

1 **The role of föhn winds in eastern Antarctic Peninsula rapid ice shelf** 2 **collapse**

3 Matthew K. Laffin¹, Charles S. Zender^{1,2}, Melchior van Wessem³, Sebastián Marinsek⁴

4 ¹Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, USA

5 ²Department of Computer Science, University of California, Irvine, USA

6 ³Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht (IMAU), Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

7 ⁴Instituto Antártico Argentino, Buenos Aires, Argentina

8 *Correspondence to:* Matthew K. Laffin (mlaffin@uci.edu)

9 **Abstract.** Ice shelf collapse reduces buttressing and enables grounded glaciers to contribute more rapidly to sea-level rise in
10 a warming climate. The abrupt collapses of the Larsen A (1995) and B (2002) ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula (AP)
11 occurred, at least for Larsen B, when long period ocean swells damaged the calving front and the ice shelf was inundated
12 with melt lakes that led to large-scale hydrofracture cascades. During collapse, field and satellite observations indicate föhn
13 winds were present on both ice shelves. Here we use a regional climate model and Machine Learning analyses to evaluate
14 the contributory roles of föhn winds and associated melt events prior to and during the collapses for ice shelves on the AP.
15 Föhn winds caused about $25\% \pm 3\%$ of the total annual melt in just 9 days on Larsen A prior to and during collapse and were
16 present during the Larsen B collapse which helped form extensive melt lakes. At the same time, the off-coast wind direction
17 created by föhn winds helped melt and physically push sea ice away from the ice shelf calving fronts that allowed long
18 period ocean swells to reach and damage the front, which has been theorised to have ultimately triggered collapse. Collapsed
19 ice shelves experienced enhanced surface melt driven by föhn winds over a large spatial extent and near the calving front,
20 whereas SCAR inlet and the Larsen C ice shelves are affected less by föhn wind-induced melt and do not experience
21 large-scale melt ponds. These results suggest SCAR inlet and the Larsen C ice shelves may be less likely to experience rapid
22 collapse due to föhn-driven melt so long as surface temperatures and föhn occurrence remain within historical bounds.

23 **1 Introduction**

24 Ice shelves, the floating extensions of grounded glaciers, subdue the discharge of grounded ice into the global ocean (Rignot
25 et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 2004; Gudmundsson et al., 2013; Borstad et al., 2016). Re-examination of past ice shelf collapse
26 events can help to shed light on the mechanisms of collapse and improve the understanding of ice shelf dynamics for future
27 projections of sea-level rise (Rignot et al., 2004; Gudmundsson et al., 2013; Borstad et al., 2016). The final collapses of the
28 Larsen A (LAIS) in 1995 and the Larsen B (LBIS) ice shelves in 2002 have been attributed to decreased structural integrity
29 brought on by a combination of factors. Most notably, regional atmospheric warming (Scambos et al., 2000; Mulvaney et al.,


30 2012), extended melt seasons (Scambos et al., 2003), multi-year firn pore space depletion (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2014;
31 Trusel et al., 2015), melt pond flooding (Glasser and Scambos (2008); Trusel et al., 2013; Leeson et al., 2020), crevasse
32 expansion through hydrofracture (Scambos et al., 2003; Banwell et al., 2013; Pollard et al., 2015; Alley et al., 2018; Banwell
33 et al., 2019; Robel and Banwell, 2019), glacier structural discontinuities (Glasser et al., 2008), basal melt (Pritchard et al.,
34 2012; Rignot et al., 2013; Depoorter et al., 2013; Schodlok et al., 2016; Adusumilli et al., 2018), warm melt-water intrusion
35 (Braun et al., 2009), melting of the ice melange within rifts conducive to rift propagation (Larour et al., 2021), and regional
36 sea ice loss allowing ocean swell flexure stress on the calving front (Banwell et al., 2017; Massom et al., 2018).

37 While the list of mechanisms that can destabilise ice shelves is extensive, a conceptual model for rapid ice shelf
38 collapse proposed by Massom et al., (2018) identifies 4 essential prerequisites for sudden collapse: (1) extensive surface
39 flooding and hydrofracture; (2) reduced sea ice or fast ice at the ice shelf front; (3) outer margin or terminus fracturing and
40 rifting; and (4) initial calving trigger at the ice shelf margin. They theorise waves led to calving front damage and small
41 calving events that breached the “compressive arch” of stability of both ice shelves proposed by Doake et al., (1998). At the
42 same time the ice shelves were covered in extensive surface melt lakes that were unlikely to drain horizontally because of the
43 relatively flat surface (Banwell et al., 2014). Satellite observations and ice shelf stability model studies determined the LBIS
44 was covered with >2750 melt lakes that were on average 1 meter deep before collapse (Glasser and Scambos (2008);
45 Banwell et al., 2013). Ice shelves inundated with surface melt lakes are susceptible to disintegration through a process
46 known as hydrofracture, where meltwater applies outward and downward pressure to the walls and tip of crevasses that can
47 propagate through the ice shelf (Scambos et al., 2003; Banwell et al., 2013; Bell et al., 2018; Lhermitte et al., 2020).
48 Furthermore, melt lakes that rapidly drain by hydrofracture can create fracture patterns that split ice shelves into sections
49 with aspect ratios that support unstable rollover, and hydrofracture cascades that begin when melt lakes drain and/or calving
50 occurs at the ice shelf terminus (Scambos et al., 2003; Banwell et al., 2013; Burton et al., 2013; Robel and Banwell, 2019).
51 The combination of ocean swell stress on the calving front and extensive melt ponds led to large-scale hydrofracture
52 cascades that proposed by Massome et al., (2018) ultimately caused the rapid collapse of the LBIS and possibly the LAIS.

53 In addition to a lack of sea ice and extensive melt ponds, meteorological and satellite observations identify clear
54 skies and warm west/northwest föhn wind at the time of collapse (Figure 1c-f) (Rott et al., 1998; Rack and Rott (2004); Cape
55 et al., 2015; Massom et al., 2018). Föhn winds form when relatively cool moist air is forced over a mountain barrier, often
56 leading to precipitation on the windward side of the barrier that dries the air mass (Grosvenor et al., 2014; Elvidge et al.,
57 2015). As the now drier air descends the leeward slope it warms adiabatically and promotes melt directly through sensible
58 heat exchange, and indirectly by the associated clear skies that allow additional shortwave radiation to reach the surface in
59 non-winter months (Turton et al., 2017, 2018; Kuipers Munneke et al., 2018; Elvidge et al., 2020; Laffin et al., 2021). Föhn
60 winds and their capacity to cause surface melt have been studied extensively on the AP. Observations and model studies on
61 the LCIS confirm the föhn mechanism that enhances sensible heat and shortwave radiation and alters local albedo which can

62 increase surface melt rates upwards of 50% compared to non-föhn conditions (Cape et al., 2015; Elvidge et al., 2015; King et
63 al., 2015, 2017; Kuipers Munneke et al., 2012, 2018; Bevan et al., 2017; Lenaerts et al., 2017; Datta et al., 2019;
64 Kirchgaessner, et al., 2021; Laffin et al., 2021, Wang et al., 2021). Late season föhn melt reduces firn pore space, and thus
65 pre-conditions ice shelves to form melt ponds and are responsible for the increased firn density pattern east of the AP
66 mountains on the LCIS (Holland et al., 2011; Kuipers Munneke et al., 2014; Datta et al., 2019). Föhn melt climatology
67 studies have aimed to identify how much melt is caused by föhn and the locations most affected and found föhn winds
68 account for up to 17 % of melt and are concentrated in the LCIS inlets (Turton et al., 2017; Datta et al., 2019; Laffin et al.,
69 2021). Pressure gradient differences across the AP range lead to föhn winds that funnel through mountain gaps as highly
70 concentrated föhn jets, particularly in inlets east of the AP range (Luckman et al., 2014; Elvidge et al., 2015; Kuipers
71 Munneke et al., 2012; Grosvenor et al., 2014). In addition to enhancing surface melt rates, föhn winds exert force on sea/fast
72 ice and drag it away from the calving front, thereby exposing the front to ocean waves (Bozkurt et al., 2018). Climatic
73 studies of the Larsen B embayment indicate that föhn winds were coincident with collapse (Rack and Rott (2004); Leeson et
74 al., 2017). However, it is unknown if concentrated föhn jets spilled onto the former LAIS and LBIS and, if so, whether those
75 föhn winds contributed to their collapse. The questions, therefore, arise: 1) To what extent did föhn-induced melt contribute
76 to the surface melt budget on each eastern AP ice shelf?; 2) Did föhn winds and associated melt play a role in triggering the
77 collapses of the LAIS and LBIS?; 3) What are the implications of föhn-induced melt for the remaining eastern AP ice
78 shelves?

