## Layered seawater intrusion and melt under grounded ice

Alexander A. Robel<sup>1</sup>, Earle Wilson<sup>2</sup>, and Helene Seroussi<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA
 <sup>2</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
 <sup>3</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
 <sup>4</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

Correspondence: Alexander A. Robel (robel@eas.gatech.edu)

Abstract. Increasing melt of ice sheets at their floating or vertical interface interfaces with the ocean is a major driver of marine ice sheet retreat and sea level rise. However, the extent to which warm, salty seawater may drive melting under the grounded portions of ice sheets is still not well understood. Previous work has explored the possibility that dense seawater intrudes beneath relatively light subglacial freshwater discharge, similar to the "salt wedge" observed in many estuarine systems. In

- 5 this study, we develop a generalized theory of layered seawater intrusion under grounded ice, including where subglacial hydrology occurs as a macroporous water sheet over impermeable beds or as microporous Darcy flow through permeable till. Using predictions from this theory, we show that seawater intrusion over hard-flat or reverse-sloping impermeable beds may feasibly occur up to tens of kilometers upstream of a glacier terminus or grounding line. On the other hand, seawater is unlikely to intrude more than tens of meters through subglacial till. High-resolution simulations permeable till. Simulations using the
- 10 Ice-Sheet and Sea-Level System Model (ISSM) show that even just a few hundred meters of basal melt caused by seawater intrusion upstream of marine ice sheet grounding lines can cause projections of marine ice sheet volume loss to be 10-50% higher or 100% higher for kilometers. Kilometers of intrusion-induced basal melt can cause projected ice sheet volume loss to more than double. These results suggest that further observational, experimental and numerical investigations are needed to determine whether the conditions under which extensive seawater intrusion occurs and whether it will indeed drive rapid
- 15 marine ice sheet retreat and sea level rise in the future.

#### 1 Introduction

Where ice sheets come into contact with warm and salty ocean water, ice is seawater, ice may be lost through melting and dissolution. Increasing ocean-induced ice sheet melt can has lead to glacier retreat and upstream glacier thinning , and ultimately contribute in Greenland and Antarctica, and contributing significantly to global mean sea level rise (Straneo and Heimbach,

20 2013; Shepherd et al., 2018). The grounding line (the location where glacier ice loses contact with the bed) has traditionally been considered a hydraulic barrier to the intrusion of seawater beneath grounded ice, due to the horizontal hydropotential gradient imposed by the increasing weight of a glacier that thickens upstream. Previous studies have hypothesized that seawater intrusion may only be possible when transient tidal variations in the hydrostatic pressure of seawater at the grounding line may either overcome this hydraulic barrier (Walker et al., 2013) or cause it to migrate upstream from the grounding line (Sayag and

- 25 Worster, 2013). In such models, the compositional difference of seawater and subglacial discharge is not considered, leaving the horizontal variation in overburden pressure as the primary control on the subglacial intrusion of seawater. However, the interface between freshwater discharge and seawater can have vertical structure where dense seawater intrudes horizontally under the lighter freshwater forming a "salt wedge", as has been observed in estuaries (Geyer and Ralston, 2011), enclosed wastewater outfalls (Adams et al., 1994), and coastal karst channels (Dermissis, 1993).
- 30 Wilson et al. (2020) first showed in theory and experiments that layered seawater intrusion is possible in laterally-confined subglacial channels (i.e. Rothlisberger or Nye channels), and may extend several kilometers upstream of glacier termini under realistic conditions. A diverse range of observations have also indicated the possibility for seawater intrusion upstream of grounding lines, including: the absence of a transition in bed reflectivity across the grounding line at Whillans and Kamb ice streams (MacGregor et al., 2011), a large subglacial channel crossing the grounding line of Whillans ice stream imaged
- 35 with active-source seismic methods (Horgan et al., 2013), a similarly large radar-imaged channel crossing the grounding line at Roi Baudouin Ice Shelf (Drews et al., 2017), and elevated subglacial melt upstream of the grounding line measured by satellite-based interferometric synthetic aperture radar at Thwaites Glacier (Milillo et al., 2019). Such observations provide, at best, plausible arguments for the presence of seawater upstream of the grounding line, but provide few constraints on the composition, temperature, and vertical structure of these potential intrusions.
- 40 Understanding the extent of possible seawater intrusion is also directly relevant to projections of mass loss of marine ice sheets, which are highly sensitive to the intensity of ocean melt occurring near the grounding line (Arthern and Williams, 2017; Reese et al., 2018; Goldberg et al., 2019) and the manner in which ocean melt is applied at the grounding line in models (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018). Ice sheet models which unintentionally include ocean melt kilometers upstream of the grounding line (due to numerical inaccuracies) simulate up to two times more Antarctic ice sheet mass loss in response to
- 45 climate change over next century (Seroussi et al., 2019). Thus, investigating the possibility that seawater intrusion may drive melt under grounded ice is of first-order importance to the problem of accurately simulating the response of marine ice sheets to climate change.

In this study, we generalize the channelized intrusion theory of Wilson et al. (2020) to a broader range of subglacial hydrology types which encompass most glacier grounding lines and termini (section 2). This generalized theory predicts that for the wide

- 50 range of parameters describing subglacial hydrology, seawater intrusion can either be suppressed entirely, or extend 10's of kilometers inland (section 3). In a state-of-the-art ice sheet model, we show that the transient rate of ice sheet mass loss depends sensitively on the distance extent of warm seawater intrusion upstream of marine ice sheet grounding lines (section 4). Finally, we suggest observations which may be useful to better constrain seawater intrusion and subglacial hydrology near the ice-ocean interface, and discuss the implications for simulations of future ice sheet mass loss in response to ocean warming (sections 5)
- 55 and 6).



Figure 1. Illustration of salt wedge seawater intruding under grounded ice with relevant variables labeled. (a) Hard bed case. (b) Confined soft bed case. Relative vertical scale exaggerated for clarity.

### 2 Theory of seawater intrusion distance beneath grounded ice

The goal of this study is to consider the possibility that seawater will intrude under grounded portions of ice sheets. In this section, we consider a generalized theory for the horizontal distance over which this intrusion (illustrated in Fig. 1) occurs in may occur in the cases of hard (i.e., impermeable) and soft (i.e., permeable beds). In both cases, the intrusion distance depends sensitively on the characteristics of the subglacial hydrological system. In section 3, we discuss the range of predictions from this theory and the relationship to observations of subglacial hydrology.

60

#### 2.1 Hard beds

On hard beds that are impermeable to vertical drainage of water, observations and theory indicate that subglacial hydrology organizes into systems that are either macroporous sheets (i.e., "inefficient" or linked-cavity drainage; Creyts and Schoof,

65 2009), or channelized (i.e., "efficient" drainage; Rothlisberger, 1972). If such a system is sufficiently permeable in horizontal directions, the flow can be described by the shallow water equations. If the system is less permeable in the horizontal (i.e. microporous), inertial terms become unimportant and the flow of water is better described by Darcy's law, as we discuss further in section 2.2.

Wilson et al. (2020) originally considered the dynamics of a two-layer shallow water flow for the case of a laterally and
vertically confined subglacial channel on an impermeable bed, with free-flowing, fresh subglacial discharge entering a large saltwater body at rest. However, where efficient channelization does not occur, subglacial water flow likely occurs through macroporous sheets (i.e. not laterally confined) which are kept open by water pressure and the influence of large clasts protruding from the bed (Creyts and Schoof, 2009; Hewitt, 2011). We generalize the seawater intrusion theory of Wilson et al. (2020) by considering two-layer macroporous water flow through a vertically confined subglacial sheet over an impermeable

75 bed (illustrated in Fig. 1a). We will show later that the channelized system of Wilson et al. (2020) is a special intermediate case of the generalized theory derived in this study.

We consider a vertically confined, two-layer (fresh and saline) shallow water system, where the grounding line or terminus is located at X = 0 and the layers are confined under ice where X < 0 (Fig. 1). Mass conservation in both layers is given by

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial X} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$80 \quad \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial X} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where  $H_1$  is the freshwater layer thickness,  $H_2$  is the seawater layer thickness,  $H = H_1 + H_2$  is the thickness of the <u>full water</u> sheet (i.e. the confined thickness between the bed and ice), and  $Q_1 = H_1U_1$  and  $Q_2 = H_2U_2$  are the area fluxes of water of each layer, with fluid velocities  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ .

In the two-layer macroporous system, flow may be influenced by the relative buoyancy of the two layers, external barotropic pressure gradients, drag from overlying ice and underlying bed, drag at the interface of the two layers, and drag from the embedded obstacles (i.e., clasts). The momentum balance for both layers is then

$$\frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} + U_1 \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + C_i \frac{|U_1 - U_2|(U_1 - U_2)}{H_1} + \underline{C_d} U_1^2 \left( \underbrace{C_{ice}}_{H_1} + \frac{2\phi}{\pi d(1 - \phi)} \underbrace{C_{obs}}_{\pi d_1(1 - \phi_1)} \right)$$

$$(3)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial t} + U_2 \frac{\partial U_2}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial X} - C_i \frac{|U_1 - U_2|(U_1 - U_2)}{H_2} + \underline{C_d} U_2^2 \frac{1}{H_2} + \frac{2\phi}{\pi d(1 - \phi)} \underline{+} g' \left( \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial x} + \tan \theta \right) \underline{+} U_2^2 \left( \underbrace{C_{bed}}_{H_2} + \underbrace{C_{obs}}_{\pi d_2(1 - \phi_2)} \right)$$

(4)

where X is the distance from the grounding line (with X < 0 upstream of the grounding line), t is time,  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}$  is the along-flow

- 90 barotropic pressure gradient,  $C_d$  is the drag coefficient for flow past ice or clasts,  $C_{ice}$ ,  $C_{bed}$ ,  $C_{obs}$ ,  $C_i$  is the drag coefficient for flow at the are the drag coefficients for flow past the ice, bed, obstacles, and layer interface,  $\phi$  is the bulk porosity respectively,  $\phi_{1,2}$  are the bulk porosities of the sheet in each layer (defined as the fraction of the water sheet area-volume occupied by obstacles), d is  $d_{1,2}$  are the mean obstacle diameterdiameters in the layers,  $g' = g\Delta\rho/\rho_0$  is the reduced gravity for density difference  $\Delta\rho$  between the two layers, and  $\tan\theta$  is the bed slope. The main difference between this two-layer flow and that
- 95 described in Wilson et al. (2020) is that the final term in equations 3 and 4 is given by the bulk drag due to flow through a field of obstacles (Rominger and Nepf, 2011), instead of drag due to friction at side walls. We assume in this model  $\frac{2\phi_i}{\pi d_r(1-\phi_t)}$  is related to a length scale of the rough surfaces of the obstacles that is encountered by water flow. We assume hereafter that the drag coefficient  $C_d$  is the same for the iceand obstacles, bed and obstacles ( $C_d = C_{bed} = C_{abs} = C_{ice}$ ) within the macroporous water sheet. Though this is a simplifying assumption We also assume that the obstacles diameters and porosities
- 100 are the same in both layers of the water sheet  $(d = d_1 = d_2, \phi = \phi_1 = \phi_2)$ . Though these are simplifying assumptions, the uncertainty on  $C_d$  drag coefficients and obstacle properties is sufficiently large itself, that it encompasses the likely difference in drag properties between subglacial ice surfaces and clasts. Where freshwater discharge is sufficiently slow, the flow of water may be considered subcritical (i. e., Froude Number, Fr < 1), and mixing between the two layers is negligible (which would otherwise enter as additional terms in the above equations). Throughout this study, we will only consider this case of
- 105 subcritical flow, which is appropriate for sufficiently slow confined and unconfined estuarine and subglacial flows, as shown in experiments (Wilson et al., 2020), and observations of weakly-forced salt wedge estuaries (Ralston et al., 2010). any variations that exist within the macroporous water sheet.

