Radiative penetration dominates the thermal regime and energetics of a shallow ice-covered lake in an arid climate
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Abstract. The Central Asia is characterized by cold and arid winter with very little precipitation (snow), strong solar insolation, and dry air. But little is known about the thermal regimes of ice and ice-covered lakes and their response to the distinct meteorology and climate in this region. In a typical large shallow lake, ice/snow processes and under-ice thermodynamics were observed for four winters between 2015 and 2019. Heat budgets at the ice-water interface and within the water column were investigated. Results reveal that persistent bare ice permits 20%–35% of incident solar radiation to transmit into the under-ice water, providing background source for under-ice energy flows and causing/maintaining high water temperature (up to 6–8°C) and high water-to-ice heat flux (annually mean 20–45 W m⁻²) in mid-winter. Heat balancing indicates that the transmitted radiation and water-to-ice heat flux are the dominators and highly correlated. Both bulk water temperature and its structure respond sensibly to solar transmittance and occasional snow events. Complicated evolution of thermal structure was observed and under-ice convective mixing does not necessarily occur because of the joint governance of strong irradiance, sediment heating and salinity profile. Especially, salt exclusion of freezing changes both the bulk salinity and its structure, which plays a more important role in stability/mixing of the water column in the shallow lake.

1 Introduction

Lakes are important water resources and provide vital habitats for aquatic ecosystems. More than 55% of world lakes are located between 40 and 80°N in the north hemisphere (Verpoorter et al., 2014), and have potential to freeze seasonally (Kirillin et al., 2012), especially in Arctic, boreal, and temperate climate and high mountain regions. Due to distinct properties of ice compared to water, seasonal formation and decay of ice cover have tremendous impacts on lake water quality (Yang et al., 2016), physical and chemical conditions (Yang et al., 2021; Cavaliere and Baulch, 2018; Huang et al., 2019a),
aquatic ecosystem (Griffiths et al., 2017; Song et al., 2019), and land-atmosphere mass and heat interaction (Wang et al., 2015; Franz et al., 2018). Therefore, common concerns have been widely reached on mapping the lake ice physics and its underlying physical mechanisms. Field and modeling investigations on lake ice processes have a long history in northern temperate and boreal regions, such as Fennoscandia, central Europe, northern Canada, and the Great Lakes. Ice duration shortening has been documented currently in these lakes (Bernhardt et al., 2012; Lei et al., 2012; Karetminov et al., 2017; Ptak et al., 2020). However, the lake ice regime in arid climate remains less studied due to lack of long-term observational record, such as in central Asia and high mountain regions, which are subject to quite different landscape, regional climate, and hydrological cycles compared with the northern temperate, boreal, and Arctic environment.

Lake thermal stratification dynamics is of great importance to hydrodynamics and transport of nutrients, oxygen and phytoplankton, which influence the limnological habitats and ecosystems. In freezing lakes, stable inverted thermal stratification usually forms and persists under the ice cover with the temperature typically smaller than the maximum density temperature (e.g. 3.98°C for freshwater). After the onset of melting, strong solar irradiance can penetrate the apparent ice cover into the water and drive turbulent convection (Boufflard et al., 2019; Volkov et al., 2019) until the bulk temperature reaches or surpasses the maximum density temperature or the breakup (Yang et al., 2020). However, in some shallow mid-latitude lakes, this is not the story. During melting, a warm middle layer can form and separate the overlying inverted thermal stratification and the underlying positive thermal stratification. Its temperature can grow up to around 10°C before the breakup (e.g. Huang et al., 2019b; Kirillin et al., 2021). This underlines the uniqueness of seasonally ice-covered lakes in mid-latitude arid regions and the importance of their different climates. It remains unclear how this stratification forms and evolves and how it interacts with the snow/ice processes.

