Brief Communication: Rapid ~335 10^6 m³ bed erosion after detachment of the Sedongpu Glacier (Tibet)

Andreas Kääb[1], Luc Girod[1]

[1]Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, 0316, Norway

Correspondence to: Andreas Kääb (kaeaeb@geo.uio.no)

Abstract. Following the 130±5 10^6 m³ detachment of the Sedongpu Glacier, (south-eastern Tibet,) in October 2018, the Sedongpu valley, which drains into the Yarlung Tsangpo (Brahmaputra) River, underwent drastic and rapid large-volume landscape changes. Between December 2018 and 2022, and in particular during summer 2021, an enormous volume of in total ~335±5 10^6 m³ was eroded from the former glacier bed, forming a new canyon of up to 300 m depth, 1 km width and almost 4 km length. The 2021 erosion peak happened through massive but still gradual retrogressive erosion into the former glacier bed. The mass was transported into the Yarlung Tsangpo (Brahmaputra) River and further. Several rock-ice avalanches of in total ~150±5 10^6 m³ added to the total rock, sediment and ice volume of over 0.6 km³ that were exported from the basin since around 2017. The recent erosion volumes at Sedongpu are by order of magnitude equivalent to the average annual denudation volume of the entire mountainous part of the Brahmaputra River basin, and illustrate a potential and intensity for rapid post-glacial landscape evolution and the hazards related to such high-magnitude low-frequency events that have rarely been considered so far.

1 Introduction

Current retreat of mountain glaciers uncovers large areas of unconsolidated sediments that were previously held under ice and thus protected against most direct impacts by weather and climate. After glacier disappearance these areas are subject to erosion processes at different time scales, from slow century-long background denudation to rapid mass loss by sediment-rich flows such as debris flows (Ballantyne, 2002; Carrivick and Heckmann, 2017; Williams and Koppes, 2019). Recent retreat of mountain glaciers is likely contributing to increased debris-flow activity and thus climate-change driven increase in mountain hazards (Zimmermann and Haeberli, 1992; Ballantyne, 2002; Hock et al., 2019). Glaciers retreat comparably slow, over many years to decades, so that the full potential erosion volume of formerly subglacial soft-beds is difficult to estimate from the usual successive bed erosion that follows gradual glacier retreat. The reconstruction of original subglacial sediment volumes or eroded volumes, respectively, is difficult at the locations of former valley glaciers, unless significant parts of the glacier bed are still preserved.

Rare but massive glacier detachments offer a unique natural experiment to investigate what happens to a glacier bed once the glacier above it is rapidly removed, ~~mimicking a time machine of~~giving an indication of the maximum erosion potential that might else be mobilized ~~what might happen else~~ over longer time scales of gradual glacier retreat. During such large-volume detachments entire low-angle valley glaciers are removed within minutes (Kääb et al., 2018; Jacquemart et al., 2020; Kääb et al., 2021), leaving their beds suddenly exposed to weather and climate impacts. Low-angle glacier detachments seem to be associated with particularly soft, and thus easily erodible basal sediments (Gilbert et al., 2018; Kääb et al., 2021). A very recent and at the same time one of the largest glacier detachments known to date is the 2018 Sedongpu, Tibet, event. In this study, we investigate the development of the glacier bed after glacier removal in order to draw conclusions about bed stability and erosion potential, the landscape evolution in response to glacier loss, and the associated hazard potential. We summarize key site information and the 2018 glacier detachment, quantify the glacier-bed volume changes until today (2022) and other landscape changes in the basin, and discuss and conclude about our findings.

2 Study site

The Sedongpu glacier was situated in south-eastern Tibet (29.80° N, 94.92° E), at an elevation of about 3700 m asl., just 4 km north of the Yarlung Tsangpo River (Tibetan name for the~~, a major tributary to the~~ upper reaches of Brahmaputra River), which the Sedongpu valley joins at an elevation of around 2700 m asl. Highest point of the Sedongpu catchment is the Gyala Peri peak (7294 m asl.; Fig. 1). The study site, in particular the western flank of Gyala Peri represents extreme topography in terms of relief and overall slope angles. At the Nyingchi meteorological station (c. 3000 m.asl., c. 50 km west of Sedongpu), the warmest months are July and August (mean daily maximum around 20 °C, mean daily minimum 13 °C), and the coldest month is January (mean daily maximum 4 °C, mean daily minimum –8 °C). Highest mean monthly precipitations at Nyingchi are around 115 mm/month in July and August, and lowest in November and December with around 10 mm. Sedongpu glacier rested on an ~~so called~~ elevated sediment/moraine bed (Haeberli et al., 2002) and the glacier surface had a c. 30-50 m higher elevation than the closest valley floor surrounding it. The study wider region shows the strongest glacier volume losses currently found in High-Mountain Asia (Hugonnet et al., 2021). According to Obu et al. (2019) the Sedongpu Glacier should have been several hundred metres elevation below the regional permafrost limit.