79 To address these questions we consider three metrics: Section 3.1 explores the total annual surface melt quantity
80 induced by föhn winds and how melt is spatially distributed across each ice shelf; Section 3.2 identifies the coincidence of
81 föhn-induced melt preceding and during the collapse events, and the estimated melt-lake depth in response to melt events.;
82 Section 3.3 identifies the contribution of föhn melt to the climatological surface liquid water budget comparing collapsed and
83 extant ice shelves on the eastern AP. By constructing a timeline of melt and melt mechanisms and comparing melt metrics
84 with collapsed and extant ice shelves, we can identify the contributory factors to collapse.



86 **Figure 1.** Map of the northern Antarctic Peninsula (a) showing locations of collapsed ice shelves (LAIS-January 25, 1995, LBIS-February
 87 9, 2002), extant ice shelves (SCAR inlet and LCIS), and föhn jets (Larsen A jet (LA jet), Larsen B jet (LB jet), Jason Peninsula jet (JP jet),
 88 Cabinet inlet jet (CI jet), Mill inlet jet (MI jet), Whirlwind inlet jet (WI jet), Mobil Oil inlet jet (MOI jet)) with a MODIS Mosaic overlay.
 89 The colored regions indicate how this study separates ice shelves for climatic analysis. The dotted lines show the former extent of the
 90 Larsen A and Larsen B ice shelves at the time of collapse. Panels (b)-(f) are satellite images of the collapses of the LAIS and LBIS. (b)
 91 AVHRR (Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer) image of the northern AP two years before the collapse of the LAIS showing melt
 92 lakes on the surface of both ice shelves. (c) AVHRR image after the collapse of the LAIS. (d) NASA provided MODIS (Moderate
 93 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) image showing the LBIS days before collapse began. (e) MODIS image showing a föhn wind
 94 event (clouds over the western AP, clear skies over the ice shelves) along with the initial collapse of the LBIS. (f) MODIS image of the
 95 complete collapse of the LBIS.

96 **2 Data and methods**

97 **2.1 Regional Climate Model 2 Simulation (RACMO2)**

98 We base our analysis on 3-hourly output from simulations by the Regional Atmospheric Climate Model 2 (RACMO2),
99 version 2.3p2, with a horizontal resolution of 5.5km (0.05°) focused on the AP from 1979-2018. RACMO2 uses the physics
100 package CY33r1 of the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System (IFS)
101 (<https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elibrary/9227-part-iv-physical-processes>\textit{{ECMWF-IFS,} 2008}) in combination with
102 atmospheric dynamics of the High-Resolution Limited Area Model (HIRLAM). When RACMO2 surface simulations are
103 compared with AWS observations on the LCIS, surface air temperature has a slight warm bias likely because of model
104 resolution and shortwave/longwave radiation are over/under estimated due to underestimation of clouds and moisture but
105 overall reproduce surface observations (King et al., 2015; Leeson et al., 2017; Bozkurt et al., 2020; Laffin et al., 2021)

106 **2.2 Föhn wind detection**

107 We use the Föhn Detection Algorithm (FöhnDA) that identifies föhn winds that cause melt using 12 Automatic Weather
108 Stations (AWS) on the AP previously developed and detailed in Laffin et al., (2021). FöhnDA identifies föhn-induced melt
109 events using binary classification Machine Learning when 10 metre air temperature (T) is greater than 0°C, which ensures it
110 captures föhn events that cause surface melt. Thresholds for relative humidity (RH) and wind speed (WS) are more dynamic
111 because high wind speeds and low relative humidity do not guarantee temperatures above freezing, they only aid to identify
112 föhn. FöhnDA uses quantile regression to identify these variable thresholds that take into account the climatology and
113 seasonality at each AWS site. FöhnDA uses two empirically determined thresholds: the 60th percentile wind speed and 30th
114 percentile relative humidity which are 2.85 m/s and 79% averaged at all AWS locations. We co-locate AWS with the nearest
115 model grid cell and use FöhnDA results to train a ML model that detects föhn winds in RACMO2 output. Our ML model
116 improves the accuracy of föhn detection by over 23% when compared to the simple binary classification method applied to
117 RACMO2 output as described above. A sensitivity study detailed in Laffin et al., (2021) compares previous föhn detection
118 methods (Cape et al., 2015; Datta et al., 2019) and shows that FöhnDA allows us to use in situ observations from AWS and
119 expand föhn detection with RACMO2 output to regions and times when AWS observations are not available (Figure S1)
120 (Table S1).

121 Föhn jet locations were identified using wind direction and strength during föhn events (Figure 2a) and by the
122 surface melt pattern during föhn (Figure 3b). The RACMO2 topography pixel size is 5.5 km which is sufficient to produce
123 the föhn jets identified on the LCIS (Elvidge et al., 2015), and allows for new föhn jet identification on the LAIS and LBIS
124 despite lack of direct observation. However, föhn winds funnelled through local canyons and mountain gaps smaller than 5.5

125 km are not directly simulated. Therefore, we consider RACMO2 simulated estimates of surface melt caused by föhn winds
126 to be conservative and likely greater in regions where föhn winds are funnelled and concentrated.

127 **2.3 Ice shelf intercomparison analysis**

128 We split the ice shelves into areas shown in Figure 1a (Larsen A, Larsen B, SCAR inlet, Larsen C (north), and Larsen C) and
129 take the average of all model grid cells annually to create a climatology of surface melt, melt rate, melt hours, surface
130 temperature. We use a two-tailed t-test statistic to identify if the mean surface temperature and mean surface melt of both ice
131 shelves are statistically different from one another at the 95% confidence interval. We compare all ice shelves to the LBIS
132 because it was the most recent collapse event and is adjacent to collapsed and existing ice shelves. Qualitatively similar
133 results are obtained when comparing all ice shelves to the LAIS.

134 To compare ice shelf liquid water budgets we use a liquid-to-solid ratio (LSR) as a crude proxy for available firm air
135 content and can be estimated as,

136

$$137 \quad LSR = \frac{\text{Total liquid water (snowmelt + liquid precipitation)}}{\text{Total solid precipitation (snow)}} \quad (1)$$

138

139 where areas with $LSR < 1$ represent an ice shelf that receives more solid precipitation than liquid water and is therefore less
140 likely to saturate with liquid water and form melt lakes than areas with $LSR > 1$.

141 **2.4 Sea ice concentration analysis**


142 We used 3-hourly meteorological data of sea ice concentration from the European Center for Medium-Range Weather
143 Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA5 reanalysis (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2017). These data are available at a horizontal
144 resolution of about 30 km or 0.28° . ERA5 is created by assimilated satellite and in situ observations into ECMWF's
145 Integrated Forecast System (IFS). We compare sea ice concentration to the occurrence of föhn wind events to identify how
146 föhn winds impact sea ice concentration. We measure the mean sea ice concentration of the ocean 90km directly east of each
147 ice shelf (Larsen A, Larsen B, and Larsen C) in the Weddell Sea. We explore the relationship of summer föhn wind
148 occurrence and summer (DJF) sea ice concentration using a statistical pearson correlation method. When föhn winds are
149 present we compare the mean of all sea ice concentration pixels in the designated ice shelf region for all years from 1979 to
150 2018.