After freeze-up, the ice cover shelters the lake from atmospheric forcing and deposits. The lake boundary is constituted by only the ice cover on the top and sediment at the bottom. The heat budget is governed by heat and radiation fluxes across the ice-water-sediment interfaces (Leppäranta, et al., 2019). But these fluxes, including solar radiation transmission, ice-water heat exchange and sediment heat release, have not been well quantified in mid-latitude arid region lakes. Especially, the ice-water heat flux, a key factor affecting the mass and energy balance of both ice and water, has been demonstrated to be remarkably higher in some central Asia lakes than those in Arctic and boreal lakes (Malm et al., 1997; Jakkila et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2019a,b; Lu et al., 2020). But the regime underpinning its high values is still unknown.

Lake Ulansuhai, a large shallow lake in the south border of Mongolia Plateau, is located in a typical central Asian arid climate zone and is covered by ice for 4–5 months annually. We performed 4-winter observations of snow/ice processes, solar radiation transfer and temperature profiles of air-ice-water-sediment column. Below, observations and models were combined 1) to reveal the seasonal and diurnal dynamic of lake temperature stratification under the ice in mid-latitude arid climate and 2) to quantify and balance the involved heat fluxes that determine the thermal state.

2 Methods

2.1 Study site

The Hetao Basin (ca. 6,000 km², mean altitude > 1,000 m), one of the oldest and largest irrigation area in China, is located in the central southern Mongolian Plateau that is controlled by a temperate
continental climate. In the Hetao Basin, the annual sunshine duration is about 3,000–3,200 h, the annual air temperature is 5.6–7.4°C with the lowest and highest month temperature of −14–−11°C (Jan) and 22–24°C (Jul), the frost-free period is 130–150 d, and the annual precipitation is 150–400 mm and is concentrated in warm seasons. Most parts of the basin have been desertified or semi-desertified in recent decades.

Lake Ulansuhai (40°36′–41°03′N, 108°41′–108°57′E, altitude 1,019 m), a typical large shallow lake in desert/semi-desert region with a total area of about 306 km² (Fig. 1). It is a very important part of the irrigation and drainage system of the Hetao Basin, and its major water source comes from the farmland irrigation drainage and domestic sewage. The maximum and mean depth is 2.5–3.0 m and 1.0–1.5 m, respectively. The annual air temperature, hours of sunshine, precipitation, evaporation, wind speed, frost-free period is 7.3°C, 3,185 h, 224 mm, 1,502 mm, 3.5 m s⁻¹, and 152 d, respectively (Sun et al., 2011). The solar noon and altitude in winter is about 12:45 ± 15 min and 41 ± 10°, respectively. The ice cover is usually free of snow or only sparsely snow covered due to occasional snow events and strong winds.

The lake level is regulated through pumping water from the Yellow River via the main inflow canal in the western border. The total annual water supply is approximately 4 × 10⁸ m³, equivalent to the lake volume. But, in winter (Nov–Mar), very little surface inflow/outflow exists except some possible wastewater inflow (Sun et al., 2013), and the subsurface inflow is also negligible (Zhu et al., 2014). For more detailed information, please refer to Lu et al. (2020) and references therein.

According to our sampling tests in winter 2017, the lake water is weakly saline with salinity of 1.0–1.5 PSU before the ice-on and gradually increased to 2.5–3.0 PSU when the ice cover grows to its annual maximum due to freezing exclusion of salt.

![Figure 1. Locations of Lake Ulansuhai (a) and study sites (b) and the field instrumentation (c).](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2021-349)
During winters of 2015-2019, field campaigns were conducted in open water areas of Lake Ulansuhai (Fig. 1c). In each winter, an automatic weather station (AWS) was established on the ice cover, observing wind speed and direction, air temperature and humidity, total incident and reflected radiation (300–3000 nm), and the skin temperature of the ice/snow surface. An under-ice uplooking sonar (WUUL-1/2, Wuhan University, China) was used to measure the ice thickness evolution with accuracy of 2 mm. The snow thickness was measured manually using a snowstake every 1–2 days. The temperature profile of the air-ice-water-sediment column was observed using a thermistor chain (PTWD, Jinzhou Sunshine Technology Co. Ltd, China) at 5–10 cm spacing with accuracy of 0.05°C. TriOS spectral radiometers with accuracy of 0.04–0.06 mW m⁻² nm⁻¹ (RAMSES-ACC-VIS, TriOS, Germany) were used to measure the incident and reflected photosynthesis active radiation (PAR) over the ice/snow surface and under-ice downward irradiance. The water level change was measured using a temperature-pressure logger with accuracy of 0.05% (LTC Levelogger, Solinst, Canada) placed 20 cm above the sediment surface. All the above variables were recorded every 10 min. Information of all acquired datasets was summarized in Table 1 (also refer to Huang et al. (2021)).

