The retrieval of snow properties from SLSTR/ Sentinel-3 - part 1: method description and sensitivity study

Linlu Mei¹, Vladimir Rozanov¹, Christine Pohl¹, Marco Vountas¹, John P. Burrows¹

6

¹ Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Germany

7

4

5

8 Abstract

9 The eXtensible Bremen Aerosol/cloud and surfacE parameters Retrieval (XBAER) algorithm has been designed for the Top-Of-Atmosphere reflectance measured by the Sea and Land 10 Surface Temperature Radiometer (SLSTR) instrument onboard Sentinel-3 to derive snow 11 properties: Snow Grain Size (SGS), Snow Particle Shape (SPS) and Specific Surface Area (SSA) 12 13 under cloud-free conditions. This is the first part of the paper, to describe the retrieval method and the sensitivity study. Nine pre-defined SPSs (aggregate of 8 columns, Drontal, hollow 14 bullet rosettes, hollow column, plate, aggregate of 5 plates, aggregate of 10 plates, solid bullet 15 rosettes, column) are used to describe the snow optical properties. The optimal SGS and SPS 16 17 are estimated iteratively utilizing a Look-Up-Table (LUT) approach. The SSA is then calculated using another pre-calculated LUT for the retrieved SGS and SPS. The optical 18 properties (e.g., phase function) of the ice crystals can reproduce the wavelength-19 dependent/angular-dependent snow reflectance features, compared to laboratory measurements. 20 A comprehensive study to understand the impact of aerosol, SPS, ice crystal surface roughness, 21 22 cloud contamination, instrument spectral response function, snow habit mixture model, and snow vertical inhomogeneity on the retrieval accuracy of snow properties has been performed 23 based on SCIATRAN radiative transfer simulations. The main findings are (1) Snow angular 24 and spectral reflectance feature can be described by the predefined ice crystal properties only 25 when both SGS and SPS can be optimally and iteratively obtained; (2) The impact of ice crystal 26 surface roughness plays minor effects on the retrieval results; (3) SGS and SSA show an inverse 27 28 linear relationship; (4) The retrieval of SSA assuming non-convex particle shape, compared to

convex particle (e.g. sphere) shows larger results; (5) Aerosol/cloud contamination due to unperfected atmospheric correction and cloud screening introduces underestimation of SGS, "inaccurate" SPS and overestimation of SSA; (6) The impact of instrument spectral response function introduces an overestimation on retrieved SGS, an underestimation on retrieved SSA and no impact on retrieved SPS; (7) The investigation, by taking a ice crystal particle size distribution and habit mixture into account, reveals that XBAER retrieved SGS agrees better with the mean size, rather than the mode size for a given particle size distribution.

36

37 **1 Introduction**

38 Snow properties such as snow albedo, Snow Grain Size (SGS), Snow Particle Shape (SPS), 39 Specific Surface Area (SSA), snow purity (Warren and Wiscombe, 1980; Painter et al., 2003; Hansen and Nazarenko, 2004; Taillandier et al., 2007; Gallet et al., 2009; Battaglia et al., 2010; 40 Gardner et al., 2010; Domine et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Qu et al., 2015; Baker et al., 2019; 41 42 Pohl et al., 2020a) show large variabilities temporally and spatially (Kukla et al., 1986). They play important roles in the global radiation budget, which is critical to some well-known 43 phenomenon such as the Arctic amplification (Serreze and Francis, 2006; Domine et al., 2019). 44 Satellites offer an effective way to understand the surface-atmosphere processes and 45 corresponding feedback mechanisms on the regional, continental and/or global scales (Konig 46 et al., 2001; Pope et al., 2014). Satellite derived snow products (e.g., SGS, SPS, and SSA) are 47 particularly important for short-term hydrological, meteorological and climatological 48 modelling (Livneh et al., 2009). A high-quanlity snow property data product can also be applied 49 50 to derive Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT) over cryosphere (Mei et al., 2020a). High-quality satellite derived snow products and their by-products are also important for the creation of long-51 52 term "Climate Data Records" (SSMC, 2014), which enable a better investigation and interpretation concerning global climate change (Konig et al., 2001). However, both the 53 definition and the corresponding data accuracy of SGS are poor (Langlois et al., 2020) while 54 there is no existing SPS satellite product. The lack of good information on SGS and SPS leads 55 to low quality of SSA (Gallet et al., 2009). The accuracy of SGS, SPS and SSA limits the model 56

performance for the prediction of snow properties related to climate change issues. Lack of
information of SGS and SPS also restricts the accuracy of snow bidirectional reflectance
estimation, which further limits the retrieval possibilities of aerosol and cloud properties above
snow (Mei et al., 2020a, 2020b).

61 A comprehensive overview of remote sensing of SGS, SPS, and SSA can be found in many previous publications (e.g., Li et al., 2001; Stamnes et al., 2007; Koren, 2009; Lyapustin 62 63 et al., 2009; Dietz et al., 2012; Wiebe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2012; Mary et al., 2013; Kokhanovsky, et al., 2019; Xiong et al., 2018). The variation of SGS leads to the large 64 65 variability of Top Of Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance in NIR/SWIR spectral ranges while SPS 66 shows a strong impact on TOA reflectance at visible channels (Warren and Wiscombe, 1980). Different retrieval algorithms have been developed for different instruments. For instance, the 67 MODIS Snow Covered-Area and Grain size (MODSCAG) retrieval algorithm and Multi-Angle 68 Implementation of Atmospheric Correction (MAIAC) algorithm have been used to derive SGS 69 70 using MODIS and VIIRS instruments (Painter et al., 2003; 2009; Lyapustin et al., 2009).

71 Snow particle shape is another important parameter which affects the estimation of snow 72 properties, such as albedo (Räisänen et al., 2017; Flanner and Zender, 2006), because ice crystals with different shapes have different optical properties (Jin et al., 2008; Yang et al., 73 74 2013). The absorption and extinction cross-sections of an ice crystal can be described as a function of size, shape, and refractive index at a given wavelength (van de Hulst 1981; 75 Mischenko et al., 2002 and references therein). Natural snow consists of grains, depending on 76 77 temperature, humidity, and meteorological conditions, which have numerous different shapes (Nakaya, 1954). SPSs have been classified into different categories, the classification has been 78 79 increased from 21 (Nakaya and Sekido, 1938) to 121 categories (Kikuchi et al., 2013). Although spherical shape assumption is typically used for field measurements (Flanner and Zender, 2006; 80 81 Donahue et al., 2020), this approximation is not recommended to be used in retrieval algorithms of satellite measurements because it leads to large differences between observed and simulated 82 wavelength-dependent snow bidirectional reflectance, especially at visible wavelengths 83 84 (Leroux and Fily et al., 1998; Aoki et al., 2000; Jin et al., 2008; Dumont et al., 2010; Libois et 85 al., 2013). Improper wavelength-dependent snow bidirectional reflectance caused by a

predefined SPS leads to low-quality satellite retrieval results. Some attempts to derive SPS in
the ice cloud can be found in previous publications (McFarlane et al., 2005; Cole et al., 2014).

88 According to Legagneux et al., (2002), SSA is defined as the surface area of ice crsytal per unit mass, i.e., $SSA = A_t/\rho V$, where A_t and V are total surface area and volume, respectively, 89 p is the ice density. SSA includes information on both SGS and SPS and it is often used to 90 describe the surface area available for chemical processes (Taillandier et al., 2007; Domine et 91 92 al., 2011; Yamaguchi et al., 2019). SSA is reported to have a good relationship with snow 93 spectral albedo at the short wave infrared wavelengths (Domine et al., 2007). Optical methods 94 are routinely used to measure SSA in the field (Gallet et al., 2009). Empirical equations have 95 been proposed to describe the change of SSA (Legagneux and Domine, 2005; Taillandier et al., 2007). Few attempts have been made to derive SSA from satellite observations (Mary et al., 96 2013; Xiong et al., 2018). 97

98 This paper presents a new retrieval algorithm to derive SGS, SPS, and SSA from satellite 99 observations. In a snow-atmosphere system, satellite observed TOA reflectances are affected 100 by numerous snow and atmospheric parameters. The parameters, which will be estimated in the 101 framework of the eXtensible Bremen Aerosol/cloud and surfacE parameters Retrieval (XBAER) algorithm, will be called the target parameters. Other parameters, which the TOA reflectance 102 103 also depends on, will be called the model parameters. In the case of the XBAER algorithm, the target parameters are SGS, SPS, and SSA, whereas the model parameters are aerosol loading, 104 cloud optical thickness, and gaseous absorption. Throughout the paper, SGS will be 105 106 characterized by an effective radius. Following Baum et al., (2011), the effective radius is 107 defined as $3V/(4A_p)$, where V and A_p are the volume and average projected area, respectively. 108 As can be seen, in the case of a spherical particle, the effective radius is equal to the radius of the sphere. The general concept of the retrieval algorithm is to use simultaneously spectral and 109 110 angular reflectance measurements, which are sensitive to SGS and SPS. The spectral channels used in the XBAER algorithm are 0.55 µm and 1.6 µm. Both nadir and oblique observation 111 directions from SLSTR are used. An optimal SGS and SPS pair is achieved by minimizing the 112 difference between measured and simulated atmospheric-corrected surface reflectances. SSA 113 is then calculated based on the retrieved SGS and SPS. Nine predefined SPSs (aggregate of 8 114

columns, droxtal, hollow bullet rosettes, hollow column, plate, aggregate of 5 plates, aggregate
of 10 plates, solid bullet rosettes, column) (Yang et al., 2013, see Table 1) are used to describe
the snow optical properties and to simulate the snow surface reflectance at 0.55 and 1.6 µm at
two observation angles.

119 Three points we would like to emphasize to avoid misunderstandings between snow120 science community and remote sesning community.

