Dr Alex Burton-Johnson British Antarctic Survey Natural Environment Research Council High Cross, Madingley Road Cambridge CB3 0ET

E-mail: alerto@bas.ac.uk

Dear Alexander Robinson,

Thank you for reviewing our revisions as Editor. We have addressed your point regarding terminology and include our comments and the manuscript with track changes below.

All the best,

The Ð

Dr Alex Burton-Johnson

Editor Decision: Publish subject to minor revisions (review by editor) (19 Aug 2020) by Alexander Robinson

Comments to the Author:

The reviewer comments have been thoroughly addressed, so I believe the manuscript is near-ready for publication. At the moment, I would only suggest the following minor further revision below.

Heat flow versus heat flux (response to Brice Van Liefferinge): It is now clear why you use the term heat flow throughout, which you have justified well. To increase the chances of broad adaption of the 'correct' term, I would suggest adding a footnote, or comment when the term is introduced that explicitly states why you use heat flow. This will hopefully help to encourage others to adapt it in the future.

- A paragraph has been added as a new section (1.2.) discussing the terminology and the justifying the use of "flow" rather than "flux", as in the reply to Reviewer Brice Van Liefferinge.

1 Geothermal heat flow in Antarctica: current and future

2 directions

3 Alex Burton-Johnson¹, Ricarda Dziadek², and Carlos Martin¹

4 ¹British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0ET, UK

²Alfred Wegener Institute - Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Alten Hafen, Bremerhaven,
 Germany

7 Correspondence to: Alex Burton-Johnson (alerto@bas.ac.uk)

8 1. Abstract

21

1

9 Antarctic geothermal heat flow (GHF) affects the temperature of the ice sheet, determining its ability to slide and internally deform, as well as the behaviour of the continental crust. However, GHF remains poorly constrained, 10 11with few and sparse local, borehole-derived estimates, and large discrepancies in the magnitude and distribution of existing continent-scale estimates from geophysical models. We review the methods to estimate GHF, 12 13 discussing the strengths and limitations of each approach, compile borehole and probe-derived estimates from 14 measured temperature profiles, and recommend the following future directions: 1) Obtain more borehole-derived 15 estimates from the subglacial bedrock and englacial temperature profiles. 2) Estimate GHF from inverse 16 glaciological modelling, constrained by evidence for basal melting and englacial temperatures (e.g. using 17 microwave emissivity). 3) Revise geophysically-derived GHF estimates using a combination of Curie depth, 18 seismic, and thermal isostasy models. 4) Integrate in these geophysical approaches a more accurate model of the 19 structure and distribution of heat production elements within the crust, and considering heterogeneities in the 20underlying mantle. And 5) continue international interdisciplinary communication and data access.

Deleted: extract

 Deleted:
 2) Estimate GHF beneath the interior of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (the region most sensitive to GHF variation) via long-wavelength microwave emissivity.

 Deleted:
 4

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 6

30 1. Introduction

31 The Antarctic ice sheet is the world's largest potential driver of sea level rise, and accurately modelling its 32 dynamics relies, amongst others, on constraining conditions at the ice-bedrock interface. Measuring these basal 33 conditions is inherently challenging and, of all the parameters affecting ice sheet dynamics, subglacial geothermal heat flow (GHF) is one of the least constrained (Larour et al., 2012; Llubes et al., 2006). Despite this uncertainty, 34 35 GHF affects (1) ice temperature and, as a consequence, ice mechanical properties (rheology), (2) basal melting 36 and sliding, and (3) the development of unconsolidated water-saturated sediments; all of which can promote ice 37 flow (Greve and Hutter, 1995; Larour et al., 2012; Siegert, 2000; Winsborrow et al., 2010). Beyond ice dynamics, 38 our knowledge of GHF allows us to model past and present basal melt rates in our exploration for old ice core 39 climate records (Van Liefferinge et al., 2018), constrain models of glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA; van der Wal 40 et al., 2013, 2015), and inform on the geological and tectonic development of Antarctica (McKenzie et al., 2005).

41 In recognition of the ambiguity and importance of Antarctic GHF, an increasing number of studies in geology, 42 geophysics, and glaciology have sought to constrain this parameter, with a developing dedicated multinational 43 interdisciplinary community (Burton-Johnson et al., 2019; Halpin and Reading, 2018). However, with an 44 expanding research base and a requirement for multidisciplinary science, the necessity for a multidisciplinary 45 review of current approaches and future directions was highlighted by the GHF sub-group of SERCE (Solid Earth 46 Response and influence on Cryospheric Evolution) and the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR) 47 (Burton-Johnson et al., 2019). This paper also provides the background material for a SCAR-commissioned White 48 Paper on future research directions (Burton-Johnson et al., 2020).

49 1.1. What is geothermal heat flow (GHF)?

50 GHF describes the transport of heat energy from the interior of the Earth to the surface (Gutenberg, 1959; Pollack 51 et al., 1993). This heat originates from two primary sources: 1) The primordial heat remaining from the formation of the Earth, when the kinetic energy of celestial collisions was transformed into heat energy; and 2) the 52 radioactive decay of heat-producing elements (HPEs) and their isotopes; 98% of which is derived from Uranium, 53 Thorium, and Potassium (Beardsmore and Cull, 2001; Lowrie, 2007). The HPEs are incompatible with the mineral 54 structures of the mantle, so are concentrated into the crust (Boden, 2016; McDonough and Sun, 1995). Other 55 sources of possible contributions to GHF are: 1) geoneutrino emission from the mantle (Huang et al., 2013; 56 Korenaga, 2011), and 2) gravitational pressure (Elbeze, 2013; Morgan et al., 2016). 57

58 The estimated average heat flow of continental crust is 67.1 mW m⁻², whilst for oceanic crust it is 78.8 mW m⁻² 59 (Lucazeau, 2019; although estimates vary according to sampling strategy and the number of observations). The 60 difference between continental and oceanic heat flow reflects the smaller thickness of oceanic crust, with hot 61 mantle rocks at comparatively shallow depths. Continental GHF varies significantly, primarily in response to 62 variations in crustal heat production, age, composition, tectonic history, and thickness of crust and mantle 63 (Mareschal and Jaupart, 2013). This results from the geological complexity of composite continental crust 64 compared with oceanic crust. GHF is generally lower in stable crust away from convergent and divergent 65 continental margins and rift basins, and higher in these magmatically active provinces (Lucazeau, 2019; Pollack et al., 1993). On a broad regional scale, continental GHF correlates negatively with age, allowing first order 66 empirical estimation of Antarctic GHF based on its range of crustal ages (Fig. 1; Llubes et al., 2006; Sclater et al., 67

Deleted: lower

- 69 1980). However, Antarctic crustal heat production estimates show high variability across sampled age ranges
- 70 (Gard et al., 2019), with lithology and tectonic setting being important controls on the heat production distribution
- 71 (Carson et al., 2014; Halpin et al., 2019).



72

Fig. 1. Empirical estimation of GHF based on generalised Antarctic crustal ages and mean global GHF values of
 continental crust of similar age (adapted from Llubes et al., 2006). Basemap bathymetry from ETOPO1 (Amante and
 Eakins, 2009).

The rate of heat flow, Q, can be approximated by the Fourier's Law (Baron Fourier, 1822). In the simple model of a homogenous material with a constant thermal gradient, this equates to:

78

(1)

$$Q = -\kappa \, \partial T / \partial z$$

79

80 Where *Q* has the units mW m⁻² (i.e. power per unit area); *T* is the temperature (K), *z* is the vertical distance (m); 81 and κ is the thermal conductivity of the material (mW m⁻¹ K⁻¹). When considering the basal conditions of the 82 Antarctic ice sheet, we are interested in the heat flow at bedrock surface. We also need to consider internal heat 83 production, *A* (μ W m⁻³). For a simple case of constant thermal conductivity and heat production, surface heat flow 84 can be described by:

85

86

(2)

$$Q = \kappa_d [\partial T / \partial z]_d + \int A(z) \delta z$$

Where the integral is measured from the surface to a depth, $d_{(equation 1.13 in Beardsmore and Cull, 2001)}$.

We would like to highlight here that most methods to estimate GHF derive it from the temperature gradient, as in
 Equations 1 and 2. However, these equations are a simplification, as temperature variation over time, surface
 topography, internal heat production, and variation in the properties of the material all affect the observed
 temperature gradient.

Deleted: $Q = \lambda_d \P$

Field Code Changed

93 <u>1.2. A note on terminology: Heat "Flow" vs Heat "Flux"</u>

94 In the scientific literature, heat "flow" and heat "flux" are used interchangeably. The consensus from the SCAR-

95 <u>SERCE White Paper authorship (Burton-Johnson et al., 2020) is that "flow" is the correct terminology. "Heat</u>

96 flow" is not limited to the movement of material, but the mechanism of heat transfer (dominantly by conduction

97 when near the Earth's surface). Although the two terms are used interchangeably, heat "flow" has been established

98 for decades to describe the rate of heat transferred across the surface of Earth per unit area, is the term used by the

99 International Heat Flow Commission, and is thus the term used here. We recommend adopting this term in

preference in the future, although the most important consideration is to state the correct units (mW m_{1}^{2}).

101 2. Motivation: What is the importance of GHF in Antarctica?

102 2.1. Glaciology

GHF <u>can</u> strongly influence, the basal temperature of the ice sheet. As a consequence, it is a key contributor to
 basal meltwater production, ice rheology, basal friction, <u>basal</u> sliding velocity, and erosion (Fahnestock et al.,

105 2001; Goelzer et al., 2017; Hughes, 2009).

106 The heat budget at the base of an ice sheet can be described (Vieli et al., 2018):

107 $Q_g + Q_s + Q_w + Q_p + Q_f + Q_c = 0$

108 (3)

109 Where Q_g is the GHF, Q_s is the heat generated by sliding, Q_w is the heat generated by subglacial water flow, Q_p 110 is the heat required to maintain the flowing water at pressure melting point, and Q_f is the heat released by freezing 111 or used by melting; and Q_c is the heat conducted away in the ice towards the ice surface. Of the positive 112 contributions to basal heat, that generated by sliding (Q_s) can be orders of magnitude greater than that from GHF 113 (Q_g) , but in slow flowing areas Q_s is negligible and GHF plays a key role in the heat budget (Larour et al., 2012; 114 Pittard et al., 2016a).

11	15	To illustrate this point, Llubes et al. (2006) modelled a 20 mW m^{-2} increase in GHF across the Antarctic continent
11	16	(from uniform values of 40 to 60 mW m ⁻²). This resulted in a 6°C increase in the mean basal temperature, from τ_{-}
11	17	$13^{\circ}C$ to $-7^{\circ}C$, and expanded the proportion of the basal ice area above the pressure melting point (PMP) from
11	18	<u>16% to more than 50%</u> . This variation directly affects the basal melt rates, with a uniform 40 mW m ⁻² generating
11	19	$6.7 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of basal melting across Antarctica, whilst 60 mW m ⁻² would generate 18 km ³ yr ⁻¹ . However, unlike
12	20	the GHF values used, the resultant basal temperature variation is non-uniform: <u>Although</u> the two heat flow models
12	21	produce only a few $^{\circ}C$ difference in basal temperature near the coast, they generate up to 15 $^{\circ}C$ difference in
12	22	central East Antarctica. This is because horizontal advection and frictional basal heating are negligible beneath
12	23	the thick, slow moving ice of East Antarctica, and surface temperatures have a reduced effect on basal conditions
12	24	(Llubes et al., 2006; Pollard et al., 2005), In these regions of thick ice, the increased pressure brings the basal ice
12	25	temperature closer to its PMP (Pollard et al., 2005), and the thicker ice has a greater insulating effect. Although
12	26	the effect of pressure of basal temperature is much smaller than surface temperature variation, in areas where of
12	27	thick ice where the basal temperature is close to the PMP, even small variation in GHF can determine, whether

Formatted: Heading 2

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: s
Deleted: temperature

Deleted: -7°C to

Deleted:	
Deleted: Whilst	
Deleted: Also, i	
Deleted: pressure melting poin	t
Deleted: PMP;	
Deleted: V	
Deleted: thus	
Deleted: s	

basal melting occurs, <u>This has</u>, a resultant effect on the basal friction and sliding of the ice sheet (Pollard et al.,
2005). In addition, the increased ice temperature makes it more susceptible to internal deformation, which also
enhances its ability to flow (Llubes et al., 2006).

142 Even beneath the comparatively thinner ice of West Antarctica, the sensitivity of basal temperature to heat flow

is enhanced (Llubes et al., 2006). There is evidence that this region, dominated tectonically by the West Antarctic
Rift System (Jordan et al., 2020), exhibits very high values of basal heat flow and resultant basal melting

145 (Schroeder et al., 2014). Above 85 mW m⁻², the basal temperature of much of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet will

pass its pressure melting point (in agreement with radar evidence for extensive basal melting; Llubes et al., 2006;

147 Rémy and Legresy, 2004; Schroeder et al., 2014). Consequently, enhanced basal heat flow in West Antarctica can

148 have a large effect on its basal melt rates, although the thinner ice sheet in West Antarctica compared to East

149 Antarctica makes it more sensitive to surface parameters (advection and conduction of the surface temperature,

150 <u>itself influenced by the accumulation rate;</u> Llubes et al., 2006).

151 In addition to enhancing basal melting and reducing basal friction, increased GHF enhances ice flow by increasing

152 the englacial temperature and thus reducing the ice stiffness (Larour et al., 2012). Because the heat produced by

basal friction and viscous deformation <u>can be</u> orders of magnitude greater than from GHF in fast-flowing ice

154 streams, this effect is only significant in upstream, slow-flowing areas (Larour et al., 2012). In these regions of

thick, slow-flowing ice, even local high heat flow anomalies of insufficient heat for basal melting can result in the

156 development of accelerated, channelised flow for hundreds of kilometres upstream and downstream of the GHF

anomaly through the effect of GHF on the ice rheology (Pittard et al., 2016a). Regions along ice divides and

adjacent to ice streams are particularly sensitive to enhanced GHF (Pittard et al., 2016b).

159 Whilst the points above highlight the necessity of estimating Antarctic GHF, it is very important that the accuracy of these estimates can be verified. The impact of inaccurate GHF constraints on models of ice sheet dynamics 160 have been shown by comparing GHF estimates for Greenland. Ice sheet modelling controlled by spatially variable 161 162 GHF forcing reproduces the observed state to only a limited degree, and fails to reproduce either the topography or the low basal temperatures measured in southern Greenland (Rogozhina et al., 2012). Instead, an unrealistic 163 164 spatially uniform GHF forcing produces a considerably better fit. If the much larger Antarctic ice sheet is to be 165 accurately modelled, the accuracy of the GHF estimates used must be well constrained by multiple independent 166 methodologies, sensitivity tests, and comparison of different models.

Recently, there has been increasing interest in the exploration of suitable locations for coring Antarctica's oldest continuous ice record <u>(Fischer et al., 2013)</u>. This problem requires accurate knowledge of GHF, as basal melt rates limit the maximum possible age of recoverable ice (Van Liefferinge et al., 2018). Additionally, due to environmental concerns around possible drilling fluid contamination, frozen bed conditions are a prerequisite for deep coring operations <u>for recovery of the oldest ice records</u>.

172 2.2. Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA)

173 The temperature of the lithosphere and upper mantle are important parameters for modelling the isostatic response

to changes in the volume of the overlying ice sheet (i.e. glacial isostatic adjustment, GIA). This is because the(visco-)elastic properties of the lithosphere and mantle directly relate to its thermal properties (Chen et al., 2018;

Deleted: ,
Deleted: with

Deleted: accumulation and

Deleted: are

Kuchar and Milne, 2015). GIA is a critical component of the long-term evolution of ice sheets and could
 potentially stabilise retreating ice streams in submarine settings (Barletta et al., 2018; Kingslake et al., 2018). Of

particular importance here is that the temperature-dependant viscosity that controls GIA can be modelled using

183 surface heat flow estimates (van der Wal et al., 2013, 2015).

184 2.3. Geology and tectonics



Fig. 2. Basic illustration of a subduction zone at a convergent margin between oceanic and continental lithosphere toclarify the geological concepts and terms used in this paper.

188 2.3.1. Mantle dynamics

189 Heat flow variation and its isostatic effects (i.e. the buoyancy control on crustal elevation, resulting from the 190 different densities of the dense mantle and less dense overlying crust) provide evidence for mantle dynamics 191 beneath a continent. For example, high heat flow anomalies have been proposed as evidence for sub-lithospheric 192 heating by present and past mantle plumes (regional hot spots of warm mantle upwelling beneath the lithosphere; 193 e.g. Courtney and White, 1986; Martos et al., 2018), and the absence of enhanced heat flow where mantle ascent 194 is proposed has been used to argue against such processes (e.g. Stein and Stein, 2003). Also, because of the relationship between surface heat flow and isostatic elevation, heat flow studies can reveal thermal or 195 196 compositional variation of the sub-continental mantle, as a reduction in its density can increase the isostatic 197 elevation of the surface topography (Hasterok and Gard, 2016).

198 2.3.2. Development of the lithosphere

The thermal properties of the lithosphere control its response to tectonic deformation (e.g. Sandiford and Hand, 1998), such as the development of crustal shear zones and earthquakes. The lithosphere's thermal properties also affect the relative density of lithosphere and underlying mantle, and (as a result of this buoyancy effect) the isostatic surface elevation. This in turn influences the heights of Antarctica's mountain ranges and the depths of its sedimentary basins (McKenzie et al., 2005). For these reasons, understanding the continent's GHF will inform on the development of many of Antarctica's largest tectonic features. For example, the lithospheric extension of 205 the West Antarctic Rift System, the prominent elevation of the Transantarctic Mountains, the deep topographic

206 depression of the Wilkes subglacial basin, and the extensive Palmer Land Shear Zone of the Antarctic Peninsula.

