopted modifications is described

125 in more detail in Zeitz et al. (2021). Below, we give a short overview of PISM's main characteristics (Sect. 2.1), followed by





a more detailed overview of the dEBM-simple including a description of the relevant modifications from Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) (Sect. 2.2).

## 2.1 Ice-sheet model (PISM)

- Here, we use a slightly modified version of the open-source Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) release v1.2. PISM is a hybrid,
  shallow, thermo-mechanically coupled, and polythermal ice-sheet/ice-shelf model. The hybrid stress balance in PISM combines the shallow-ice (SIA) and shallow-shelf/shelfy-stream (SSA) approximations of the Stokes flow over the entire ice-sheet/ice-shelf domain, ensuring a consistent transition of stress regimes across the grounded-ice to floating-ice boundary (Winkelmann et al., 2011). SIA and SSA ice velocities are thereby computed on a regular horizontal grid using finite differences, whereas ice temperature and softness are computed in three dimensions through an enthalpy formulation (Aschwanden et al., 2012).
- The model is run on a grid of 8 km horizontal resolution in all experiments. The vertical grid spacing in the ice is quadratical, with 121 vertical layers ranging between 13 m at the ice base and 87 m at the top of the computational domain  $(761 \times 761 \times 121$ total grid points). The ice rheology is described by the Glen-Paterson-Budd-Lliboutry-Duval flow law (Lliboutry and Duval, 1985) with a Glen exponent of n = 3. Ice-flow enhancement factors are set equal to one for both, SIA and SSA. Basal shear stress near the grounding line is interpolated on a sub-grid resolution, which has been shown to result in grounding-line motion
- 140 comparable to a full-Stokes model throughout a wide range of resolutions (Feldmann et al., 2014), even without imposing additional flux conditions.

At the basal ice–bedrock boundary, a generalized "pseudo-plastic" power law relates bed-parallel shear stress and ice sliding (Schoof and Hindmarsh, 2010):

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_b = -\tau_c \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_b}{\boldsymbol{u}_0^q |\boldsymbol{u}_b|^{1-q}},\tag{1}$$

145 where  $\tau_b$  is the basal shear stress,  $u_b$  is the SSA basal sliding velocity,  $u_0 = 100 \,\mathrm{m \, yr^{-1}}$  is a threshold velocity, and  $0 \le q \le 1$ is the pseudo-plastic sliding exponent (here q = 0.75). The yield stress  $\tau_c$  is determined using the Mohr-Coulomb criterion as a function of microscopic till material properties (till friction angle  $\phi$ ) and the effective till pressure N (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010):

$$\tau_c = c_0 + \tan(\phi) N. \tag{2}$$

The parameter  $c_0$  is called the "apparent till cohesion" and is usually set to zero (Schoof, 2006, Eq. (2.4)). In PISM, the till friction  $\phi$  is parameterized as a piecewise-linear function of the bed topography b (Martin et al., 2011). This approach is based on the assumption that the bed of fast-moving ice streams and marine ice basins, which are below sea level, provides less basal friction to the ice owing to a looser sediment material, compared to denser bed materials in rockier regions above sea level. We here assume  $\phi_{\min} = 2^{\circ}$  for marine beds below  $b_{\min} = -700 \text{ m}$  below sea level and  $\phi_{\max} = 50^{\circ}$  for elevations above



1



155  $b_{\text{max}} = 500 \,\text{m}$ , with a linear interpolation between these two values for intermediate bed elevations:

$$\phi = \begin{cases} \phi_{\min}, & b \le b_{\min}, \\ \phi_{\min} + \frac{\phi_{\max} - \phi_{\min}}{b_{\max} - b_{\min}} (b - b_{\min}), & b_{\min} < b < b_{\max}, \\ \phi_{\max}, & b_{\max} \le b. \end{cases}$$
(3)

The basal hydrology is described by a simple parameterization, where the subglacial meltwater accumulates locally in the till layer and adds to the effective water thickness W of the subglacial substrate (Tulaczyk et al., 2000):

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \frac{\dot{B}_b}{\rho_{\rm w}} - C_d,\tag{4}$$

160 with basal melt rate  $\dot{B}_b$ , water density  $\rho_w$  and a fixed till water drainage rate  $C_d = 7 \,\mathrm{mm \, yr^{-1}}$ . The scheme is non-conserving, i.e., any excess meltwater above a substrate saturation thickness of  $W_{\max} = 2 \,\mathrm{m}$  is lost permanently. Using the effective water thickness of the till layer  $s = W/W_{\max}$  and the ice overburden pressure  $P_0 = \rho_i g H$  for a given ice thickness H, the effective till pressure is then parameterized following Tulaczyk et al. (2000) and Bueler and van Pelt (2015):

$$N = \min\left\{P_0, N_0 \left(\frac{\delta P_0}{N_0}\right)^s 10^{(e_0/C_c)(1-s)}\right\}.$$
(5)

165

In this equation,  $e_0$  is the reference void ratio at the reference effective pressure  $N_0$  and  $C_c$  is the compressibility coefficient of the sediment. The values of these constant parameters are adopted from Tulaczyk et al. (2000). The parameter  $\delta$  (here set to 4 %) controls the lower bound of the effective pressure with  $\delta P_0 \le N \le P_0$  for  $0 \le s \le 1$ .

Iceberg calving at the margins of the floating ice shelves is accounted for via the 'eigencalving' approach (Levermann et al., 2012), where the average calving rate is computed from the product of the principal components of the horizontal strain rates derived from the SSA velocities at the shelf front, using a proportionality factor of  $K = 1 \cdot 10^{16} \,\mathrm{m\,s.}$  In addition to this mechanism, ice shelves are also removed if they become thinner than a minimum thickness threshold of 50 m or extend beyond the observed present-day ice fronts, as defined by Bedmap2 (Fretwell et al., 2013). The latter two calving conditions are mainly imposed due to numerical reasons and have only negligible influence on the overall ice-sheet evolution.

During the historical validation of dEBM-simple, PISM is further run with a standard PDD model (Calov and Greve,
2005) for comparative reasons, using default degree-day factors for snow and ice of f<sub>s</sub> = 3.3 mm w.e. (PDD)<sup>-1</sup> and f<sub>i</sub> = 8.8 mm w.e. (PDD)<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Hock, 2003). All other parameters are the same as the ones used in the dEBM-simple experiments.

Glacial isostatic adjustment of the underlying bedrock in response to ice mass changes is neglected here in order to isolate the ice mass changes resulting directly from modeled climatic mass balance and albedo changes, which is the focus of this paper.

For an overview of ice-sheet model parameters and their adopted values used in this study, see Table S1.





## 2.2 Adapted diurnal Energy Balance Model (dEBM-simple)

## 2.2.1 General overview

- To compute the surface melt of the ice sheet from given solar insolation and atmospheric conditions, an adapted version of the
  "simple" diurnal Energy Balance Model, first introduced by Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), has recently been implemented as a surface mass balance module in PISM (dEBM-simple; Zeitz et al., 2021). Being more physically constrained, yet computationally comparably efficient, this surface melt scheme replaces the even simpler empirical positive degree-day (PDD) method (Reeh, 1991; Calov and Greve, 2005), which is usually used in PISM to calculate surface melt rates in long-term continental simulations. The dEBM-simple is based on the surface energy balance of the daily melt period and simulates insolation- and temperature-driven surface melting from changes in surface albedo and seasonal as well as latitudinal variations of the daily
- insolation cycle.

The melt formulation requires only monthly mean air temperature fields as input, yet implicitly accounts for the diurnal cycle of shortwave radiation. To serve as a full-fledged surface mass balance module in standalone model simulation runs, the implementation of the dEBM-simple in PISM further takes monthly mean precipitation fields as inputs to compute the full cli-

- 195 matic mass balance. Thereby, precipitation is passed unaltered through the scheme, while the respective shares of snowfall and rain are determined from the local air temperature, with rain above 2 °C, snow at temperatures below 0 °C, and a linear transition in between. In contrast to Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), solar shortwave radiation and broadband albedo are parameterized internally, as described in the following sections.
- The main differences of the "simple" version of the dEBM in comparison to the more complex "full" version (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2021) relate to the calculation of incoming shortwave and longwave radiation flux at the ice surface, which in the full scheme are based on locally varying atmospheric emissivity and transmissivity and take into account sub-monthly changes in cloud cover. Furthermore, the full dEBM features a dedicated albedo scheme and computes refreezing on the basis of negative net surface energy fluxes. However, as the aim of dEBM-simple and the present work is to replace the empirically based PDD melting scheme in PISM with a more physically based alternative without having to rely on more input variables from regional climate models, we employ the simpler variant based on Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) instead of the "full" dEBM scheme.

## 2.2.2 Surface melt

210

The implementation of the dEBM-simple in PISM is based on the dEBM formulation given in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), but adopts a few modifications in order to make the scheme as simple as possible in terms of required inputs and computational expense. These modifications mainly concern the treatment of albedo and shortwave radiation and are described in more detail below.

The dEBM melt equation is the heart of the module and describes the average surface melt rate during the diurnal melt period, when the surface temperature of the surface layer is at the melting point and the net energy uptake of the surface resulting from incoming shortwave radiation and near-surface air temperature is positive. In the dEBM, the melt period  $\Delta t_{\Phi}$  of a full day  $\Delta t$ is defined as the time span during which the sun is above a minimum elevation angle  $\Phi$ . The dEBM-simple utilizes a spatially





(7)

- and temporally constant value for Φ that can roughly be estimated based on typical summer insolation and snow albedo values (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018). The (daily) *insolation-dependent* melt contribution is computed from daily average incoming solar shortwave radiation at the ice surface, based on the incoming solar shortwave radiation at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) SW<sub>Φ</sub> during the melt period and atmospheric transmissivity τ (for details, see Sect. 2.2.3) as well as the surface albedo α (see Sect. 2.2.4) (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018; Zeitz et al., 2021). This term is balanced by a negative melt potential (offset),
  which represents the outgoing longwave radiation flux and is mostly constant if the surface is near the melting point. The
- 220 which represents the outgoing longwave radiation flux and is mostly constant if the surface is near the melting point. The *temperature-dependent* melt contribution is a function of the cumulative temperature  $T_{\text{eff}}$  exceeding the melting point per month and is calculated from the normal probability distribution of the stochastically fluctuating daily temperatures around the long-term monthly mean temperature using a constant standard deviation (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018, 2021; Zeitz et al., 2021; Sect. 2.2.5). Finally, it is assumed that no melting can occur if the monthly mean near-surface air temperature is below
- a typical threshold temperature  $T_{\min}$ , regardless of the amount of insolation-dependent melt. Daily average melt rates are then calculated according to

$$M = \frac{\Delta t_{\Phi}}{\Delta t \rho_{\rm w} L_{\rm m}} \left[ (1 - \alpha) \tau S W_{\Phi} + c_1 T_{\rm eff} + c_2 \right],\tag{6}$$

with fresh water density  $\rho_w$  and latent heat of melt  $L_m$  (see Table 1 for values). The two empirical dEBM-simple tuning parameters,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , have constant values (in contrast to the "full" dEBM scheme; Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2021) which are obtained by optimizing the scheme to historical RACMO2.3p2 melt data using a model ensemble (see Sect. 4).

Melt affects the actual ice-sheet thickness depending on the current thickness of the snow layer, as the available melt potential is used to first melt the snow layer before melting the underlying ice if excess melt energy is still available. Refreezing of surface meltwater is estimated on the basis of a constant fraction (here taken to be 50% of the melt volume for both snow and ice), positively adding to the surface mass balance. Meltwater that does not refreeze adds to the runoff.

# 235 2.2.3 Solar radiation

230

240

245

As a modification from the dEBM formulation given in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), incoming solar shortwave radiation at the ice surface is not needed as input, but is parameterized within dEBM-simple from the geometric characteristics of the Earth's orbit around the sun and a simple linear model of the average atmospheric conditions (Zeitz et al., 2021). This reduces the required input data from regional climate models and allows for an easy adjustment of orbital parameters, thus widening the application spectrum of dEBM-simple for glacial-cycle timescales.

The daily average TOA insolation during the daily melt period  $SW_{\Phi}$  is computed according to Eq. (5) from Zeitz et al. (2021), using a solar constant of  $S_0 = 1,366 \,\mathrm{W m^{-2}}$  and values for the solar declination angle and the sun–earth distance which are approximated based on trigonometric expansions and depending on the day of the year using present-day orbital configurations<sup>1</sup>. We then compute the incoming shortwave radiation at the ice surface from the TOA insolation, assuming a linear dependence of atmospheric transmissivity  $\tau$  on the ice surface altitude z (for details, see Zeitz et al., 2021):

 $\tau = a_\tau + b_\tau \cdot z$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that orbital parameters can easily be adapted for paleo-timescale applications within dEBM-simple.





(8)

The parameters  $a_{\tau}$  and  $b_{\tau}$  are obtained from a linear regression fit of RACMO2.3p2 data averaged over the austral summer months with the highest monthly TOA insolation December, December and January from 1950 to 2015 (Fig. S1). Their best fit values are listed in Table 1.