Table 1. Data series acquired during four winter observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available duration</td>
<td>Jan 11–Mar 9</td>
<td>Jan 21–Mar 11</td>
<td>Jan 9–Feb 25</td>
<td>Jan 20–Feb 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice/snow thickness</td>
<td>220 cm</td>
<td>170 cm</td>
<td>143 cm</td>
<td>140 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-ice-water-sediment temperature</td>
<td>√ (21)</td>
<td>√ (24)</td>
<td>√ (27)</td>
<td>√ (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-ice irradiation</td>
<td>√ (1)</td>
<td>√ (2)</td>
<td>√ (3)</td>
<td>√ (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-ice upwelling radiation</td>
<td>√ (1)</td>
<td>√ (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Conductivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The observed variables were ticked with the total number of measuring depths showed in the brackets.

2.3 Data processing

In freshwater lakes the water temperature is much colder than 3.98°C with a weak inverse thermal stratification during freezing (Winter I phase), and typically a convective mixing layer forms between the top cold interfacial layer and the warm quiescent layer during melting (Winter II phase) (Kirillin et al., 2012). The stratification structure in Lake Ulansuhai was checked using temperature gradient following Kirillin et al. (2018).

After freeze-up, as illustrated in Fig. 2, thermal regime of the water column is governed by the solar irradiance penetrating through the ice cover ($R_a$), solar radiation absorbed by the lake sediment (if any) ($R_{sed}$), heat fluxes through ice-water ($F_{i,w}$) and water-sediment ($F_{w,sed}$) interfaces, and horizontal heat gain ($F_h$) from the neighboring water body. If the zero reference level for heat is defined as the heat content of liquid fresh water at its freezing point temperature, the heat content is $\rho_w c_w T_w h_w$ for water. The heat budget of water column is

$$R_w = R_{sed} + F_{i,w} + F_h = \rho_w c_w h_w \frac{dT_w}{dt} + \rho_w c_w T_w \frac{dh_w}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho_w$, $c_w$, and $T_w$ is the density, specific heat capacity, and temperature of water, respectively. Other variables are defined in Fig. 2. The lateral heat transport $F_h$ is negligible in this shallow lake with a flat
The two terms on the right-hand side are the heat content changes induced by changes in the water temperature and level, respectively. The water level logger result indicated that the lake lost water through seepage to soil quite slowly (about 0.6 mm/d) during ice seasons, and the heat loss due to the bottom water seepage was estimated to be smaller than 0.8 W m$^{-2}$ and thus was ignored compared to other heat fluxes.

Under-ice solar irradiance The light extinction coefficient of the under-ice water column was measured to be 2.1 m$^{-1}$ under clear sky on Jan 7, 2018. Using the observed irradiance by under-ice spectral sensors, the solar irradiance at the ice-water interface ($R_o$) was derived from a one-band exponential decay law of light transfer in water column, following

$$R_o = R_d \exp(\kappa_w (z_d - h_i)), \quad (2)$$

where $R_d$ is the observed downward irradiance at depth $z_d$, $h_i$ is the ice thickness, and $\kappa_w$ is the light extinction coefficient of water.

Sediment heat flux The effective thermal conductivity of the top sediment was estimated to be 0.2–0.7 W m$^{-1}$°C$^{-1}$ using an optimal control model (Shi et al., 2014) based on the observed temperature profile of sediment. The heat exchange flux through the water-sediment interface ($F_{sed}$) was calculated from a gradient method,

$$F_{sed} = -\kappa_{sed} \frac{\partial T_{sed}}{\partial z} \bigg|_{bottom} \approx -\kappa_{sed} \frac{\Delta T_{sed}}{\Delta z}, \quad (3)$$

where $\kappa_{sed}$ is the thermal conductivity of sediment (= 0.5 W m$^{-1}$°C$^{-1}$) and $T_{sed}$ is the observed sediment temperature.