207

Section	Method	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages +	Formatted Table
3.	Measured Temperature Gradients				
<u>3.1.</u>	Bedrock	GHF estimated from measured	- Local estimates of GHF	- Only point estimates.	
	boreholes	temperature gradient into bedrock boreholes.	derived directly from the bedrock.	 Affected by local variation. Requires drilling through the ice sheet and deep enough into the rock. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 0.21 cm
<u>3.2.</u>	Ice boreholes	Temperature gradient measured in ice	- Provides local estimates of	- The ice sheet must be frozen to the bed	Formatted: Font: 8 pt
		the basal temperature gradient or	without drilling into bedrock.	- Limited by modelling accuracy of the	
		models of the temperature profile.		 <u>rce sheet thermal history</u> <u>Hot water drilling requires 2 years for</u> thermal equilibration after drilling. 	
<u>3.3.</u>	Marine/onshore	GHF estimated from shallow (<10m)	- Faster acquisition than	- Requires deep water without long-	
	sediment temperature probes	temperature gradient measured using gravity-driven probes.	borehole estimates, as no drilling required.	period temperature variation.	
<u>4.</u>	Geophysical and	d geological methods			
4.1.	Magnetic-	Temperature gradient calculated by	- Allows continent-scale	- Assumes that the depth to the bottom	
	derived estimates	estimating the depth of the Curie isotherm from magnetic anomalies.	 estimates. Does not require models of 	of the magnetic source is temperature controlled (i.e. it represents the Curie	
			the crust and mantle structure.	isotherm), despite possible other	
				 <u>geological controls.</u> <u>Spatial resolution limited by altitude of</u> 	
				sensor and depth of magnetic source.	
<u>4.2.</u>	Seismic-derived estimates	Calculate GHF empirically or via forward modelling using the	 Allows continent-scale estimates. 	- Empirical estimates assume global comparison is valid.	
		relationship of mantle seismic	- Empirical models utilise well-	- Forward models assume mantle and	
		estimation of lithospheric thickness.	 <u>constrained regions.</u> Forward models estimate the 	- Limited spatial resolution.	
		•	geological source of GHF.		
<u>4.3.</u>	Gravity model- derived	Calculates GHF from models of crust and mantle structure derived from	 Allows large-scale GHF estimates. 	 Models are non-unique, requiring further constraints. 	
	estimates	gravity estimates of crustal thickness.	- Incorporates constraints on	- Assumes values of crustal and mantle	
4.4	Conjugate	Reconstruct the Gondwana	- Utilises regions where GHF is	- Poor constraints away from continental	
	margin-derived	supercontinent, interpolating Antarctic	better constrained.	margins.	
	estimates	GHF from better constrained adjacent continents.	 Should be most accurate around continental margins. 	 Affected by choice of input data and interpolation method. 	
<u>4.5.</u>	Isostatic	Calculates GHF from topography	- The topographical input is a	- Requires assumptions of crustal	
	elevation	using a compositional correction.	well constrained variable.	and thermophysical properties.	
				- Low spatial resolution.	
<u>4.6.</u>	Incorporating heterogeneous	Incorporating measurements of crustal heat production and models of	 A more realistic representation of the 	- Requires assumptions of the subglacial geology away from outcrops.	
	crustal	heterogeneous crustal structure into	geological sources of GHF.	- The 3D structure and composition of	
	compositions.	geophysical GHF models.	 Reflects the concentration of heat production in the crust. 	the crust and mantle is ambiguous.	
-	Clasiclogical m	othode			
<u>5.1.</u>	Subglacial water	Radar evidence for subglacial water	- Based on observable effects	- Requires accurate ice sheet thermal	
	-	used to model the required GHF	of GHF.	models.	
		and hydrology.		<u>appropriate topographic depressions.</u>	
<u>5.2.</u>	Subglacial lakes	Lakes identified by enhanced radar	- Based on observable effects	- Requires accurate ice sheet thermal	
		required for basal melting estimated	- Where the ice sheet is frozen	- Subglacial water only accumulates in	
		from ice sheet thermal models.	to the bed, maximum GHF	appropriate topographic depressions.	
<u>5.3.</u>	Englacial	Melt rates and required GHF	Based on observable effects	- Requires accurate data and	
	stratigraphy	calculated from englacial layers	of GHF.	interpolation from ice cores.	
		identified III fadar data.	anomalies.	models.	
<u>5.4.</u>	Microwave	Englacial temperatures modelled with	- Derives more extensive	- Only applicable to areas of thick, slow	
	emissivity	satellite-derived, temperature	englacial temperature profiles than can be achieved by horeholes	 <u>Howing ice.</u> <u>Method requires further validation.</u> 	
Tabla 1	Summour of	according to actimate CHE and the	borenoles.		
Table 1	. Summary OF	incursus is countait offer alle the	in section in the manuser pr	-	

209 3. GHF estimates from measured temperature gradients

Having highlighted the importance of constraining Antarctica's GHF, the following sections discuss current
approaches to its estimation. <u>The methods discussed are summarised in Table 1.</u>

212 Local heat flow estimates can be derived by measuring the temperature at various depths below the surface (either

in the bedrock, overlying sediments, or within the ice sheet) and deriving a temperature gradient. In Antarctica,
GHF has been derived through temperature measurements from boreholes into the bedrock or into the ice sheet,
and also from probes into unconsolidated sediments. It is important to recognise that these are "estimates" not
"measurements" of GHF, particularly when using them to verify the accuracy of geophysical or inverse GHF
estimates. This is because the measured thermal gradient can be affected by processes other than <u>GHF</u>, including
surface temperature variation and hydrothermal circulation. When evaluating a specific local estimate, its

derivation, local geology, and other regional GHF estimates must be considered. Thermal gradients and surface
 heat flow may vary significantly over 10 km lateral spatial resolutions (Carson et al., 2014) with variations in

- 221 geology (affecting heat production and conductivity; Carson et al., 2014; Hasterok and Chapman, 2011),
- 222 hydrothermal circulation (affecting local heat convection and redistribution; Fisher and Harris, 2010), and
- topography (affecting heat diffusion pathways to the surface; Bullard, 1938; Lees, 1910).

224 3.1. Boreholes into bedrock

225 The thermal gradient can be determined by measuring the temperature variation at different depths in the crust.

- 226 Away from Antarctica, these measurements are from boreholes (commonly those drilled for mineral or
- 227 hydrocarbon exploration), mineshafts, caves, or other cavities. The temperature gradient of the crust's uppermost
- 228 10-50 m is dominantly affected by downward conduction of the surface temperature rather than GHF. To address
- this, temperature measurements are made over the largest depth range possible (typically 100-1000 m).

230 Borehole temperature measurements are made using wire-line temperature probes, with a thermistor at the leading 231 tip and measurements made progressively downwards to minimise disturbance of the borehole fluids prior to 232 temperature measurement. The temperature is measured from the bore fluid, not the surrounding rock, so an 233 important consideration is the need for thermal equilibration of the wall rock and the borehole fluids following 234 drilling and prior to measurement. In addition, the heat produced during drilling needs to be dissipated from the 235 borehole. As a guide, 10-20 times the drilling time is required before a borehole is equilibrated to within 236 instrument accuracy (Bullard, 1947; Jaeger, 1956), although observations show that after 3 times the drilling time, 237 borehole fluids are within 0.05°C of equilibrium values (Lachenbruch and Brewer, 1959). As an example of the 238 time required for bedrock drilling, drilling of the multiple Cape Roberts Project boreholes averaged 16-31 m day: 239 ¹ (Talalay and Pyne, 2017). For the low water flows used in small-core (<4 cm diameter) diamond drilling 240 (compared with the high water flows of wider core diameter rotary drilling), heat exchange is negligible except 241 for the upper and lowermost ~20 % of the borehole, and full temperature profile measurements can be taken about 242 two days after drilling cessation (Jaeger, 1961, 1965).

243 Depth below the bedrock surface must be considered when taking borehole temperature measurements. Where 244 terrestrial bedrock is exposed, atmospheric temperature and seasonal variation perturbs the thermal gradient in the 245 upper >100 m of the crust. In Antarctica, temperatures from Hole 3 of the Dry Valley Drilling Project provided 246 estimates of "equilibrium" gradient only when deeper than 90 m (Decker, 1974; Decker et al., 1975; Pruss et al., Deleted: geothermal heat flow

Deleted: measurements can be taken about two days after drilling cessation, except from

Deleted:

Deleted: As an example, drilling of the multiple Cape Roberts Project boreholes averaged 16-31 m day⁻¹ (Talalay and Pyne, 2017).(Davis et al., 1997; Fisher et al., 2007)(Hyndman et al., 1987) 1974). It may be possible to compensate for seasonal variation in shallower boreholes using long-term
observations of the temperature gradient (>1 year), although the previous attempt (from a 7.6 m borehole at
McMurdo Station; Risk and Hochstein, 1974) derived an anomalously high GHF estimate (164 mW m⁻², compared
to 66 mW m⁻² from a 260 m deep borehole; Decker and Bucher, 1982).

Subglacial bedrock is not exposed to atmospheric temperature variation, so the geothermal gradient can be measured from shallower depths. However, it is affected by heat derived from the overlying ice sheet: internal and basal frictional shear heating from the ice sheet, heat advection, basal water, and seasonal temperature variation (e.g. Ritz, 1987). In the absence of a deep, borehole-derived, subglacial bedrock temperature profile, the depth required to accurately measure the unperturbed geothermal temperature gradient is currently unknown. Thermal diffusion modelling over timescales of low frequency climate variation may constrain this.

265 3.2. Ice boreholes

Subglacial GHF can be estimated from the temperature gradient from boreholes into the ice sheet (e.g. Engelhardt, 266 267 2004; Fudge et al., 2019; Nicholls and Paren, 1993). This requires that there is no additional heating from basal 268 shear or horizontal advection, and that the ice sheet has been unequivocally frozen to the bed for long enough that 269 the bedrock and overlying ice sheet have thermally equilibrated. To meet this requirement, the temperature profile 270 is best measured from cores into the summits of ice domes where the ice sheet is stationary (Engelhardt, 2004). 271 As applies to bedrock boreholes, a delay between drilling and temperature measurement is required for the thermal 272 disturbance from the drilling to dissipate. For hot-water drilling, this can take 2 years (Barrett et al., 2009; 273 Engelhardt, 2004). The temperature profile is typically measured using thermistors, recording the temperature 274 through changes in resistivity to electrical currents. Either a string of thermistors is deployed into the borehole 275 prior to freezing, and the temperature recorded over time, or the hole can be kept open with drill fluid and 276 downhole temperature measured with a moving thermistor. More recently, temperature has been recorded also 277 using distributed temperature systems (DTS; Suárez et al., 2011; Ukil et al., 2011). The temperature is derived 278 from the travel time of a laser beam within an optical fibre. All of these methods require thermal equilibration.

279 Once the englacial temperature profile is obtained, GHF estimation can be achieved through three methods. 280 Firstly, if the borehole reaches the ice-bedrock interface, and the bedrock and overlying ice are in thermal 281 equilibrium, then the GHF can be estimated in the same way as for bedrock boreholes (e.g. Engelhardt, 2004). 282 That is, using the temperature gradient in the ice near the ice-bedrock interface but using the thermal conductivity of ice rather than rock (Equation 1). Secondly, rather than measuring a temperature profile above the bed, the 283 basal temperature at the ice-bedrock interface can be measured, and temperature modelled through time to 284 285 constrain the required GHF (e.g. Fudge et al., 2019). Thirdly, if the borehole doesn't reach bedrock, and similarly 286 to the previous method, a thermal model is required to constrain GHF (e.g. Zagorodnov et al., 2012). In the 287 methods where modelling is required, the variables are modified within constraints determined for the location 288 until the modelled temperature profile best fits the measurements (Fig. 3), and the modelled temperature gradient 289 within the bedrock used for GHF calculation.

Formatted: Font: 10 pt





 291
 Fig. 3. An example of temperature measurements (solid black line) and steady state model (dashed grey line) from

 292
 which GHF can be estimated. Adapted from (Dahl-Jensen et al., 1999), for Law Dome ice borehole temperature profile,

 293
 Note that it is the deeper temperature gradient that is modelled rather than the shallower temperature variation.

In regions where the ice sheet is frozen to the bed and thermally equilibrated, GHF can be estimated from boreholes that do not reach the bedrock providing that the temperature profile is obtained below the penetration depth (or skin depth, δ) of surface temperature variation into the ice sheet. This depth is defined by the circular frequency of the variation (ω), and the thermal diffusivity of the material (*k*) according to Equation 4 (Fig. 4;

Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959; Wangen, 2010).

 $\delta = \sqrt{(2\,k/\omega)}$

300

(4)

301 Where circular frequency (ω) is defined by Equation 5, where t_p is the time for one period (or cycle) of the 302 temperature variation (Wangen, 2010).







11

-{	Deleted: crosses
-{	Deleted: the LARISSA Site Beta
\neg	Field Code Changed
Ľ	Deleted: Zagorodnov et al. (2012)
Y	Deleted: from the Bruce Plateau, Antarctic Peninsula

Deleted: the

311Fig. 4. Relationship between skin depth and periodicity of temperature variation through a material of thermal312diffusivity, k, of 10^{-6} m² s⁻¹. This diffusivity is comparable to ice at -10° C (James, 1968), or average values of a range313of rock types at ~50°C (Vosteen and Schellschmidt, 2003), and increases with decreasing temperature for both314materials.

315 The deepest significant perturbations of the englacial temperature profile are from glacial-interglacial cycles, and 316 GHF is best estimated from the englacial temperature profile below the depth at which this effect becomes negligible. In Greenland, this is the bottom 20 % of the ice sheet, but in areas of low-accumulation in Antarctica 317 318 this can extend to much shallower depths. With sufficiently accurate temperature measurements, the full 319 temperature profile of the ice sheet and the subglacial GHF may be estimated from boreholes penetrating only the 320 upper 600 m or 20 % of the total ice sheet thickness (Hindmarsh and Ritz, 2012; Mulvaney et al., 2019; Rix et al., 321 2019). However, use of shallow boreholes to estimate GHF use simplified thermal models and assumptions on 322 ice sheet evolution, and so require further validation.

However, poorly-constrained thermal effects within the ice sheet propagate uncertainties in GHF estimates from ice sheet boreholes (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010, Chapter 9). This is a particular problem if there is any ambiguity as to whether the ice sheet is frozen to the bed. The englacial temperature profile depends on heat sources at the surface, base, and within the ice (i.e. internal deformation-derived frictional heating). Heat sources that act at the base of the ice, such as frictional heating by basal motion, are impossible to differentiate from GHF.

328 3.3. Marine and onshore unconsolidated sediments

Shallow (<~10 m) temperature gradients in unconsolidated sediments can be recorded using gravity-driven probes rather than drilled boreholes. They carry multiple thermistors along the length of the probe that provide a temperature profile. These measurements can be taken from unconsolidated sediments offshore (e.g. Dziadek et al., 2019, 2017), in subglacial lakes (Fisher et al., 2015) or below ice shelves (Begeman et al., 2017).

333 As applies to borehole measurements, temperature gradients in unconsolidated sediments must be taken at 334 sufficient depth to represent the crustal temperature gradient and not be perturbed by temperature variation in the 335 overlying water or ice (i.e. they must be representative of steady-state conditions). The penetration depth of 336 temperature variation is dependent on its frequency (Equation 4 and Fig. 4; Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959). 337 Consequently, diurnal or annual cycles only affect the upper few centimetres to couple of metres of the surface 338 temperature profile, whilst variations over the last 200-300 years will affect the upper 200 m, and post-glacial 339 warming can be observed down to 2500 m. These effects are dampened by an overlying water column or ice sheet, 340 but temperature variation over 10 kyr can still affect basal ice sheet temperatures (Engelhardt, 2004). Although 341 large (>10 °C) seasonal temperature variations are dampened by ~90% at water depths of 3-5 m (Müller et al., 342 2016), long-term variations (e.g. climate-controlled variations in Circumpolar Deep Water over the last ~12 kyr; 343 Hillenbrand et al., 2017) are likely recorded in the upper 3 m at 400 m water depth, 2 m at 700 m depth, and e ven 344 the upper ~1 m at 1000 m depth (Dziadek et al., 2019).

345 Similarly to borehole temperature measurements, a time delay must be considered between penetration of the 346 sediments and temperature measurement. A ten minute delay between sediment penetration and measurement is Deleted: Whilst

348	sufficient to allow decay of frictional heating, as the temperature decay takes ~100 s (Dziadek et al., 2019; Pfender
349	and Villinger, 2002).
350	Unconsolidated temperature measurements can also be taken from marine boreholes (e.g. IODP boreholes). For
351	bedrock boreholes, a delay is required between drilling and measurement for thermal equilibration of the wall
352	rock and the borehole fluids, which would be problematic for marine boreholes where a drill ship cannot remain
353	on site. Instead, for boreholes into unconsolidated sediments, a probe is deployed into the borehole bottom
354	sediments shortly after drilling. Although technology has improved (Davis et al., 1997; Heesemann et al., 2006),
355	measurements can be affected by frictional heating during and after probe deployment, or by movement of water
356	and sediments within the hole. Only measurements that exhibit the expected temperature decay rate after
357	penetration are thus reliable (Hyndman et al., 1987).

358 4. Geophysical and geological methods to estimate GHF

359 In addition to the few and sparse penetrative GHF estimates in Antarctica, continental (Fig. 5) and regional (Fig. 360 6) estimates have been derived from both solid Earth (geophysical/geological), and glaciological data and models.



361 362

363

364

365

366

Fig. 5. Continent-scale geophysical estimates of GHF derived from magnetic Curie depth estimates <u>(a and b; Martos et</u>

al., 2017, and Purucker, 2012 - an update of Fox Maule et al, 2005, and seismic models (c to e: An et al., 2015b; Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2004; Shen et al., 2020). The mean and standard deviation of the combined studies are given in f and e. (available in the Supplementary Material), highlighting the large disparities in West Antarctica. WARS - West Antarctic Rift System.

Field Code Changed

Deleted: (a and b; Fox Maule et al., 2005; Martos et al., 2017a)

Deleted: ¶



370

Fig. 6. Coverage of sub-continental scale regional estimates of GHF, with reference to the section where the data is
 discussed.

373 4.1. Magnetic-derived estimates,

As for the penetrative methods of GHF estimation described above (Section 3), geophysical methods also derive GHF from a temperature gradient. In this case, magnetic survey data is used to determine the depth at which the maximum temperature of ferromagnetic magnetisation is exceeded (the Curie temperature; Haggerty, 1978). This Curie temperature is different for different minerals, but is assumed in these studies to <u>be</u> the Curie temperature of magnetite (580 °C) as this mineral is most commonly the dominant contributor to crustal magnetisation (Bansal et al., 2011; Fox Maule et al., 2005; Langel and Hinze, 1998).

380 Above the Curie temperature, rocks lose their ability to maintain ferromagnetic magnetisation (e.g. Haggerty, 1978). The depth of this isotherm in the crust (the Curie Point Depth, CPD; Fig. 7 and Fig. 2) is thus assumed to 381 be the depth to the bottom of the magnetic source (DBMS) determined from magnetic survey data. The DBMS 382 383 maps a transition zone, rather than an exact depth (Haggerty, 1978), and can provide information on crustal 384 temperatures at depths not accessible by other means (Andrés et al., 2018; Okubo et al., 1985). Regions found to 385 have a shallower DBMS (and thus an assumed shallower CPD) are expected to have higher average temperature gradients, and, therefore, higher GHF (e.g. Aboud et al., 2011; Andrés et al., 2018; Arnaiz-Rodríguez and 386 387 Orihuela, 2013; Bansal et al., 2013, 2011; Bhattacharyya and Leu, 1975; Guimarães et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017; 388 Obande et al., 2014; Okubo et al., 1985; Ross et al., 2006; Salem et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 1999; Trifonova et 389 al., 2009).

14

Deleted: methods deriving GHF from Curie depth



391

Fig. 7. Approximation of the geothermal gradient from the Curie point depth (CPD). The <u>CPD</u> is assumed to mark the
 base of the magnetic crust (DBMS).

394 The first Antarctic-wide magnetically-derived GHF map Fox Maule et al., 2005; updated by Purucker, 2012, Fig. 395 5a), used the "equivalent source magnetic dipole method" (Mayhew, 1979) to map magnetic anomalies from 396 multiple satellites at different altitudes as evenly distributed magnetic dipoles on the Earth's surface (Dyment and 397 Arkani-Hamed, 1998). Due to filtering of the data during processing, this magnetic anomaly distribution is only 398 susceptible to shallow, short-wavelength magnetic variation. To calculate the CPD, a long-wavelength CPD model 399 was modified until it reproduced the determined short-wavelength anomalies. The temperature gradient 400 represented by this CPD was combined with assumed homogenous crustal properties (heat production and 401 conductivity) to model the surface heat flow. Due to the high altitude of the satellite data, the horizontal resolution 402 of this approach was limited to at least a few hundred kilometres.