# 250 2.2.4 Albedo

The albedo of the snow or ice surface is a particularly crucial component of the surface energy balance, as it determines the amount of solar radiation that is absorbed by the ice, and thus the amount of heat available to cause the surface to melt. While PISM-dEBM-simple offers the capability to read in time-dependent albedo fields as an input, we here make use of an efficient non-linear albedo parameterization in dEBM-simple, which computes the surface albedo iteratively based on the melt in the last time step and thus allows us to run standalone long-term simulations for which albedo output from more sophisticated regional climate and snowpack models is not available. Starting from a prescribed maximal value (represented by a typical dry fresh-snow albedo value) for regions with no melting, the parameterization assumes that the surface albedo decreases linearly with intensifying melt to a prescribed minimal value (represented by a typical bare-ice albedo value), thus internally accounting for the melt–albedo feedback (Zeitz et al., 2021):

$$260 \quad \alpha = \max[a_{\alpha} + b_{\alpha} \cdot M, \ \alpha_{\min}]$$

The parameters  $a_{\alpha}$  (which represents the maximum albedo value  $\alpha_{max}$ ) and  $b_{\alpha}$  are obtained from a linear regression fit of RACMO2.3p2 data averaged over the austral summer months December to February (DJF) from 2085 to 2100 following a SSP5-8.5 warming scenario (Fig. S2). The averaging period under the warmer late-21<sup>st</sup>-century conditions was chosen because the RACMO data show no clear dependence between melt and albedo values under historic and present-day climate conditions, where melt rates over most of the ice sheet are too low to cause significant changes in albedo. The best fit values for these parameters, together with the minimum albedo value  $\alpha_{min}$ , are listed in Table 1.

## 2.2.5 Temperature

265

Following the approach from Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), dEBM-simple uses a stochastic positive degree-day (PDD) method (Reeh, 1991; Braithwaite, 1985) to estimate the effective temperature  $T_{\text{eff}}$  during the melt period which builds the basis for the temperature-dependent part of the melt equation (Eq. (6), second term). This empirical relation assumes that the temperaturedependent part of the melt equation is proportional to the cumulative surface air temperature excess above the melting point in a given month that can be described by a normal probability distribution of the fluctuating daily temperatures T around the long-term monthly mean temperature  $\overline{T}$  (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018; Calov and Greve, 2005), where the latter is provided as an input from a regional climate model:

275 
$$T_{\rm eff}(\overline{T}, \sigma_{\rm PDD}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm PDD}\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dT \ T \ \exp\left(-\frac{(T-\overline{T})^2}{2\sigma_{\rm PDD}^2}\right)$$
(9)





 Table 1. List of physical constants and parameters used in PISM-dEBM-simple alongside their respective default values adopted for this

 study. Parameter values marked with an asterisk (\*) are optimized according to the calibration procedures detailed in the text.

Symbol	Parameter	Default value	Unit
$S_0$	Solar constant	1,366	$\mathrm{W}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$
g	Gravitational acceleration	9.81	$\mathrm{ms}^{-2}$
$\sigma$	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	$5.67\cdot 10^{-8}$	$\rm Wm^{-2}K^{-4}$
$L_{\rm m}$	Latent heat of melt of ice	$3.34\cdot 10^5$	$\rm Jkg^{-1}$
$ ho_{ m i}$	Ice density	910	${\rm kgm^{-3}}$
$ ho_{ m w}$	Fresh water density	1,000	${\rm kgm^{-3}}$
$T_0$	Melting point temperature	0	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$\epsilon_{ m i}$	Longwave emissivity of ice	0.95	_
Φ	Minimum solar elevation angle for melt	17.5	0
$c_1$	dEBM tuning parameter	$25.5^{*}$	$\rm Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$
$c_2$	dEBM tuning parameter	-80.0*	${\rm Wm^{-2}}$
$T_{\min}$	Threshold temperature for melt	-10.0*	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$\widehat{\alpha}$	Exchange coefficient for sensible heat flux $(c_1)$	4	${\rm Wsm^{-3}K^{-1}}$
$\sigma_{ m PDD}$	Standard deviation of daily surface air temperatures	3.5	Κ
$a_{ au}$	Intercept in atmospheric transmissivity parameterization	$0.70^{*}$	_
$b_{ au}$	Slope in atmospheric transmissivity parameterization	$3.6 \cdot 10^{-5} *$	$\mathrm{m}^{-1}$
$a_{lpha}$ / $lpha_{ m max}$	Intercept in albedo parameterization / maximal albedo value	$0.86^{*}$	_
$b_{lpha}$	Slope in albedo parameterization	-740.4*	$(\rm kgm^{-2}s^{-1})^{-1}$
$lpha_{\min}$	Minimal albedo value	0.47	_
$ heta_{ m s}$	Refreeze fraction snow	0.5	_
$ heta_{ m i}$	Refreeze fraction ice	0.5	_

In the above equation,  $\sigma_{PDD}$  denotes the constant and spatially uniform standard deviation of the daily temperature variability as well as further stochastic temperature variations around the monthly mean, which is taken to be 3.5 K (Albrecht et al., 2020; Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018, 2021). The melting point is at  $T_0 = 0$  °C.

# 3 Experimental design

280 In the following subsections we provide a summary of how the initial ice-sheet model state used for the experiments is derived (Sect. 3.1) and describe the climate forcing which is applied as a boundary condition in the experiments at the ice surface and at the ice–ocean boundary (Sect. 3.2). In the last part of the section, we describe the future warming scenarios used to drive the prognostic model simulations (Sect. 3.3).





## 3.1 Initial ice-sheet configuration

- The simulations are initialized from a model state of the Antarctic Ice Sheet that is representative of the ice sheet configuration 285 in the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. It is based on an equilibrium state that was prepared for ISMIP6, the Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project for CMIP6 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6), and is described in more detail in Reese et al. (2020). The initialization procedure comprises two main steps: First, starting from Bedmap2 ice-sheet geometry (Fretwell et al., 2013), a thermal spin-up simulation is run on a coarser (16 km) model grid for 400,000 years under fixed geometry until 290 the ice sheet reaches a thermodynamic equilibrium with present-day climate. Climatic boundary conditions at the upper ice surface are provided by near-surface air temperature and precipitation fields from RACMOv2.3p2 (Wessem et al., 2018), averaged over the period 1986 to 2005, and at the ice-ocean interface by a data compilation from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 295 melt is run on the 8 km model grid for another 22,000 years under the same climatic boundary conditions with fully evolving
- pre-release (Locarnini et al., 2019; Zweng et al., 2019), averaged over 1955 to 2017, and Schmidtko et al. (2014), averaged over the period 1975 to 2012 (for more details, see following section). Second, starting from this thermodynamic equilibrium state, a simulation ensemble spanning over various values of critical model parameters related to basal sliding and sub-shelf
- physics until the ice sheet reaches a dynamic equilibrium state and ice volume changes become negligible. In the course of these simulations, a comprehensive ensemble scoring scheme is applied after 5,000 years and again after 12,000 years in order to select the ensemble member which compares best to present-day observations of ice geometry (Fretwell et al., 2013) and
- velocities (Rignot et al., 2011). During the entire spin-up, the climatic mass balance (net surface accumulation/ablation rate) 300 and ice surface temperature are directly prescribed from the RACMO. For more details on the spin-up and the scoring scheme, see Reese et al. (2020).

# 3.2 Climate forcing

#### Air temperature and precipitation 3.2.1

- At the ice-atmosphere interface, the climatic boundary conditions (near-surface air temperature and precipitation flux) for 305 dEBM-simple are provided from the regional atmospheric climate model RACMOv2.3p2 (Wessem et al., 2018) using simulations covering the period 1950 to 2100. Specifically, we use a historical simulation (1950-2015) and a future projection (2015–2100), which both were generated under climate forcing from the CMIP6-type global coupled climate model CESM2 (Community Earth System Model version 2; Danabasoglu et al., 2020). In a recent intercomparison of five different regional
- 310 climate models for Antarctica (Mottram et al., 2021) RACMO2.3p2 has been shown to be among the best-performing models when comparing against observations (both in terms of surface air temperatures and surface mass balance) and RACMO's simulated mean annual Antarctic-wide integrated surface mass balance matches the ensemble mean closest among all ensemble members. Trusel et al. (2013) also compared meltwater fluxes from an older version of RACMO with satellite-derived estimates for the period 1999 to 2009 and found a good spatio-temporal agreement between both.
- 315 The temperature and precipitation fields from RACMO are provided to PISM at a monthly time step in order to resolve the climatological annual cycle and are interpolated from the 27 km RACMO grid to the 8 km PISM grid. Note that we here





treat all monthly input values as piecewise-constant, i.e., both the air temperature and precipitation values from RACMO are assumed to represent the monthly mean that is valid over the entire course of the month, which is in contrast to the default behavior of PISM where air temperature inputs are interpolated between consecutive forcing data points (see Appendix A for more details).

320

To account for the surface-elevation-melt feedback, local surface air temperatures are further downscaled according to changes in the ice surface elevation, assuming a spatially uniform atmospheric temperature lapse rate of  $\Gamma = -8.2 \,\mathrm{K \, km^{-1}}$ . The precipitation field is independent of the evolving ice-sheet geometry. During the model calibration experiments, the icesheet geometry is kept constant and hence the temperature lapse rate does not apply.

#### 325 3.2.2 Ocean thermohaline forcing

At the ice-ocean boundary layer, we use the Potsdam Ice-shelf Cavity mOdel (PICO; Reese et al., 2018) to simulate oceaninduced melting below the ice shelves. PICO extends the box model approach by Olbers and Hellmer (2010) for the use in 3-dimensional ice-sheet models and thus enables the computation of sub-shelf melt rates consistent with the vertical overturning circulation in the ice-shelf cavities under evolving geometric conditions and in a computationally efficient manner. Oceanic

- inputs for PICO are provided by observed fields of ocean temperature and salinity at the sea floor on the continental shelf, based 330 on a data compilation from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 pre-release (Locarnini et al., 2019; Zweng et al., 2019), averaged over 1955 to 2017, and Schmidtko et al. (2014), averaged over the period 1975 to 2012. The specifics of the data compilation are described in more detail in Reese et al. (2020). PICO's two main parameters relate to the strength of the overturning circulation and the vertical heat exchange across the ice-shelf-ocean boundary layer and have values of  $C = 1 \,\mathrm{Sv}\,\mathrm{m}^3\,\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$  and  $\gamma_T = 3 \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ , respectively, which are tuned to yield melt rates that compare well to present-day observations (Reese 335
- et al., 2020).

#### Future warming scenarios 3.3

To estimate the evolution of Antarctic surface melt under warmer than present conditions, PISM-dEBM-simple is forced using a 21<sup>st</sup>-century warming scenario from RACMO2.3p2 driven by CESM2 and following the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway SSP5-8.5 (Riahi et al., 2017) emission scenario. This scenario represents the highest anthropogenic greenhouse gas emission 340 scenario used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and is chosen here to serve as an upper-bound estimate of Antarctic surface melt evolution and resulting ice mass losses under progressing anthropogenic climate change. Note, however, that historical total cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are in close agreement (within 1 % for the period 2005–2020) with the RCP8.5 emission scenario (the equivalent Representative Concentration Pathway to SSP5-8.5 in terms of radiative

forcing) and as of now the RCP8.5 scenario represents the best prediction of mid-century CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels under 345 current and intended policies (Schwalm et al., 2020). Further, recent comparisons of projected and observed ice-sheet losses from Antarctica have shown that the sea-level equivalent mass losses from the Antarctic Ice Sheet closely track the high end of future sea-level rise projections from the IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (Slater and Shepherd, 2018; Slater et al., 2020).





To explore the long-term effects of elevated surface melt on the dynamics of the Antarctic Ice Sheet and to estimate the influence the surface-elevation-melt feedback has on the ice sheet, the SSP5-8.5 simulations are extended beyond the end of the available RACMO climate forcing after the year 2100 assuming a steady late-21<sup>st</sup>-century climate with no further trend. To this aim, the model is forced from 2100 on-wards until the year 3000 with a periodic (1-year) monthly atmospheric climatology which is derived from multi-year monthly averages of the decade 2090–2100. This climatic forcing is then kept unchanged throughout the remainder of the simulations irrespective of ice topography changes, whereas the surface air temperature is still allowed to adapt to changes in the ice surface elevation via the lapse rate effect. By the end of these simulations, the ice sheet can be expected to be sufficiently close to equilibrium with the climatic boundary conditions.

Because the main focus of this paper is on the ice sheet's dynamic behavior and response due to changes in the climatic conditions at the ice surface, the forcing at the ice-ocean boundary is fixed throughout the entire simulations. These results thus do not represent realistic projections of the future evolution of the ice sheet. Instead, they likely underestimate total mass loss owing to the disregard of mass losses from increased sub-shelf melting.

## 360

## 4 Model parameter calibration

(in total  $3^3$  realizations).