Water-to-ice heat flux The water-to-ice heat flux can be derived from the heat balance at the ice-water interface,

$$F_i = Q_c - Q_l = -\kappa_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=h_i} - \rho_i L_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}, \quad (3)$$

Where $Q_c$ and $Q_l$ is the conductive heat within bottom ice and latent heat due to ice freezing/melting, $\rho_i$ and $L_i$ is the density and latent heat of fusion of ice, respectively. The first term on the right-hand side denotes the heat release/absorption due to ice freezing/melting, which can be derived from the ice thickness observation. The second term denotes heat conduction into the ice interior, which can be derived using the temperature gradient observed in the bottom ice layer.

The heat content change (i.e. the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1)) was calculated using the observed water temperature profiles.

Direct use of semi-hourly observed datasets brought high-frequency fluctuations in heat flux estimation. So, daily means of these fluxes were used for further analysis on seasonal dynamics.
2.4 Potential errors in heat flux estimation

Potential errors in the above heat flux estimation usually come from the measure accuracy of deployed apparatuses. We classified errors into four ranges: (a) negligible, less than 0.2 W m$^{-2}$, (b) minor, 0.2–1.0 W m$^{-2}$, (c) moderate, 1.0–2.0 W m$^{-2}$, and (d) crucial, greater than 2.0 W m$^{-2}$ (Table 2). The thermistor accuracy is expected to influence $F_s$ and $F_T$ moderately, and the ice density influences the $F_w$ moderately. Other heat fluxes suffer only to negligible or minor uncertainties induced by individual source. Errors from several sources may accumulate especially in $F_w$, but the accumulated errors in $F_w$ should be less than 8%.

**Table 2. Uncertainties in calculation of heat fluxes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error source</th>
<th>Heat flux (W m$^{-2}$)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_w$</td>
<td>$F_{tot}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{T, w} = \rho_w c \frac{dT_w}{dt}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation precision</td>
<td>N(0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermistor precision</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice thickness</td>
<td>N(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice growth rate</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice density</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water density</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Here N, S, M, and C denote negligible, minor, moderate, and crucial. Dashes (−) indicate inapplicable.

3 Results

3.1 Lake ice and temperature

Our observations were conducted during mid-winters covering the turning point from freezing to melting. The air temperature was consistently lower than 0°C, but its daily amplitude was very high (~10–16°C) and the peak at noon/afternoon could be close to 0°C (Fig. 3). Wind speed was generally lower than 4 m s$^{-1}$ except occasional wind gusts that lead to snow or dust drifting. The relative humidity of air 2-m above the ice surface also showed evident diurnal cycle between 40% and 80%.
The peak incident solar radiation each day was roughly 500–800 W m\(^{-2}\), and its daily average was 80–200 W m\(^{-2}\) and showed a trend of increase from the beginning to the end of our observation. But the daily average was always smaller than 100 W m\(^{-2}\) due to prevailed cloudy or overcast skies in winter 2019. Occasional snowfalls usually brought about thin snow layers (< 6 cm) that continuously ablated due to wind blowing and thermal melting/evaporation. New snow covers could increase the surface albedo up to over 0.80 but this increment gradually disappeared within one week following the snowfall.

The ice thickness differed annually between 35 cm and 60 cm, accounting for 30%–60% of the mean lake depth. The bulk water temperature under the ice cover was 3–7°C and showed obvious diurnal cycles and synoptic decreased following snow events, evidencing the effect of transmitted solar radiation. The surface sediment was always warmer than the water column during the observation, indicating the sediment works as a heat source to warm the overlying water.