3 Data and Methods

Here, we mainly generate and investigate optical stereo data acquired by the Pleiades and Spot 6/7 satellites. We use the MicMac software (Rupnik et al., 2016; Rupnik et al., 2017) to produce DEMs and orthoimages of 13 Nov 2015 (Spot 6 tri-stereo; before detachment), 30 Dec 2018 (Pleiades tri-stereo; two months after detachment), 12 Jan 2020 (Spot 7 stereo), 30 April 2021 (Pleiades tristereo), 19 Sep 2021 (Pleiades stereo), and 4 Nov 2022 (Spot 6 tristereo). All DEMs are generated and co-registered using standard procedures. Elevation and volume changes between our repeat DEMs can be estimated in

different DEM combinations that should then sum up to the same amount. From the associated triangulation (or loop) errors

60   we obtain an average uncertainty for our volume estimates of around ±1 10^6 m^3. From stable ground areas we estimate a standard deviation of elevations of ±4 m ~~(for the 2022 DEM ±15 m, see Fig. 2 caption)~~ and a standard error of mean elevation changes over the glacier and rock avalanche sites of around ±1 m, translating to an uncertainty of around ±5 10^6 m^3 for the volume change estimates. We choose the latter conservative estimate as our volume change uncertainty.

4 The 2018 glacier detachment

65   In addition to a number of smaller mass flows from the catchment until 2017, two 17 and 33·10^6 m^3, respectively, rock-ice avalanches from the Gyala Peri west flank ra~~u~~n over the Sedongpu glacier late in October 2017 (Kääb et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). Following the 2017 rock-ice avalanche(s), the main Sedongpu Glacier underwent drastic changes. Ponds developed on its surface and along the margins, surface velocities increased from a background velocity of ~0.3 m day^{-1} in 2017 to 25 m day^{-1} in mid-October 2018 (Kääb et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022) and the glacier surface showed increased crevassing. In

70   two parts, on 16 Oct 2018 and 29 Oct 2018, the entire glacier tongue of in total 130 ± 5·10^6 m^3 detached, and additional ~44 ± 5 10^6 m^3 from surrounding moraines (Figs. 1-3), leaving the sediment previously beneath the Sedongpu Glacier entirely exposed~~leaving the bed of Sedongpu Glacier uncovered by ice~~. The mass temporary dammed up the Yarlung Tsangpo River, (Liu et al., 2019; Tong et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Kääb et al., 2021; An et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). The theoretical ice thickness estimates for Sedongpu Glacier from Farinotti et al. (2019) agree on average well with the actual elevation loss

75   between 2015 and December 2018 due to the glacier detachment, suggesting that the 130·10^6 m^3 glacier detachment volume consisted to a large extent of (though likely sediment-rich) ice rather than basal sediments. This high ice content of the glacier detachment is confirmed by visual interpretation of the detachment zone and deposits in optical satellite data (Kääb et al., 2021). The ice thickness estimates by Millan et al. (2022) are roughly double and more of the above estimates and measurements, likely because they are based on 2017–2018 glacier surface velocities, which were in the case of Sedongpu

80   Glacier already elevated due to pre-detachment surge-like acceleration (Kääb et al., 2021).

5 Rapid and massive bed erosion

The most prominent elevation change between~~over~~ 2015 ~~–~~and December 2018 is the glacier detachment from October 2018 (Figs. 2-3~~2~~, Tab. 1) (Kääb et al., 2021). Maximum detachment depths over the glacier area were c. 200 m. The total elevation changes from Dec 2018 (i.e. after glacier detachment) until~~-~~2022 show a massive erosion pattern over much of the