In a first step, the three main model tuning parameters of dEBM-simple, namely the uncertain constant coefficients  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  from Eq. (6) and the threshold temperature  $T_{\min}$  below which no melt should occur (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018), are constrained by calibrating the scheme to correctly reproduce historical and present-day spatial and temporal Antarctic melt patterns. Therefore, an ensemble of fixed-geometry historical simulations is run with PISM-dEBM-simple under monthly 1950–2015 atmospheric boundary conditions from RACMO, spanning all possible parameter combinations of  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $T_{\min}$ , using a physically motivated best-guess, a minimum, and a maximum plausible value for each of the parameters, respectively

The optimal parameter set of the calibrated scheme is then selected by scoring the ensemble of historical simulations with respect to RACMO output, taking into account the whole historic period (1950–2015), but also laying a specific focus on the scheme's ability to reproduce present-day melt patterns. As a performance score over the historical period we compute the product of the temporal root-mean-square error of yearly total surface melt and the spatial root-mean-square error of surface melt rates averaged over the melting season (DJF). The performance score for present day is computed from the product of the slope and the *R*-value of a linear regression fit of 2005–2015 mean summer melt rates computed by dEBM-simple with respect

- to RACMO. The final score of an ensemble member is then computed as the product of the normalized two individual scores. The parameter  $c_1$  represents the sensitivity of the melt equation (Eq. (6)) to the temperature difference between the melting surface and near-surface air. As in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018), we define  $c_1 = 3.5 \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} + \hat{\alpha} u$ , accounting for contributions from temperature-dependent longwave radiation and turbulent sensible heat flux, with the latter being linked to surface wind speed u via an exchange coefficient  $\hat{\alpha}$ . We here choose  $\hat{\alpha} = 4 \text{ W s} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}$  in accordance with estimates at low altitudes
- by Braithwaite (2009). Given a RACMO-simulated 1950 to 2015 mean summer median wind speed at 10 m above ground of  $5.1 \pm 1.7 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$  over the lower (< 2,000 m) parts of the ice sheet (Fig. S3), the minimum plausible, best-guess, and maximum





plausible value of  $c_1$  are set to [21.5, 23.5, 25.5] W m<sup>-2</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, which corresponds to wind speeds of [4.5, 5.0, 5.5]  $ms^{-1}$ . Instead of using the full range of one standard deviation around the median value as estimates for the minimum and maximum plausible values, we thereby restrict the plausible parameter range based on initial sensitivity simulations, such that unrealistically high and low melt rates are discarded.

The melt offset parameter  $c_2$  represents the longwave outgoing radiation. It can in principle be derived from local ice and atmospheric characteristics (Eq. (7) in Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018), however, using the value given in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) overestimates surface melt over the ice sheet by at least a factor of two. The plausible range for this parameter is therefore set to [-79, -80, -81] W m<sup>-2</sup>. Assuming a longwave emissivity of ice of  $\epsilon_i = 0.95$ , these values suggest an atmospheric emissivity of about 0.73, which is in agreement with clear-sky values found under very dry air conditions on the

Antarctic Ice Sheet (Busetto et al., 2013).

The plausible range of the melting threshold temperature  $T_{\min}$ , which is used as a background melting condition in the dEBM, is estimated by analyzing historical RACMO surface melt rates with respect to near-surface air temperatures and set to [−10, −11, −12] °C (Fig. S4).

395

405

385

390

All other dEBM-simple model parameters (including the albedo and atmospheric transmissivity parameterizations) are set to their respective default values that are given in Table 1. To isolate the computed melt rates from indirect effects of ice dynamics, such as, for example, melt increases caused by lapse rate-induced surface air temperature changes resulting from dynamic ice-sheet thinning, the ice-sheet geometry is fixed in its present-day configuration. To ensure a consistent comparison, we apply a common ice surface mask for the RACMO and PISM melt fields in all analyses presented here (cf. Hansen et al., 2022). 400

## 5 Results

#### Model validation: Historical and present-day melt rates 5.1

To evaluate the performance of the calibrated surface melt scheme, we here compare the evolution of Antarctic surface melt over the historical period and for the present-day state as modeled by PISM-dEBM-simple with respect to outputs from RACMO2.3p2. For comparative reasons, we also compare dEBM-simple-derived melt rates with melt rates produced using PISM's standard PDD melt scheme. The experimental setup and the calibration procedure are described above in Sect. 4. The

Antarctic-calibrated optimal values for the three main dEBM tuning parameters  $c_1, c_2$ , and  $T_{\min}$  resulting from the performance scoring of the tuning ensemble are given in Table 1.

The evolution of total Antarctic surface melt over the historical period (1950–2015) as computed by the calibrated model 410 setup (Fig. 1) shows that PISM-dEBM-simple is generally able to reproduce the overall magnitudes and temporal patterns of Antarctic surface melt modeled by RACMO2.3p2 for both, yearly and monthly cumulative melt fluxes (Figs. 1a-b). Overall interannual variability and trend in the yearly total surface melt flux are captured by the model and track the historical evolution of surface melt diagnosed by RACMO (Fig. 1a). Considerable deviations in yearly total melt fluxes between dEBM-simple and RACMO output only occur for extreme melt years and are caused mainly by the treatments of albedo and incoming







**Figure 1. Evolution of total Antarctic surface melt over the historical period computed by dEBM-simple and comparison to RACMO and PDD. (a)** Antarctic-wide integrated yearly total surface melt flux (in gigatons per year,  $Gtyr^{-1}$ ) as calculated with PISM-dEBM-simple in the calibrated historical (1950–2015) run (red line). The light gray line shows the yearly melt flux predicted by RACMO2.3p2, and the thin dark gray line the melt predicted using PISM with a standard positive degree-day (PDD) melt scheme. (b) Monthly surface melt flux (in  $Gtyr^{-1}$ ) from dEBM-simple (red line) and RACMO (light gray line). (c) Multi-year monthly averaged annual melt cycle (in  $Gtyr^{-1}$ ) as simulated by dEBM-simple (solid red line), RACMO (solid light gray line), and PDD (solid dark gray line). The dotted lines show the respective differences of melt computed by dEBM-simple and PDD relative to RACMO. (d) Total monthly surface melt fluxes from dEBMsimple and PDD in comparison to RACMO melt fluxes (in  $Gtyr^{-1}$ ) and linear regression fit of the data (colored solid lines). The black line marks the identity line.

415 surface radiation budget in dEBM-simple, which are unable to reproduce the variability of a more complex climate model like RACMO. The temporal root-mean-square error of the annual total surface melt flux computed by dEBM-simple with respect to RACMO is  $15.3 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$  and thus approximately only half of the error produced by the PDD scheme ( $26.9 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$ ; based on default PISM parameter choices).

In comparison to RACMO, dEBM-simple commonly underestimates melting during the first half of the melting season by 420 up to about  $100 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$  and overestimates melting during the months following the annual melt peak in January by a similar amount, as revealed by the multi-year (1950–2015) average seasonal cycle of monthly surface melt fluxes (Fig. 1c). These





deviations could be related to the monthly time step of the climate inputs which hampers the scheme to accurately reproduce onset and end of the annual melt season as well as to missing processes. The same bias occurs for the PDD melt as well, however, it is even more pronounced. In the latter case, the deviations are in parts amplified by the treatment of the monthly mean air temperature inputs, where the approach taken here using piecewise constant temperatures over every full month (see

- 425 mean air temperature inputs, where the approach taken here using piecewise-constant temperatures over every full month (see Sect. 3.2.1) leads to slightly colder temperatures from mid-winter (~July/August) to the peak of the melting season in January, and slightly warmer temperatures during the rest of the year, as compared to the default interpolation approach (for more detail, see also Appendix A). Note that integrated over the full year these deviations mostly cancel out for the dEBM-simple, whereas PDD remains with a slight bias towards higher melt rates.
- 430 Comparing monthly Antarctic-wide integrated surface melt rates from dEBM-simple and the PDD scheme with monthly melt rates diagnosed from RACMO yields a better linear regression fit for the dEBM-simple than for the PDD scheme (Fig. 1d). Both parameterizations show increasing errors with intensifying melt rates, with a positive bias in the lower to medium melt rates regime ( $\leq 200 \,\mathrm{Gt} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ; mainly February melt rates) and a negative bias for the higher melt rates regime ( $\geq 200 \,\mathrm{Gt} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ; mainly December melt rates), however, the error is smaller for the dEBM-simple.
- 435 A comparison of annual total Antarctic surface melt rates for all simulations of the dEBM-simple tuning ensemble with respect to RACMO is given in Fig. S5 and a Taylor diagram summarizing the performance of the individual ensemble members is shown in Fig. S6.

The spatial distribution of calibrated present-day (2005–2015 DJF mean) surface melt rates simulated with PISM-dEBMsimple in the historical calibration run as well as a comparison to the respective melt patterns diagnosed from RACMO is shown in Fig. 2. Over the vast majority of the Antarctic Ice Sheet's interior surface melt is zero or negligible under presentday conditions, while significant surface melt is restricted to a narrow band of low-elevation coastal zones and to the shelves along the margins of the ice sheet north of about 75 °S (Fig. 2a). In these areas, spanning along nearly the entire coastline of East Antarctica as well as along portions of the coast of West Antarctica bordering the Amundsen and Bellingshausen seas, surface melt rates reach values of up to several hundreds of millimeters water equivalent per year (mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>); the 445 most intense surface melt at present occurs in the Antarctic Peninsula region with maximum average melt rates exceeding 1000 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> at the northern margin of the Larsen Ice Shelf and towards the northernmost tip of the peninsula.

Comparing the present-day average surface melt patterns predicted by PISM-dEBM-simple with RACMOv2.3p2 in general yields a considerable agreement between the two (Figs. 2b–c). While overall the dEBM-simple is able to reproduce the localization of melt areas as well as the wide range in surface melt intensities predicted by RACMO, the scheme seems to generally

450 underestimate melt rates in high-intensity melt regions and overestimate melt rates in low-intensity melt regions. The slope of the linear regression fit of grid-point-wise average melt rates from dEBM-simple compared to RACMO is 0.84 (R = 0.51) for the entire Antarctic Ice Sheet and 0.69 (R = 0.52) for the Antarctic Peninsula region (marked by the black square in Fig. 2a), the region with the highest average melt rates. The corresponding linear regression fits for the PDD scheme yield slopes of 0.72 (R = 0.50) for the entire Antarctic Ice Sheet and 0.64 (R = 0.57) for the Antarctic Peninsula region, respectively (Fig. S7).







**Figure 2. Present-day Antarctic surface melt rates computed by dEBM-simple and comparison to RACMO.** (a) Map of Antarctic mean 2005–2015 summer (December–February; DJF) surface melt rates (in millimeters water equivalent per year, mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ), as calculated with PISM-dEBM-simple in the calibrated historical run. Areas with melt rates below numerical significance (<0.001 mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ) are masked. AP, Antarctic Peninsula; FRIS, Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf; LIS, Larsen Ice Shelf. (b) Absolute difference of dEBM-simple minus RACMO-computed surface melt rates (in mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ), averaged over the same period, shown for a zoomed-in section of the Antarctic Peninsula (AP), the region with the highest average melt rates, indicated by the black square in panel (a). (c) Scatter plot of dEBM-simple versus RACMO-computed summer surface melt rates (in mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$ ) and linear regression fits of the data (colored solid lines). Purple data points correspond to the whole Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS), orange data points to the zoomed-in section shown in panel (b). The black line marks the identity line.

## 455 5.2 Projected 21st-century surface melt evolution under SSP5-8.5 warming

The calibrated PISM-dEBM-simple is now used to run prognostic simulations in order to explore the evolution of Antarctic surface melt in the 21<sup>st</sup> century and its impact on the surface mass balance of the ice sheet under warmer than present atmospheric conditions. The atmospheric boundary forcing for the melt scheme is hereby given by RACMO2.3p2 using an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario. More details regarding the used scenario are given in Sect. 3.3; the experimental setup is described in Sect. 3.2.

In contrast to the model calibration runs presented in Sect. 4, the geometry and dynamics of the ice sheet are now allowed to

evolve freely, i.e., the surface-elevation-melt feedback is now accounted for in addition to the melt-albedo feedback.

460







Figure 3.  $21^{st}$ -century evolution of Antarctic surface conditions as predicted by dEBM-simple following the SSP5-8.5 scenario. (a) Annual Antarctic-wide integrated surface mass balance components (in  $\operatorname{Gtyr}^{-1}$ ) diagnosed by dEBM-simple using atmospheric boundary forcing from RACMO and assuming an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario. Note that for surface melt and runoff, positive values denote mass losses. (b–d) Annual mean dEBM-simple surface melt (in mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>), surface albedo (unitless), and local climatic surface mass balance (in kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; note that 1 kg m<sup>-2</sup> = 1 mm w.e.) in 2100.

465

from the ice-sheet surface are overcompensated by the increase in accumulation (snowfall), resulting in a 40 % increase of net surface mass balance rates by the end of the century compared to present day, with an average rate of increase of more than  $100 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$  per decade (Fig. 3a). However, while the surface mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet at present is almost entirely determined by the amount of snowfall and surface meltwater runoff is negligible (~ 2 % of the annual accumulation rates in terms of absolute magnitude), the abating impact of meltwater runoff on the surface mass balance grows to nearly

Despite increasing trends in integrated surface melt and meltwater runoff over the course of the simulation, net mass losses





**Table 2.** Comparison of Antarctic-wide integrated surface mass balance components and respective standard deviations (in  $Gtyr^{-1}$ ) as simulated by PISM-dEBM-simple in the calibrated reference configuration, PISM using a standard PDD scheme, the regional climate model RACMO2.3p2, and estimates based on Trusel et al. (2015) (T15), for present-day and end-of-century surface conditions assuming an SSP5-8.5 scenario. In the case of PISM, the surface mass balance (SMB) is given by the difference of accumulation and runoff.

