Recent changes in Pan-Arctic sea ice, lake ice, and snow on/off timing

Alicia A. Dauginis¹, Laura C. Brown¹ ¹Department of Geography, University of Toronto Mississauga, L5L 1C6, Canada Correspondence to: LC Brown (lc.brown@utoronto.ca)

5

Abstract. Arctic snow and ice cover are vital indicators of climate variability and change, yet while the Arctic shows overall warming and dramatic changes in snow and ice cover, the response of these high-latitude regions to recent climatic change varies regionally. Although previous studies have examined changing snow and ice separately, examining phenology changes

- 10 across multiple components of the cryosphere together is important for understanding how these components, and their response to climate forcing, are interconnected. In this work, we examine recent changes in sea ice, lake ice and snow together at the pan-Arctic scale using the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System 24 km product from 1997 2019, with a more detailed regional examination from 2004 2019 using the 4 km product. We show overall that for sea ice, trends towards earlier open water (-7.7 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05) and later final freeze (10.6 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05) are evident. Trends
- 15 towards earlier first snow-off (-4.9 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05), combined with trends toward earlier first snow-on (-2.8 d decade⁻¹ p < 0.05), lead to almost no change in the length of the snow-free season, despite shifting earlier in the year. Sea ice-off, lake ice-off and snow-off parameters were significantly correlated, with stronger correlations during the snow/ice-off season compared to the snow/ice-on season. Regionally, the Bering and Chukchi Seas show the most pronounced response to warming, with the strongest trends identified toward earlier ice-off and later ice-on. This is consistent with earlier snow/lake</p>
- 20 ice-off and later snow/lake ice-on in west and southwest Alaska. In contrast to this, significant clustering between sea ice, lake ice and snow-on trends in the eastern portion of the North American Arctic show an earlier return of snow and ice. The marked regional variability in snow and ice phenology across the pan-Arctic highlights the complex relationships between snow and ice, and their response to climatic change, and warrants detailed monitoring to understand how different regions of the Arctic are responding to ongoing changes.

1

1 Introduction

The cryosphere is the second largest component of the global climate system after the ocean, exerting significant effects on the Earth's energy balance, atmospheric circulation, and heat transport (Lemke et al. 2007; Callaghan et al. 2011; Derksen et al. 2012). The relevance for climate variability and change is based on physical properties, such as high surface reflectivity

- 30 (albedo) and latent heat associated with phase changes, both of which have a strong impact on the surface energy balance (Lemke et al. 2007). The extent and duration of snow and ice cover have direct feedbacks to the climate system as they strongly influence planetary albedo (Rahmstorf, 2010; Derksen et al. 2012). Seasonal snow and ice cover are also important for Arctic ecosystems as they rely on snow and ice cover for feeding, transportation, and habitat (Dersken et al. 2012). Additionally, the traditional ways of life of many Northern residents depend on snow and ice cover for sources of food, transportation, and
- 35 economic activities (Derksen et al. 2012). Recent assessments reveal strong linkages between decreasing snow and ice cover and increasing temperatures in the Arctic (e.g. Hernandez-Henriquez et al. 2015; Johannessen et al. 2016; Druckenmiller and Ritcher-Menge, 2020). Reductions in sea ice extent, decreases in snow cover duration, and earlier melt onset in Arctic and sub-Arctic lakes have been reported (Serreze and Stroeve, 2015; Surdu et al. 2016; Mudryk et al. 2018). Arctic surface air temperatures in 2019 were the second highest in the 120-year (1900 – present) observational record (Druckenmiller and
- 40 Richter-Menge, 2020), and are projected to continue to increase well into the twenty-first century (Overland, 2020). Though the Arctic as a whole is undergoing climatic change, observations are often marked by regional differences tied in part to global connections via the atmosphere and ocean (Druckenmiller and Richter-Menge, 2020). For example, sea ice in the Alaska/Russia region has shown large reductions in extent over the past decade, which has been linked to strong warming and large sea surface temperature anomalies in this area (Druckenmiller and Richter-Menge, 2020; Perovich et al. 2020). The
- 45 Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA), however, has been shown to exhibit earlier freeze trends during recent years (e.g. Dauginis and Brown, 2020) and weaker trends toward earlier melt onset compared to other Arctic regions (e.g. Mahmud et al. 2016; Marshall et al. 2019). Furthermore, the effect of warming on sea ice dynamics in this region can be counterintuitive as warming could result in increased ice import from the Arctic Ocean into the CAA (Melling, 2002; Howell and Brady, 2019; Moore et al., 2021). Therefore, monitoring Arctic snow and ice cover is critical to improve our understanding of this complex and variable region in the context of climate variability and change.

Monitoring Arctic snow and ice cover largely relies on the use of satellite observations, as ground-based observations are constrained by limited in situ data, large gaps and biases in surface observing networks, and limited geographic coverage (Brown et al. 2010; Brown and Duguay, 2011). Satellite-based microwave data are most used in snow and ice monitoring as they provide information regardless of solar illumination and cloud cover (Brown et al. 2014). Microwave measurements have

55 been used to estimate snow (both on land and on sea ice) and ice melt and freeze onset (e.g. Howell et al. 2006; Yackel et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2017; Bliss et al. 2017; Bliss et al. 2019) at various spatial resolutions ranging from 6.25 to 25 km (Brown et al. 2014). The Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) and Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR) passive microwave datasets have been widely used in snow and sea ice mapping (e.g. Cho et al. 2017; 2

(Deleted: Markus et al. 2009

- 60 Lynch et al. 2017; Crawford et al. 2018). Passive microwave data are well-suited for snow and ice monitoring due to allweather imaging capabilities and long available records (since the late 1970s), though the coarse resolution (25 km) limits their application and reduces the accuracy of estimates (Derksen et al. 2004; Gao et al. 2010; De Lannoy et al. 2012). There are well-documented uncertainties in using passive microwave measurements to retrieve snow water equivalent and snow cover extent due to differences in snow and surface cover properties (e.g. snow depth, snow grain size, topography, vegetation),
- 65 which influence microwave emission and backscatter (Brown et al. 2010; Park et al. 2012; Tedesco et al. 2015). The coarse spatial resolution also limits the ability of the sensors to resolve small leads and polynyas and can result in errors near coastal areas due to pixel-based land contamination (Howell et al. 2006; Brown et al. 2014). Johnson and Eicken (2016) note that strong brightness temperature contrasts across pixels can result in falsely high estimates of sea ice concentration, particularly during the summer when there is open water near coastal areas, while in contrast, it is known that that passive microwave data
- 70 can underrepresent sea ice coverage when liquid water is present (melt ponds on the ice, or wet snow) (e.g., Meier, 2005). SMMR and SSM/I are less commonly used in lake ice applications as the spatial resolution limits analyses to large lakes only. Additionally, the 85 GHz channel is susceptible to considerable atmospheric interference, and the 25 km spatial resolution can result in large differences in water/land brightness temperatures (Cavalieri et al. 1999; Howell et al. 2009).
- Optical remote sensing data have also been used to monitor Arctic snow and ice cover (e.g. Nitze et al. 2017; Young et al. 2018) as they provide an improved spatial resolution (e.g. 500 m Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer Snow Product) compared to passive microwave data. The use of optical imagery is limited to the spring and summer months in highlatitude regions as there is no source of illumination during late fall and winter due to polar darkness. Additionally, the poor temporal resolution of some optical data (e.g. 16 days for Landsat, 8-day MODIS snow product) can introduce uncertainty and inaccuracy into estimates of snow conditions on the Earth's surface. Active microwave data have been used successfully in
- 80 snow (e.g. Brown et al. 2007), sea ice (e.g. Mortin et al. 2014), and lake ice (e.g. Howell et al. 2009) applications. Active microwave algorithms using synthetic aperture radar (SAR) provide high resolution (20 to 100 m) retrieval of snow and ice parameters (e.g. Surdu et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2018; Howell and Brady, 2019). SAR estimates of snow and ice cover provide the highest spatial resolution compared to other products, however the moderate temporal resolution, narrow swath width, and limited image availability across the Arctic limits the application of SAR to smaller geographic regions (Brown et al. 2014;
- 85 Howell et al. 2019). Multisensor approaches exploiting advantages of microwave and optical sensors have been used to estimate snow thickness on first year sea ice (e.g. Zheng et al. 2017) and to resolve leads and polynyas at an improved spatial resolution (e.g. Ludwig et al. 2019). The all-weather capabilities of microwave data combined with high temporal resolution of optical imagery can improve estimates of snow and ice parameters in the Arctic.
- An alternative approach to snow and ice mapping is the use of the National Ice Center Interactive Multisensor Snow 90 and Ice Mapping System (IMS) product. IMS is created using a variety of multi-sourced datasets (e.g. optical imagery, microwave data, ancillary data) and provides daily maps of snow and ice cover at 24 km, 4 km, and 1 km spatial resolutions (Ramsay 1998; Helfrich et al. 2007). The daily temporal resolution and all-weather monitoring capabilities make IMS suitable in snow cover applications (e.g. Brubaker et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2012; Yu et al. 2017) and lake ice monitoring on large lakes
 - 3

(e.g. Brown and Duguay, 2012; Duguay et al. 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015; Duguay and Brown, 2018). Though not commonly 95 used in sea ice applications, Brown et al. (2014) show that IMS is advantageous over several automated algorithms for monitoring sea ice phenology. IMS is also able to improve sea ice estimates by reducing land contamination and better representing coastal regions compared to passive microwave estimates (Brown et al. 2014), and to resolve finer-scale details between narrow ocean channels (Dauginis and Brown, 2020). This work expands on the work of Dauginis and Brown (2020) and examines changes in sea ice, lake ice, and snow phenology from 1997-2019 across the pan-Arctic. The objectives of this paper are to 1) assess changes in sea ice, lake ice, and snow phenology from 1997 - 2019 across the pan-Arctic and 2) analyze

regional changes in snow and ice phenology during more recent years (2004 - 2019) across the pan-Arctic.

100

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Study regions

- In this study, regions north of 56° were considered when examining pan-Arctic snow and ice phenology (Figure 1), to 105 include much of the southern limits of the sea ice in the Bering Strait and large Arctic lakes that can be resolved using IMS. For the second section of the results, a regional approach was taken. For snow and lake ice, phenology parameters were considered on a hemispheric scale (i.e. North America and Eurasia). Further regional subdivisions are provided in Table 2 for the snow and lake ice trends. For sea ice, phenology parameters were examined in three broad regions (with some subregions included in Table 3): Canadian Arctic, Alaska/Far East Russia, and Eurasian Arctic. 'Canadian Arctic' includes Baffin Bay,
- 110 Hudson Bay, and the CAA; 'Alaska/ Far East Russia' includes the Beaufort, Chukchi, and Bering seas; 'Eurasian Arctic' includes the East Siberian, Laptev, Kara, Barents, and Greenland seas. These regions were grouped based on similar trends in phenology parameters and differences in climate and weather characteristics at the hemispheric scale.

2.2 Data

Snow and ice data were obtained from the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System archived at the 115 National Snow and Ice Data Centre (2004 - present, https://nsidc.org/data/G02156/versions/1) as well as from the National Ice Centre (2014 - present, https://usicecenter.gov/Products/ImsHome), IMS is an operational product used to map daily snow and ice cover over the Northern Hemisphere at 1 km (2014 - present; not used in this study due to the limited time series), 4 km (2004 - present), and 24 km (1997 - present) spatial resolutions. Analysts use a variety of multi-sourced datasets (for a complete list of data sources, see National Snow and Ice Data Center, https://nsidc.org/data/g02156) to subjectively produce 120 maps with discrete values assigned to land, snow-covered land, water, and ice. Snow mapping primarily relies on visible imagery; however, if visible imagery is unavailable due to cloud occlusion or low solar illumination, microwave data is used instead (Helfrich et al. 2007; Brown et al. 2010). As misidentification errors associated with microwave data can occur, analysts rely more on snow climatology compared to microwave data to estimate high latitude snow cover during winter months (Chang

et al. 1996; Foster et al. 2005; Helfrich et al. 2007; Derksen 2008; Brown et al. 2010). Ice cover analysis primarily relies on 4

Deleted: in the first section of the results Deleted:

Deleted: 4

Deleted: 4

Deleted:

- 130 AVHRR or MODIS observations, however microwave-based retrievals and ice climatology are used when visible imagery is unavailable, with microwave retrievals representing approximately 30-35% of the ice cover input (Helfrich et al. 2007). IMS has been shown to outperform data from traditional passive microwave products (AMRS-E, SSM/I, SSMI-SSMIS) for both the timing and extent of first open water in the Arctic (Brown et al., 2014). For example, through the Barrow Strait in the CAA, the ability of the 4 km IMS data to resolve narrow channels lead to 17% more open water detected than with SSM/I, and 35%
- 135 more open water than detected with AMSR-E, validated with RADARSAT-1 (Brown et al., 2014). Overall, most pixels compared between IMS and the two passive microwave datasets for first open water dates were within ± 5 days, with a greater percentage of the pixels in the categories beyond the ± 5 days identifying open water earlier with IMS than the other products (Brown et al., 2014). IMS has been shown to map higher snow cover fractions during the spring melt period than other snow products (Brown et al. 2010; Frei and Lee, 2010), but is reported to have mostly between 80-90% agreement with other snow products during the winter season of non-arctic North America, with better agreement in the later part of the winter season
- when deeper and more extensive snow cover is present (Chen et al., 2012). For lake ice, the 4 km IMS product occasionally identifies earlier lake ice-on dates in regions of prolonged cloud cover (e.g., northern Quebec, Canada), though both ice-on and -off timing detected using IMS are significantly correlated with, and comparable to, phenology dates extracted from the MODIS Snow Cover product (Brown and Duguay, 2012).