85   former glacier bed and its surrounding, amounting to about 335 ± 5 10^6 m^3, 2.5 times the detached glacier volume, and with maximum erosion depths of 360 m and an average of 135 m over an area of 2.5 km^2 (Fig. 2, Tab. 1). Between Dec 2018 and 2020, erosion depths of up to 30–50 m can be found at limited areas along the deepest part of glacier detachment area. The elevation changes January 2020 to April 2021 display a similar pattern. The April – September 2021 elevation changes

contribute the by far largest part of the Dec 2018–2022 erosion of the glacier bed; $279\pm5\ 10^6\ m^3$ with a maximum depth of around 310 m. During September 2021 and November 2022 another around $32\ 10^6\ m^3$ were eroded from the area of the newly formed canyon.

To better understand the massive erosion amounts detected between the 30 April and 19 September 2021 DEMs, we investigated optical and radar satellite data during this time period. Due to almost permanent cloud cover during this season over the study site only very few useful optical data (Planet, Sentinel-2, Landsat) are available, and the most dense information stems thus from Sentinel-1 radar data. Combined, these data show a steady increase of the erosion area and suggest thus that the massive erosion happened gradually, or at least in a series of relatively smaller events, mainly during June–August and into early September 2021, rather than through one or a few massive landslides or debris flows. Between Dec 2018 (i.e. shortly after glacier detachment) and Apr 2021 the erosion was mainly concentrated along the drainage stream that developed through the detachment zone and corresponding avalanche path towards Yarlung Tsangpo (Fig. 2b, 3). Suddenly in early June 2021, major erosion activity started at the point where the drainage stream left the former glacier bed (star in Fig. 1b and c, Fig. 2b). From this point in time and space, massive but gradual up-valley retrogressive erosion formed the main canyon until end of Aug 2021. In fact, early warning installations at Sedongpu registered rock-ice avalanches (following section) but no massive debris flows from the former glacier bed (Yang et al., 2023). Assuming gradual, temporally constant erosion activity over June–August 2021 gives an extreme sediment flux of $3\ 10^6\ m^3$ every day over 3 months. In theory, the sediments eroded in summer 2021 could have had a very high ice content, or consisting of dirty ice, so that the mass could quickly loose volume due to melt. We find however no indication of such ice in optical satellite data, and the glacier bed was likely temperate.

6 Rock-ice avalanches and river erosion

During 2018–2022 Ttwo other prominent landscape changes happened during 2018–2022 in the catchment are worth to be mentioned. Further rock-ice volumes of in total $100\pm5\ 10^6\ m^3$ were lost in the western flank of Gyala Peri, from the same area as the $50\ 10^6\ m^3$ rock-ice avalanche(s) of 2017, mentioned in Section 2. Of these roughly $100\ 10^6\ m^3$, $50\ 10^6\ m^3$ failed over Jan 2020–Apr 2021 (mostly on 22 March 2021; Zhao et al. (2022); Yang et al. (2023)) and another $50\ 10^6\ m^3$ during Apr 2021 – Nov 2022, all from the same area in the mountain flank (Fig. 1). These rock-ice avalanches are worth mentioning as their deposits will have contributed to the ice and sediment properties in the valley (Fig. 3), and could also have directly affected the ice and sediment stability there, for instance the Sedongpu Glacier detachment. Second, our DEMs include also a reach of around 6 km downstream of the location where the Sedongpu valley joins the Yarlung Tsangpo River. The fan of the Sedongpu valley shows a sequence of elevation gains and losses in response to the rock-ice mass flows from the Sedongpu valley, but remarkably, there is no significant overall volume gain in the fan area and the ~6-km reach (Fig. 2). This suggests that the river was able to transport further most of the massive amount of sediments that were deposited in particular during the 2018 glacier detachment and the June–September 2021 erosion peak. It should be interesting to

investigate in a further study, whether and where signs of deposition of such large volume can be observed along the Yarlung Tsangpo and Brahmaputra River (Zhao et al. (2022) mention increased river turbidity 200 km downstream after the March 2021 rock-ice avalanche).

