1 Brief communication

2	Nonlinear sensitivity of glacier-mass balance to climate attested by
3	temperature-index models
4	Christian Vincent and Emmanuel Thibert
5	
6	Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble-INP, INRAE, Institut des Géosciences de
7	l'Environnement (IGE, UMR 5001), F-38000 Grenoble, France.
8	
9	Correspondence : Christian Vincent (christian.vincent@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr) and Emmanuel Thibert
10	(emmanuel.thibert@inrae.fr)
11	
12	Abstract
13	Temperature-index models have been widely used for glacier-mass projections spanning the 21 st
14	century. The ability of temperature-index models to capture nonlinear responses of glacier surface-mass
15	balance (SMB) to high deviations in air temperature and solid precipitation has recently been questioned
16	by mass-balance simulations employing advanced machine-learning techniques. Here, we performed
17	numerical experiments with a classic and simple temperature-index model and confirmed that such
18	models are capable of detecting nonlinear responses of glacier SMB to temperature and precipitation
19	changes. Nonlinearities derive from the change of the degree-day factor over the ablation season and
20	from the lengthening of the ablation season.
21	
22	Introduction
23	Glacier SMB projections in response to climate change up to the end of the 21 st century can be analysed
24	via physical approaches using energy-balance calculations and empirical approaches linking simple
25	meteorological variables to SMB such as temperature-index models. Most glacier-mass projections in
26	response to climate change in large-scale studies spanning the 21 st century have been based on

temperature-index models (Huss and Hock, 2015; Fox-Kemper *et al.*, 2021), given the lack of available
or reliable information on detailed future meteorological variables (Réveillet *et al.*, 2018). The deep
artificial neural network (ANN) approach is a promising new empirical approach to simulate SMB in
the future (Bolibar *et al.*, 2020). A neural network is a collection of interconnected simple processing
elements called neurons. These processing elements are assigned coefficients or weights, which
constitute the neural-network structure. Each weight is generated by the training process for the ANN
(Agatonovic-Kustrin and Beresford, 2000).

- 34 Recently, Bolibar et al. (2022) analysed the sensitivity of glacier SMB to future climate change using a 35 deep ANN. They write that, unlike linear statistical and temperature-index models, their deep-learning approach captures nonlinear responses of glacier SMB to high deviations in air temperature and solid 36 precipitation, improving the representation of extreme SMBs. Bolibar et al. (2022) argue that 37 temperature-index models, widely used to simulate the large-scale evolution of glaciers, provide only 38 39 linear relationships between positive degree-days (PDDs), solid precipitation and SMB. Here, we performed numerical experiments with a classic and simple temperature-index model and the results 40 41 demonstrated nonlinear responses of glacier SMB to temperature and precipitation changes.
- 42

43 Data

44 For our numerical experiments, we selected two very different glaciers in the French Alps. The first, the 45 Argentière Glacier, is located in the Mont-Blanc range (45°55' N, 6°57'E). Its surface area was 46 approximately 10.9 km² in 2018. The glacier extends from an altitude of approximately 3 400 m a.s.l. at 47 the upper bergschrund down to 1 600 m a.s.l. at the snout. It faces north-west, except for a large part of 48 the accumulation area (south-west facing tributaries). The second, the Sarennes Glacier, is a small south-49 facing glacier (0.51 km²) with a limited altitude range between 2 820 m and 3 160 m (mean values over 50 the period used for the present study), located in the Grande Rousses range (45°07'N; 6°07'E). The field 51 **SMB** observations of the Argentière and Sarennes glaciers come from the French glacier monitoring program GLACIOCLIM (Les GLACIers, un Observatoire du CLIMat; https://glacioclim.osug.fr/). 52 Annual SMBs were monitored in the ablation area of the Argentière Glacier between 1975 and 1993, 53 using 20 to 30 ablation stakes. Since 1993, systematic winter and summer mass-balance measurements 54