403 Spectral methods are the alternative and more commonly applied approach to estimating the DBMS, analysing 404 the spectrum of wavelengths in magnetic profiles or gridded data (e.g. Blakely, 1996; Okubo et al., 1985; Spector 405 and Grant, 1970). These methods depend on the implicit assumption that long wavelength features result from 406 deep sources. The depth of this source is calculated from a "power spectrum" (Fig. 8) of wavenumber (the inverse 407 of the wavelength) against the logarithm of each wavenumber's "power" (the square of each wavelength's magnitude after conversion by a Fast Fourier Transformation to describe the spectrum of wavelengths in the 408 409 signal). From this power spectrum (Fig. 8) the top (Z_t) and centre (Z_0) of the deepest magnetic layer are inferred 410 from the slope of the intermediate and long wavelength zone of the spectra derived from magnetic anomaly data. The DBMS (Z_{DBMS}) stems from the simple geometric relationship between these depths: 411

412 413 $Z_{DBMS} = 2Z_0 - Z_t$

(6)

Deleted: Curie point depth

Field Code Changed Deleted: (Fox Maule et al., 2005; Fig. 5a)



416

Fig. 8. A) Identification of the slopes of the intermediate and long wavelength magnetic anomalies from the power
 spectrum of magnetic anomalies within a single magnetic window (B). For illustration, small circular anomalies in the
 magnetic window (B) would correspond to shallow sources in the power spectrum, whilst larger anomalies would
 correspond to intermediate and deep sources.

421 To map the DBMS across a study area, the spectra of magnetic anomalies are computed within overlapping 422 rectangular windows regularly spaced over the aeromagnetic map. Particularly for gridded data, the dimensions 423 of the region chosen to analyse the long wavelength frequencies must be sufficiently large to capture the DBMS. 424 Ravat et al. (2007) elaborate that the dimension of the region analysed may need to be (in some cases) up to 10 425 times the DBMS, but that dimensions exceeding 200 to 300 km may average different large-scale crustal 426 structures. This suggests that satellite data, which typically detects magnetic anomalies in that wavelength, may 427 not be suitable for this spectral method of CPD estimation. Choosing the window size therefore forces a trade-off 428 between accurately determining the DBMS within each sub-region and resolving small changes in DBMS between 429 sub-regions (Ross et al., 2006).

Spectral methods have been applied in Antarctica (Dziadek et al., 2017; Martos et al., 2017a; Purucker and 430 431 Whaler, 2007; Fig. 5b and Fig. 6) to combined satellite and airborne magnetic anomaly data (e.g. ADMAP; 432 Golynsky et al., 2006; Maus, 2010). The results show a general agreement at a continental scale, but vary 433 significantly on a regional scale (Fig. 5). This is related to the resolution of the magnetic anomaly data, particularly 434 in regions where only satellite magnetic data are available. Furthermore, regional-scale magnetic anomaly 435 databases are usually a mosaic of individual aeromagnetic surveys. Ross et al. (2006) emphasise that subtle 436 discontinuities along survey boundaries are caused by differences in survey specifications, such as flight line 437 spacing, flight altitude, regional field removal, or the quality of data acquisition. These, for instance, may 438 contaminate the long-wavelength signal caused by deep magnetic sources (Grauch, 1993). Long wavelength 439 features can also result from shallow but spatially extensive sources, such as volcanic provinces, and can lead to 440 an underestimation of the DBMS.

441	CPD estimates assume a homogenous magnetic mineralogy of magnetite, and thus a Curie temperature of 580 °C
442	(Bansal et al., 2011; Fox Maule et al., 2005; Langel and Hinze, 1998). This assumption neglects the compositional

443 variability in plutonic rocks that lead to Curie temperature ranges between 300 °C and 680 °C, and in cases of

444 magnetic assemblages of Fe-Ni-Co-Cu metal alloys up to 620 °C to 1084 °C (Haggerty, 1978). Without further 445 constraints and validations, these assumptions remain the best approach, especially in sparsely sampled regions 446 like Antarctica, but introduce uncertainties of several kilometres in Curie depths and consequent uncertainties in GHF estimates (Bansal et al., 2011; Ravat et al., 2007). Similarly, in areas of thin crust, non-magnetic mantle 447 448 rocks can be shallower than the Curie depth. In these regions, the calculated <u>CPD</u> will appear shallower due to a 449 lack of magnetic minerals in the mantle rocks (Fig. 9.; Frost and Shive, 1986; Wasilewski and Mayhew, 1992). 450 This can be investigated through comparison of the Antarctic Curie depth estimates with the seismically- or 451 gravitationally-derived depth of the crust-mantle boundary (the Moho depth; Fig. 10 and Fig. 2). For example, 452 thermal modelling of seismic, gravity, and magnetic data showed the DBMS of the Norwegian margin reflected 453 the basement geometry, not the CPD, and that surface heat flow estimates using magnetic CPD models were thus

454 unreasonably high (Ebbing et al., 2009).



455

456

Fig. 9. Two scenarios illustrating the ambiguity in estimating <u>Curie point</u> depth (CPD) and GHF. a) Estimates from a 457 region with a shallow CPD over an area of thin crust. b) Similar but incorrectly interpreted estimates from a region of

458 shallow non-magnetic mantle rocks. In scenario (b), the DBMS is shallower despite there being no deviation in the <u>CPD</u> 459 depth. DBMS; Depth to the hottom of the magnetic source (assumed to represent the <u>CPD</u> in the GHF estimates 460 discussed).



Curie depth relative to Moho Depth (km) > 30 20 10 0

> -10 -20

> > < -30

-	Deleted: Curie isotherm
-	Deleted: Curie isotherm
-	Deleted: Curie isotherm
-	Deleted: -
1	Deleted: B
Ľ	Deleted: M
$\langle \rangle$	Deleted: S
	Deleted: Curie depth

Deleted: Curie isotherm

17

a) Curie depth relative

to gravity-derived Moho

Fig. 10. Comparison of Curie depth (Martos et al., 2017) and depth of the crust-mantle boundary (the Moho depth)
derived from a) gravity modelling (Pappa et al., 2019b), and b) seismic modelling (An et al., 2015a). Negative values
show areas where the estimated Curie depth is deeper than the estimated Moho depth, and positive values are where
the Curie depth is shallower than the Moho depth.

475 However, whilst in general the Earth's mantle does not contribute to the magnetic signal (due to its weak 476 magnetisation and high temperature conditions), in some cases the Curie depth may indeed lie within the mantle. 477 This occurs where metallic magnetic phases in the mantle beneath old, tectonically stable crust ("cratons"; Ferré 478 et al., 2013) or subduction regions (e.g. Blakely et al., 2005) contribute to mantle magnetisation. In these settings 479 the crust-mantle boundary should not be considered an absolute magnetic boundary (Ferré et al., 2013). This 480 implies that if in a given region the Moho depths are shallower than the deepest magnetic layer, a magnetic mantle 481 at temperatures below the Curie temperature may be considered. However, even in these cases the upper mantle 482 susceptibility will be more than 1-2 magnitudes smaller than the overlying crust. This is not considered in current 483 spectral methods assuming constant susceptibility. Consequently, Curie depth methods yield non-unique 484 solutions, and further available constraints and observations need to be considered, when interpreting the Curie 485 temperature distribution (e.g. geological evidence, borehole measurements, and Moho depth estimates).

486 4.2. Seismic-derived estimates,

487 Temperature is the dominant control on seismic velocity in the mantle (e.g. Carlson et al., 2005), and hence the 488 mantle heat flow at the base of the Antarctic crust can be determined from seismic data. By determining the change 489 in seismic velocities marking the density discontinuity at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (Fig. 2) the depth of the 1330°C isotherm can be estimated. This is the "mantle adiabat" marking the top of the seismic low-490 491 velocity zone, and the change from a solid to ductile mantle (Fig. 2). The continental-scale GHF can then be 492 estimated by assuming the heat production and conductivity of the lithosphere above this boundary, and 493 integrating this with the seismically-derived mantle heat flow (An et al., 2015b; Fig. 5d). However, the 494 seismically-derived, continent-scale Antarctic GHF model of An et al. (2015a) (Fig. 5d) is limited to a lateral 495 spatial resolution of >120 km, assumes a laterally uniform crustal structure, and is insensitive to the lithospheric 496 geotherm (instead it inversely correlates with crustal thickness).

497 Composition also affects seismic velocities. For example, a 2% increase in velocity can be explained either by a 498 120°C decrease in temperature, a 7.5% depletion in iron, or a 15% depletion in aluminium (Godey et al., 2004). Slow mantle velocities at subduction zones can also be caused by water or hydrous fluids serpentinising the mantle 499 500 wedge (Fig. 2; Kawakatsu and Watada, 2007). However, velocity in the Antarctic seismic model (An et al., 2015b) 501 does not account for variability of mantle compositions, mineralogy, grain size, or water content of the mantle or 502 crust. An uncertainty in the lithospheric thickness of 15-30 km was assumed by (An et al., 2015b) based on the 150°C temperature uncertainty, but ~50 km uncertainty for ~200 km thick lithosphere may me more accurate 503 504 (Artemieva, 2011; Godey et al., 2004). In addition, seismological models suffer from limited and inconsistent 505 spatial coverage, which can lead to discrepancies in upper mantle velocities and differences in Moho depths (Fig. 506 2) up to 10 km, even for the same receiving station (An et al., 2015b supporting information; Pappa et al., 2019).

507 Some constraints on the mantle and lithosphere composition can be determined from xenoliths (rock fragments 508 of the deep crust or mantle entrained in magma rising from depth) or exposed deep crustal sections, where **Deleted:** estimates

510 variation in temperature and composition with depth can be determined from the metamorphic minerals present. 511 Constraints can also be derived empirically by comparing the seismic velocity with similar regions. Shapiro and 512 Ritzwoller (2004) (Fig. 5c) extrapolated global heat flow measurements to Antarctica based on the assumption 513 that structurally similar regions have similar magnitudes of GHF. This was achieved by calculating a spatially variable "similarity functional" determined from the differences between the seismic velocity and seismic Moho 514 515 depth between a location of interest and a comparable location elsewhere. A histogram of heat flow measurements 516 could then be assigned to the location of interest in Antarctica based on the similarity-weighted sum of measurements from structurally similar regions, and the mean values of these distributions mapped as continental 517 518 heat flow. Spatial resolution was limited to the lateral resolution of the global shear velocity model across 519 Antarctica (600-1000 km; Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2002). Although the studies of Shapiro and Ritzwoller (2004) 520 and An et al. (2015a) both used seismic data and are thus frequently compared, it is important to highlight that 521 they use very different approaches in deriving heat flow (the former employing a probabilistic approach and the 522 latter using forward modelling).

523 The empirical seismically-derived model for Antarctica has recently been revised (Fig. 5d; Shen et al., 2020). S24 Rather than the low-resolution global database used by Shapiro and Ritzwoller (2004), an Antarctic seismic model was derived and compared with the high-resolution seismic model and GHF measurements of the USA; again calculating spatially variable similarity functionals to compare the data. Recognising the non-unique solutions provided by this method, Shen et al. (2020) also map the associated uncertainties of their model.

528 4.3. Gravity model-derived estimates,

529 Satellite gravity data has been used as an alternative to seismic modelling to determine crustal thickness. Pappa 530 et al. (2019b) used satellite gravity data, a model of global gravity variation (the "geoid"), surface and bedrock 531 topography, and assumed rock and ice densities to calculate the topographically-corrected variation of gravity in 532 Antarctica (the "Bouguer anomaly"), from which the depth of the crust-mantle boundary could be calculated. This 533 approach to calculate crustal thickness is sensitive to long-wavelength (>150 km) features representing deep 534 structures, rather than short-wavelength, near surface density changes. However, gravity-modelling solutions are 535 non-unique, and require additional constraints on the density contrast between the crust and mantle at a reference depth, and/or seismic depth constraints on crustal thickness. 536

Using the gravity-derived crustal thickness estimates, cross-sectional models of the mantle and lithospheric structure were calculated, with adjustments made to crustal density and crustal thickness until the models reflected the observed variation in gravity and elevation (Pappa et al., 2019b). By assigning assumed values of heat productivity and thermal conductivity values to the modelled cross-sections, surface heat flow was calculated along the line of the modelled cross-section (Fig. 6).

542 4.4. Conjugate margin-derived estimates,

An alternative approach to constrain the probable GHF of East Antarctica is to compare it with its Gondwanan conjugate margins, reconstructed prior to the breakup of the supercontinent (Fig. 11). Plate tectonic reconstructions indicate that the subglacial geology of East Antarctica is comparable to the margins of Australia, Africa, and India (Aitken et al., 2016; Daczko et al., 2018; Ferraccioli et al., 2011; Flowerdew et al., 2013; Mulder Deleted: modelling

Commented [BA1]: I have been informed that there is no current plan to publish a surface heat flow map from the crustal model, so this has been removed.

Deleted: A 3D lithospheric model has since been published (Pappa et al., 2019a), and a map of Antarctica's resultant estimated GHF is in preparation for publication (pers. comms.).

Deleted: s

553 et al., 2019). By kriging the heat flow measurements of the continents in their pre-Gondwana breakup 554 arrangement, Pollett et al. (2019) interpolated a heat flow surface through Antarctica and its conjugate margins 555 (Fig. 11). This method highlighted similarities and differences between the most recent seismic and magnetically 556 derived geophysical models of Antarctic heat flow (An et al., 2015b; Martos et al., 2017) with the better 557 constrained heat flow of the conjugate margins. In particular, this approach showed reasonable agreement along 558 the margin with Africa, but an absence in either the magnetic or seismic models of high heat flow provinces in 559 East Antarctica comparable with south Australia; an absence of the low heat flow of SW Australia in the 560 magnetically-derived model of East Antarctica (Martos et al., 2017); and an absence of the high heat flow of 561 northern India in the seismically-derived model of East Antarctica (An et al., 2015b). However, when 562 extrapolating heat flow away from the conjugate margins into the interior of Antarctica, this approach is 563 susceptible to the method of interpolation used and the quality and scarcity of the borehole-derived GHF estimates 564 in the interior of Antarctica (Section 3).



565

Fig. 11. Interpolated heat flow map of Gondwana, showing the derivation of Antarctic GHF from the reconstructed conjugate margins of the supercontinent. Terrestrial heat flow data shown by points. Adapted from Pollett et al. (2019).

568 4.5. Isostatic elevation

In addition to crustal thickness and density, the thermal state of the lithosphere also contributes to its isostasy and observed surface elevation. The effect of thermal isostasy on the bathymetry of oceanic crust is well recognised: as oceanic crust migrates from the spreading ridge it cools, thickens, contracts, and subsides (Stein and Stein,

572 1992). However, the effect of thermal isostasy on continents is masked by compositional contributions to isostatic

573 elevation (i.e. lateral variations in crustal thickness and density, Fig. 12a; Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b, 2007a).

Deleted: Deleted:



577 Fig. 12. Relationship of the median observed (a) and adjusted (b) elevation and median compiled heat flow values of 36 578 geological provinces on the land and continental shelves of North America, ranging from 30 - 2082 x 10³ km². Compiled 579 heat flow data excluded values outside of the range 20 - 120 mW m⁻² as these values were most likely affected by near 580 surface processes (e.g. hydrothermal circulation) or shallow magmatism, and do not reflect the lithosphere's thermal 581 state. Observed elevations are converted to adjusted elevation by normalising according to their seismically-derived 582 crustal thickness and crustal density and an equation for thickness and density-based isostasy. The black curve shows 583 the best-fitting thermal-isostatic model for North American adjusted elevation and heat flow. Adapted from Hasterok 584 and Chapman (2007a).

585 Hasterok and Chapman (2007b, 2007a) developed a methodology for investigating thermal isostasy in the 586 continental lithosphere by normalising the observed elevation using an isostatic correction. The calculated 587 compositionally corrected elevation generally increases with increasing surface heat flow (Fig. 12b). This 588 approach was used to derive the thermal contribution to isostatic elevation of Australia and North America, and 589 estimate the continental sub-lithospheric and radiogenic heat flow (Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b; Hasterok and 590 Gard, 2016). Whilst in general, the compositionally corrected elevation and surface heat flow values followed the 591 modelled curve for thermal isostatic equilibrium (Fig. 12b), anomalous regions lie away from this curve. These 592 anomalies result from: 1) additional sources of buoyancy and/or dynamic support (e.g. anomalously buoyant 593 mantle lithosphere); 2) anomalous surface heat flow, not representative of the deeper thermal regime (e.g. high 594 concentration of heat producing elements in the shallow crust); 3) deviations from the thermal properties of the 595 reference crustal model (e.g. heat production); or 4) combinations of these properties (Hasterok and Gard, 2016).

596 Although developed for regions of known heat flow, application of this approach to Antarctica (Hasterok et al., 597 2019) may provide an alternative estimate of heat flow based largely on two well-constrained variables: surface 598 and bedrock topography. However, it is dependent on the quality of constraints on crustal thickness, density, heat 599 production, and thermophysical properties of the upper crust (of which uncertainty in upper crustal heat production 600 has the largest effect; Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b). For example, regions where high surface heat flow is 601 dominantly from anomalously high upper crustal heat production will have lower elevations than regions of 602 similar surface heat flow but with lower upper crustal heat production. Crust that has experienced tectonic and 603 magmatic activity in the Cenozoic (i.e. <66 Ma) may be in a transient rather steady-state thermal regime, so this 604 approach may have challenges in West Antarctica. Steady-state thermal modelling is thus more applicable to the 605 old, stable crust of East Antarctica; particularly if the heat flow and isostasy of the conjugate margins are considered (Hasterok and Gard, 2016; Pollett et al., 2019). However, differences between the crustal thickness 606

Deleted: The normalised elevation (ε') is calculated from Equation 7 (Han and Chapman, 1995):¶ $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon + h'_c \left(1 - \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_m}\right) - h_c \left(1 - \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_m}\right)$ ¶

(*P*)⁽¹⁾
Where
$$\varepsilon$$
 is the observed elevation; h_c and ρ_c are respectively
the seismically-derived crustal thickness and density of the
study area; h'_c and ρ'_c are the thickness and density
respectively of a standard crustal column; and ρ_m is the
mantle density. When the crust is below sea level, an
additional term including bathymetric water depth (ε_{obs}) and
seawater density (ρ_w) are included (Equation 8; Han and
Chapman, 1995).[¶]

$$\varepsilon' = \varepsilon + h'_c \left(1 - \frac{\rho'_c}{\rho_m} \right) - h_c \left(1 - \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_m} \right) - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{obs} \rho_w}{\rho_m} \right) \P$$
(8)

Deleted: C

Deleted

Deleted: By differentiating the different contributions to isostatic elevation, it was shown that crustal thickness and density contribute ~3 km of the observed elevation range of North America, and thermal isostasy contributes a further ~3 km; similar in magnitude to the effect of thermal isostasy on oceanic lithosphere (Hasterok and Chapman, 2007a, 2007b). However, there are uncertainties in the thermal isostasy model associated with the assumed properties, including thermal conductivity, thermal expansion, and heat production in the upper and lower crust, of which uncertainty in upper crustal heat production has the largest effect (Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b). Uncertainties in the seismic data used for calculating crustal thickness and density affect the uncertainty of the compositional isostatic correction (Equations 7 and 8; Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b).¶ Deleted:

Deleted

Deleted: seismic

Deleted: and

Deleted: as well as constraints on the

based on gravity modelling and isostatic elevation modelling may indicate variable densities and/or compositionsof the underlying mantle (Pappa et al., 2019b, 2019a).