151 **3 Results**

152 **3.1 Föhn jets and melt**

153 Using RACMO2 historical simulations, informed by a Machine Learning algorithm (FöhnDA) that is trained with AWS
154 observations (Laffin et al., 2021), we identify seven recurring föhn jets or “gap winds” that lead to high surface melt rates on
155 the eastern AP ice shelves (Figure 2a). Four of these jets (CI, MI, WI, MOI) have been studied using airborne observations
156 and model simulations (Grosvenor et al., 2014; Elvidge et al., 2015). The remaining three jets (LA, LB, and JP) are, to our
157 knowledge, identified here for the first time. Overall, winds from the west and northwest direction lead to increased surface
158 melt rates that can be up to 53% higher than melt when the wind is from other directions (Figure 2c) (van den Broeke
159 (2005)). Additionally, the degree to which föhn winds impact surface melt on each ice shelf varies depending on föhn jet
160 existence, location, and wind strength (Wiesenekker et al., 2018). These variations in föhn jet location may provide insight
161 into why SCAR inlet and the LCIS remain intact while the LAIS and LBIS have collapsed other than the significant
162 difference in annual surface temperature (Cook and Vaughan (2009); Bozkurt et al., 2020; Carrasco et al., 2021).

163




164

165 **Figure 2.** (a) The northern AP showing the RACMO2-simulated wind speed and direction vectors on January 24, 1995, just before the
166 collapse of the LAIS. Föhn jet locations are indicated with names. (b) RACMO2 annual average föhn melt hour percent of total melt
167 hours, föhn melt percent of total melt for each ice shelf from, and percent of total hours föhn winds occur from 1980-2002. (c) RACMO2
168 melt rate as a function of wind direction averaged for all ice shelf regions on the AP from 1980-2002.

169
170 Surface melt production is more pronounced under the influence of föhn jets, particularly for the LA and LB jets
171 which produce 35.7% and 31.8% more melt respectively compared to regions not in the path of a föhn jet on each ice shelf
172 (Figure 3). Föhn-induced surface melt accounts for 42% of the total annual melt between 1979 and 2002 on the LAIS and
173 51% of total melt on the LBIS but only represents 21% and 25% of total melt hours on the LAIS and LBIS (Figure 2b, 3c).
174 In locations directly influenced by föhn jets, the mean annual föhn-induced melt was as high as 61% on the LAIS and 57%
175 on the LBIS of total annual melt. By contrast, föhn-induced melt accounts for only 25% of 1979-2002 total melt on SCAR
176 inlet and 17% on the LCIS. SCAR inlet is not directly impacted by a föhn jet, but still experiences clear skies and weak föhn
177 influence from the overall descending air during föhn events. The LCIS is affected by numerous föhn jets (CI, MI, WI,
178 MOI), accounting for up to 40% of the total annual melt in Cabinet and Whirlwind inlets, decreasing with distance east of
179 the AP mountains. The stark contrast in surface melt amount and fraction caused by föhn winds on collapsed vs. intact ice
180 shelves implicates föhn melt as a contributor to the LAIS and LBIS collapses. A clearer picture of the role of föhns emerges
181 after we examine föhn-induced melt extent and timing.

182 The spatial distribution and extent of surface melt influence ice shelf stability. Surface melt and melt lakes near the
183 ice shelf terminus can lead to calving front collapse and structural instability for the remaining portion of the ice shelf
184 (Depoorter et al., 2013; Pollard et al., 2015). Consistent with this mechanism, the LA and LB föhn jets impact a large spatial
185 area of the LAIS and LBIS, and reach the ice shelf calving fronts (Figure 3b). SCAR Inlet lacks a strong föhn jet/influence
186 and does not regularly experience large-scale melt lakes even during high melt years (Figure 1b-f). This helps explain why
187 SCAR Inlet is still intact, despite decreased sea ice buttress force and major structural changes observed after the collapse of
188 the LBIS (Borstad et al., 2016; Qiao et al., 2020). LCIS on the other hand is impacted by four major jets and regularly
189 experiences föhn-induced melt lakes, particularly in Cabinet inlet. However, the vast size of the LCIS limits the amount of
190 föhn-induced melt at the terminus. The föhn melt mechanism breaks down by mixing with cold air which reduces the
191 intensity of the föhn jets from their peak at the base of the AP mountains to the calving front (Figure 3b) (Elvidge et al.,
192 2016; Turton et al., 2018). Having established that föhn winds significantly enhanced surface melt overall (Cape et al., 2015;
193 Elvidge et al., 2015; Datta et al., 2019) and at the crucial calving front of LAIS and LBIS, we now examine the timing of
194 föhn-induced melt events relative to collapse.

195



196 **Figure 3.** (a) RACMO2 average annual melt from 1980-2002. (b) RACMO2 average annual föhn wind-induced melt from 1980-2002. (c)
 197 RACMO2 percent of total melt concurrent with föhn wind from 1980-2002. (d) RACMO2 time series of the mean annual surface melt on
 198 each ice shelf from 1979-2018. Dashed vertical lines indicate the year in which each ice shelf collapsed. Note: The Larsen B graph often
 199 overlaps the Larsen A curve.

200 3.2 Coincidence of föhn winds with collapse

201 3.2.1 LAIS

202 Three föhn wind events occurred on LAIS between January 18 and 27, 1995, overlapping with the initial phase of the LAIS
 203 collapse that began on January 25 (Figure 4b) (Rott et al., 1998). These föhn events helped contribute to the collapse of the
 204 ice shelf in two ways: (1) Enhanced surface melt rates caused by the LA jet led to extensive melt lakes across the ice shelf
 205 that possibly promoted large-scale hydrofracture cascades because of the rapid (days to weeks) nature of collapse (Banwell
 206 et al., 2013); (2) The west/northwest wind direction actively pushed or melted sea ice and fast ice away from the calving
 207 front, allowing ocean waves to reach the ice shelf terminus (Rott et al., 1996; Massom et al., 2018). The föhn wind events


208 prior to and during collapse lasted an average of 3 days each and produced increased surface melt greater than any other
209 9-day period from 1979-2018, with mean cumulative melt of 268.5 mm w.e. or 25.2% of the total annual melt in the 1994/95
210 melt season. Total melt during the 1994/95 melt season was 127% higher than an average year (474 mm w.e./yr) and the
211 9-day föhn wind event produced 57% of the total melt of an average melt year. Therefore this 9-day föhn-induced melt event
212 and melt year are clearly anomalous in the observational record. We also identify a negative correlation between the
213 occurrence of föhn winds and sea ice concentration on all eastern AP ice shelves (Figure 5a), that is more correlated with
214 föhn wind occurrence than air temperature (Figure 5b). When föhn winds occur on the AP, sea ice concentration decreases
215 which is consistent with other wind types in Antarctica (katabatic winds) that form perennial wintertime polynya (Figure
216 5c-e)(Bromwich, 1984; Bozkurt et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). At the start of the 9-day föhn event, sea ice concentration
217 east of the LAIS was at or near 100% but by the time collapse began, sea ice concentration dropped significantly (Figure
218 5d-e).