55	(May and September respectively) have been carried out over the entire surface of the glacier.
56	Approximately 40 sites were selected at various elevations representative of the whole surface.
57	Moreover, geodetic mass balances have been calculated using Digital Elevation Models on the basis of
58	an old map from 1905 and photogrammetric measurements carried out in 1949, 1980, 1993, 1998, 2003,
59	2008 and 2019 (Vincent et al., 2009). Since 1949, systematic winter and summer mass-balance
60	measurements have been carried out on the Sarennes glacier, from which annual balances are calculated
61	(Thibert <i>et al.</i> , 2013).
62	We used the atmospheric temperature and precipitation data from the SAFRAN (Système d'Analyse
63	Fournissant des Renseignements Adaptés à la Nivologie, Analysis system for the provision of
64	information for snow research) reanalysis process that are available from 1958 to date (Durand et al.,
65	2009; Verfaillie et al., 2018). SAFRAN disaggregates large-scale meteorological analyses and
66	observations in the French Alps. The analyses provide hourly meteorological data as a function of seven
67	slope exposures (N, S, E, W, SE, SW and flat) and altitude (at 300 m intervals up to 3 600 m a.s.l), and
68	that differ for each mountain range (e.g. Mont Blanc, Vanoise and Grandes Rousses ranges).
69	
70	Method
71	We ran numerical experiments with a classic simple temperature-index model (Hock, 1999; Reveillet et

- 72 al., 2017) and using SAFRAN reanalysis data (Durand et al., 2009; Verfaillie et al., 2018). These
- 73 numerical experiments were run on the two very different French glaciers, Argentière and Sarennes,
- observed over several decades (Thibert *et al.*, 2013; Vincent *et al.*, 2009). The SMB model was run for
- 75 each day using the equation:
- 76 SMB=DDF_{snow/ice}. T + k. P,
- 77 Where:
- T is the difference between the mean daily air temperature and the melting point,
- $DDF_{snow/ice}$ is the degree-day factor for snow and ice and DDF=0 if T<0°C,
- 80 P is the precipitation (m w.e.),
- 81 k is a ratio between snow accumulation and precipitation and k=0 if T>0°C.

82 The degree-day factors for snow and ice were 0.0035 and 0.0055 m w.e. K⁻¹d⁻¹ for the Argentière glacier

(Reveillet *et al.*, 2017) and 0.0041 and 0.0068 m w.e. $K^{-1}d^{-1}$ for the Sarennes glacier (Thibert *et al.*,

84 2013). The point-mass balances were calculated for each elevation, for the Argentière and Sarennes

85 glaciers. In addition, we calculated the glacier-wide mass balance of the Argentière glacier using the

86 point-mass balances for the elevation range and the geodetic mass balances (Vincent *et al.*, 2009).

- 87 Parameter *k* depends on the site elevation in accounting for the precipitation gradient and is determined
- 88 from the winter-balance measurements and precipitation data.
- Other enhanced temperature-index models including potential direct solar radiation could be used for our study, but here the purpose is to show that responses in SMB are not linear to temperature or precipitation changes even using a simple degree-day model.
- 92

93 **Results**

- 94 The reconstruction of the glacier-wide MBs of these glaciers from our simple temperature-index model 95 shows good agreement with data (Fig. 1). Using these reconstructed MBs, we calculated the SMB 96 sensitivities to temperature and winter precipitation at 2 750 metres and 3 100 metres on the Argentière 97 and Sarennes glaciers respectively (Fig. 2). These altitudes were selected because they correspond to 98 the approximate center of the glaciers. For each day of each series, we calculated an annual SMB 99 anomaly by adding a temperature anomaly or a precipitation anomaly. The anomaly was generated as a 100 shift (increment/decrement) of the mean of the distribution of the original data in temperatures and 101 winter balances. The distribution around the means was unchanged (same year-to-year variability as 102 found in the original data).
- We report the results in Figure 2 to mirror Figure 3 of Bolibar *et al.* (2022) and make the comparison
 easier. We also ran these numerical experiments at different altitudes and over the entire glacier surface
 of the Argentière glacier (Fig. 3).
- From our experiments, we found first that the response of SMB to temperature, using a temperatureindex model, is not linear, contrary to the conclusions of Bolibar *et al.* (2022) relative to temperatureindex models. As expected, the sensitivity of annual SMB (i.e. the slope of the green curves in the graphs of Figure 2) increases with the PDD anomaly. To explain the physical processes involved in