644 4.6. Enhancement of GHF estimates by incorporation of heterogeneous crustal compositions

645 The geophysical approaches described above assume laterally homogenous heat production in the crust. However, 646 given the geologically heterogeneous composition of the crust, it is important to consider the effects of variable 647 lithospheric heat production and incorporate this into forward models of GHF.

648 Radiogenic heat production in the upper crust contributes an estimated 26-40 % of the total continental GHF

649 (Artemieva and Mooney, 2001; Hasterok and Chapman, 2007b, 2011; Pollack and Chapman, 1977; Vitorello and
650 Pollack, 1980). Radioactive isotopes of the heat producing elements (HPEs) uranium, thorium, and potassium (U,
651 Th, and K) are responsible for ~98% of lithospheric heat production (Beardsmore and Cull, 2001). These elements

are incompatible with mineral structures in the mantle and lower crust, so concentrate in the upper crust and

decrease in abundance with depth during planetary differentiation (the chemical and physical separation of an initially homogenous planetary body into one with an iron-rich core, magnesium-silicate-rich mantle, and a thin

silicate-rich crust; Roy et al., 1968; Rudnick and Fountain, 1995).

The upper crust itself is highly heterogeneous in composition. HPE distribution is determined by their compatibility in different minerals, concentrating them in Si-rich silicic rocks (e.g. granite or rhyolite) relative to Fe-rich mafic rocks (e.g. gabbro or basalt). Immature sediments inherit the HPE abundance of their eroded source rocks, but decrease in HPE abundance with increasing maturity and the consequent decrease in their lithic contents (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017; Rybach, 1986). Crustal heat production is thus heterogeneous, and the most significant control of HPE abundance and resultant heat production in the lithosphere is the distribution of the

composite lithologies of the upper crust (Lachenbruch, 1968; Sandiford and McLaren, 2002; Taylor andMcLennan, 1985).

664 **4.6.1.** Whole rock geochemical analysis of heat production

Heat production of exposed lithologies can be determined from their concentrations of HPE (U, Th, and K) determined by geochemical analysis, or by airborne or ground-based gamma ray surveys. Radiogenic heat production for each sample (H, μ Wm⁻³) for the present day (t=0) can be determined from Equation $\frac{7}{2}$ (Turcotte and Schubert, 2014):

669

670

(7)

 $H = (0.9928C_0^U H^{U238} + 0.0071C_0^U H^{U235} + C_0^{Th} H^{Th232} + 0.000119C_0^K H^{K40})D$

671 Where C_0^U , C_0^{Th} and C_0^K are the measured concentrations (ppm) of U, Th and K respectively; H^{U238} , H^{U235} , H^{Th232} 672 and H^{K40} are the heat productivities of the respective isotopes ²³⁸U (9.37x10⁻⁵ Wkg⁻¹), ²³⁵U (5.69x10⁻⁴ Wkg⁻¹), 673 ²³²Th (2.69x10⁻⁵ Wkg⁻¹) and ⁴⁰K (2.79x10⁻⁵ Wkg⁻¹); and *D* is the assumed density of the rock (e.g. 2800, 2850, 674 and 3000 kg m⁻³ for felsic, intermediate, and mafic granulites, respectively; Hasterok and Chapman, 2011). When 675 using geochemical data to calculate heat production, this allows new and archive data to be used to calculate the 676 heat production of the sampled outcrop. However, many archive analyses occurred prior to the development of Deleted: 9

Deleted: 9

679accurate U quantification (e.g. by high resolution XRF or ICP-MS). An empirical relationship (Equation §;
Burton-Johnson et al., 2017) allows calculation of total U, Th, and K heat production (*H*) from samples possessing
only Th and K data ($H_{K,Th}$; correlation coefficient, $R^2 = 0.9$; Fig. 13).Deleted: 10682 $H = 1.4H_{K,Th} + 0.3$ 683(§)684Heat production values can be assigned to bedrock geology either by interpolation of the point values or by

685 assigning the point values to the mapped geology and assigning their average value to the geological unit; the average being either the mean (Veikkolainen and Kukkonen, 2019), area weighted mean (Slagstad, 2008), or 686 median value (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017). Interpolation shows spatial variability within a unit, but is affected 687 688 by the interpolation method used, requires sufficient and evenly distributed data coverage, and is affected by 689 anomalous values. For these reasons, the median values were used for the unevenly distributed archive data of the Antarctic Peninsula (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017). In Antarctica, maps of median (Antarctic Peninsula, Fig. 6; 690 691 Burton-Johnson et al., 2017) and transects of mean (coastal East Antarctica; Carson et al., 2014; Carson and 692 Pittard, 2012) heat production data have been integrated with geophysical models of the deeper heat flow to 693 estimate the total GHF at the bedrock surface.

694 Integrating spatially variable upper crustal heat production into the geophysical models of Antarctic GHF resulted 695 in increased estimated spatial GHF variability, including local regions of high GHF above HPE-enriched granitic 696 intrusions (Carson et al., 2014; Leat et al., 2018). The relative concentration of the HPE into the upper crust may 697 result in it contributing a highly variable 6-70% of the total GHF, although 3D crustal modelling is required to 698 constrain its thickness (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017). This modelling also showed the impact of sedimentary basins 699 on GHF distribution, as thick, extensive units of immature, clay-rich sediments may form extensive regions of 700 enhanced GHF, even though more mature, quartz-rich sediments are associated with low GHF (Burton-Johnson 701 et al., 2017). This highlights the importance of accurately constraining the upper crustal geology and its chemistry 702 when estimating GHF from geophysical data.

Deleted: this approach has been applied to the Antarctic Peninsula (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017; Fig. 6) and along coastal outcrops in East Antarctica (Carson et al., 2014; Carson and Pittard, 2012). These studies

Deleted: their maps of variable lithospheric heat production



Deleted: (Carson et al., 2014; Leat et al., 2018)

Fig. 13. The relationship between total calculated heat production from U, K₂O and Th decay and the heat production
 values from K₂O and Th only for different broad lithologies, enabling total heat production calculation from incomplete
 archive data (n = 319; Burton-Johnson et al., 2017).

716 4.6.2. Glacially-derived rock clasts

717 Although heat production can be determined for exposed bedrock, the likely heat production of the rocks beneath 718 the Antarctic ice sheet is harder to constrain. To investigate East Antarctica, glacial clasts were sampled from 719 moraines adjacent to the Transantarctic Mountains (Goodge, 2018). Granitic samples older than 500 Ma (Ross 720 Orogen) were selected as likely lithologies of the interior of East Antarctica, as these are the dominant lithologies 721 of other Precambrian cratons (>542 Ma regions of tectonically-stable continental crust; e.g. central Canada). These 722 clasts were analysed for their HPE abundance and attributed to their likely source area (the drainage basin of their 723 associated glaciers). A probable range of subglacial heat flow values was estimated by assuming mantle and lower 724 crustal GHF values and a thickness for the upper crust based on other Precambrian shields. This indicates that 725 East Antarctic heat flow is comparable to other Precambrian cratons, and comparable to geophysical models of 726 East Antarctic heat flow (Van Liefferinge and Pattyn, 2013). However, broader application of this approach is 727 biased towards more erosion resistant rock types, whilst less competent lithologies will not be preserved after 728 glacial transport and deposition.

729 4.6.3. Gamma ray spectrometry

730 Rather than whole rock geochemical analysis, the gamma ray spectrum can be used to determine the 731 concentrations of radioactive isotopes, including those of K, Th, and U, and was first used for U exploration. 732 Gamma ray spectrometry can be surveyed in the field, on samples, or from the air. Airborne surveys can cover 733 large areas, and have been used to survey Western Australia, SW England, and all of Finland (Beamish and Busby, 734 2016; Bodorkos et al., 2004; Hyvönen et al., 1972). However, the data requires multiple corrections, and the 735 recorded data integrates the radiation from the bedrock, surface cover (including soil and vegetation), the 736 atmosphere, cosmic radiation, and the aircraft, making the data less accurate than ground measurements or sample 737 analysis (Veikkolainen and Kukkonen, 2019). The technique is only sensitive to the upper 25cm of the land 738 surface, with overlying sediments and water bodies masking the radiation and leading to underestimates of heat 739 production (Phaneuf and Mareschal, 2014). However, if the signal could be linked to mapped geological units and 740 other evidence for subglacial geology (e.g. aeromagnetic and gravity anomalies) it may be feasible to extrapolate 741 the calculated heat production beneath the ice sheet. Hand-held gamma ray spectrometry studies, where heat production can be correlated with lithology along exhumed crustal profiles, show promise in this regard elsewhere 742

743 (Alessio et al., 2018).

744 4.6.4. Crustal structure

Whilst surface HPE distribution can be constrained by measurements, the vertical distribution is more ambiguous. In heat flow models, heat production is often assumed to decrease exponentially with depth (e.g. Fox Maule et al., 2005; Martos et al., 2017). This exponential model was developed to explain observations from exposures of large, thick composite granite bodies (batholiths) where magma was initially emplaced at different depths in the crust (Lachenbruch, 1968, 1970; Swanberg, 1972) and reflects a proposed decrease in HPE abundance with

24

Deleted: ¶

751 increasing metamorphic grade (Lachenbruch, 1968; Sandiford and McLaren, 2002). However, this relationship 752 has been challenged by other studies comparing HPE abundance and metamorphic grade (Alessio et al., 2018; 753 Veikkolainen and Kukkonen, 2019), showing that the lithological change from the largely silicic upper crust to 754 the mafic lower crust has a larger influence on HPE abundance than metamorphic grade (Bea, 2012; Bea and 755 Montero, 1999). Deep (9-12 km) boreholes also show a correlation of heat production with lithology, but not with 756 depth (Clauser et al., 1997; Popov et al., 1999). In fact, heat production increased for the first 2 km of the 12 km 757 superdeep well of the Kola Peninsula, Russia, then remained variable but high with increasing depth (Popov et al., 1999). Similarly, heat production increases below 3 km in the recent 5 km UD-1 well of the Cornubian 758 759 Batholith, UK (Dalby et al., 2020). As such, the available evidence indicates that the first-order HPE distribution 760 is controlled by the HPE abundance of the crust prior to metamorphism and the vertical distribution of the crust's 761 composite rock types. Inversely, it indicates that HPE distribution is not controlled by depth in the crust or the degree of metamorphism resulting from the increase in pressure and temperature. 762

763 Without evidence for the deeper structure of the crustal column, the lithological and HPE distribution of the 764 lithosphere can instead be modelled as layers of variable thickness and heat production: the upper crust, middle 765 crust, lower crust, and mantle lithosphere. Surface heat flow is largely insensitive to variations in the heat 766 production or thickness of the mafic lower crust and mantle lithosphere due to their heat production being ~1-2 767 orders of magnitude lower than that of the upper crust (Hasterok and Chapman, 2011; Rudnick and Fountain, 768 1995; Rudnick et al., 1998). The middle crustal layer can either be excluded (Hasterok and Chapman, 2011) or 769 treated as a layer of invariable heat production (e.g. An et al., 2015, for Antarctica) due to its low heat production 770 compared with the range of the upper crust. Lithospheric heat production can thus be defined by the heat production and relative thickness of the upper crust, or upper crustal heat producing layer (Hasterok and Chapman, 771 772 2011). This can be defined by:

773 774

(2)

 $Q_s = Q_b + H_{UC}D = FQ_s + H_{UC}D = H_{UC}D/(1-F)$

Where Q_s is the surface heat flow, Q_b is the basal heat flow of the upper crust, H_{UC} is upper crustal heat production, *D* is the thickness of the upper crustal heat producing layer, and *F* is the proportion of the surface heat flow contributed by the basal heat flow (Q_b) (adapted from Hasterok and Chapman, 2011).

Rather than a simple layered model, more complex 2D or 3D models of upper crustal structure can be developed using geophysical data, and the 2D or 3D crustal units assigned heat production and conductivity values based on analyses of representative exposures. A 3D crustal model derived from gravity and aeromagnetic data was developed to map heat flow in Norway (Ebbing et al., 2006; Olesen et al., 2007). In Antarctica, this has been applied in 2D to the high heat production granites of the Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains using airborne magnetic and gravity data and bedrock topography (Leat et al., 2018), and the Transantarctic Mountains using topography and satellite gravity data (Pappa et al., 2019b).

Fiven though variability in deep lithospheric heat production has a smaller effect on surface heat flow than variability in upper crustal heat production (Hasterok and Chapman, 2011), it is not homogenous. These thermophysical properties can be constrained from deep xenoliths (fragments of rock entrained in magma rising Deleted: 11

Deleted: Whilst

from depth) (Hasterok and Chapman, 2011; Martin et al., 2014) and crustal sections (Berg et al., 1989), whichcan also inform on the local geothermal gradient at the time of their crystallisation.

To help constrain the properties of the Antarctic mantle, including its influence on Antarctic heat flow, a Geological Society of London Memoir is currently being compiled summarising the data gained from mantle xenoliths (Martin and van der Wal, in prep.). This includes a sample database, and a compilation of their grain size and water content. These xenoliths are from shallow sources, as their occurrence is biased towards areas of crustal rifting where the lithosphere is thinner, although some xenoliths are from deeper sources (e.g. from the Amery Rift and Ferrar Dolerite).

798 5. Glaciological inverse estimation of GHF

Although geothermal heat flow has a geological derivation, it can also be constrained by multiple approaches through its observable effects on the overlying ice sheet. Inverse modelling can be applied to observed glaciological properties (e.g. glacial flow and melt rates) and the required GHF calculated. We will describe in this section different methods used in glaciology to derive GHF.

803 5.1. Subglacial water

The presence of subglacial water can be detected with <u>an ice-penetrating radar</u>. The reflective properties of the ice-bedrock interface depend on the presence of water and, with certain caveats, radar surveys can be used to map subglacial water. In general terms, a glaciological model can then be used to estimate the values of GHF that better predict where basal temperatures reach the pressure melting point and melting occurs. We will describe in this Section examples of this approach.

809 Carter et al. (2009) modelled the dielectric loss of radar data through the ice column around Dome C in East 810 Antarctica (Fig. 6) to infer the basal reflectivity and verify the presence of subglacial water. Because the 811 temperature profile of the ice sheet is one parameter affecting dielectric loss, this approach required inference of 812 the basal heat flow from temperature-depth modelling over the last 254 ka. The Shapiro and Ritzwoller (2004) 813 GHF model was used initially (see section "4.2. Seismic estimates"), but when the calculated vertical ice velocity 814 (m_W) at the bed exceeded the initial melt rate (m_T) , the GHF was modified until m_T and m_W were equal. This 815 approach identified localised high GHF anomalies, but (excepting these anomalies) they calculated that 66 % of 816 the study area was either at or near the pressure melting point (anywhere that ice is thicker than 3500 m) without 817 invoking enhanced GHF.

818 Schroeder et al. (2014) modelled the spatial distribution of melt beneath the ice sheet in the Thwaites Glacier 819 catchment (Fig. 6) by mapping the relative bed echo strength of radar data in the region and modelling the water 820 routing required to match these observations by routing alone (without heterogeneous basal melting). These 821 routing models were based on the radar-derived ice thickness and surface slope. The 50 selected routing models 822 were used to model the relative melt required to reproduce the observed echo strengths of each routing model. 823 This relative melt model was in turn scaled to match the total melt water produced in an ice sheet model of the 824 Thwaites Glacier incorporating frictional melting, horizontal advection, and an assumed uniform GHF. By 825 subtracting the frictional and advective contributions, the GHF required to produce the remaining melt could be

Deleted: <#>Detrital material¶

<#>Whilst heat production can be determined for exposed bedrock, the likely heat production of the rocks beneath the Antarctic ice sheet is harder to constrain. To investigate East Antarctica, glacial clasts were sampled from moraines adjacent to the Transantarctic Mountains (Goodge, 2018). Granitic samples older than 500 Ma (Ross Orogen) were selected as likely lithologies of the interior of East Antarctica, as these are the dominant lithologies of other Precambrian cratons (>542 Ma regions of tectonically stable continental crust; e.g. central Canada). These clasts were analysed for their HPE abundance and attributed to their likely source area (the drainage basin of their associated glaciers). A probable range of subglacial heat flow values was estimated by assuming mantle and lower crustal GHF values and a thickness for the upper crust based on other Precambrian shields. This indicates that East Antarctic heat flow is comparable to other Precambrian cratons, and comparable to geophysical models of East Antarctic heat flow (Van Liefferinge and Pattyn, 2013). However, broader application of this approach is biased towards more erosion resistant rock types, whilst less competent lithologies will not be preserved after glacial transport and deposition.¶

Deleted: Rather than using a forward modelling approach (i.e. determining the geological contributions and estimating their resultant heat flow), an i

Deleted: approach Deleted: by modelling Deleted: ing the required heat flow

Deleted: ing the required heat flow
Deleted: A
Deleted: ground

calculated. This approach predicted very high heat flow in this region (114 to >200 mW m⁻²), with the highest heat flow focused around observed and inferred subglacial volcanoes.

860 With the aim of determining appropriate sites of low basal melting for old-ice drilling, Passalacqua et al. (2017) also used radar evidence for basal melting and ice sheet modelling to determine GHF around Dome C (Fig. 6). 861 Wet and dry bed conditions were identified from radar data and ten spots were identified on bedrock topographic 862 863 features marking the critical ice thickness where present basal melting becomes possible. These spots were defined 864 as locations where the upper slopes of the bedrock topography are dry and their lee slopes are wet, with melting initiating between the two when the ice thickness passes the pressure melting point (Fig. 14). Assuming that GHF 865 866 is locally homogeneous between the two bedrock elevations, heat flow was determined by increasing its value in 867 a 1-D heat model of the local ice thickness until basal melting occurred. These point estimates were interpolated to generate an approximate map of regional heat flow and calculate basal melt rates over the last 400 k a. 868



869

Fig. 14. Illustration of how the ice thickness exceeding the pressure melting point (PMP) can be identified from radar reflectivity data points, indicating the presence or absence of basal water beneath the ice sheet. Once the PMP is identified, thermal modelling can estimate the required local GHF. Between the thresholds of radar reflectivities representative of wet and dry basal conditions, the thermal conditions are unknown (yellow-shaded region of the bedrock). Adapted from Passalacqua et al. (2017).

Van Liefferinge and Pattyn (2013) and Van Liefferinge et al. (2018) used steady state and transient thermodynamic modelling of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet to map the minimum heat flow required to raise the basal temperature above pressure melting point and generate basal melting. Whilst this was executed to identify possible sites for drilling the oldest ice in areas that are unlikely to have undergone basal melting in the last 1.5 Ma and did not produce an estimate of absolute GHF, if this approach were combined with other evidence for basal conditions above the pressure melting point (e.g. combining thermodynamic modelling with subglacial lake locations), points of minimum heat flow could be mapped.