- 121 \triangleright Usage the Yang et al (2013) database for ice crystal in the air (ice cloud) and on the ground (snow). The optical properties of ice crystals presented by Yang et al., 122 (2013) have been widely used to study ice clouds. In recent publications, it has been 123 124 demonstrated that they can also be used for snow studies (Räisänen et al, 2015; Pirazzini et al., 2015; Saito et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2019; Pohl et al., 2020b). In 125 fact, the single-scattering properties of ice crystals in Yang et al., (2013) database are 126 determined solely by given particle size, shape, and refractive index. They can be 127 128 used to describe the optical properties of both snow particles and ice cloud particles when the particle models represent the aforementioned optical/physical properties 129 (Saito et al., 2019; Personal communication with Dr. Saito). 130
- \triangleright Snow particle shape observed from field measurements and derived from 131 satellite observations. For scientists working in a laboratory or on campaign-based 132 133 studies, the best way to get an image of snow is to use an X-ray microtomography or confocal scanning optical microscope/scanning electron microscope (Hagenmuller et 134 al., 2016; Baker et al., 2019; Personal communication with Dr. Ian Baker). In a field 135 measurement and its related application areas (e.g., calculation of snow albedo), a 136 spherical shape assumption is widely used because it is easier to derive other snow 137 138 properties such as SSAs and snow albedo based on this assumption, compared to other more complicated shapes (see Appendix). The assumption of spherical and non-139 140 spherical shape has much less impact on the estimation of snow albedo compared to the bidirectional reflection features of snow (Grenfel and Warren, 1999; Dumont et 141 al., 2010). Because SPS has a significant impact on the ice crystal phase function 142

143 while it has a relatively weak impact on the snow extinction/absorption coefficient (Jin et al., 2008). However, the spherical shape cannot be used to provide typical 144 bidirectional reflection features of snow with required accuracy (Jin et al., 2008; 145 Dumon et al., 2010; Jiao et al., 2019), which is the fundamental basis to derive snow 146 147 properties from satellite remote sensing techniques. Thus, more complicated SPSs, such as those proposed by Yang et al (2013), are recommended to use in the 148 149 simulations of the angular distribution of snow reflectance. Besides, both snow albedo and directional reflectance are affected by other factors such as how single particle 150 aggregates; 151

152 \triangleright SGS and SSA. Although the definition of snow grain constitutes is an ongoing debate in different communities, SGS and SPS are two fundamental inputs for any radiative 153 transfer model, which is the basis for the satellite retrievals (Langlois et al., 2020). 154 Typically, the SSA is more preferable within the snow science community because 155 156 SSA is commonly used in further applications based on field measurements. We note, however, according to the definition of SSA, for a given SPS, a unique relationship 157 between SGS and SSA can be derived. SPS is the intermediate but fundamental 158 159 parameter needed to retrieve SSA in our XBAER algorithm.

This paper is structured as follows: observations characteristics of SLSTR and the laboratory measurements used for sensitivity studies are described in section 2. The theoretical background and the ice crystal database (Yang et al., 2013) are presented in section 3. Section 4 describes the eXtensible Bremen Aerosol/cloud and surfacE parameters Retrieval (XBAER) algorithm. The results of a comprehensive sensitivity study using SCIATRAN (Rozanov et al., 2014) simulations are presented in section 5. The conclusions are given in section 6.

166

167

Table 1 Snow particle shape provided in Yang et al (2013) database. The abbreviations are
 introduced here will be used later

Snow particle shape	Abbreviation	Schematic drawing
Aggregate of 8 columns	col8e	
Droxtal	droxa	
Hollow bullet rosettes	holbr	*
Hollow column	holco	
Plate	pla_1	
Aggregate of 5 plates	pla_5	P. C.
Aggregate of 10 plates	pla_10	
Solid bullet rosettes	solbr	*
Column	solco	

171

172

173 **2 Data**

174 **2.1 SLSTR instrument**

The satellite data will be used twofold throughout the paper. In the first part, we perform a statistical analysis of the SLSTR observation/illumination geometries to select realistic settings for the sensitivity study. In the second part of the companion paper, the satellite measurements will be used as the inputs of the XBAER algorithm to derive the research satellite products of SGS, SPS, and SSA. 180 The SLSTR instrument onboard the European Space Agency (ESA) satellite Sentinel-3 is the successor of the Advanced Along-Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR) instrument, which is used 181 182 to maintain continuity with the (A)ATSR series of instruments. SLSTR takes the heritage of AATSR instrument characteristics, especially the dual-viewing observation capabilities and wavelength 183 settings. In order to have a reasonable setting for observation/illumination geometries in the 184 185 sensitivity study, we perform a statistical analysis of the SLSTR observation geometries (solar zenith angle, SZA, viewing zenith angle, VZA, relative azimuth angle, RAA), similar as Mei et al 186 187 (2020a). This analysis is essential because 1) it provides a realistic setting of observation/illumination geometries in our sensitivity studies; 2) it helps us to have a complete 188 understanding of the observation/illumination related surface/atmospheric properties. Here the 189 definition of RAA has been harmonized with SCIATRAN (Rozanov et al., 2014), namely, RAA 190 191 value is equal to 0° under strict glint condition. The statistical analysis has been performed using 192 observations over Greenland during April and September 2017. April and September are reported 193 to be representativeness months of the Arctic (Mei et al., 2020a). Please be noted that these two 194 months are picked up to represent the SLSTR observation characteristic with typical solar 195 illumination angle, the change of underlying surface properties plays no role in such selection. Fig. 196 1 shows the frequency of SLSTR observation geometries. The upper panel shows the SZA with 197 SLSTR nadir and oblique observations for April and September. We can see that the SZA occurs 198 frequently with a value of 70° for selected months. The VZA and RAA for oblique observation mode 199 are typically around 55° and in a range of [110°, 170°], respectively. The observation geometries 200 for nadir observation show relatively large variabilities due to larger swath width compared to 201 oblique (1400 km vs 700 km). Larger SZA can be found especially at the edge of the swath. The 202 VZA and RAA for oblique observation mode are typically in ranges of $[0^{\circ}, 55^{\circ}]$ and $[70^{\circ}, 140^{\circ}]$, 203 respectively. According to the statistical analysis, a combination of SZA, VZA, RAA of 70°, 30°, 135° for nadir observation and 70°, 55°, 135° for oblique observation can be a reasonable setting 204 205 for the SLSTR observation geometries for the sensitivity study.



207

Fig. 1 Upper panel is the histograms of SZA for SLSTR observations: (a) nadir during April;
(b) oblique during April, (c) nadir during September; (d) oblique during September. Lower
panel is the polar plots of (VZA, RAA) probability for AATSR observations: (e) nadir during
April; (f) oblique during April, (g) nadir during September; (h) oblique during September.

213 **2.2 Laboratory measurements**

Laboratory measurements of the bidirectional reflectance of snow samples contain important information about the dependence of the angular structure of snow reflection on the lighting geometry, wavelength, and snow physical properties. The comparison of measured and modeled bidirectional reflectance helps to establish the conceptual ideas for the retrieval algorithm. For this comparison, we have selected measurements of fresh and aged snow samples presented by Dumont et al., (2010) and Peltoniemi et al., (2009), respectively.

The fresh snow sample, a cylinder of 30 cm diameter and 12 cm height, was taken from new wet snow layer at Col de Porte (Chartreuse, France) at 1300 meter above sea level during January 2008 (Dumont et al., 2010). The sample was stored in a cold room at -10° C for one week to avoid metamorphic effects during the ensuing measurements. To obtain the Bidirectional Reflectance Factor (BRF), the snow sample was illuminated by a monochromatic light source at incidence zenith angle of 60°. The spectral BRF between 500 and 2600 nm was measured at viewing zenith angles of 0°, 30°, 60°, 70° and relative azimuth angles 0°, 45°, 90°, 135°, 180° by a spectrogonio-radiometer developed at the Laboratoire de Planétologie de
Grenoble, France, and using a Spectralon® and an infragold® sample as a reference (see
Dumont et al., (2010) for further details).

The aged snow sample, a cuboid of more than 10 cm height, was taken from an old dry 230 snow layer at Masala, Finland, and brought into a warm laboratory. The spectral BRF between 231 350 and 2500 nm was measured during the aged process by the Finnish geodetic institute field 232 233 goniospectro-polariphotometer (FIGIFIGO) and using a Labsphere Spectralon 99% white 234 reference plate. For illumination, a 1000 W Oriel Research Quartz tungsten halogen lamp at a 235 zenith angle of 60° was utilized (Peltoniemi et al., 2009). Spectral BRF was obtained at viewing zenith angles up to 70° in 1° resolution and at relative azimuth angles of 0°, 90°, 130°, 160°, 236 180°, 270°, 310°, and 340°. The first and last measurements were done in the principal plane, 237 indicating minor metamorphism in the snow layer during the measurement. 238

239

240

241 **3** Dependence of snow reflectance on target parameters

A comprehensive data library (Yang et al., 2013) containing the scattering, absorption, and polarization properties of ice particles in the spectral range from 0.2 to 15 μm was used to calculate radiative transfer through a snow layer (Pohl et al., 2020b). A full set of singlescattering properties is available for nine ice crystal habits presented in Table 1. The maximum dimension of each habit ranges from 2 to 10000 μm in 189 discrete sizes.

The optical properties of ice crystals depend on wavelength, ice crystal size, and shape. Maximal dependence of the single-scattering albedo on the particle size is observed in the spectral ranges where ice absorption cannot be neglected. The asymmetry factor depends on the particle size for the whole spectral range. This dependence can be weaker or stronger at a selected wavelength depending on SPS (see Yang et al., (2013) for details).

To better illustrate the impact of SGS and SPS on the radiative transfer through a snow layer, we have calculated the reflectance of the snow layer consisting of droxtals, aggregates of 8 columns, hollow columns, and plates with crystal surface roughness condition as severely roughened. The simulations of snow reflectance were performed using the radiative transfer

package SCIATRAN (Rozanov et al., 2014). The snow layer was defined as a layer directly 256 over a black surface, with snow optical thickness of 500 and a snow geometrical thickness of 257 258 1m. The snow layer is assumed to be vertically and horizontally homogeneous without any surface roughness and composed of monodisperse ice crystals. The impact of snow impurities 259 and scattering/absorption processes in the atmosphere was neglected at this stage. The 260 261 reflectance of the snow layer as a function of the effective radius of ice crystal at wavelengths 0.55 µm and 1.6 µm is presented in Fig. 2. The calculations were performed for typical SLSTR 262 263 instrument observation/illumination geometries (see section 2.1), with SZA, VZA, and RAA equal to 70°, 30°, and 135° (scattering angle 129°). 264

There are a couple of criteria we considered for the selection of the optimal wavelengths
(0.55 μm and 1.6 μm) in XBAER algorithm, for the purpose of creating a long-term satellite
snow properties dataset with good and stable accuracy.

- Taking the overlap channels between AATSR and SLSTR because a consistent
 long-term satellite snow dataset is possible only when the same algorithm can be
 applied on both AATSR and SLSTR instruments. In particular, the overlap channels
 between AATSR and SLSTR are 0.55, 0.66, 0.87, 1.6, 3.7, 10.85, and 12μm.
- Picking up wavelengths, for which contribution of thermal emission can be
 ignored, then 0.55, 0.66, 0.87, and 1.6 μm remain.
- 274 \triangleright Deleting the channel 0.66µm to avoid the potential impact of O₃ absorption, 275 after that, 0.55, 0.87, and 1.6 µm remain.