125 **7 Discussion and conclusions**

In sum, since 2017 and until November 2022 around 659 ± 7 10^6 m^3 bedrock, sediment and ice debris have been exported from the Sedongpu catchment, most of it bedrock and sediments, and 335 ± 5 10^6 m^3 of it eroded alone from the bed of the former Sedongpu Glacier and its immediate surrounding. In the optical satellite images we find no indication of substantial amounts of massive ice in the latter volume (An et al., 2022). This extreme erosion amount corresponds to erosion rates of

130 up to 30 m per month during June–August 2021 ~~several tens to hundreds of metres within a few weeks to months~~ for the erosion area itself, locally up to 100 m per month (Tab. 1), or up to ~~several~~ two metres per month if calculated as a mean for the entire basin area (total ca. 65 km^2, c. 50 km^2 of it draining towards the Sedongpu Glacier). Such "ultra-rapid" rates of paraglacial landscape response (Meigs et al., 2006) are to our best knowledge among the highest currently found on Earth.

~~To what~~ An important question is to what extent ~~can~~ general conclusions can be drawn from the extreme erosion volumes

135 and rates that originate from the ~~recently and~~ rapidly uncovered bed of Sedongpu Glacier.~~?~~ The subglacial material from below this glacier ~~seems~~ could be especially easy eroded. This ~~is~~ would be in line with the assumption that large-volume detachments of low-angle valley glaciers seem to be associated with particularly soft glacier beds (Gilbert et al., 2018; Kääb et al., 2018; Kääb et al., 2021; Leinss et al., 2021). Precipitation data from the Sedon~~p~~gpu catchment are not available to us and could in such extreme topography substantially differ from the measurements at Nyingchi, 50 km to the west. At

140 Nyingchi, during June–mid September 2021, 28 days with precipitation amounts > 10 mm are recorded, six of which with 40–70 mm. These total and daily amounts seem to be substantially higher compared to the same time period in other years (Supplement). The massive 2021 erosion could thus have ~~well~~ been triggered by exceptionally high precipitation amounts and rates. The main erosion activity, however, seems rather to have been a self-sustained, and perhaps self-enhancing, retrogressive destabilisation that, once triggered, formed the erosion canyon independent of precipitation amounts. This

145 theory implies easily erodible, unconsolidated sediments, perhaps well water-saturated. The fact that the massive erosion activity in 2021 started exactly at the intersection of the former glacier boundary and the drainage stream (Supplement) suggests that the former glacier bed was much more easily eroded than the surrounding moraines. Once a potential comparably stable protective surface layer on the former bed was incised through stream erosion, the underlying weak sediments could be mobilized. Alternatively, or additionally, enhanced stream erosion could have increased the terrain slope,

150 or even undercut the former bed at the location of the erosion initiation. Such processes would not necessarily require any particularly high precipitation amounts and only be dependent on the time needed for the stream erosion to reach a (local) destabilisation threshold related to slope or spatial variation of sediment properties. Precipitation data at the Nyingchi station,

ERA5 reanalysis data, and GPM IMERG satellite-derived precipitation data all suggest very little precipitation during the first half of August 2021. Still, Sentinel-1 radar data suggest continued massive erosion during that period (Supplement).

155 High precipitation amounts could have particularly saturated the sediments to make them prone to destabilisation, or could have contributed to accelerated stream incision or critical increase in local terrain gradients, though.

We have not systematically examined the erosion volumes after the other glacier detachments listed in Kääb et al. (2021). From visual interpretations of satellite imagery, though, we do not find equally extreme erosion in these other cases as found for Sedongpu, but note that some of the detachments are indeed associated with substantial post-detachment erosion activity

160 (e.g., Flat Creek (Jacquemart et al., 2022), Amney Machen, Rasht valley/Petra Pervogo range). Another special circumstance involved in the extreme erosion in the Sedongpu valley, in addition to the potentially especially soft sediments, could be the elevated glacier bed where particularly large amounts of sediments were stored underneath the glacier, thus available to erosion. ~~Such elevated~~Sedimentary glacier beds are widespread in most glacierized mountains on Earth. Even if not fully understood, they are a sign of an imbalance in sediment flux where the production exceeds the export capacity from a glacier

165 catchment (Zemp et al., 2005) – not surprising for the comparably small Sedongpu catchment (total ca. 65 km^2, ca. 50 km^2 draining towards the glacier) that includes an enormous rock wall with substantial rock avalanche activity. Another open question is whether the erosion volumes at Sedongpu after rapid removal of the glacier are higher than they would be after gradual glacier retreat over decades and centuries. Some self-stabilizing effect could come into play over longer time intervals that does not act during rapid erosions, or vice-versa a self-enhancing process could act under such rapid erosions.