	SMB	Accumulation	Melt	Runoff
Present day (2005–2015 mean)				
dEBM-simple	$2,598 \pm 110$	$2,644 \pm 110$	$92\pm11$	$46\pm5$
PDD	$2,589 \pm 107$	$2,644\pm110$	$111\pm11$	$56\pm5$
RACMO2.3p2	$2,352\pm104$	$2,649 \pm 110$	$81\pm15$	$5\pm 2$
T15 (observations)			$88\pm26$	
SSP5-8.5 (2090–2100 mean)				
dEBM-simple	$3,559 \pm 113$	$3,910 \pm 111$	$702\pm65$	$351\pm33$
PDD	$3,427\pm118$	$3,902 \pm 111$	$950 \pm 122$	$475\pm61$
RACMO2.3p2	$3,191\pm111$	$3,991 \pm 113$	$993 \pm 126$	$222\pm27$
T15 (GCM ensemble)			$613\pm258$	

10 % by the end of the century. Antarctic-wide cumulative surface melt volume and meltwater runoff both increase nearly 8-fold from about 92 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and 46 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, at present (2005–2015 mean) to about 702 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and 351 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>,
470 respectively, by the end of the century (2090–2100 mean) (Table 2).

Compared to present day (Fig. 2a), the ice-sheet areas experiencing non-negligible surface melt in 2100 extend to higher surface elevations (up to almost 3,000 m, compared to about 2,000 m at present; see Fig. S8) and higher latitudes, with some melt on the order of several centimeters per year occurring even south of 85 °S, marking the southernmost tip of a broad melt swath stretching the ice front and eastern margin of Ross Ice Shelf alongside the Transantarctic Mountains (Fig. 3b). In 2100,
significant melt (>100 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) is found on almost all ice shelves around the coast of Antarctica, including Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf, all shelves along Queen Maud Land, the Amery Ice Shelf, shelves along Wilkes Land, all West Antarctic ice shelves bordering the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas, as well as the entirety of the Antarctic Peninsula below about 2,000 m surface elevation, with the only exception of some of the inner parts of Filchner and Ross ice shelves. The greatest increase in annual surface melt (>1000 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) by the year 2100 is found around the northern tip and along the western

480

coast of the Antarctic Peninsula, including Alexander Island.

Through the melt–albedo feedback (Eq. (8)), the surface albedo decreases in the melt areas along the ice-sheet margins from its initial value (Fig. 3c). In high-intensity melt regions – mostly on the low-lying ice shelves in East Antarctica, the Amundsen Sea Embayment sector in West Antarctica, and on the Antarctic Peninsula – albedo values reduce by up to 0.10 from the maximal value  $\alpha_{max} = 0.86$  that is used in the albedo parameterization as a start value for the simulations. Albedo values





485 below about 0.60 (corresponding to open firm or glacier ice) occur only in some scattered and small locations at the Antarctic Peninsula north of the Antarctic Circle ( $\approx 66^{\circ}$ S), which experience melt rates above 1000 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>.

By the end of the century, the elevated surface melt shows a substantial influence on the climatic surface mass balance. While at present the annual climatic surface mass balance is positive across the entire ice sheet, meaning the surface gains more mass from snowfall than it loses by meltwater runoff, in 2100 the ablation areas, i.e., regions that experience a negative

- 490 annual surface mass balance, extend along almost the entire Antarctic coastline as well as on large parts of the Amery and Ronne ice shelves, where intensifying surface melt outpaces enhanced mass gains from snowfall (Fig. 3d). Negative surface mass balance in the ice sheet's interior can also be found in the swath of enhanced melt along the eastern margin of Ross Ice Shelf, extending to about 85 °S. The rest of the ice sheet's interior still exhibits net positive climatic surface mass balance rates in 2100. With respect to present day, the largest positive changes (i.e., net gain in surface mass balance) in 2100 occur
- 495 at the higher elevations of the Antarctic Peninsula, Ellsworth Land (West Antarctica), and mountainous regions upstream of Riiser-Larsen Ice Shelf in East Antarctica (gains of more than about  $1000 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; note that  $1 \text{ kg m}^{-2} = 1 \text{ mm w.e.}$ ). The largest negative changes (i.e., net reduction in surface mass balance) occur along the coasts of the Antarctic Peninsula and Enderby Land, East Antarctica (reductions of more than about  $3000 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ).
- In comparison to RACMO, the reference configuration of PISM-dEBM-simple predicts nearly 30 % less cumulative surface melt in 2090–2100 (Table 2). This deviation is largely due to the underestimation of locally confined high-intensity melt by dEBM-simple with respect to RACMO. Being mostly restricted to the northern-most parts of the Antarctic Peninsula, these areas, however, play a minor role in the overall dynamical stability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. The lower- to mediumintensity melt regimes, responsible for the surface melt over the vast bulk of the ice sheet, still show a reasonable fit between dEBM-simple and RACMO (Fig. S9; see also Sect. 5.3), suggesting that other ablation processes that are not accounted for
- 505 in the dEBM approach but are included in RACMO might become more relevant under these high-intensity melt regimes. While dEBM-simple could in principle be tuned in a way to show a better fit in the high-intensity melt regime with respect to RACMO, doing so would contravene the very nature of the scheme, which bases on the assumption of continental-wide spatially uniform parameters.

The dEBM allows us to partition the relative importance of air temperatures and solar insolation as the drivers of ice sheet surface melt (see Sect. 2.2.2). Where the total surface melt flux is positive, we can approximate the relative importance of temperature-dependent melt in the total melt flux by computing the ratio of the melt contribution caused by the air temperature,  $M_{\text{temp}} \propto c_1 T_{\text{eff}}$ , given by the second term of Eq. (6), and the sum of the contributions caused by air temperature and incoming solar radiation,  $M_{\text{insol}} \propto (1 - \alpha)\tau SW_{\Phi}$ , given by the first term of Eq. (6):  $\mu_{\text{temp}} := M_{\text{temp}}/(M_{\text{temp}} + M_{\text{insol}})$ . Note that due to the (negative) melt offset,  $M_{\text{off}} \propto c_2$  (third term in Eq. (6)), which represents the outgoing longwave radiation flux, the

radiation-driven component of the dEBM would in theory only result in a positive contribution to the total melt flux, if the sum  $M_{\rm insol} + M_{\rm off} > 0$ . However, since  $M_{\rm off}$  is mostly constant if the surface is near the melting point (Fig. S10) and independent of changes in insolation or air temperature and thus independent of the climate scenario, the above definition constitutes a useful approximation for all areas exhibiting a positive total melt flux.







Figure 4. Relative importance of temperature-dependent melt in total surface melt. Ratio of annual average temperature-driven melt and the sum of temperature- and insolation-driven melt contributions ( $\mu_{temp} = M_{temp}/(M_{temp} + M_{insol})$ ; in percent) as an approximation of the relative importance of temperature-dependent melt to total melt, shown for the years 2015 (a) and 2100, assuming an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario (b). Areas where annual average total surface melt is zero are hatched.

520

The change in the relative importance of temperature- vs. insolation-driven melt,  $\mu_{temp}$ , from present day to 2100 derived from the SSP5-8.5 simulations is depicted in Fig. 4. On average, incoming solar shortwave radiation is the dominant driver of ice surface melt over the whole Antarctic Ice Sheet, both under present-day and under warmer end-of-century climate conditions. At present, the annual average relative share of temperature-driven melt  $\mu_{temp}$  is comparatively small, ranging between almost zero and about 10 % in the ice sheet areas that experience non-negligible surface melting, with higher values only occurring in small places at the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula north of about 65 °S (Fig. 4a). By the end of the century, both the

- temperature-driven melt contribution  $M_{\text{temp}}$  and the insolation-driven melt contribution  $M_{\text{insol}}$  have increased substantially; in high-intensity melt areas with significantly lower ice albedo values, like, for example, the Larsen Ice Shelf or Alexander Island,  $M_{\text{insol}}$  increases by some 10 to 30 %, while  $M_{\text{temp}}$  increases by about 100 to 300 % and above, an order of magnitude more. As a result, the average annual share of temperature-driven melt  $\mu_{\text{temp}}$  increases to about 15 to 25 % in high-intensity melt areas along the ice sheet margins by the year 2100 (Fig. 4b). Even in 2100, an average annual peak share of temperature-driven
- 530 melt of more than 50 % is only exceeded in small regions around the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, where monthly mean temperatures reach as high as few degrees above the melting point. On the other side, over extensive areas in cold and high-altitude regions along the eastern margin of East Antarctica, surface melting is driven almost entirely by solar insolation, provided that monthly mean air temperatures exceed the threshold temperature  $T_{min} = -10$  °C below which any melt is suppressed.





## 5.3 Uncertainty estimation of predicted 21<sup>st</sup>-century surface melt

The model results presented in the above sections were obtained using a reference set of calibrated dEBM-simple model parameters that provide the best fit to historical and present-day melt rates from RACMO2.3p2. However, the predicted evolution of surface melt rates over this century as diagnosed by dEBM-simple is subject to uncertainties related to poorly confined model parameters. In addition to the three main dEBM-simple tuning parameters (c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>min</sub>; see Sect. 4), the parameterizations of the surface albedo and the atmospheric transmissivity within dEBM-simple each contain two more uncertain parameters (a<sub>α</sub>, b<sub>α</sub> and a<sub>τ</sub>, b<sub>τ</sub>; see Sects. 2.2.3 and 2.2.4, respectively).

To check the robustness of the predicted surface melt evolution in the SSP5-8.5 simulations with regard to uncertain model parameter choices, we run an ensemble of model simulations in which we account for deviations of those parameters from their respective default values. The model ensemble consists of 40 simulations sampling various combinations of different parameter values.

- For the three main model tuning parameters  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and the threshold temperature for melt  $T_{\min}$ , we adopt the same values that were used for the calibration (Sect. 4), which we cross-combine in the ensemble. To estimate the uncertainty from the albedo parameterization, we adopt values for the intercept  $a_{\alpha}$  (which is identical to the maximum albedo  $\alpha_{\max}$ ) and the slope  $b_{\alpha}$  that are obtained from linear regression fits of 2085 to 2100 multi-year mean monthly RACMO2.3p2 data averaged over the austral summer months December, January, and February, respectively, following the SSP5-8.5 warming scenario (Fig. S2). The values
- adopted for the intercept  $a_{\alpha}$  are [0.85, 0.86, 0.87, 0.88] and for the slope  $b_{\alpha}$  are [-1082.0, -740.4, -500.3] (kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>. Since intercept and slope of the fits are not independent of each other, we combine the two lower albedo intercepts (0.85, 0.86) only with less-steep slopes (-740.4 and -500.3 (kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>), the higher albedo intercept (0.87) only with steeper slopes (-1082.0 and -740.4 (kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>), and the highest intercept (0.88) only with the steepest slope (-1082.0 (kg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3).
- In a similar fashion, we estimate the uncertainty related to the atmospheric transmissivity parameterization by varying the values of the parameters  $a_{\tau}$  and  $b_{\tau}$  on the basis of linear regression fits of 1950 to 2015 multi-year mean monthly RACMO2.3p2 data averaged over the months with the highest monthly TOA insolation December, December, and January, respectively (Fig. S1). The values adopted for the intercept  $a_{\tau}$  are [0.68, 0.70, 0.72] and for the slope  $b_{\tau}$  are [3.3, 3.6, 3.9]  $10^{-5}$  m<sup>-1</sup>. Due to limitations in computational capacity we combine the varied parameters from the albedo and transmissivity parameterizations 560 only with the reference set of the main dEBM parameters, instead of cross-combining all possible combinations.

The maximal uncertainty spread of modeled annual total surface melt resulting from the parameter variations in the model sensitivity ensemble increases over the 21<sup>st</sup> century from about 170 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (2015–2025 average) to about 420 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (2090– 2100 average; Fig. 5). The total uncertainty spread is thereby dominated by the uncertainty due to the albedo parameterization, which increases surface melt sensitivity to incoming shortwave radiation via both lower maximal albedo values  $\alpha_{max}$  and stronger albedo sensitivities, i.e., steeper slopes  $b_{\alpha}$  in the albedo parameterization (Eq. (8)). The uncertainty spreads related to the main dEBM-simple parameters and the transmissivity parameterization both are only about half of that (~ 75 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and ~ 225 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The upper end of the total uncertainty spread is dominated by a slightly lower maximal albedo of





**Table 3.** Parameter value combinations of intercept  $a_{\alpha}$  and slope  $b_{\alpha}$  of the albedo parameterization of dEBM-simple used in the model sensitivity ensemble. The bold symbol marks the reference parameter combination.

Intercept	<b>Slope</b> $b_{\alpha} ([\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]^{-1})$			
$a_{\alpha}(-)$	-1082.0	-740.4	-500.3	
0.85		Х	Х	
0.86		X	Х	
0.87	Х	Х		
0.88	Х			



Figure 5. Evolution of total Antarctic surface melt under SSP5-8.5 according to dEBM-simple and related model uncertainty spread. Antarctic-wide integrated annual total surface melt flux (in  $\operatorname{Gtyr}^{-1}$ ) as predicted by PISM-dEBM-simple using the reference parameter configuration under boundary forcing from RACMO2.3p2 and assuming an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario (red line). Red shading shows the model ensemble spread related to uncertainty of the three main dEBM tuning parameters ( $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $T_{\min}$ ), blue shading denotes the uncertainty spread related to the transmissivity parameterization ( $a_{\tau}$ ,  $b_{\tau}$ ), and purple shading the uncertainty spread related to the albedo parameterization ( $a_{\alpha}$ ,  $b_{\alpha}$ ). Right panel: mean annual surface melt (reference model parameters; red lines) and respective uncertainty spreads of the three contributions at indicated decades, as well as comparison to estimates from Trusel et al. (2015) (T15; light gray), PISM using a standard PDD scheme (dark gray), and RACMO2.3p2 (light gray). In the latter three cases, the uncertainty spread is given by the standard deviation.