219 We next examine the contribution of föhn-generated melt to other observables implicated in the collapse, namely
220 surface liquid water, melt lake depth, and melt lake extent (Scambos et al., 2003). We estimate the spatial extent and depth of
221 melt lakes prior to collapse on the LAIS using satellite images of melt lake surface area combined with model-simulated
222 available liquid water volume. The cumulative spatial melt pattern between January 18 and 27, 1995 identifies significant
223 melt on the LAIS ranging from 157-356 mm w.e. (Figure S2a), varying spatially with the influence of the LA jet. Satellite
224 imagery of the LAIS during the collapse in progress show melt lakes were present (Figure S3) however because the collapse
225 had already begun, it is likely many of the lakes had drained or had been altered so estimating melt lake extent is not
226 possible. However, Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) imagery on December 8, 1992, provides
227 high-resolution cloudless images of the ice shelf taken at the end of a similar föhn-induced melt event during a year when
228 melt was comparable to the 1994/95 melt season, therefore we consider this melt lake extent analogous to the 1994/95 melt
229 season (Figure 4a). We find the melt lake surface area was likely between 5.1%-10.8% ($103 \text{ km}^2 - 219 \text{ km}^2$) of the total LAIS
230 surface area (Figure S2b). Melt lake surface area is likely underestimated because the image was taken early in the 1992/93
231 melt season and does not easily identify small lakes or river systems. Liquid water pooling on the ice surface is modulated by
232 the local topography. If we assume all the available surface liquid water during the 9-day melt period, minus evaporation,
233 runoff, and refreeze, forms lakes that cover the same estimated surface area as the 1992/93 melt season, we can estimate melt
234 lake depth during the initial collapse. We find mean melt lake depth to be between 1.38-6.86 meters depending on lake
235 location and föhn influence, which exceeds the average lake depth of the LBIS lakes prior to collapse (1m) (Banwell et al.,
236 2014) and the modelled lake depth (5m) that could lead to large-scale hydrofracture cascades, especially under the influence
237 of the LA jet (Banwell et al., 2013).

238


239 **3.2.2 LBIS**

240 A föhn wind event coincided with the initial LBIS collapse on February 9, 2002, with two events just prior to collapse and
 241 three additional events before complete collapse by March 17, 2002 (Figure 4c). Föhn events in the LBIS 2001/02 melt
 242 season were relatively short, averaging less than 24 hours per event, and produced melt rates 27% higher than non-föhn melt
 243 that year and 39% of the average föhn melt rate in all other years (Figure 4e). Similar to the LAIS collapse the off-coast wind
 244 direction and enhanced surface melt rates during the föhn wind event helped push sea ice away from the calving front and
 245 contributed to surface melt lakes that led to hydrofracture and collapse (Figure 5a) (Massom et al., 2018). Additionally,
 246 previous high melt rate föhn events such as those in the 1992/93 and 1994/95 melt seasons likely preconditioned the LBIS
 247 through firn densification to support melt lake formation, discussed in section 3.3.




248

249 **Figure 4.** RACMO2 time series of surface melt production and cumulative melt during the Antarctic melt season averaged over the
 250 indicated ice shelf. Grey shading indicates the presence of föhn winds. (a) 1992/1993 LAIS. (b) 1994/1995 LAIS. (c) 1992/1993 LBIS. (d)
 251 1994/1995 LBIS. (e) 2001/2002 LBIS. *Note:* Surface melt that occurs after the collapse events indicated by the dashed vertical lines in (b)
 252 and (e) are estimates of melt quantity if the ice shelves did not disintegrate.
 253



254




255 **Figure 5.** (a) Scatter plot of ERA5 summer (DJF) sea ice concentration and RACMO2 identified föhn occurrence hours on each ice shelf
 256 from 1979-2018. (b) Scatter plot of ERA5 summer (DJF) sea ice concentration and RACMO2 mean summer air temperature on each ice
 257 shelf from 1979-2018. ERA5 sea ice concentration at the start of a 9-day föhn melt event (c), the middle of the event (d), and on the day

258 of initial phase of the LAIS collapse (e). Grey arrows indicate the mean föhn wind direction and the numbered boxes indicate the sea ice
259 study region associated with the adjacent ice shelf for the correlation analysis (LAIS (1), LBIS (2), LCIS (3)).

260 3.3 Föhn melt and the surface liquid water budget


261 To better understand the role that föhn winds play in eastern AP ice shelf surface melt and stability we intercompare melt
262 climatologies and the surface liquid water budget of all eastern AP ice shelves (Larsen A, Larsen B, SCAR inlet, Larsen C).
263 A comparison of collapsed with intact ice shelves yields a clearer picture of the effects föhn winds have on ice shelf stability.
264 We identify whether annual surface melt production, melt rate, melt hours, and surface temperature variables from
265 1980-2002 are significantly different from the LBIS (Figure 6 and corresponding two-tailed t-test statistics in Table S2). We
266 compare to LBIS because it was centred between other ice shelves and was the most recent to collapse. Total surface melt
267 production on every ice shelf except LAIS differs significantly from LBIS melt (Mean annual melt over the ice shelf area;
268 LAIS-476 mm w.e., LBIS-479 mm w.e., SCAR-353 mm w.e., Larsen(north)-336 mm w.e., LCIS-238 mm w.e.) (Figure 6a),
269 which is expected when we consider the latitudinal location and mean annual air temperature (Figure 6d) (Table S2).
270 However, when föhn-induced melt is subtracted from total melt, the mean annual surface melt production on SCAR inlet and
271 Larsen C (north) are not statistically different from the LBIS (LAIS-337 mm w.e., LBIS-321 mm w.e., SCAR-286 mm w.e.,
272 Larsen(north)-278 mm w.e., LCIS-203 mm w.e.) (Figure 6b). In other words, with the exception of föhn-induced melt
273 (Figure 6c), melt production on SCAR Inlet and LCIS are statistically indistinguishable at the 95% confidence interval from
274 LBIS melt production. Föhn wind-induced surface melt impacted collapsed ice shelves significantly more than SCAR inlet
275 and the LCIS which further defines föhn melt as an important contributor to LAIS and LBIS melt budget.

276 Our analysis of firn density or available firn pore space identifies significant differences in ice shelves that have
277 collapsed (LAIS, LBIS) and those that remain intact (SCAR inlet, LCIS). The liquid-to-solid ratio (LSR) is a crude proxy for
278 available firn air content with extant ice shelves (SCAR inlet, LCIS) have an LSR just above 1 for the period 1980-2002 if
279 all surface melt is included (Figure 7a). The LSR for LAIS and LBIS is also just above 1 for this period, though only if
280 föhn-induced surface melt is excluded (Figure 7b). When surface melt caused by föhn wind is included, LSR exceeds 1.5
281 throughout extensive regions, including the ice shelf margins, of the LAIS and LBIS. Thus the collapsed ice shelves
282 experienced climatological LSRs significantly larger than the SCAR inlet and the LCIS, mainly due to föhn-induced melt. It
283 is important to note that there is evidence that the LCIS experiences regions of firn densification through melt processes,
284 however these regions are mostly focused close to the AP mountains, likely formed from the location of föhn jets (Hubbard
285 et al., 2016). This result suggests that föhn-induced melt helped precondition the LAIS and LBIS to produce extensive melt
286 lakes by long-term firn densification.



287

288 **Figure 6.** Box and whisker plots intercompare ice shelves with RACMO2-simulations from 1980-2002. Annual surface melt production
 289 (a) all melt, (b) non-föhn melt, (c) föhn-induced melt. (d) Mean annual air temperature, (e) air temperature without föhn winds, (f) air
 290 temperature during föhn winds. *Note:* the LAIS estimates are hypothetical after 1995, but are still resolved in the model simulations.
 291



292

293

294 **Figure 7.** RACMO2 firn liquid-to-solid ratio or mean annual liquid water divided by mean annual frozen precipitation from 1979-2002 for
295 (a) total melt and (b) all liquid water except föhn-induced melt. *Note:* the LAIS estimates are hypothetical after 1995, but are still resolved
296 in the model simulations.