As can be seen in equations 3 and 4, the externally-imposed barotropic pressure gradient  $(\frac{\partial P}{\partial X})$ , which is known as the subglacial hydropotential gradient among glaciologists), acts equally on both layers. A horizontal variation in this pressure

- 110 due to, for example, the bed slope or barotropic pressure may result from ice surface slope , is not capable of having a differential effect on the or a difference in slope between the ice base and bed (which produces gradient in the total subglacial layer thickness, *H*). However, because the fresh and saline water since the layers are vertically layered, barotropic pressure gradients act equally on both layers. Consequently, when the momentum equations are ultimately combined (below), the pressure gradient falls out of the solution for intrusion distance. This model of subglacial seawater intrusion is focused on
- 115 the vertical interface of fresh and saline layershorizontal extent of the saline layer, to which the hydropotential gradient is irrelevantnot directly relevant, and is fundamentally different than previous efforts which focus on the horizontal interface between subglacial discharge and seawater without considering their compositional differences as we do here (through the inclusion of buoyancy and consideration of the potential for vertical layering).

We proceed by non-dimensionalizing, combining equations 3 and 4, and making two initial assumptions: (1) that the

120 layers achieve a steady state The velocity scale is set by the local dimensionless densimetric Froude number,  $Fr = \frac{U_1}{\sqrt{g'H}}$ , which changes with the local freshwater velocity. Where freshwater discharge is sufficiently slow, the flow of water may be considered subcritical (i.e.all time derivatives are zero, Fr < 1), and (2) that the saltwater layer is at rest ( $U_2 = 0$ ). These initial assumptions are well-supported for the most general scenario of the steady layer state, no time-depending forcing, and a weak ocean circulation at the grounding line (though more complicated considerations are discussed further in section 5). The resulting equation (after  $H_2$  is eliminated) for the freshwater layer thickness is

$$\frac{\left(Fr^2-1\right)\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}=Fr^2\left[\widetilde{C}_i(1-h)^{-1}+\widetilde{C}_d(1+\gamma h)\right]-\Theta}{2}$$

where  $Fr = \frac{U_1}{\sqrt{g'H}}$  is the local dimensionless densimetric Froude number which changes with the local freshwater velocity, and dimensionless variables include mixing between the two layers is negligible (which would otherwise enter as additional terms in the above equations) as in slow estuarine and subglacial flows, as shown in experiments (Wilson et al., 2020) and observations (Ralston et al., 2010).

We proceed by considering the steady state solution to equations 1-4, where the saline layer is at rest  $(U_2 = 0)$ . We also non-dimensionalize the equations 1-4 by making the following substitutions:  $h = H_1/H$ ,  $x = C_0 X/H$ ,  $\tilde{C}_i = C_i/C_0$ ,  $\tilde{C}_d = C_d/C_0$ ,  $\gamma = 2\phi H/\pi d(1-\phi)$ , and  $\Theta = \tan \theta/C_0$ , with  $C_0$  being is a characteristic scale for drag coefficients - and  $\gamma$  is a dimensionless parameter capturing the resistance to flow by the macroporous matrix. Combining equations 1-4 to eliminate

135 the barotropic pressure gradient, and using the relationship  $H_2 = H - H_1$ , we arrive at the following differential equation for the non-dimensional freshwater layer thickness (h)

The Froude number can be written in terms of a Froude number scale :  $Fr = Fr_0 h^{-3/2}$ 

$$Fr = Fr_0 h^{-3/2} \tag{6}$$

140 where  $Fr_0 = \frac{U_{in}}{\sqrt{g'H}}$  is the Froude number of the freshwater inflow upstream where it occupies the entire water sheet  $(\lim_{x \to -\infty} U_1(x) = U_{in})$ . In the above equation, Fr changes as the thickness, and also velocity of the freshwater layer both change. We note that when  $\Theta = 0$ , it must be the case that the sign of  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$  is set by  $Fr^2 - 1$ . Thus, for Fr > 1, h must decrease upstream of the grounding line, which contradicts our assumption that h = 1 as  $x \to -\infty$ .

At the grounding line or terminus (x = 0), the freshwater flow becomes unconfined and hence supercritical (i.e. Fr = 1 in equation 6), so we set the boundary condition

$$h(x=0) = Fr_0^{2/3}.$$
(7)

The dimensionless intrusion distance,  $\ell$ , is where the freshwater layer first occupies the entire subglacial water layer thickness  $(h(x = \ell) = 1)$  upstream from the grounding line, which is also where the saline layer no longer occupies any of the subglacial water layer. In appendix A, we describe how equation 5 can be numerically integrated from x = 0, until  $h(x = \ell) = 1$ , in order

to find the intrusion distance,  $\ell$ . We can also derive analytical approximations for  $\ell$  in two limiting cases to describe how the

150

125

130

intrusion distance depends on certain parameters describing the subglacial hydrology. We make three <u>further</u> simplifying assumptions to derive analytical approximations for the intrusion distance. First, we only

consider flat beds ( $\Theta = 0$ ), though we will numerically explore how sloped beds modify the intrusion distance in section 3.3.

Second, we assume that interfacial drag is negligible ( $C_i = 0$ ), as most estimates of interfacial drag between saline and fresh

155 water layers are  $O(10^{-4})$  (MacCready and Geyer, 2010; Geyer and Ralston, 2011), whereas even limiting cases of drag on relatively smooth ice walls are of order  $10^{-3}$ , and drag is greater yet for realistic subglacial surfaces. Third, we can assume that subglacial freshwater flow is highly subcritical ( $Fr_0 \ll 1$ ), which is appropriate for places like Antarctica, where there is little injection of surface melt water to the bed and subglacial water flow velocities are low (cm/s or less). With these three assumptions, equation 5 reduces to

160 
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \widetilde{C}_d \left( 1 - \frac{h^3}{Fr_0^2} \right)^{-1} (1 + \gamma h)$$
(8)

where we have re-written the dimensionless parameter groups involving the local Froude number in terms of h and  $Fr_0$ . This differential equation can be <u>exactly\_analytically</u> integrated for a solution, but the resulting expression is unwieldy and hard <u>difficult</u> to interpret, so we consider two end-member cases which provide reasonable lower and upper bounds on expected seawater intrusion distance.

165 The subglacial clasts which obstruct flow in the water sheet may be spaced far apart and still provide sufficient support to maintain a separation between the ice and bed (or water pressure may maintain this separation on its own, as in Hewitt (2011)). In this scenario, the porosity of subglacial obstacles ( $\phi$ ) is low and so  $\gamma h \ll 1$ . Equation 8 can then be integrated exactly to yield an approximation for the intrusion distance for an unobstructed water sheet

$$\ell_u = \frac{1}{4C_d F r_0^2}.$$
(9)

- 170 In this end-member, the dominant drag on the intrusion of seawater into the subglacial water system is the drag of the water against the bed and against the ice. This is also the W >> H (i.e., no lateral drag) limiting case of intrusion in channels considered where the width of channels is much greater than their height, considered previously in numerical calculations by Wilson et al. (2020).
- The contrasting limiting case is when clasts which obstruct flow within the water sheet are closely-spaced and so the macroporosity of the water sheet is high (though not sufficiently so to produce a non-inertial Darcy flow regime, which we consider separately in section 2.2). In such a case, we expect that  $\gamma h >> 1$ , where equation 8 is integrated to yield an approximation for the intrusion distance for a macroporous water sheet

$$\ell_p = \frac{1}{3\gamma C_d F r_0^2}.\tag{10}$$

Re-dimensionalizing  $\ell_u$  and  $\ell_p$  yields upper and lower bounds on  $L_h$   $(L_h = H\ell/C_d)$ , the dimensional seawater intrusion 180 distance on hard beds, which can be written

$$\frac{1}{3\gamma}\tilde{L} < L_h < \frac{1}{4}\tilde{L}.$$
(11)

We define an "intrusion length scale" for hard beds

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{H^2 g'}{C_d^2 U_{in}^2}$$
(12)

which may also be derived from equation 8 using dimensional analysis. As discussed later in section 3, we generally expect

- $\gamma \lesssim 6\gamma \lesssim 2$ , meaning that the intrusion distance is likely to be a fraction of the intrusion length scale between 1/4 and  $\frac{1}{181}/6$ . 185 We briefly note that for the case of water flow through a subglacial channel (described in Wilson et al., 2020), the shallow water equations have identical structure, except that  $\gamma = 2H/W$ , capturing the effect of drag from channel side walls (not obstacle drag as in this model). Observations indicate that the geometry of subglacial channels spans a range from circular (Rothlisberger, 1972), corresponding to  $\gamma = 2$  in equation 10, to flat (Nye, 1976) which would correspond to an unobstructed water sheet (equation 9). Thus, although we have focused our discussion of the macroporous water sheet, the two limiting cases
- 190

of  $\ell_u$  and  $\ell_p$  also encompass intrusion distances expected in subglacial channels. The approach of calculating two end-member solutions for intrusion distance then provides a general theory that we expect to apply in a wide range of settings with hard beds, regardless of the organization of the subglacial drainage.

In Fig. 2, we evaluate the validity of the assumptions that were made on the way to deriving these analytical approximations 195 for the intrusion distance (purple circles) by comparing them to numerically calculated solutions to equation 5 (black lines). For large  $\gamma$  (heavily obstructed seawater intrusion), Fig. 2a shows that  $\ell_p$  (Equation 10) is an excellent approximation to the intrusion distance if  $Fr_0$  is small. Figure 2b shows that the  $\ell_p$  approximation breaks down as  $Fr_0$  becomes O(1). At intermediate  $\gamma$ (Fig. 2c),  $\ell_p$  is off by O(1) as the  $\gamma >> 1$  approximation becomes less appropriate. Finally, at small  $\gamma$  (unobstructed sheet-like flow), Fig. 2d shows that  $\ell_u$  (Equation 9) is a good approximation if  $C_i \ll C_d$ . We note that, in general, seawater intrusion distance increases as  $\gamma$  and  $Fr_0$  decrease (these dependencies are discussed in much more detail in section 3), as predicted 200 by the analytical approximations. Ultimately, where these approximations break down, they are typically too large by factors of O(1). As we discuss later, a lack of constraints on physical parameters which enter into these analytical approximations produce uncertainties in intrusion distance range over 1-4 orders of magnitude. Thus, these approximations are good enough

equation 5 is preferable (as we do in section 3). 205

#### 2.2 Soft beds

Darcy's law is a non-inertial, creeping, incompressible simplification of the Navier-Stokes equations which describes steadystate fluid flow in microporous media. Subglacial water flow can be described solely by Darcy's law where there is a substantial an microporous till layer beneath grounded ice (without significant sheet flow at the ice-till interface). This is in contrast to the 210 layered shallow water flow considered in the previous section where inertial and other terms are important.

to provide insights into the physical controls on intrusion distance. However, where accuracy is required, numerically solving

The particular problem of seawater intrusion into microporous coastal aquifers where Darcy's law is a valid description of water flow has been extensively studied, due to the important implications for drinking water in coastal areas (for review see Werner et al., 2013). The canonical case of seawater intrusion into a confined an aquifer considers Darcy flow where freshwater discharge flows from inland at a prescribed rate towards the ocean (identical to what is illustrated in Fig. 1b). The