Temperature data (2 m) are from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA5 global reanalysis (CS3, 2017 DOI: 10.24381/cds.f17050d7; Hersbach et al., 2020) and were compared to changes in snow and ice phenology. ERA5 provides coverage of the entire Arctic at a spatial resolution of approximately 31 km (0.25°). Monthly temperature data were used to calculate temperature trends from 2004 - 2019. Temperature data near the surface (1000 mb) 150 were reported to have a 0.89 K difference from radiosonde observations, and the ensemble spread is quite low at ~ 0.4 K or less, from 1979 - 2018, which can be used as an indicator of uncertainty (Hersbach et al., 2020). Compared to radiosonde temperature profiles in the Fram Strait, ERA5 had the smallest bias ($\leq 0.3^{\circ}$) and RMSE ($\leq 1.0^{\circ}$), and highest correlation coefficients (≥ 0.96) over four other reanalysis datasets tested (ERA-Interim, JRA-55, MERRA-2, CFSv2) (Graham et al., 2019). The 2 m air temperature in ERA5 has improved fit to observations in the Arctic compared to its predecessor ERA-155 Interim (Hersbach et al., 2020), though Wang et al. (2019) show ERA5 has a warm bias over sea ice compared to observation data from buoys. The identified warm bias is stronger in the cold season, particularly when the 2 m air temperature is below -25°C (daily mean value of 5.4°C), however monthly mean differences between ERA5 and buoys are ~2°C or less through all months other than March, April, and May (Wang et al., 2019). Regionally, ERA5 performs best in the Central Arctic, followed by the Pacific Sector; the Atlantic sector shows good agreement only while the 2 m temperatures are above -25°C (Wang et 160 al., 2019). We acknowledge that some small potentially spurious regions of opposite trend directions appear in some months of the temperature trend maps (e.g., February: Eastern Siberia, March and October: Arctic Ocean) however these data are not

used in a quantitative comparison and therefore do not affect the overall discussion.

Downwelling longwave radiation has been linked to melt onset in the Arctic Ocean (e.g., Mortin et al., 2016). To further explore the linkages in the phenology data, downwelling longwave radiation data from the Extended AVHRR Polar Pathfinder (APP-x) was obtained from NOAA National Centres for Environmental Information (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/avhrr-polar-pathfinder-extended/access/)(Key et al., 2019). APP-x data is provided as 25 km EASE grid projection, processed for 0400 and 1400 (LST). Due to large areas of missing data between ~ 59 - 64°N, the mean monthly values were created from the 0400 and 1400 separately to avoid averaging errors where data exist for one time and not the other (to avoid skewing the average with the diurnal differences). Some artificial patterns are evident in the data (e.g., March, Figure 9c, near the pole), however for the purpose of regional comparisons this is not limiting as this region is not used in quantitative comparisons. Downwelling longwave radiation at the surface is calculated using a neural network to simulate a radiative model (see Key and Schweiger 1998; Key et al., 2016). Downwelling longwave radiation was selected from APPx rather than ERA5 as the APP-x dataset has been determined as 'climate data record quality' and has been validated against

175 in situ data with a bias of only 2.1 Wm⁻² and RMSE of 22.4 Wm⁻² (with the higher RMSE values attributed to differences in surface snow fall between the sampling site and the 25 km x 25 km area represented) (Key et al, 2016).

2.3 Methodology

The 24 km and 4 km IMS products were used to examine changes in snow and ice phenology dates across the pan-Arctic following the methodology of Brown et al. (2014) and Dauginis and Brown (2020). For each pixel, consecutive days of

- 180 IMS imagery were compared to determine the first and last changes between snow/ice and land/water to determine the timing of the snow/ice-on and off parameters examined. The phenology parameters used in this study and their definitions can be found in Table 1. Only the first and last change from ice/water and vice versa are tracked for this work, giving first and final dates of change. In sea ice regions dominated by thermodynamics, there is little difference between first and final timing, whereas in more active ice regions there could be a more notable difference between the first and final timings as the ice moves
- 185 past that pixel. Most lakes are dominated by thermodynamics and return similar first and final dates, however, lakes with more ice motion (e.g., Lake Onega and Ladoga) may show a difference in their timings. For snow, warmer regions where more frequent snowmelt occurs tend to show a larger variation in first and final dates compared to the northern regions where the snow typically remains on the ground for the season. Open water duration and snow-free duration are defined as the time between the final change in the spring to the first change in the fall (WCIs to FOs, and last_sofF to first_son). The 24 km IMS
- product was used to examine trends in mean snow and sea ice phenology dates across the pan-Arctic from 1997 2019. For lake ice, only the 4 km IMS product (2004 2019) was used since the 24 km product can only detect very large lakes (Figure 2). In addition to detecting more lakes, the 4 km IMS product can also provide more detailed information on lake ice phenology within each lake, as shown in Figure 2.

To investigate the relationship between phenology parameters and temperature, and phenology parameters and downwelling longwave radiation (two important drivers of phenology in the arctic), regional correlations between variables were examined using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) as this method describes the overall strength of the

relationship between two variables and does not require data to follow independent normal distributions (non-parametric) (Hauke and Kossowski, 2011). All data was projected to match the IMS data, and the centre point of all grid cells within each specific region were used for the analysis. Datasets were detrended prior to correlation analysis to ensure relationships were

200

specific region were used for the analysis. Datasets were detrended prior to correlation analysis to ensure relationships were not a result of a shared trend, but rather driven by actual relationships between variability in phenology parameters and temperature (Pizzolato et al. 2014). Data were detrended using the "pracma" package in R (https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=pracma) which removes the linear trend from a given dataset by computing the least-squares fit of a straight line to the data and subtracting the resulting function from the data (Borchers, 2019). The detrended data were then used to calculate Spearman correlation coefficients between phenology parameters and temperature.

205

5 To evaluate spatial trends in snow and ice phenology_4 km IMS phenology dates_2 m <u>air</u> temperature data, <u>and</u> <u>downwelling longwave radiation</u> were analyzed using the "zhang" method of trend analysis, available in the "zyp" package in R (Bronaugh and Werner 2019). This method of trend analysis was proposed by Zhang et al. (2000) and has been successfully used to represent trends in temperature and precipitation (Zhang et al. 2000) lake ice phenology (Murfitt and Brown 2017) and sea ice and snow phenology (Dauginis and Brown, 2000). The "zhang" method is suitable for analyzing spatial trends in this

- 210 study as it employs non-parametric tests and accounts for autocorrelation. The linear trend is removed from the time series if it is significant, and the autocorrelation computation repeats until the differences in the estimates of the slope and autoregressive model in two consecutive iterations is smaller than 1% (Bronaugh and Werner 2019). The Mann-Kendall test is applied to the resulting time series and the Sen's slope of the trend is computed (Bronaugh and Werner 2019). The final result is the Sen's slope (amount of increase or decrease) at each location over the given time period, as well as the significance
- 215 of each trend (Bronaugh and Werner 2019). Interannual and regional variability in snow and ice conditions will inherently affect phenology parameters, particularly for sea ice, which may not entirely clear out of some regions in a particular season leading to no ice-off or -on phenology detected for that year (Dauginis and Brown, 2020). Pixels with less than 14 years of phenology data (e.g. regions where ice-off only occurs occasionally) are treated as No Data, meaning the spatial extent of the trend examination represents the geographic region where snow/ice-off has occurred in at least 14 of the last 16 years.
- 220 Finally, clustering in the trend data was explored using local indicators of spatial association (Anselin, 1995) through ESRI ArcGIS. <u>Clusters of spatially statistically significant trends of high and low trend strengths were mapped. Clusters</u> crossing the shorelines indicate significantly clustered trends between the sea ice and snow or lake ice phenology parameters and show regions of interest where the phenology variables were responding with similar trend strength over the study period.

3 Results and Discussion

225

Deleted: Sea ice open water dates range from mid-March to late September and snow-off dates range from mid-March to late August (Figure 3a, b). Lake ice-off ranges from late March to late August (Figure 3a, b). Sea ice freeze dates range from mid-September to late April and snow onset dates range from mid-August to late January (Figure 3c, d). Lake ice-on dates range from early September to early February (Figure 3c, d).

3.1 Trends and Correlations

- Mean snow, sea ice, and lake ice phenology dates across the pan-Arctic are shown in Figure 3 (4 km IMS, 2004 2019). Mean snow, sea ice, and lake ice phenology trends for the 24 km (1997 – 2019) and 4 km (2004 – 2019) IMS products are shown in Figure 4. Overall, the pan-Arctic shows trends toward a longer snow and ice-free season (Figure 4) from 1997 – 2019, with trends toward earlier snow-off and ice-off and later freeze detected. While the annual variability is similar between the 24 km and 4 km mean phenology dates, a difference of 3.5 days later for ice-off and 3.4 days earlier for ice-on (average) is evident in the sea ice phenology as a result of the resolution differences, mainly attributed to the improved ability of the 4 km product to resolve smaller-scale features and changes in the ice cover extent than the 24 km product can detect (e.g. leads,
- polynyas, near-shore conditions, and changes at the ice edges) (Brown et al. 2014; Dauginis and Brown, 2020). The overall agreement between the products is < 1 day for the snow phenology dates.

Sea ice open water dates both show significant negative (earlier) trends (Figure 4a), with a larger negative trend detected for first open water (FOWs, -7.72 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05) compared to water clear of ice (WCIs, -3.31 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05)

- 245 0.05). Snow-off dates show similar trends to ice-off parameters, with both first snow-off (first_soFF, -4.90 d decade⁻¹, p < 0.05) and final snow-off (final_soFF, -3.21 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05) becoming earlier (Figure 4b). Trends for lake ice first open water and water clear of ice dates from 2004 2019 are negative (-0.76 and -0.02 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05, though neither are statistically significant. We acknowledge that the 16-year time series (Figure 4c) does not provide a comparative time-span to the other trends examined; however, it should be noted that the direction of the trends are negative (earlier) and therefore follow a similar
- 250 pattern observed in snow and sea ice trends during the 1997 2019 melt season.

Sea ice freeze onset (FO_S) shows a slightly positive (later) trend (0.36 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05), while the continuous ice cover (CIC_S) trend is much larger and statistically significant (10.60 d decade⁻¹ p < 0.05) (Figure 4d). Both first and final snow-on (first_son, final_son) trends are negative, indicating that the pan-Arctic is seeing earlier snow onset over the 1997 – 2019 study period. First snow-on is becoming earlier by 2.79 d decade⁻¹ (p < 0.05) while final snow-on is becoming slightly earlier
by 0.64 d decade⁻¹ (p > 0.05) (Figure 4e). Lake ice freeze onset (FO_L) and continuous ice cover (CIC_L) exhibit trends toward later freeze (4.97 and 4.44 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05; Figure 4f), and although caution should be taken with the short timespan, it should again be noted that lake ice freeze dates show an overall shift toward later freeze.

Overall, snow and ice cover are coming off earlier across the pan-Arctic, while trends during the freeze season vary for sea ice, lake ice, and snow. Earlier sea ice water clear of ice dates contribute to longer open water duration detected across 260 the pan-Arctic (4.85 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05; Figure 5). Non-significant trends are detected in lake ice parameters, with the resulting open water duration in Arctic lakes increasing by 6.86 d decade⁻¹ from 2004 – 2019 (p > 0.05) (Figure 5). Almost no trend in snow-free duration is identified (-0.27 d decade⁻¹, p > 0.05; Figure 5), despite first snow-off trending significantly earlier (Figure 4b).

Examining the pan-Arctic links between the phenology parameters shows that while the first open water and first snow-off dates are not significantly correlated, the final snow/ice-off parameters are (sea ice water clear of ice and final snow-

Deleted:

- Both sea ice-off parameters are most strongly correlated with temperature in September ($\rho=-0.69$ and -0.60, $\rho<0.05$; Table 2), followed by June ($\rho=-0.6$ for both, p<0.05), July ($\rho=-0.58$ and -0.57, p<0.05), and April ($\rho=-0.50$ and -0.57, p<0.05). First snow-off significantly correlated to temperatures in June and July ($\rho=-0.46$ and -0.49, p<0.05; Table 2).

off dates, $\rho = 0.46$ and 0.64, p < 0.05, for 24 and 4 km IMS products respectively) (Table 2). During the snow/ice-off season, lake ice first open water (FOW_L) and water clear of ice (WCI_L) dates are significantly correlated with their equivalent snow

and sea ice-off parameters from 2004 – 2019 (Table 2). Stronger relationships are identified between lake ice and sea ice off parameters (pFow Sea Ice and Lake Ice = 0.62 and pwcI Sea Ice and Lake Ice = 0.72, p < 0.05) compared to lake ice and snow (pfirst snow-off and FOW Lake Ice = 0.55 and pfinal snow-off and wcI Lake Ice = 0.51, p < 0.05). Snow-on dates show small positive correlations with sea ice freeze parameters, though none are statistically significant (Table 3). No significant correlations are detected between lake ice/sea ice and lake ice/snow parameters during the freeze season, though similar to the snow/ice-off season, stronger
correlations are detected between lake ice and sea ice freeze compared to lake ice-on and snow-on (Table 2).