170 At least over decadal to centennial time-scales, the recent events at Sedongpu Glacier seem to represent a rapid and irreversible process of landscape transformation from a sediment-filled glacier valley to a glacier-free one with a deeply incised canyon.

The wider implications of the massive 2018–2022 erosion from the Sedongpu basin for mountain landscape development and sediment fluxes depend on the spatial and temporal reference scales considered, including the significance of the event

175 in the magnitude-frequency distribution of mountain sediment transport. Even compared to rates of pro- and post-glacial erosion that have so far been termed "ultra-rapid" (Meigs et al., 2006), the rates found in the Sedongpu valley since 2018 are exceptional. Compared to other glacier forefields, which typically show post-glacial erosion rates in the order of cm a^{-1} (e.g., Delaney et al., 2018), the erosion volume at the former Sedongpu Glacier since 2018 is equivalent to several millennia of such average erosion rates. For entire glacier-hosting mountain ranges typical denudation rates are in the order of mm a^{-1}

180 (e.g., Gabet et al., 2008; Hinderer et al., 2013; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013). Distributing the 2018–2022 Sedongpu erosion volume to the entire area of the Brahmaputra catchment upstream of the location where the river leaves the Himalayan arch (Pasighat) gives 1–2 mm (depending on whether the rock avalanches are included or not). I.e., the recent Sedongpu erosion volume is by order of magnitude equivalent to the annual denudation rate of a ~250,000 km^2 catchment. This implies that one or a few such events, in the present case triggered by glacier disappearance, can significantly vary the erosion rates of

185 even one of the largest mountain river catchments on Earth.

The events at Sedongpu impressively confirm that glaciers are able to protect their soft beds against massive erosion. Once uncovered, the erosion potential of soft glacier beds is here demonstrated to be possibly enormous for some glaciers in terms of volumes and rates. Such erosion could be particularly extreme under the existence of fine-grained subglacial sediments, and – possibly combined – for elevated glacier beds where especially large amounts of subglacial sediments are stored. The

190 2018–2022 landscape development at Sedongpu represents an extreme example of rapid post paraglacial slope response, a process that is indeed expected to be potentially particularly strong close to the time of glacier loss (Knight and Harrison, 2014). The erosion volumes and rates at Sedongpu dwarf even lake outburst floods, which have been suggested to be major drivers of erosion in the Himalayas (Cook et al., 2018). The changes at Sedongpu highlight an extreme glacier erosion potential and hazards related to it from debris flows and impacts on rivers. Such consequences of climate change in

195 glacierized mountains have so far not been considered at this magnitude.

Code availability

The DEMs were generated using the open-source software MicMac (Rupnik et al., 2017; MicMac, 2022). The MicMac code used for the present study is available from Girod and Filhol (2022).

Data availability

200 Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data are freely available from the ESA/EC Copernicus Sentinels Scientific Data Hub at https://scihub.copernicus.eu (Copernicus, 2020). Planet data (Dove and RapidEye) are not openly available as Planet is a commercial company. However, scientific access schemes to these data exist (https://www.planet.com/markets/education-and-research/). The original Pléiades and Spot stereo images are commercial (Airbus) and under academic license not transferable to other users. SeveralThe derived products DEMs can be made available on request for aAcademic use as

205 defined under the Airbus license.

Author contribution

A.K. developed the study concept, wrote the text, did most analyses and prepared the figures. L.G. prepared the DEMs, and commented to and edited the text.

Competing interests

210 All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted under ESA Glaciers_CCI and EarthExplorer 10 Harmony projects, and the University of Oslo "EarthFlows" research initiative.

Financial support

215 This work was funded by the ESA project Glaciers_CCI (4000127593/19/I-NS), and the ESA EarthExplorer10 Mission Advisory Group (4000127656/19/NL/FF/gp).

Table 1: Volume changes in the Sedongpu (Tibet) catchment over 2015–2022 as a consequence of glacier detachment, glacier bed erosion and rock-ice avalanches.