882 5.2. Subglacial lakes

If temperatures are sufficient for basal melting, and topography depressions are suitable, subglacial lakes can develop. Subglacial lakes exhibit radio reflectivities 10-20 dB greater than the ice-bedrock boundary, allowing the current identification of <u>at least</u> 402 lakes beneath the Antarctic ice sheet <u>(Wright and Siegert, 2012)</u>. Field Code Changed

886 Whether basal temperatures are sufficient for basal melting and preservation of subglacial lakes is dependent on 887 ice thickness, the surface temperature and accumulation rate, heat transported through ice advection, heat 888 produced by internal deformation and basal sliding, and the GHF. When subglacial lakes are located near ice 889 divides, heat derived by horizontal advection, basal friction, and internal deformation is assumed to be minimal, and thus the heat required to bring the base of the ice sheet above the pressure melting point is a product of ice 890 891 thickness and GHF. Thus, when subglacial lakes are located near ice divides and the accumulation rate is known 892 (high accumulation rates cool the ice mass), point estimates of minimum GHF can be calculated from onedimensional thermal models of the ice sheet temperature profile, but an assumption that water was derived locally 893 894 and not routed from elsewhere must also be considered as lakes can only form in topographic depressions. The absence of a lake or basal water does not imply the bed is frozen if the water can drain away (Pattyn, 2010; Siegert 895 896 and Dowdeswell, 1996).

897 Conversely, and with the same caveats regarding basal topography and drainage, where the ice sheet is known to

898 <u>be frozen to the bed, the *maximum* GHF can be estimated. For example, Fudge et al. (2019) used the presence of</u>

899 <u>Raymond Arches to deduce where the ice was frozen to the bed at the Siple Coast ice rises to estimate maximum</u>

900 <u>GHF values. Combined, maximum and minimum estimates are more useful than either alone.</u>

901 5.3. Englacial stratigraphy

902 Jordan et al. (2018) identified draw down of internal ice sheet layers and increased bed reflectivity from radar data <u>~200 km from the South Pole (Fig. 6), indicating enhanced basal melting. Melt rates were calculated using dated</u> 903 904 radar layers, traced from the Dome C ice-core site, and a depth age model that simulates the draw-down effect of 905 ice from subglacial melt rate. The low ice velocity (<1.5 m a⁻¹) indicated minimal frictional contribution to basal 906 temperature, and a location at the top of a hydraulic catchment area indicated a low heat contribution from 907 subglacial water. By negating these contributions to heat flow, assuming the basal temperature is at the pressure melting point (and thus could be derived from the ice thickness) and that temporal temperature variations match 908 those of the Dome C ice core, a time-dependent heat equation was applied to the ice sheet to derive the basal GHF 909 required to generate the enhanced melt rates. 910

911 5.4. Microwave emissivity

912 Englacial temperature profiles have been derived from satellite and airborne passive detection of high frequency 913 L-band microwave radiation (~1.4 GHz; Macelloni et al., 2019, 2016; Passalacqua et al., 2018); data primarily 914 collected to investigate soil moisture and ocean salinity (Kerr et al., 2010). These wavelengths have very low 915 absorption in ice and low scattering by particles (e.g. grainsize and ice bubbles), providing high penetration depths 916 in dry ice.

Macelloni et al. (2019) derived englacial temperature profiles for the Antarctic ice sheet from 2-year averaged vertical-polarised (V) radiation collected at the "Brewster angle" ($57.1^{\circ} \pm 2.6^{\circ}$; the angle of incidence at which the radiation is perfectly transmitted through the air-snow interface with no reflection, minimising the influence of surface or shallow sub-surface effects). The corrected intensity (brightness temperature, T_B) correlates with the surface temperature of the ice, but is also affected by the ice sheet thickness (a largely inverse correlation), density profile, and grain size (Macelloni et al., 2016). As such, the ice sheet's thermal structure at depth could be Deleted: near

924 estimated by comparing the observed T_B and a simulated T_B derived through microwave emissivity modelling, 925 including one-dimensional modelling of the ice sheet's temperature profile. Included in the assumed values for 926 this modelling are the GHF and the accumulation rate; the sources of greatest uncertainty. This method only 927 applies in areas of slow flowing ice (<10 m yr⁻¹), and is optimal in areas of very slow flowing ice (<5 m yr⁻¹) as this negates heating by horizontal ice advection and deformation-derived heat production. It is also only applicable 928 929 to areas of thick ice (>1000 m) as the simulations used to model microwave emission do not include bedrock reflections. This is not a limitation for application to Antarctic GHF research, as it is under these conditions that 930 heat flow has the greatest influence on ice sheet dynamics. 931

932 Comparison of the microwave-derived temperature profile and that simulated by glaciological modelling (Van 933 Liefferinge and Pattyn, 2013) show good agreement in the upper third of the ice sheet, but diverge in their temperature estimates with depth, with the largest uncertainties close to the bedrock. This is largely due to 934 uncertainty in the GHF, but also reflects a decrease in sensitivity of the simulated T_B to the temperature profile 935 below 1000-1500 m (the bottom 1000-1500 m of the ice sheet contributes <10 % to the total emission). Longer 936 937 wavelength emissions (0.5 GHz) with greater sensitivity to the deeper temperature profile may provide greater 938 accuracy at depth (Jezek et al., 2014). Deep measurements of the ice sheet's temperature profile are required to validate this method compared to the glaciological models. Although currently limited by its sensitivity to 939 940 temperature at depth and the accuracy of the assumed parameters (notably accumulation rate), this approach has 941 the potential to constrain basal heat flow though variation of the assumed GHF values used in the emissivity 942 modelling.

943 6. Existing data

944 Although subglacial borehole-derived estimates of terrestrial GHF are lacking in Antarctica, estimates have been 945 made from probes into marine sediments and boreholes into exposed bedrock. We have compiled 431 of these 946 (Fig. 15; data available in the Supplementary Material and from point estimates 947 https://github.com/RicardaDziadek/Antarctic-GHF-DB), The compiled data originates from multiple methods, 948 and is variable in its accuracy and limitations, and so we have attempted to qualitatively grade the likely reliability 949 of each estimate based on specific parameters (Supplementary Material). We do not include values for marine 950 measurements compiled in the database "Global Heat Flow Data - Abbott Compilation". This database is 951 available via GeoMapApp and completely undocumented. The labels may point to cruise reports, but not 952 published data and the data quality remains impossible to evaluate up to this point.

Deleted: 3

Deleted: However, t



955

Fig. 15. Locations of all compiled point estimates of GHF. Database available in the Supplementary Material and from
 https://github.com/RicardaDziadek/Antarctic-GHF-DB.

958 6.1. Boreholes into bedrock

959 Terrestrial, borehole-derived measurements of the geothermal gradient (12 boreholes, Supplementary Material) 960 are limited to the Dry Valleys and McMurdo Sound region (Fig. 15; Bucher, 1980; Decker, 1974; Decker and 961 Bucher, 1982; Pruss et al., 1974; Talalay and Pyne, 2017), and no subglacial terrestrial borehole measurements 962 have been made into the Antarctic bedrock. However, as discussed in Section 3.1., temperature gradients in 963 bedrock must be taken to a sufficient depth to be representative of upward conduction of the GHF rather than downward conduction of the surface temperature. Whilst the GHF estimates from the Dry Valleys Drilling Project 964 965 (DVDP, including McMurdo Station) were taken from the 75 to >300 m deep boreholes (Bucher, 1980; Decker and Bucher, 1982; Talalay and Pyne, 2017), the shallow 7.6 m borehole from McMurdo Station produces a much 966 higher GHF estimate (164 mW m⁻², Risk and Hochstein, 1974). This shallow measurement should thus be 967 968 neglected in preference for the 66 mW m⁻² value from the 260 m deep DVDP borehole (Decker and Bucher, 1982).



Field Code Changed

Formatted: German (Germany)
Formatted: German (Germany)

and Severinghaus, 2016).

969

970

971

972

973

975 6.2. Ice boreholes

976 GHF estimates from ice boreholes (<u>15 boreholes</u>, <u>Supplementary Material</u>) are better distributed across the 977 Antarctic continent than terrestrial bedrock boreholes (Fig. 15). However, not all ice boreholes drilled have been 978 sufficiently deep or in appropriate sites for GHF estimation (i.e. the ice sheet needs to be stationary and frozen to 979 the bed). This limits the available GHF estimates to Vostok (Salamatin et al., 1998), <u>Law Dome (Dahl-Jensen et</u> 980 al., 1999), South Pole (Price et al., 2002), Marie Byrd Land (Clow et al., 2012; Engelhardt, 2004; Gow et al., 981 1968), and the Antarctic Peninsula (Mulvaney et al., 2012; Nicholls and Paren, 1993; Zagorodnov et al., 2012)

982 (Fig. 15). Dome Fuji (Hondoh et al., 2002) is not frozen to the bed, but provides a minimum GHF estimate.

983 6.3. Marine and onshore unconsolidated sediments

984 The most abundant resource of heat flow estimates from measured temperature profiles around Antarctica comes 985 from unconsolidated marine sediments (Fig. 15; 362 measurements south of -72° S, Supplementary Material). 986 However, the data distribution is sparse and heterogeneous, and whilst some regions are well sampled (e.g. the Amundsen Sea embayment; Dziadek et al., 2019, 2017), other regions (e.g. the Weddell Sea) remain poorly 987 988 constrained (Fig. 15). In addition to the open water measurements, two shallow probes (deepest sensors at 1.4 and 989 0.8 m below the upper sediment surface) have measured the temperature gradient in subglacial sediments below 990 the Whillans Ice Stream (Begeman et al., 2017; Fisher et al., 2015; see section 3.3.). Two temperature gradients 991 have also been measured beneath the Ross Ice Shelf (Foster, 1978; Morin et al., 2010), but otherwise heat flow 992 beneath the Antarctic ice shelves remains poorly constrained regions.

993 As discussed in Section 3.3, when using these estimates it is important to consider whether the shallow (<~5 m) 994 temperature gradient recorded by the probe is representative of the deeper GHF, or will have been perturbed by 995 temperature variation in the overlying ice sheet or water column (e.g. Dziadek et al., 2019). Consequently, the 996 water depth, the temperature profile of the water column, and possible sources of long-term temperature variation 997 (e.g. variations in deep water circulation and temperature) should be considered when selecting appropriate point 998 estimates. Similarly, whilst the shallow temperature gradients measured from Subglacial Lake Whillans (Fisher 999 et al., 2015), and the Whillans Ice Stream grounding zone (Begeman et al., 2017) are presented as subglacial direct 1000 measurements of Antarctic GHF, by the nature of their location within an ice stream they are not in a thermal 1001 steady state, and the temperature profile will have been affected by long term variation from heat advection and 1002 shear heating. These are effects that cannot be evaluated from their very shallow temperature gradient (0.8 and 1003 1.4 m deep), and accordingly these estimates should be used with caution.

1004 **7.** Current challenges and future research directions

1005 The collated existing data and methodologies presented above highlight our current limitations in determining the1006 subglacial GHF of Antarctica and allow discussion of future research.

1007 7.1. Borehole and probe-derived estimates

1008 The fundamental limitation for GHF estimation in Antarctica is the lack of borehole-derived estimates from 1009 beneath the Antarctic ice sheet. Without these independent, discrete validation points, the more extensive regional 1010 estimates cannot be accurately evaluated. Therefore, the most promising future development will be the ≥ 25 m Deleted: Dome Fuji (Hondoh et al., 2002),

1012 deep bedrock borehole measurements of the Rapid Access Ice Drill project (RAID; Goodge and Severinghaus, 1013 2016). However, (as noted above) local temperature gradients may not be representative of the regional heat flow, 1014 as local geology, hydrothermal circulation, and topography can result in localised GHF variability. In response, 015 multiple boreholes where the basal ice is frozen to the bedrock are required to categorise the regional variation, 016 and topographic effects must be considered and accounted for. Topography may have significant effects on GHF 017 via its effects on heat diffusion pathways to the surface (Bullard, 1938; Lees, 1910) and must be considered and 018 investigated in GHF estimates at all scales, including those based on local temperature gradient measurements 019 (i.e. borehole and probe-derived estimates) and more extensive geophysical and glaciological-derived models.

1020 It is also a necessity that thermal modelling of the bedrock temperature profile for the RAID target sites is executed 1021 prior to drilling to constrain the penetration depth of low-frequency time variation of temperature. Whilst the 1022 RAID target bedrock borehole depth of \geq 25 m is much shallower than the >100 m borehole depth achieved for 1023 exposed bedrock (Section 3.1.), the overlying ice sheet insulates the bedrock temperature profile from short 1024 duration surface temperature variability (temperature variation penetration depth is dependent on the frequency 1025 of the variation and thermal diffusivity of the material; Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959). However, as is considered for 1026 GHF estimates from ice boreholes (Section 3.2.), low-frequency variation in surface temperatures, heat advection, 1027 and shear heating will all affect the subglacial temperature profile. Consequently, low-frequency temperature 1028 variation must be corrected for, and boreholes are best drilled where the ice is stationary and frozen to the bed (as 1029 is applied to ice borehole selection for GHF estimation). By drilling in such sites where glaciological approaches 1030 are most effective for GHF estimation, the RAID data will allow validation of GHF estimates for the various 1031 englacial temperature methods applied to stationary ice at ice divides (Section 5.). These methods include borehole 1032 temperature profiles, subglacial lakes, ice sheet models, and microwave emissivity. It is thus important that the 1033 englacial temperature profile is measured in addition to the bedrock temperature gradient.

Beyond bedrock drilling there is lot to be gained from further ice borehole drilling. Firstly, existing data must be
evaluated to ensure the methodologies of GHF modelling from borehole temperature profiles are consistent and
accurate. Future ice boreholes into stationary ice frozen to the bed has the potential to supplement the existing
borehole and probe-derived GHF estimates, particularly if the proposed methodology for shallow boreholes can

1038 be validated (600 m depth, or the upper 20% of the ice column; Section 3.2.).

Deleted: This is particularly true for the Dome C borehole, for which the previously published 49.0 mW m⁻² value (de Mendoza et al., 2016) has been retracted.

1042 7.2. Geophysical GHF estimates



1043

Fig. 16. Difference in heat flow values between the most recent magnetic (Martos et al., 2017) and <u>forward-modelled</u>
 seismic (An et al., 2015b) heat flow models. <u>WARS – West Antarctic Rift System.</u>

1046 Whilst only geophysical methods have provided continental-scale GHF estimates, their values and distribution

047 vary greatly (Fig. 5, Fig. 16, and Fig. 17). Probability density functions show that whilst there is better agreement

048 in East Antarctica (Fig. 17a), the seismically derived models estimate more variable and slightly higher GHF than

049 the magnetically-derived models. In West Antarctica the discrepancies between models are greater (Fig. 5g and

050 Fig. 17b) even when using similar techniques (compare the empirical seismically-derived estimates of Shapiro

and Ritzwoller, 2002, and Shen et al., 2020, Fig. 17b). However, none of the models of West Antarctica reflects

1052 the GHF distribution of other better-constrained rift systems (Fig. 17c; Lucazeau, 2019), where much more

053 heterogeneous distributions and a greater proportion of high GHF values (>150 mW m⁻²) are expected.

054 The fundamental question thus remains: does the West Antarctic Rift System (WARS) have high GHF? The 055 magnetically-derived model of Martos et al (2017) estimates high GHF, but the most recent forward and 056 empirically-derived seismic models do not (An et al., 2015b; Shen et al., 2020; Fig 5). If the seismic models are 057 correct, then the high GHF estimates of the magnetic model reflect thinning of the magnetic crust, but GHF has 058 subsequently reduced in the ~90 My since the dominant phase of WARS crustal extension in the Cretaceous 059 (~105-95 Ma, Siddoway, 2008; as illustrated in Fig. 9b). If the magnetic model is correct, then GHF remains 060 elevated in response to the younger, 43-11 Ma Cenozoic phase of crustal extension (Granot and Dyment, 2018; 061 e.g. Fig. 9a). Subglacial hydrological modelling (Schroeder et al., 2014) supports the high GHF estimates. 062 However, high-fidelity borehole estimates, and better constraints on the nature of the geology, architecture, and 063 tectonic history of the WARS are required if we are to resolve the different estimates and use other locations as 064 analogues for verifying the modelled GHF distribution.

Deleted: and

-{	Deleted: (
-{	Deleted:)
1	Deleted: (
ſ	Deleted: (Lucazeau, 2019)

-1	Deleted: (
1	Deleted: ,

Deleted:)



1073

074Fig. 17. Probability density functions of the geophysically derived continental GHF datasets (Fig. 5 a - e) for a) West075Antarctica and b) East Antarctica. Values extracted at 10 km spacing. The histograms were calculated with a bin size076of 1 and fitted with a non-parametric distribution in the positive domain. c) GHF estimates from measured temperature077gradients for global rift systems for comparison with West Antarctica (data from Lucazeau, 2019). Note the number of078proportion of the data points (N) greater than 150 mW m_s^{-2} .

079 To evaluate the accuracies of the different models, uncertainty estimates are required. Uncertainties of <10 mW 1080 m⁻² for the majority of Antarctica were presented for the Curie Depth GHF model of Martos et al. (2017). 1081 However, not only are the modelled values greatly different from those derived by seismic modelling (An et al., 1082 2015b), the calculated Curie depth is deeper than the seismically- or gravitationally-derived Moho depth for large 1083 areas of the continent (Fig. 10). Even though this can occur where metallic phases are present in cratonic mantle 1084 (Ferré et al., 2013; Section 4.1.), this cannot explain the full distribution, nor are these occurrences likely to be 1085 this extensive. Without being critical of the model itself, it is reasonable to dispute the accuracy of the calculated 1086 uncertainties, and suggest that although their calculation from the geophysical data may be logical, there may be 1087 a geological contribution to uncertainty (e.g. lithological variation in the lithosphere) that is not being considered. 1088 As GHF models are utilised by researchers in different fields to those publishing the models, they cannot be 1089 independently evaluated by the user, and so accuracy in published uncertainty values is arguably more important than the accuracy of the model itself. We recommend that future research (including geophysical, geological, 1090 glaciological, and borehole and probe-derived estimates) is careful in its presentation of uncertainty. 1091

Formatted: Superscript
Deleted: Whilst

Deleted: whilst

1094 The largest limitations to existing geophysical-derived GHF models are uncertainties in the structure, 1095 composition, heat production, and thermophysical properties of the unexposed crust, lithosphere, and underlying 1096 mantle. All current continental models assume the lithosphere to be laterally homogenous in its composition and 1097 thermophysical properties, and although seismic GHF models (e.g. An et al., 2015b) incorporate variable mantle 1098 temperatures, its composition is assumed to be homogenous. Geophysical GHF models assume that lithospheric 1099 heat production is focussed in the upper crust, and is orders of magnitude greater than the deeper heat production 1100 of the middle and lower crust and the mantle. These models assume that lithospheric heat production either 101 exponentially decreases with depth (e.g. the Curie depth models of Fox Maule et al., 2005; Martos et al., 2017; 102 and Purucker, 2012), or is concentrated within a laterally homogenous layer of variable depth and constant heat 1103 production (e.g. the seismic model of An et al., 2015a, and the thermal-isostatic model for Australia of Hasterok 1104 and Gard, 2016). However, although the lower crust is enriched in mafic rocks (iron-rich rocks of high 1105 crystallisation temperature, e.g. basalt) of low heat production, deep boreholes and crustal sections have shown 1106 that whilst there is a correlation between heat production and lithology in the upper crust, there is no such 1107 correlation with depth or metamorphic grade (Section 4.6.3.). Similarly, the assumption of laterally homogenous 1108 heat production has been shown to be unreasonable for estimation of Antarctica's GHF, which (like all continents) has a laterally variable geology and associated concentration of HPEs (Burton-Johnson et al., 2017; Carson et al., 1109 1110 2014). The exponential decrease model of crustal heat production should thus be rejected, and attempts should be made to derive the depth and structure of crustal heat production. 1111

1112 The most promising approach to address the challenge of uncertainty in the contribution to GHF from the 1113 unexposed crust and deeper lithosphere is the derivation of a three-dimensional lithospheric structure model for 1114 Antarctica. This approach uses geophysical modelling integrating seismic, magnetic, and thermal-isostatic 1115 evidence, and integrating into the modelling the heat production, conductivity, and petrophysical properties of 1116 exposed lithologies and deeper crustal xenoliths or crustal sections. A similar model was developed for Norway 1117 (Ebbing et al., 2006; Olesen et al., 2007), and an Antarctic model would build upon recent 2D and 3D 1118 geophysically-derived models (Leat et al., 2018; Pappa et al., 2019b, 2019a). This requires an expanded database 119 of the geochemistry of Antarctica's rock outcrops (particularly the HPEs). Beneath the Antarctic ice sheet, where 1120 the surface geology is unknown, the lithologies and probable heat production is best constrained by determining 1121 the probable heat production of each drainage basin based on its detrital clasts (e.g. Goodge, 2018).