297 **4 Discussion**

298 The north/south temperature gradient present on the eastern AP ice shelves contributes to the differences in the ice shelf melt
299 regime (Figure 6). Warmer ice shelves can be more vulnerable to long-term thinning and retreat that accelerate disintegration
300 (Scambos et al., 2003; Morris and Vaughan, 2003). However, the temperature gradient alone does not explain the substantial
301 increase in surface melt on the LAIS and LBIS relative to more southerly ice shelves. Only with the addition of föhn-induced
302 surface melt (Figure 6c) do the LAIS and LBIS stand out significantly from the other eastern AP ice shelves (Figure 6a,b).
303 Temperature gradient however, could explain why föhn wind events cause less melt on more southern ice shelves and may
304 cause super melt events on collapsed ice shelves because temperature is already elevated on more northern ice shelves prior
305 to the effect föhn has on temperature. With that in mind, we have examined liquid water processes on the spatio-temporal
306 scales pertinent to AP ice shelf stability. For instance, the structural flow discontinuities or suture zones, where tributary
307 glaciers merge together to form an ice shelf, are mechanically weak points that impact stability (Sandhager et al., 2005;
308 Glasser and Scambos (2008); Glasser et al., 2009). These suture zones are further weakened through lateral shear depending
309 on the difference in tributary glacier flow. All ice shelves in the region are composed of numerous outflow glaciers sutured
310 together, and while some studies suggest this is a major contributor to ice shelf instability, only two of the ice shelves have
311 collapsed (Borstad et al., 2016; Glasser and Scambos, 2008). Further research suggests that marine accretion of ice on the
312 bottom of the ice shelves, specifically LCIS, may stabilise these suture zones, which may be why SCAR inlet has remained
313 intact despite major rift formation (McGrath et al., 2014; Borstad et al., 2016).

314 The timing of surface melt and melt enhanced by föhn winds within the melt season may also provide insight into
315 the fate of LAIS and LBIS, including why neither ice shelf collapsed in the anomalously strong 1992/93 melt season (Figure
316 3d). Pore space within the upper snow and firn layers buffers surface melt before lakes begin to form (Polashenski et al.,
317 2017). Late season melt is more likely to form surface melt lakes because meltwater from the preceding fall, winter, and
318 spring has partially or completely filled available pore space. On both the LAIS and LBIS, 92% of surface melt during the
319 1992/93 melt season occurred before January 9th when there was more pore space to buffer the anomalous surface melt than
320 at the onsets of their collapses in late January 1995 and early February 2002, respectively (Figure 4a, c). Melt lakes were
321 present on both ice shelves throughout the 1992/93 melt season, though melt production slowed dramatically after
322 mid-January, 1993 (Scambos et al., 2000). The high melt rates in late November and early December 1992 on the LAIS were
323 perhaps too early in the melt season, and after too many years of nominal melt, to form substantial melt lakes and trigger
324 hydrofracture that season. Nevertheless, the 1992/93 melt could have preconditioned the shelf for collapse in January 1995.

325 The LBIS collapse began in February 2002 after the surface melt had returned to nominal, 1980s levels for six years. How
326 much pore space had recovered during those six years is unknown, and an important question for future research. Satellite
327 images of surface melt lakes indicate 11% of the ice shelf was covered in melt lakes prior to collapse (Glasser and Scambos
328 (2008)). However, the preceding melt year (2000/2001) had low melt and high precipitation, which added additional snow
329 and water mass to the unstable ice shelf (Leeson et al., 2017).

330 Another possible reason collapse of the LAIS and LBIS did not occur in the 92/93 melt season or other years prior
331 to collapse was a possible misalignment of the four prerequisites for rapid collapse theorised by Massom et al., (2018). An
332 AVHRR image of the LAIS taken on December 8, 1992, just after a series of major föhn wind events that lead to 252 mm
333 w.e. of surface melt in the 8 days prior to the image (Figure 4a), show significant melt lakes across the LAIS, which make
334 hydrofracture cascades possible. However, in the same image, sea ice/melange are shown to be at the calving front,
335 protecting the front from long period ocean swells that could trigger collapse. It may have been too early in the melt season to
336 have substantial gaps in sea ice, the ocean temperature may have been too cold, ocean circulation could have helped stabilise the
337 sea ice at the front, the föhn winds speed could have been too weak to push the ice away or may have been in the wrong
338 direction, all of which could have not allowed a proper trigger for collapse even though substantial melt ponds were present.
339 Even if there were years or instances that sea ice extent was low and substantial melt lakes were present, there could have
340 been a lack of long period ocean swells that are thought to trigger collapse.

341 Regardless of other possible contributors to ice shelf instability not considered here (e.g., basal melting),
342 föhn-induced surface melt and associated melt lakes, and the off-coast wind direction likely played an important role in
343 pushing the LAIS and LBIS past a structural tipping point. The estimated surface melt lake depth caused by the 9-day föhn
344 melt event on the LAIS surpassed a melt lake depth identified by modelled and satellite-derived lake depths before the
345 collapse of the LBIS (Banwell et al., 2013; Banwell et al., 2014). The LAIS was likely the same thickness (200m) or thinner
346 at the time of collapse so the estimate of critical surface lake depth for the LBIS that is applied to the LAIS may reflect an
347 upper limit of melt lake depth of stability for the LAIS. Melt lake depth is likely underestimated because our estimation only
348 accounts for melt during the 9-day melt event. Melt before this time period already exceeded an average melt year by 23%
349 (118 mm w.e.) so melt lakes probably already existed.

350 **5 Conclusions**

351 The converging lines of evidence in these results show that observed and inferred föhn-driven melt is present in sufficient
352 amounts, and at the right locations and times, to cause extensive surface melt lakes, while the off-coast föhn wind direction
353 pushed sea ice away from the calving front. The fact that the LAIS and LBIS collapsed catastrophically within weeks and
354 not through long-term thinning and retreat like other ice shelves (Prince Gustav, Wordie) suggests sudden disintegration is

355 anomalous and requires forcings to match vulnerabilities (Scambos et al., 2003). We conclude that föhn winds and the
356 associated surface melt played a significant role in the collapses of the LAIS and LBIS, while extant eastern AP ice shelves
357 are not likely to collapse from föhn-induced melt and hydrofracture in today's current climate. We have come to these
358 conclusions with the following forms of evidence:

359

- 360 ● First, both the LAIS and LBIS are impacted by powerful melt-inducing föhn jets that affect a large spatial portion of
361 each ice shelf and reach the ice shelf terminus. Surface melt and melt lakes near the ice shelf terminus can lead to
362 calving front collapse and structural instability for the remaining portion of the ice shelves (Depoorter et al., 2013;
363 Pollard et al., 2015). SCAR inlet and the LCIS are either not directly affected by a föhn jet, are too vast to have any
364 significant effect near the terminus, or are too far south to experience major melt events.
- 365 ● Second, strong föhn winds were present prior to and during collapse for the LAIS and LBIS. A series of three föhn
366 events on the LAIS lasted nine days total and produced over 25% of the total annual melt for the 1994/95 melt
367 season, while föhn was present prior to and during the collapse of the LBIS which enhanced surface melt rates.
368 Enhanced melt, filled new and existing melt lakes above the melt lake depth observed on the LBIS (1m) and
369 modelled lake depth (5m) that could trigger large-scale hydrofracture cascades. The föhn winds on both ice shelves
370 actively pushed/melted sea ice away from the calving front allowing long period ocean swells to trigger large-scale
371 hydrofracture cascades on the LBIS and possibly LAIS, exacerbated by extensive surface melt that originated from
372 the ice shelf terminus.
- 373 ● Third, in the absence of föhn wind-induced melt, the surface liquid budgets of collapsed and intact ice shelves are
374 climatically similar, which points to föhn winds as a driver of increased surface melt and extensive melt lakes on
375 collapsed ice shelves. The additional föhn induced-melt on the LAIS and LBIS compared to intact ice shelves
376 helped precondition the LAIS and LBIS to produce extensive melt lakes by long-term firn densification.