 $\alpha_{\rm max} = 0.85$  (under otherwise default model parameters) and a steeper slope of  $b_{\alpha} = -1082.0 \, ({\rm kg \, m^{-2} \, s^{-1}})^{-1}$  (in combination with  $\alpha_{\rm max} = 0.87$  and otherwise default model parameters) towards the end of the century. The lower end of the total uncer-570 tainty spread is dominated by the slightly higher maximal albedo values  $\alpha_{max} = 0.87$  and 0.88 (even in combination with steep albedo slopes) until around the middle of the century and a combination of  $c_1 = 21.5 \,\mathrm{W \, m^{-2} \, K^{-1}}$  and  $c_2 = -81.0 \,\mathrm{W \, m^{-2}}$  (i.e., a lower surface melt sensitivity to rising air temperatures) thereafter. Variations in the parameters from the transmissivity parameterization (Eq. (7)) result in deviations of modeled end-of-century surface melt up to about  $\pm 15\%$  relative to the reference simulation. The influence of variations in  $T_{\min}$  is only minor compared to that of the other parameters.

The maximal uncertainty range of modeled annual total surface melt of about 530 to  $950 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$  projected for the decade 575 2090 to 2100 with PISM-dEBM-simple largely overlaps with the possible range of Antarctic surface melt volumes estimated in an earlier study by Trusel et al. (2015) based on a model ensemble of five selected global climate models from the CMIP5 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5) ensemble under RCP8.5 (about 360 to  $870 \,\mathrm{Gt\,yr^{-1}}$  for 2091–2100), while the reference configuration of PISM-dEBM-simple predicts  $\sim 15$  % more melt for that decade than the Trusel et al. (2015) model ensemble (decadal mean values are 702 and  $613 \,\mathrm{Gt} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ , respectively; Fig. 5). 580

#### 5.4 Long-term impacts of enhanced surface melting on ice-sheet dynamics

Due to the long response time and large inertia of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, the impact of increased surface melting on the dynamics of the ice sheet has not played out in full by the end of the simulations in 2100. Furthermore, feedback mechanisms that potentially amplify those changes – especially the surface-elevation-melt feedback – operate on longer timescales (~several centuries to millennia), leading to a time lag between cause and effect on the order of multiple centuries. To investigate the 585 long-term effects of elevated end-of-century surface melting on the ice sheet dynamics, we extend the SSP5-8.5 simulations beyond the end of the available RACMO forcing after the year 2100 under fixed end-of-century (2090–2100 monthly mean) climate conditions until the year 3000 (see Sect. 3.3 for further details). By this time, the ice sheet has reached a state close to equilibrium with the atmospheric boundary conditions.

- 590 The long-term dynamical and topographical changes that the Antarctic Ice Sheet is committed to under the intensified surface melt at the end of the century even without any further warming are strong (Fig. 6). While the ice-sheet surface elevation changes in 2100 compared to 2015 (both positive and negative) range between a few meters in the ice sheet's interior to several tens of meters in regions nearer to the coast, surface elevation changes in the year 3000 have increased by about one order of magnitude in both directions (Figs. 6a-b). Regions experiencing positive elevation change (i.e., thickening) caused
- by enhanced snowfall are, e.g., Queen Maud Land / East Antarctica, Ellsworth Land / West Antarctica, and Palmer Land / 595 Antarctic Peninsula, whereas regions with negative elevation change (i.e., thinning) are, e.g., Wilkes Land / East Antarctica and Marie Byrd Land / West Antarctica. The strongest reductions in surface elevation are found on ice streams along the West Antarctic Siple and Gould coasts draining into Ross Ice Shelf (up to about 300 to 400 m of dynamic thinning) as well as the East Antarctic catchment basins of Totten Glacier (up to about 600 m) and glaciers draining George V Coast (up to about
- 800 m thinning). 600







Figure 6. Long-term dynamical changes in Antarctica resulting from enhanced surface melting. Difference in ice-sheet surface elevation compared to present day (year 2015; in m) modeled with PISM-dEBM-simple under SSP5-8.5 forcing from RACMO2.3p2 (a) in 2100 and (b) under sustained end-of-century (2090–2100 monthly mean) climate conditions in the year 3000. (c–d) Same as panels (a–b), but for ice surface velocity (in  $m yr^{-1}$ ).

The latter two regions in East Antarctica have recently raised increasing concern as the ice in these drainage basins rests on deep, inland-sloping bedrock submerged well below sea level, rendering them susceptible to unstable and potentially irreversible marine ice-sheet collapse (Mengel and Levermann, 2014; Sun et al., 2016; Pelle et al., 2021). Long-term model simulations have shown that both catchment basins are particularly sensitive to warmer air temperatures, while being relatively





605 inert with respect to ocean warming alone (Golledge et al., 2017). In contrast, glaciers in the Amundsen Sea Embayment region of West Antarctica are much more sensitive to ocean forcing and show only little response to atmospheric warming alone. The substantial differences between the century- and millennium-scale response of the ice-sheet surface elevation, even in

the absence of further warming, point to the vital role of the surface-elevation–melt feedback: while the initial surface lowering is caused by intensified surface melt and meltwater runoff, the feedback cycle between surface altitude and melt commits the
ice to self-sustained thinning and inland retreat, independent of the climatic forcing.

The changes in ice surface velocities associated with these surface elevation changes are illustrated in Figs. 6c–d. Apart from a few exceptions, the vast majority of the Antarctic ice shelves experience a significant speed-up in ice flow in response to surface melt intensification and subsequent thinning already by 2100, with further acceleration by the year 3000. This leads to a slight acceleration of upstream ice over most of the ice sheet, which propagates inland for hundreds of kilometers via

615 the tributary glacier systems. In the year 3000, accelerated ice surface flow speeds are found almost over the entire ice sheet, stretching far inland. Note that most of the thinning results from accelerated ice flow and discharge into the ocean, whereas the climatic surface mass balance is often still positive over regions with decreasing surface elevations. Importantly, the observed dynamical thinning and acceleration of the ice sheet happens despite an overall positive surface mass balance.

# 6 Discussion

- 620 In this work we describe the application of the newly developed intermediate-complexity surface melt model dEBM-simple in an Antarctic Ice Sheet configuration. The dEBM-simple is a slightly modified version of the "simple" diurnal Energy Balance Model recently introduced by Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) that has been adopted by Zeitz et al. (2021) to serve as full-fledged surface mass balance module in the open-source thermo-mechanically coupled Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-dEBM-simple). The implementation of the dEBM-simple in PISM including the adopted modifications with respect to the model formulation given in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) as well as its calibration and validation for the Greenland Ice Sheet are described in more
- 625 given in Krebs-Kanzow et a detail in Zeitz et al. (2021).

In the current study we calibrate the module to correctly reproduce historical and present-day Antarctic melt rate patterns using the state-of-the-art regional atmospheric climate model RACMO2.3p2 to then investigate the range of possible trajectories of Antarctic surface melt over the 21<sup>st</sup> century under an SSP5-8.5 warming scenario. In a last step, we explore the long-term impacts of sustained elevated surface melt on the Antarctic Ice Sheet's topography and dynamics by extending the simulations

630

impacts of sustained elevated surface melt on the Antarctic Ice Sheet's topography and dynamics by extending the simulations until the year 3000 assuming fixed boundary conditions. The dEBM-simple calculates ice-sheet surface melt on the basis of the surface energy balance of the daily melt period and

simulates insolation- and temperature-driven surface melting from changes in surface albedo and seasonal as well as latitudinal variations of the daily insolation cycle. As such, it is more physically constrained than even simpler empirical temperature-index
schemes such as the positive degree-day (PDD) method, which are still widely used in long-term ice sheet model experiments to compute surface melt rates in centennial- to millennial-scale continental simulations.



640

655

660



Furthermore, due to its high computational efficiency, dEBM-simple can be used to replace less confined temperature-index based surface melt schemes such as the PDD method in ice-sheet model simulations. The dEBM-simple only takes monthly mean forcing inputs, yet implicitly accounts for the diurnal cycle of shortwave radiation and insolation-driven surface melt. By using efficient parameterizations for incoming TOA shortwave radiation, atmospheric transmissivity, and ice surface albedo, the number of required inputs can be kept at a minimum. In addition to monthly mean surface air temperature fields, the implementation of the dEBM-simple in PISM only requires monthly mean precipitation fields as inputs in order to close the climatic surface mass balance in standalone ice-sheet model simulation runs. Thereby, precipitation is passed unaltered through

Overall, the dEBM-simple is capable of reproducing Antarctic historical and present-day surface melt rates with regard to spatial as well as temporal patterns considerably well, including interannual variability and trends. Without compromising on computational efficiency, the scheme performs better than the empirical PDD method in various respects under the same atmospheric climate boundary conditions. Compared to a standard PDD configuration, dEBM-simple shows smaller errors in simulated total monthly and annual surface melt volume over the historical period, a better spatial representation of present day and end-of-century melt patterns and melt area extent over the entire ice sheet as well as over the Antarctic Peninsula in

the scheme, whereas the respective shares of snowfall and rain are determined based on local air temperatures.<sup>2</sup>

particular, and a better representation of the average yearly melt cycle.

In the following, we address some model limitations and discuss their influence on the presented findings. Being a simple model, dEBM-simple does not resolve the spatial and temporal patterns of historic and present-day surface melt over the Antarctic Ice Sheet in full detail. Nevertheless, with well-calibrated model parameters the scheme is able to reproduce historic and present-day melt rates considerably well, justifying its application for future ice-sheet projections.

In comparison to the more complex regional climate model RACMO2.3p2, the dEBM-simple in general slightly underestimates melt rates in high-intensity melt regions and during the first half of the melting season (mainly December), while slightly overestimating melt rates in low-intensity melt regions and during the months following the annual melt peak (mainly February). This bias is likely related to the use of spatially uniform and temporally invariant parameters as well as phenomenologically based linear relationships to parameterize the melt–albedo feedback and the atmospheric transmissivity.

In particular, the assumption of a first-order linear dependence of ice albedo on surface melt rates is only a rough representation of the numerous factors and processes that influence ice and snow albedo, such as snow grain size, impurities (dust/soot content, debris cover), surface water aggregation and supraglacial melt ponds, solar zenith angle, and cloud cover (Gardner and Sharp, 2010). Especially the changes in snow grain sizes, e.g., due to snow aging, are an important factor that is neglected in the

665 model but plays a major role for the albedo. While snow aging generally leads to a reduction in albedo, and its neglect should therefore in principle lead to an underestimation of melt rates at the end of the melt season, there are important processes that act in the opposite direction: a major caveat of the scheme is that it neglects the influence of changes in snow cover thickness that could mitigate the melt-induced reduction in albedo after heavy snowfall events or inhibit the melt–albedo feedback (Picard et al., 2012; Jakobs et al., 2021). However, on the long timescales considered here individual snowfall events are likely to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that for shorter-term applications, where appropriate forcing from regional climate models is available, dEBM-simple is also capable of reading in time-dependent albedo fields as an input.





- 670 only play a minor role as compared to the mean surface conditions. Further, while we here focus on the long-term evolution of the ice sheet and thus deliberately chose to employ the albedo parameterization, we should point out that this shortcoming could be easily resolved by reading in albedo fields from more sophisticated process-based snowpack models, provided that reliable data are available for the time period of interest.
- Similar to the albedo approach, the parameterization of the transmissivity of the atmosphere bases on the assumption of time-invariant and spatially uniform parameters and thus does not account for spatial or temporal variability in cloud cover. While the polar atmosphere over Antarctica is currently in general clean and dry and reasonably thin with only low cloud cover over the ice sheet, the assumption of constant parameters poses quite a strong constraint under future warmer conditions (see, e.g., Kittel et al., 2022), for which the "full" dEBM scheme (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2021), which features a variable cloud cover, might be more appropriate.
- Using only one set of constant model parameters that are applied uniformly over the entire model domain in time and space (in our case, e.g.,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $\sigma_{PDD}$ ,  $\Phi$ ) might further cause systematic biases in comparison to a process-based model such as RACMO by ignoring topography-dependent regional patterns and seasonal variability. As an example, the standard deviation of daily temperatures  $\sigma_{PDD}$  has been shown to exhibit high spatial and seasonal variability that might introduce significant discrepancies in surface mass balance computations (e.g., Seguinot, 2013; Rogozhina and Rau, 2014). Similarly, the value for
- the minimum solar elevation angle  $\Phi$  that is used here is adopted from Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018) and roughly estimated based on typical present-day summer insolation and snow albedo values, an assumption that might not be valid in future warmer climates and that is improved in the "full" dEBM scheme (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2021) by computing  $\Phi$  explicitly based on local atmospheric conditions; for a more detailed discussion and sensitivity analysis of this parameter, see Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2018). The dEBM-simple parameters  $c_1$  and  $T_{min}$ , governing the temperature-dependency of melt, in general
- 690 favor more intense melt with higher absolute values, whereas  $c_2$ , related to longwave outgoing radiation, has a mitigating effect for higher absolute values. However, the influence of these main dEBM-simple parameters is less strong than that of the albedo and transmissivity parameterizations.

The spatiotemporally constant refreezing factors for snow and ice used in our model ( $\theta_s$  and  $\theta_i$ , respectively), which regulate how much meltwater runs off the ice sheet and thus directly affect surface mass losses and changes in ice-sheet elevation, add another source of uncertainty that is particularly relevant for the long-term dynamical evolution of the ice sheet. As refreezing is highly variable both spatially and temporally (Wessem et al., 2018), the assumption of constant uniform values provide only a coarse representation of this effect that could be further constrained by applying a refreezing parameterization that is either temperature-dependent or based on negative net surface energy flux, as done, for example, in Krebs-Kanzow et al. (2021) or Krapp et al. (2017).