Figure 3. Observational air temperature \(T_a\) (a), daily means of incident and reflected solar radiation (b), snow and ice thickness (c), temperature of water column and surface sediment. (top left: winter 2016; top right: winter 2017; bottom left: winter 2018; bottom right: winter 2019)

3.2 Thermal stratification and mixing in midwinter

In mid-winters, the lake sediment was still very warm with surface temperature > 6°C, usually causing inverse temperature profile in water column (Fig. 4). Although our observations didn’t cover the whole
ice season, evident seasonal and annual variations were observed. A common thin layer (10–30 cm) of strong inverse stratification (i.e. interface layer) prevailed just beneath the ice due to the large difference in temperature of the ice base (i.e. constantly at the freezing point) and the bulk water column, e.g. in winters 2016, 2018 and 2019. But in winter 2017, this thin top layer did not show up during our observational period and a persist thick inverse structure developed through the water column (Fig. 4b). Underneath the top cold interface layer, the temperature increased slowly downward to the warmer sediment (weak inverse structure) in winter 2019 and prior to 3 Mar in winter 2016 (Fig. 4a and 4d). After 3 Mar in winter 2016 and 10 Feb in winter 2018, a thermally homogeneous convective layer quickly developed after the bulk water temperature rose above approximately 7°C (Fig. 4a and 4c). Strikingly, before the formation of convective mixing in winter 2018, a ”warm” zone of 30 cm (local maximum temperature) with temperature decreasing both downwardly and upwardly persisted at ~30 cm beneath the ice base. Occasional snowfall events usually led to quick bulk cooling along the entire water temperature profile due to high reflection of new snow despite of their small thickness. The sensitive response of water temperature to snow events (actually changes in penetrated radiation) implies large heat flux from water to ice and the dominance of solar radiation in this lake.

Unconventionally, under-ice convection did not take place in all winters (only two of our four observational winters) and seems to develop just when the bulk water temperature goes up to 7°C. This temperature threshold is higher than the temperature of maximum density of freshwater (3.98°C) and saline water (<3.98°C). These annually-variable convections are believed to form conditionally and lake-specifically with proper water-sediment temperature and salinity profile. Water sampling indicated that, in this very shallow lake, the salt amount increases and structure changed simultaneously as the ice grew (Fig. 5). At the ice-on, the salinity showed a stable profile (increasing downwardly) and its
impact on water density outweighed the impact of concurrent temperature gradient (i.e. on Jan 5). With the following ice growth, the bulk salinity increased but the salinity gradient decreased and the temperature gradient decreased. Consequently, the weakened salinity gradient could persistently outweigh or offset the impact of temperature profile on water density through the growing period (before Mar 4). When the ice started melting, the salinity gradient turned larger due to fresh meltwater release from the top, the water column became more stable (on Mar 11).

We can conclude that how the water temperature and salinity profiles change synchronously during late freezing and initial melting determines whether the under-ice convection takes place. Especially, if the sediment temperature is high and the transmitted radiation is large during freezing, the sediment and bottom water temperature can be warm and increase rapidly, increasing the probability for full-depth convection such as in winters of 2016 and 2018.

Figure 5. Observed temperature and salinity profiles and estimated water density (according to Leppäranta (2015)) in winter 2017.

3.3 Heat budget at the ice-water interface

Heat and mass fluxes at the ice-water interface govern the basal freezing/melting rates of ice cover and temperature of the top water layer. In mid-winter, the ice growth slowed down and then came to an equilibrium period (i.e. the thickness kept roughly constant) prior to the melting start (Fig. 3), so the latent heat flux $Q_l$ kept positive due to continuous ice growth and then fluctuated near zero level. After the ice began to melt from bottom, $Q_l$ turned negative (Fig. 6). The conductive heat flux $Q_c$ through the bottom ice kept positive, indicating upward heat transport. After the ice started fast melting, $Q_c$ came to near zero since the ice cover turned into a (quasi-)isothermal state.

The water-to-ice heat flux $F_w$ showed similar variation with $Q_c$. Physically, $F_w$ is crucially determined by the inverse thermal gradient of the topmost interface layer. Thinner interface layer with higher thermal gradient in winters 2016 (40.8±11.7 W m$^{-2}$) and 2018 (44.9±9.4 W m$^{-2}$) created higher $F_w$ than those in winters 2017 (21.4±12.3 W m$^{-2}$) and 2019 (30.2±9.0 W m$^{-2}$). Interestingly, the convective mixing process increased $F_w$ by 33% in winter 2016 but decreased $F_w$ by 26% in winter 2018, indicating complicated effect of convection.