276>Taking into account, that the retrieval algorithm is a two-stage algorithm, namely,277first it uses channels with minimum impact of ice crystal shape to retrieve the grain278size, and then it selects the shape using channels with minimum impact of grain size.279Accounting for that the channel $0.87\mu m$ is impacted by both size and shape, 0.55 and2801.6 μm channels were picked up for the retrieval.

The right panel of Fig. 2 demonstrates the strong dependence of the snow layer reflectance
at 1.6 μm on the SGS. One can also see that the dependence of snow reflectance on SPS cannot

283 be neglected. In particular, the same reflectance can be obtained with a combination of different 284 SGS and SPS. For instance, one can see from the right panel of Fig. 2 that, the reflectance of 285 the snow layer consisting of droxtals with SGS=200 μ m or of plates with SGS=65 μ m equals ~ 0.035 in both cases. Thus, assuming different SPSs, the values of retrieved SGS can differ 3 286 times. The left panel of Fig. 2 demonstrates the dependence of the snow layer reflectance at 0.55 287 288 µm on SGS and SPS. It can be seen that the dependence of reflectance on SGS is very weak for droxtals and aggregate of 8 columns. However, reflectance at 0.55 µm decreases with an 289 290 increase of SGS for hollow columns and plates. The weak oscillations for the reflectances at 291 $0.55 \,\mu\text{m}$ can be explained by the joint impact of oscillations in the single-scattering albedo and 292 elements of the scattering matrix presented in the original database. Although the reason for the oscillation in the database is unclear, it is unlikely due to physical phenomena (Dr. M. Saito, 293 294 personal communication).



Fig 2. Reflectance of snow layer at 0.55 µm and 1.6 µm calculated assuming different SPS.
Observation/illumination geometry: SZA, VZA and RAA were set to 70°, 30° and 135°,
respectively.

To illustrate this point, the dependence of the phase function at 129° scattering angle on SGS is shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. The phase functions (F11 element of the scattering matrix) were extracted from the original database. According to the left panel of Fig. 3, the dependence of snow surface reflectance at 0.55 µm on SGS and SPS is caused mainly by the phase function of ice crystal. Weak oscillations can also be found.

The above analysis shows that accurate retrieval of SGS requires adequate information 304 305 about SPS and accounting for the dependence of the phase function on SGS. To better illustrate the impacts of SGS on ice crystal phase function, we calculated reflectance at 1.6 µm with 306 307 different SGS values. The right panel of Fig. 3 represents the reflectance of the snow layer, consisting of aggregates of 8 columns, calculated accounting for the dependence of the phase 308 function on the effective radius (black line) and assuming constant phase function for three 309 selected effective radii equal to 15, 150, and 1150 µm (red, green, and blue lines, respectively). 310 It can be seen that the accurate simulation of snow reflection requires accounting for the 311 312 dependence of phase function on SGS.

313 The main findings of presented investigations can be formulated as follows:

314 \succ reflectance of a snow layer depends on both SGS and SPS;

accurate simulation of snow surface reflectance requires accounting for the dependence of
phase function on SGS;

317 > spectral channels in the visible spectral range is more sensitive to SPS compared to SGS;

spectral channels in the near infrared spectral range is more sensitive to SGS compared to
SPS.

320

321



Fig 3. Left panel: phase function at 0.55 μm for scattering angle of 129°, extracted from the original database (Yang et al., 2013) as a function of effective radius. Right panel: reflectance of snow layer at 1.6 μm consisting of aggregate of 8 columns, calculated assuming that: 1: phase function depends on the effective radius (black line); 2: phase function is constant corresponding to the effective radius 15μm (red line); 3: same as 2 but for effective radius of 150 μm (green line); 4: same as 2 but for effective radius of 1150 μm (blue line).

322

Although the global classification snow crystal, ice crystal, and solid precipitation 330 particles suggested in Kikuchi et al. (2013) consist of the 121 particle types, we restrict 331 ourselves, in the retrieval algorithm, with nine shapes of ice crystals, for which optical 332 characteristics are represented in database (Yang et al., 2013). And these nine shapes have been 333 334 proven to be used to reproduce typical wavelength/angular features of snow reflectance in 335 reality, especially from satellite observations (Räisänen et al, 2015; Pirazzini et al., 2015; Saito et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2019; Pohl et al., 2020b). To futher illustrate that the selected 336 dataset is able to reproduce the BRF of different snow types, we compared the simulated and 337 measured BRF of fresh (Dumont et al., 2010) and aged (Peltoniemi et al., 2009) snow samples. 338

To reproduce the spectral BRF by SCIATRAN, we use the setup described above in this section 339 and adjust the SGS for each SPS by minimizing the deviation between simulated and measured 340 341 reflectance at 1.6 µm. Figure 4 shows the simulated BRF in the principal plane at 0.55 µm of fresh and aged snow samples, as well as the respective measurements. The BRF is defined as 342 π I/F, where I is the reflected radiance and F is the incident irradiance. According to Fig. 4(a), 343 344 for fresh snow, plates are the best shape to reproduce the measured BRF in the vicinity of the forward scattering peak but plates underestimate the BRF at higher viewing zenith angles in the 345 346 backscattering region. Here, shapes of hollow bullet rosette, hollow column, aggregate of 10 plates exhibit better potential to simulate the fresh snow layer BRF. In the case of aged snow, 347 shapes of solid and hollow column, hollow bullet rosette, and aggregate of 5 and 10 plates 348 provide BRF values in conformity with respective measurements. However, they slightly 349 350 underestimate the BRF at high zenith angles in the backscattering region where aggregate of 8 351 columns can simulate the aged snow BRF better.



Fig. 4 The comparison of angle dependence of laboratory-measured and simulated snow
reflectance: (a) fresh snow sample; (b)aged snow sample. Symbols - measurements, lines –
simulations with SCIATRAN assuming different SPS (see legend).

The above analysis demonstrates that the selected database of SPS can be used successfully to reproduce measured BRF of both fresh and aged snow samples. Similar results were obtained by Pohl et al., (2020b). In this paper, top of atmosphere BRF at 865 nm derived from POLarization and Directionality of the Earth's Reflectances 3 (POLDER-3) on Polarization & Anisotropy of Reflectances for Atmospheric Sciences coupled with Observations from a Lidar (PARASOL) measurements over a pure snow surface in Greenland 362 (70.5° N, 47.3° W) on 6 July 2008 were compared with the SCIATRAN simulations, using
363 droxtals, solid bullet rosettes, and solid columns.

According to the above analysis, we can formulate the general algorithm to retrieve SGS and SPS from satellite observations. Satellite provides the wavelength-dependent TOA reflectance, for a given SGS and SPS pair, the minimization between satellite observed TOA reflectance and theoretical simulation is performed. The optimal SGS and SPS are obtained when the difference between observations and simulations reaches the predefined criteria. The SSA is then calculated by the retrieved SGS and SPS.

370

371 **4 XBAER Algorithm**

372 The retrieval algorithm consists of three stages. The first stage includes the estimation of 373 SGS using the effective Lambertian surface albedo after atmospheric correction for selected 374 observation geometries and wavelengths. This step is performed based on the path radiance representation (Mei et al., 2017), in which the TOA reflectance can be described by the 375 376 contribution from the atmosphere and the interaction between atmosphere and surface. The inverse to derive the surface reflectance from the satellite observed TOA reflectance is called 377 the atmospheric correction. And due to certain assumptions in the path radiance 378 representation, the derived surface reflectance is equivalent to the effective Lambertian 379 380 surface albedo. The estimation of SGS is obtained solving the following minimization problem with respect to the effective radius, r, of snow crystals: 381

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}_{e} - \boldsymbol{R}_{s}(r)\|^{2} \to \min.$$
(1)

Here, A_e and $R_s(r)$ are two vectors which components are the effective Lambertian surface albedo and the simulated snow reflectance, respectively. The dimension of these vectors is the number of wavelengths times the number of viewing directions.

The simulation of snow reflectance (components of vectors $\mathbf{R}_{s}(\mathbf{r})$) was performed using the radiative transfer package SCIATRAN (Rozanov et al., 2014) as described in Section 3. 388 The optical properties of nine SPSs, listed in Table 1, were used for radiative transfer 389 calculations.

The minimization problem formulated by Eq. (1) was solved separately for each SPS using Brent's method (Brent, 1973). The solution of the minimization problem for each crystal habit is characterized by the following residual:

393
$$\Delta_i = \left\| A_e - R_s(r_i^*) \right\|^2, i = 1, 2, ..., 9,$$
(2)

where r_i^* is the solution of minimization problem given by Eq. (1) for ith shape of the ice crystal particle.

The second stage is the selection of such *i* (SPS) for which Δ_i is minimal. This completes the retrieval process and enables the optimal SGS and SPS to be obtained.

The third stage is to calculate SSA for the retrieved SGS and SPS. To this end, let us rewrite the SSA introduced above in the following equivalent form:

400
$$SSA = 3/\rho r \cdot (A_t/4A_p),$$
 (3)

401 where r is the effective radius. According to Cauchy's surface area formula (Cauchy, 1841; 402 Tsukerman and Veomett, 2016), the average area of the projections of a convex body is equal to the surface area of the body, up to a multiplicative constant. In our case, this results 403 in $A_t = 4A_p$ and SSA for convex particles such as droxtals, solid columns, and plates are 404 equal to 3/pr. In the case of non-convex particles, the calculation of SSA requires the 405 information about total area At. Although the database given by Yang et al. (2013) does not 406 contain information about At, the total area of non-convex particles can be calculated 407 employing geometric parameters of ice crystal habits presented in Table 1 of Yang et al. 408 409 (2013). Here we take a typical SPS, aggregate of 8 columns, as an example, to show the difference between SSA calculated assuming convex and non-convex particle. 410

411 According to M. Saito (private communication), the parameters L and a of the 412 aggregate of 8 columns (see Fig. 3 in Yang et al (2013) for details) can be obtained by scaling with respect to the maximum dimension, D. To find these values for different maximal dimensions, we calculate at first the volume of aggregate of 8 columns corresponding to parameters a and L on a relative scale as given in Table 1 of Yang et al (2013).