110 nonlinearity, we again used our PDD model, but using synthetic data for atmospheric temperature changes over a year (Fig. 4a). The reference scenario (unforced temperature and winter-balance 111 reference conditions) of synthetic data is typical for a location in the upper ablation area of an Alpine 112 glacier (cumulative PDD of 800 degree.days from early May to early October; 1 700 mm of winter 113 balance). We use increments of ± 1 K (-5K; +5K) to analyse the response of SMB. PDD factors for snow 114 and ice come from Thibert *et al.* (2013). As shown in Figure 4, the nonlinearity with respect to 115 116 temperature forcing (the spread between SMB plots in Fig.4c) comes from (i) the lengthening of the 117 ablation season (Fig.4a) and (ii) the earlier disappearance of the winter snow cover which increases the ablation rate due to the change in the degree-day factor from snow to ice (Fig. 4b). 118 Concerning the winter balance, runs of our PDD model on synthetic data under different conditions of 119 winter balance (Fig. 5) used a reference scenario of 1 700 mm of winter balance changed by increments 120 of ±300 mm in precipitation. We found a nonlinear response of SMBs to winter precipitation with our 121 122 PDD model and this is also inconsistent with the conclusions of Bolibar et al. (2022) relative to the sensitivity of temperature-index models. For instance, with winter accumulation decreased by -123 124 1500 mm, ice ablation starts very early (by the end of May) and the annual MB is -5.55 m w.e. a⁻¹ in October. With winter accumulation increased by +1500 mm, ice ablation starts in mid-September and 125 the annual MB is -0.21 m w.e. a⁻¹ in October. This asymmetry clearly shows that the response to winter 126 127 accumulation is not linear. Results show that the increase in sensitivity can be physically explained by 128 the earlier disappearance of the winter snow cover. The earlier and abrupt increase in the ablation rate 129 under lower conditions of winter balance (Fig.5a) results in nonlinearity attested by the spread between 130 **SMB** plots in Figure 5b. Surprisingly, we detect sensitivity to winter accumulation, contrary to the 131 Bolibar et al. (2022) findings using their ANN (Fig. 2 and 3). Indeed, MB sensitivity increases with low 132 winter-accumulation anomalies using our model, but decreases in the deep-learning model of Bolibar et 133 al. (2022). Our results are consistent with direct in-situ observations (Six and Vincent, 2014) and also consistent with the results reported by Reveillet et al. (2018) from observations and energy-balance 134 modelling. The opposite results obtained from the deep-learning model are paradoxical and may be due 135 136 to an issue in the calibration of the model.

Summing up, the ability of PDD models to provide nonlinear sensitivity to air temperature and solid precipitation is due to the different ablation rates and the associated change in the degree-day factor that can be involved depending on snow or ice conditions at the glacier surface. An additional nonlinearity to temperature forcing is caused by changes in the ablation duration.

141 Another question arises in the Discussion section of Bolibar et al. (2022), concerning the comparison between their results and those from other studies. The authors claim that all glacier models in the 142 143 Glacier Model Intercomparison Project (GlacierMIP) (Hock et al., 2019) rely on SMB models with 144 linear relationships between PDDs, melt and precipitation. The authors argue that these PDD models 145 present behaviour very similar to the linear-build statistical LASSO model. This is erroneous given that, 146 most of the temperature-index models used in GlacierMIP include two degree-day factors. 147 Consequently, they cannot provide a linear response to climate forcing as shown above. In the Bolibar 148 et al. (2022) paper, the MB anomalies in response to climate forcing were obtained using a linear LASSO SMB model, which is based on a regularized multi-linear regression. The choice of the LASSO model 149 is even more surprising given that the authors also used the GloGEMflow model in their paper (see their 150 151 Discussion section), which is a temperature-index model widely used for glacier projections (Zekollari *et al.* 2019). 152

153

154 Conclusions

From our numerical experiments with a classic and simple temperature-index model, we found nonlinear responses of glacier SMB to temperature and precipitation changes. These results question those of Bolibar *et al.* (2022), who argue that temperature-index models provide only linear relationships between positive degree-days (PDDs), solid precipitation and SMB.