Examining snow and ice cover at the pan-Arctic scale provides important information on how the cryosphere is responding to climate change as a whole, however the large degree of spatial variability warrants further investigation into snow and ice conditions at regional scales. For example, Dauginis and Brown (2020) demonstrate that the CAA is responding differently to warming compared to other regions of the Arctic; their findings show later summer clearing of ice and earlier sea ice freeze and snow onset since 2004 (due, at least in part, to increased ice dynamics through the CAA), in line with findings from previous studies that showed no significant trends toward earlier sea ice melt onset dates in the CAA (e.g. Mahmud et al. 2016; Marshall et al. 2019). Other Arctic regions have shown significantly earlier sea ice melt onset, <u>Barents Sea 8.2 decade⁻¹</u>, <u>Kara Seas 5.1 decade⁻¹</u>, <u>Baffin Bay 6.6 decade⁻¹ and Greenland Sea 7.1 decade⁻¹ (Stroeve and Notz, 2018).</u> The response of snow cover to changes in climatic and hydrologic regimes also varies regionally, with northern Canada and eastern Siberia experiencing increased snowfall, while Scandinavia and regions around the Greenland ice sheet are

290 eastern Sibera experiencing increased showran, while scandinavia and regions around the Oreenland ice sheet are experiencing increasing rainfall (Box et al. 2019). Additionally, ice cover duration in Arctic lakes since 2004 shows interannual and regional variability, with lakes in western Russia showing anomalies ranging from 59 days shorter to 57 days longer, while smaller anomalies were identified in Canadian Lakes (Duguay and Brown, 2018). Therefore, the following section will examine regional variability in sea ice, lake ice, and snow phenology from 2004 – 2019 using the 4 km IMS product as the higher spatial resolution (compared to the 24 km product) allows finer-scale changes in snow and ice cover to be detected.

3.2 Regional Variability

3.2.1 Snow and Ice-off season

Short-term trends in sea ice, snow, and lake ice phenology from 2004–2019 are presented in Figures 6 (snow/ice-off) and 7 (snow/ice-on) along with maps identifying significant local clustering in the trends, indicating similar trends between
the phenology parameters. Median values of the spatial trends in Figures 6 and 7 for regions defined in Figure 1 are reported throughout the following section and included in Table <u>3</u>. Correlations with 2 m air temperature for the three main sea ice regions and two main snow/lake ice regions (Figure 1) are presented in Table <u>4</u>. Overall, sea ice, snow, and lake ice show tendencies toward earlier melt, with the exception of 1) Eurasian snow-off parameters, which show little change from 2004–2019 compared to other Arctic regions and 2) sea ice first open water in the Canadian Arctic. The Alaska/ Far East Russia

9

Deleted: 1

 \rightarrow Freeze onset shows significant correlations with air temperature in October (0.48 p < 0.05, Table 2), while no significant correlations are identified between air temperature and continuous ice cover. Neither snow-on parameter is significantly correlated with monthly air temperature, though the strongest correlation is identified in October at 0.41 (p > 0.05, Table 2). region exhibited the largest trends toward earlier sea ice-off (median_{First Open Water Sea Ice} = 23 days) and North America showed larger trends toward earlier snow-off and lake ice-off compared to Eurasia (North America: median_{First snow-off} = 8 days, median_{First Open Water Lake Ice} = 4 days; Eurasia median_{First snow-off} = 0 days, median_{First Open Water Lake Ice} = 1 day).

315

Canadian Arctic

In the Canadian Arctic, sea ice has a wide range of ice-off timing, spanning March in the far southern reaches, to early May in the north for near shore, polynya and lead regions, to late August clearing from the channels of the CAA – in the portions where clearing occurs. The majority of the region experiences ice-off conditions through June, July and August, and these months show significant (negative) correlations between regional mean sea ice-off dates and the regional mean 2 m temperature (as well as May and WCIs, Table 4), indicating that earlier sea ice-off dates here are strongly related to air temperature during the ice-off season. Downwelling longwave radiation shows significant (negative) correlations to WCIs in September, which is also when ice can clear from the channels of the CAA (where it clears) (Table 5). For the North American Arctic region, snow-free timing ranges from mid-April in the south to mid-July in the north. Regional mean first snow-off dates are significantly correlated with both 2 m temperature and downwelling longwave radiation values for April and May, while final snow-off is significantly correlated only with May (when much of the mainland area of Canada becomes snowfree, Figure 3). Lake ice-off timing spans from April in the south to July in the northern islands (very few lake pixels experience

ice-off in August, other than Lake Hazen). Both first and final lake ice-off regional mean dates are significantly correlated with May and June 2 m air temperatures, while the bulk of the ice-off dates in this region are through June and July; it is
established that there is lag in air temperatures crossing the 0 °C isotherm and the timing of lake ice-off; up to about a month on average for lakes across Canada (Duguay et al., 2006). Regional mean downwelling longwave radiation through this region shows significant (negative) correlations between first and final open water in both June and July (as well as May WCI_L, Table 5). A strong example of the lag between lake ice-off and snow-off can be seen using Great Bear and Great Slave Lakes compared to their surrounding areas (Figure 3): snow-free timing occurs here in May, while the ice remains on the large lakes until June/early-July due to the extra energy required to melt ice vs. snow.

Sea ice is clearing out of the Canadian Arctic earlier, while the first detection of open water (FOWs) shows a later trend, albeit with considerable regional variability. In the CAA, earlier first open water is detected (median = 4 days), though changes toward earlier water clear of ice are mostly confined to the southern channels, where temperature increases are larger in August and September (Figure 8h, i). The significant clustering between water and land pixels in the northern CAA (Figure 6c, d), with predominantly later trends for both open water and snow-off (no lakes are large enough in this area to be detected by IMS), indicates ice and snow are responding similarly in this region. For example, the Eastern Parry Channel and the surrounding area (Cornwallis Island, Bathurst Island, and Northern Somerset Island) shows significant clustering between the sea ice and snow trends (Figure 6c, d), further highlighting this region of later ice/snow-off (Dauginis and Brown, 2020). The Baffin Bay / Davis Strait region overall shows a median trend of 1 day earlier, however, the northern portion (Baffin Bay) and

345 southern portion (Davis Strait) show opposite trend directions (9 days earlier vs. 24 days later, respectively) (Figure 6a, Table

3). Warming trends are identified over the northern region of Baffin Bay in July and August ranging from 0.01 to 3° C (Figure 8g, h) where the notable trends toward earlier ice-off are detected (Figure 6a, b). Later first open water trends are evident for Hudson Bay (median = 2 days), with earlier water clear of ice trends (median = 7 days). The median temperature increase during July over Hudson Bay is 0.55° C, though while the majority of the northern and western portions show warming trends,

350 the eastern and more southern portions exhibit cooling (Figure 8g). These regions of cooling correspond with the region of predominantly later FOWs trends (median = 2 days). Significant clustering is shown in the ice and snow-off trends along the southern stretches of Hudson Bay and near-onshore regions (Figure 6c, d), indicating links in the response of ice and snow in those regions.

Earlier trends for snow and lake ice-off parameters are detected across North America. Looking at the western mainland areas of the Canadian Arctic, snow-off trends are predominantly earlier over the 2004–2019 period (median first_soFF = 11 days, median final_soFF = 10 days, Table 3). Links between the sea ice trends and the snow/lake ice trends are evident in the region spanning east from Victoria Island into the central mainland Arctic region, where significant local clustering is identified, with more clustering evident during first snow and ice-off events. Lake ice first open water and water clear of ice are both trending earlier here as well (median = 5 days for both), with larger trends detected in the eastern portions, likely related to strong warming over the region in May and June (Figure 8e, f). Examining Great Slave Lake and Great Bear Lake indicates that trends for water clear of ice are 4 and 8 days (median values) earlier, consistent with negative ice cover duration anomalies (shorter ice cover duration) for 9 of the last 14 years identified by Duguay and Brown (2018). While the snow trends surrounding these large lakes are also earlier, they are not significantly clustered with the lake ice trends, with the exception

365 shows mostly earlier ice-off trends, while the surrounding snow trends show very slight tendency towards later snow-off, which aligns with both cooling temperature trends and less downwelling longwave radiation in that region (e.g. June, Figure 8f, 9f). Interestingly, the temperature and radiation trends here clearly show the effect of the lakes on their surroundings with contrasting trends for the lakes compared to land to the west. Lake ice-off dates in northern Quebec (bordered by Hudson Bay and Baffin Bay) show later trends, with both ice-off parameters showing median trends of 9 days later, which corresponds to

of the eastern portion of Great Bear Lake, and a small portion of the western edge. The western portion of Great Slave Lake

- 370 a widespread cooling pattern over northern Quebec in July from 2004–2019 (Figure 8g). North of this region, the two large lakes on Baffin Island, Nettilling Lake and Amadjuak Lake, show trends for first open water are 3 days (median values) earlier for both lakes, though from 2004–2018 these lakes have shown positive ice cover duration anomalies for 7 of the last 14 ice seasons, with most of the longer ice cover duration anomalies observed during the last six seasons (Duguay and Brown, 2018). Lake Hazen, in the far north, indicates trends towards earlier first open water and water clear of ice overall; however, while
- 375 the eastern portion of the lake shows earlier ice-off trends, the western portion does indicate later ice-off trends (with ice cover remaining latest on the western portion of the lake <u>for</u> several years).

Alaska / Far East Russia

Deleted:

Deleted: 4

Mean sea ice-off timing in this region is quite different for the Bering Sea (mainly April through May, with some late March first open water) and the Chukchi / Beaufort Seas (mainly late August through mid-September). Significant (negative) correlations between sea ice-off dates and air temperature are identified in this broad region only for FOW_S in May, however warming patterns are present over the Bering/Chukchi Seas for almost all months since 2004 (Figure 8). Interestingly, both ice-off parameters show positive correlations with September temperatures – likely an artifact of the large region compared,

- as only the far northern sections of this region experience ice-off in September (Table 4). Downwelling longwave radiation in this region is significantly correlated with the ice-off parameters in both March and May and is likely a reflection of the conditions initiating melt onset (e.g. Mortin et al., 2016), as timing of onset is correlated with timing of ice retreat (Stroeve et al., 2016). Snow-free timing in this region is predominantly through late April, early May in the Interior, and mid-June on the
- 390 al., 2016). Snow-free timing in this region is predominantly through late April, early May in the Interior, and mid-June on the North Slope and Chukchi Peninsula, with regions of higher elevations not becoming snow-free until August. Lake ice-off timing spans from May in the southern coastal regions, to July in the northern coastal regions. This land region is included in the North American Arctic snow and lake ice correlations, discussed in the previous section.
- Sea ice in the Alaska/Far East Russian coastal region shows large trends toward earlier ice-off, with first open water trends indicating 30 days earlier in the Beaufort Sea, 25 days earlier in Chukchi Sea, and 34 days earlier in the Bering Sea (median values, with slightly larger values for WCIs). The Chukchi and Bering Seas have shown larger sea surface temperature warming trends in August compared to the Arctic-wide August mean, and September sea ice extent in the Chukchi Sea was well below the 1981 – 2010 median in 2012, 2018, and 2019 (Druckenmiller and Richter-Menge, 2020; Perovich et al. 2020), First snow-off trends across Alaska/Far East Russia (median = 3 days earlier) are smaller than compared to Canada, though
- 400 western Alaska shows strong trends toward earlier snow-off. Strong warming over western Alaska from 2004–2019 during April (Figure 8d) may contribute to earlier snowmelt in the region. The median lake ice first open water date shows trends of 18 days earlier in the Alaska/Far East Russia region, though southwestern Alaska shows some of the largest trends toward earlier ice-off (both FOW_L and WCI_L) across the pan-Arctic (Figure 6a, Table 3). Local clustering in this western region shows that the on-land and sea ice parameters have statistically significant clustered trends and are changing similarly.
- 405 Focusing on the more northern regions of Alaska, first snow-off trends across North Slope Alaska (NSA) are towards earlier dates (median = 8 days), though final snow-off trends are considerably smaller (median = 1 day). Lake ice-off across NSA is trending later, with both first open water and water clear of ice showing median dates of 3 days later. Arp et al. (2013) found that the Arctic Coastal Plain (northern Alaska) and Beringia (western Alaska) areas experienced the latest ice-out timing from 2007–2012 compared to other lakes across Alaska, as climatology in these regions is influenced by sea-ice conditions along
- 410 the Arctic Ocean coast. Though long-term trends (1950 2011) indicate earlier ice break-up and shorter ice seasons in NSA (Surdu et al. 2014), the trends toward later ice-off in northern Alaska identified in this study from 2004-2019 (Figure 6a) may be reflecting interannual variability and the complex responses of lake ice to changes in temperature, sea ice, and snow cover conditions. Little to no significant clustering between the snow and ice trends are identified in this region, other than <u>a</u> limited swath of near-shore region, showing the sea ice trends here are stronger than the onshore snow/ice trends.