During the ice growth, both latent heat due to freezing ($Q_l$) and conductive heat from water to ice ($F_w$)
were transported to the ice interior ($Q_c$) (Eq. (3)). The $Q_c$ is predominantly determined by the ice thickness and air temperature according to analytical methods (Leppävirta, 2015), so higher $F_w$ means lower $Q_c$, namely, smaller growth rate of ice. Specifically, $F_w$ took up $> 65\%$ of $Q_c$ prior to the equilibrium stage (e.g. winters of 2016 and 2017) and $> 90\%$ in the equilibrium stage (e.g. winters of 2018 and 2019).

During initial ice melting, the heat transferred from water to ice ($F_w$) was largely conducted to the ice interior ($Q_c$) ($70\%$–$80\%$) and partly used to melt the basal ice ($Q_l$). But during fast melting, $Q_c$ was negligible and $F_w$ was almost totally used for basal ice melt.

**Figure 6.** Heat fluxes at the ice-water interface ($Q_c$: conductive heat flux in the bottom ice; $Q_l$: latent heat flux due to basal ice freezing/melting; $F_w$: water-to-ice heat flux). The light gray and blue zones denote periods of convective mixing and stratification with local “warm” layer (Fig. 4), respectively.

### 3.4 Energetics of the water column

The temperature regime of under-ice water is governed by the heat budget. Fig. 7 showed all the heat fluxes involved and the balance residual. In mid-winters, $R_w$ was $25$–$50\ W\ m^{-2}$ under bare ice cover and drop to $1.5$–$13\ W\ m^{-2}$ under ice with snow cover of varied thickness ($1.5$–$8\ cm$) and age. Only $3\%$–$14\%$
(1–5 W m$^{-2}$) of $R_w$ (i.e. $R_{sed}$) reached and directly heated the sediment surface (Fig. 3), which in turn released heat ($F_{sed}$) to the overlying water in mid-winters (1–3 W m$^{-2}$). $F_w$ also showed annual and seasonal variations (10–60 W m$^{-2}$) and was generally smaller under snow-covered ice than that under bare ice, likely indicating the effect of transmitted irradiance. The heat content change ($F_{Tw}$) was typically small (–5–4 W m$^{-2}$) during freezing but grew up to 4–15 W m$^{-2}$ during the initial melting. Evidently, the transmitted solar radiation ($R_w$) and water-to-ice heat transfer ($F_w$) dominated the heat balance of the under-ice water. Combining the 4-winter observations, the $R_w$ was the largest heat source (34.8±18 W m$^{-2}$) and accounted for (92±9)% of the total source ($R_w + F_{sed}$) to the under-ice water, while $F_w$ was the largest heat sink (34.3±15 W m$^{-2}$) and accounted for (96±38)% of the total sink ($F_w + R_{sed} + F_{Tw}$). The term ($F_{sed} - R_{sed}$) was only –0.8±2.7 W m$^{-2}$ and $F_{Tw}$ was 0.7±8.7 W m$^{-2}$, both of which can be neglected compared to others. Therefore, the transmitted solar radiation was almost totally (98%) returned to the ice base by means of water-to-ice heat conduction.

![Figure 7. Heat budget of the under-ice water ($R_w$: transmitted solar radiation; $R_{sed}$: absorbed solar radiation by sediment; $F_w$: water-to-ice heat flux; $F_{sed}$: heat released from sediment; $F_{Tw}$: sensible heat caused by water heat content change; Res: residual of heat balancing, which is supposed to be zero when all heat fluxes balance ideally)](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2021-349)
4.1 Comparisons with (sub)Arctic and temperate climate lakes

Prior to the ice-on date, in freshwater lakes fall mixing due to thermally free convection (at 3.98°C) and continuous wind stirring usually creates large/full-depth vertical isothermal structure with temperature quite close to the freezing point (stage I in Fig. 8).