417
$$V_r = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 a_i^2 L_i.$$
 (4)

Using the database of Yang et al (2013), one can obtained the maximal dimension, D_r , corresponding to the volume, V_r . Introducing the scaling factor, $C_k=D_k/D_r$, we have semiwidth and length for the aggregate with the maximal dimension D_k :

421
$$a_{i,k} = a_i C_k, \quad L_{i,k} = L_i C_k.$$
 (5)

422 The total surface of the aggregate on relative scale is given by

423
$$S_r = 3\sum_{i=1}^{8} (\sqrt{3}a_i^2 + 2a_iL_i).$$
(6)

424 Accounting for Eq (5), we have

$$S = C_k^2 S_r. (7)$$

Having obtained the total area, one can calculate SSA as the total surface area of amaterial per unit of mass:

428
$$SSA = \frac{S}{\rho V} = \frac{S_r}{\rho C_k V_r}.$$
 (8)

Comparing SSA of convex particle equal to 3/pr with result given by Eq. (8), one can
easily notice the difference of SSA calculated from different SPS using the same SGS. The
details of such calculations for other non-convex ice crystal habits are given in the Appendix.

432 The relationship between SSA and SGS for different SPS is presented in Fig. 5. According to Fig. 5, an almost inverse linear relationship between SSA and SGS can be found. The lines, 433 representing droxtal, plate, and column, are overlapped, indicating the same SSA for convex 434 particles. For other SPSs with the same SGS, SSA is larger compared to convex faceted 435 particles. SSA is restricted in the range of 0-100 m²/kg in this investigation (Picard et al., 436 2009).For example, for SGS=100µm, the SSA is 32.7 m2/kg for convex faceted particles, 437 whereas SSAs for aggregate of 8 columns, hollow bullet rosettes, hollow column, aggregate of 438 439 5 plates, aggregate of 10 plates, and solid bullet rosettes are 44.2, 43.4, 37.7, 74.4, 66.8 and $35.6 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$, respectively. The relative differences range from 9%-128%, depending on the SPS. 440 Taking into account the definition of SSA, one can derive the following relationship between 441 SSA convex and non-convex particles: $SSA_{nc} = SSA_c \cdot (A_t/4A_p)$, where subscript c and nc 442 443 denotes convex and non-convex particle, respectively. The obtained results reveal that for all non-convex ice crystals under consideration $A_t/4A_p > 1$ and the ratio $A_t/4A_p$ weakly depends 444 445 on the SGS.

446



Fig 5. Relationship between SGS and SSA for different SPS. For a better illustration, the realistic range of specific surface area is limited to $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$.

451

452 **5 Impact of model parameters uncertainty**

453 The accuracy of any retrieval algorithm depends not only on measurement errors but also on the uncertainty of parameters which cannot be retrieved. In our case, such parameters are ice 454 crystal roughness, aerosol, and cloud contamination. The impacts of these factors on XBAER-455 derived SGS and SPS have been investigated and will be discussed in this section. The TOA 456 reflectances at selected channels (0.55 and 1.6 µm) and observation directions for SZA, VZA, 457 and RAA of 70°, 30°, and 135° for nadir 70°, 55°, and 135° for oblique, respectively, were 458 calculated using radiative transfer model SCIATRAN. The details of each scenario will be 459 presented in the corresponding sub-section below. 460

461

463 **5.1 Impact of snow particle shape**

Since the first stage of the XBAER algorithm is to estimate the SGS assuming a given SPS, it is reasonable to investigate the impact of SPS on the retrieval of SGS. The TOA reflectances of a snow layer at 0.55 and 1.6 µm with above-given observation geometries were calculated using the following settings for snow layer and atmospheric parameters:

- 468 Snow Layer: consists of ice crystals with SPS set to be severely roughened aggregate of 469 8 columns and maximal dimensions [100, 300, 500, 700, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000] μ m, 470 which corresponds to SGS [15, 45.1, 75.2, 105.3, 150.4, 300.8, 451.3, 752.1] μ m.
- 471 > Atmosphere: excluded
- 472

The simulated snow reflectances were used as components of vector A_e in Eq (1). Nine 473 474 SPSs from database presented in Yang et al. (2013) are used sequentially in the retrieval process. 475 The atmospheric correction is not performed because the atmosphere is excluded in the forward simulations. This enables avoiding additional errors caused by the atmospheric correction and 476 477 estimates the pure effect of SPS on the retrieval results. Fig.6 shows the impact of the SPS on 478 SGS retrieval. Different colors and line styles indicate different ice crystals used in the retrieval process. The black solid line represents the retrieved SGS assuming SPS in the retrieval process 479 480 is the same as in forward simulations. This line agrees well with the 1:1 line, indicating that the retrieval algorithm has been implemented technically correct. According to Fig.6, one can see 481 both underestimation and overestimation of SGS depending on the SPS used in retrieval. 482 483 However, in most cases, an incorrect SPS leads to an underestimation of SGS. In particular, the 484 maximal effect can be seen when ice crystals of plate shape, rather than the correct aggregate 485 of 8 columns, is used (yellow solid line). This result can be easily explained coming back to the 486 right panel of Fig. 2. Indeed, one can see that the same reflectance of the snow layer can be obtained using the plate shape, instead of an aggregate of 8 columns, with significantly smaller 487 SGS. These results reveal that the SPS is an important parameter affecting the accuracy of 488 489 retrieved SGS.



Fig 6. Impact of SPS on the retrieval of SGS.

490 491

493 5.2 Impact of SGS/SPS on SSA

Since the SSA is obtained from the retrieved SGS and SPS, an understanding of how the 494 error of SGS and/or SPS propagates to the SSA will provide helpful information to understand 495 the retrieved SSA. Fig. 7 shows the impact of SGS (left) and SPS (right) on XBAER retrieved 496 SSA. The relative error of SGS, $\varepsilon_r = (r - r')/r$, is propagated to the relative error of SSA as ε_{SSA} 497 = $1 - 1/(1 - \varepsilon_r)$, and it is independent of reference SSA. The left panel of Fig. 7 depicts ε_{SSA} 498 499 corresponding to ± 0.16 of ε_r . One can see that this results in 19% and -13.8% of SSA relative 500 errors, which are presented as the upper and lower error boundaries in the left panel of Fig. 7. 501 The systematical error of $\pm 16\%$ for SGS was obtained as the maximal relative difference 502 between XBAER retrieved SGS and both in-situ and aircraft measured SGS (as presented in 503 the companion paper). This represents the worst case of SGS error propagation into SSA.

The impact of SPS on SSA is demonstrated in the right panel of Fig. 7. As a reference shape, we have selected in this case the plate, which provides the same SSA as other convex particles. One can see that the SSA of non-convex particles overestimates the SSA of convex particles, which is in line with the results presented in Section 4. For instance, for the same SGS, the SSA for aggregate 8 columns (non-convex particle) is about 3 times larger than that for doxtal (convex particle). Since the assumption of the sphere (convex particle) is used to measure SSA in-field measures (Gallet et al., 2009; Personal communication with Dr. Nick Rutter), such as observations from SnowEx, the retrieval results of SSA from XBAER will be systematically larger than field measurements in the case of non-convex particles even if the retrieved and measured SGS are similar. However, a detailed discussion with respect to uncertainty in the campaign-based measurement is out of the scope of this manuscript.





Fig 7. Impact of SGS and SPS on the retrieval of SSA. Left panel (SGS errors): the black line
with dots indicate the 0 difference for accurate SGS for aggregate 8 column, the grey area
indicate the relative error of SSA introduced by 16% error of SGS; Right panel (SPS selection):
different color/line styles indicate different SPS used in the calculation of SSA while the true
SPS is set to be ,, plate" or other convex particles.

521

523 **5.3 Impact of ice crystals surface roughness**

Although surface roughness of ice crystal is not so severe for snow compared to ice 524 cloud due to basic thermodynamics (Colbeck, 1980, 1983), the Ice Crystal Surface 525 526 Roughness (ICSR), indicating ice crystal surface texture, may still be important for the retrieval of snow properties from optical sensors such as SLSTR. The ICSR has been used as a new 527 variable in model simulation (Järvinen et al., 2018). Retrieval algorithms of ice cloud 528 parameters frequently based on the assumption that the ice crystal surface is smooth 529 530 (Kokhanovsky et al., 2019). This assumption can yet introduce large uncertainty in the ice cloud 531 retrieval parameters and, as a consequence, lead to misunderstanding the impacts of ice cloud on global climate change (Järvinen et al., 2018). However, this issue has not yet been discussed 532 for snow. In general, ice crystal surfaces are rougher in clouds than in snow layers due to 533 534 metamorphism processes (Colbeck, 1980, 1983; Ulanowski et al., 2014). The investigation of 535 the impact of ICSR on retrieval of snow properties provides valuable information to understand the XBAER algorithm. The ICSR according to Yang et al., (2013) is defined similarly as 536 suggested by Cox and Munk (1954) for the roughness of the sea surface. A parameter σ 537 538 describes the degree of ICSR. The σ values 0, 0.03, and 0.5 are for three surface roughness conditions: smooth, moderate roughness, and severe roughness. And only the above three 539 values are available in the Yang database. The snow layer reflectances were used as components 540 of the vector \mathbf{A}_{e} in Eq. (1) in the same way as in Section 5.1. 541

542 Fig. 8 shows the impact of ICRS on the retrieved SGS, SPS, and SSA. The impact of ICRS 543 on SGS and SSA are relatively small for SGS smaller than ~300 µm. Ignoring the impact of roughness leads, in general, to a slight overestimation on SGS and an underestimation of SSA. 544 545 The absolute errors of SGS and SSA introduced by ICRS range from 0.3% - 3%, depending on SGS. Due to the inverse almost linear relationship between SSA and SGS, as presented in Fig. 546 547 5, for the same SPS, an overestimation of SGS leads to an underestimation of SSA. The slight 548 overestimation can be found if less ICRS is taken into account in retrieval because the snow reflectance with the same SGS and SPS for ICRS = 0.5 is larger than for ICRS = 0.03 due to 549 lower asymmetry factor of ice crystal with more roughened surface roughness, thus the same 550 surface reflectance observed by satellite requires larger SGS for the case with ICRS = 0.03 used 551

in retrieval in contrast to ICRS = 0.5 used in the forward simulation. However, as can be seen
from the right panel of Fig.8, the XBAER algorithm still retrieves the correct SPS ignoring the
impact of roughness.



555

Fig 8. Impact of Ice Crystal Surface Roughness (ICSR) on the retrieval of SGS (upper left)
 SPS (upper right) and SSA (lower). Different colors indicate different ICSR used in the
 retrieval.