DEM data	Volume change glacier location (10^6 m^3, M m^3, ± 5)	Maximum elevation change (m)	Average erosion rate (m a^{-1})	Comment	Volume change rock-avalanche site (10^6 m^3, M m^3, ± 5)
13 Nov 2015					
	-174	-200		Oct 2018 glacier detachment, c. 130 10^6 m^3 from glacier, rest surrounding moraine	-50
30 Dec 2018					
	-12	-50	6		-1
12 Jan 2020					
	-12	-70	6	Contribution of rock-ice avalanche to changes at glacier location unclear	-50
30 Apr 2021					
	-279	-310	270	Erosion mostly in June–August 2021 (c. 30 m month^{-1})	-10
19 Sep 2021					
	-32	-120	10	Contribution of rock-ice avalanche to changes at glacier location unclear	-40
4 Nov 2022					
12/2018-11/2022	-335	-360	30	Maximum depths not at same location	-101
11/2015-11/2022	-508	-360	25	Average erosion rate from just before glacier detachment to 11/2022 c. 45 m a^{-1}	-151

Figure 1: Sedongpu basin on (a) 20 Nov 2016, (b) 31 Oct 2018, and (c) 19 Nov 2022 (credit: Copernicus Sentinel data). In 2017 a large rock-ice avalanche passed over the Sedongpu Glacier and in 2018, just before image (b) was taken, the glacier detached completely. Since then, a large ~335 10^6 m^3 canyon eroded at the location of the former glacier bed with by far highest erosion rates during summer 2021. The location where the massive 2021 erosion started is marked by a star. (d) Elevation changes 2015–2022 near the north crest of Gyala Peri showing the cumulative volume loss from three major ~50 10^6 m^3 rock-ice avalanches. The small white rectangle indicates the position of the elevation change inset (d). The large white rectangle indicates the location of Fig. 2.

Figure 2: Elevation changes over four periods (a)-(d) as derived from DEMs from optical satellite stereo data (Pleiades and Spot 6/7, stereo and tri-stereo). Note, the value ranges of the elevation change legends vary between panels, depending on the magnitude of observed changes. Corresponding elevation change rates are given in Table 1. For elevation profiles a and b see Fig. 3. For the star in panel b see Fig. 1. ~~The 2021–2022 DEM differences are more noisy than the others because the 2022 DEM was derived from Spot 6 data (lower resolution than Pleiades) with particularly small base-to-height ratios, in addition containing some fresh snow in higher terrain.~~

Figure 3: Elevation profile of 13 Nov 2015, 30 Dec 2018 (after glacier detachment), and 4 Nov 2022. The + signs in panel b indicate 2015–2018 elevation increases due to the 2017 rock-ice avalanche or splash deposits from the 2018 glacier detachment. For profile locations see Fig. 2.

References

An, B. S., Wang, W. C., Yang, W., Wu, G. J., Guo, Y. H., Zhu, H. F., Gao, Y., Bai, L., Zhang, F., Zeng, C., Wang, L., Zhou, J., Li, X., Li, J., Zhao, Z. J., Chen, Y. Y., Liu, J. S., Li, J. L., Wang, Z. Y., Chen, W. F., and Yao, T. D.: Process, mechanisms, and early warning of glacier collapse-induced river blocking disasters in the Yarlung Tsangpo Grand Canyon, southeastern Tibetan Plateau, Sci Total Environ, 816, ARTN 151652, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151652, 2022.

Ballantyne, C. K.: Paraglacial geomorphology, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 21, 1935-2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00005-7, 2002.

Carrivick, J. L., and Heckmann, T.: Short-term geomorphological evolution of proglacial systems, Geomorphology, 287, 3-28, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.037, 2017.

Cook, K. L., Andermann, C., Gimbert, F., Adhikari, B. R., and Hovius, N.: Glacial lake outburst floods as drivers of fluvial erosion in the Himalaya, Science, 362, 53-57, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4981, 2018.

Delaney, I., Bauder, A., Huss, M., and Weidmann, Y.: Proglacial erosion rates and processes in a glacierized catchment in the Swiss Alps, Earth Surf Proc Land, 43, 765-778, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4239, 2018.

Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Furst, J. J., Landmann, J., Machguth, H., Maussion, F., and Pandit, A.: A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth, Nat Geosci, 12, 168-+, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0300-3, 2019.

Gabet, E. J., Burbank, D. W., Pratt-Sitaula, B., Putkonen, J., and Bookhagen, B.: Modem erosion rates in the High Himalayas of Nepal, Earth Planet Sc Lett, 267, 482-494, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.11.059, 2008.

Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Kargel, J., Kääb, A., Gascoin, S., Leonard, G., Berthier, E., Karki, A., and Yao, T. D.: Mechanisms leading to the 2016 giant twin glacier collapses, Aru Range, Tibet, Cryosphere, 12, 2883-2900, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-2883-2018, 2018.

Girod, L., and Filhol, S.: MicMac code. https://zenodo.org/record/7380304#.ZBbbPt3MJPY, 2022.

Haeberli, W., Kääb, A., Paul, F., Chiarle, M., Mortara, G., Mazza, A., and Richardson, S.: A surge-type movement at Ghiacciaio del Belvedere and a developing slope instability in the east face of Monte Rosa, Macugnaga, Italian Alps, Nor. J. Geogr., 56, 104-111, 2002.

Hinderer, M., Kastowski, M., Kamelger, A., Bartolini, C., and Schlunegger, F.: River loads and modern denudation of the Alps - A review, Earth-Sci Rev, 118, 11-44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.01.001, 2013.

Hock, R., Rasul, G., Adler, C., Cáceres, B., Gruber, S., Hirabayashi, Y., Jackson, M., Kääb, A., Kang, S., Kutuzov, S., Milner, A., Molau, U., Morin, S., Orlove, B., and Steltzer, H.: High Mountain Areas, in: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (SROCC), edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E., Mintenbeck, E., Alegría, A., Nicolai, M., Okem, A., Petzold, J., Rama, B., and Weyer, N. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK and New York, USA 131-202, 2019.

Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., Brun, F., and Kaab, A.: Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century, Nature, 592, 726-+, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z, 2021.

Jacquemart, M., Loso, M., Leopold, M., Welty, E., Berthier, E., Hansen, J. S. S., Sykes, J., and Tiampo, K.: What drives large-scale glacier detachments? Insights from Flat Creek glacier, St. Elias Mountains, Alaska, Geol, https://doi.org/10.1130/g47211.1, 2020.

Jacquemart, M., Welty, E., Leopold, M., Loso, M., Lajoie, L., and Tiampo, K.: Geomorphic and sedimentary signatures of catastrophic glacier detachments: A first assessment from Flat Creek, Alaska, Geomorphology, 414, ARTN 108376, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2022.108376, 2022.

Knight, J., and Harrison, S.: Mountain Glacial and Paraglacial Environments under Global Climate Change: Lessons from the Past, Future Directions and Policy Implications, Geogr Ann A, 96, 245-264, https://doi.org/10.1111/geoa.12051, 2014.

285 Kääb, A., Leinss, S., Gilbert, A., Buhler, Y., Gascoin, S., Evans, S. G., Bartelt, P., Berthier, E., Brun, F., Chao, W. A., Farinotti, D., Gimbert, F., Guo, W. Q., Huggel, C., Kargel, J. S., Leonard, G. J., Tian, L. D., Treichler, D., and Yao, T. D.: Massive collapse of two glaciers in western Tibet in 2016 after surge-like instability, Nat Geosci, 11, 114-120, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0039-7, 2018.

Kääb, A., Jacquemart, M., Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Girod, L., Huggel, C., Falaschi, D., Ugalde, F., Petrakov, D., 290 Chernomorets, S., Dokukin, M., Paul, F., Gascoin, S., Berthier, E., and Kargel, J. S.: Sudden large-volume detachments of low-angle mountain glaciers more frequent than thought?, Cryosphere, 15, 1751-1785, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1751-2021, 2021.

Leinss, S., Bernardini, E., Jacquemart, M., and Dokukin, M.: Glacier detachments and rock-ice avalanches in the Petra Pervogo range, Tajikistan (1973-2019), Nat Hazard Earth Sys, 21, 1409-1429, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-1409-2021, 295 2021.

Li, W. L., Zhao, B., Xu, Q., Scaringi, G., Lu, H. Y., and Huang, R. Q.: More frequent glacier-rock avalanches in Sedongpu gully are blocking the Yarlung Zangbo River in eastern Tibet, Landslides, 19, 589-601, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-021-01798-z, 2022.