1122 The assumption of a homogenous mantle composition beneath East Antarctica is challenged by discrepancies 1123 between the Moho depth models derived by gravity and isostatic modelling (Pappa et al., 2019b, 2019a), as this 1124 indicates variable lithospheric mantle densities, or deeper mantle effects on topography. A review of the available 1125 mantle xenoliths and mantle-derived basalt chemistry may be able to constrain the composition of the mantle 1126 beneath Antarctica, and thermal-isostatic modelling may be able to identify these regions of anomalous mantle 1127 anomalies (as in the Australian study of Hasterok and Gard, 2016). If the seismic data for Antarctica is sufficient 1128 to determine crustal density, such a thermal isostatic model would provide an additional independent method to 1129 determine the depth of the upper crustal heat producing layer (Hasterok and Chapman, 2011) and evaluate the 1130 other GHF models.

Field Code Changed

Deleted: (e.g. the Curie depth models of Fox Maule et al., 2005, and Martos et al., 2017)

Deleted: Section

- 1134 Finally, it is important to compare Antarctica with its conjugate margins (e.g. Pollett et al., 2019), where GHF and
- 1135 crustal structure are better constrained. This provides constraints on the GHF along the margins of East Antarctica,
- as well as informing on the geology beneath the ice sheet.
- Beyond individual geological and geophysical approaches, a further challenge is how best to integrate different
- 138 models. Robust methods must be developed to incorporate datasets with different resolutions and uncertainty,
- 139 including techniques already used by the broader data analysis community. For example, Rezvanbehbahani et al
- 140 (2017) applied a multi-variate regression analysis to estimate heat flow in Greenland from sparse and variable
- 141 geological and geophysical models and data.

1142 7.3. Glaciological GHF estimates

1143 Englacial temperatures are more sensitive to GHF in areas of the interior of Antarctica where basal sliding is 1144 negligible (Section 2.1). Out of all the methods discussed to derive GHF in the Antarctic interior, the most 1145 promising method is to derive GHF from englacial temperatures obtained from microwave emission (Section 5.4.) 1146 at a longer wavelength (0.5 GHz) that the currently available (~1.4 GHz). The increase in wavelength will reduce 1147 the uncertainty in englacial temperatures below 1000-1500 m (Jezek et al., 2014). By improving the estimations 1148 of englacial temperature near the bed, this will reduce the role of ice flow modelling required to extrapolate 1149 temperature from the partial-depth data. Potentially, if near-the-bed englacial temperatures are known with 150 sufficient precision, GHF could be derived as from borehole thermometry (Section 3.2). However, the longer-151 wavelength method requires the acquisition of currently unavailable satellite-derived data. The method is only 152 applicable in areas of thick, very slow-flowing ice, and within this area only two ice boreholes exist for validation. 153 Further validation of the technique to determine the origin of the differences between the temperature model 154 derived from emissivity data, and glaciological thermal modelling (Macelloni et al., 2019), and other spatially 155 variable processes affecting microwave emissivity must also be considered (e.g. wind speed, accumulation rate, 156 surfaces roughness, and density heterogeneities in the firn layer; Passalacqua et al., 2018),

Existing glaciological data, like subglacial water distribution or dated englacial layers, has been successfully used in estimating heat flow in regions of thick, slow flowing ice near ice divides, where advection and shear heating are minimised. To extend these regional studies to continental scale, both data and models have to be improved. A significant challenge for radar-derived subglacial water distribution is our ability to discriminate between water at the bed versus contrasts in the geometric properties of ice sheet and bed (Schroeder et al., 2014). However the improvement in radar techniques and the combination with seismic surveys and direct access observations, is our best chance to improve our observations of subglacial hydrology (Ashmore and Bingham, 2014).

The inventory of subglacial lakes (Wright and Siegert, 2012) is a better constrained and expanding dataset. Subglacial lakes can be detected also using satellite surface altimetry (Fricker et al., 2007), providing a way to expand the coverage and to confirm dubious cases. However, as noted in Section 5.1., topography must be considered when using evidence for subglacial lakes as they can only develop in topographic depressions, and the absence of basal water does not imply the bed is frozen if water can drain away.

Subglacial melting can also be detected in englacial stratigraphy (Section 5.3) but the required radar product(internal radar reflective horizons) is not often available. "AntArchitecture" is a SCAR (Scientific Committee on

Deleted: (Deleted: ,

Deleted: this

Deleted: as, despite the potential of this method, the 2018 Cryorad proposal submitted to ESA (Macelloni et al., 2018) was unsuccessful

Deleted:

1178 Antarctic Research) Action Group bringing together key datasets on Antarctic internal layering from the principal 1179 institutions and scientists who have been responsible for acquiring, processing and storing them over the last four

1180 decades (AntArchitecture Action Group, 2017). As the coverage of Antarctic internal layers becomes widely

1181 available, its application to infer GHF will increase in popularity.

1182 Finally, and for any of the glaciological methods described above, the glaciological models used to infer GHF

1183 have to be improved. The current thermal models used to infer GHF can be classified in two larger groups: 1) 1D 1184 time-dependent high-complexity models, and 2) 2D/3D steady-state low-complexity models. The first category is

1185 generally used near ice domes or ridges, with low horizontal flow, and where horizontal heat advection can be

1186 neglected (e.g., Passalacqua, 2017). The latter are used across the whole continent (e.g. Van Liefferinge et al.,

- 1187 2018), but ignore the changes in temperature between glacial and interglacial periods despite their strong effect 1188
- on englacial temperatures (Ritz, 1989). The challenge is to develop thermal models with the required level of
- complexity at a continental scale, accommodating the main physical processes. This remains a technical challenge. 1189
- 190 and thermodynamic models remain dependant on GHF estimates.

1191 8. Conclusions

- 1192 We present state-of-the-art data and models to estimate geothermal heat flow in Antarctica and highlight the need 1193 for a detailed continental map. We also discuss current challenges and future directions.
- 1194 With multiple methodologies and models for Antarctic GHF currently published, the most promising future
- 1195 direction for local estimates is borehole-derived estimation of GHF beneath the Antarctic ice sheet from RAID
- 1196 bedrock drilling and englacial temperatures from ice boreholes. Ideally, the latter approach will be validated by
- 1197 the former to support expansion of the dataset from shallow boreholes (potentially only 600 m deep, or 20 % of
- 1198 the total ice sheet thickness).

1199 The ice sheet is most sensitive to variation in GHF within the interior of Antarctica, where heat production from

- 1200 sliding at the base of the ice sheet is negligible. However, it is in this region that GHF is hardest to constrain by 1201 geophysical estimates because is of the scarcity of local GHF estimates from down-hole measured temperature 1202 gradients, geological data, and insight from conjugate margins. It is thus in the interior of Antarctica where 1203 glaciological approaches are the most applicable. Out of the methods presented, the determination of englacial 1204 temperatures from long-wavelength microwave emissivity is the most promising, but this data is not currently 1205 available and requires further validation.
- 1206 We highlight the potential of regional estimates of GHF from subglacial meltwater inventories. Aside from the 1207 ever expanding inventory of subglacial lakes we encourage initiatives like "AntArchitecture" that will make radar 1208 products widely available. Also, we discuss future requirements of thermal models (either 1D or those lacking 1209 glacial-interglacial variability) to expand the methods beyond domes in the interior of Antarctica.
- 1210 Geophysical methods remain the most attractive approach to estimate GHF because they are independent of ice
- 1211 flow. However, they vary greatly in their estimated magnitude and distribution of GHF. The greatest uncertainty
- 1212 in all the geophysical models is uncertainty in the composition and structure of the lithosphere and mantle. We
- 1213 recommend ceasing to use the exponential decrease model of crustal heat production. Instead, we suggest using
- 1214 geological and geophysical approaches to model the thickness, structure and composition of the crust. We also

Field Code Changed

Deleted: slide

recommend the application of a thermal-isostatic approach to provide an independent estimate, and highlight
 regions of anomalous isostatic elevation and probable mantle heterogeneities. <u>The effects of topography must also</u>
 <u>be considered in all GHF models.</u>

219 Finally, the greatest challenge for Antarctic GHF estimation is the necessity for multidisciplinary science and how

best to integrate the different methods. Hopefully, this paper provides a first step in communicating the approaches
 and limitations of the different fields across the GHF community. We sincerely recommend the continuation and
 enhancement of the international collaborations within SCAR, building on the work of the GHF sub-group of the
 SERCE research programme (Solid Earth Response and influence on Cryospheric Evolution), and encourage and

appreciate SCAR's continuing support in this field of research.

1225 9. Acknowledgements

A. Burton-Johnson and C. Martin were funded by the Natural Environment Research Council as part of the British
 Antarctic Survey Polar Science for Planet Earth programme. R. Dziadek was supported by the Deutsche
 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the framework of the Priority Program 1158 "Antarctic research with
 comparative investigations in Arctic ice areas" by grant GO 724/14-1. Additional funds were contributed by the

1230 AWI Research Program PACES-II Workpackage 3.2. This research is a contribution to the SCAR SERCE

1231 programme, and we thank the discussions and support of this group from the TACtical 2018 (Hobart, Australia),

1232 POLAR 2018 (Davos, Switzerland), and ISAES 2019 (Incheon, Korea) meetings. We particularly thank

1233 Jacqueline Halpin (IMAS) for her comments on the manuscript and her in work promoting and developing the

1234 Antarctic GHF community.

1235 10. References

- Aboud, E., Salem, A. and Mekkawi, M.: Curie depth map for Sinai Peninsula, Egypt deduced from the analysis
 of magnetic data, Tectonophysics, 506(1–4), 46–54, 2011.
- Aitken, A. R. A., Betts, P. G., Young, D. A., Blankenship, D. D., Roberts, J. L. and Siegert, M. J.: The Australo Antarctic Columbia to Gondwana transition, Gondwana Research, 29(1), 136–152, 2016.
- Alessio, K. L., Hand, M., Kelsey, D. E., Williams, M. A., Morrissey, L. J. and Barovich, K.: Conservation of deep
 crustal heat production, Geology, 46(4), 335–338, 2018.
- Amante, C. and Eakins, B. W.: ETOPO1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24., 2009.
- An, M., Wiens, D. A., Zhao, Y., Feng, M., Nyblade, A. A., Kanao, M., Li, Y., Maggi, A. and Lévêque, J.-J.: S velocity model and inferred Moho topography beneath the Antarctic Plate from Rayleigh waves, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(1), 359–383, 2015a.
- An, M., Wiens, D. A., Zhao, Y., Feng, M., Nyblade, A., Kanao, M., Li, Y., Maggi, A. and Lévêque, J.-J.:
 Temperature, lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary, and heat flux beneath the Antarctic Plate inferred from seismic
 velocities, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(12), 8720–8742, 2015b.
- Andrés, J., Marzán, I., Ayarza, P., Martí, D., Palomeras, I., Torné, M., Campbell, S. and Carbonell, R.: Curie point
 depth of the Iberian Peninsula and surrounding margins. A thermal and tectonic perspective of its evolution,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(3), 2049–2068, 2018.
- 1253 AntArchitecture Action Group: AntArchitecture: Archiving and interrogating Antarctica's internal structure from 1254 radar sounding. Final Report, in Workshop to establish scientific goals, working practices and funding routes.

- 1255 University of Edinburgh, UK, University of Edinburgh, UK. [online] Available from:
 1256 https://www.scar.org/library/science-4/geosciences/antarchitecture/5240-antarchitecture-workshop-2017/file,
 1257 2017.
- Arnaiz-Rodríguez, M. S. and Orihuela, N.: Curie point depth in Venezuela and the Eastern Caribbean,
 Tectonophysics, 590, 38–51, 2013.
- 1260 Artemieva, I.: Lithosphere: an interdisciplinary approach, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., 2011.
- Artemieva, I. M. and Mooney, W. D.: Thermal thickness and evolution of Precambrian lithosphere: A global
 study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 106(B8), 16387–16414, 2001.
- Ashmore, D. W. and Bingham, R. G.: Antarctic subglacial hydrology: current knowledge and future challenges,
 Antarctic Science, 26(6), 758–773, 2014.
- Bansal, A. R., Gabriel, G., Dimri, V. P. and Krawczyk, C. M.: Estimation of depth to the bottom of magnetic
 sources by a modified centroid method for fractal distribution of sources: An application to aeromagnetic data in
 Germany, Geophysics, 76(3), L11–L22, 2011.
- Bansal, A. R., Anand, S. P., Rajaram, M., Rao, V. K. and Dimri, V. P.: Depth to the bottom of magnetic sources
 (DBMS) from aeromagnetic data of Central India using modified centroid method for fractal distribution of
 sources, Tectonophysics, 603, 155–161, 2013.
- Barletta, V. R., Bevis, M., Smith, B. E., Wilson, T., Brown, A., Bordoni, A., Willis, M., Khan, S. A., RoviraNavarro, M. and Dalziel, I.: Observed rapid bedrock uplift in Amundsen Sea Embayment promotes ice-sheet
 stability, Science, 360(6395), 1335–1339, 2018.
- 1274 Baron Fourier, J. B. J.: Théorie analytique de la chaleur, Chez Firmin Didot, père et fils, Paris., 1822.
- Barrett, B. E., Nicholls, K. W., Murray, T., Smith, A. M. and Vaughan, D. G.: Rapid recent warming on Rutford
 Ice Stream, West Antarctica, from borehole thermometry, Geophysical Research Letters, 36(2), 2009.
- Bea, F.: The sources of energy for crustal melting and the geochemistry of heat-producing elements, Lithos, 153,
 278–291, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2012.01.017, 2012.
- Bea, F. and Montero, P.: Behavior of accessory phases and redistribution of Zr, REE, Y, Th, and U during
 metamorphism and partial melting of metapelites in the lower crust: an example from the Kinzigite Formation of
 Ivrea-Verbano, NW Italy, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63(7), 1133–1153, 1999.
- Beamish, D. and Busby, J.: The Cornubian geothermal province: heat production and flow in SW England:
 estimates from boreholes and airborne gamma-ray measurements, Geothermal Energy, 4(1), 4, 2016.
- Beardsmore, G. R. and Cull, J. P.: Crustal heat flow: a guide to measurement and modelling, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., 2001.
- Begeman, C. B., Tulaczyk, S. M. and Fisher, A. T.: Spatially variable geothermal heat flux in West Antarctica:
 evidence and implications, Geophysical Research Letters, 44(19), 9823–9832, 2017.
- Berg, J. H., Moscati, R. J. and Herz, D. L.: A petrologic geotherm from a continental rift in Antarctica, Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 93(1), 98–108, 1989.
- Bhattacharyya, B. K. and Leu, L.-K.: Analysis of magnetic anomalies over Yellowstone National Park: mapping
 of Curie point isothermal surface for geothermal reconnaissance, Journal of Geophysical Research, 80(32), 4461–
 4465, 1975.
- Blakely, R. J.: Potential theory in gravity and magnetic applications, Cambridge university press, Cambridge,
 UK., 1996.

- Blakely, R. J., Brocher, T. M. and Wells, R. E.: Subduction-zone magnetic anomalies and implications for
 hydrated forearc mantle, Geology, 33(6), 445–448, 2005.
- Boden, D. R.: Geology and Heat Architecture of the Earth's Interior, in Geologic Fundamentals of Geothermal
 Energy, Routledge., 2016.
- Bodorkos, S., Sandiford, M., Minty, B. R. and Blewett, R. S.: A high-resolution, calibrated airborne radiometric
 dataset applied to the estimation of crustal heat production in the Archaean northern Pilbara Craton, Western
 Australia, Precambrian Research, 128(1–2), 57–82, 2004.
- Bucher, G. J.: Heat flow and radioactivity studies in the Ross Island-Dry Valley area, Antarctica and their tectonic
 implications., PhD Thesis, University of Wyoming, Wyoming, USA., 1980.
- Bücker, C., Jarrard, R. D. and Wonik, T.: Downhole temperature, radiogenic heat production, and heat flow from
 the CRP-3 drillhole, Victoria Land Basin, Antarctica, Terra Antartica, 8(3), 151–160, 2001.
- Bullard, E. C.: The disturbance of the temperature gradient in the earth's crust by inequalities of height,
 Geophysical Supplements to the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 4(5), 360–362, 1938.
- Bullard, E. C.: The time taken for a borehole to attain temperature equilibrium, Monthly Notices of the Royal
 Astronomical Society, Geophysics Supplement, 5, 127–130, 1947.
- Burton-Johnson, A., Halpin, J. A., Whittaker, J. M., Graham, F. S. and Watson, S. J.: A new heat flux model for
 the Antarctic Peninsula incorporating spatially variable upper crustal radiogenic heat production, Geophysical
 Research Letters, 44(11), 5436–5446, doi:10.1002/2017GL073596, 2017.
- Burton-Johnson, A., Dziadek, R. and Shen, W.: Report of the Geothermal Heat Flux Side Meeting at XIII ISAES,
 2019, Incheon, Republic of Korea. [online] Available from: https://www.scar.org/scar-library/search/science4/research-programmes/serce/5334-ghf-meeting-report-2019/file, 2019.
- Burton-Johnson, A., Dziadek, R., Martin, C., Halpin, J. A., Whitehouse, P. L., Ebbing, J., Martos, Y. M., Martin,
 A. P., Schroeder, D. M., Shen, W., Ritz, C., Goodge, J. W., Van Liefferinge, B., Pattyn, F., Reading, A. M.,
 Ferraccioli, F. and The SERCE Geothermal Heat Flow Sub-Group: Antarctic Geothermal Heat Flow: Future
 research directions, SCAR-SERCE White Paper [online] Available from: https://www.scar.org/scarlibrary/search/science-4/research-programmes/serce/5454-scar-serce-white-paper-on-antarctic-geothermal-heatflow/, 2020.
- Carlson, R. W., Pearson, D. G. and James, D. E.: Physical, chemical and chronological characteristics of continental mantle, Reviews in Geophysics, 43, RG1001, doi:10.1029/2004RG000156, 2005.
- 1324 Carslaw, H. S. and Jaeger, J. C.: Conduction of heat in solids, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1959, 2nd ed., 1959.
- Carson, C. J. and Pittard, M.: A Reconaissance Crustal Heat Production Assessment of the Australian Antarctic
 Territory (AAT), Geoscience Australia, Canberra, Australia., 2012.
- Carson, C. J., McLaren, S., Roberts, J. L., Boger, S. D. and Blankenship, D. D.: Hot rocks in a cold place: high
 sub-glacial heat flow in East Antarctica, Journal of the Geological Society, 171(1), 9–12, 2014.
- Carter, S. P., Blankenship, D. D., Young, D. A. and Holt, J. W.: Using radar-sounding data to identify the
 distribution and sources of subglacial water: application to Dome C, East Antarctica, Journal of Glaciology,
 55(194), 1025–1040, 2009.
- Chen, B., Haeger, C., Kaban, M. K. and Petrunin, A. G.: Variations of the effective elastic thickness reveal tectonic
 fragmentation of the Antarctic lithosphere, Tectonophysics, 746, 412–424, 2018.
- Clauser, C., Giese, P., Huenges, E., Kohl, T., Lehmann, H., Rybach, L., Šafanda, J., Wilhelm, H., Windloff, K.
 and Zoth, G.: The thermal regime of the crystalline continental crust: implications from the KTB, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 102(B8), 18417–18441, 1997.
 - 40