215 most well-known method, due jointly to <del>Dupuit (Dupuit, 1863) and Forchheimer (Forchheimer, 1886), assumes that hydraulic</del> equipotential surfaces are vertical, which allows the straightforward derivation of seawater intrusion distance in a confined or unconfined porous medium. However, as Strack (1976) showed, this assumption is not strictly necessary, and a single hydraulic



Figure 2. Comparison to analytic approximations of seawater intrusion distance, and numerical solutions of shallows water equations under various assumptions. 1 - h is the non-dimensional thickness of the seawater layer, which goes to zero at the seawater intrusion distance. (a) Low Froude Number ( $Fr_0 \ll 1$ ) and densely obstructed macroporous water sheet flow ( $\gamma \gg 1$ ). (b) Moderate though sub-critical Froude Number ( $Fr_0 = 0.5$ ) and densely obstructed macroporous water sheet flow ( $\gamma \gg 1$ ). (c) Low Froude Number ( $Fr_0 \ll 1$ ) and moderately (though close to maximum packing fraction density of spheres) obstructed macroporous water sheet flow ( $\gamma = 6\gamma = 2$ ). (d) Low Froude Number ( $Fr_0 \ll 1$ ) and unobstructed water sheet flow ( $\gamma \ll 1$ ). Other parameters used include:  $C_i = 1$  (scaled), and  $\Theta = 0$ .

potential can be used to derive the horizontal extent of seawater intrusion inland in a flat, confined aquifer

$$L_s = \frac{KH}{2\alpha U_{in}}$$

220 Dupuit (1863) and Forchheimer (1886), starts with the approximation that freshwater flow within an aquifer  $(Q_1)$  is set by horizontal gradients of the hydraulic head

$$U_1 = -K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} \tag{13}$$

where  $L_s$  is the dimensional seawater intrusion distance through soft permeable beds, K is K is the hydraulic permeability of the microporous medium and  $\psi$  is the elevation of the hydraulic head (which is related to the hydraulic conductivity of the microporous medium, H pore pressure within the till). When the aquifer is confined, the right hand side is multiplied by  $\frac{H_1}{H}$ to account for  $H_1$ , the thickness of the freshwater layer, and H, the thickness of the till layer,  $\alpha = 40$ . Ghyben (1888) and Herzberg (1901) later showed that when seawater intrudes into an aquifer, the thickness of the buoyant freshwater layer in an aquifer must be hydrostatically compensated by the local thickness of seawater leading to an expression for the freshwater layer thickness

230 
$$H_1 = \alpha(\psi - H_s) - (H_s - H)$$
(14)

where  $\alpha = \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_s \sim \rho_f} \approx 40$  is a dimensionless parameter determined by the density difference of fresh and saltwater, and  $U_{in}$  is the velocity of freshwater discharge towards the ocean (at a distance far  $(\rho_f)$  and saltwater  $(\rho_s)$ , and  $H_s$  is the sea level relative to the depth of the bottom of the till at the grounding line. Te expression above is derived under the assumption that variations in the overburden pressure on the surface of the till layer are small relative to variations in in pore pressure due to hydrostatic loading from the ocean). From these two approximations, Strack (1976) showed, that by taking the spatial derivative of equation 14, and inserting it into equation 13, we arrive at

235

$$U_1 = -\frac{KH_1}{\alpha H} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial X}.$$
(15)

Integrating this result with respect to X and  $H_1$  to find the distance at which the freshwater layer occupies the entire aquifer  $(H_1 = H)$ , we finally arrive at the horizontal extent of seawater intrusion inland in a flat, confined aquifer

240 
$$L_s = \frac{KH}{2\alpha U_{in}} \tag{16}$$

where  $L_s$  is the dimensional seawater intrusion distance through soft permeable beds. This solution is widely used in the hydrology community and has been shown to predict observed and laboratory-measured seawater intrusion well (Shi et al., 2011) (Werner et al., 2013).

On sloped beds, the geometry of the salt-freshwater interface relative to the bed slope becomes a potentially important factor in determining the intrusion distance. Where the bed deepens away from the ocean (referred to as a retrograde or reverse-slope bed in glaciology), the distance of seawater intrusion will be extended as the seawater intrusion flows downhill. For the case of a slopedconfined aquifer of uniform thickness, sloped, confined aquifers, equation 14 is

$$H_1 = \alpha(\psi - H_s) - [H_s - H - X\tan(\theta)] \tag{17}$$

where  $\theta$  is the bed slope. Lu et al. (2016) extends the approach of Strack (1976), to derive an implicit equation for the horizontal extent of saltwater intrusion

$$H + L_s \tan(\theta_2) + \frac{\alpha H U_{in}}{K \tan(\theta_1)} \ln\left[1 + \frac{K \tan(\theta_1) \left(H - L_s \tan(\theta_2) + K \tan(\theta_1)\right)}{\alpha U_{in}}\right] \equiv 0, \tag{18}$$

where *H* is the thickness of the till layer at the grounding line and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the slopes of the lower ice surface and the bed, respectively. For subglacial till layers, we don't expect the lower ice surface slope and bed slope to be significantly different over large areas (which would require the thickness of the till layer to change significantly), so we can safely assume that for our case  $\theta = \theta_1 = \theta_2$ . This allows us to simplify the above implicit equation, and solve explicitly for the intrusion distance,

yielding

255

250

$$L_s = -\frac{H}{\tan(\theta)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha U_{in}}{K \tan(\theta)} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{K \tan(\theta)}{\alpha U_{in}} \right) \right]$$
(19)

where seawater intrusion occurs if  $L_s > 0$ . In the next section we will explore the range of predicted seawater intrusion distances from this soft bed theory and the hard bed theory of the previous section.

#### 260 3 Predicted seawater intrusion distance

The theories described in the preceding section can be used to make predictions for the seawater intrusion distance expected over hard and soft beds. In this section we explore the range of seawater intrusion distances that would be predicted to occur, using the wide range of parameter values measured or indirectly inferred from observations of subglacial hydrology near grounding lines and glacier termini. We summarize the range of parameter values we discuss and the corresponding predicted intrusion distances in Table 1.

#### 3.1 Hard beds

The intrusion length scale for hard beds (equation 12) demonstrates that there There are just a few parameters that play a role in determining the horizontal seawater intrusion distance. The range of approximate analytical intrusion distances (equation 11) and those determined numerically by solving equation 5 generally are within an order of magnitude of this length scale .

270

265

The , as can be deduced by examining either the differential equation that exactly specifies the intrusion distance in the model we consider (equation 5) or the analytical approximations on the intrusion distance. In particular the intrusion length scale (equation 12) provides an excellent starting point for understanding which parameter play a role in setting the seawater intrusion distance. We start with the reduced gravity of the two layer system, g', is dependent on the density difference between freshwater and seawater, which will generally be which is generally near 0.27 m/s<sup>2</sup> if the fresh and saline layers remain distinct

and unmixed , with potentially small variations due to compositional (since it depends on the density difference between layers). Compositional variability of either layer may lead to variations in g', but these are likely to be less than 10%. As previously discussed, we assume that entrainment between layers is negligible, though we note here that g' will decrease if entrainment mixes the layers. The drag coefficient,  $C_{d_2}$  for water flow past ice or obstacles within the subglacial hydrology

	Case description	H (cm)	$g'(m/s^2)$	$C_{d}$	$U_{in}(\text{cm/s})$	<u>L (m)</u>
	Hard, flat bed with thin water sheet	1	0.27	0.01	1	450-700
	Hard, flat bed with moderate water sheet	.5	0.27	0.005	0.5	$\sim 10^4$
	Hard, flat bed with thick water sheet	10	0.27	0.01	0.1	$\sim 10^5$
	Case description	H (cm)	a	K (m/s)	$U_{in}$ (cm/s)	L (m)
	Soft, flat bed with thick, transmissive till	$10^{3}$	40	$10^{-4}$	10 <sup>-4</sup>	~10 <sup>1</sup>
Table 1. Summary of predicted range of intrusion distances discussed in section 3.						

is typically taken to be between  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-2}$  for a range of observations typically under sea ice (Lu et al., 2011) and lab experiments for idealized walls and objects (Ezhova et al., 2018).

For realistic clast sizes, Hewitt (2011) estimated, using a mathematical model, that the natural thickness scale for a macroporous subglacial water sheet would be 1-5 cm. Creyts and Schoof (2009) find-found that the thickness of steady-state subglacial water sheets is set by the size of clasts within the sheet and the water pressure maintained within the system. Antarctic tills are characterized by a wide range of particle sizes (Clarke, 2005), though tills sampled directly from Antarctic ice streams indicate

- 285 the widespread presence of ploughing boulders up to 10's of cm in size. Consistent with this, MacGregor et al. (2011) estimate estimated that a subglacial water sheet of at least 20 cm thickness exists in the grounding zones of Whillans and Kamb ice streams, with the possibility that seawater intrusion explains the persistence of high bed reflectivity across the putative grounding line (discussed further in section 5).
- In the same mathematical model discussed above, Hewitt (2011) estimates estimated water velocities in a cm-scale water sheet of 0.5-1 cm/s near the ice sheet margin. Carter and Fricker (2012) models modeled water velocities inferred for the Siple Coast from subglacial melt production and subglacial hydropotentials also finding velocities of approximately 1 cm/s. Other studies have estimated subglacial water fluxes from basal melt (Joughin et al., 2009; Pattyn, 2010), with fluxes that are consistent with ~1 cm/s water velocities through a ~cm thick water sheet or ~0.1 cm/s through a ~10 cm thick water sheet (*Re*~50 for both cases, indicating laminar flow). Though a em thick cm-scale water sheet may seem too thin to maintain stratification between layers, a highly stratified salt wedge with low mixing rates ( $K_h \sim 10^{-9}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s is the molecular diffusivity of salt in water, Kunze (2003)) will mix on a time scale of a day, by which time the stratification will have been renewed by
- freshwater discharge of order cm/s freshwater discharge from upstream over a km-scale seawater intrusion. For a ~10 cm water sheet, mixing of highly-stratified layers would take months. Thus, we consider it completely plausible to maintain stratified em thick-distinct layers within cm-scale water sheets if mixing between the fresh and saline-layers is slow(as we have previously
- 300 assumed). We also note that even at more moderate levels of mixing  $(K_h \sim 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \text{ due to, e.g., double diffusive convection,}$ van der Boog et al. (2021)), it is still possible to maintain two distinct layers in water sheets ~10 cm thickare still possible to maintain.