377

378 This research clarifies the roles of föhn-induced melt for collapsed and extant ice shelves on the eastern AP. Future
379 analyses of these ice shelf collapse events using advanced firn density models coupled with ice-ocean-atmospheric coupled
380 simulations may be useful to better understand the role of surface melt in ice shelf instability. Further, the AP föhn wind
381 regime has remained stable over the past half-century (Laffin et al., 2021) which points to enhanced surface temperatures
382 and increased liquid phase precipitation as more important contributors to the future surface liquid budget on remaining ice
383 shelves and is an important area of future research (Bozkurt et al., 2020; Bozkurt et al., 2021). However, changes in climate
384 drivers such as the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), which influences the north-south movement of the westerlies in the
385 region, may alter the temperature and föhn occurrence that will likely enhance surface melt in locations farther south, and
386 therefore make morth southern ice shelves more vulnerable (Abram et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2016;).

387 Nevertheless, this research highlights a new understanding behind föhn melt mechanisms for ice shelf collapse and suggests
388 that SCAR inlet and the LCIS may remain stable so long as surface liquid water from melt and precipitation remains within
389 historical bounds.

390

391

392 *Author contributions.* M.K.L and C.S.Z designed the study. M.V.W. and S.M. curated the model simulation output and
393 surface observations. M.K.L performed statistical data analysis. M.K.L. wrote the article with valuable input from all
394 authors.

395

396 *Competing interests.* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

397

398 *Acknowledgments.* MKL was supported by the National Science Foundation (NRT-1633631) and NASA AIST
399 (80NSSC17K0540). CSZ gratefully acknowledges support from the DOE BER ESM and SciDAC programs
400 (DE-SC0019278, LLNL-B639667, LANL-520117). JMVW acknowledges support by PROTECT and was partly funded by
401 the NWO (Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research) VENI grant VI.Veni.192.083. We thank Dr. Helmut Rott for
402 generously providing detailed in-person observations of the LAIS months before collapse. We also thank the Institute for
403 Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht (IMAU) for providing RACMO2 output. RACMO2 model data are available by
404 request at <https://www.projects.science.uu.nl/iceclimate/models/antarctica.php>, however, a subset (2001-2018) of the data are
405 hosted online at <https://zenodo.org/record/3677642#.X-pXAFNKjUI>. This work utilized the infrastructure for
406 high-performance and high-throughput computing, research data storage and analysis, and scientific software tool integration
407 built, operated, and updated by the Research Cyberinfrastructure Center (RCIC) at the University of California, Irvine (UCI).
408 The RCIC provides cluster-based systems, application software, and scalable storage to directly support the UCI research
409 community. <https://rcic.uci.edu>

410 **References**

- 411 Abram, N. J., Mulvaney, R., Vimeux, F., Phipps, S. J., Turner, J. and England, M. H.: Evolution of the Southern Annular
412 Mode during the past millennium, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 4(7), 564–569, doi:10.1038/nclimate2235, 2014.
- 413 Adusumilli, S., Fricker, H. A., Siegfried, M. R., Padman, L., Paolo, F. S. and Ligtenberg, S. R. M.: Variable Basal Melt Rates
414 of Antarctic Peninsula Ice Shelves, 1994–2016, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45(9), 4086–4095, doi:10.1002/2017GL076652,
415 2018.

416 Alley, K. E., Scambos, T. A., Miller, J. Z., Long, D. G. and MacFerrin, M.: Quantifying vulnerability of Antarctic ice shelves
417 to hydrofracture using microwave scattering properties, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 210, 297–306,
418 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2018.03.025, 2018.

419 Banwell, A. F., MacAyeal, D. R. and Sergienko, O. V.: Breakup of the Larsen B Ice Shelf triggered by chain reaction
420 drainage of supraglacial lakes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40(22), 5872–5876, doi:10.1002/2013GL057694, 2013.

421 Banwell, A. F., Caballero, M., Arnold, N. S., Glasser, N. F., Cathles, L. Mac and MacAyeal, D. R.: Supraglacial lakes on the
422 Larsen B ice shelf, Antarctica, and at Paakitsoq, West Greenland: A comparative study, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 55(66), 1–8,
423 doi:10.3189/2014AoG66A049, 2014.

424 Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., MacDonald, G. J., Goodsell, B., Mayer, D. P., Powell, A. and MacAyeal, D. R.: Calving and
425 rifting on the McMurdo Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 58(75), 78–87, doi:10.1017/aog.2017.12, 2017.

426 Banwell, A. F., Willis, I. C., Macdonald, G. J., Goodsell, B. and MacAyeal, D. R.: Direct measurements of ice-shelf flexure
427 caused by surface meltwater ponding and drainage, *Nat. Commun.*, 10(1), doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08522-5, 2019.

428 Bell, R. E., Banwell, A. F., Trusel, L. D. and Kingslake, J.: Antarctic surface hydrology and impacts on ice-sheet mass
429 balance, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 8(12), 1044–1052, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0326-3, 2018.

430 Bevan, S. L., Luckman, A., Hubbard, B., Kulesa, B., Ashmore, D., Kuipers Munneke, P., O’Leary, M., Booth, A., Sevestre,
431 H. and McGrath, D.: Centuries of intense surface melt on Larsen C Ice Shelf, *Cryosphere*, doi:10.5194/tc-11-2743-2017,
432 2017.

433 Borstad, C., Khazendar, A., Scheuchl, B., Morlighem, M., Larour, E. and Rignot, E.: A constitutive framework for predicting
434 weakening and reduced buttressing of ice shelves based on observations of the progressive deterioration of the remnant
435 Larsen B Ice Shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(5), 2027–2035, doi:10.1002/2015GL067365, 2016.

436 Bozkurt, D., Rondanelli, R., Marín, J. C. and Garreaud, R.: Foehn Event Triggered by an Atmospheric River Underlies
437 Record-Setting Temperature Along Continental Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, doi:10.1002/2017JD027796, 2018.

438 Bozkurt, D., Bromwich, D. H., Carrasco, J., Hines, K. M., Maureira, J. C. and Rondanelli, R.: Recent Near-surface
439 Temperature Trends in the Antarctic Peninsula from Observed, Reanalysis and Regional Climate Model Data, *Adv.*
440 *Atmos. Sci.*, 37(5), 477–493, doi:10.1007/s00376-020-9183-x, 2020.

441 Bozkurt, D., Bromwich, D. H., Carrasco, J. and Rondanelli, R.: Temperature and precipitation projections for the Antarctic
442 Peninsula over the next two decades: contrasting global and regional climate model simulations, *Clim. Dyn.*,
443 doi:10.1007/s00382-021-05667-2, 2021.

444 Braun, M. and Humbert, A.: Recent retreat of wilkins ice shelf reveals new insights in ice shelf breakup mechanisms, *IEEE*
445 *Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, 6(2), 263–267, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2008.2011925, 2009.

446 Bromwich, D. H. and Kurtz, D. D.: Katabatic wind forcing of the Terra Nova Bay polynya., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89(C3),
447 3561–3572, doi:10.1029/JC089iC03p03561, 1984.

448 Burton, J. C., Cathles, L. Mac and Wilder, W. G.: The role of cooperative iceberg capsize in ice-shelf disintegration, *Ann.*
449 *Glaciol.*, 54(63), 84–90, doi:10.3189/2013AoG63A436, 2013.

450 Cape, M. R., Vernet, M., Skvarca, P., Marinsek, S., Scambos, T. and Domack, E.: Foehn winds link climate-driven warming
451 to ice shelf evolution in Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10.1002/2015JD023465, 2015.