Finally, surface ablation contributions resulting from sublimation and evaporation are so far not considered in the present model setup. While evaporation might likely be negligible in comparison to the other ablation processes (Lenaerts et al., 2019), sublimation under high-wind and dry atmospheric conditions as found, for example, in the escarpment zones, on low-lying blue ice areas and ice shelves, or even parts of the ice-sheet interior where strong katabatic winds prevail (Lenaerts et al., 2019; Das et al., 2013), can be a considerable factor in the surface mass balance that could be improved in future work.





## 705 7 Summary and conclusions

The novel ice-sheet surface melt scheme of intermediate complexity dEBM-simple – a slightly modified version of the "simple" diurnal Energy Balance Model (Krebs-Kanzow et al., 2018) that has recently been implemented by Zeitz et al. (2021) as a surface mass balance module in the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) for application on the Greenland Ice Sheet – has been applied in an Antarctic Ice Sheet configuration to evaluate the possible range of future surface melt trajectories in Antarctica

- 710 under a strong global warming scenario as well as their impact on long-term ice-sheet dynamics. The dEBM-simple is a fast and computationally inexpensive model and specifically developed for the use in long-term (millennial-scale) standalone prognostic ice-sheet model simulations or model ensembles in both paleo and deep-future applications. The physically based model improves upon conventional and empirically based temperature-index schemes (such as the positive degree-day, PDD) by accounting for the daily energy cycle at the ice surface on the basis of orbital configuration, latitude, and season, despite requiring
- 715 only monthly inputs of 2D near-surface air temperatures as boundary forcing. Due to simple but efficient parameterizations for incoming shortwave solar radiation and ice albedo changes, it explicitly includes insolation-driven melt and is able to account for the positive melt–albedo feedback. Thus, it fills the gap between sophisticated regional climate models coupled with multi-layer snowpack models that feature physics-based process detail but come at the cost of high computational expenses, and empirical temperature-index schemes that are fast enough for glacial-cycle timescales but do not account for small-scale processes at the ice–atmosphere interface, potentially neglecting important feedbacks.

In this work, we have calibrated PISM-dEBM-simple for Antarctica using a model ensemble and historical (1950–2015) atmospheric forcing from the regional atmospheric climate model RACMO2.3p2. We have shown that the calibrated model is able to reproduce historical Antarctic melt rates from RACMO in terms of interannual variability, trend, and spatial patterns considerably well, which justifies its application in future ice-sheet projections. In idealized 21<sup>st</sup>-century (2015–2100) warming

- 725 simulations under a RACMO-forced SSP5-8.5 atmospheric warming scenario, we have used dEBM-simple in a second model ensemble to explore the range of possible future surface melt trajectories, specifically focusing on the model's sensitivity to parameter choices. By partitioning temperature- and insolation-driven surface melt, the dEBM-simple approach is able to reveal a significant increase of the relative share of temperature-induced melting in total surface melt over the course of the century. Finally, we have investigated the long-term consequences of enhanced surface melting on the dynamics of the Antarctic Ice
- 730 Sheet by extending the SSP5-8.5 simulations beyond 2100 under fixed end-of-century atmospheric conditions. Our findings reveal a considerable acceleration in ice flow speeds combined with a reduction in surface elevation on the order of several hundreds of meters in sensitive marine ice-sheet regions that are vulnerable to ocean-driven ice-sheet retreat, highlighting the critical role of self-accelerating ice-sheet–atmosphere feedbacks on future mass losses and sea-level contribution from the Antarctic Ice Sheet on centennial to millennial timescales.

## 735 Appendix A: Impacts of temperature forcing treatment on PDD-derived melt

Comparing the evolution of total Antarctic surface melt as calculated with the standard PDD method in PISM during the historic period (1950–2015) to the melt rates modeled by RACMO2.3p2 reveals a systematic bias of the PDD model towards





higher melt rates that is most clearly visible in the timeseries of yearly total surface melt (Fig. 1a) and in the multi-year average monthly melt cycle towards the end of the melting season (Fig. 1c). This overestimation is mainly caused by the high
temperature sensitivity of the PDD model and is amplified by our treatment of the monthly mean temperature inputs at the ice-atmosphere interface. Because the PDD model is tuned in a way that all surface melt is caused by temperatures only – which is in contrast to in situ observations showing that in the cold Antarctic climate, insolation is usually the predominant energy source for melt at the surface (Jonsell et al., 2012; King et al., 2015; Broeke et al., 2005b; Jakobs et al., 2020, 2021; cf. also Fig. 4a) – default PDD melt factors (see Table S1) likely overestimate the melt sensitivity to temperature under significantly warmer climate regimes. While this bias might go unnoticed when using yearly average temperature inputs to drive the PDD model, our treatment of monthly temperature inputs that are assumed piecewise-constant over every full month (see Sect. 3.2.1) leads to an on average warmer melting season during austral summer. In PISM's default configuration, temperature forcing is linearly interpolated in time between consecutive data points (since release v1.2; The PISM Authors, 2020), which are usually assumed to be at a yearly resolution. While this interpolation is meant to smooth out unwanted jumps in the temperature forcing

750 when using yearly inputs, it attenuates the annual climatological cycle when using monthly data: on average, in Antarctica this approach leads to the first half of the year (January–July) being too cold and the rest of the year (August–December) being too warm, resulting in a net-negative impact on total annual melt volume, since most intense melt usually occurs in January. The approach taken here to treat all monthly input values as piecewise-constant aims to correct the aforementioned bias in the annual temperature cycle to be more consistent with RACMO's climatology. While being physically more correct with

755 regard to the provided climate forcing data, the adjustment in general leads to slightly colder temperatures from mid-winter (~July/August) to the peak of the melting season in January, and slightly warmer temperatures thereafter, as compared to the default interpolation approach. In effect, melt rates during the first half of the melting season are commonly slightly underestimated by the temperature-sensitive PDD scheme and overestimated during the months following the annual melt peak, resulting in a net-positive bias of total melt volume when integrated over the full year.

760 Code and data availability. The source code of PISM is publicly available on GitHub via https://www.pism.io (last access: 1 December 2022). A maintained version of the dEBM-simple source code is openly available at https://github.com/mariazeitz/pism/tree/pik/dEBM\_dev (last access: 1 December 2022). The PISM-dEBM-simple code version that was used for the experiments in this study will be made publicly available through GitHub upon publication of the final paper; an archived version will be hosted on Zenodo with DOI reference. PISM input data were preprocessed using https://github.com/pism/pism-ais (last access: 1 December 2022) with original data citations. Gridded model output, initial conditions, scripts to process the forcing data, and scripts that were used to run the experiments on the high-performance computer system can be obtained from the corresponding author upon request. The Python code to perform the analysis and produce the

figures can be shared upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

*Author contributions.* R.W. and U.K.-K. conceived the idea for this work. R.W. acquired the funding. U.K.-K. developed the original dEBM. M.Z. implemented dEBM-simple in PISM, helped with the Antarctic model setup, and assisted the model evaluation. J.G. and R.W. developed





the experimental design of the study. J.G. processed the forcing data, set up the model, ran the model simulations, performed the data analysis, produced the figures, and wrote the original manuscript draft. All authors provided feedback on the analysis and input to the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreements no. 820575 (TiPACCs) and no. 869304 (PROTECT). We received financial support from the Leibniz Association (project DominoES) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG grant WI4556/3-1). M.Z. received funding from the German Fulbright Commission. U.K.-K. acknowledges the Helmholtz Climate Initiative REKLIM (Regional Climate Change) and the research program PoF IV "Changing

- Earth Sustaining our Future" of the Alfred Wegener Institute. R.W. acknowledges funding from the PalMod project (FKZ: 01LP1925D) supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) as a Research for Sustainability initiative (FONA). Development of PISM is supported by the NSF (grants PLR-1644277 and PLR-1914668) and NASA (grants NNX17AG65G and 20-CRYO2020-
- 780 0052). We further acknowledge the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Land Brandenburg for supporting this project by providing resources on the high-performance computer system at the Pots-dam Institute for Climate Impact Research. The authors would like to thank Melchior van Wessem for sharing the RACMO2.3p2 data and Luke Trusel for kindly providing his QuikSCAT meltwater flux data. We are grateful to Ronja Reese for providing the equilibrium model state of the Antarctic Ice Sheet that was used as a basis for the simulations.





## 785 References

- Agosta, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Orsi, A., Favier, V., Gallée, H., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Wessem, J. M. v., Berg, W. J. v. d., and Fettweis, X.: Estimation of the Antarctic surface mass balance using the regional climate model MAR (1979–2015) and identification of dominant processes, The Cryosphere, 13, 281–296, 2019.
- Albrecht, T., Winkelmann, R., and Levermann, A.: Glacial-cycle simulations of the Antarctic Ice Sheet with the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) – Part 1: Boundary conditions and climatic forcing, The Cryosphere, 14, 599–632, 2020.
  - Arthur, J. F., Stokes, C. R., Jamieson, S. S. R., Carr, J. R., Leeson, A. A., and Verjans, V.: Large interannual variability in supraglacial lakes around East Antarctica, Nature Communications, 13, 1711, 2022.
    - Aschwanden, A., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., and Blatter, H.: An enthalpy formulation for glaciers and ice sheets, Journal of Glaciology, 58, 441–457, 2012.
- 795 Bassis, J. N. and Walker, C. C.: Upper and lower limits on the stability of calving glaciers from the yield strength envelope of ice, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 468, 913–931, 2012.
  - Bell, R. E., Banwell, A. F., Trusel, L. D., and Kingslake, J.: Antarctic surface hydrology and impacts on ice-sheet mass balance, Nature Climate Change, 8, 1044–1052, 2018.

Braithwaite, R. J.: Calculation of degree-days for glacier-climate research, Zeitschrift fuer Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie, 20 (1984),

- 800 1-8, 1985.
  - Braithwaite, R. J.: Calculation of sensible-heat flux over a melting ice surface using simple climate data and daily measurements of ablation, Annals of Glaciology, 50, 9–15, 2009.
  - Broeke, M. v. d.: Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelf, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, L12815, 2005a.
- 805 Broeke, M. V. D., Reijmer, C., As, D. V., Wal, R. V. d., and Oerlemans, J.: Seasonal cycles of Antarctic surface energy balance from automatic weather stations, Annals of Glaciology, 41, 131–139, 2005b.

Bromwich, D. H., Otieno, F. O., Hines, K. M., Manning, K. W., and Shilo, E.: Comprehensive evaluation of polar weather research and forecasting model performance in the Antarctic, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 274–292, 2013.

- Bueler, E. and Brown, J.: Shallow shelf approximation as a "sliding law" in a thermomechanically coupled ice sheet model, Journal ofGeophysical Research, 114, F03 008, 2009.
  - Bueler, E. and van Pelt, W.: Mass-conserving subglacial hydrology in the Parallel Ice Sheet Model version 0.6, Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 1613–1635, 2015.
    - Busetto, M., Lanconelli, C., Mazzola, M., Lupi, A., Petkov, B., Vitale, V., Tomasi, C., Grigioni, P., and Pellegrini, A.: Parameterization of clear sky effective emissivity under surface-based temperature inversion at Dome C and South Pole, Antarctica, Antarctic Science, 25,
- 815 697–710, 2013.
  - Calov, R. and Greve, R.: A semi-analytical solution for the positive degree-day model with stochastic temperature variations, Journal of Glaciology, 51, 173–175, 2005.

Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The Physics of Glaciers, Academic Press, 2010.

Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., Emmons, L. K., Fasullo, J., Garcia, R., Gettelman,

A., Hannay, C., Holland, M. M., Large, W. G., Lauritzen, P. H., Lawrence, D. M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W. H., Mills,
 M. J., Neale, R., Oleson, K. W., Otto-Bliesner, B., Phillips, A. S., Sacks, W., Tilmes, S., Kampenhout, L., Vertenstein, M., Bertini, A.,



845



Dennis, J., Deser, C., Fischer, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Kay, J. E., Kinnison, D., Kushner, P. J., Larson, V. E., Long, M. C., Mickelson, S., Moore, J. K., Nienhouse, E., Polvani, L., Rasch, P. J., and Strand, W. G.: The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2), Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, 2020.

Bas, I., Bell, R. E., Scambos, T. A., Wolovick, M., Creyts, T. T., Studinger, M., Frearson, N., Nicolas, J. P., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and Broeke, M. R. v. d.: Influence of persistent wind scour on the surface mass balance of Antarctica, Nature Geoscience, 6, 367–371, 2013.

DeConto, R. M. and Pollard, D.: Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise, Nature, 531, 591–597, 2016.

DeConto, R. M., Pollard, D., Alley, R. B., Velicogna, I., Gasson, E., Gomez, N., Sadai, S., Condron, A., Gilford, D. M., Ashe, E. L., Kopp, R. E., Li, D., and Dutton, A.: The Paris Climate Agreement and future sea-level rise from Antarctica, Nature, 593, 83–89, 2021.

830 Depoorter, M. A., Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Broeke, M. R. v. d., and Moholdt, G.: Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of Antarctic ice shelves, Nature, 502, 89–92, 2013.

Dupont, T. K. and Alley, R. B.: Assessment of the importance of ice-shelf buttressing to ice-sheet flow, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, L04 503, 2005.

