

The authors have done an excellent job in addressing the concerns raised by reviewers. I have a few minor issues to be addressed below before publication.

L52: '...applications of SEB remain rare to date in the ...'

L63: maybe write 'fluxes' instead of 'flux'

L68: '...observed to be up to 66% ...'

L93: 'Special attention is given to ...'

L98: Like with Glacier/Basin etc 'Valley' should also be capitalized if referring to a specific one.

L111: Why was 'were' replaced by 'was'? Data is always plural! Same elsewhere (e.g. l166).

L138: 'less than'

L181: '(positive)' but actually I think you can leave the whole bracket away

L318: 'are shown' – maybe give a good read through once on where you should have plural and were singular, this occurs quite often.

L550: Remove 'whereas' – better not to start sentences with it.

L667: 'This could ...' I do not quite understand what you want to say here? 'This could possibly lead to a lower energy sink through the LE flux, which will ...'?

Figure 12: I know this is always a bit challenging, but it would be good if the legend does not overlay any data visualization in the top left panel.

L705: 'rates'

L732: 'This is a big issue ...' Again I don't get what that sentence is supposed to say. What is the 'issue' here? Honestly I think that sentence is not required.

L809: 'schemes'