452 Carrasco, J. F., Bozkurt, D. and Cordero, R. R.: A review of the observed air temperature in the Antarctic Peninsula. Did the
453 warming trend come back after the early 21st hiatus?, *Polar Sci.*, 28, doi:10.1016/j.polar.2021.100653, 2021.

454 Cook, A. J. and Vaughan, D. G.: Ice shelf changes on the Antarctic Peninsula Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves
455 on the Antarctic Peninsula over the past 50 years Ice shelf changes on the Antarctic Peninsula, *TCD*, 3, 579–630
456 [online] Available from: www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/3/579/2009/, 2009.

457 Datta, R. T., Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., Agosta, C., Lhermitte, S., Lenaerts, J. T. M. and Wever, N.: The Effect of
458 Foehn Induced Surface Melt on Firn Evolution Over the Northeast Antarctic Peninsula, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
459 2018GL080845, doi:10.1029/2018GL080845, 2019.

460 Depoorter, M. A., Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Van Den Broeke, M. R. and Moholdt,
461 G.: Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of Antarctic ice shelves, *Nature*, 502(7469), 89–92, doi:10.1038/nature12567,
462 2013.

463 Doake, C. S. M., Corr, H. F. J., Rott, H., Skvarca, P. and Young, N. W.: Breakup and conditions for stability of the northern
464 Larsen Ice Shelf, *Antarctica, Nature*, 391, 778–780, 1988.

465 Elvidge, A. D., Kuipers Munneke, P., King, J. C., Renfrew, I. A. and Gilbert, E.: Atmospheric Drivers of Melt on Larsen C
466 Ice Shelf: Surface Energy Budget Regimes and the Impact of Foehn, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 125(17),
467 doi:10.1029/2020JD032463, 2020.

468 Elvidge, A. D., Renfrew, I. A., King, J. C., Orr, A., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Weeks, M. and Gray, S. L.: Foehn jets over the
469 Larsen C Ice Shelf, *Antarctica, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 141(688), 698–713, doi:10.1002/qj.2382, 2015.

470 Glasser, N. F. and Scambos, T. A.: A structural glaciological analysis of the 2002 Larsen B ice-shelf collapse, *J. Glaciol.*,
471 54(184), 3–16, doi:10.3189/002214308784409017, 2008.

472 Glasser, N. F., Kulesa, B., Luckman, A., Jansen, D., King, E. C., Sammonds, P. R., Scambos, T. A. and Jezek, K. C.:
473 Surface structure and stability of the Larsen C ice shelf, *Antarctic Peninsula.*, 2009.

474 Grosvenor, D. P., King, J. C., Choularton, T. W. and Lachlan-Cope, T.: Downslope föhn winds over the antarctic peninsula
475 and their effect on the larsen ice shelves, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(18), 9481–9509, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9481-2014,
476 2014.

477 Gudmundsson, G. H.: Ice-shelf buttressing and the stability of marine ice sheets, *Cryosphere*, 7(2), 647–655,
478 doi:10.5194/tc-7-647-2013, 2013.Holland, P. R., Corr, H. F. J., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Arthern, R. J., Jenkins,
479 A. and Tedesco, M.: The air content of Larsen Ice Shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2011GL047245, 2011.

480 Hubbard, B., Luckman, A., Ashmore, D. W., Bevan, S., Kulesa, B., Kuipers Munneke, P., Philippe, M., Jansen, D., Booth,
481 A., Sevestre, H., Tison, J. L., O'Leary, M. and Rutt, I.: Massive subsurface ice formed by refreezing of ice-shelf melt
482 ponds, *Nat. Commun.*, 7, doi:10.1038/ncomms11897, 2016.

483 King, J. C., Gadian, A., Kirchgaessner, A., Kuipers Munneke, P., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Orr, A., Reijmer, C., van den Broeke,
484 M. R., van Wessem, J. M. and Weeks, M.: Validation of the summertime surface energy budget of Larsen C Ice Shelf
485 (Antarctica) as represented in three high-resolution atmospheric models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120(4), 1335–1347,
486 doi:10.1002/2014JD022604, 2015.

487 King, J. C., Kirchgaessner, A., Bevan, S., Elvidge, A. D., Kuipers Munneke, P., Luckman, A., Orr, A., Renfrew, I. A. and van
488 den Broeke, M. R.: The Impact of Föhn Winds on Surface Energy Balance During the 2010–2011 Melt Season Over
489 Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, doi:10.1002/2017JD026809, 2017.

490 Kirchgaessner, A., King, J. C. and Anderson, P. S.: The Impact of Föhn Conditions Across the Antarctic Peninsula on Local
491 Meteorology Based on AWS Measurements, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126(4), doi:10.1029/2020JD033748, 2021.

492 Kuipers Munneke, P., Luckman, A. J., Bevan, S. L., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Gilbert, E., van den Broeke, M. R., Wang, W.,
493 Zender, C., Hubbard, B., Ashmore, D., Orr, A., King, J. C. and Kulesa, B.: Intense Winter Surface Melt on an Antarctic
494 Ice Shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2018GL077899, 2018.

495 Kuipers Munneke, P., Van Den Broeke, M. R., King, J. C., Gray, T. and Reijmer, C. H.: Near-surface climate and surface
496 energy budget of Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, *Cryosphere*, 6(2), 353–363, doi:10.5194/tc-6-353-2012, 2012.

497 Laffin, M. K., Zender, C. S., Singh, S., Van Wessem, J. M., Smeets, C. J. P. P. and Reijmer, C. H.: Climatology and Evolution
498 of the Antarctic Peninsula Föhn Wind-Induced Melt Regime From 1979–2018, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126(4),
499 doi:10.1029/2020JD033682, 2021.

500 Larour, E., Rignot, E., Poinelli, M. and Scheuchl, B.: Physical processes controlling the rifting of Larsen C Ice Shelf,
501 Antarctica, prior to the calving of iceberg A68, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 118(40), doi:10.1073/pnas.2105080118,
502 2021.

503 Leeson, A. A., Forster, E., Rice, A., Gourmelen, N. and van Wessem, J. M.: Evolution of Supraglacial Lakes on the Larsen B
504 Ice Shelf in the Decades Before it Collapsed, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47(4), doi:10.1029/2019GL085591, 2020.

505 Leeson, A. A., Van Wessem, J. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Shepherd, A., Van Den Broeke, M. R., Killick, R., Skvarca, P.,
506 Marinsek, S. and Colwell, S.: Regional climate of the Larsen B embayment 1980-2014, *J. Glaciol.*, 63(240), 683–690,
507 doi:10.1017/jog.2017.39, 2017.

508 Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lhermitte, S., Drews, R., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Berger, S., Helm, V., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Broeke, M. R. V.
509 Den, Van De Berg, W. J., Van Meijgaard, E., Eijkelboom, M., Eisen, O. and Pattyn, F.: Meltwater produced by
510 wind-albedo interaction stored in an East Antarctic ice shelf, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, doi:10.1038/nclimate3180, 2017.

511 Lhermitte, S., Sun, S., Shuman, C., Wouters, B., Pattyn, F., Wuite, J., Berthier, E. and Nagler, T.: Damage accelerates ice
512 shelf instability and mass loss in Amundsen Sea Embayment, *Sci. Libr. Ser.*, 117,
513 doi:10.1073/pnas.1912890117/-/DCSupplemental.y, 2020.

514 Lim, E. P., Hendon, H. H., Arblaster, J. M., Delage, F., Nguyen, H., Min, S. K. and Wheeler, M. C.: The impact of the
515 Southern Annular Mode on future changes in Southern Hemisphere rainfall, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(13), 7160–7167,
516 doi:10.1002/2016GL069453, 2016.