Deleted: Significant correlations between sea ice-off dates and temperature are identified in these regions only in September (p = 0.54, p < 0.05, Table 54), however warming patterns are present over the Bering/Chukchi Seas for almost all months since 2004 (Figure 8)

Deleted: 4	
Deleted: Signif	ficant
Deleted: 1	

415

Eurasia

- The broad Eurasian region has sea ice-off timing from April (with a few small regions showing March) in the Barents Sea to September in the Eastern Siberian Sea and Arctic Ocean areas. Both sea ice parameters are correlated with April 2 m air temperature (Table 4) and strong warming patterns can be seen here (Figure 8). FOWs is correlated with July as well, and ice-off in the Laptev Sea region aligns with positive air temperature trends through July in this region (Figure 3, Figure 8, Table 4). Snow-free timing spans mid-March in the western areas through June along the northern coasts, with some very small regions extending into mid-August on the northern islands. Final snow-off is significantly correlated to 2 m air
- 430 temperature for April, May and July and final snow-off with downwelling longwave for April and July. Lake ice clearing spans from April in the western European lakes to July in the northern regions (similar to the Canadian Arctic, very few pixels show August ice-free timing in the northern islands), with the 2 m air temperature in April correlated to both ice-off parameters, coinciding with when the lake-rich region in western Europe becomes ice free.
- Trends in sea ice first open water range from 11 to 16 days earlier while water clear of ice ranges from 24 to 34 days earlier across the Eurasian Arctic seas (Table 2). Warming patterns over the Eurasian seas are detected in July and August (with the strongest warming over the Laptev and Barents Seas in both months). The earlier ice-off trends detected in this study across the Eurasian Arctic are consistent with Bliss and Anderson (2018), who report negative (earlier) trends in sea ice melt onset across Eurasia from 1979–2017 of -9.45 d decade⁻¹ (East Siberian), -7.3 d decade⁻¹ (Laptev), -8.19 d decade⁻¹ (Kara), -8.47 d decade⁻¹ (Barents), and -2.37 d decade⁻¹ (Greenland). Furthermore, earlier ice-off trends in these regions are consistent
- 440 with large reductions in September sea ice extent in the East Siberian and Laptev Seas from 1979–2016 (Onarheim et al. 2018). Significant local clustering is identified in the sea ice trends near the Laptev and Kara Seas region and the onshore snow/lake ice trends in the northern region of Central Eurasia (Figure 6c, d). Overall, snow-off across the broad Eurasian region shows no trend, Only NW Eurasia shows notable trends in the snow-off timing, with first snow-off and final snow-off 5 and 3 days later respectively (Figure 6a, b). Crawford et al., (2018) identified links between the reduction of sea ice in the Laptev Sea
- 445 and the earlier retreat of snow in the Western Siberian Plain, while our results show linked sea ice and onshore trends near the Laptev Sea with earlier snow retreat, but a mix of trend direction in the vicinity of the Western Siberian Plain for snow-off timing. A notable distinction on the Eurasian side of the Arctic is the prominent trend towards later ice-off through the Seas, but the presence of mixed trend directions for the snow-off, and a prominence of clustered later trends through the continental areas. Trends towards decreased downwelling longwave radiation can be seen through this region (Figure 9) as well as cooling
- 450 trends through September, October, November and January (Figure 8). Lake ice shows variability across Eurasia with ±2 days (median) or less detected, with the exception of Central Eurasia where the ice cover shows trends towards 7 (FOW_L) and 9 days earlier (WCI_L). Lake Onega (northwest Russia) shows earlier first open water (median = 5 days) and both Onega and nearby Lake Ladoga show earlier water clear of ice trends (Onega median = 6 days, Ladoga median = 9 days). The eastern portion of Lake Ladoga shows very slight trends towards later WCI_L, which is in sync with later snow off trends in that region,
- 455 while the south/western portions show stronger earlier WCI_L off trends, likely related to delayed freeze (resulting in thinner, more easily melted ice) and predominant location of the ice over the season (as the ice forms in the southern shallower potions)

13

Deleted: 4

Deleted: though no significant correlations between sea ice first open water dates and temperature were detected in Eurasia. Water clear of ice for the Eurasia Seas is only significantly correlated with April temperatures ($\rho = -0.59$, p < 0.05) which coincides with strong warming and earlier ice-off for the Barents Sea region (Figure 3, Table 54

Deleted:, though both first and final snow-off are significantly correlated to air temperature for April, May and July,

Deleted: with the strongest correlations in May (first_soff $\rho = -0.60, -0.91, -0.68$; final_soff $\rho = -0.56, -0.77, -0.56, p < 0.05$).

of the lake first) (Karetnikov et al., 2017). FOW_L trends were not calculated for Lake Ladoga as the intermittent and moving ice cover through the season presents limitation to the current search algorithm. There is only an 80% chance that Ladoga will

- 470 experience a full ice cover during the ice season (Karetnikov et al., 2017). From 1955 2015, total ice cover duration in Lake Onega decreased by 50 days, though decreases were mostly attributed to delayed freeze (Filatov et al. 2019). Earlier break-up dates have been detected in 40 lakes across Finland from 1963 – 2014 (Kuusisto, 2015), however our recent short-term trends show that lake ice-off is becoming slightly later (median = 2 days) in Finnish lakes nearby to Lake Ladoga and Onega. Mean 4 km IMS imagery shows that the average break-up dates range from mid-April to mid-May in this region, though temperature
- 475 trends are only negative (cooler) in southwestern Finland during April and positive (warmer) over all of Finland during May (Figure 8d, e).

3.2.2 Snow and Ice-on season

Sea ice freeze onset in the Canadian Arctic shows trends towards earlier timing (median = 11 days), while sea ice within the Alaska/ Far East Russia region and Eurasian regions shows delays in freeze (trends of 8 and 7 days later respectively for freeze
onset) (Figure 7a, b). On land, the North American Arctic and the Eurasian regions both show trends towards earlier first snow-on (median_{North America} = 8 days and median_{Eurasia} = 9 days) and final snow-on (median_{North America} = 3 days and median_{Eurasia} = 7 days), though spatial variability is evident. Unlike the snow/ice-off season where lake ice-off trends were larger over North America compared to Eurasia, overall trends toward later lake ice freeze onset are larger across Eurasia (median = 8 days) than North America (median = 2 days) (Figure 6c). Local clustering is again evident across the Arctic (Figure 7c, d), however less sea ice/snow clusters are evident in the freeze maps, with the exception of southern Alaska and northern Quebec (Nunavik), particularly for final freeze. A more detailed regional break down follows.

Canadian Arctic

Sea ice freeze in the northern portions of the archipelago takes place through in late September and October. Most
of Hudson Bay freezes back mainly between late November and December; Baffin Bay through November; and the Davis
Strait much later with freeze onset generally through February and final freeze through March. Sea ice freeze onset through
this broad region shows significant correlations to September and October downwelling longwave radiation, as well as March
2 m air temperatures (freeze is still occurring in the Davis Strait in March), while complete freeze shows correlations to
September and November 2 m air temperatures and December downwelling longwave radiation. Increased cloud cover is
reported in some sections of the Canadian region through September-November (Boisvert and Stroeve, 2015), which is likely
related to the downwelling longwave correlations identified here. Feedbacks between longer open water, increased atmospheric
moisture and cloud formation may be related to the delayed freeze – while also resulting in the increased downwelling
longwave radiation here. September, October, and December show some trends in increasing downwelling longwave across
the region (Figure 9), while November shows clear decreases through most of the region. This furthers the suggestion that
feedbacks are driving the corelations with downwelling longwave as no correlations are identified in November. Snow returns

to the High Arctic in late August through September, and most of the mainland Canadian areas through October – though final snow on does not occur until November in the southern reaches of the western region. First snow on is significantly correlated with August, September, and October 2 m air temperatures, and downwelling longwave radiation with September. Most lakes in the Canadian Arctic region freeze in November (with final freeze on the large Great Slave Lake extending into early December in some places), while some lakes in the High Arctic freeze earlier through September and October. Lake ice freeze

is significantly correlated with the November 2 m air temperatures, which coincides with when the majority of lakes freeze.

Earlier sea ice freeze and snow-on trends are detected across the Canadian Arctic. Sea ice shows freeze onset trending earlier by 8 days in the CAA, and 10 days in Hudson Bay and Baffin Bay (median values) (Figure 6c). First snow-on across the western mainland Canada shows earlier trends (median= 5 days), though delayed snow onset can be identified along north

- 510 and northwest regions of Canada (south of the Western Arctic Waterway) (Figure 7a, b). Snow-on also shows earlier trends in northern Quebec (median_{first snow-on} = 8 days, median_{final snow-on} = 16 days) and corresponds to both earlier lake ice and sea ice freeze onset trends identified in this region. A large cooling pattern can be seen over eastern Canada in October which may contribute to the earlier snow and ice-on dates in this region (Figure 8j). Earlier snow-on dates in the Canadian Arctic are consistent with observed increases in precipitation across all seasons in Canada from 1948 2012 (Vincent et al. 2015). Global
- 515 climate models project increases in Arctic precipitation over the twenty-first century due to enhanced local surface evaporation resulting from sea ice loss; however, recent projections show a shift toward a rain-dominated Arctic, particularly during summer months (Bintanja and Selten, 2014; Bintanja and Andry, 2017). Nettilling Lake shows trends towards earlier freeze onset (median = 3 days) and continuous ice cover (median = 1 day), though there is considerable variability in freeze-up as the east shows trends toward earlier freeze and west shows trends toward later freeze. Great Slave Lake, Great Bear Lake,
- 520 Amadjuak Lake and Lake Hazen all show trends toward later freeze onset, consistent with later ice formation across Arctic Lakes from 2002 2015 (Du et al. 2017; Derksen et al. 2019). Increases in mean monthly lake surface temperatures in August have been reported to delay freeze-up by 0.3 d decade⁻¹ on Lake Hazen from 2000 2012 (Lehnherr et al. 2018), and warming air temperature trends are evident in the August ERA-5 data from 2004 2019 as well (Figure 8h). Interestingly, from 2004–2019, freeze onset is showing later trends across most of Lake Hazen, while final freeze is showing earlier trends across the entire lake despite the delayed start to the freeze season. September 2 m temperature trends show slight cooling trends for the
- pixels covering the lake ranging from -0.6°C to -1.4°C and would correspond to the time of complete freeze over.

Alaska / Far East Russia

505

Sea ice freeze starts in late September in the northern areas of the Chukchi Sea and ends in late March/Early April in the southern reaches of the Bering Sea. No correlations between temperature and sea ice phenologies in this region were found, however, final freeze for sea ice was significantly correlated with downwelling longwave radiation in January, February and March, coinciding with when most of the freeze is occurring in the Bering Sea. Stronger trends towards increasing 2 m air temperatures and downwelling longwave radiation are seen in this area through the winter (Figures 8 and 9). This region shows a mix of trends in cloud cover for December-February between 2003-2013 with some decreasing trends over the Bering Sea

15

Deleted: Both the first and final sea ice parameters are significantly correlated with 2 m temperature from September through December, with the strongest correlations identified in November (FOs: $\rho = 0.82$ and CICs: $\rho = 0.77$, p < 0.05).

Deleted: For the North American region overall (Alaska and Canada, Figure 1), first snow-on dates are significantly correlated with August, September (strongest correlation) and October air temperature ($\rho = 0.53$, 0.77, 0.53, p < 0.05) (final snow-on with only October, $\rho = 0.63 p < 0.05$) (Table 45).

and increasing trends over the Chukchi Sea (Boisvert and Stroeve, 2015), and the correlations between ice-on and downwelling
longwave identified here are again likely a result of feedbacks taking place. Snow returns to most of the region through
September and October, with final snow on occurring as late as November/December in the southwestern coastal regions and
as early as late August in the highest elevations. Snow-on and lake ice-on correlations for this region are included in the main
North American Arctic region, with first snow-on correlating to August, September, and October 2 m air temperatures and to
downwelling longwave radiation in September. November 2 m air temperature is significantly correlated with lake ice freeze,
coinciding with when the majority of the lakes in this region freeze, with later freeze through December and January in the
southwest coastal regions of Alaska, and September freeze through the NSA.