- 1337 Clow, G. D., Cuffey, K. M. and Waddington, E. D.: High heat-flow beneath the central portion of the West
 1338 Antarctic Ice Sheet, in AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts., 2012.
- Courtney, R. C. and White, R. S.: Anomalous heat flow and geoid across the Cape Verde Rise: evidence for
 dynamic support from a thermal plume in the mantle, Geophysical Journal International, 87(3), 815–867, 1986.
- 1341 Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The physics of glaciers, 4th Edition., Elsevier, Oxford, UK., 2010.
- Cuffey, K. M., Clow, G. D., Alley, R. B., Stuiver, M., Waddington, E. D. and Saltus, R. W.: Large arctic
 temperature change at the Wisconsin-Holocene glacial transition, Science, 270(5235), 455–458, 1995.
- Daczko, N. R., Halpin, J. A., Fitzsimons, I. C. and Whittaker, J. M.: A cryptic Gondwana-forming orogen located
 in Antarctica, Scientific reports, 8(1), 8371, 2018.
- 1346 Dahl-Jensen, D., Morgan, V. I. and Elcheikh, A.: Monte Carlo inverse modelling of the Law Dome (Antarctica)
 1347 temperature profile, Annals of Glaciology, 29, 145–150, 1999.
- Dalby, C. J., Shail, R. K., Batchelor, A., Cotton, L., Gutmanis, J., Rollinson, G. K., Wall, F. and Hickey, J.: Deep
 geothermal energy from the Cornubian Batholith: preliminary lithological and heat flow insights from the United
 Downs Deep Geothermal Power Project, Plymouth, UK., 2020.
- Davis, E. E., Villinger, H., MacDonald, R. D., Meldrum, R. D. and Grigel, J.: A robust rapid-response probe for measuring bottom-hole temperatures in deep-ocean boreholes, Marine Geophysical Researches, 19(3), 267–281, 1997.
- 1354 Decker, E. R.: Preliminary geothermal studies of the Dry Valley Drilling Project holes at McMurdo Station, Lake
 1355 Vanda, Lake Vida, and New Harbor, Antarctica, Bulletin-Dry Valley Drilling Project (DVDP), 4, 22–23, 1974.
- 1356Decker, E. R. and Bucher, G. J.: Geothermal studies in the Ross Island-Dry Valley region, Antarct Geosci, 4, 887–1357894, 1982.
- 1358 Decker, E. R., Baker, K. H. and Harris, H.: Geothermal studies in the Dry Valleys and on Ross Island, Antarctic
 1359 Journal, 10(4), 176, 1975.
- 1360 Dyment, J. and Arkani-Hamed, J.: Equivalent source magnetic dipoles revisited, Geophysical Research Letters,
 1361 25(11), 2003–2006, 1998.
- Dziadek, R., Gohl, K., Diehl, A. and Kaul, N.: Geothermal heat flux in the Amundsen Sea sector of West
 Antarctica: New insights from temperature measurements, depth to the bottom of the magnetic source estimation,
 and thermal modeling, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 18(7), 2657–2672, 2017.
- Dziadek, R., Gohl, K. and Kaul, N.: Elevated geothermal surface heat flow in the Amundsen Sea Embayment,
 West Antarctica, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 506, 530–539, 2019.
- Ebbing, J., Lundin, E., Olesen, O. and Hansen, E. K.: The mid-Norwegian margin: a discussion of crustal
 lineaments, mafic intrusions, and remnants of the Caledonian root by 3D density modelling and structural
 interpretation, Journal of the Geological Society, 163(1), 47–59, 2006.
- Ebbing, J., Gernigon, L., Pascal, C., Olesen, O. and Osmundsen, P. T.: A discussion of structural and thermal control of magnetic anomalies on the mid-Norwegian margin, Geophysical Prospecting, 57(4), 665–681, 2009.
- 1372 Elbeze, A. C.: On the existence of another source of heat production for the earth and planets, and its connection
 1373 with gravitomagnetism, SpringerPlus, 2(1), 1–13, doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-513, 2013.
- 1374 Engelhardt, H.: Ice temperature and high geothermal flux at Siple Dome, West Antarctica, from borehole1375 measurements, Journal of Glaciology, 50(169), 251–256, 2004.
- Fahnestock, M., Abdalati, W., Joughin, I., Brozena, J. and Gogineni, P.: High geothermal heat flow, basal melt,
 and the origin of rapid ice flow in central Greenland, Science, 294(5550), 2338–2342, 2001.

- 1378 Ferraccioli, F., Finn, C. A., Jordan, T. A., Bell, R. E., Anderson, L. M. and Damaske, D.: East Antarctic rifting 1379 triggers uplift of the Gamburtsev Mountains, Nature, 479(7373), 388–392, 2011.
- Ferré, E. C., Friedman, S. A., Martín-Hernández, F., Feinberg, J. M., Conder, J. A. and Ionov, D. A.: The
 magnetism of mantle xenoliths and potential implications for sub-Moho magnetic sources, Geophysical Research
 Letters, 40(1), 105–110, 2013.
- Fischer, H., Severinghaus, J., Brook, E., Wolff, E., Albert, M., Alemany, O., Arthern, R., Bentley, C.,
 Blankenship, D., Chappellaz, J. and others: Where to find 1.5 million yr old ice for the IPICS" Oldest-Ice" ice
 core, Climate of the Past, 9(6), 2489–2505, 2013.
- Fisher, A. T. and Harris, R. N.: Using seafloor heat flow as a tracer to map subseafloor fluid flow in the ocean
 crust, Geofluids, 10(1–2), 142–160, 2010.
- Fisher, A. T., Mankoff, K. D., Tulaczyk, S. M., Tyler, S. W., Foley, N. and others: High geothermal heat flux
 measured below the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, Science advances, 1(6), e1500093, 2015.
- Flowerdew, M. J., Tyrrell, S., Boger, S. D., Fitzsimons, I. C. W., Harley, S. L., Mikhalsky, E. V. and Vaughan,
 A. P. M.: Pb isotopic domains from the Indian Ocean sector of Antarctica: implications for past Antarctica–India
 connections, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 383(1), 59–72, 2013.
- Foster, T. D.: Temperature and salinity fields under the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctic Journal [of the United States],
 1394 13, 81–82, 1978.
- 1395 Fox Maule, C., Purucker, M. E., Olsen, N. and Mosegaard, K.: Heat flux anomalies in Antarctica revealed by 1396 satellite magnetic data, Science, 309(5733), 464–467, 2005.
- Fricker, H. A., Scambos, T., Bindschadler, R. and Padman, L.: An active subglacial water system in West
 Antarctica mapped from space, Science, 315(5818), 1544–1548, 2007.
- Frost, B. R. and Shive, P. N.: Magnetic mineralogy of the lower continental-crust, Journal of Geophysical
 Research-Solid Earth and Planets, 91(B6), 6513–6521, 1986.
- Fudge, T. J., Biyani, S., Clemens-Sewall, D. and Hawley, B.: Constraining geothermal flux at coastal domes of
 the Ross Ice Sheet, Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 46(22), 13090–13098, 2019.
- Gard, M., Hasterok, D. and Halpin, J. A.: Global whole-rock geochemical database compilation, Earth System
 Science Data, 11(4), 1553–1566, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-50, 2019.
- Godey, S., Deschamps, F., Trampert, J. and Snieder, R.: Thermal and compositional anomalies beneath the North
 American continent, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 109(B1), 2004.
- Goelzer, H., Robinson, A., Seroussi, H. and Van De Wal, R. S.: Recent progress in Greenland ice sheet modelling,
 Current climate change reports, 3(4), 291–302, 2017.
- 1409Gohl, K., Wellner, J. S., Klaus, A. and Expedition 379 Scientists: Expedition 379 Preliminary Report: Amundsen1410SeaWestAntarcticIceSheetHistory,InternationalOceanDiscoveryProgram,379,1411doi:https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.pr.379.2019, 2019.
- Golynsky, A., Chiappini, M., Damaske, D., Ferraccioli, F., Finn, C. A., Ishihara, T., Kim, H. R., Kovacs, L.,
 Masolov, V. N., Morris, P. and others: ADMAP—a digital magnetic anomaly map of the Antarctic, in Antarctica,
 pp. 109–116, Springer., 2006.
- Goodge, J. W.: Crustal heat production and estimate of terrestrial heat flow in central East Antarctica, with
 implications for thermal input to the East Antarctic ice sheet., Cryosphere, 12, 491–504,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-491-2018, 2018.
- Goodge, J. W. and Severinghaus, J. P.: Rapid Access Ice Drill: a new tool for exploration of the deep Antarctic
 ice sheets and subglacial geology, Journal of Glaciology, 62(236), 1049–1064, 2016.

- Gow, A. J., Ueda, H. T. and Garfield, D. E.: Antarctic ice sheet: preliminary results of first core hole to bedrock,
 Science, 161(3845), 1011–1013, 1968.
- Granot, R. and Dyment, J.: Late Cenozoic unification of East and West Antarctica, Nature communications, 9(1),
 3189, 2018.
- Grauch, V. J. S.: Limitations on digital filtering of the DNAG magnetic data set for the conterminous US,
 Geophysics, 58(9), 1281–1296, 1993.
- 1426Greve, R. and Hutter, K.: Polythermal three-dimensional modelling of the Greenland ice sheet with varied1427geothermal heat flux, Annals of Glaciology, 21(1), 8–12, 1995.
- Guimarães, S. N. P., Hamza, V. M. and Ravat, D.: Curie depths using combined analysis of centroid and matched
 filtering methods in inferring thermomagnetic characteristics of Central Brazil, in 13th International Congress of
 the Brazilian Geophysical Society & EXPOGEF, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 26–29 August 2013, pp. 1853–1858,
 Society of Exploration Geophysicists and Brazilian Geophysical Society., 2013.
- Gutenberg, B.: 6. Temperature and Thermal Processes in the Earth, in International Geophysics, vol. 1, edited by
 B. Gutenberg, pp. 121–148, Academic Press Inc., New York., 1959.
- Haggerty, S. E.: Mineralogical contraints on Curie isotherms in deep crustal magnetic anomalies, Geophysical
 Research Letters, 5(2), 105–108, 1978.
- Halpin, J. A. and Reading, A. M.: Report on Taking the Temperature of the Antarctic Continent (TACtical)
 Workshop 21-23 March 2018, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia, Hobart, Australia., 2018.
- Halpin, J. A., Whittaker, J. M., Gard, M., Hasterok, D., Burton-Johnson, A., Staal, T., Maritati, A., Reading, A.
 M., McLaren, S., Hand, M. and Raimondo, T.: Heterogenous Antarctic crustal heat production, Incheon, Republic
 of Korea., 2019.
- Hasterok, D. and Chapman, D. S.: Continental thermal isostasy: 1. Methods and sensitivity, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 112(B6), 2007a.
- Hasterok, D. and Chapman, D. S.: Continental thermal isostasy: 2. Application to North America, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 112(B6), 2007b.
- 1445Hasterok, D. and Chapman, D. S.: Heat production and geotherms for the continental lithosphere, Earth and1446Planetary Science Letters, 307(1–2), 59–70, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.04.034, 2011.
- Hasterok, D. and Gard, M.: Utilizing thermal isostasy to estimate sub-lithospheric heat flow and anomalous crustal
 radioactivity, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 450, 197–207, 2016.
- Hasterok, D., Gard, M., Halpin, J. A., Hand, M. P., Pollett, A., McLaren, S., Raimondo, T., Willcocks, S. and
 Linke, M.: Constraining Geothermal Heat Flux Beneath Ice Sheets Using Thermal Isostasy, in AGU Fall Meeting
 2019, AGU., 2019.
- Heesemann, M., Villinger, H., Fisher, A. T., Tréhu, A. M. and White, S.: Data report: testing and deployment of
 the new APCT-3 tool to determine in situ temperatures while piston coring, in Proceedings of the Integrated Ocean
 Drilling Program, 311, edited by M. Riedel, T. Collett, M. Malone, and the Expedition 311 Scientists, Integrated
 Ocean Drilling Program Management International, Inc., Washington, DC., 2006.
- Hillenbrand, C.-D., Smith, J. A., Hodell, D. A., Greaves, M., Poole, C. R., Kender, S., Williams, M., Andersen,
 T. J., Jernas, P. E. and Elderfield, H.: West Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by Holocene warm water incursions,
 Nature, 547(7661), 43, 2017.
- Hindmarsh, R. C. and Ritz, C. M.: How deep do you need to drill through ice to measure the geothermal heat
 flux?, in EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, vol. 14, p. 8629., 2012.

- Hondoh, T., Shoji, H., Watanabe, O., Salamatin, A. N. and Lipenkov, V. Y.: Depth-age and temperature
 prediction at Dome Fuji station, East Antarctica, Annals of Glaciology, 35, 384–390, 2002.
- Huang, Y., Chubakov, V., Mantovani, F., Rudnick, R. L. and McDonough, W. F.: A reference Earth model for
 the heat-producing elements and associated geoneutrino flux, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 14(6),
 2003–2029, 2013.
- 1466 Hughes, T.: Modeling ice sheets from the bottom up, Quaternary Science Reviews, 28(19–20), 1831–1849, 2009.
- Hyndman, R. D., Langseth, M. G. and Von Herzen, R. P.: Deep Sea Drilling Project geothermal measurements:
 a review, Reviews of geophysics, 25(8), 1563–1582, 1987.
- Hyvönen, E., Turunen, P., Vanhanen, E., Arkimaa, H. and Sutinen, R.: Airborne gamma-ray surveys in Finland,
 Aerogeophysics in Finland, 2004, 1972.
- 1471 Jaeger, J. C.: Numerical values for the temperature in radial heat flow, Journal of Mathematics and Physics, 34(1–4), 316–321, 1956.
- 1473 Jaeger, J. C.: The effect of the drilling fluid on temperatures measured in bore holes, Journal of Geophysical
 1474 Research, 66(2), 563–569, 1961.
- 1475 Jaeger, J. C.: Application of the theory of heat conduction to geothermal measurements, Terrestrial heat flow, 8,
 1476 7–23, 1965.
- James, D. W.: The thermal diffusivity of ice and water between- 40 and+ 60° C, Journal of Materials Science,
 3(5), 540–543, 1968.
- Jezek, K. C., Johnson, J. T., Drinkwater, M. R., Macelloni, G., Tsang, L., Aksoy, M. and Durand, M.: Radiometric
 approach for estimating relative changes in intraglacier average temperature, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience
 and Remote Sensing, 53(1), 134–143, 2014.
- Jordan, T., Martin, C., Ferraccioli, F., Matsuoka, K., Corr, H., Forsberg, R., Olesen, A. and Siegert, M. J.: Newly
 discovered geothermal anomaly at South Pole ice divide; origins and implications, in Geophysical Research
 Abstracts, vol. 20, p. 15511., 2018.
- 1485Jordan, T. A., Riley, T. R. and Siddoway, C. S.: The geological history and evolution of West Antarctica, Nature1486Reviews Earth & Environment, 1, 117–133, 2020.
- 1487 Kawakatsu, H. and Watada, S.: Seismic evidence for deep-water transportation in the mantle, Science, 316(5830),
 1468–1471, 2007.
- Kerr, Y. H., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J.-P., Delwart, S., Cabot, F., Boutin, J., Escorihuela, M.-J., Font, J., Reul,
 N. and Gruhier, C.: The SMOS mission: New tool for monitoring key elements of the global water cycle,
 Proceedings of the IEEE, 98(5), 666–687, 2010.
- Kingslake, J., Scherer, R. P., Albrecht, T., Coenen, J., Powell, R. D., Reese, R., Stansell, N. D., Tulaczyk, S.,
 Wearing, M. G. and Whitehouse, P. L.: Extensive retreat and re-advance of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet during
 the Holocene, Nature, 558(7710), 430–434, 2018.
- 1495 Korenaga, J.: Earth's heat budget: Clairvoyant geoneutrinos, Nature Geoscience, 4(9), 581, 2011.
- Kuchar, J. and Milne, G. A.: The influence of viscosity structure in the lithosphere on predictions from models of
 glacial isostatic adjustment, Journal of Geodynamics, 86, 1–9, 2015.
- Lachenbruch, A. H.: Preliminary geothermal model of the Sierra Nevada, Journal of Geophysical Research,
 73(22), 6977–6989, 1968.
- Lachenbruch, A. H.: Crustal temperature and heat production: Implications of the linear heat-flow relation, Journal
 of Geophysical Research, 75(17), 3291–3300, 1970.
 - 44

- Lachenbruch, A. H. and Brewer, M. C.: Dissipation of the temperature effect of drilling a well in Arctic Alaska,
 United States Geological Survey Bulletin, 1083–C, 73–109, 1959.
- Langel, R. A. and Hinze, W. J.: The magnetic field of the Earth's lithosphere: The satellite perspective, Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, UK., 1998.
- Larour, E., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., Schiermeier, J. and Rignot, E.: Ice flow sensitivity to geothermal heat flux of Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117(F4), 2012.
- Leat, P. T., Jordan, T. A., Flowerdew, M. J., Riley, T. R., Ferraccioli, F. and Whitehouse, M. J.: Jurassic high heat production granites associated with the Weddell Sea rift system, Antarctica, Tectonophysics, 722, 249–264, 2018.
- Lees, C. H.: On the shapes of the isogeotherms under mountain ranges in radio-active districts, Proceedings of the
 Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 83(563), 339–
 346, 1910.
- Li, C.-F., Lu, Y. and Wang, J.: A global reference model of Curie-point depths based on EMAG2, Scientific
 reports, 7, 45129, 2017.
- Llubes, M., Lanseau, C. and Rémy, F.: Relations between basal condition, subglacial hydrological networks and
 geothermal flux in Antarctica, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 241(3), 655–662, 2006.
- 1517 Lowrie, W.: Fundamentals of geophysics, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 2007.
- Lucazeau, F.: Analysis and mapping of an updated terrestrial heat flow dataset, Geochemistry, Geophysics,
 Geosystems, 20(8), 4001–4024, 2019.
- Macelloni, G., Leduc-Leballeur, M., Brogioni, M., Ritz, C. and Picard, G.: Analyzing and modeling the SMOS
 spatial variations in the East Antarctic Plateau, Remote sensing of environment, 180, 193–204, 2016.
- Macelloni, G., Leduc-Leballeur, M., Montomoli, F., Brogioni, M., Ritz, C. and Picard, G.: On the retrieval of
 internal temperature of Antarctica Ice Sheet by using SMOS observations, Remote Sensing of Environment, 233,
 111405, 2019.
- Mareschal, J. C. and Jaupart, C.: Radiogenic heat production, thermal regime and evolution of continental crust,
 Tectonophysics, 609, 524–534, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2012.12.001, 2013.
- 1527 Martin, A. P. and van der Wal, W., Eds.: The Antarctic Mantle, The Geological Society, London, UK., in prep.
- Martin, A. P., Cooper, A. F. and Price, R. C.: Increased mantle heat flow with on-going rifting of the West
 Antarctic rift system inferred from characterisation of plagioclase peridotite in the shallow Antarctic mantle,
 Lithos, 190, 173–190, 2014.
- Martos, Y. M., Catalán, M., Jordan, T. A., Golynsky, A., Golynsky, D., Eagles, G. and Vaughan, D. G.: Heat flux
 distribution of Antarctica unveiled, Geophysical Research Letters, 44(22), 11–417, 2017.
- Martos, Y. M., Jordan, T. A., Catalán, M., Jordan, T. M., Bamber, J. L. and Vaughan, D. G.: Geothermal heat flux
 reveals the Iceland hotspot track underneath Greenland, Geophysical Research Letters, 45(16), 8214–8222, 2018.
- Maus, S.: Magnetic field model MF7. Retrieved August 28, 2018, from www.geomag.us/models/MF7.html.,
 2010.
- 1537 Mayhew, M. A.: Inversion of satellite magnetic anomaly data, Journal of Geophysics, 45(1), 119–128, 1979.
- McDonough, W. F. and Sun, S. s.: The composition of the Earth, Chemical Geology, 120(3–4), 223–253,
 doi:10.1016/0009-2541(94)00140-4, 1995.