559

560 **5.4 Impact of aerosol contamination**

The impact of aerosol on the retrieval of snow properties using passive remote sensing can be important because there is limited aerosol information over the cryosphere (Mei et al., 2013a; Mei et al., 2013b; Mei et al., 2020a; Tomis et al., 2015) to perform an accurate atmospheric correction. The use of MERRA simulated AOT, although with good data quality, will still introduce potential aerosol contamination in the XBAER-derived snow properties. The impact of aerosol on snow properties retrieval is much smaller over Arctic regions compared to middle-

low latitude (e.g. Canadian Arctic, Tibetan Plateau) due to large absolute uncertainty in the 567 MERRA simulated aerosol over middle-low latitude in wintertime. A detailed comparison of 568 569 how possible aerosol contamination may affect the retrieved snow properties will be included in the companion paper (Mei et al., 2020c). In the companion paper, the comparison between 570 satellite-derived and campaign-measured snow properties all over the world will be included. 571 572 In order to have a better understanding of aerosol contamination on snow properties retrieval, the TOA reflectances were calculated at 0.55 and 1.6 µm with above-given observation 573 574 geometries using the following settings:

Snow Layer: Same as in section 5.1;

576 > Atmosphere:

Aerosol type is set to be weakly absorbing (Mei et al., 2020b) with AOTs [0.05, 0.08,0.11].
Other atmospheric parameters are set according to Bremen 2D Chemical transport model
(B2D CTM) for April at 75° N (Sinnhuber et al., 2009). It is worth to notice this three AOT
values represent background, average, and pollution conditions in the Arctic as suggested
by Mei et al (2020a; 2020b).

Fig.9 shows the impact of aerosol contamination on the SGS (upper left), SPS (upper right), 582 583 and SSA (lower) retrieval. These results are obtained by introducing 50% error in AOT at the step of atmospheric correction and can be considered as the worst case for impact of aerosol 584 contamination on retrieved SGS, SPS, and SSA. The surface reflectances estimated after 585 employing the atmospheric correction were used as components of the vector A_e in Eq. (1). One 586 can see that aerosol introduces systematic underestimation of retrieved SGS for the given 587 scenarios and the magnitude of underestimation increase with the increase of AOT. For a typical 588 background Arctic aerosol condition, with AOT=0.05, aerosol contamination introduces errors 589 in SGS of less than 3% for SGS \leq 150 µm, and less than 7% for 150 \leq SGS<300 µm. The 590 591 maximal errors introduced by the aerosol contamination increase to 30% and 37% in the case of average and pollution conditions for AOT=0.08 and 0.11, respectively. Please be noted that 592 the AOT values in the Arctic can be even smaller than 0.05, for instance, AOT over Greenland. 593 594 Thus, the analysis with respect to aerosol contamination is the worst case for a typical Arctic condition. 595

596 For the case of AOT = 0.05, SPSs have been correctly retrieved for all SGS values, indicating that under a typical Arctic clean condition, the impact of aerosol is not so large to 597 598 disturb SPS retrieval. In order to demonstrate the two stages retrieval process and illustrate the impact of aerosol, let us focus on Fig. 10. To facilitate the presentation, we consider the 599 measurement of reflectance at 1.6 μ m for a single observation direction (30 °) and at 0.55 μ m 600 for the difference of reflectance at two observation angles (30° and 55°). This enables avoiding 601 the minimization process given by Eq. (1) and represents the retrieval process in the simple 602 603 graphic form. The left panel of Fig. 10 depicts the determination of an effective radius for each ice crystal form, assuming the correct shape is aggregate of 8 columns with an effective radius 604 105.4 µm. Solid and dotted lines are surface reflectance of the snow layer consisting of ice 605 crystals with different forms and the dashed line is the measured reflectance after the 606 atmospheric correction. The obtained SGSs are in the range $40 - 120 \mu m$, depending on the 607 608 selected SPS, and presented in Fig. 10 by solid and dotted vertical lines. In the case of correct 609 SPS selection (aggregate of 8 columns) the retrieved SGS is ~110 µm. The right panel of Fig. 610 10 shows the second stage of the retrieval process, namely, the selection of such SPS for which 611 the difference between measured (dashed line) and simulated value (solid black line) is minimal. In the case under consideration the correct shape is selected with an effective radius $\sim 110 \,\mu m$. 612

For larger AOT conditions, an inaccurate selection of SPS occurs for all SGS cases, 613 indicating the remaining aerosol information is large enough to decouple the aerosol 614 contribution from the snow surface characteristic. Thus, a quality flag of SPS, associated with 615 616 AOT, should be introduced in the retrieval of real satellite data. It is interesting to see that "solid bullet rosettes" is the preferable SPS for very strong aerosol contamination cases. This is due 617 618 to similar scattering properties (shape) of ice crystal and weakly absorbing aerosol, defined in forward simulation. The impact of aerosol contamination, for typical Arctic conditions, 619 620 introduces less than 5% error in SSA. However, for large aerosol contamination, the around 30% underestimation in SGS linearly introduced about 25% overestimation in SSA, which agrees 621 with the analysis as presented in Fig.7. 622



Fig 9. Impact of aerosol contamination on the retrieval of SGS (upper left) SPS (upper right)
and SSA (lower). Different colors indicate different AOT used in forward simulations. No
atmospheric correction is performed in the retrieval, black dash line is the 1:1 line.



Fig 10 Schematic representation two stages of the retrieval process. Left panel: determinationof effective radius for each ice crystal form. Right panel: selection of optimal SGS, SPS pair.

630

631 6 Impact of cloud contamination

Any cloud screening method, especially over the cryosphere, may introduce cloud contamination for the retrieval of atmospheric and surface properties (Chen et al., 2014; Mei et al., 2017; Jafariserajehlou et al., 2019). Understanding of the cloud contamination will provide valuable information to interpret the retrieval results using the SLSTR instrument. To investigate the impact of cloud contamination, the following settings were used to perform the simulations of TOA reflectance:

638 > Snow Layer: Same as section 5.1;

639 > Atmosphere: Aerosol free atmosphere with other parameters as in section 5.4. 640 Additionally, vertically homogeneous ice cloud consisting of aggregate of 8 columns with 641 effective radius of 45 µm and optical thickness [0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5] is set to be at position of 642 [5 km, 6 km].

Fig. 11 shows the impact of cloud contamination on XBAER retrieved SGS (upper left), 643 SPS (upper right), and SSA (lower). The size of ice crystals in ice clouds is typically smaller 644 than snow grain size (Kikuchi et al., 2013). Our statistical analysis of ice crystal effective radius 645 over Greenland shows an average value in the range of 30-50 µm, which is consistent with 646 previous publications (King et al., 2013; Platnick et al., 2017). According to Fig.11, an 647 overestimation of SGS can be found for SGS less than 45µm (cloud effective radius) and an 648 for 649 underestimation of SGS SGS larger than 45µm. The magnitude of 650 overestimation/underestimation increases with the increase of Cloud Optical Thickness (COT). XBAER derived SGS becomes saturated for COT larger than 0.5. Due to limited photon 651 penetration depth for optically thicker clouds (e.g., COT = 5), the XBAER algorithm retrieves 652 the effective radius of ice crystal in the cloud. This demonstrates that theoretically, the XBAER 653 algorithm can retrieve an ice cloud effective radius without a pre-processing of cloud screening. 654 And this can be further used as post-processing to avoid cloud contamination. 655

657 The impact of the cloud on the retrieval of SPS is similar to the impact of aerosol considered above. In short, the cloud plays a larger role for larger SPS (darker TOA) and this 658 impact increases with the increase of COT. However, cloud with large COT can be much easier 659 detected and excluded by the cloud screening algorithm (e.g for the cases with COT > 0.5). 660 SPSs are correctly picked up due to the same SPS used for both the snow layer and the cloud 661 layer. Similar to the impact of aerosol, the underestimation of SGS introduced by the cloud 662 leads to an overestimation of SSA (Fig. 11 (lower panel)). The increase of COT results in 663 664 saturation of the ice cloud SSA, with a value of $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$ in the case of aggregate of 8 columns.

665



666

Fig 11. Impact of cloud contamination on the retrieval of SGS (upper left) SPS (upper right)
and SSA (lower). Different colors indicate different COTs in forward simulations, black dash
line is the 1:1 line.

Impact of other factors occurring in reality 671 7

The above theoretical investigations include all possible important factors affecting the 672 accuracy XBAER algorithm. However, when applying XBAER algorithm to the SLSTR 673 674 instrument for real scenarios, two additional factors need to be considered as well. One is the impact of the instrument spectral response function (SRF), the other one is the 675 representativeness of the snow scenario for reality. 676

677

7.1 Impact of instrument spectral response function

678

Snow Layer: Same as section 5.1; 679 \geq

680 \geq Atmosphere: Aerosol free atmosphere with other parameters as in section 5.4.

681

The forward simulations are performed with and without the impact of Spectral Response 682 683 Function (SRF). The SRFs for SLSTR at 0.55 and 1.6 µm are shown in Fig. 12. The retrieval 684 is then performed ignoring SRF. Fig. 13 shows the impact of SRF on the retrieval of SGS, SPS, 685 and SSA. For forward simulations without taking SRF into account (labeled as No in Fig. 13), SGS, SPS, and SSA are well received as expected. And it agrees with Fig. 6. However, ignoring 686 the impact of SRF introduces about 7% uncertainties in the simulated surface reflectance and 687 688 this causes about 5-7% error in both SGS (overestimation) and SSA (underestimation). Taking SRF into account leads to a smaller surface reflectance at 1.6 µm due to potential gas absorption 689 at this wavelength, thus introduces an overestimation for SGS. However, due to a significantly 690 smaller impact at 0.55 μ m, the SRF does not play a significant role in the retrieval of SPS. 691





Fig. 13 Impact of SRFon the retrieval of SGS (upper left) SPS (upper right) and SSA (lower).
Different colors indicate retrieval results without (No) and with (Yes) SRF in forward
simulations, black dash line is the 1:1 line.

702 7.2 Impact of snow inhomogeneities

In this section, a realistic model of snow layer is represented by vertically inhomogeneous,
polydisperse ice crystals habit mixture. Following Saito et al (2019), the gamma distribution
with respect to the maximal dimension will be used to describe polydisperse properties:

706
$$n(D) = NG(D),$$
 (9)

Here, N is the number of ice particles per unit volume, G(D) is the gamma distribution function,i.e.,

709
$$G(D) = C(D/v)^{k-1} e^{-D/v},$$
 (10)

710

711
$$C = \left[\int_{D_{\min}}^{D_{\max}} (D/v)^{k-1} e^{-D/v} dD \right]^{-1} ,$$

712 (11)

D_{min} and D_{max} describe the minimal and maximum particle sizes in the distribution.