Delayed sea ice freeze is identified throughout the Alaska/ Far East Russia region, while there is considerable variability in snow onset and lake ice freeze (Figure 7a, b). Trends towards later freeze (FOs = 6 days median, CICs = 8 days) 555 in the Beaufort Sea are consistent with multi-year ice losses and lengthening of the open water season in this region (Galley et al. 2016). The Chukchi and Bering Seas both show trends toward later freeze onset (median_{Chukchi Sea} = 8 days and median_{Bering Sea} = 27 days) and continuous ice cover (median_{Chukchi Sea} = 19 days and median_{Bering Sea} = 52 days), with the Bering Sea representing the region with the largest delay in sea ice freeze across the pan-Arctic. During the ice cover season in 2017/2018 the Bering Sea ice extent was lower than any previous winter in the reconstructed or observed record, attributed to warmer sea surface temperatures, delayed freeze, and frequent storms (Thoman et al. 2020). In 2019 the Bering Sea also had extremely low ice cover during the winter and may have acted as a precursor to low summer ice conditions in the Chukchi Sea (Perovich et al. 2020). Sea ice did not completely freeze over in the Chukchi Sea until December 24 in 2019 (approximately a month later than average), with only 2007 and 2016 showing similar freeze patterns since satellite observations began in 1979

565 -+6 °C) likely contribute to the delayed freeze detected (Figure 8 j, k), though no significant correlations between FOs or CICs and 2 m temperatures from October to January are identified in this region. First snow-on trends for Alaska are becoming earlier (median = 5 days), while final snow-on is showing smaller changes (median = 1 day later). The largest trends toward later snow cover are evident in western Alaska, with 3 days later for first snow-on and 22 days later for final snow-on. Wendler et al. (2017) report a 17% increase in mean snowfall across Alaska from 1946 – 2014, with the largest increases occurring in

(Perovich et al. 2020). Strong warming trends observed over the Bering and Chukchi Seas from October through January (+1

- 570 west and southwest Alaska. More snowfall here may be tied to warming in this region identified during almost all months from 2004 2019 (Figure 8), as warmer air is able to sustain more moisture which can thus facilitate increases in precipitation (Thackeray et al. 2019). First snow-on in the NSA region is becoming earlier (median = 21 days), whereas final snow-on shows no change overall due to the mix of earlier and later trends throughout the region. Lake ice within the NSA region shows trends toward earlier freeze (FO_L = 8 days and CIC_L= 9 days).
- 575

<u>Eurasia</u>

Ice returns to the East Siberian, Laptev and Kara Seas from late September through October, while freeze timing extends through the winter into March for some regions of the Barents and Greenland Seas. The freeze onset timing is significantly correlated with the December 2 m air temperatures, while downwelling longwave radiation is significantly correlated with October and February through this broad Eurasian region. Snow first returns to the islands of the Eurasian Arctic in August, with the continental mainland receiving its snow cover predominantly through September and early October

- (with final snow on timing mainly through October). The western regions tend to receive snow later from October into early November, though final snow-on does not typically occur until January in this region. Lakes through eastern and central <u>Eurasia freeze back through October and early November</u>, while the western regions remain mostly ice free until December 585 or January, with freeze on the large Lake Ladoga typically extending into February (though intermittent or partial ice cover is
- common here). Mean snow-on and lake ice-on do not show correlations to temperature or downwelling longwave radiation in this broad region, with the exception of final lake ice freeze in February – though this should be interpreted with caution as only Lake Ladoga experiences final freeze that late in the season and the intermittent and partial ice cover on this lake may affect the mean values.
- 590 In Eurasia, sea ice freeze onset is becoming later, though the trends in freeze (Figure 7a, b) are smaller in magnitude than the trends for sea ice-off (Figure 6a, b). The later freeze coincides <u>broadly with the regions of earlier ice-off (Figure 6a, b)</u> where ocean-atmosphere feedbacks will enhance warming through the summer and fall, ultimately delaying freeze (e.g. <u>Stroeve et al., 2014</u>). Warming can be seen over the region from July to November (Figure 8) as well and increasing downwelling longwave radiation in October, and in the western portions December as well (Figure 9). The strongest trends
- 595 towards later freeze in this region were identified in the Barents, Kara and Laptev Seas, which is in agreement with trends identified from 2000-2013 showing the strongest freeze onset trends in this region in the Barents/Kara Seas followed by the Laptev Sea (Boisvert and Stroeve, 2015). (Table 4), Snow-on shows predominantly earlier trends across all regions of Eurasia ranging from 4 to 13 days (medians). Increased fall and early winter snow cover through the eastern portions of Eurasia have been linked to decreasing September sea ice cover in the Pacific sector (Ghatak et al., 2010; 2012), and trends towards
- 600 increasing snow cover extent in October have been linked to increased moisture transport possible because of the delayed sea ice freeze (Yeo et al., 2017). Lake ice shows similar patterns to snow onset, with earlier freeze detected over the Eurasian regions, with the exception of the Scandinavian/Northern Europe region where freeze onset shows delays of 28 days (median value) and continuous ice cover shows delays of 19 days (median value) later. Large freeze-up anomalies in this region were also identified through previous lake ice research (e.g. Duguay and Brown, 2018), for example the 2017/2018 freeze season
- showed delayed freeze up by approximately 2 5 weeks compared to the 2004 2018 mean. Using data from 1890 2015, Karetnikov et al. (2017) show that the number of winters with complete freeze over of Lake Ladoga decreased after 1950 and that the ice season has become shorter. The contrasting trends for delays in freeze onset compared to the earlier snow onset surrounding Lake Ladoga to the north and east also highlight the differences affecting snow-on and ice-on in the freeze season. While the air temperature may be cold enough to sustain a snowfall (first snow-on), the lake water remains warmer through the fall, releasing the stored energy that was absorbed through the extended open water season, as trends here are towards

17

Deleted: and freeze onset is significantly correlated with October 2 m air temperature ($\rho = 0.57$, p < 0.05)

Deleted: 5

Deleted: earlier

Deleted: and has strong significant correlations with both October and January air temperatures for first and final snow-on ($\rho = 0.85$, 0.84 and $\rho = 0.81$, 0.81 respectively, p < 0.05). earlier WCI_L through much of the lake. In fact, for final freeze, although no trends were calculated for Lake Ladoga due to the intermittent ice, the region surrounding the lake shows a delay in final snow-on, and the 2 m air temperature for January (Figure 8a) shows a region of localized warming in the vicinity east of Lake Ladoga and Onega. Whether these localized trends are a direct result of temperature moderation from the lakes, or potentially from feedback processes in the ERA5 data is unknown, but as this is in a common downwind direction of the lakes (Kondratyev and Filatov, 1999), it would suggest lake induced temperature moderation as these lakes tend to freeze through January. Ice cover trends for first open water and complete ice cover are not included for Lake Ladoga in this study as a complete ice cover did not form in several of the examined years.
625 Trends for water clear of ice (full open water) and freeze onset (first detection of ice) are detectable and included in Table 3.

4 Conclusion

This paper examined sea ice, snow, and lake ice phenology across the pan-Arctic using the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS) snow and ice products. Using IMS, we were able to examine both long-term snow and ice-on/off trends (1997 – 2019) at a 24 km spatial resolution, as well as more recent short-term trends in snow and ice phenology (2004 - 2019) at an improved resolution of 4 km. Our results show that the Arctic is moving toward a longer snow

- 630 phenology (2004 2019) at an improved resolution of 4 km. Our results show that the Arctic is moving toward a longer snow and ice-free season, with trends toward earlier snow/ice-off and later freeze detected. Sea ice showed the largest trends toward earlier ice-off and later freeze, with FOWs timing becoming earlier by 7.72 d decade⁻¹ and CICs becoming later by 10.60 d decade⁻¹. Lake ice and snow-off parameters are also showing earlier trends, though not as large as those detected for sea ice. Lake ice-off showed significant correlations with snow-off and sea ice-off, while no significant correlations were found
- 635 between any snow/lake ice/sea ice parameters during the freeze season. This likely reflects the strong influence of surface air temperature on snow and ice off timing, whereas during the freeze season, precipitation patterns play an important role in determining the timing of snow onset and lake size/volume is an important determinant for freeze timing.

Sea ice in the Canadian Arctic is clearing earlier overall, though regional variability does indicate some regions of later clearing, while during the freeze season, sea ice-on trends are predominantly earlier (11 and 9 days median for first and

- 640 final ice cover), showing opposite trends compared to other regions across the pan-Arctic. Snow-off and lake ice-off show predominantly earlier trends across North America with some regional exceptions in the east. Snow onset also shows earlier trends across North America, with snow-on trends moving earlier by 4 to 16 days. Lake ice shows a mixed response, with later freeze in the west and earlier freeze in the east – reflective of the cooling air temperature trends over the eastern regions. The largest trends toward earlier sea ice-off were detected in the Alaska/Far East Russia region, with trends towards ice clearing a
- 645 month later. Snow and lake ice-off timing in this region also shows earlier trends, with some of the largest snow and lake ice trends identified in the Western Alaska region. Delays in sea ice freeze were also observed here (trends of 8 and 14 days later for first and final freeze) with much stronger trends in the Bering Sea region, along with delayed snow onset over land and delayed freeze onset in lakes across most of Alaska. Sea ice on the Eurasian side of the Arctic is showing larger trends towards earlier ice-off than later ice-on (roughly a month later ice-off, 1-2 weeks later freeze). No trend in first snow-off dates was
 - 18

650 detected in Eurasia and only a small change toward earlier final snow-off (median = 1 day) was identified; though larger trends toward earlier snow-off were detected in northwest Eurasia compared to the east. Lake ice shows a similar east-west pattern, with Lake Ladoga and Lake Onega showing larger earlier first open water trends compared to northeast. In Eurasia the snow cover trends are stronger in the freeze season, and predominantly towards earlier snow-on, while later lake freeze is occurring on the large lakes. Earlier snowfall occurs through this region and is related to feedbacks from the longer open ocean water, however the contrast in trends between snow and lake ice here show that the heat retained in the mixed layer of the lakes through the longer open water season is enough to delay freeze, despite snow falling earlier in the fall/winter.

Overall, stronger trends towards longer open water duration on both the northern oceans and lakes are shown compared to the lack of overall trend in snow-free duration (the earlier snow-off trends are offset by the earlier snow-on trends) (Figure 5). This is in line with stronger Arctic Amplification processes over the Arctic Ocean compared to land (e.g., Miller et

- 660 al., 2010), with the lower albedo of water allowing for more energy absorption and increased heating than occurs on land. This would apply to lakes as well and is particularly evident in lakes through Alaska with stronger trends towards earlier ice-off and later ice-on compared to snow, as well as in Scandinavia/Northern Europe, where strong opposite trends are shown between later lake ice-on and earlier snow-on. Furthermore, feedbacks related to ocean-atmosphere interactions during the longer open water season are contributing to earlier snow-on timing in some regions. By examining multiple components of
- 665 the cryosphere together, we can better understand how warming affects snow and ice cover and how these components are interrelated. As the Arctic continues to experience unprecedented change as a response to increasing temperatures, continuous monitoring of changes in snow and ice cover is essential to improve our understanding of climate variability and changes occurring at not only the pan-Arctic scale but at the regional-scale as well.

Acknowledgements

670 Funding for this research has come from the National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC Discovery Grant to L. Brown), the Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology (QEII-GSST), and the Graduate Expansion Fund (GEF) from the University of Toronto Mississauga. We would also like to thank Stephen Howell and Michael Brady from Environment Canada for their valuable insight and technical support.

Data

675 All data sets used in this study are freely available online.

Author Contributions

Project conceptualization and methodology were designed by AD and LB, with the formal data analysis carried out by AD. Original draft was prepared by AD, with review and editing from both AD and LB.

Competing Interests

680 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

References

685

- Anselin, L.: Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA, Geographical Analysis, 27(2), 93–115, doi: 10.1111/j.1538-4632.1995.tb00338.x, 1995.
- Alexeev, V. A., Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., and Cai, L: Arctic sea ice decline contributes to thinning lake ice trend in northern Alaska, Environ. Res. Lett., 11(7), 074022, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/074022, 2016.
- Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., and Grosse, G.: Recent lake ice-out phenology within and among lake districts of Alaska, USA, Limnol. Oceanogr., 58(6), 2013-2028, doi: 10.4319/lo.2013.58.6.2013, 2013.
- Bintanja, R. and Selten, F.M.: Future increases in Arctic precipitation linked to local evaporation and sea-ice retreat, Nature, 509, 479-482, doi: 10.1038/nature13259, 2014.
- 690 Bintanja, R. and Andry, O.: Towards a rain-dominated Arctic, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 263-267, doi: 10.1038/nclimate3240, 2017.

Bliss, A.C., Miller, J.A., Meier, W.N.: Comparison of Passive Microwave-Derived Early Melt Onset Records on Arctic Sea Ice. Remote Sens., 9, 199, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9030199, 2017.

- Bliss, A. C., and Anderson, M. R.: Arctic sea ice melt onset timing from passive microwave-based and surface air temperature based methods, J. Geophys. Res., 123(17), 9063-9080, doi: 10.1029/2018JD028676, 2018.
 - Bliss A.C., Steele, M., Peng, G., Meier, W.N.: Regional variability of Arctic sea ice seasonal change climate indicators from a passive microwave climate data record, Environ. Res. Lett. 14(2019) 045003, 2019.
 - Box, J. E., Colgan, W. T., Christensen, T. R., Schmidt, N. M., Lund, M., Parmentier, F. J. W., ... and Walsh, J. E.: Key indicators of Arctic climate change: 1971–2017, Environ. Res. Lett., 14(4), 045010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aafc1b, 2019.
- 700 Brock, B. W.: Shrinking sea ice, increasing snowfall and thinning lake ice: A complex Arctic linkage explained, Environ. Res. Lett., 11(9), 091004., doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/091004, 2016.

Borchers, H. W. Package 'pracma'. Available online: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pracma/pracma.pdf , 2019

Bronaugh, D., and Werner, A. 2019. "zyp". Available at: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/zyp/zyp.pdf

Brown, R., Derksen, C., and Wang, L.: Assessment of spring snow cover duration variability over northern Canada from
 satellite datasets, Remote Sens. Environ., 111(2-3), 367-381, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2006.09.035, 2007.