- Edwards, T. L., Nowicki, S., Marzeion, B., Hock, R., Goelzer, H., Seroussi, H., Jourdain, N. C., Slater, D. A., Turner, F. E., Smith, C. J.,
  McKenna, C. M., Simon, E., Abe-Ouchi, A., Gregory, J. M., Larour, E., Lipscomb, W. H., Payne, A. J., Shepherd, A., Agosta, C.,
  Alexander, P., Albrecht, T., Anderson, B., Asay-Davis, X., Aschwanden, A., Barthel, A., Bliss, A., Calov, R., Chambers, C., Champollion,
  N., Choi, Y., Cullather, R., Cuzzone, J., Dumas, C., Felikson, D., Fettweis, X., Fujita, K., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gladstone, R., Golledge,
  N. R., Greve, R., Hattermann, T., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Huss, M., Huybrechts, P., Immerzeel, W., Kleiner, T., Kraaijenbrink, P.,
  clec'h, S. L., Lee, V., Leguy, G. R., Little, C. M., Lowry, D. P., Malles, J.-H., Martin, D. F., Maussion, F., Morlighem, M., O'Neill, J. F.,
- Nias, I., Pattyn, F., Pelle, T., Price, S. F., Quiquet, A., Radić, V., Reese, R., Rounce, D. R., Rückamp, M., Sakai, A., Shafer, C., Schlegel, N.-J., Shannon, S., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Sun, S., Tarasov, L., Trusel, L. D., Breedam, J. V., Wal, R. v. d., Broeke, M. v. d., Winkelmann, R., Zekollari, H., Zhao, C., Zhang, T., and Zwinger, T.: Projected land ice contributions to twenty-first-century sea level rise, Nature, 593, 74–82, 2021.

Favier, V., Krinner, G., Amory, C., Gallée, H., Beaumet, J., and Agosta, C.: Antarctica-Regional Climate and Surface Mass Budget, Current Climate Change Reports, 3, 303–315, 2017.

- Feldmann, J., Albrecht, T., Khroulev, C., Pattyn, F., and Levermann, A.: Resolution-dependent performance of grounding line motion in a shallow model compared with a full-Stokes model according to the MISMIP3d intercomparison, The Journal of Glaciology, 60, 353–360, 2014.
- Fettweis, X., Hofer, S., Krebs-Kanzow, U., Amory, C., Aoki, T., Berends, C. J., Born, A., Box, J. E., Delhasse, A., Fujita, K., Gierz, P.,
  Goelzer, H., Hanna, E., Hashimoto, A., Huybrechts, P., Kapsch, M.-L., King, M. D., Kittel, C., Lang, C., Langen, P. L., Lenaerts, J. T. M.,
  Liston, G. E., Lohmann, G., Mernild, S. H., Mikolajewicz, U., Modali, K., Mottram, R. H., Niwano, M., Noël, B., Ryan, J. C., Smith, A.,
  Streffing, J., Tedesco, M., Berg, W. J. v. d., Broeke, M. v. d., Wal, R. S. W. v. d., Kampenhout, L. v., Wilton, D., Wouters, B., Ziemen,
  F., and Zolles, T.: GrSMBMIP: intercomparison of the modelled 1980–2012 surface mass balance over the Greenland Ice Sheet, The
  Cryosphere, 14, 3935–3958, 2020.
- 855 Fox-Kemper, B., Hewitt, H., Xiao, C., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Drijfhout, S., Edwards, T., Golledge, N., Hemer, M., Kopp, R., Krinner, G., Mix, A., Notz, D., Nowicki, S., Nurhati, I., Ruiz, L., Sallée, J.-B., Slangen, A., and Yu, Y.: Ocean, Cryosphere and Sea Level Change, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y.,



860



Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J., Maycock, T., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., pp. 1211 – 1362, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2021.

- Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Bamber, J. L., Barrand, N. E., Bell, R. E., Bianchi, C., Bingham, R. G., Blankenship, D. D., Casassa, G., Catania, G., Conway, H., Cook, A. J., Corr, H. F. J., Damaske, D., Damm, V., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fujita, S., Gim, Y., Gogineni, P., Griggs, J. A., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., Holmlund, P., Holt, J. W., Jacobel, R. W., Jenkins, A., Jokat, W., Jordan, T. M., King, E. C., Kohler, J., Krabill, W., Riger-Kusk, M., Langley, K. A., Leitchenkov, G., Leuschen, C., Luyendyk, B. P., Matsuoka, K., Mouginot,
- J., Nitsche, F. O., Nogi, Y., Nost, O. A., Popov, S. V., Rignot, E., Rippin, D. M., Rivera, A., Roberts, J., Ross, N., Siegert, M. J., Smith,
  A. M., Steinhage, D., Studinger, M., Sun, B., Tinto, B. K., Welch, B. C., Wilson, D., Young, D. A., Xiangbin, C., and Zirizzotti, A.:
  Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 7, 375–393, 2013.

Frieler, K., Clark, P. U., He, F., Buizert, C., Reese, R., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Winkelmann, R., and Levermann, A.: Consistent evidence of increasing Antarctic accumulation with warming, Nature Climate Change, 5, 348–352, 2015.

- 870 Fyke, J., Sergienko, O., Löfverström, M., Price, S., and Lenaerts, J. T. M.: An Overview of Interactions and Feedbacks Between Ice Sheets and the Earth System, Reviews of Geophysics, 56, 361–408, 2018.
  - Fürst, J. J., Durand, G., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Tavard, L., Rankl, M., Braun, M., and Gagliardini, O.: The safety band of Antarctic ice shelves, Nature Climate Change, 6, 479–482, 2016.

### Garbe, J., Albrecht, T., Levermann, A., Donges, J. F., and Winkelmann, R.: The hysteresis of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, Nature, 585, 538–544,

875 2020.

895

Gardner, A. S. and Sharp, M. J.: A review of snow and ice albedo and the development of a new physically based broadband albedo parameterization, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface (2003–2012), 115, 2010.

Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Scambos, T., Fahnstock, M., Ligtenberg, S., Broeke, M. v. d., and Nilsson, J.: Increased West Antarctic and unchanged East Antarctic ice discharge over the last 7 years, The Cryosphere, 12, 521–547, 2018.

880 Gilbert, E. and Kittel, C.: Surface Melt and Runoff on Antarctic Ice Shelves at 1.5°C, 2°C, and 4°C of Future Warming, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, e2020GL091 733, 2021.

Golledge, N. R.: Long-term projections of sea-level rise from ice sheets, WIREs Climate Change, 11, 281-21, 2020.

Golledge, N. R., Kowalewski, D. E., Naish, T. R., Levy, R. H., Fogwill, C. J., and Gasson, E. G. W.: The multi-millennial Antarctic commitment to future sea-level rise, Nature, 526, 421–425, 2015.

885 Golledge, N. R., Levy, R. H., McKay, R. M., and Naish, T. R.: East Antarctic ice sheet most vulnerable to Weddell Sea warming, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2343–2351, 2017.

Greene, C. A., Gardner, A. S., Schlegel, N.-J., and Fraser, A. D.: Antarctic calving loss rivals ice-shelf thinning, Nature, pp. 1–6, 2022. Gudmundsson, G. H.: Ice-shelf buttressing and the stability of marine ice sheets, The Cryosphere, 7, 647–655, 2013.

Hansen, N., Simonsen, S. B., Boberg, F., Kittel, C., Orr, A., Souverijns, N., Wessem, J. M. v., and Mottram, R.: Brief communication: Impact
of common ice mask in surface mass balance estimates over the Antarctic ice sheet, The Cryosphere, 16, 711–718, 2022.

- Hock, R.: Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas, Journal of Hydrology, 282, 104–115, 2003.
  - Holland, P. R., Bracegirdle, T. J., Dutrieux, P., Jenkins, A., and Steig, E. J.: West Antarctic ice loss influenced by internal climate variability and anthropogenic forcing, Nature Geoscience, 12, 718–724, 2019.

Jakobs, C. L., Reijmer, C. H., Munneke, P. K., König-Langlo, G., and Broeke, M. R. v. d.: Quantifying the snowmelt–albedo feedback at Neumayer Station, East Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 13, 1473–1485, 2019.





- Jakobs, C. L., Reijmer, C. H., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Trusel, L. D., Berg, W. J. v. d., Broeke, M. R. v. d., and Wessem, J. M. v.: A benchmark dataset of in situ Antarctic surface melt rates and energy balance, Journal of Glaciology, 66, 291–302, 2020.
- Jakobs, C. L., Reijmer, C. H., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Berg, W. J. v. d., and Wessem, J. M. v.: Spatial Variability of the Snowmelt-Albedo Feedback in Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126, e2020JF005 696, 2021.
- 900 Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Ha, H. K., and Stammerjohn, S.: West Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat in the Amundsen Sea driven by decadal oceanic variability, Nature Geoscience, 11, 733–738, 2018.
  - Jonsell, U. Y., Navarro, F. J., Bañón, M., Lapazaran, J. J., and Otero, J.: Sensitivity of a distributed temperature-radiation index melt model based on AWS observations and surface energy balance fluxes, Hurd Peninsula glaciers, Livingston Island, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 6, 539–552, 2012.
- 905 King, J. C., Gadian, A., Kirchgaessner, A., Munneke, P. K., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Orr, A., Reijmer, C., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Wessem, J. M. v., and Weeks, M.: Validation of the summertime surface energy budget of Larsen C Ice Shelf (Antarctica) as represented in three high-resolution atmospheric models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120, 1335–1347, 2015.
  - Kingslake, J., Ely, J. C., Das, I., and Bell, R. E.: Widespread movement of meltwater onto and across Antarctic ice shelves, Nature, 544, 349–352, 2017.
- 910 Kittel, C., Amory, C., Agosta, C., Jourdain, N. C., Hofer, S., Delhasse, A., Doutreloup, S., Huot, P.-V., Lang, C., Fichefet, T., and Fettweis, X.: Diverging future surface mass balance between the Antarctic ice shelves and grounded ice sheet, The Cryosphere, 15, 1215–1236, 2021.
  - Kittel, C., Amory, C., Hofer, S., Agosta, C., Jourdain, N. C., Gilbert, E., Toumelin, L. L., Vignon, E., Gallée, H., and Fettweis, X.: Clouds drive differences in future surface melt over the Antarctic ice shelves, The Cryosphere, 16, 2655–2669, 2022.
- 915 Krapp, M., Robinson, A., and Ganopolski, A.: SEMIC: an efficient surface energy and mass balance model applied to the Greenland ice sheet, The Cryosphere, 11, 1519–1535, 2017.
  - Krebs-Kanzow, U., Gierz, P., and Lohmann, G.: Brief communication: An ice surface melt scheme including the diurnal cycle of solar radiation, The Cryosphere, 12, 3923–3930, 2018.
- Krebs-Kanzow, U., Gierz, P., Rodehacke, C. B., Xu, S., Yang, H., and Lohmann, G.: The diurnal Energy Balance Model (dEBM): a convenient
   surface mass balance solution for ice sheets in Earth system modeling, The Cryosphere, 15, 2295–2313, 2021.
  - Lai, C.-Y., Kingslake, J., Wearing, M. G., Chen, P.-H. C., Gentine, P., Li, H., Spergel, J. J., and Wessem, J. M. v.: Vulnerability of Antarctica's ice shelves to meltwater-driven fracture, Nature, 584, 574–578, 2020.

Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Medley, B., Berg, W. J. V. d., Konrad, H., Nicolas, J. P., Wessem, J. M. V., Trusel, L. D., Mulvaney, R., Tuckwell, R. J., Hogg, A. E., and Thomas, E. R.: Climate and surface mass balance of coastal West Antarctica resolved by regional

- 925 climate modelling, Annals of Glaciology, 59, 29–41, 2018.
  - Lenaerts, J. T. M., Medley, B., Broeke, M. R. v. d., and Wouters, B.: Observing and Modeling Ice Sheet Surface Mass Balance, Reviews of Geophysics, 57, 376–420, 2019.

- Levermann, A., Albrecht, T., Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., and Joughin, I.: Kinematic first-order calving law impliespotential for abrupt ice-shelf retreat, The Cryosphere, 6, 273–286, 2012.
  - Lliboutry, L. and Duval, P.: Various isotropic and anisotropic ices found in glaciers and polar ice caps and their corresponding rheologies, Annales geophysicae, 3, 207–224, 1985.

Levermann, A. and Winkelmann, R.: A simple equation for the melt elevation feedback of ice sheets, The Cryosphere, 10, 1799–1807, 2016.