In order to introduce the vertical inhomogeneity, we use the measurement of snow density and
equivalent optical diameter vertical profiles conducted during the SnowEx17 campaign.
Accounting for that the equivalent optical diameter cannot be directly used to define parameters
of Gamma distribution, we use the vertical profile as a shape of the mode (most frequent value
in a dataset), i.e.

719
$$D_0(z) = \frac{D_e(z)}{D_e(z_{top})} D_0(z_{top}),$$
(12)

where $D_e(z)$ is the measured vertical profile of equivalent optical diameter, $D_0(z)$ is the vertical profile of the mode. The mode near the top of snow layer, $D_0(z_{top})$, we assume to be equal 400 μ m according to the measurement data reproduced by Saito et al (2019) in Fig. A1.

Taking into account the analytical expression of the mode via shape and scale parameters,

724
$$D_0 = (k-1)v.$$
 (13)

and the following relationship between shape and scale parameters derived by Saito et al (2019):

726
$$k = 11.38v^{-0.167} - 2.$$
 (14)

we can estimate parameters k and v of Gamma distribution corrsponding to $D_0(z)$ given by Eq (12).

Snow Grain Habit Mixture (SGHM) model is used according to Saito et al (2019). In particular, the particle habits include droxtal, solid hexagonal column, and solid bullet rosette. Habit fraction, $f_h(D)$, as a function of maximal dimension of the SGHM model is presented in the right panel of Fig. 14. The habit fraction is defined so that ,for each D,

733
$$\sum_{h=1}^{3} f_h(D) = 1.$$
 (15)

The selected SGHM model enables us to derive the total volume of ice per unit volume ofair as

736
$$V_{t} = N \sum_{h=1}^{3} \left[\int_{D_{\min}}^{D_{\max}} V_{h}(D) f_{h}(D) G(D) dD \right],$$
(16)

737 and ice water content (IWC)

$$IWC = V_t \rho_{ice}, \tag{17}$$

739 where $V_h(D)$ is the volume of each habit as given in database of Yang and ρ_{ice} is the density of 740 ice.

Taking into account that the vettical profile if IWC is measured (see right panel of Fig.
13), we can obtain the vertical profile of particle number density. Using Eqs (16) and (17), we
have

744
$$N(z) = \frac{IWC(z)}{\rho_{ice} \sum_{h=1}^{3} \left[\int_{D_{min}}^{D_{max}} V_h(D) f_h(D) G(D, z) dD \right]}.$$
 (18)

Summing up, we define the microphysical properteis of snow layer using the followingmodel of particle size distribution

747
$$n(D,z) = N(z)C\left[\frac{(\bar{k}-1)D}{D_0(z)}\right]^{\bar{k}-1} \exp\left[-\frac{(\bar{k}-1)D}{D_0(z)}\right],$$
 (19)

where $D_0(z)$ and N(z) are given by Eq (12) and (18), respectively, shape parameter, *k*, is assumed to be altitude independent and set to 2.3.

The bulk single-scattering properteis of snow layer such as extinction coefficient, scattering coefficient and scattering function are defined by the same way as proposed by Baum et al. (2011). For instance, the bulk extinction coefficient is calculated as

753
$$\beta_{ext}(z) = \int_{D_{\min}}^{D_{\max}} \left[\sum_{h=1}^{3} \sigma_{ext,h}(D) f_{h}(D) n(D,z) dD \right],$$
(20)

where $\sigma_{ext,h}(D)$ is the extinction cross-section as given for each habit in database of Yang et al.

756



Fig. 13 Snow properties used for simulations to investigate the impacts of snow layer model on XBAER retrieval (left) snow grain size profile and (right) snow density observed during SnowEx17 campaign



761

Fig. 14 Snow properties used for simulations to investigate the impacts of habit mixture
model on XBAER retrieval: (left) particle size distribution of snow grain size in snow layer;
(right) habit fraction suggested by Saito et al (2019)

The following settings are used to simulate the reference snow reflectance at wavelengths
0.55 and 1.6 μm;

767 > Snow Layer: vertically inhomogeneous, polydisperse habit mixture and model as
 768 described above;

769 > Atmosphere: excluded.

⁷⁷⁰ Using the simulated reflectances in XBAER algorithm, we have retrieved SPS as dorxtal ⁷⁷¹ with the maximal dimension equal to 740 μ m. Taking into account that the model of PSD near ⁷⁷² the top of snow layer is 400 μ m and the mean value calculated as kD₀/(k-1) is equal to 708 μ m, one can see that the retrieved maximal dimension is an estimation of mean value of PSD nearthe top of snow layer.

775 Since there is no single reference SGS values when a PSD is used, it is important to check the representativeness of XBAER derived SGS. Accounting for that the mode and mean values 776 for a given PSD are two typical "effective" way to describe polydisperse medium, we compared 777 reflectances of snow layer calculated assuming PSD in the form of Gamma distribution and 778 779 assuming monodisperse medium with SGS equal to the mode or to the mean of selected PSD. In order to simplify analysis, we consider vertically homogeneous snow layer consisting of only 780 781 single particle habit. The calculations of reflectance were performed for severely roughened aggregate of 8 columns and droxtal particles setting the shape parameter, k, equal to 2.3 and 782 the model equal to [100, 300, 500, 700, 1000, 2000, 3000, 5000] µm. 783

Fig. 15 shows the comparison of snow reflectance calculated assuming monodisperse and 784 polydisperse snow model. In the case of monodisperse model, SGS is assumed to be equal to 785 786 the mean or to the mode value of PSD. We can see that the surface reflectance calculated using the mean value of PSD agrees better with reference values, tan reflectance calculated using the 787 788 mode value. In particular, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSE) values are more than 2 times smaller. One can also see from Fig. 15 that the difference between monodisperse reflectances 789 790 calculated using mean or mode PSD values decreases with increase of the PSD mode. It can be explained due to the fact that the increase of PSD mode leads to the increase of absorption and 791 decrease of reflectance sensitivity with respect to the variation of SGS. 792



Fig. 15 The comparison between simulated snow reflectance using mono and poly-disperse snow model consisting of aggregate of columns (left) and droxtal (right). In the case of monodisperse model, the SGS is assumed to be the mean and mode value of PSD at the top of snow layer (see left panel of Fig. 14). The reference value is shown on the x-axis.

798

799 8 Conclusions

800 SGS, SPS, and SSA are three important parameters to describe snow properties. They play important roles in the changes in snow albedo/reflectance and impact the atmospheric and 801 802 energy-exchange processes. A better knowledge of SGS, SPS, and SSA can provide more accurate information to describe the impact of snow on Arctic amplification processes. The 803 information about SGS, SPS, and SSA may also explore new applications to understand the 804 805 atmospheric conditions (e.g. aerosol loading). Although some previous attempts (e.g. Lyapustin et al., 2009) show the capabilities of using passive remote sensing to derive SGS over a large 806 scale, no publication has been found to derive SGS, SPS, and SSA simultaneously. To our best 807 808 knowledge, this is the first paper, attempting to retrieve these parameters using satellite 809 observations.

The new algorithm is designed within the framework of the XBAER algorithm. The XBAER algorithm has been applied to derive SGS, SPS, and SSA using the newly launched SLSTR instrument onboard Sentinel-3 satellite. This is the first part of the paper, to describe the algorithm, and to present the sensitivity studies.

814 The SGS, SPS, and SSA retrieval algorithm is based on the recent publication by Yang et al., (2013), in which a database of optical properties for nine typical SPSs (aggregate of 8 815 columns, droxtal, hollow bullet rosettes, hollow column, plate, aggregate of 5 plates, aggregate 816 817 of 10 plates, solid bullet rosettes, column) are provided. Previous publications show that this database can be used to retrieve ice crystal properties in both ice cloud and snow (e.g., Järvinen 818 et al., 2018; Saito et al., 2019). The algorithm is a LUT-based approach, in which the 819 minimization is achieved by the comparison between atmospherically corrected TOA 820 reflectance at 0.55 and 1.6 µm observed by SLSTR and pre-calculated LUT of surface 821

reflectances under different geometries and snow properties. The retrieval is relatively timeconsuming because the minimization has to be performed for each SPS and the optimal SGS and SPS are selected after 9 minimizations are done. The SSA is then obtained using the retrieved SGS and SPS based on another pre-calculated LUT.

The sensitivity studies with respect to the impacts of SPS, ICSR, aerosol and cloud 826 contamination on XBAER derived SGS and SPS provide a comprehensive understanding of 827 828 the retrieval accuracy of the new algorithm. The main findings of the theoretical considerations are: (1) XBAER derived SGS is more likely to represent the average SGS near the top of snow 829 830 layer when a PSD is known; (2) SPS plays an important role for the retrieval accuracy of SGS, 831 the retrieved SGS can differ several times by usage different SPSs in the retrieval process; (3) Impact of ICSR on the retrieval accuracy of SGS can be neglected, ignoring ICSR completely 832 may introduce maximal 3% error on the retrieval accuracy of SGS, especially for large ice 833 crystals; (4) Assumption of convex particle shape (e.g., sphere) of a non-convex ice crystal 834 835 leads to the underestimation of the retrieved SSA; (5) The impact of aerosol and cloud increase with the increase of both aerosol/cloud loading and SGS; (6) The impact of instrument SRF 836 may introduce some positive biase for SGS and negative bias for SSA, however, it plays no 837 838 role for the determination of SPS

Even though all major possible factors affecting the retrieval accuracy of XBAER algorithm are investigated in this paper, in reality, the final retrieval accuracy can only be evaluated by performing a thorough comparison with independent measurement results because uncertainties caused by each individual factor can compensate each other in the real satellite retrieval. All details of such validation can be found in the companion paper of Mei et al (2020c).

844 Acknowledgements

845 This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research

Foundation) – Project-ID 268020496 – TRR 172. The SLSTR data is provided by ESA. We

thank the valuable discussion with Dr. M Saito.

848

851 Appendix

852 According to the definition of specific surface area

853
$$SSA = \frac{A}{\rho V},$$
 (A1)

one needs to calculate the total area A of ice crystal. In the following sections, we consider in details the basic equations to calculate total area and SSA of different SPSs given in database of Yang et al (2013) and used above within the retrieval algorithm.