- Brown, R., Derksen, C. and Wang, L.: A multi-data set analysis of variability and change in Arctic spring snow cover extent, 1967–2008, Geophys. Res., 115, D16111, doi: 10.1029/2010JD013975, 2010.
- Brown, L.C. and Duguay, C.R.: The fate of lake ice in the North American Arctic, Cryosphere, 5, 869-892, doi: 10.5194/tc-5-869-2011. 2011
- 710 Brown, L.C. and Duguay, C.R.: Modelling lake ice phenology with sub-grid cell variability. Advances in Meteorology, volume 2012, 529064, 19 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/529064, 2012..
 - Brown, L.C., Howell, S.E., Mortin, J. and Derksen, C.: Evaluation of the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS) for monitoring sea ice phenology, Remote Sens. Environ., 147, 65-78, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.02.012, 2014.
- 715 Bunzel, F., Notz, D., and Pedersen, L. T.: Retrievals of Arctic sea-ice volume and its trend significantly affected by interannual snow variability, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(21), 11-751, doi: 10.1029/2018GL078867, 2018.
 - Callaghan, T.V., Johansson, M., Brown, R.D., Groisman, P.Y., Labba, N., Radionov, V., ... and Golubev, V.N.: The changing face of Arctic snow cover: a synthesis of observed and projected changes, Ambio, 40, 17-31, doi: 10.1007/s13280-011-0212-y, 2011.
- 720 Cavalieri, D. J., Parkinson, C. L., Gloersen, P., Comiso, J. C., and Zwally, H. J.: Deriving long-term time series of sea ice cover from satellite passive-microwave multisensor data sets, J. Geophys. Res., 104(C7), 15803-15814, doi: 10.1029/1999JC900081, 1999.
- Chang, A.T.C., Foster, J.L. and Hall, D.K.: Effects of forest on the snow parameters derived from microwave measurements during the BOREAS winter field campaign, Hydrol. Process, 10, 1565-1574, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199612)10:12<1565::AID-HYP501>3.0.CO:2-5, 1996.
 - <u>Chen, C., Lakhankar, T., Romanov, P., Helfrich, S., Powell, A., Khanbilvardi, R.: Validation of NOAA-Interactive Multisensor</u> <u>Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS) by Comparison with Ground-Based Measurements over Continental United States.</u> Remote Sens., 4, 1134-1145. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs4051134, 2012.

Cho, E., Tuttle, S. E., and Jacobs, J. M.: Evaluating consistency of snow water equivalent retrievals from passive microwave

- 730 sensors over the north central US: SSM/I vs. SSMIS and AMSR-E vs. AMSR2, Remote Sens., 9(5), 465, doi: 10.3390/rs9050465, 2017.
 - Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2017): ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate . Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), September 1 2020.
- Crawford, A. D., Horvath, S., Stroeve, J., Balaji, R., and Serreze, M. C.: Modulation of sea ice melt onset and retreat in the Laptev Sea by the timing of snow retreat in the West Siberian Plain, J. Geophys. Res., 123(16), 8691-8707, doi:
- Laptev Sea by the timing of show retreat in the west Siberian Plain, J. Geophys. Res., 125(10), 8091-8/07, do 10.1029/2018JD028697, 2018.
 - Dauginis A and Brown LC.: Sea ice and snow phenology in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from 1997 2018, Arctic Sci., https://doi.org/10.1139/AS-2020-0024, 2020.
 - 21

De Lannoy, G. J., Reichle, R. H., Arsenault, K. R., Houser, P. R., Kumar, S., Verhoest, N. E., and Pauwels, V. R.: Multiscale

- 740 assimilation of Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer–EOS snow water equivalent and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer snow cover fraction observations in northern Colorado, Water Resour. Res., 48(1)., doi: 10.1029/2011WR010588, 2012.
 - Derksen, C., Brown, R., and Walker, A.: Merging conventional (1915–92) and passive microwave (1978–2002) estimates of snow extent and water equivalent over central North America, J. Hydrometeor, 5(5), 850-861, doi: 10.1175/1525-

745 7541(2004)005<0850:MCAPME>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

- Derksen, C.: The contribution of AMSR-E 18.7 and 10.7 GHz measurements to improved boreal forest snow water equivalent retrievals, Remote Sens. Environ., 112: 2701-2710, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.01.001, 2008.
- Derksen, C. and R. Brown.: Snow [in "Arctic Report Card 2012"]. Jeffries, M. O., J. A. Richter-Menge and J. E. Overland. (Eds.). Available online at http://www.arctic.noaa.gov/reportcard, 2012
- 750 Derksen, C., Burgess, D., Duguay, C., Howell, S., Mudryk, L., Smith, S., Thackeray, C. and Kirchmeier-Young, M.: Changes in snow, ice, and permafrost across Canada; Chapter 5 in Canada's Changing Climate Report, (ed.) E. Bush and D.S. Lemmen; Government of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, p.194–260, 2019.
 - Druckenmiller, M. L. and J. Richter-Menge.: Overview [in "State of the Climate in 2019"], Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 101(8), S245 – S246, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0086.1, 2020.
- 755 Du, J., Kimball, J. S., Duguay, C., Kim, Y., and Watts, J. D.: Satellite microwave assessment of Northern Hemisphere lake ice phenology from 2002 to 2015, Cryosphere, 11, 47 – 63, doi: 10.5194/tc-11-47-2017, 2017.
 - Duguay, C., Brown, L., Kang, K., and Kheyrollah Pour, H.: [The Arctic] Lake Ice [in "State of the Climate 2011], Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 93(7), S138-S140, doi:10.1175/2012BAMSStateoftheClimate.1, 2012.

Duguay, C., L. Brown, Kang K-K., and Kheyrollah Pour, H.: [The Arctic] Lake ice [In "State of the Climate in 2012"], Bull.
 Amer. Meteor. Soc., 94(8), S124-S126, doi: 10.1175/2013BAMSStateoftheClimate.1, 2013.

Duguay, C., L. Brown, Kang, K.-K., and Kheyrollah Pour, H.: [The Arctic] Lake ice [In "State of the Climate in 2013"], Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 95 (7), doi: 10.1175/2014BAMSStateoftheClimate.1, 2014.

Duguay, C.R., Prowse, T.D., Bonsal, B.R., Brown, R.D., Lacroix M.P., and Ménard, P.: Recent trends in Canadian lake ice cover, Hydrological Processes 20, 781-801, 2006.

- 765 Duguay, C. R., Bernier, M., Gauthier, Y., and Kouraev, A.: Remote sensing of the cryosphere: Remote sensing of lake and river ice: 1st edn. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, UK, 2015a.
 - Duguay, C., L. Brown, Kang, K.-K., and Kheyrollah Pour, H.: [The Arctic] Lake ice [In "State of the Climate in 2014"]. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 96 (7), S144-S145, doi: 10.1175/2015BAMSStateoftheClimate.1, 2015b.

Duguay C and Brown L .: Lake Ice [in Arctic Report Card 2018], https://arctic.noaa.gov/Report-Card/Report-Card-

- 770 2018/ArtMID/7878/ArticleID/785/Lake-Ice, 2018.
- 22

- Filatov, N., Baklagin, V., Efremova, T., Nazarova, L., and Palshin, N.: Climate change impacts on the watersheds of Lakes Onego and Ladoga from remote sensing and in situ data, Inland Waters, 9(2), 130-141, doi: 10.1080/20442041.2018.1533355, 2019.
- Foster, J.L., Sun, C., Walker, J.P., Kelly, R., Chang, A., Dong, J. and Powell, H.: Quantifying the uncertainty in passive
- 775 microwave snow water equivalent observations, Rem. Sens. Environ., 94,187-203, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2004.09.012, 2005. Frei, A, and Lee, S.: A comparison of optical-band based snow extent products during spring over North America, Rem. Sens. of Environ., 114(9), 1940–1948, 2010.
- Galley, R. J., Babb, D., Ogi, M., Else, B. G. T., Geilfus, N. X., Crabeck, O., ... and Rysgaard, S.: Replacement of multiyear sea ice and changes in the open water season duration in the Beaufort Sea since 2004, J. Geophys. Res. Lett., 121(3), 1806-1823, doi: 10.1002/2015JC011583, 2016.
 - Gao, S., Li, Z., Chen, Q., Zhou, W., Lin, M., and Yin, X.: Inter-Sensor Calibration between HY-2B and AMSR2 Passive Microwave Data in Land Surface and First Result for Snow Water Equivalent Retrieval, J. Sens., 19(22), 5023, doi: 10.3390/s19225023, 2019.
- <u>Ghatak, D., Frei A., Gong, G., Stroeve, J., and Robinson, D.: On the emergence of an Arctic amplification signal in terrestrial</u>
 Arctic snow extent, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D24105, doi:10.1029/2010JD014007, 2010.
- <u>Ghatak, D., Deser C., Frei, A., Gong, G., Phillips, A., Robinson, D.A., and Stroeve, J.: Simulated Siberian snow cover response</u> to observed Arctic sea ice loss, 1979–2008, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D23108, doi:10.1029/2012JD018047, 2012..
- Graham, R. M., Hudson, S. R., & Maturilli, M.: Improved performance of ERA5 in Arctic gateway relative to four global atmospheric reanalyses, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 6138–6147. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082781, 2019.
- 790 Hauke, J., and Kossowski, T.: Comparison of values of Pearson's and Spearman's correlation coefficients on the same sets of data, Quaestiones Geographicae, 30(2), 87-93, doi:10.2478/v10117-011-0021-1, 2011.
 - Helfrich, S. R., McNamara, D., Ramsay, B. H., Baldwin, T., and Kasheta, T.: Enhancements to, and forthcoming developments in the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS), Hydrol. Process., 21, 1576-1586, doi: 10.1002/hyp.6720, 2007.
- 795 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., ... and Simmons, A.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Q J R Meteorol Soc., 146(730), 1999-2049, doi: 10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
 - Hernandez-Henriquez, M. A., Déry, S. J., and Derksen, C.: Polar amplification and elevation-dependence in trends of Northern Hemisphere snow cover extent, 1971–2014, Environ. Res. Lett 10: 044010, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/4/044010, 2015.
- Howell, S. E., Tivy, A., Yackel, J. J., and Scharien, R. K.: Application of a SeaWinds/QuikSCAT sea ice melt algorithm for assessing melt dynamics in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, J. Geophys. Res., 111, C07025, doi:10.1029/2005JC003193,
- 2006.
 - Howell, S.E., Duguay, C.R. and Markus, T.: Sea ice conditions and melt season duration variability within the Canadian Arctic Archipelago: 1979–2008, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L10502. doi:10.1029/2009GL037681, 2009.
 - 23

Howell, S.E. and Brady, M.: The dynamic response of sea ice to warming in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 13119-13125, doi: 10.1029/2019GL085116, 2019.

805

Johannessen, O.M., Kuzmina, S.I., Bobylev, L.P. and Miles, M.W.: Surface air temperature variability and trends in the Arctic: new amplification assessment and regionalisation, Tellus A, 68, 28234, doi: 10.3402/tellusa.v68.28234, 2016.

- Johnson, M., and Eicken, H.: Estimating Arctic sea-ice freeze-up and break-up from the satellite record: A comparison of different approaches in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, Elem Sci Anth., 4, doi: 10.12952/journal.elementa.000124, 2016.
- 810 Karetnikov, S., Leppäranta, M., and Montonen, A.: A time series of over 100 years of ice seasons on Lake Ladoga, J. Great Lakes Res., 43(6), 979-988, doi: 10.1016/j.jglr.2017.08.010, 2017.

Key, J.; Schweiger, A.J. Tools for atmospheric radiative transfer: Streamer and FluxNet. Comput. Geosci. 1998, 24, 443–451.
Key, J., Wang, X., Liu, Y., and NOAA CDR Program: NOAA Climate Data Record of AVHRR Polar Pathfinder Extended (APP-X), Version 2. 2004-2019. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. doi:10.25921/AE96-0E57,

- 815 accessed 03/04/2021, 2019.
 - Kononova, N. K.: The influence of atmospheric circulation on the formation of snow cover on the north eastern Siberia. Ice and Snow, 1, 38–53 (In Russian, English summary), doi: 0.15356/2076-6734-2012-1-38-53, 2012.
 - Kondratyev, K.Ya and Filatov, N.: Limnology and Remote Sensing: A Contemporary Approach, Springer-Verlag London, pp.406.
- 820 Kuusisto, E.: Trends of breakup dates in Finnish lakes in 1963-2014. In 20th International Northern Research Basins Symposium and Workshop Kuusamo, Finland–August 16–21, 2015 (p. 35), 2015.
 - Lehnherr, I., Louis, V.L.S., Sharp, M., Gardner, A.S., Smol, J.P., Schiff, S.L., Muir, D.C., Mortimer, C.A., Michelutti, N., Tarnocai, C. and Pierre, K.A.S.: The world's largest High Arctic lake responds rapidly to climate warming, Nat. Commun., 9, 1290, doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03685-z, 2018.
- 825 Lemke, P., Ren, J., Alley, R.B., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Flato, G., ... and Zhang, T.: Observations: changes in snow, ice and frozen ground. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- 830 Ludwig, V., Spreen, G., Haas, C., Istomina, L., Kauker, F., and Murashkin, D.: The 2018 North Greenland polynya observed by a newly introduced merged optical and passive microwave sea-ice concentration dataset, Cryosphere, 13(7), doi: 10.5194/tc-13-2051-2019, 2019.
 - Lynch, A. H., Serreze, M. C., Cassano, E. N., Crawford, A. D., and Stroeve, J.: Linkages between Arctic summer circulation regimes and regional sea ice anomalies, J. Geophys. Res., 121(13), 7868-7880, doi: 10.1002/2016JD025164, 2016.
- 835 Mahmud, M. S., Howell, S. E., Geldsetzer, T., and Yackel, J.: Detection of melt onset over the northern Canadian Arctic Archipelago sea ice from RADARSAT, 1997–2014., Remote Sens. Environ., 178, 59-69, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.03.003, 2016.
 - 24