- Locarnini, R., Mishonov, A., Baranova, O., Boyer, T., Zweng, M., Garcia, H., Reagan, J., Seidov, D., Weathers, K., Paver, C., and Smolyar, I.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 1: Temperature, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2019.
- 935 Martin, M. A., Winkelmann, R., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., and Levermann, A.: The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK) – Part 2: Dynamic equilibrium simulation of the Antarctic ice sheet, The Cryosphere, 5, 727–740, 2011.
  - Medley, B. and Thomas, E. R.: Increased snowfall over the Antarctic Ice Sheet mitigated twentieth-century sea-level rise, Nature Climate Change, 9, 34–39, 2018.
  - Mengel, M. and Levermann, A.: Ice plug prevents irreversible discharge from East Antarctica, Nature Climate Change, 4, 451–455, 2014.
- 940 Meredith, M., Sommerkorn, M., Cassotta, S., Derksen, C., Ekaykin, A., Hollowed, A., Kofinas, G., Mackintosh, A., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Muelbert, M., Ottersen, G., Pritchard, H., and Schuur, E.: Polar Regions, in: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, edited by Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Nicolai, M., Okem, A., Petzold, J., Rama, B., and Weyer, N., pp. 203 – 320, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- 945 Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Binder, T., Blankenship, D., Drews, R., Eagles, G., Eisen, O., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fretwell, P., Goel, V., Greenbaum, J. S., Gudmundsson, H., Guo, J., Helm, V., Hofstede, C., Howat, I., Humbert, A., Jokat, W., Karlsson, N. B., Lee, W. S., Matsuoka, K., Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Paden, J., Pattyn, F., Roberts, J., Rosier, S., Ruppel, A., Seroussi, H., Smith, E. C., Steinhage, D., Sun, B., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Ommen, T. D. v., Wessem, M. v., and Young, D. A.: Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet, Nature Geoscience, 13, 132–137, 2019.
- 950 Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Kittel, C., Wessem, J. M. v., Agosta, C., Amory, C., Boberg, F., Berg, W. J. v. d., Fettweis, X., Gossart, A., Lipzig, N. P. M. v., Meijgaard, E. v., Orr, A., Phillips, T., Webster, S., Simonsen, S. B., and Souverijns, N.: What is the surface mass balance of Antarctica? An intercomparison of regional climate model estimates, The Cryosphere, 15, 3751–3784, 2021.
  - Munneke, P. K., Picard, G., Broeke, M. R. d., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and Meijgaard, E.: Insignificant change in Antarctic snowmelt volume since 1979, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L01 501, 2012.
- 955 Noble, T. L., Rohling, E. J., Aitken, A. R. A., Bostock, H. C., Chase, Z., Gomez, N., Jong, L. M., King, M. A., Mackintosh, A. N., McCormack, F. S., McKay, R. M., Menviel, L., Phipps, S. J., Weber, M. E., Fogwill, C. J., Gayen, B., Golledge, N. R., Gwyther, D. E., Hogg, A. M., Martos, Y. M., Pena-Molino, B., Roberts, J., Flierdt, T., and Williams, T.: The Sensitivity of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to a Changing Climate: Past, Present, and Future, Reviews of Geophysics, 58, 91 – 89, 2020.
  - Olbers, D. J. and Hellmer, H. H.: A box model of circulation and melting in ice shelf caverns, Ocean Dynamics, 60, 141–153, 2010.
- 960 Palerme, C., Genthon, C., Claud, C., Kay, J. E., Wood, N. B., and L'Ecuyer, T.: Evaluation of current and projected Antarctic precipitation in CMIP5 models, Climate Dynamics, 48, 225–239, 2017.

Paolo, F. S., Fricker, H. A., and Padman, L.: Volume loss from Antarctic ice shelves is accelerating, Science, 348, 327–331, 2015.

Pattyn, F. and Morlighem, M.: The uncertain future of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, Science, 367, 1331–1335, 2020.

- Pelle, T., Morlighem, M., Nakayama, Y., and Seroussi, H.: Widespread Grounding Line Retreat of Totten Glacier, East Antarctica, Over the
   21st Century, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, 2021.
  - Picard, G., Domine, F., Krinner, G., Arnaud, L., and Lefebvre, E.: Inhibition of the positive snow-albedo feedback by precipitation in interior Antarctica, Nature Climate Change, 2, 795–798, 2012.
    - Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M., and Alley, R. B.: Potential Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by hydrofracturing and ice cliff failure, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 412, 112–121, 2015.





970 Pritchard, H. D., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Fricker, H. A., Vaughan, D. G., Broeke, M. R. v. d., and Padman, L.: Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice shelves, Nature, 484, 502–505, 2012.

Reeh, N.: Parameterization of Melt Rate and Surface Temperature in the Greenland Ice Sheet, Polarforschung, 59, 113–128, 1991.

- Reese, R., Albrecht, T., Mengel, M., Asay-Davis, X. S., and Winkelmann, R.: Antarctic sub-shelf melt rates via PICO, The Cryosphere, 12, 1969–1985, 2018.
- 975 Reese, R., Levermann, A., Albrecht, T., Seroussi, H., and Winkelmann, R.: The role of history and strength of the oceanic forcing in sea level projections from Antarctica with the Parallel Ice Sheet Model, The Cryosphere, 14, 3097–3110, 2020.
  - Riahi, K., Vuuren, D. P. v., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J. C., KC, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Silva, L. A. D., Smith, S., Stehfest, E., Bosetti, V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogelj, J., Strefler, J., Drouet,
- 980 L., Krey, V., Luderer, G., Harmsen, M., Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J. C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G., Lotze-Campen, H., Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., and Tavoni, M.: The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview, Global Environmental Change, 42, 153–168, 2017.

Rignot, E., Casassa, G., Gogineni, P., Krabill, W., Rivera, A., and Thomas, R.: Accelerated ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B ice shelf, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, 2004.

- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., and Scheuchl, B.: Ice Flow of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, Science, 333, 1427–1430, 2011.
   Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, J., and Scheuchl, B.: Ice-Shelf Melting Around Antarctica, Science, 341, 266–270, 2013.
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Broeke, M. v. d., Wessem, M. J. v., and Morlighem, M.: Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979–2017, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116, 1095–1103, 2019.
- Robinson, A., Calov, R., and Ganopolski, A.: Multistability and critical thresholds of the Greenland ice sheet, Nature Climate Change, 2,
  429–432, 2012.

Rogozhina, I. and Rau, D.: Vital role of daily temperature variability in surface mass balance parameterizations of the Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 8, 575–585, 2014.

- Rott, H., Müller, F., Nagler, T., and Floricioiu, D.: The imbalance of glaciers after disintegration of Larsen-B ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, The Cryosphere, 5, 125–134, 2011.
- 995 Scambos, T. A., Hulbe, C., Fahnestock, M., and Bohlander, J.: The link between climate warming and break-up of ice shelves in the Antarctic Peninsula, Journal of Glaciology, 46, 516–530, 2000.
  - Scambos, T. A., Bohlander, J. A., Shuman, C. A., and Skvarca, P.: Glacier acceleration and thinning after ice shelf collapse in the Larsen B embayment, Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, 2004.

Schmidtko, S., Heywood, K. J., Thompson, A. F., and Aoki, S.: Multidecadal warming of Antarctic waters, Science, 346, 1227–1231, 2014. Schoof, C.: A variational approach to ice stream flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 556, 227–251, 2006.

Schoof, C.: Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: Steady states, stability, and hysteresis, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112, 2007.

Schoof, C. G. and Hindmarsh, R. C. A.: Thin-Film Flows with Wall Slip: An Asymptotic Analysis of Higher Order Glacier Flow Models, The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 63, 73–114, 2010.

Seguinot, J.: Spatial and seasonal effects of temperature variability in a positive degree-day glacier surface mass-balance model, Journal of Glaciology, 59, 1202–1204, 2013.

Schwalm, C. R., Glendon, S., and Duffy, P. B.: RCP8.5 tracks cumulative CO2 emissions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117, 19656–19657, 2020.





- Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S. M. J., Payne, A. J., Goelzer, H., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Albrecht, T., Asay-Davis, X. S., Barthel, A., Calov, R., Cullather, R., Dumas, C., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gladstone, R., Golledge, N. R., Gregory, J. M., Greve, R., Hattermann, T., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Huybrechts, P., Jourdain, N. C., Kleiner, T., Larour, E., Leguy, G. R., Lowry, D. P., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Pattyn, F., Pelle, T., Price, S. F., Quiquet, A., Reese, R., Schlegel, N.-J., Shepherd, A. P., Simon, E. G., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Sun, S., Trusel, L. D., Van Breedam, J., van de Wal, R. S. W., Winkelmann, R., Zhao, C., Zhang, T., and Zwinger, T.: ISMIP6 Antarctica: a multi-model ensemble of the Antarctic ice sheet evolution over the 21st century, The Cryosphere, 14, 3033–3070, 2020.
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., A, G., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K. H., Bromwich, D. H., Forsberg, R., Galin, N.,
  Horwath, M., Jacobs, S., Joughin, I., King, M. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Li, J., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Luckman, A., Luthcke, S. B., McMillan,
  M., Meister, R., Milne, G., Mouginot, J., Muir, A., Nicolas, J. P., Paden, J., Payne, A. J., Pritchard, H., Rignot, E., Rott, H., Sørensen,
  L. S., Scambos, T. A., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E. J. O., Smith, B., Sundal, A. V., Angelen, J. H. v., Berg, W. J. v. d., Broeke, M. R. v. d.,
  Vaughan, D. G., Velicogna, I., Wahr, J., Whitehouse, P. L., Wingham, D. J., Yi, D., Young, D., and Zwally, H. J.: A Reconciled Estimate of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance, Science, 338, 1183–1189, 2012.
- 1020 Slater, T. and Shepherd, A.: Antarctic ice losses tracking high, Nature Climate Change, 8, 1025–1026, 2018.
  - Slater, T., Hogg, A. E., and Mottram, R.: Ice-sheet losses track high-end sea-level rise projections, Nature Climate Change, 10, 879–881, 2020.
- Souverijns, N., Gossart, A., Demuzere, M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Medley, B., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Broucke, S. V., and Lipzig, N. P. M.: A New Regional Climate Model for POLAR-CORDEX: Evaluation of a 30-Year Hindcast with COSMO-CLM2 Over Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 1405–1427, 2019.
  - Stokes, C. R., Sanderson, J. E., Miles, B. W. J., Jamieson, S. S. R., and Leeson, A. A.: Widespread distribution of supraglacial lakes around the margin of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, Scientific Reports, 9, 13823, 2019.
- Stokes, C. R., Abram, N. J., Bentley, M. J., Edwards, T. L., England, M. H., Foppert, A., Jamieson, S. S. R., Jones, R. S., King, M. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Medley, B., Miles, B. W. J., Paxman, G. J. G., Ritz, C., Flierdt, T. v. d., and Whitehouse, P. L.: Response of the East
  Antarctic Ice Sheet to past and future climate change, Nature, 608, 275–286, 2022.
  - Sun, S., Cornford, S. L., Gwyther, D. E., Gladstone, R. M., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Zhao, L., and Moore, J. C.: Impact of ocean forcing on the Aurora Basin in the 21st and 22nd centuries, Annals of Glaciology, 57, 79–86, 2016.
    - Sun, S., Pattyn, F., Simon, E. G., Albrecht, T., Cornford, S., Calov, R., Dumas, C., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Goelzer, H., Golledge, N. R., Greve, R., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Kazmierczak, E., Kleiner, T., Leguy, G. R., Lipscomb, W. H., Martin, D., Morlighem, M., Nowicki, S.,
- 1035 Pollard, D., Price, S., Quiquet, A., Seroussi, H., Schlemm, T., Sutter, J., Wal, R. S. W. v. d., Winkelmann, R., and Zhang, T.: Antarctic ice sheet response to sudden and sustained ice-shelf collapse (ABUMIP), Journal of Glaciology, 66, 891–904, 2020.
  - Tedesco, M. and Monaghan, A. J.: An updated Antarctic melt record through 2009 and its linkages to high-latitude and tropical climate variability, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 2009.

The IMBIE Team: Mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2017, Nature, 558, 219–222, 2018.

- 1040 The PISM Authors: PISM, a Parallel Ice Sheet Model: User's Manual, 2020.
  - Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Munneke, P. K., and Broeke, M. R.: Satellite-based estimates of Antarctic surface meltwater fluxes, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 6148–6153, 2013.
    - Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Karnauskas, K. B., Munneke, P. K., Meijgaard, E. v., and Broeke, M. R. v. d.: Divergent trajectories of Antarctic surface melt under two twenty-first-century climate scenarios, Nature Geoscience, 8, 927–932, 2015.





1045 Tulaczyk, S., Kamb, W. B., and Engelhardt, H. F.: Basal mechanics of Ice Stream B, west Antarctica: 1. Till mechanics, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 105, 463–481, 2000.

Weertman, J.: Stability of ice-age ice sheets, Journal of Geophysical Research, 66, 3783-3792, 1961.

Weertman, J.: Stability of the Junction of an Ice Sheet and an Ice Shelf, Journal of Glaciology, 13, 3-11, 1974.

Wessem, J. M. v., Berg, W. J. v. d., Noël, B. P. Y., Meijgaard, E. v., Amory, C., Birnbaum, G., Jakobs, C. L., Krüger, K., Lenaerts, J. T. M.,

1050 Lhermitte, S., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Medley, B., Reijmer, C. H., Tricht, K. v., Trusel, L. D., Ulft, L. H. v., Wouters, B., Wuite, J., and Broeke, M. R. v. d.: Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2 – Part 2: Antarctica (1979–2016), The Cryosphere, 12, 1479–1498, 2018.

Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., and Levermann, A.: The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK) – Part 1: Model description, The Cryosphere, 5, 715–726, 2011.

1055 Zeitz, M., Reese, R., Beckmann, J., Krebs-Kanzow, U., and Winkelmann, R.: Impact of the melt–albedo feedback on the future evolution of the Greenland Ice Sheet with PISM-dEBM-simple, The Cryosphere, 15, 5739–5764, 2021.

Zweng, M., Reagan, J., Seidov, D., Boyer, T., Locarnini, R., Garcia, H., Mishonov, A., Baranova, O., Paver, C., and Smolyar, I.: World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 2: Salinity, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2019.