Liu, C. Z., Lu, J. T., Tong, L. Q., Chen, H. Q., Liu, Q. Q., Xiao, R. H., and Tu, J. N.: Research on glacial/rock fall-landslide-300 debris flows in Sedongpu basin along Yarlung Zangbo River in Tibet, Geol. China, 46, 219-234, 2019.

Meigs, A., Krugh, W. C., Davis, K., and Bank, G.: Ultra-rapid landscape response and sediment yield following glacier retreat, Icy Bay, southern Alaska, Geomorphology, 78, 207-221, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.01.029, 2006.

MicMac: https://micmac.ensg.eu/index.php/Accueil, 2022.

Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., and Morlighem, M.: Ice velocity and thickness of the world's glaciers, Nat Geosci, 15, 305 124-+, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00885-z, 2022.

Obu, J., Westermann, S., Bartsch, A., Berdnikov, N., Christiansen, H. H., Dashtseren, A., Delaloye, R., Elberling, B., Etzelmuller, B., Kholodov, A., Khomutov, A., Kääb, A., Leibman, M. O., Lewkowicz, A. G., Panda, S. K., Romanovsky, V., Way, R. G., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Wu, T. H., Yamkhin, J., and Zou, D. F.: Northern Hemisphere permafrost map based on TTOP modelling for 2000-2016 at 1 km(2) scale, Earth-Sci Rev, 193, 299-316, 310 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.04.023, 2019.

Rupnik, E., Deseilligny, M. P., Delorme, A., and Klinger, Y.: Refined satellite image orientation in the free open-source photogrammetric tools Apero/Micmac, ISPRS Ann Photo Rem, 3, 83-90, https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsannals-III-1-83-2016, 2016.

Rupnik, E., Daakir, M., and Pierrot Deseilligny, M.: MicMac – a free, open-source solution for photogrammetry, Open 315 geospatial data, softw. stand., 2, 14, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40965-017-0027-2, 2017.

Thiede, R. C., and Ehlers, T. A.: Large spatial and temporal variations in Himalayan denudation, Earth Planet Sc Lett, 371, 278-293, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.004, 2013.

Tong, L. Q., Tu, J. N., Pei, L. X., Guo, Z. C., Zheng, X. W., Fan, J. H., Zhong, X., Liu, C. L., Wang, S. S., He, P., and Chen, H.: Preliminary discussion of the frequent debris flow events in Sedongpu Basin at Gyala Peri peak, Yarlung Zangbo River, 320 J. Eng.Geol., 26, 1552-1561, https://doi.org/10.13544/j.cnki.jeg.2018-401, 2019.

Wang, W., Yang, J., and Wang, Y.: Dynamic processes of 2018 Sedongpu landslide in Namcha Barwa–Gyala Peri massif revealed by broadband seismic records, Landslides, 17, 409-418, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-019-01315-3, 2020.

Williams, H. B., and Koppes, M. N.: A comparison of glacial and paraglacial denudation responses to rapid glacial retreat, Ann Glaciol, 60, 151-164, https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2020.1, 2019.

325 Yang, W., Wang, Z., An, B., Chen, Y., Zhao, C., Li, C., Wang, Y., Wang, W., Li, J., Wu, G., Bai, L., Zhang, F., and Yao, T.: Early warning system for ice collapses and river blockages in the Sedongpu Valley, southeastern Tibetan Plateau, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss, [preprint] in review, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2023-38, 2023.

Zemp, M., Kääb, A., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: GIS-based modelling of glacial sediment balance, 138, 113-129, 2005.

Zhang, X. P., Hu, K. H., Liu, S., Nie, Y., and Han, Y. Z.: Comprehensive interpretation of the Sedongpu glacier-related mass
330 flows in the eastern Himalayan syntaxis, J Mt Sci-Engl, 19, 2469-2486, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-022-7376-8, 2022.

Zhao, C. X., Yang, W., Westoby, M., An, B. S., Wu, G. J., Wang, W. C., Wang, Z. Y., Wang, Y. J., and Dunning, S.: Brief communication: An approximately 50 Mm(3) ice-rock avalanche on 22 March 2021 in the Sedongpu valley, southeastern Tibetan Plateau, Cryosphere, 16, 1333-1340, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-1333-2022, 2022.

Zimmermann, M., and Haeberli, W.: Climatic change and debris flow activity in high-mountain areas - a case study in the
335 Swiss Alps, 22, 59-72, 1992.