- Marshall, S., Scott, K. A., and Scharien, R. K.: Passive Microwave Melt Onset Retrieval Based on a Variable Threshold: Assessment in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, Remote Sens., 11, 1304, doi: 10.3390/rs11111304, 2019.
- 840 Meier, W.: Comparison of passive microwave ice concentration algorithm retrievals with AVHRR imagery in arctic peripheral seas, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 43(6), 1324–1337. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2005.846151, 2005
 - Melling, H., Sea ice of the northern Canadian Arctic Archipelago, J. Geophys. Res., 107(C11), 2-1, doi: 10.1029/2001JC001102, 2002.
- 845 Miller, G.H., Alley, R.B. Brigham-Grette, J., Fitzpatrick, J.J., Polyak, L., Serreze, M.C., White, J.W.C.: Arctic amplification: can the past constrain the future?, Quaternary science reviews, 29 (15),1779-1790, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.02.008, 2010,
 - Moore, G.W.K., Howell, S.E.L., Brady, M. et al.: Anomalous collapses of Nares Strait ice arches leads to enhanced export of Arctic sea ice, Nat Commun 12, 1, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20314-w, 2021.
- 850 Mortin, J., Howell, S. E., Wang, L., Derksen, C., Svensson, G., Graversen, R. G., and Schrøder, T. M.: Extending the QuikSCAT record of seasonal melt-freeze transitions over Arctic sea ice using ASCAT, Remote Sens. Environ., 141, 214-230, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.11.004, 2014.
 - Mortin, J., Svensson, G., Graversen, R. G., Kapsch, M. L., Stroeve, J. C., and Boisvert, L. N.: Melt onset over Arctic sea ice controlled by atmospheric moisture transport, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(12), 6636-6642, doi: 10.1002/2016GL069330, 2016.
- 855 Mudryk, L.R., Derksen, C., Howell, S., Laliberté, F., Thackeray, C., Sospedra-Alfonso, R., Vionnet, V., Kushner, P.J. and Brown, R.: Canadian snow and sea ice: historical trends and projections, Cryosphere, 12, 1157-1176, doi: 10.5194/tc-12-1157-2018, 2018.
 - Nitze, I., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., Arp, C. D., Ulrich, M., Fedorov, A., and Veremeeva, A.: Landsat-based trend analysis of lake dynamics across northern permafrost regions, Remote Sens, 9(7), 640, doi:10.3390/rs9070640, 2017.
- 860 Onarheim, I. H., Eldevik, T., Smedsrud, L. H., and Stroeve, J. C.: Seasonal and regional manifestation of Arctic sea ice loss, J. Clim., 31(12), 4917-4932, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0427.1, 2018.
 - Overland, J. E.: Less climatic resilience in the arctic. Weather. Clim. Extremes, 100275, doi: 10.1016/j.wace.2020.100275, 2020.

Park, H., Yabuki, H., and Ohata, T.: Analysis of satellite and model datasets for variability and trends in Arctic snow extent

and depth, 1948–2006, Polar Sci., 6(1), 23-37, doi: 10.1016/j.polar.2011.11.002, 2012.
 Perovich, D., Meier, W., Tschudi, M., Wood, K., Farrell, S., Hendricks, S., ... and Webster, M.: Sea ice [in "State of the Climate in 2019"]. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 101(8), S251 – S256, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0086.1, 2020.

865

Pizzolato, L., Howell, S. E., Derksen, C., Dawson, J., and Copland, L.: Changing sea ice conditions and marine transportation activity in Canadian Arctic waters between 1990 and 2012, Clim. Change, 123(2), 161-173, doi: 10.1007/s10584-013-1038-3, 2014.

Deleted: Markus, T., Stroeve, J. C., and Miller, J.: Recent changes in Arctic sea ice melt onset, freezeup, and melt season length, J. Geophys. Res., 114, C12024. doi:10.1029/2009JC005436, 2009.¶

Przybylak, R., and Wyszyński, P.: Air temperature changes in the Arctic in the period 1951–2015 in the light of observational and reanalysis data, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 139(1-2), 75-94, doi: 10.1007/s00704-019-02952-3, 2020.

Rahmstorf, S.: A new view on sea level rise, Nat. Clim. Change, 4(4), 44-45, doi: 10.1038/climate.2010.29, 2010.

Ramsay, B. H.: The interactive multisensor snow and ice mapping system, Hydrol. Process, 12, 1537-1546, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199808/09)12:10/11<1537::AID-HYP679>3.0.CO;2-A, 1998.

Serreze, M. C., and Stroeve, J.: Arctic sea ice trends, variability and implications for seasonal ice forecasting, Philos. Trans.
 R. Soc, A., 373(2045), 20140159, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2014.0159, 2015.

Stroeve, J. C., Markus, T., Boisvert, L., Miller, J., and Barrett, A.: Changes in Arctic melt season and implications for sea ice loss, Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 1216-1225, doi: 10.1002/2013GL058951, 2014.

Stroeve J and Notz D: Changing state of Arctic sea ice across all Seasons, Environ. Res. Lett. 13 103001, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aade56, 2018

- 885 Surdu, C. M., Duguay, C. R., Brown, L. C., and Fernández Prieto, D.: Response of ice cover on shallow lakes of the North Slope of Alaska to contemporary climate conditions (1950–2011): radar remote-sensing and numerical modeling data analysis, Cryosphere, 8(1), 167-180, doi: 10.5194/tc-8-167-2014, 2014.
 - Surdu, C. M., Duguay, C. R., and Fernández Prieto, D.: Evidence of recent changes in the ice regime of lakes in the Canadian High Arctic from spaceborne satellite observations, Cryosphere, 10(3), 941-960, doi: 10.5194/tc-10-941-2016, 2016.
- 890 Tedesco, M., Derksen, C., Deems, J.S., and Foster, J. L: Remote sensing of the cryosphere: Remote sensing of snow depth and snow water equivalent: 1st edn. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, UK, doi: 10.1002/9781118368909.ch5, 2015.
- Thoman, R., Bhatt, U., Bieniek, P., Brettschneider, B., Brubaker, M., Danielson, S., ... and Walsh, J.: The record low Bering Sea ice extent in 2018 [in "Context, impacts, and an assessment of the role of anthropogenic climate change in Explaining extreme events of 2018 from a climate perspective"]. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 101(1), 53 – 59, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0175.1, 2020
 - Thackeray, C. W., Derksen, C., Fletcher, C. G., and Hall, A.: Snow and climate: Feedbacks, drivers, and indices of change, Curr. Clim. Change Rep., 5(4), 322-333, doi: 10.1007/s40641-019-00143-w, 2019.
- U.S. National Ice Center. 2008, updated daily. IMS Daily Northern Hemisphere Snow and Ice Analysis at 1 km, 4 km, and 24 km Resolutions, Version 1, 2 & 3, 1998 2019. Boulder, Colorado USA. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. doi: https://doi.org/10.7265/N52R3PMC. [Last accessed: May 2021].
 - Vincent, L.A., Zhang, X., Brown, R.D., Feng, Y., Mekis, E., Milewska, E.J., Wan, H. and Wang, X.L.: Observed trends in Canada's climate and influence of low-frequency variability modes, J. Clim., 28, 4545-4560. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00697.1, 2015.
 - Wang, L., Wolken, G.J., Sharp, M.J., Howell, S.E.L., Derksen, C., Brown, R.D., Markus, T. and Cole, J.: Integrated pan-Arctic
- 905

875

melt onset detection from satellite active and passive microwave measurements, 2000-2009, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22103, doi:10.1029/2011JD016256, 2011.

- Wang C., Graham R.M., Wang, K., Gerland, S., and Granskog, M.A.: Comparison of ERA5 and ERA-Interim near-surface air temperature, snowfall and precipitation over Arctic sea ice: effects on sea ice thermodynamics and evolution, The Cryosphere, 13, 1661–1679, doi: 10.5194/tc-13-1661-2019, 2019
- 910 Wendler, G., Gordon, T., and Stuefer, M.: On the precipitation and precipitation change in Alaska, Atmosphere, 8(12), 253, doi: 10.3390/atmos8120253, 2017.
 - Yackel, J.J., Barber, D.G., Papakyriakou, T.N., and Breneman, C.: First-year sea ice spring melt transitions in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from time-series synthetic aperture radar data, 1992–2002, Hydrol. Process., 21, 253–265, DOI, 2007.
 Yeo, SR., Kim, W. and Kim, KY: Eurasian snow cover variability in relation to warming trend and Arctic Oscillation, Clim

915 Dyn, 48, 499–511, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3089-4, 2017.

- Young, K.L., Brown, L. and Labine, C.: Snow cover variability at Polar Bear Pass, Nunavut, Arct. Sci., 4, 669-690. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1139/as-2017-0016, 2018.
- Zhang, X., Flato, G., Kirchmeier-Young, M., Vincent, L., Wan, H., Wang, X., ... and Kharin, V.V.: Changes in Temperature and Precipitation Across Canada; Chapter 4. In Bush, E. and Lemmen, D.S. (Eds.) Canada's Changing Climate Report (pp.

920 112-193). Government of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, 2019.

- Zheng, J., Geldsetzer, T., and Yackel, J.: Snow thickness estimation on first-year sea ice using microwave and optical remote sensing with melt modelling, Remote Sens. Environ., 199, 321–332, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.038, 2017.
- Zhu, J., Tan, S., King, J., Derksen, C., Lemmetyinen, J., and Tsang, L.: Forward and inverse radar modeling of terrestrial snow using SnowSAR data, IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens., 56(12), 7122-7132, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2018.2848642, 2018.

27



Figure 1. Map of the study area, including the main Sea Ice, Snow and Lake Ice regions (coloured), and subregions (numbered) included in Table 3.



Figure 2. Comparison of 24 km (left) and 4 km (right) lake ice first open water in 2017.





Figure 3. Mean 4 km IMS (2004 – 2019) (a) sea ice first open water (FOW_S), first snow-off (first_sOFF), and lake ice first open water (FOW_L), (b) sea ice water clear of ice (WCI_S), final snow-off (final_sOFF), and lake ice water clear of ice (WCI_L), (c) sea ice freeze onset (FO_L), first snow-on (first_sO_N), and lake ice freeze onset (FO_L), and (d) sea ice continuous ice cover (CIC_S), final snow-on (final_sO_N), and lake ice continuous ice cover (CIC_L), final snow-on (final_sO_N), and lake ice continuous ice cover (CIC_L), white regions indicate where either no snow/ice forms, or snow/ice remains all year, in 14 or more years of the dataset.

-(Deleted: (a)
(Deleted: (b)
(Deleted: (c)
~(Deleted: (d)



Figure 4. Mean 24 km (1997 – 2019) and 4 km (2004 – 2019) (a) IMS sea ice first open water (FOWs) and water clear of ice (WCIs) (b) first snow-off (first sore) and final snow-off (final sore) (c) lake ice first open water (FOWL) and water clear of ice (WCL), (d) sea ice freeze onset (FOs) and continuous ice cover (CICs), (c) first snow-on (first son) and final snow-on (final sos), and (f) lake ice freeze onset (FOL) and continuous ice cover (CICL), solve and significance are indicated for each phenology parameter using the 24 km IMS product. Note that for lake ice, only the 4 km IMS product was used in this study.

Deleted: (a)	
Deleted: (b)	
Deleted: (c)	
Deleted: (d)	
Deleted: (e)	
Deleted: (f)	





Figure 5. Pan-Arctic open water duration for oceans (1997 – 2019), snow-free duration (1997 – 2019) over land, and open water duration for lakes (2004 – 2019). Sen's slope of the trend and significance are shown.





Figure 6. Trends in 4 km IMS (2004 – 2019) (a) sea ice first open water (FOWs), first snow-off (first_SOFF), and lake ice first open water (FOWL), (b) sea ice water clear of ice (WCIs), final snow-off (final_SOFF), and lake ice water clear of ice (WCIL), (c) significant trend clusters in FOWs, FOWL and first_SOFF, and (d) significant trend clusters in WCIs, WCIL, and final_SOFF.

-(Deleted: (a)
-(Deleted: (b)
\geq	Deleted: (c)
\mathcal{X}	Deleted: (d)





earlier later Number of days, 2004-2019



Figure 7. Trends in 4 km IMS (2004 – 2019) (a) sea ice freeze onset (FO_S), first snow-on (first_so_N), and lake ice freeze onset (FO_L), (b) and sea ice continuous ice cover (CIC_S), final snow-on (final_so_N), and lake ice continuous ice cover (CIC_L), (c) significant trend clusters in FOs, FO_L and first_so_N and (d) significant trend clusters in CIC_S, CIC_L, and final_so_N,

Deleted: (a)	
Deleted: (b)	
Deleted: (c)	
Deleted: (d)	



Figure 8. Trends in monthly 2 m temperature from 2004 – 2019 in (a) January (b) February, (c) March, (d) April, € May, (f) June, (g) July, (h) August, (i) September, (j) October, (k) November, and (l) December,

990

Deleted: (a)
Deleted:	(b)
Deleted:	(c)
Deleted:	(d),
Deleted:	(e)
Deleted:	(f),
Deleted:	(g)
Deleted:	(h)
Deleted:	(i)
Deleted:	(j)
Deleted:	(k)
Deleted:	(1)



Figure 9: -<u>Trends in downwelling longwave radiation 2004 - 2019 in (a) January, (b) February, (c) March, (d) April & May, (f) June, (g) July, (h) August, (i) September, (j) October, (k) November, and (l) December.</u>

Table 1. Sea ice, lake ice, and snow phenology parameters and definitions in this study.