We tried to understand the cause of this discrepancy. Bolibar *et al.* (2022) compare the response of SMB to climate forcing (air temperature, winter and summer snow falls) using a deep-learning approach and a LASSO model. From this comparison, they conclude that deep learning provides a nonlinear response, contrary to the LASSO model. The conclusions of Bolibar *et al.* (2022) may be due to the use of a linear LASSO SMB model instead of a temperature-index model. We would suggest testing the capability of

an ANN to capture nonlinearity by comparing its results with that of the GloGEM Positive Degree-Day(PDD) model that they used in their paper.

166 Regarding specifically SMB changes due to solid precipitations, the deep-learning model used by Bolibar et al. (2022) foresees decreasing sensitivity under low winter-accumulation conditions. We 167 point out that this result directly contradicts PDD model outcomes. We explain in physical terms why a 168 PDD model projects higher sensitivity to low winter accumulation, but do not yet understand why the 169 170 approach of Bolibar et al. (2022) does not. Given that detailed meteorological variables are highly unpredictable in the future, most glacier-mass 171 projections in response to climate change in large-scale studies spanning the 21st century are still today 172 173 based on temperature-index models with simple temperature and precipitation variables. It follows that the questions raised here relative to the nonlinear responses of surface SMB to meteorological variables 174

- are crucial.
- 176

Data availability

- 178 This commentary does not include original data. All data referred to in the text have been published
- 179 elsewhere. Field data are accessible through the project website at <u>https://glacioclim.osug.fr.</u>
- 180 Results from the PDD simulations on synthetic data are accessible from the open data
 181 repository: <u>10.5281/zenodo.7603415</u>.

182

- **183** Author contributions
- 184 ET and CV ran the numerical modelling calculations and produced the analysis. CV supervised the study
- and wrote the paper. Both authors contributed to discussion of the results.

186

187 Competing interests

188 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

189

190 Acknowledgements

- 191 This study was funded by Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Grenoble (OSUG) and Institut des
- 192 Sciences de l'Univers (INSU-CNRS) in the framework of the French GLACIOCLIM (Les GLACIers,
- 193 *un Observatoire du CLIMat*) program. We thank all those who conducted the field measurements. We
- are grateful to Cary Bartsch for reviewing the English.
- 195
- 196 **References**
- 197
- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S. and Beresford, R. : Basic concepts of artificial neural network (ANN) modeling
 and its application in pharmaceutical research, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 22,
- 200 5, https:// doi.org./ 10.1016/s0731-7085(99)00272-1,2000.
- 201
- Bolibar, J., Rabatel, A., Gouttevin, I., Galiez, C., Condom, T., and Sauquet, E.: Deep learning applied
 to glacier evolution modelling, The Cryosphere, 14, 565–584, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-565-2020,
 2020.
- 205
- Bolibar, J., Rabatel, A., Gouttevin, I., Zekollari, H. and Galiez, C.: Nonlinear sensitivity of glacier mass
 balance to future climate change unveiled by deep learning, Nature Communications 13, 409,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28033-0, 2022.
- 209
- 210 Durand, Y., Laternser, M., Giraud, G., Etchevers, P., Lesaffre, B. and Mérindol, L.: Reanalysis of 44 yr 211 of climate in the French Alps (1958–2002): Methodology, model validation, climatology, and trends for Meteorol. 429-449. 212 air temperature and precipitation, J. Appl. Clim., 48. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1808.1, 2009. 213
- 214
- Fox-Kemper, B., et al. Ocean, Cryosphere and Sea Level Change. In Climate Change 2021: The
 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors,