For subglacial macroporous water sheets,  $\gamma = 2\phi H/\pi d(1-\phi)$  is dependent on the density and size of obstacles in the macroporous subglacial water sheet. We expect that the thickness of the sheet (H) will, at most, be the typical diameter of clastic ob-

- stacles (d), which maintain the ice-bed opening. Spherical objects of nearly similar size have a maximum packing density within a two-dimensional sheet of  $\phi = \pi \sqrt{3}/6 \approx 0.93$ -D packing density of  $\phi = \pi / \sqrt{18} \approx 0.74$  (from the "Kepler Conjecture"; Hales, 2005). Consequently, we expect that the maximum value of  $\gamma$  is likely to be near  $2/(6/\sqrt{3} - \pi) \approx 6$  (keeping  $2/(\sqrt{18} - \pi) \approx 2$ . This argument provides a reasonable upper bound on  $\gamma$ , though it should be kept in mind that nature does not necessarily arrange clasts in an optimal packing configuration ) and that clasts may in reality vary in size.
- Using this range of values for the thinnest water sheet (H = 1 cm), the highest drag for a smooth wall that could be supported in such a thin water sheet wall with less than cm-scale roughness  $(C_d = 0.01)$ , and the highest water velocity  $(U_{in} = 1 \text{ cm/s})$ , we calculate (from equation 12) a hard bed intrusion length scale of  $\tilde{L} = 2700$  meters, giving intrusion distance of 150-450meters for a densely obstructed water sheet or 700 meters for an unobstructed water sheet (from the lower and upper bounds in equation 11, respectively). A more moderate range of parameters  $(H = 5 \text{ cm}, C_d = 0.005, U_{in} = 5 \text{ mm/s})$  gives intrusion
- 315 distances in the range of tens of kilometers. At the other end of the spectrum, a thick water sheet suggested by radar to exist at Siple Coast grounding zones (H = 20 cm MacGregor et al., 2011)(H = 20 cm; MacGregor et al., 2011), with drag coefficients appropriate for large roughness or dense clasts ( $C_d = 0.01$ ), and low water velocity ( $U_{in} = 1$  mm/s), would suggest an intrusion length scale easily spanning the entire ice sheet interior. Maintaining steady water sheet thickness in this range would require very high water pressures which may lead to turbulent flow, violating one of the base assumptions of our theory (Fr < 1). We
- 320 also would not expect such conditions to persist all the way into the ice sheet interior (where subglacial water discharge and water sheet thickness are both likely to be much lower, in addition to potential variations in bed slope). Therefore, we note this possibility, but remain skeptical that our theory would provide a reliable prediction for seawater intrusion distance in this case.

Engelhardt and Kamb (1997) estimated the properties of a subglacial water sheet above till far upstream of the grounding line of Whillans Ice Stream by injecting salt into the subglacial water system through a borehole. They inferred the water sheet

- 325 thickness and local velocity by assessing the rate at which the salt concentration decayed (assuming Poiseuille flow), resulting in estimates of H = 1 - 3 mm and U = 7 mm/s. This is a single measurement, where the borehole is likely to have had some influence on the local hydrology, in the interior of an ice stream where most subglacial water flow likely occurs through till (see next section), and by the previous argument, is unlikely to be able to maintain stratification event for very low interfacial mixing. However, it should be noted that if such a thin water sheet did somehow maintain two distinct layers, the extent of
- 330 seawater intrusion would not be more than tens of meters We should also note there have been indirect observations of very thin water sheets of ~mm thick (Engelhardt and Kamb, 1997) at ice-till interfaces in Antarctica, but we do not envision that maintaining distinct layering would be possible in such a circumstance.

This extreme range in potential intrusion distance reflects the fact that subglacial hydrological parameters are uncertain over orders of magnitude and are exponentiated in equation 12, resulting in many orders of magnitude uncertainty in the intrusion

335 distance. Nonetheless, we conclude that on hard beds, seawater intrusion distances of at least 100's of meters are possible even under very conservative assumptions, and intrusion distances of 10's of kilometers are plausible. In section 4, we explore the implications of intrusion-induced basal melt over such length scales upstream of marine ice sheet grounding lines.

#### 3.2 Soft beds

When subglacial drainage occurs by Darcy flow through confined layers of till (i.e. a "soft bed"), there is a distinct (from the

- hard bedded case discussed above) horizontal length scale governing seawater intrusion, given by equation 16. The  $\alpha$  parameter 340 (analogous to q') is fairly well known for freshwater-seawater systems to be 40. H is the thickness of the confined till layer, which can range anywhere from cm to tens of meters. Similarly, the inflow rate of freshwater through till layers  $(U_{in})$  has been estimated to be on the order of 0.1 - 1 mm/s in ice streams where frictional heating at the ice-till interface generates high melt rates (Joughin et al., 2009). However, in regions of lower basal melt rates, we might expect inflow rates closer to 0.001 - 0.01345
- mm/s (Pattyn, 2010).

350

370

Though H and  $U_{in}$  are uncertain over 1-4 orders of magnitude, by far the most uncertain property of subglacial till relevant to seawater intrusion is K, the hydraulic conductivity of till. The hydraulic conductivity of till gathered Laboratory measurement of K in till from formerly and currently glaciated field sites has been measured in the laboratory, and exhibits a range ranges from  $10^{-12}$  to  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s (Freeze and Cherry, 1979; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). Other methods have also been used to measure till hydraulic conductivity including: in-situ measurement of borehole fluids far upstream of the grounding line at West Antarctic ice streams (Engelhardt et al., 1990) and inference from measurements of grounding line migration in different West Antarctic ice streams (Warburton et al., 2020). These other approaches to constraining till properties give a range of  $10^{-9}$ to  $10^{-4}$  m/s for till hydraulic conductivity.

- Even though the range on the till hydraulic conductivity, thickness and inflow discharge velocities is large, we can confidently 355 conclude that, based on the scaling given in equation equation 16, seawater intrusion into flat, confined till layers will extend, at most, meters upstream of the grounding line. For most of the range of till properties discussed above, the length scale of seawater intrusion on flat beds will be negligible. This can be compared to the range of seawater intrusion into coastal aquifers of meters to kilometers. Hydraulic conductivity of consolidated subglacial till is well known to be low compared to a typical aquifer, which is largely the reason for such small intrusion distance through flat soft beds. It is however, important to note, that previous field-based studies of till properties (particularly those reporting higher hydraulic conductivities) suggest that 360 saturation of the subglacial till layer may prevent infiltration of basal melt below the ice-till interface, leading to sheet-like flow
- between ice and till, more similar to the hard-bed sheet-like hydrology discussed in sections 2.1 and 3.1 (though the thickness of such a supra-till water sheet is not entirely clear). Thus, as observations from West Antarctica suggest (MacGregor et al., 2011; Horgan et al., 2013), even in places where there is substantial evidence for the existence of thick subglacial till layers, 365 seawater intrusion may still occur through shallow water flow between ice and till.

#### 3.3 **Reverse-sloping Sloping beds**

The intrusion of seawater under grounded ice is ultimately driven by gravity. The salt wedge is the thickest near the ocean and thins towards the glacier interior (Fig. 1), producing a pressure gradient (proportional to the salt wedge thickness gradient,  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ in equation 5) that maintains the salt wedge against resistance from drag at walls, obstacles and the layer interface. On flat beds, the layer interface becomes flatter towards the interior (e.g., Fig. 2) such that eventually the drag exceeds gravitational driving,

causing the termination of seawater intrusion. A bed that deepens into the glacier interior ( $\theta > 0$  in equation 4 or equation 19) slopes in the same direction as the salt wedge interface, and thus drives further intrusion over what would be expected on a flat bed. A sufficiently reverse-sloping bed will drive additional and potentially unbounded seawater intrusion distance (i.e.,  $L \rightarrow \infty$ ). Here we explore the criteria for the "critical bed slope" beyond which seawater intrusion extends unbounded for both hard and soft beds. We will also numerically solve for the intrusion distance over a range of bed slopes and other parameter values to determine generally when bed slope becomes an important driver of intrusion.

375



Figure 3. Seawater intrusion distance over a hard bed, calculated numerically from full model (equation 5) over a range of bed slope and Froude Number. For illustrative purposes, the bed slope ( $\theta \approx \Theta C_0$ ) and intrusion distance ( $L = \ell H/C_0$ ) have been shown in dimensional form for moderate parameter values ( $C_0 = 0.005$  and H = 5 cm). (a-b) Unobstructed water sheet ( $\gamma = 0$ ). White box in panel (a) indicates region magnified in panel (b). (c-d) Obstructed macroporous water sheet ( $\gamma = 6\gamma = 2$ ). White box in panel (c) indicates region magnified in panel (d). In all panels,  $C_d/C_i = 10$ . Blue regions are generally above the critical slope. Grey contour indicates 100 m seawater intrusion distance.

Following Wilson et al. (2020), we note that where the right hand side of equation 5 becomes less than or equal to zero, the drag terms will never be sufficient to overcome the gravitational driving of the seawater intrusion, implying a seawater layer with constant or growing thickness (towards the glacier interior). This implies a "critical bed slope" criterion

$$380 \quad \theta > \eta C_0 F r_0^2 \tag{20}$$

where  $\eta$  is a dimensionless number that is the minimum of the function  $\widetilde{C}_i(1-h)^{-1} + \widetilde{C}_d(1+\gamma h)$  and  $C_0$  is the drag coefficient scale (which is set by the obstacle/wall drag,  $C_d$ ). Analytical approximation of  $\eta$  generally yields unwieldy expressions in terms of  $\widetilde{C}_i$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_d$  and  $\gamma$ . However, we can make two general observations about the scales of  $\eta$  before turning to numerical solution. For an unobstructed water sheet ( $\gamma \ll 1$ ),  $\eta$  is generally O(1). For a macroporous water sheet ( $\gamma \sim O(1)$ ),  $\eta$  is generally 385  $O(\gamma)$ ). Thus, for most realistic configurations of the subglacial water sheet ( $\gamma \lesssim 6 \gamma \lesssim$ ), we expect the critical bed slope to be  $O(C_0 F r_0^2)$ .

To show how bed slope affects seawater intrusion distance generally, we numerically solve equation 5, and in Fig. 3 plot the seawater intrusion distance (L) over a wide range of bed slopes and Froude numbers. What we see largely reflects the inequality in equation 20. For realistically low flow rates of subglacial discharge ( $Fr_0 \sim O(0.1)$ ) and drag coefficients ( $C_0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ ), seawater intrusion becomes unbounded when bed slope the bed has a reverse slope that is steeper than  $1-5 \times 10^{-4}$ .

390

Additionally, prograde bed slopes that are common ( $\theta < 10^{-3}$ ) effectively rule out seawater intrusion of more than 10 meters. We generally see that, for subcritical water flow ( $Fr_0 < 1$ ) over reverse bed slopes, the critical bed slope is  $O(10^{-2})$  or flatter. These bed slopes are well within the range of reverse bed slopes found at many grounding lines at glacier termini in Greenland and Antarctica (Ross et al., 2012; Morlighem et al., 2017, 2020).



Figure 4. Seawater intrusion distance through a soft bed, calculated numerically from equation 19 over a range of bed slope and  $\alpha U_{in}/K$  (a dimensionless parameter indicating the relative strength of freshwater discharge to hydraulic conductivity). Grey contour indicates 100 m seawater intrusion distance.

For a sloped subglacial porous aquifer, there is a similar limit in which the intrusion length becomes unbounded. This occurs when the slope of the seawater intrusion interface is less than the bed slope, thus meaning that they will never make contact (unless the bed slope or freshwater inflow changes). This occurs when the logarithm in equation 19 becomes unbounded, which is the case for

$$\theta > \frac{\alpha U_{in}}{K}$$
 (21)

400 assuming  $\theta \approx \tan(\theta)$ .  $\frac{\alpha U_{in}}{K}$  is a dimensionless parameter that quantifies the relative importance of freshwater discharge and hydraulic conductivity of the till, which together determine the "resistivity" of the till to seawater intrusion. When this parameter is large, seawater will have a difficult time intruding into the till either due to large freshwater discharge pushing back,

and/or low hydraulic conductivity preventing easy flow. At the upper bound of the range of observed till hydraulic conductivity:  $K \in [10^{-11}, 10^{-4}]$  m/s and the lowest feasible freshwater flow rates in till from the interior of the catchment ( $U_{in} \sim 10^{-6}$ ), this

405

<sup>5</sup> "resistivity" is O(0.1) and the critical bed slope is in the range of the absolute steepest reverse bed slopes in either Greenland or Antarctica. Indeed, calculating seawater intrusion distance from equation 19 for a wide range of  $\theta$  (Figure 4) shows that while there are some circumstances under which seawater intrusion into till aquifers might be non-negligible (i.e. greater than meters), such conditions are seemingly rarely attained. However, it is important to emphasize that if the hydraulic conductivity of till was observed to be any higher than  $10^{-4}$  m/s or freshwater discharge was very low (perhaps in-under a slowly flowing glacier), seawater intrusion into till would become a distinctly non-negligible possibility on reverse-sloping beds.