- McKay, R., De Santis, L., Kulhanek, D. K. and Expedition 374 Scientists: International Ocean Discovery Program
 Expedition 374 Preliminary Report: Ross Sea West Antarctic Ice Sheet History, International Ocean Discovery
 Program, 374, doi:https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.pr.374.2018, 2018.
- McKenzie, D., Jackson, J. and Priestley, K.: Thermal structure of oceanic and continental lithosphere, Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 233(3–4), 337–349, 2005.
- Morgan, J. P., Rüpke, L. H. and White, W. M.: The Current Energetics of Earth's Interior: A Gravitational Energy
 Perspective, Frontiers in Earth Science, 4(May), 1–28, doi:10.3389/feart.2016.00046, 2016.
- Morin, R. H., Williams, T., Henrys, S. A., Magens, D., Niessen, F. and Hansaraj, D.: Heat flow and hyd rologic
 characteristics at the AND-1B borehole, ANDRILL McMurdo Ice Shelf Project, Antarctica, Geosphere, 6(4),
 370–378, 2010.
- Mulder, J. A., Halpin, J. A., Daczko, N. R., Orth, K., Meffre, S., Thompson, J. M. and Morrissey, L. J.: A
 Multiproxy provenance approach to uncovering the assembly of East Gondwana in Antarctica, Geology, 47(7),
 645–649, 2019.
- Müller, C., Usbeck, R. and Miesner, F.: Temperatures in shallow marine sediments: Influence of thermal
 properties, seasonal forcing, and man-made heat sources, Applied Thermal Engineering, 108, 20–29, 2016.
- Mulvaney, R., Abram, N. J., Hindmarsh, R. C., Arrowsmith, C., Fleet, L., Triest, J., Sime, L. C., Alemany, O. and
 Foord, S.: Recent Antarctic Peninsula warming relative to Holocene climate and ice-shelf history, Nature,
 489(7414), 141–144, 2012.
- Mulvaney, R., Martin, C., Massam, A., Rix, J. and Ritz, C.: Estimating geothermal heat flux from ice sheet
 borehole temperature measurements, in XIII International Symosium on Antarctic Earth Sciences, Incheon,
 Republic of Korea., 2019.
- Nicholls, K. W. and Paren, J. G.: Extending the Antarctic meteorological record using ice-sheet temperature profiles, Journal of climate, 6(1), 141–150, 1993.
- Obande, G. E., Lawal, K. M. and Ahmed, L. A.: Spectral analysis of aeromagnetic data for geothermal investigation of Wikki Warm Spring, north-east Nigeria, Geothermics, 50, 85–90, 2014.
- Okubo, Y., Graf, R. J., Hansen, R. O., Ogawa, K. and Tsu, H.: Curie point depths of the island of Kyushu and surrounding areas, Japan, Geophysics, 50(3), 481–494, 1985.
- Olesen, O., Balling, N., Barrère, C., Breiner, N., Davidsen, B., Ebbing, J., Elvebakk, H., Gernigon, L., Koziel, J.,
 Lutro, O. and others: KONTIKI final report, continental crust and heat generation in 3D, NGU Report, 42, 2007.
- Pappa, F., Ebbing, J., Ferraccioli, F. and van der Wal, W.: Modeling satellite gravity gradient data to derive
 density, temperature, and viscosity structure of the Antarctic lithosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
 Earth, 124, 12053–12076, 2019a.
- Pappa, F., Ebbing, J. and Ferraccioli, F.: Moho Depths of Antarctica: Comparison of Seismic, Gravity, and
 Isostatic Results, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(3), 1629–1645, 2019b.
- Passalacqua, O., Ritz, C., Parrenin, F., Urbini, S. and Frezzotti, M.: Geothermal flux and basal melt rate in the
 Dome C region inferred from radar reflectivity and heat modelling, The Cryosphere, 11, 2231–2246,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2231-2017, 2017.
- 1577 Passalacqua, O., Picard, G., Ritz, C., Leduc-Leballeur, M., Quiquet, A., Larue, F. and Macelloni, G.: Retrieval of
 1578 the Absorption Coefficient of L-Band Radiation in Antarctica From SMOS Observations, Remote Sensing,
 10(12), 1954, 2018.
- 1580 Paterson, W. S. B.: The physics of glaciers, Third Edition., Elsevier, Oxford, UK., 1994.

- Pattyn, F.: Antarctic subglacial conditions inferred from a hybrid ice sheet/ice stream model, Earth and Planetary
 Science Letters, 295(3), 451–461, 2010.
- Pfender, M. and Villinger, H.: Miniaturized data loggers for deep sea sediment temperature gradient
 measurements, Marine Geology, 186(3–4), 557–570, 2002.
- Phaneuf, C. and Mareschal, J.-C.: Estimating concentrations of heat producing elements in the crust near the
 Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, Ontario, Canada, Tectonophysics, 622, 135–144, 2014.
- Pittard, M. L., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Roberts, J. L. and Watson, C. S.: Organization of ice flow by localized regions
 of elevated geothermal heat flux, Geophysical Research Letters, 43(7), 3342–3350, 2016a.
- Pittard, M. L., Roberts, J. L., Galton-Fenzi, B. K. and Watson, C. S.: Sensitivity of the Lambert-Amery glacial
 system to geothermal heat flux, Annals of Glaciology, 57(73), 56–68, 2016b.
- 1591 Pollack, H. N. and Chapman, D. S.: Mantle heat flow, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 34(2), 174–184, 1977.
- Pollack, H. N., Hurter, S. J. and Johnson, J. R.: Heat flow from the Earth's interior: Analysis of the global data
 set, Reviews of Geophysics, 31(3), 267–280, doi:10.1029/93RG01249, 1993.
- Pollard, D., DeConto, R. M. and Nyblade, A. A.: Sensitivity of Cenozoic Antarctic ice sheet variations to
 geothermal heat flux, Global and Planetary Change, 49(1), 63–74, 2005.
- Pollett, A., Hasterok, D., Raimondo, T., Halpin, J. A., Hand, M., Bendall, B. and McLaren, S.: Heat flow in
 southern Australia and connections with East Antarctica, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(11), 5352–
 5370, 2019.
- Popov, Y. A., Pevzner, S. L., Pimenov, V. P. and Romushkevich, R. A.: New geothermal data from the Kola
 superdeep well SG-3, Tectonophysics, 306(3–4), 345–366, 1999.
- Price, P. B., Nagornov, O. V., Bay, R., Chirkin, D., He, Y., Miocinovic, P., Richards, A., Woschnagg, K., Koci,
 B. and Zagorodnov, V.: Temperature profile for glacial ice at the South Pole: Implications for life in a nearby
 subglacial lake, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(12), 7844–7847, 2002.
- Pruss, E. F., Decker, E. R. and Smithson, S. B.: Preliminary temperature-measurements at DVDP holes 3, 4, 6,
 and 8, Antarctic Journal of the United States, 9(4), 133–134, 1974.
- Purucker, M.: Geothermal heat flux data set based on low resolution observations collected by the CHAMP
 satellite between 2000 and 2010, and produced from the MF-6 model following the technique described in Fox
 Maule et al. (2005), available at: http://websrv.cs.umt.edu/isis/images/c/c8/Ant arctica_heat_flux_5km.nc, 2012.
- 1609 Purucker, M. and Whaler, K.: Crustal magnetism, edited by M. Kono, Treatise on Geophysics, 5, 195–237, 2007.
- Ravat, D., Pignatelli, A., Nicolosi, I. and Chiappini, M.: A study of spectral methods of estimating the depth to
 the bottom of magnetic sources from near-surface magnetic anomaly data, Geophysical Journal International,
 169(2), 421–434, 2007.
- Rémy, F. and Legresy, B.: Subglacial hydrological networks in Antarctica and their impact on ice flow, Annals
 of Glaciology, 39, 67–72, 2004.
- Rezvanbehbahani, S., Stearns, L. A., Kadivar, A., Walker, J. D. and van der Veen, C. J.: Predicting the geothermal
 heat flux in Greenland: A machine learning approach, Geophysical Research Letters, 44(24), 12–271, 2017.
- Risk, G. F. and Hochstein, M. P.: Heat flow at arrival heights, Ross Island, Antarctica, New Zealand Journal of
 Geology and Geophysics, 17(3), 629–644, 1974.
- Ritz, C.: Time dependent boundary conditions for calculation of temperature fields in ice sheets, in The Physical
 Basis of Ice Sheet Modelling (Proceedings of the Vancouver Symposium, August 1987), vol. IAHS Publ. no. 170,
 pp. 207–216, Vancouver, Canada., 1987.

- 1622 Ritz, C.: Interpretation of the temperature profile measured at Vostok, East Antarctica, Annals of glaciology, 12,
 1623 138–144, 1989.
- Rix, J., Mulvaney, R., Hong, J. and Ashurst, D.: Development of the British Antarctic Survey Rapid Access
 Isotope Drill, Journal of Glaciology, 65(250), 288–298, 2019.
- Rogozhina, I., Hagedoorn, J. M., Martinec, Z., Fleming, K., Soucek, O., Greve, R. and Thomas, M.: Effects of
 uncertainties in the geothermal heat flux distribution on the Greenland Ice Sheet: An assessment of existing heat
 flow models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117, F02025, doi:doi:10.1029/2011JF002098,
- 1629 2012.
- 1630Ross, H. E., Blakely, R. J. and Zoback, M. D.: Testing the use of aeromagnetic data for the determination of Curie1631depth in California, Geophysics, 71(5), L51–L59, 2006.
- Roy, R. F., Blackwell, D. D. and Birch, F.: Heat generation of plutonic rocks and continental heat flow provinces,
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 5, 1–12, 1968.
- Rudnick, R. and Fountain, D.: Nature and composition of the continental crust: a lower crustal perspective,
 Reviews of Geophysics, (95), 267–309, 1995.
- Rudnick, R. L., McDonough, W. F. and O'Connell, R. J.: Thermal structure, thickness and composition of
 continental lithosphere, Chemical Geology, 145(3–4), 395–411, 1998.
- Rybach, L.: Amount and significance of radioactive heat sources in sediments, Collection colloques seminares,
 44, 311–322, 1986.
- Salamatin, A. N., Lipenkov, V. Y., Barkov, N. I., Jouzel, J., Petit, J. R. and Raynaud, D.: Ice core age dating and
 paleothermometer calibration based on isotope and temperature profiles from deep boreholes at Vostok Station
 (East Antarctica), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103(D8), 8963–8977, 1998.
- Salem, A., Green, C., Ravat, D., Singh, K. H., East, P., Fairhead, J. D., Mogren, S. and Biegert, E.: Depth to Curie
 temperature across the central Red Sea from magnetic data using the de-fractal method, Tectonophysics, 624, 75–
 86, 2014.
- Sandiford, M. and Hand, M.: Controls on the locus of intraplate deformation in central Australia, Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 162(1–4), 97–110, 1998.
- 1648Sandiford, M. and McLaren, S.: Tectonic feedback and the ordering of heat producing elements within the1649continental lithosphere, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 204(1), 133–150, 2002.
- Schroeder, D. M., Blankenship, D. D., Young, D. A. and Quartini, E.: Evidence for elevated and spatially variable
 geothermal flux beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(25),
 9070–9072, 2014.
- Sclater, J., Jaupart, C. and Galson, D.: The heat flow through oceanic and continental crust and the heat loss of
 the Earth, Reviews of Geophysics, 18(1), 269–311, 1980.
- 1655 Shapiro, N. M. and Ritzwoller, M. H.: Monte-Carlo inversion for a global shear-velocity model of the crust and upper mantle, Geophysical Journal International, 151(1), 88–105, 2002.
- Shapiro, N. M. and Ritzwoller, M. H.: Inferring surface heat flux distributions guided by a global seismic model:
 particular application to Antarctica, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 223(1), 213–224, 2004.
- Shen, W., Wiens, D., Lloyd, A. and Nyblade, A.: A Geothermal heat flux map of Antarctica empirically
 constrained by seismic structure, Geophysical Research Letters, e2020GL086955, 2020.
- 1661Siddoway, C. S.: Tectonics of the West Antarctic Rift System: new light on the history and dynamics of distributed1662intracontinental extension, Antarctica: A Keystone in a Changing World, 91–114, 2008.

- 1663 Siegert, M. J.: Antarctic subglacial lakes, Earth-Science Reviews, 50(1), 29–50, 2000.
- Siegert, M. J. and Dowdeswell, J. A.: Spatial variations in heat at the base of the Antarctic ice sheet from analysis
 of the thermal regime above subglacial lakes, Journal of Glaciology, 42(142), 501–509, 1996.
- Slagstad, T.: Radiogenic heat production of Archaean to Permian geological provinces in Norway., Norwegian
 Journal of Geology/Norsk Geologisk Forening, 88(3), 2008.
- Spector, A. and Grant, F. S.: Statistical models for interpreting aeromagnetic data, Geophysics, 35(2), 293–302,
 1970.
- Stein, C. A. and Stein, S.: A model for the global variation in oceanic depth and heat flow with lithospheric age,Nature, 359(6391), 123, 1992.
- 1672 Stein, C. A. and Stein, S.: Mantle plumes: heat-flow near Iceland, Astronomy & Geophysics, 44(1), 1–8, 2003.
- Suárez, F., Dozier, J., Selker, J. S., Hausner, M. B. and Tyler, S. W.: Heat transfer in the environment:
 development and use of fiber-optic distributed temperature sensing, INTECH Open Access Publisher Rijeka.,
 2011.
- Swanberg, C. A.: Vertical distribution of heat generation in the Idaho batholith, Journal of Geophysical Research,
 77(14), 2508–2513, 1972.
- Talalay, P. G. and Pyne, A. R.: Geological drilling in McMurdo Dry Valleys and McMurdo Sound, Antarctica:
 Historical development, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 141, 131–162, 2017.
- Tanaka, A., Okubo, Y. and Matsubayashi, O.: Curie point depth based on spectrum analysis of the magnetic
 anomaly data in East and Southeast Asia, Tectonophysics, 306(3–4), 461–470, 1999.
- Taylor, S. R. and McLennan, S. M.: The continental crust: its composition and evolution, Blackwell Publishing,
 Oxford, UK., 1985.
- Trifonova, P., Zhelev, Z., Petrova, T. and Bojadgieva, K.: Curie point depths of Bulgarian territory inferred from
 geomagnetic observations and its correlation with regional thermal structure and seismicity, Tectonophysics,
 473(3–4), 362–374, 2009.
- 1687 Turcotte, D. L. and Schubert, G.: Geodynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK., 2014.
- 1688 Ukil, A., Braendle, H. and Krippner, P.: Distributed temperature sensing: review of technology and applications,
 1689 IEEE Sensors Journal, 12(5), 885–892, 2011.
- Van Liefferinge, B. and Pattyn, F.: Using ice-flow models to evaluate potential sites of million year-old ice in
 Antarctica, Climate of the Past, 9(5), 2335–2345, 2013.
- Van Liefferinge, B., Pattyn, F., Cavitte, M. G., Karlsson, N. B., Young, D. A., Sutter, J. and Eisen, O.: Promising
 Oldest Ice sites in East Antarctica based on thermodynamical modelling, The Cryosphere, 12(8), 2773–2787,
 2018.
- Veikkolainen, T. and Kukkonen, I. T.: Highly varying radiogenic heat production in Finland, Fennoscandian
 Shield, Tectonophysics, 750, 93–116, 2019.
- Vieli, G.-M. L., Martin, C., Hindmarsh, R. C. A. and Lüthi, M. P.: Basal freeze-on generates complex ice-sheet
 stratigraphy, Nature communications, 9(1), 1–13, 2018.
- Vitorello, I. and Pollack, H. N.: On the variation of continental heat flow with age and the thermal evolution of continents, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 85(B2), 983–995, 1980.

- 1701 Vosteen, H.-D. and Schellschmidt, R.: Influence of temperature on thermal conductivity, thermal capacity and
 1702 thermal diffusivity for different types of rock, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 28(9–11), 499–
 1703 509, 2003.
- van der Wal, W., Barnhoorn, A., Stocchi, P., Gradmann, S., Wu, P., Drury, M. and Vermeersen, B.: Glacial
 isostatic adjustment model with composite 3-D Earth rheology for Fennoscandia, Geophysical Journal
 International, 194(1), 61–77, 2013.
- van der Wal, W., Whitehouse, P. L. and Schrama, E. J.: Effect of GIA models with 3D composite mantle viscosity
 on GRACE mass balance estimates for Antarctica, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 414, 134–143, 2015.
- Wangen, M.: Physical principles of sedimentary basin analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.,2010.
- Wasilewski, P. J. and Mayhew, M. A.: The Moho as a magnetic boundary revisited, Geophysical Research Letters,
 19(22), 2259–2262, 1992.
- Winsborrow, M. C., Clark, C. D. and Stokes, C. R.: What controls the location of ice streams?, Earth-Science
 Reviews, 103(1), 45–59, 2010.
- Wright, A. and Siegert, M.: A fourth inventory of Antarctic subglacial lakes, Antarctic Science, 24(6), 659–664,
 2012.
- 1717 Zagorodnov, V., Nagornov, O., Scambos, T. A., Muto, A., Mosley-Thompson, E., Pettit, E. C. and Tyuflin, S.:
- Borehole temperatures reveal details of 20th century warming at Bruce Plateau, Antarctic Peninsula, The Cryosphere, 6(3), 675–686, 2012.