517 Luckman, A., Elvidge, A., Jansen, D., Kulesa, B., Kuipers Munneke, P., King, J. and Barrand, N. E.: Surface melt and
518 ponding on Larsen C Ice Shelf and the impact of föhn winds, *Antarct. Sci.*, doi:10.1017/S0954102014000339, 2014.

519 Massom, R. A., Scambos, T. A., Bennetts, L. G., Reid, P., Squire, V. A. and Stammerjohn, S. E.: Antarctic ice shelf
520 disintegration triggered by sea ice loss and ocean swell, *Nature*, 558(7710), 383–389, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0212-1,
521 2018.

522 McGrath, D., Steffen, K., Holland, P. R., Scambos, T., Rajaram, H., Abdalati, W. and Rignot, E.: The structure and effect of
523 suture zones in the Larsen C Ice Shelf, *Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 119(3), 588–602,
524 doi:10.1002/2013JF002935, 2014.

525 Morris, E. M. and Vaughan, D. G.: Spatial and Temporal Variation of Surface Temperature on the Antarctic Peninsula and
526 the Limit of Viability of Ice Shelves, *Antarct. Res. Ser.*, 79, 61–68, doi:10.1029/079ARS05, 2003.

527 Mulvaney, R., Abram, N. J., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., Arrowsmith, C., Fleet, L., Triest, J., Sime, L. C., Alemany, O. and Foord,
528 S.: Recent Antarctic Peninsula warming relative to Holocene climate and ice-shelf history, *Nature*, 489(7414), 141–144,
529 doi:10.1038/nature11391, 2012.

530 Munneke, P. K., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Van Den Broeke, M. R. and Vaughan, D. G.: Firm air depletion as a precursor of
531 Antarctic ice-shelf collapse, *J. Glaciol.*, 60(220), 205–214, doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J183, 2014.

532 Polashenski, C., Golden, K. M., Perovich, D. K., Skyllingstad, E., Arnsten, A., Stwertka, C. and Wright, N.: Percolation
533 blockage: A process that enables melt pond formation on first year Arctic sea ice, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 122(1),
534 413–440, doi:10.1002/2016JC011994, 2017.

535 Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M. and Alley, R. B.: Potential Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by hydrofracturing and ice cliff
536 failure, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 412, 112–121, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.035, 2015.

537 Pritchard, H. D., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Fricker, H. A., Vaughan, D. G., Van Den Broeke, M. R. and Padman, L.: Antarctic
538 ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice shelves, *Nature*, 484(7395), 502–505, doi:10.1038/nature10968, 2012.

539 Qiao, G., Li, Y., Guo, S. and Ye, W.: Evolving instability of the scar inlet ice shelf based on sequential landsat images
540 spanning 2005-2018, *Remote Sens.*, 12(1), doi:10.3390/RS12010036, 2020.

541 Rack, W. and Rott, H.: Pattern of retreat and disintegration of the Larsen B ice shelf, *Antarctic Peninsula, Ann. Glaciol.*, 39,
542 505–510 [online] Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core>, 2004.

543 Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, B. and Scheuchl, B.: Ice-Shelf Melting Around Antarctica, *Science* (80-.), 341(6143),
544 263–266, doi:10.1126/science.1237966, 2013.Rignot, E., Casassa, G., Gogineni, P., Krabill, W., Rivera, A. and Thomas,
545 R.: Accelerated ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B ice shelf, *Geophys. Res.*
546 *Lett.*, 31(18), doi:10.1029/2004GL020697, 2004.

547 Robel, A. A. and Banwell, A. F.: A Speed Limit on Ice Shelf Collapse Through Hydrofracture, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46(21),
548 12092–12100, doi:10.1029/2019GL084397, 2019.Rott, H., Rack, W., Nagler, T. and Skvarca, P.: Climatically induced
549 retreat and collapse of norther Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 27, 86–92,
550 doi:10.3189/s0260305500017262, 1998.

551 Sandhäger, H., Rack, W. and Jansen, D.: Model investigations of Larsen B Ice Shelf dynamics prior to the breakup. [online]
552 Available from: <http://www.uib.no/People/ngfls/frisp/Rep16/sandhageretal.pdf>, 2005.

553 Scambos, T. A., Bohlander, J. A., Shuman, C. A. and Skvarca, P.: Glacier acceleration and thinning after ice shelf collapse in
554 the Larsen B embayment, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(18), doi:10.1029/2004GL020670, 2004.

555 Scambos, T. A., Hulbe, C., Fahnestock, M. and Bohlander, J.: The link between climate warming and break-up of ice shelves
556 in the Antarctic Peninsula, *J. Glaciol.*, 46(154), 516–530, doi:10.3189/172756500781833043, 2000.

557 Scambos, T., Hulbe, C. and Fahnestock, M.: Climate-Induced Ice Shelf Disintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula, pp. 79–92.,
558 2003.

559 Schodlok, M. P., Menemenlis, D. and Rignot, E. J.: Ice shelf basal melt rates around Antarctica from simulations and
560 observations, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 121(2), 1085–1109, doi:10.1002/2015JC011117, 2016.

561 Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Karnauskas, K. B., Kuipers Munneke, P., Van Meijgaard, E. and Van Den Broeke, M.
562 R.: Divergent trajectories of Antarctic surface melt under two twenty-first-century climate scenarios, *Nat. Geosci.*,
563 8(12), 927–932, doi:10.1038/ngeo2563, 2015.

564 Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Munneke, P. K. and Van Den Broeke, M. R.: Satellite-based estimates of Antarctic
565 surface meltwater fluxes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40(23), 6148–6153, doi:10.1002/2013GL058138, 2013.

566 Turton, J. V., Kirchgassner, A., Ross, A. N. and King, J. C.: Does high-resolution modeling improve the spatial analysis of
567 föhn flow over the Larsen C Ice Shelf?, *Weather*, 72(7), doi:10.1002/wea.3028, 2017.

568 Turton, J. V., Kirchgassner, A., Ross, A. N. and King, J. C.: The spatial distribution and temporal variability of föhn winds
569 over the Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctica, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, doi:10.1002/qj.3284, 2018.

570 van den Broeke, M.: Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelf, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(12),
571 1–4, doi:10.1029/2005GL023247, 2005.

572 Vaughan, D. G., Marshall, G. J., Connolley, W. M., Parkinson, C., Mulvaney, R., Hodgson, D. A., King, J. C., Pudsey, C. J.
573 and Turner, J.: Recent rapid regional climate warming on the Antarctic Peninsula, *Clim. Change*, 60(3), 243–274,
574 doi:10.1023/A:1026021217991, 2003.

- 575 Wang, W., Zender, C. S., van As, D., Fausto, R. S. and Laffin, M. K.: Greenland Surface Melt Dominated by Solar and
576 Sensible Heating, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48(7), doi:10.1029/2020GL090653, 2021.
- 577 Wang, X., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Vihma, T., Zhou, M., Yu, L., Uotila, P. and Sein, D. V.: Impacts of strong wind events on sea
578 ice and water mass properties in Antarctic coastal polynyas, *Clim. Dyn.*, 57(11–12), 3505–3528,
579 doi:10.1007/s00382-021-05878-7, 2021.
- 580 Wiesenekker, J. M., Munneke, P. K., van den Broeke, M. R. and Paul Smeets, C. J. P.: A multidecadal analysis of Föhn
581 winds over Larsen C ice shelf from a combination of observations and modeling, *Atmosphere (Basel)*, 9(5),
582 doi:10.3390/atmos9050172, 2018.
- 583 Zheng, F., Li, J., Clark, R. T. and Nnamchi, H. C.: Simulation and projection of the Southern Hemisphere annular mode in
584 CMIP5 models, *J. Clim.*, 26(24), 9860–9879, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00204.1, 2013.