	9
244 245	me French Alps. J. Glaciol. 60, 807–878. doi:10.5189/2014J0G14J014, 2014.
243	Six, D. and vincent, C.: Sensitivity of mass balance and equilibrium-line altitude to climate change in
242	Sin D and Vincent C. Considering the large half and a sufficient line that the line to the second seco
241	https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1367-2018, 2018.
240	glacier surface mass balance of Saint-Sorlin Glacier (French Alps), The Cryosphere, 12, 1367–1386,
239	Litt, M.: Relative performance of empirical and physical models in assessing the seasonal and annual
238	Réveillet, M., Six, D., Vincent, C., Rabatel, A., Dumont, M., Lafaysse, M., Morin, S., Vionnet, V., and
237	
236	glacier mass balances ?, J. Glaciol., 63, 39-54, https://doi:10.1017/jog.2016.110, 2017.
235	Reveillet, M., Vincent, C., Six, D. and Rabatel, A.: Which empirical model is best suited to simulate
234	
233	anthropogenic and natural causes, Science, 345, 919-921, https://doi: 10.1126/science.1254702, 2014.
232	Marzeion, B., Cogley, J.G., Richter, K. and Parkes, D.: Attribution of global glacier mass loss to
231	
230	https://doi:10.3389/feart.2015.00054, 2015.
229	Huss, M. and Hock, R.: New model for global glacier change and sea-level rise, Front. Earth Sc., 3,
228	
227	and projections, Journal of Glaciology, 65 (251), 453-467, https://doi:10.1017/jog.2019.22, 2019.
226	Hock, R. et al.: GlacierMIP – A model intercomparison of global-scale glacier mass-balance models
225	
224	115, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00257-9, 2003.
223	Hock, R.: Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas, Journal of Hydrology, 282, 1-4, 104-
222	
221	https//doi:10.1017/9781009157896.011, 2021.
220	University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1211-1362,
219	J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge
218	C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy,

246	Thibert, E., Eckert, N. and Vincent, C.: Climatic drivers of seasonal glacier mass balances: an analysis
247	of 6 decades at Glacier de Sarennes (French Alps), The Cryosphere ,7, 47–66, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-
248	7-47-2013, 2013.

- Verfaillie, D., Lafaysse, M., Déqué, M., Eckert, N., Lejeune, Y. and Morin, S.: Multi-component
 ensembles of future meteorological and natural snow conditions for 1500 m altitude in the Chartreuse
 mountain range, Northern French Alps, The Cryosphere, 12, 1249–1271, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-</u>
 <u>1249-2018, 2018.</u>
 Vincent, C., Soruco, A., Six, D. and Le Meur, E.: Glacier thickening and decay analysis from 50 years
- Theorem of the set of
- of glaciological observations performed on Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc area, France, Ann.
- 257 Glaciol., 50 (50), 73-79, https:// doi:10.31189/172756409787769500, 2009.
- 258
- 259 Zekollari, H., Huss, M., and Farinotti, D.: Modelling the future evolution of glaciers in the European
- Alps under the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble, The Cryosphere, 13, 1125–1146,
- 261 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1125-2019,</u>2019.
- 262
- 263
- 264

265



Figure 1. Glacier-wide mass balance of the Argentière glacier (1990-2015) and the Sarennes glacier
(1949-2015). Observations and simulations from the simple degree-day model used in our experiments.



Figure 2. Response of mass balance to climate forcing using a temperature-index model (green line) at
2 750 m and 3 100 m on the Argentiere (left panel) and Sarennes (right panel) glaciers, respectively.
The red dashed lines are the best linear fit. Note that in such graphs, the sensitivity of the mass blance
to temperature and winter accumulation changes is the slope of the curves.





Figure 3. Response of annual mass balance to air temperature (left panel) and to winter accumulation (right panel) using a temperature-index model (green line) on the Argentiere glacier. The red dashed lines are the best fit forced through the origin.



288

Figure 4. Positive degree-day model running on synthetic data (response to air temperature). Evolution of air temperatures (a), ablation rates (b) and mass balance (c) over the year, according to different temperature scenarios, calculated at 2 800 m. Note the jump in ablation rates when ablation shifts from snow to ice. This occurs earlier with temperature forcing. Note also the lengthening of the ablation season with rise in temperature.

295



297

Figure 5. Positive degree-day model running on synthetic data (response to winter balance). Change in ablation rates (a) and mass balance (b) over the year, according to different winter-balance scenarios calculated at 2 800 m. Note the jump in ablation rates when ablation shifts from snow to ice. This occurs earlier under lower winter-balance conditions. Note that the duration of the ablation season is unchanged under variable winter-balance conditions.

- 303
- 304
- 305
- 306