857

858 > Droxtal, solid column, plate

859 In the case of convex faceted particles such as droxtal, solid column, and plate, the 860 calculation of total area is straightforward and based on the Cauchy's surface area formula:

$$A = 4A_p. \tag{A2}$$

Taking into account that for selected SPS, one can find corresponding V and A_p in database given by Yang et al., (2013), we have the following results for SSA of such particles:

864
$$SSA = \frac{4A_p}{\rho V}.$$
 (A3)

865 **> Hollow column**

In this case a solid column includes two equal cavities in the form of a hexagonal pyramid and cannot be considered as convex particle. The aspect ratio of hollow column with the height, d, of hexagonal pyramid is given according to Yang et al., (2013) as:

869
$$\frac{2a}{L} = \begin{cases} 0.7, & L < 100 \,\mu m \\ \frac{6.96}{\sqrt{L}}, & L \ge 100 \,\mu m, \end{cases} \quad d = 0.25L.$$
(A4)

870 The volume of such hollow column is given by

$$V = V_c - 2V_p, \tag{A5}$$

where the volume of solid column, V_c , and a hexagonal pyramid, V_p , are,

873
$$V_c = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2L,$$
 (A6)

874
$$V_p = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2d.$$
 (A7)

875 Thus, the volume, V, is

876
$$V = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2(3L - 2d).$$
 (A8)

877 Employing the relationship between d and L given by Eq (A4) and excluding a, we878 have

879
$$V = \frac{2.5\sqrt{3}}{2} a^2 L \begin{cases} m_0 m_1^2 L^3, & L < 100 \,\mu m \\ m_0 m_2^2 L^2, & L \ge 100 \,\mu m \end{cases}$$
(A9)

880 where $m = \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{3}/2}$, $m_1 = \frac{0.7}{2}$, and $m = \frac{6.96}{2}$. For a selected volume, V, the length,

881 L, is calculated as follows:

882
$$L = \begin{cases} \left[V / m_0 / m_1^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}, & V < V_{100} \\ \left[V / m_0 / m_2^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, & V \ge V_{100} \end{cases},$$
(A10)

883 where $V_{100} = m_0 m_2^2 100^2$.

884

Let us now calculate the area of each triangle side of the pyramid

885
$$S_t = \frac{a}{2}\sqrt{d^2 + \frac{3a^2}{4}}.$$
 (A11)

The area of lateral surface of two pyramids is

887
$$S_p = 3a\sqrt{4d^2 + 3a^2}.$$

888 (A12)

889 And the total surface area of hollow column is given by

890
$$S = 6aL + 3a\sqrt{4d^2 + 3a^2}$$
, (A13)

891 where a and d should be expressed via L according to Eq. (A4).

Having obtained the total area, one can calculate specific surface area

$$SSA = \frac{S}{\rho V},\tag{A14}$$

894 > Hollow bullet rosettes

In this case a solid column includes a cavity in the form of a hexagonal pyramid with height H and a hexagonal pyramid with height t on the opposite site of column. The aspect ratio and parameters H and t is given according to Yang et al., (2013) as:

898
$$\frac{2a}{L} = 2.3104L^{-0.37}, t = \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{2\tan(28^\circ)}, H = 0.5(t+L).$$
 (A15)

899 The volume of a hollow bullet rosettes is given by

900
$$V_1 = V_c - V_- + V_+.$$
 (A16)

901 Using Eq. (A16), we have

902
$$V_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2L - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2H + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2t = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2(3L - H + t).$$
(A17)

903 Substituting H as given by Eq (A15), we obtain

904
$$V_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{4}(5L+t).$$
 (A18)

Using formula given by Eq (A15), we express parameters a and t of hollow bullet rosettes via L:

907
$$a = m_a L^{p_a}, \tag{A19}$$

908
$$t = m_t m_a L^{p_a}, \tag{A20}$$

909 where coefficients, m_a , m_t , and p_a are

910
$$m_a = \frac{2.3104}{2}, m_t = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\tan(28^\circ)}, p_a = 1 - 0.37.$$

912 The expression (A18) can be rewritten as:

913
$$V_1 = \frac{3}{4} m_a^2 L^{2p_a+1} (5 + m_t m_a L^{-0.37}).$$
(A22)

For a desired volume *V* of hollow bullet rosettes, consisting of 6 equal rosettes (See Table 1), this equation was solved with respect to the length, *L*, of the hollow bullet rosette using following iterative process:

917
$$L_n = \left[\frac{2V}{3\sqrt{3}m_a^2(5+m_tm_aL_{n-1}^{-0.37})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2p_a+1}}.$$
 (A23)

918 The iterative process starts with $L_0=1$ and finishes when $\left|\frac{L_n - L_{n-1}}{L_n}\right| \le 10^{-4}$. The total

919 area of hollow bullet rosettes is calculated as;

920
$$S_1 = 6aL + \frac{3a}{2}\sqrt{4H^2 + 3a^2} + \frac{3a}{2}\sqrt{4t^2 + 3a^2}.$$
 (A24)

921 The SSA is given by

922
$$SSA = \frac{6S_1}{\rho V}.$$
 (A25)

923 > Solid bullet rosettes

924 The aspect ratio and parameter *t* are given according to Yang et al., (2013) as:

925
$$\frac{2a}{L} = 2.3104L^{-0.37}, t = \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{2\tan(28^\circ)}.$$
 (A26)

926 The volume of single solid bullet rosette is

927
$$V_1 = V_c + V_+.$$
 (A27)

928 Using Eq. (A6), we have

929
$$V_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2L + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2t = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2(3L+t).$$
 (A28)

Using formula given by Eq (A26), we express parameters *a* and *t* of solid bullet rosettevia *L*:

$$932 a = m_a L^{p_a}, (A29)$$

933
$$t = m_t m_a L^{p_a}, \tag{A30}$$

Where coefficients, m_a , m_t , and p_a are the same as in the case of hollow bullet rosette given bu Eq. (A21). The expression Eq. (A28) can be rewritten as

936
$$V_1 = \frac{3}{2} m_a^2 L^{2p_a+1} (3 + m_t m_a L^{-0.37}).$$
(A31)

For a desired volume V of solid bullet rosettes, consisting of 6 equal rosettes (see
Table 1), this equation was solved with respect to the length, L, of the solid bullet rosette
using following iterative approach:

940
$$L_n = \left[\frac{V}{3\sqrt{3}m_a^2(3+m_tm_aL_{n-1}^{-0.37})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2p_a+1}}.$$
 (A32)

941 The total area of solid bullet rosettes is calculated as;

942
$$S_1 = 6aL + \frac{3\sqrt{3}a^2}{2} + \frac{3a}{2}\sqrt{4t^2 + 3a^2}.$$
 (A33)

943 The SSA is given by

944
$$SSA = \frac{6S_1}{\rho V}.$$
 (A34)

945

946 > Aggregate of 5 and 10 plates

According to the paper of Yang et al (2013), Table 1 provides the aspect ratios of the ice crystal habits. In the case of an aggregate of columns or plates, the semi-width a and length L of each hexagonal element of the aggregate are on a relative scale. In order to covert these parameters in absolute values, let us consider the following relationship given in Yang et al (2013) for aspect ratio of plate:

952
$$\frac{2a}{L} = \begin{cases} 1, & a \le 2\mu m \\ m_1 a + m_0, & 2 < a < 5\mu m \\ m a^p, & a \ge 5\mu m \end{cases}$$
(A35)

953 where constants are: m_1 =0.2914, m_0 =0.4172, m=0.8038, p=0.526.

Using this expression and accounting for that relative values for a, given in Table 1, are greater than 5 μ m, we can express L_r via a_r as

956
$$L_r = \frac{2a_r}{ma_r^p} = \frac{2a_r^{1-p}}{m}.$$
 (A36)

957 where subscript r denotes that they are on relative scale. The volume of a hexagonal plate on 958 relative scale is given by

959
$$v_r = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}a_r^2 L_r = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{m}a_r^{3-p}.$$
 (A37)

960 The volume of aggregates of 5 or 10 plates is given by

961
$$V_r = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^N a_{r,i}^{3-p},$$
 (A38)

where N=5 and N=10 for 5 and 10 plates, respectively. The absolute value of the volume,
V, for a selected maximal dimension of aggregate of 5 or 10 plates one can find in database
presented by Yang et al (2013). Introducing the scaling factor

965
$$C = \frac{V_r}{V},$$
 (A39)

966 We rewrite expression (A38) as

967
$$C = \frac{V_r}{C} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{mC} \sum_{i=1}^N a_{r,i}^{3-p} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{3-p},$$
(A40)

968 where the absolute value of semi-width, a_i , is given by

ſ

969
$$a_i = \frac{a_{r,i}}{C^{(3-p)^{-1}}},$$
 (A41)

Having obtained the absolute value of a_i for each plate, the length L_i is calculated as:

971
$$L_{i} = \begin{cases} 2a_{i}, & a \leq 2\mu m \\ \frac{2a_{i}}{m_{1}a_{i} + m_{0}}, & 2 < a < 5\mu m \\ \frac{2}{m_{1}a^{(1-p)}}, & a \geq 5\mu m \end{cases}$$
(A42)

972

973 The total area of a hexagonal plate with semi-width a_i and length L_i is given by

974
$$S_i = 2\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}a_i^2 + 6a_iL_i,$$
 (A43)

975 The total area is given by

976
$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i.$$
 (A44)

Having obtained the total area, one can calculate SSA as the total surface area of a material perunit of mass:

979
$$SSA = \frac{S}{\rho V},$$
 (A45)

980 981 982	where $\rho = 917 \text{ kg/m}^3$ is the density of ice.
983	
984	
985 986 987	Reference
988	Aoki, T., Fukabori, M., Hachikubo, A., Tachibana, Y., and Nishio, F.: Effects of snow physical parameters on
989	spectral albedo and bidirectional reflectance of snow surface, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D), 10 219-10 236, 2000.
990	Baker, I.: Microstructural characterization of snow, firn and ice, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society a-
991	Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 377, 10.1098/rsta.2018.0162, 2019.
992	Battaglia, A., Rustemeier, E., Tokay, A., Blahak, U., and Simmer, C.: PARSIVEL snow observations: a critical
993	assessment, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 27, 333-344, doi:10.1175/2009JTECHA1332.1, 2010.
994	Baum, B. A., P. Yang, A. J. Heymsfield, C. Schmitt, Y. Xie, A. Bansemer, Y. X. Hu, and Z. Zhang, Improvements
995	to shortwave bulk scattering and absorption models for the remote sensing of ice clouds. J. Appl. Meteor. Clim., 50,
996	1037-1056, 2011
997	Cauchy, A.: Note sur divers th'eor'ems relatifs 'a la rectification des courbes et 'a la quadrature des surfaces. C.R.
998	Acad. Sci., 13:1060–1065, 1841.
999	Chen, N., W. Li, T. Tanikawa, M. Hori, T. Aoki, and K. Stamnes: Cloud mask over snow/ice covered areas for the
1000	GCOM-C1/SGLI cryosphere mission: Validations over Greenland, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 12,287-12,300,
1001	doi: 10.1002/2014JD022017, 2014.Colbeck, S. C.: Thermodynamics of snow metamorphism due to variations in
1002	curvature, J. Glaciol., 26, 291-301, 10.3189/S0022143000010832, 1980.
1003	Colbeck, S. C.: Theory of metamorphism of dry snow, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 5475-5482, 1983.
1004	Cole, B. H., Yang, P., Baum, B. A., Riedi, J., and CLabonnote, L.: Ice particle habit and surface roughness derived
1005	from PARASOL polarization measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3739-3750, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-
1006	3739-2014, 2014. 47