410

#### 4 Melt from seawater intrusion beneath grounded ice sheets

Where water comes into contact with ice sheets, heat and salt are exchanged across the ice-water boundary layer (Jenkins, 1991), which may lead to dissolution or melting of ice.

Observations show that there are many places where a cold, fresh layer exists between floating ice and the warm, salty

- 415 seawater, though basal melt still occurs through double-diffusive convection (Kimura et al., 2015; Begeman et al., 2018). Where there is intrusion of a warm, saline layer under fresh, cold subglacial discharge beneath grounded ice, with subcritical water flow and limited entrainment (as previously argued), we similarly expect double-diffusive convection to maintain distinct layers while driving some ice melt that is dependent on properties of the saline layer, as has been shown in experiments and direct numerical simulations of the sub-ice boundary layers (Martin and Kauffman, 1977; Turner and Veronis, 2004; Rosevear et al., 2021)
- -To estimate the effect of such intrusion-induced basal melt of grounded ice on the evolution of marine ice sheets, we incorporate a simple parameterization of intrusion melt into the Ice Sheet and Sea Level System Model (ISSM; Larour et al., 2012). The parameterization assumes that subglacial basal melt rates (*m*) decrease linearly from the grounding line upstream, reaching zero at a specified intrusion distance, L

$$\dot{m}(x) = \dot{m}_{GL} \left[ 1 - \frac{x}{L} \right] \tag{22}$$

- 425 where  $\dot{m}_{GL}$  is the melt rate at the grounding line (either parameterized or determined via coupling to an ocean model). In this parameterization in ISSM, *x* is the horizontal distance to the nearest grounding line. In an ice sheet model with explicitly simulated subglacial hydrology, *x* could be taken as a horizontal distance along hydropotential minima. We also specify that this parameterization is only applied upstream of the grounding line, where 0 < x < L. Figure 5 shows an illustration of this parameterized melt rate as a function of distance from the terminus or grounding line. Though this linear decrease in melt rate 430 is clearly a simplification of the shape of the warmsalt wedges shown in Fig. 2 and does not consider the potential complexities
  - <del>of</del>-

Observations show that there are many places where a cold, fresh layer exists between floating ice and the warm, salty seawater. In such circumstances, basal melt still occurs through double-diffusive convection, our goal here convection (Kimura et al., 2015; , though it is lower than it would be if a fully mixed and turbulent boundary layer existed at the ice-ocean interface (Rosevear et al., 2021)



Horizontal distance to terminus/grounding line

Figure 5. Illustrative schematic of a parameterization for basal melt under grounded ice caused by seawater intrusion.

- 435 . Where there is intrusion of a warm, saline layer under fresh, cold subglacial discharge beneath grounded ice, with subcritical water flow and limited entrainment (as may exist for seawater intrusion over hard beds), we argue that double-diffusive convection may occur and maintain distinct water layers while driving some ice melt that is dependent on properties of the saline layer, as has been shown in experiments and direct numerical simulations of the sub-ice boundary layers (Martin and Kauffman, 1977). Correspondingly, in our first model configuration, we consider two sets of simulations. In the first set of simulations, grounding
- 440 line melt rates are 10's of m/yr, in line with previous benchmark simulations (described in the next section). In a second set of simulations, grounding line melt rates are m/yr, similar to those observed in a thin (i.e. 10's of cm) double-diffusive staircase beneath the George VI ice shelf (Kimura et al., 2015). We do note however, that where the sub-ice fresh layer is very thick (i.e. meters), double-diffusive convection drivers even lower basal melt rates (Begeman et al., 2018).
- Intrusion-induced basal melt fluxes would need to be balanced by an additional influx of seawater to maintain steady-state seawater intrusion distance (and layer thicknesses). For intrusion-induced basal melt rates of the form of equation 22, the integrated melt flux would be  $\dot{m}_{GL}L/2$ . From the grounding line boundary condition on freshwater flow speed over a hard bed (equation 7), we can deduce the dimensional saline layer thickness at the grounding line:  $H_2(x=0) = H(1 - Fr_0^{2/3})$ . Ocean currents can cause an incoming flux of  $U_oH(1 - Fr_0^{2/3})$  where  $U_o$  is the incoming current velocity from the ocean. For intrusion distances of hundreds to thousands of meters, subglacial water layers thicknesses of 1-10 cm, and freshwater
- 450 discharge velocities of 0.1-1 cm/s,  $U_o$  needs to be 0.1-10 cm/s to balance basal melt rates of order 1-100 m/yr. This is well within the range of current speeds measured near the grounding lines of ice streams in Antarctica (Begeman et al., 2018). Thus, we consider these melt rates to be plausible purely from a consideration of the conditions necessary to maintain a steady-state. However, we do note that such considerations do limit the possible range of steady-state intrusion distances and basal melt rates (i.e., intrusive melt rates greater than 100 m/yr over 10's of km would only be possible to maintain in a steady-state with
- 455 very rapid ocean inflow to compensate). Future work should explore the internal circulation of steady-state seawater intrusion layers in more detail.

Our goal in this section is to implement a simple parameterization of intrusive melt to estimate the effect of intrusion-driven melt under grounded ice on marine ice sheet evolution in two well-studied eases. In model configurations. However, we do recognize that the parameterized linear decrease in melt rates in equation 22 is clearly a simplification of the shape of the

460

warm salt wedges shown in Fig. 2 and does not consider the potential complexities of sub-ice boundary layer processes. In reality, a more realistic fluid dynamic model including turbulence and heat fluxes would be needed to fully understand melting in seawater intrusions. In section 5, we discuss the myriad ways that the representation of intrusion-driven melt can be made more realistic in numerical models.

Illustrative schematic of a parameterization for basal melt under grounded ice caused by seawater intrusion.

- 465 Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) show that when sub-shelf melt is applied to entire model mesh elements that are only partially floating (i.e. elements that are crossed by the grounding line), then melt intended for floating elements is "erroneously" applied to parts of elements that are not floating, just upstream of the grounding line. For typical horizontal grid resolutions (hundreds of meters to kilometers), this will lead to more rapid marine ice sheet retreat and ice loss (e.g., by up to 100% compared to cases v . Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) show how to fix this numerical issue by reducing melt rates on partially-floating model mesh
- 470 elements. Though this studytreats ocean melt under grounded ice as a numerical problem to be fixed, their results point to the potentially important influence of melt induced by seawater intrusion under grounded ice. Here, In this study, we have adapted the "sub-element melt 2" (SEM2) parameterization described by Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) to incorporate melt from seawater intrusion on grounded ice by calculating the "level set" horizontal distance from the grounding line everywhere in the ISSM domain (x in equation 22). Intrusion distance L is specified as a model parameter and sub-shelf melt rates  $\dot{m}_{GL}$  is
- 475 prescribed as a constant over floating ice (though it could equally well come from a more sophisticated model for floating melt rates). In this section, we will explore the effect of different intrusion distances on transient evolution of a marine-terminating terminating glacier in two benchmark <u>easesmodel configurations</u>: the MISMIP+ idealized bed topography (Asay-Davis et al., 2016) and future evolution of Thwaites Glacier in West Antarctica (based on a well-tested model configuration; Seroussi et al., 2017; Robel et al., 2019). All simulations in this section are conducted at 125 meter horizontal resolution, where errors due to
- 480 the precise form of the numerical implementation of basal melt near the grounding line are negligible, as shown in Seroussi and Morlighem (2018). A convergence study shows (not plotted) indicates that all results presented here using the intrusion melt parameterization are less than 3% different from equivalent simulations conducted at 250 meter horizontal resolution, confirming that these results are indeed converged in horizontal resolution.

#### 4.1 ISSM Intrusion Melt: MISMIP bed topography

To demonstrate the effect of melt from seawater intrusion on ice loss from marine ice sheetssheet mass loss, we start by using a common benchmark of marine ice sheet models, the MISMIP+ model configuration (Asay-Davis et al., 2016; Cornford et al., 2020). In this idealized configuration, a marine-terminating glacier with a buttressing ice shelf begins in a steady-state with the grounding line on a reverse-sloping bed, with no basal melt applied to the floating ice, and constant snowfall accumulation rate in space. For simplicity, in this study we consider a variant on the "Ice1r" transient experiment, in which we apply a

line according to our intrusion melt parameterization (equation 22 and Fig. 5). This constant-melt variant ensures that any dynamic feedback in response to melt forcing is due to ice sheet dynamics alone and not complicated feedbacks due to melt rate dependencies on ice sheet and bed geometry. This simplification will also allow us to straightforwardly compare the effect of adding intrusion melt over certain distances to cases without intrusion melt (in the discussion below).



Figure 6. ISSM simulations of transient ice volume loss in the MISMIP+ configuration over 100 years with varying distances of "high" ( $m_{GL} = 30 \text{ m/yr}$ ) intrusion-induced basal melt upstream of the grounding line and increased submarine melt rates with no intrusion for comparison. (a) Transient ice volume loss over 100 years. Color variation shows different prescribed intrusion distances, dashed and dotted lines show high higher submarine melt rates with no intrusion. (b) Total ice volume loss at the end of 100 years as a function of intrusion distance.

In Fig. ??6, we show the transient ice loss (in terms of volume above floatation, VAF) in the MISMIP+ configuration in response to various seawater intrusion distances (*L* in equation 22) and high prescribed floating melt rates (*m<sub>GL</sub>* - *m<sub>GL</sub>* = 30 m/yr in equation 22). The solid colored lines (in panel a) and colored circles (in panel b) show ice loss over 100 yearswith a constant melt rate on floating ice of 30 m/yr. We . In this "high melt" scenario, we find a robust and significant effect of melt from seawater intrusion, with a 10-50% increase in the rate of ice volume loss for seawater intrusion over hundreds of meters, and a 50-105% increase in loss rate for intrusion over kilometers. The direct increase in ice loss from basal melt in the intrusion

region generally amounts to less than 5% of the increased volume loss across experiments. Rather, it is the dynamic marine ice sheet response to melting upstream of the grounding line which is responsible for the significant increase in ice loss in the experiments with non-zero intrusion distances intrusion-induced basal melt. Intrusion melt turns grounded ice into floating ice, which is then subject to higher melt rates and induces a greater flux of ice from upstream. The effect of 1 km 500 m of seawater intrusion is equivalent to doubling the floating melt rate without intrusion (dashed line), and the effect of 2-1 km of seawater

- 505
- intrusion is equivalent to tripling the floating melt rate without intrusion (dotted line). Though this comparison is



**Figure 7.** ISSM simulations of transient ice volume loss in the MISMIP+ configuration over 100 years with varying distances of "low"  $(\dot{m}_{GL} = 3 \text{ m/yr})$  intrusion-induced basal melt upstream of the grounding line and increased submarine melt rates with no intrusion for comparison. (a) Transient ice volume loss over 100 years. Color variation shows different prescribed intrusion distances, dashed and dotted lines show higher submarine melt rates with no intrusion. (b) Total ice volume loss at the end of 100 years as a function of intrusion distance.