After the freeze-up or ice-on, the stratification evolves as a joint result of snow and ice condition, solar radiation penetration, bottom sediment heating, and horizontal current/circulation. In Arctic, boreal, and northern temperate regions, such as Fennoscandia, north American, and central Europe, winter precipitation leads to thick snow covers over the lake ice after the freeze-up, and little light can penetrate through the snow and ice covers, hence, the solar radiation input can be neglected within the water column. The water column only gets heat from the bottom sediment and releases heat to the top ice cover. Both heat fluxes are very small (0–5 W m⁻²). Therefore, the lake water stays close to the freezing point and even presents a very weak inverse structure similar to curve I through the entire growth period (3–5 months). After the melting onset, warm air and strengthened solar radiation leads to snow melting, more solar radiation goes through the transparent ice and heats up the underlying water, creating a deepening convective mixing (stage II) before reaching the temperature of maximum density ($T_m$) (stage III). Usually, the ice cover breaks up before the thermal state of stage III forms in most deep boreal and Arctic lakes (Yang et al., 2020).

In mid-latitude cold and arid regions, intensive solar radiation and little snow allow more solar energy transmitting to the water column just following the freeze-up. In the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP), the water column can keep stably the state of stage I or starts slowly warming (i.e. period of stage II) just following the freeze-up in deep lakes, and then go to stage III, creating mid-winter overturn (Fig. 8b).

Afterwards, stronger solar radiation due to thinner ice warms continuously the top water layer (stage IV), which exists for 4-6 weeks before breakup (Kirillin et al., 2021; Lazhu et al., 2021). However, in shallow ponds, stage II (i.e. transition from stage I to III) is very short-lived (one week), the water column roughly stays at stage III almost over the entire freezing period. And the following warm layer (IV) can deepen to near the lake bottom before ice-off (Fig. 8c) (Huang et al., 2019b).

Despite the intensive solar transmission, Lake Ulansuhai is very shallow and weak saline, its thermal stratification dynamics is determined by the synchronous profile evolution of temperature and salinity. Although our observation covered only the mid-winters, thermal profile of type I is expected at the pre-winter and ice-on due to joint effects of wind-stirring and large salinity gradient. But stage I should be very short and the bulk temperature increases rapidly and transits to stage II due to the strong solar transmission and small lake depth. The occurrence of stage III is conditional and mainly dependent upon the salinity change due to freezing-exclusion effect. Stage IV is also expected since meltwater dilution in the top layer can suppress the convection.

Salinity structure plays a more important role in lake stratification and convective mixing than temperature in saline and even freshwater lakes (Kirillin and Terzhevik, 2011). The present results indicated that the salt exclusion during freezing changes both the total salt content and salinity structure. For instance, for a lake with mean depth of 1.0 m, if the separation coefficient is assumed 0.15 (Pieters and Lawrence, 2009; Bluteau et al, 2017), formation of 0.5 m ice cover can cause an increment of 70% to the water salinity. In Lake Ulansuhai, the salinity increases downwardly at the ice-on with a large salinity gradient. Afterwards, as the ice grows, salt exclusion gradually decreases the salinity gradient, making the water more prone to mix convectively.
Figure 8. Typical thermal stratification types in ice-covered lakes: (a) deep lake in Arctic (Jakkila et al., 2009), (b) deep lakes in QTP (Kirillin et al., 2021; Lazhu et al., 2021), (c) a shallow pond in QTP (Huang et al., 2019b), and (d) Lake Ulansuhai. The definitions of Roman numbers are presented in the text.

4.2 What leads to high water-to-ice heat flux?

The water-to-ice heat flux $F_w$ plays a predominant role in the basal growth and melting of lake ice cover, but is quite challenging to be observed instrumentally. Eqs. (1) and (3) provide two ways to calculate $F_w$ if the ice thickness, temperature profiles of the ice-water-sediment column, and solar irradiation are observed (actually these variables are routinely observed). By definition, $F_w$ is the conductive heat across the very thin diffusive water layer just beneath the ice. Temperature difference and thickness (i.e. thermal gradient) of this thin layer are influenced to varied extent by thermal stratification, convective mixing (Figs. 4 and 5), advection due to horizontal currents and circulation (Rizk et al., 2014; Kirillin et al., 2015), and seiche oscillation (Kirillin et al., 2018). All of these thermal and hydraulic dynamic processes lead to $F_w$’s nature of non-stationary and spatiotemporal variation (Winters et al., 2019).