Parameter		Definition
FOW _S FOW _L	First open water (sea ice) First open water (lake ice)	The first change from ice to water for a given pixel
WCI _S WCI _L	Water clear of ice (sea ice) Water clear of ice (lake ice)	The last change from ice to water, signaling ice-free conditions for the remainder of the season
FO _S FO _L	Freeze onset (sea ice) Freeze onset (lake ice)	The first detection of ice for a given pixel
CIC _S CIC _L	Continuous ice cover (sea ice) Continuous ice cover (lake ice)	The date of the last change from water to ice
first_soff	First snow-off	The first change from snow-covered land to snow-free land for a given pixel
$final_s_{OFF}$	Final snow-off	The last change from snow-covered to snow-free land, signalling snow-free conditions for the remainder of the season
first_s _{ON}	First snow-on	The first change from snow-free land to snow-covered land
$final_s_{ON}$	Final snow-on	The last change from snow-free to snow-covered land

Deleted: Table 2. Pan-Arctic Spearman rank correlations (ρ) for snow and sea ice phenology dates and monthly 2 m temperature from 1997 - 2019 using 24 km IMS. Months were selected for each phenology parameter based on mean phenology dates in Figure 3. * represents statistically significant correlations at the 95% confidence level.¶

... [1]

	<i>rho</i> (ρ)			
First melt				
	first s _{OFF}	FOWL		
FOWs	0.38 (24 km) 0.38 (4 km)	0.62* (4 km)		
FOWL	0.55* (4 km)	-		
Final melt				
	final_s _{OFF}	WCIL		
WCIs	0.46* (24 km) 0.64* (4 km)	0.72* (4 km)		
WCIL	0.51* (4 km)	-		
First Freeze				
	first son	FOL		
FOs	0.15 (24 km) 0.08 (4 km)	0.23 (4 km)		
FOL	-0.27 (4 km)	-		
Final Freeze				
	final son	CICL		
CICs	0.37 (24 km) 0.24 (4 km)	0.37 (4 km)		
CICL	0.19 (4 km)	-		

Table 2, Pan-Arctic Spearman rank correlations (ρ) for snow and ice phenology dates using the 24 km (1997 – 2019) and 4 km (2004 – 2019) IMS products. * represents statistically significant correlations at the 95% confidence level.

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 4

Table 3. Regional analysis (see Figure 1) of the median trend strength (days/16 years) and direction (- earlier, + later) for all of the phenology parameters: First open water (FOW, subscript S denotes sea ice, L denotes Lake ice), Water clear of ice (WCI, subscript S denotes sea ice, L denotes Lake ice), Freeze onset (FO, subscript S denotes sea ice, L denotes Lake ice), Complete ice cover (CIC, subscript S denotes sea ice, L denotes Lake ice), first and final snow-off (S_{OFF}) , first and final snow-on (S_{ON}) .

1030

1

	Melt		Free	ze
<u>Sea Ice</u>	FOWs	WCIs	FOs	CICs
Canadian Arctic Region	+2	-7	-11	-9
Canadian Arctic Archipelago	-4	-7	-12	-8
Hudson Bay	+2	-7	-10	-10
Baffin Bay	-9	-18	-7	-6
Davis Strait	+24	+11	-22	-10
Alaska/ Far East Russia Region	-23	-31	+8	+14
Beaufort Sea	-30	-37	+6	+8
Chukchi Sea	-25	-31	+8	+19
Bering Sea	-34	-41	+27	+52
Eurasia Region	-14	-28	+7	+10
Eastern Siberian Sea	-15	-24	+5	+7
Laptev Sea	-11	-28	+8	+8
Kara Sea	-16	-32	+7	+10
Barents Sea	-15	-34	+16	+13
Greenland Sea	-13	-25	+2	+15

		Melt				Freeze			
Snow / Lake	<u>Ice</u>	First_	Final_	FOWL	WCIL	First_	Final_	FOL	CICL
		SOFF	SOFF			Son	Son		
North Ameri	ican Arctic	-8	-6	-4	-4	-8	-3	+2	0
	Canada Mainland West	-11	-10	-5	-5	-5	-4	+5	+1
	Northern QC	-7	-5	+9	+9	-8	-16	-4	-2
	Alaska/ Far East Russia	-3	0	-18	-19	-5	+1	+9	+11
	Western Alaska	-17	-15	-22	-27	+3	+22	+33	+25
	North Slope	-8	-1	+3	+3	-21	0	-8	-9
	Far East Russia	0	1	NA	NA	-9	-4	NA	NA
	Nettlling Lake			-3	+2			-3	-1
	Amadjuak Lake			-1	0			+3	+2
	Great Slave Lake			-6	-4			+3	+1
	Great Bear Lake			-4	-8			+8	+6
	Lake Hazen			-1	-4			+3	-11
Eurasia		0	0	-1	-2	-9	-7	+8	+8
S	candinavia/Northern Europe	0	0	-1	-1	-13	-9	+28	+19
	NW Eurasia	+5	+3	+1	-2	-8	-13	-4	-6
	Central Eurasia	0	0	-7	-9	-9	-4	-2	-4
	NE Eurasia	-1	-1	+2	+2	-9	-8	-6	-9
	Lake Ladoga*			N/A	-9			+13	N/A
	Lake Onega			-5	-6			+28	+15

* FOW_L and CIC_L are not included for Lake Ladoga as the lake did not fully freeze in several of the study years.

	De	let	ed	1	5
--	----	-----	----	---	---

Deleted: *

Table 4. Regional Spearman rank correlations (ρ) for snow and ice phenology dates and monthly 2 m temperature from 2004 - 2019using 4 km IMS. For sea ice, 'Canadian Arctic' includes Baffin Bay, Hudson Bay, and the CAA; 'Alaska/Far East Russia' includesBeaufort, Chukchi, and Bering seas; 'Eurasian Arctic' includes East Siberian, Laptev, Kara, Bering, and Greenland seas (See Figure1). Months were selected for each phenology parameter based on mean phenology dates in Figure 3. bold represents statistically040significant correlations at the 95% confidence level.

Sea Ice							
Melt	Canadian Arctic		Alaska/Russ	ia Arctic	Eurasian Arctic		
	FOWs	WCIs	FOWs WCIs		FOWs	WCIs	
Mar	-0.174	-0.309	-0.356	-0.524	0.059	-0.024	
Apr	-0.344	-0.315	0.103	-0.038	-0.579	-0.741	
May	-0.471	-0.562	0.185	0.488	-0.135	-0.068	
Jun	-0.441	-0.482	-0.165	-0.006	-0.209	-0.300	
Jul	-0.553	-0.653	-0.632	-0.435	0.529	0.118	
Aug	-0.668	-0.591	-0.468	-0.224	0.247	0.262	
Sep	-0.747	-0.579	0.518	0.712	0.026	0.118	

Freeze	Canadian A	rctic	Alaska/Russ	ia Arctic	Eurasian Arctic		
	FOs	CICs	FOs	CICs	FOs	CICs	
Sep	0.447	0.553	-0.300	-0.165	0.318	-0.059	
Oct	0.141	0.306	-0.179	0.144	0.462	0.285	
Nov	0.491	0.582	0.050	0.062	0.271	0.026	
Dec	0.341	0.415	0.094	-0.206	0.524	0.047	
Jan	0.124	-0.029	-0.171	0.115	0.124	0.024	
Feb	0.026	0.035	0.165	0.226	0.376	0.047	
Mar	0.594	0.224	0.429	0.215	-0.024	-0.426	
Apr	0 238	0.038	-0.047	-0.462	-0 288	0.015	

Snow and Lake Ice

Melt	Eurasia				North America				
	first_s _{off}	final_s _{off}	FOWL	WCIL	first_s _{off}	final_s _{off}	FOWL	WCIL	
Mar	0.050	0.124	-0.406	-0.359	-	-	-	-	
Apr	-0.235	-0.629	-0.665	-0.665	-0.618	-0.382	-0.409	-0.400	
May	-0.338	-0.691	-0.194	-0.365	-0.612	-0.603	-0.506	-0.532	
Jun	0.156	-0.226	-0.109	-0.176	-0.432	-0.241	-0.615	-0.638	
Jul	-0.288	-0.500	-0.203	-0.326	-0.321	-0.259	-0.485	-0.488	

Freeze	Eurasia				North America				
	first_s _{on}	final_s _{on}	FOL	CICL	first_s _{on}	final_s _{on}	FOL	CICL	
Aug	-0.046	0.121	-	-	0.639	0.336	-	-	
Sep	-0.096	-0.421	0.309	0.209	0.557	0.046	0.118	-0.088	
Oct	0.246	-0.111	-0.229	-0.156	0.543	0.407	0.088	0.100	
Nov	0.114	0.296	0.309	0.212	-0.193	-0.136	0.565	0.509	
Dec	0.093	0.132	0.426	0.332	0.071	-0.064	0.138	0.103	
Jan	-	-0.257	-0.076	-0.100	-	-	0.165	0.279	
Feb	-	-0.107	0.432	0.526	-	-	-	-	

 Table 5.
 Regional Spearman rank correlations (ρ) for snow and ice phenology dates and monthly downwelling longwave radiation

 1045
 (APP-x) from 2004 - 2019. For sea ice, 'Canadian Arctic' includes Baffin Bay, Hudson Bay, and the CAA; 'Alaska/Far East Russia' includes Beaufort, Chukchi, and Bering seas; 'Eurasian Arctic' includes East Siberian, Laptev, Kara, Bering, and Greenland seas (See Figure 1). Months were selected for each phenology parameter based on mean phenology dates in Figure 3. <u>bold represents</u> statistically significant correlations at the 95% confidence level.

Sea Ice								
Melt	Canadian A	rctic	Alaska/Russ	ia Arctic	Eurasian Ar	Eurasian Arctic		
	FOWs	WCIs	FOWs	WCIs	FOWs	WCIs		
Mar	0.385	0.338	-0.659	-0.456	0.156	0.106		
Apr	0.021	0.103	-0.124	-0.141	-0.259	-0.326		
May	-0.244	-0.253	-0.526	-0.391	0.100	-0.338		
Jun	-0.079	-0.100	-0.171	-0.032	0.253	-0.006		
Jul	0.012	-0.115	-0.235	-0.009	-0.003	-0.274		
Aug	-0.162	-0.182	-0.171	0.147	0.097	-0.091		
Sep	-0.168	-0.497	0.144	0.450	-0.215	-0.406		

Freeze	Canadian A	rctic	Alaska/Russi	a Arctic	Eurasian Are	Eurasian Arctic		
	FOs	CICs	FOs	CICs	FOs	CICs		
Sep	0.512	0.241	-0.068	-0.238	-0.056	-0.209		
Oct	0.585	0.379	0.053	-0.156	0.506	0.171		
Nov	0.485	0.306	-0.197	0.082	0.221	-0.079		
Dec	0.412	0.671	0.229	0.456	0.256	-0.044		
Jan	0.300	0.218	0.265	0.665	0.462	0.129		
Feb	0.268	0.409	0.279	0.594	0.506	-0.065		
Mar	0.232	0.191	0.468	0.562	0.347	-0.118		
Apr	0.344	0.385	0.185	-0.056	0.224	0.009		

Snow and Lake Ice

Melt	Eurasia				North America				
	first_s _{off}	final_s _{off}	FOWL	WCIL	first_s _{off}	final_s _{off}	FOWL	WCIL	
Mar	0.112	0.379	-0.171	-0.185	-	-	-	-	
Apr	0.003	-0.318	-0.300	-0.447	-0.647	-0.415	-0.535	-0.538	
May	-0.421	-0.629	-0.232	-0.282	-0.529	-0.515	-0.556	-0.565	
Jun	-0.182	-0.374	0.191	0.038	-0.156	-0.106	-0.044	-0.082	
Jul	-0.279	-0.447	-0.297	-0.382	-0.238	-0.174	-0.406	-0.403	

Freeze	Eurasia				North America				
	first_s _{on}	final_s _{on}	FOL	CICL	first_s _{on}	final_s _{on}	FOL	CICL	
Aug	0.232	-0.021	-	-	0.264	0.318	-	-	
Sep	0.346	-0.321	0.259	0.221	0.568	0.118	0.271	0.024	
Oct	0.236	-0.082	0.047	0.106	0.264	0.332	-0.135	-0.162	
Nov	-0.250	0.232	0.188	0.309	-0.243	-0.229	0.247	0.071	
Dec	-0.236	-0.075	0.188	0.091	-0.346	-0.286	0.071	-0.018	
Jan	-	-0.446	0.188	0.191	-	-	0.218	0.306	
Feb	-	-0.261	0.238	0.353	-	-	-		

42

Deleted: *

Page 38: [1] Deleted Laura Brown 6/15/21 2:12:00 PM

A