As noted previously, it may be difficult to maintain high basal melt rates under grounded ice in steady-state. So, we also conduct comparable "low melt" simulations with an order of magnitude lower baseline basal melt rates ( $\dot{m}_{GL} = 3$  m/yr), which is closer to the melt rates expected in locations with double-diffusive convection driving melt (Kimura et al., 2015). In

510

Fig. 7, we find a 5-20% increase in the rate of ice volume loss for seawater intrusion over hundreds of meters, and a 20-55% increase in loss rate for intrusion over kilometers. The effect of 500-1000 m of seawater intrusion is equivalent to increasing the floating melt rate without intrusion by 1/3 (dashed line), and the effect of 4 km of seawater intrusion is equivalent to doubling the floating melt rate without intrusion (dotted line). Thus, while these intrusion-induced increases in ice loss rate for

the "low-melt" scenario are less than in the "high-melt" scenario, intrusion does still have a first-order effect on ice loss even

515 for basal melt rates more typical of double-diffusive convection.

<u>Though these simulations are</u> instructive as to the large effect of intrusion-induced melt, we do emphasize that the exact sensitivity of ice loss to prescribed floating ice melt rate and intrusion distance is dependent on the particular model configuration (i.e. bed topography, extent of buttressing, initial glacier state, etc.). In the next section, we test another model configuration of interest to provide a non-idealized configuration as a point of comparison.

#### 520 4.2 ISSM Intrusion Melt: Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica

525

We also test effect of melt from seawater intrusion on ice loss from Thwaites Glacier (TG), a marine-terminating glacier in West Antarctica that is the focus of intense interest due to its recent acceleration and contribution to global sea level rise. The extent and intensity of submarine melting under the floating portions of TG have been studied extensively using field and remote sensing observations (Bevan et al., 2021; Wåhlin et al., 2021, e.g.,), and ocean modeling (Seroussi et al., 2017; Nakayama et al., 2019, e.g.,). In this section, we adapt the model configuration of ISSM from Seroussi et al. (2017), with a domain encompassing the TG catchment and a fine horizontal resolution. Submarine melt rates are again set to be constant at 60 m/yr which promotes a rapid retreat of the TG grounding line and is consistent with observations of Thwaites sub-shelf melt rates (Milillo et al., 2019). Surface mass balance is held constant in time and is based on regional simulations with RACMO2 averaged over the 1979-2010 time period (Lenaerts et al., 2012). The characteristics of subglacial hydrology of TG have

- 530 been the focus of many recent studies, with evidence for a soft bed of variable strength, and channelized hydrology near the grounding line (Schroeder et al., 2013, 2016) which sits on a steeply reverse sloping bed (Morlighem et al., 2020) that, in places, exceeds the critical bed slope for unbounded seawater intrusion discussed in section 3.3. These characteristics of the subglacial environment are generally favorable for the intrusion of seawater, even in particular channelized regions, which is consistent with the observations of localized high basal melt upstream of the TG grounding line by Milillo et al. (2019).
- 535 However, observations of TG subglacial hydrology are still sufficiently uncertain that we simply explore the potential effect of intrusion-induced melt upstream of the grounding line for the evolution of TG (similarly to the MISMIP+ case).

In Fig. 8, we show the transient ice loss over 500-year simulations in which TG retreats completely through its catchment (in all simulations). Seawater intrusion distances of hundreds of meters accelerate the onset of the most rapid retreat by decades, and seawater intrusion over kilometers accelerates the onset of the most rapid retreat by 100-200 years. In the first 100 years

- of TG retreat (a common simulation period), hundreds of meters of seawater intrusion increase the rate of ice loss at TG by up to 20%, while kilometers of seawater intrusion increase the rate of ice loss by 20-100%. The effect of 2-4 km of seawater intrusion is equivalent to increasing the submarine melt rate by 50% without intrusion (dashed line). Though there are some quantitative differences between TG and MISMIP+ simulations, in the sensitivity of ice loss rate to seawater intrusion, it is generally the case that seawater intrusion of hundreds to thousands of meters (well within the range of feasibility as shown in
- 545 section 3) leads to substantially more ice loss from retreating marine-terminating glaciers. These results suggest that melt from seawater intrusion could be a very important process in driving ice loss from marine ice sheets. In the next section, we discuss the implications of these results for observing the ice-ocean interface and simulating intrusion in ice sheet models.



Figure 8. ISSM simulations of transient ice volume loss of Thwaites Glacier over 500 years, with varying distances of intrusion-induced basal melt upstream of the grounding line (solid colored lines) and with increased submarine melt rate with no intrusion (black dashed/dotted lines) for comparison.

#### 5 Discussion

Despite the potential importance of Future evolution of marine ice sheets is strongly sensitive to the potential for seawater intrusion under grounded iceto the future evolution of marine ice sheets, our. However, as we have shown, predictions of the horizontal extent of seawater intrusion remain uncertain over many orders of magnitude, primarily due to the lack of constraints on subglacial hydrology near the ice-ocean interface. To make better predictions of how seawater intrusion may affect future marine ice sheet evolution will require: more sophisticated numerical models of the ice-ocean interface, and more observational and experimental constraints on the properties of subglacial hydrology near the ice-ocean interface. In particular,

555 future work can focus on answering three questions: (1) what other processes may play a role in the fluid dynamics of the freshwater-seawater interface? (2) can we definitively confirm that seawater intrusion and melt are happening under grounded ice at some glaciers? (3) what are the properties of the subglacial hydrologic system under grounded ice near the ice-ocean interface? (3) what processes play a role in the fluid dynamics of the freshwater-seawater interface?

### 5.1 Models of seawater "Effective" intrusion melt in large-scale ice sheet models

- 560 We have shown that the extent of potential seawater intrusion and melt under grounded ice are highly consequential for the future evolution of marine ice sheets. This is consistent with the conclusion of previous studies that have found that grounding line migration is most sensitive to basal melt at the grounding line (Arthern and Williams, 2017; Reese et al., 2018; Goldberg et al., 2019). Golledge et al. (2017) used (Parizek et al., 2013; Arthern and Williams, 2017; Reese et al., 2018; Goldberg et al., 2019). Seroussi and Me further showed that, in some ice sheet models, basal melt intended for floating ice is "erroneously" applied to areas just
- 565 upstream of the grounding line. Such misapplication of basal melt will lead to more rapid marine ice sheet retreat and ice

loss (e.g., by up to 100% compared to cases without such "errors"; Seroussi et al., 2019). Other studies of past and future ice sheet evolution due to ocean warming (e.g., Golledge et al., 2017) have used such a coarse-resolution ice sheet model in which ocean melt is applied to partially grounded elements, effectively including many kilometers of seawater intrusion in their simulations (albeit through a numerical error). Using this model, they are able to . Such models simulate collapse of the West

- 570 Antarctic Ice Sheet and portions of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet during the Pliocene warm period, explaining the much higher global sea level during this period that had been a challenging target for other ice sheet models (Dutton et al., 2015). The same model (at higher resolution) has Such models have also been used to simulate the future contribution of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to sea level rise (Golledge et al., 2019), falling near the high end of the range of future model projections (Seroussi et al., 2020). Indeed, ice sheet intercomparisons have demonstrated that marine ice sheet models which apply ocean melt to elements which
- 575 are partially grounded include such "effective" intrusion-indued basal melt on grounded ice are consistently more sensitive to ocean forcing than models in which no melt is applied to these elements (increasing the Antarctic contribution to sea level rise by 50-100%; Seroussi et al., 2019). Other ice sheet dynamical mechanisms (particularly those controlling the rate of ice loss from the ice-ocean interface) have also been incorporated into models as a way of explaining the higher marine ice sheet sensitivity to climate change implied by Pliocene sea level (e.g., DeConto and Pollard, 2016). Seawater intrusion would appear
- 580 to have a similar degree of support as these other sensitivity-boosting mechanisms both from fundamental theory and contemporary glaciological observations. The potential role of seawater intrusion in explaining past high sea levels and substantially increasing future projections of sea level rise suggests that this process deserves more attention through observational and modeling efforts to constrain and predict subglacial hydrology near the ice-ocean interface.

#### 5.2 Models of seawater intrusion

- 585 In this study, we develop reasonable approximations for the expected seawater intrusion distance over which submarine melt extends upstream of the grounding line, which can then be implemented (as shown in section 4) through an extension to grounding line melt parameterizations now common in modern ice sheet models (Seroussi et al., 2019). We also showed in theory showed that intrusion distance is sensitively dependent on the bed slope and subglacial hydrology. A more sophisticated treatment of seawater intrusion in an ice sheet model would dynamically calculate *L* at all grounding lines or termini, depending 590 on the local bed type (hard or soft), bed slope, and state of subglacial hydrology. While the first two dependencies are relatively
- straightforward to accomplish in most modelsUnfortunately, the extent of soft and hard beds is still not well-known below the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, most marine ice sheet models do not dynamically simulate subglacial hydrology, and those that do differ widely in the assumptions and equations used to describe evolving drainage (de Fleurian et al., 2018).

The theory in this study also presumes makes many assumptions in order to explore the generic importance and extent of seawater intrusion. One assumption is that the two laminar layers that experience drag at their interface and with the surrounding solid boundaries (ice, bed, clasts or till grains). Though these assumptions allow us to develop a theory for the seawater intrusion distance, there are conditions violating these simplifications that may be relevant in some realistic cases. Where Another important assumption is that subglacial discharge is sufficiently rapid that flow can no longer be considered subcritical (i.e. Fr > 1)then our theory no longer applies, and other considerations such as turbulent mixing and entrainment at the

- 600 layer interface are likely to become important, which permits the existence of layered subglacial flow. Though we posit that seawater intrusion could produce basal melt via a process such as double-diffusive convection, we do not model the sub-ice boundary layer in detail here. Additionally, compositional heterogeneities and flow from the ocean could further complicate our simplified assumptions of a uniformly salty body of seawater at rest. Transient evolution of the salt wedge from tidal pumping (as in Walker et al., 2013; Sayag and Worster, 2013) or evolution of subglacial hydrology through melting by seawater may also
- 605 complicate matters. Lateral heterogeneities in subglacial hydrology and other bed properties (e.g., channelization, bed slope) may lead to intrusive melt at some parts of the ice-ocean interface but not others. Over time, overall terminus or grounding line retreat rate may be paced by the highest local rates of As we show, the sensitivity of ice sheet evolution to intrusion-induced melt if ice sheet flow and calving act to prevent strong curvature in the grounding line or terminus. Ultimately, the potential melt is strongly sensitive to the extent of seawater intrusion and heat fluxes from the intrusion into grounded basal ice. The
- 610 potentially substantial importance of seawater intrusion in determining the response of marine ice sheets to ocean warming necessitates detailed considerations of all the complexities listed above. Future studies should utilize high-resolution twoand three-dimensional numerical modeling of the fluid and thermal transition between subglacial water flow (either through water sheets, channels or till) and the ocean circulation, potentially coupled to ice sheet models. This study should provide the motivation for future model development developments in this direction.

#### 615 5.3 Observations and experiments on seawater intrusion

Prior studies have found intriguing evidence of seawater intrusion in observations of grounding lines. MacGregor et al. (2011) observe no discernable change in the bed reflectivity in radar transects across the grounding lines at Whillans and Kamb ice streams, indicating a continuous water layer without a strong change in composition across this transition region (up to 10's of kilometers upstream). Though they ultimately attribute these observations to other factors (leaching from subglacial 620 sediments), they do consider the possibility that seawater intrusion may explain these observations (though ultimately dismiss this possibility due to the subglacial hydropotential gradient argument, discussed in section 2.1). Horgan et al. (2013) observe a subglacial channel across the grounding line of Whillans Ice Stream (at a different location from MacGregor et al. (2011)) using active seismic methods, and argue that the weak hydraulic potential and Drews et al. (2017) both observe subglacial channels across grounding lines in West and East Antarctica, and both argue that weak hydraulic potentials would suggest the potential for tidal pumping of seawater upstream of the grounding line as in an estuary. Such an observation is fully consistent with 625 the independent observations of MacGregor et al. (2011), and the "estuary-like" salt wedge described here and previously for channels in Wilson et al. (2020), though the theory does not require tides for seawater to intrude past the grounding line. Milillo et al. (2019) observed subglacial melt from satellite-based Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar kilometers upstream of the grounding line along channelized pathways. Though catchment-spanning seawater intrusion is likely ruled out by the lack of 630 seawater observed in boreholes drilled to the bed far upstream (100's of km) of the grounding line (Tulaczyk et al., 2014), there

are indications of high-salinity water far upstream of the grounding line in recent electromagnetic observations (Gustafson, 2020).