In boreal and Arctic lakes, low solar radiation, short insolation duration, and most importantly thick snow cover limit solar heat input to the under-ice water column, just water and sediment heat release (both at very small flux) can cause only a low seasonal $F_w$ (0–15 W m$^{-2}$) (Malm et al., 1997; Jakkila et al., 2009). However, in arid or mid-latitude lakes with little snow and/or more intensive solar insolation, $F_w$ can be 10–50 W m$^{-2}$ in Lake Baikal (Aslamov et al., 2017) and 20–100 W m$^{-2}$ in QTP lakes, and shows distinct seasonal variation (Huang et al., 2019a,b; Kirillin et al., 2021). The estimated $F_w$ in Lake Ulansuhai is comparable to Lake Baikal and QTP lakes, indicative of the vital contribution of solar radiation and the absence of snow cover.

From a perspective of heat balance in water (Eq. (1)),

$$ F_w = R_w - R_{sed} + F_{sed} + F_h - \rho_w c_w h_w \frac{dT_w}{dt} - \rho_w c_w T_w \frac{dh_w}{dt}, \quad (4) $$

If we define $Q_{rad} = R_w - R_{sed}$ (i.e., solar absorption by water column), and the heat content change due to subsurface water seepage is negligible,

Eq. (4) is transformed to

$$ F_w = Q_{rad} + F_{sed} + F_h - F_{Tw}, \quad (5) $$

which means the penetrated solar energy ($Q_{rad}$) and sediment heat ($F_{sed}$) are used to change the bulk water temperature ($F_{Tw}$) and its structure. In turn, the water body loses heat to the ice by adjusting its
bulk temperature and structure. Fig. 7 argued that both $F_{sed}$ and $F_{Tw}$ are very small and roughly constant and $Q_{rad}$ and $F_w$ are the overwhelming dominator in heat source and sink, respectively. Therefore, Eq. (5) can be transformed to a linear formula to present the contribution of $Q_{rad}$.

$$F_w = aQ_{rad} + b, \quad (6)$$

where the slope $a$ and intercept $b$ reflect the contributions of penetrated solar radiation and of sediment and advection heat, respectively. During our observations, $a$ and $b$ is 0.52 and 15.8 W m$^{-2}$, respectively, in Lake Ulansuhai (Fig. 9). This significant correlation also indicates directly the penetrated solar radiation is the first-order driver of seasonal and annual variations in water-to-ice heat transfer (Fig. 7).

But we have to note that values of both coefficients should be lake-specific. For instance, lake depth and salinity modify the changes in convective mixing depth, bulk water temperature, and temperature structure caused by solar irradiance (Lazhu et al., 2021), and thus alter the relative contributions of solar radiation to water heat content and to heat transfer from water to ice.

**Figure 9.** Linear fitting of daily water-to-ice heat flux $F_w$ as a function of penetrated solar radiation $Q_{rad}$.

## 5 Conclusions

We present the ice-covered lake thermodynamics in a climatic and hydrological environment in distinct contrast to Arctic, boreal, and other northern temperate regions. The ice cover is always bare or only sparsely covered by occasional thin snow lasting for 1–2 weeks due to the arid climate. The clear ice cover allows 1/5–1/3 of incident solar radiation to penetrate into the water column in mid-winter, providing a background for energetics of under-ice water. The transmitted radiation and heat transfer across the ice-water interface dominate the heat budget of the water column and are highly correlated. High water-to-ice heat flux was observed and predominantly originated from the high irradiance.

Both bulk water temperature and thermal structure are in quick response to transmitted radiation and snow events due to the small lake depth. Under-ice convective mixing takes place in certain winters and is dependent on both radiation and salinity profile, which is mediated by the salt exclusion during freezing. Salt exclusion effect (or cryoconcentration) on lake stratification and convection in shallow ice-covered freshwater and saline lakes needs to be investigated in future effort.
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