Interferometry, sounding radar, and seismic methods provide complementary observations of the ice-bed interface (e.g., bed reflectivity, melt rate, acoustic impedance). More recent advances in radar technology and processing (e.g., ApRES, full-

- 635 waveform inversion) and electromagnetic methods (Key and Siegfried, 2017) hold promise to better constrain the structure of subglacial water system (e.g., H), pore-water properties, the salinity of subglacial water, and basal melt rates at high resolution across the grounding line. Providing better constraints on the velocity of subglacial water flow from upstream ( $U_{in}$ ) in either till or subglacial water sheets would likely require either direct access through borehole drilling near the grounding line (as in Engelhardt and Kamb, 1997, but closer to the grounding line) (as in Engelhardt and Kamb, 1997, but closer to the grounding line)
- or model-based estimates of meltwater production upstream (Joughin et al., 2009; Pattyn, 2010; Carter and Fricker, 2012). Additionally, drag coefficients for a wider range of subglacial conditions and obstacle types can be better constrained with experimental techniques, though only limited efforts have been made in this direction (Prohaska, 2017). Ultimately, targeted efforts to observe measure seawater intrusion in the field and in laboratory experiments would provide important constraints on the theory developed in this study.

#### 645 6 Conclusions

The interface of ice, ocean, and the solid Earth plays host to a complex array of processes that drive much of the changes observed at marine-terminating glaciersmarine ice sheets. This study considers one such process, the intrusion of seawater under grounded ice. We extend the theory of layered seawater intrusion under grounded ice developed for channels by Wilson et al. (2020), to a generalized theory for seawater intrusion under grounded ice in a wide range of many possible subglacial

- 650 hydrological systems. We find that there is a wide range of seawater intrusion distances predicted by this generalized theory, which includes cases with effectively no intrusion, but also up to tens of kilometers of intrusion (and potentially even further) in macroporous water sheets (or channels) over impermeable beds. Seawater intrusion is generally negligible through microporous till layers, but under the rights right circumstances (steep reverse bed slopes, high hydraulic conductivity) till can support substantial intrusion, analogous to seawater intrusion in porous coastal aquifers. Seawater intrusion may also melt Critically, if
- 655 <u>seawater intrusion melts</u> grounded ice, which can more than double there can be a substantial increase in the rate of transient ice loss from marine ice sheets<del>under the right circumstances</del>. This finding is in line with previous studies which have found strong sensitivity of the projected contribution of marine ice sheets to future sea level rise to the numerical treatment of basal melt just upstream of grounding lines in ice sheet models (Seroussi et al., 2019).
- This study demonstrates that seawater intrusion under grounded ice is theoretically possible (even expected) and has substantial implications for projections of future sea level rise from marine ice sheet retreat under climate change. To determine whether seawater intrusion actually occurs in reality, targeted observational campaigns and experiments are needed to investigate the subglacial hydrology and melt rates near grounding lines and glacier termini. Additionally, the sensitivity of sea level projections to uncertainties in intrusion-induced melt should be incorporated deliberately tested in a deliberate fashion (rather than as a numerical artifact)in ice sheet models used for sea level projections. High resolution experimental and numerical ap-
- proaches are also needed to understand the potential role of turbulence, tides and other important processes in either supporting

or suppressing seawater intrusion. Though seawater intrusion has thus far been understudied as a potential driver of ice sheet changes, we hope that synthesizing theoretical and modeling approaches to intrusion will catalyze future efforts to better under this elusive process.

Code availability. All Python and MATLAB scripts used to produce the figures in this manuscript are freely available in a public GitHub
 repository: https://github.com/aarobel/IntrusionUnderIceSheets. The results of sections 2-3 can also be reproduced interactively in the cloud using the binderized Jupyter notebook: https://mybinder.org/v2/gh/aarobel/IntrusionUnderIceSheets/master?filepath=SeawaterIntrusionUnderIce.ipynb. The ISSM software package is publicly available for download from https://issm.jpl.nasa.gov/.

#### Appendix A: Numerical solutions for seawater intrusion distance on hard beds

In this study, we present various analytic approximations in certain limits for the seawater intrusion distance. The seawater intrusion distance is defined as the location where h(x) = 1 governed by the ordinary differential equation

$$\left(Fr^2 - 1\right)\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = Fr^2 \left[\widetilde{C}_i(1-h)^{-1} + \widetilde{C}_d(1+\gamma h)\right] - \Theta \tag{A1}$$

where  $Fr = Fr_0 h^{-3/2}$  and we have a single boundary condition  $h(x = 0) = Fr_0^{2/3}$ . This problem is an initial value problem where the unknown is the value of x at which a certain criteria is satisfied. Therefore, it is simple enough to integrate the above equation numerically, starting from x = 0 and continuing to march towards lowers value of x until the criteria is satisfied.

680

However, there is one aspect of this problem which requires care in the marching scheme, namely that Fr(x=0) = 1introduces a singularity in dh/dx. Though there are sophisticated ways to handle such an issue analytically, to facilitate a straightforward numerical solution, we simply set  $Fr(x=0) = 1 - \sqrt{\epsilon}$  where  $\epsilon = 10^{-16}$  is a machine-precision perturbation to the Froude number, and use a variable resolution marching scheme, where

$$dx = \epsilon + (dx_0 - \epsilon) \tanh\left[\left(\frac{dh}{dx}\right)^{-1}\right],\tag{A2}$$

685 where  $dx_0$  is a larger step size (we use 0.1). This approach will ensure this method accurately captures the boundary layer near x = 0 where h changes rapidly and the step size needs to be quite small, while using a more computationally efficient large step size  $dx_0$  away from the boundary layer where h is changing more slowly.

*Author contributions.* AAR and EW conceived the study based on EW's prior work. AAR and HS modified ISSM and AAR conducted the ISSM simulations. AAR wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

690 Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.* Thanks to Winnie Chu, Matthew Siegfried, Samer Naif, Joyce Sim, Jeremy Bassis, Peter Washam, Chris Chungkei Lai and Vincent Verjans for helpful discussions during the completion of this work. Computing resources were provided by the Partnership for an Advanced Computing Environment (PACE) at the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta. We are thankful for PACE Research Scientist Fang (Cherry) Liu's assistance on HPC challenges.

#### 695 References

- Adams, E. E., Sahoo, D., Liro, C. R., and Zhang, X.: Hydraulics of seawater purging in tunneled wastewater outfall, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 120, 209–226, 1994.
- Arthern, R. J. and Williams, C. R.: The sensitivity of West Antarctica to the submarine melting feedback, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2352–2359, 2017.
- 700 Asay-Davis, X. S., Cornford, S. L., Durand, G., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gladstone, R. M., Gudmundsson, G. H., Hattermann, T., Holland, D. M., Holland, D., Holland, P. R., et al.: Experimental design for three interrelated marine ice sheet and ocean model intercomparison projects: MISMIP v. 3 (MISMIP+), ISOMIP v. 2 (ISOMIP+) and MISOMIP v. 1 (MISOMIP1), Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 2471–2497, 2016.
  - Begeman, C. B., Tulaczyk, S. M., Marsh, O. J., Mikucki, J. A., Stanton, T. P., Hodson, T. O., Siegfried, M. R., Powell, R. D., Christianson,
- 705 K., and King, M. A.: Ocean stratification and low melt rates at the Ross Ice Shelf grounding zone, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123, 7438–7452, 2018.
  - Bevan, S. L., Luckman, A. J., Benn, D. I., Adusumilli, S., and Crawford, A.: Brief Communication: Thwaites Glacier cavity evolution, The Cryosphere Discussions, pp. 1–12, 2021.

Carter, S. and Fricker, H.: The supply of subglacial meltwater to the grounding line of the Siple Coast, West Antarctica, Annals of Glaciology,

```
710 53, 267–280, 2012.
```

Clarke, G. K.: Subglacial processes, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 33, 247–276, 2005.

- Cornford, S. L., Seroussi, H., Asay-Davis, X. S., Gudmundsson, G. H., Arthern, R., Borstad, C., Christmann, J., Dias dos Santos, T., Feldmann, J., Goldberg, D., et al.: Results of the third Marine Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project (MISMIP+), The Cryosphere, 14, 2283–2301, 2020.
- 715 Creyts, T. T. and Schoof, C. G.: Drainage through subglacial water sheets, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 114, 2009.Cuffey, K. and Paterson, W.: The Physics of Glaciers, Pergamon, 3rd edn., 2010.
  - de Fleurian, B., Werder, M. A., Beyer, S., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Delaney, I., Dow, C. F., Downs, J., Gagliardini, O., Hoffman, M. J., Hooke, R. L., et al.: SHMIP The subglacial hydrology model intercomparison Project, Journal of Glaciology, 64, 897–916, 2018.

DeConto, R. M. and Pollard, D.: Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise, Nature, 531, 591–597, 2016.

- 720 Dermissis, V.: Seawater intrusion in submarine karst channel of rectangular cross-section, WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, 2, 1993.
  - Drews, R., Pattyn, F., Hewitt, I., Ng, F., Berger, S., Matsuoka, K., Helm, V., Bergeot, N., Favier, L., and Neckel, N.: Actively evolving subglacial conduits and eskers initiate ice shelf channels at an Antarctic grounding line, Nature communications, 8, 1–10, 2017.

Dupuit, J.: Études théoriques et pratiques sur le mouvement des eaux dans les canaux découverts et à travers les terrains perméabls: avec

- 725 des considérations relatives au régime des grandes eaux, au débouché à leur donner, et à la marche des alluvions dans les rivières à fond mobile, Dunod, 1863.
  - Dutton, A., Carlson, A., Long, A., Milne, G., Clark, P., DeConto, R., Horton, B., Rahmstorf, S., and Raymo, M.: Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss during past warm periods, Science, 349, aaa4019, 2015.

# Engelhardt, H. and Kamb, B.: Basal hydraulic system of a West Antarctic ice stream: constraints from borehole observations, Journal of Glaciology., 43, 207–230, 1997.

29

- Engelhardt, H., Humphrey, N., Kamb, B., and Fahnestock, M.: Physical conditions at the base of a fast moving Antarctic ice stream, Science, 248, 57–59, 1990.
- Ezhova, E., Cenedese, C., and Brandt, L.: Dynamics of three-dimensional turbulent wall plumes and implications for estimates of submarine glacier melting, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 48, 1941–1950, 2018.
- 735 Forchheimer, P.: Über die Ergiebigkeit von Brunnen-Anlagen und Sickerschlitzen [On the yield of well fields and drainage trenches], Zeitschrift des Architekten-und Ingenieur-Vereins zu Hannover, 32, 540–564, 1886.

Freeze, R. A. and Cherry, J. A.: Groundwater, Prentice Hall, 1979.

- Geyer, W. and Ralston, D.: 2.03—The dynamics of strongly stratified estuaries, Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 37–52, 2011.
- 740 Ghyben, B. W.: Nota in verband met de voorgenomen putboring nabij, Amsterdam, The Hague, 21, 1888. Goldberg, D., Gourmelen, N., Kimura, S., Millan, R., and Snow, K.: How accurately should we model ice shelf melt rates?, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 189–199, 2019.
  - Golledge, N. R., Thomas, Z. A., Levy, R. H., Gasson, E. G., Naish, T. R., McKay, R. M., Kowalewski, D. E., and Fogwill, C. J.: Antarctic climate and ice-sheet configuration during the early Pliocene interglacial at 4.23 Ma, Climate of the Past, 13, 959–975, 2017.
- 745 Golledge, N. R., Keller, E. D., Gomez, N., Naughten, K. A., Bernales, J., Trusel, L. D., and Edwards, T. L.: Global environmental consequences of twenty-first-century ice-sheet melt, Nature, 566, 65–72, 2019.

Hales, T. C.: A proof of the Kepler conjecture, Annals of mathematics, 162, 1065–1185, 2005.

Herzberg, A.: Die wasserversorgung einiger Nordseebader, J. Gasbeleucht. Wasserversorg., 44, 842–844, 1901.
Hewitt, I.: Modelling distributed and channelized subglacial drainage: the spacing of channels, Journal of Glaciology., 57, 302–314, 2011.
Horgan, H. J., Alley, R. B., Christianson, K., Jacobel, R. W., Anandakrishnan, S., Muto, A., Beem, L. H., and Siegfried, M. R.: Estuaries beneath ice sheets, Geology, 41, 1159–1162, 2013.

Jenkins, A.: A one-dimensional model of ice shelf-ocean interaction, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 96, 20671-20677, 1991.

- 755 Joughin, I., Tulaczyk, S., Bamber, J. L., Blankenship, D., Holt, J. W., Scambos, T., and Vaughan, D. G.: Basal conditions for Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers, West Antarctica, determined using satellite and airborne data, Journal of Glaciology, 55, 245–257, 2009.
  - Key, K. and Siegfried, M. R.: The feasibility of imaging subglacial hydrology beneath ice streams with ground-based electromagnetics, Journal of Glaciology, 63, 755–771, 2017.

Kimura, S., Nicholls, K. W., and Venables, E.: Estimation of ice shelf melt rate in the presence of a thermohaline staircase, Journal of Physical

760 Oceanography, 45, 133–148, 2015.

- Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., and Rignot, E.: Continental scale, high order, high spatial resolution, ice sheet modeling using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM), Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117, 2012.
- Lenaerts, J., Van den Broeke, M., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., and Kuipers Munneke, P.: A new, high-resolution surface mass
  balance map of Antarctica (1979–2010) based on regional atmospheric climate modeling, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 2012.
  - Lu, C., Xin, P., Kong, J., Li, L., and Luo, J.: Analytical solutions of seawater intrusion in sloping confined and unconfined coastal aquifers, Water Resources Research, 52, 6989–7004, 2016.

Gustafson, C. D.: Electromagnetic Investigations of Submarine and Subglacial Hydrogeologic Systems, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, Palisades, NY, 2020.

Kunze, E.: A review of oceanic salt-fingering theory, Progress in Oceanography, 56, 399–417, 2003.

Lu, P., Li, Z., Cheng, B., and Leppäranta, M.: A parameterization of the ice-ocean drag coefficient, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116, 2011.

- 770 MacCready, P. and Geyer, W. R.: Advances in estuarine physics, Annual Review of Marine Science, 2, 35–58, 2010. MacGregor, J. A., Anandakrishnan, S., Catania, G. A., and Winebrenner, D. P.: The grounding zone of the Ross Ice Shelf, West Antarctica, from ice-penetrating radar, Journal of Glaciology, 57, 917–928, 2011.
  - Martin, S. and Kauffman, P.: An experimental and theoretical study of the turbulent and laminar convection generated under a horizontal ice sheet floating on warm salty water, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 7, 272–283, 1977.
- 775 Milillo, P., Rignot, E., Rizzoli, P., Scheuchl, B., Mouginot, J., Bueso-Bello, J., and Prats-Iraola, P.: Heterogeneous retreat and ice melt of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, Science advances, 5, eaau3433, 2019.
  - Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., Catania, G., Chauché, N., Dowdeswell, J. A., Dorschel, B., et al.: BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from multibeam echo sounding combined with mass conservation, Geophysical research letters, 44, 11–051, 2017.
- 780 Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Binder, T., Blankenship, D., Drews, R., Eagles, G., Eisen, O., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fretwell, P., et al.: Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet, Nature Geoscience, 13, 132–137, 2020.

Nakayama, Y., Manucharyan, G., Zhang, H., Dutrieux, P., Torres, H. S., Klein, P., Seroussi, H., Schodlok, M., Rignot, E., and Menemenlis,D.: Pathways of ocean heat towards Pine Island and Thwaites grounding lines, Scientific reports, 9, 1–9, 2019.

Nye, J.: Water flow in glaciers; jökulhlaups, tunnels and veins, Journal of Glaciology., 17, 181–207, 1976.

Parizek, B., Christianson, K., Anandakrishnan, S., Alley, R., Walker, R., Edwards, R., Wolfe, D., Bertini, G., Rinehart, S., Bindschadler, R., et al.: Dynamic (in) stability of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118, 638–655, 2013.
 Pattyn, F.: Antarctic subglacial conditions inferred from a hybrid ice sheet/ice stream model, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 295, 451–461, 2010.

Prohaska, Y.: Laboratory Experiment of Subglacial Drainage: Prototype Development, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zurich, 2017.

- 790 Ralston, D. K., Geyer, W. R., and Lerczak, J. A.: Structure, variability, and salt flux in a strongly forced salt wedge estuary, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 115, 2010.
  - Reese, R., Gudmundsson, G. H., Levermann, A., and Winkelmann, R.: The far reach of ice-shelf thinning in Antarctica, Nature Climate Change, 8, 53–57, 2018.
  - Robel, A. A., Seroussi, H., and Roe, G. H.: Marine ice sheet instability amplifies and skews uncertainty in projections of future sea-level rise,
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 14887–14892, 2019.
  - Rominger, J. T. and Nepf, H. M.: Flow adjustment and interior flow associated with a rectangular porous obstruction, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 680, 636–659, 2011.
    - Rosevear, M. G., Gayen, B., and Galton-Fenzi, B. K.: The role of double-diffusive convection in basal melting of Antarctic ice shelves, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118, 2021.
- Ross, N., Bingham, R. G., Corr, H. F., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T. A., Le Brocq, A., Rippin, D. M., Young, D., Blankenship, D. D., and Siegert, M. J.: Steep reverse bed slope at the grounding line of the Weddell Sea sector in West Antarctica, Nature Geoscience, 5, 393–396, 2012.
   Rothlisberger, H.: Water pressure in intra- and subglacial channels, Journal of Glaciology., 11, 172–203, 1972.
  - Sayag, R. and Worster, M. G.: Elastic dynamics and tidal migration of grounding lines modify subglacial lubrication and melting, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 5877–5881, 2013.

- 805 Schroeder, D. M., Blankenship, D. D., and Young, D. A.: Evidence for a water system transition beneath Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 12 225–12 228, 2013.
  - Schroeder, D. M., Grima, C., and Blankenship, D. D.: Evidence for variable grounding-zone and shear-margin basal conditions across Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, Geophysics, 81, WA35–WA43, 2016.
  - Seroussi, H. and Morlighem, M.: Representation of basal melting at the grounding line in ice flow models, The Cryosphere, 12, 3085–3096, 2018.
  - Seroussi, H., Nakayama, Y., Larour, E., Menemenlis, D., Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., and Khazendar, A.: Continued retreat of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, controlled by bed topography and ocean circulation, Geophysical Research Letters, 2017.
  - Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Simon, E., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albrecht, T., Brondex, J., Cornford, S., Dumas, C., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Goelzer, H., et al.: initMIP-Antarctica: an ice sheet model initialization experiment of ISMIP6, The Cryosphere, 13, 1441–1471, 2019.
- 815 Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Goelzer, H., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Albrecht, T., Asay-Davis, X., Barthel, A., Calov, R., Cullather, R., Dumas, C., Gladstone, R., Golledge, N., Gregory, J. M., Greve, R., Hatterman, T., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Huybrechts, P., Jourdain, N. C., Kleiner, T., Larour, E., Leguy, G. R., Lowry, D. P., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Pattyn, F., Pelle, T., Price, S. F., Quiquet, A., Reese, R., Schlegel, N.-J., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Sun, S., Trusel, L. D., Van Breedam, J., van de Wal, R. S. W., Winkelmann, R., Zhao, C., Zhang, T., and Zwinger, T.: ISMIP6 Antarctica: a multi-model ensemble of the Antarctic
- ice sheet evolution over the 21<sup>st</sup> century, The Cryosphere Discussions, 2020, 1–54, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-324, 2020.
   Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Rignot, E., Smith, B., van den Broeke, M., Velicogna, I., Whitehouse, P., Briggs, K., Joughin, I., Krinner, G., et al.:

Mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2017, Nature, 556, 219-222, 2018.

810

- Shi, L., Cui, L., Park, N., and Huyakorn, P. S.: Applicability of a sharp-interface model for estimating steady-state salinity at pumping wells—validation against sand tank experiments, Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 124, 35–42, 2011.
- 825 Strack, O.: A single-potential solution for regional interface problems in coastal aquifers, Water Resources Research, 12, 1165–1174, 1976. Straneo, F. and Heimbach, P.: North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's outlet glaciers, Nature, 504, 36–43, 2013.
  - Tulaczyk, S., Mikucki, J. A., Siegfried, M. R., Priscu, J. C., Barcheck, C. G., Beem, L. H., Behar, A., Burnett, J., Christner, B. C., Fisher, A. T., et al.: WISSARD at Subglacial Lake Whillans, West Antarctica: scientific operations and initial observations, Annals of Glaciology, 55, 51–58, 2014.
- 830 Turner, J. and Veronis, G.: The influence of double-diffusive processes on the melting of ice in the Arctic Ocean: laboratory analogue experiments and their interpretation, Journal of marine systems, 45, 21–37, 2004.
  - van der Boog, C. G., Dijkstra, H. A., Pietrzak, J. D., and Katsman, C. A.: Double-diffusive mixing makes a small contribution to the global ocean circulation, Communications Earth & Environment, 2, 1–9, 2021.
- Wåhlin, A., Graham, A., Hogan, K., Queste, B., Boehme, L., Larter, R., Pettit, E., Wellner, J., and Heywood, K.: Pathways and modification
  of warm water flowing beneath Thwaites Ice Shelf, West Antarctica, Science Advances, 7, eabd7254, 2021.
  - Walker, R. T., Parizek, B. R., Alley, R. B., Anandakrishnan, S., Riverman, K. L., and Christianson, K.: Ice-shelf tidal flexure and subglacial pressure variations, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 361, 422–428, 2013.
    - Warburton, K., Hewitt, D., and Neufeld, J.: Tidal Grounding-Line Migration Modulated by Subglacial Hydrology, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL089 088, 2020.
- 840 Werner, A. D., Bakker, M., Post, V. E., Vandenbohede, A., Lu, C., Ataie-Ashtiani, B., Simmons, C. T., and Barry, D. A.: Seawater intrusion processes, investigation and management: recent advances and future challenges, Advances in water resources, 51, 3–26, 2013.

Wilson, E. A., Wells, A. J., Hewitt, I. J., and Cenedese, C.: The dynamics of a subglacial salt wedge, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 895, Art-No, 2020.