- 1007 Cox, S. C., and Munk, W. H. : Measurement of the roughness of the sea surface from photographs of the sun's glitter.
- 1008 J. Opt. Soc. Amer., 44, 838–850, 1954.
- 1009 Dietz, A.J., Kuenzer, C., Gessner, U. and Dech, S. : Remote sensing of snow a review of available methods,
- **1010** International Journal of Remote Sensing, 33:13, 4094-4134, DOI: 10.1080/01431161.2011.640964, 2012.
- 1011 Domine, F., Gallet, J. C., Barret, M., Houdier, S., Voisin, D., Douglas, T., Blum, J. D., Beine, H., and Anastasio, C.:
- 1012 The specific surface area and chemical composition of diamond dust near Barrow, Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
- 1013 D00R06, 10.1029/2011JD016162 2011.
- 1014 Domine, F., Picard, G., Morin, S., Barrere, M., Madore, J. B., and Langlois, A.: Major Issues in Simulating Some
- 1015 Arctic Snowpack Properties Using Current Detailed Snow Physics Models: Consequences for the Thermal Regime
- 1016 and Water Budget of Permafrost. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems. 11(1), 34-44,
- 1017 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001445,2019
- 1018 Donahue, C., Skiles, S.M. and Hammonds, K.: In situ effective snow grain size mapping using a compact
- 1019 hyperspectral imager. Journal of Glaciology, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.68,2020.
- 1020 Dumont, M., Brissaud, O., Picard, G., Schmitt, B., Gallet, J.-C., and Arnaud, Y.: High-accuracy measurements of
- 1021 snow Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function at visible and NIR wavelengths comparison with modelling
- 1022 results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2507-2520, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2507-2010, 2010.
- 1023 Flanner, M. G. and Zender, C. S.: Linking snowpack microphysics and albedo evolution, J. Geophys. Res., 111,
- 1024 D12208, doi:10.1029/2005JD006834, 2006.
- 1025 Frei, A., Tedesco, M., Lee, S., Foster, J., Hall, D. K., Kelly, R., and Robinson, D. A.: A review of global satellite-
- derived snow products, Adv. Space Res., Oceanography, Cryosphere and Freshwater Flux to the Ocean, 50, 1007–
 1029, 2012.
- 1028 Gallet, J.-C., Domine, F., Zender, C. S., and Picard, G.: Measurement of the specific surface area of snow using
- 1029 infrared reflectance in an integrating sphere at 1310 and 1550 nm, *The Cryosphere*, 3, 167-182, 2009.
- 1030 Gardner, A.S. and Sharp, M.J: A review of snow and ice albedo and the development of a new physically based
- broadband albedo parameterization, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, F01009, doi:10.1029/2009JF001444,

- 2010Gordon, M. and Taylor, P. A.: The Electric Field During Blowing Snow Events, *Bound-lay. Meteorol.*, 130,
 97–115, 2010.
- 1034 Grenfell, T.C. and Warren, S.G. : Representation of a nonspherical ice particle by a collection of independent spheres
 1035 for scattering and absorption of radiation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 104 (D24), 31697–31709.
 1036 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900496,1999
- 1037 Hagenmuller, P., Matzl, M., Chambon, G., and Schneebeli, M.: Sensitivity of snow density and specific surface area
- measured by microtomography to different image processing algorithms, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1039–1054,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1039-2016, 2016.
- Hansen, J. and Nazarenko, L.: Soot climate forcing via snow and ice albedos, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 101, 423–428,
 2004
- 1042 Jafariserajehlou, S., Mei, L., Vountas, M., Rozanov, V., Burrows, J. P., and Hollmann, R.: A cloud identification
- algorithm over the Arctic for use with AATSR-SLSTR measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 1059–1076,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-1059-2019, 2019.
- Järvinen, E., Jourdan, O., Neubauer, D., Yao, B., Liu, C., Andreae, M. O., Lohmann, U., Wendisch, M., McFarquhar,
- 1046 G. M., Leisner, T., and Schnaiter, M.: Additional global climate cooling by clouds due to ice crystal complexity,
- 1047 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 15767–15781, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15767-2018, 2018.
- 1048 Jiao, Z., A. Ding, A. Kokhanovsky, C. Schaaf, F. Bréon, Y. Dong, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Zhang, S. Yin, L. Cui, L.
- 1049 Mei, Y. Chang: Development of a Snow Kernel to Better Model the Anisotropic Reflectance of Pure Snow into a
- 1050
 Kernel-Driven
 BRDF
 Model
 Framework, *Remote* Sensing
 Environment, 221,
 198

 1051
 209, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2018.11.001, 2019.
 2019.
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
 1001
- 1052 Jin, Z., Charlock, T. P., Yang, P., Xie, Y., and Miller, W.: Snow optical properties for different particle shapes with
- 1053 application to snow grain size retrieval and MODIS/CERES radiance comparison over Antarctica. *Remote Sensing*
- 1054 of Environment, 112(9), 3563–3581. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2008.04.011,2008
- 1055 Kikuchi, K., Kameda, T., Higuchi, K., and Yamashita, A.: A global classification of snow crystals, ice crystals, and
- solid precipitation based on observations from middle latitudes to polar regions, Atmos. Res., 132-133, 460-472,
- **1057** 2013.

- 1058 King, M.D., Platnick, S., Menzel, W.P., Ackerman, S.A., Hubanks, P.A.: Spatial and temporal distribution of clouds
- 1059 observed by MODIS onboard the Terra and Aqua satellites, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 51 (7), 3826–3852,
 1060 2013.
- 1061 Kokhanovsky, A., Lamare, M.; Danne, O., Brockmann, C., Dumont, M., Picard, G., Arnaud, L., Favier, V., Jourdain,
- 1062 B.; Le Meur, E., Di Mauro, B., Aoki, T., Niwano, M., Rozanov, V., Korkin, S., Kipfstuhl, S., Freitag, J., Hoerhold,
- 1063 M., Zuhr, A., Vladimirova, D., Faber, A.-K., Steen-Larsen, H.C., Wahl, S., Andersen, J.K., Vandecrux, B., van As,
- 1064 D., Mankoff, K.D., Kern, M., Zege, E., Box, J.E.: Retrieval of Snow Properties from the Sentinel-3 Ocean and Land
- 1065 Colour Instrument, *Remote Sens.*, 11, 2280, 2019.
- 1066 Konig, M., Winther, J.-G. and Isaksson, E.: Measuring snow and glacier ice properties from satelllite, *Reviews of*
- **1067** *Geophysics*, 39, 1-27, 2001.
- 1068 Koren, H., Snow grain size from satellite images, SAMBA/31/09, publications.nr.no/5119/Koren -
- 1069 _Snow_grain_size_from_satellite_images.pdf, 2009 (last acess:7 May, 2018)
- 1070 Kukla G., Barry, R.G., Hecht, A., Wiesnet, D. eds (1986) SNOW WATCH'85. Proceedings of the workshop held
- 1071 28-30 October 1985 at the University of Maryland, College Park, MD> Boulder, Colorado, Word Data Center A for

1072 Glaciology (Snow and Ice), *Glaciological Data*, Report GD-18, P 215-223, 1986

- 1073 Langlois, A., Royer, A., Montpetit, B., Roy, A., and Durocher, M.: Presenting Snow Grain Size and Shape
- 1074 Distributions in Northern Canada Using a New Photographic Device Allowing 2D and 3D Representation of Snow
- 1075 Grains. Frontiers in Earth Science, 7. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00347,2020
- 1076 Legagneux, L. and Domine, F.: A mean field model of the decrease of the specific surface area of dry snow during
- isothermal metamorphism, J. Geophys. Res., 110, F04011, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JF000181, 2005.
- 1078 Legagneux, L., Cabanes, A., and Domine, F.: Measurement of the specific surface area of 176 snow samples using
- 1079 methane adsorption at 77 K, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4335, 10.1029/2001jd001016, 2002.
- Leroux C., and Fily M.: Modeling the effect of sastrugi on snow reflectance, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 25,77925,788, 1998.

- 1082 Li, W., Stamnes, K., Chen, B., and Xiong, X.: Snow grain size retrieved from near-infrared radiances at multiple
- 1083 wavelengths, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1699-1702, doi:10.1029/2000GL011641, 2001.
- 1084 Libois, Q., Picard, G., France, J. L., Arnaud, L., Dumont, M., Carmagnola, C. M., and King, M. D.: Influence of
- 1085 grain shape on light penetration in snow, The Cryosphere, 7, 1803-1818, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1803-2013, 1086 2013.
- 1087 Liu, J. P., Judith, A. C., Wang, H. J., Song, M. R., and Radley, M. H.: Impact of declining Arctic sea ice on winter
- 1088 snowfall, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., doi:10.1073/pnas.1114910109, 2012.
- 1089 Livneh, B., Xia, Y., Mitchell, K. E., Ek, M. B., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Noah LSM snow model diagnostics and
- 1090 enhancements, J. Hydrometeorol., 11, 721-738, 2009.
- 1091 Lyapustin, A., Tedesco, M., Wang, Y.J., Aoki, T., Hori, M. and Kokhanovsky, A.: Retrieval of snow grain size over
- 1092 Greenland from MODIS, Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 1976-1987,2009.
- 1093 Mary, A., Dumont, M., Dedieu, J.-P., Durand, Y., Sirguey, P., Milhem, H., Mestre, O., Negi, H. S., Kokhanovsky,
- 1094 A. A., Lafaysse, M., and Morin, S.: Intercomparison of retrieval algorithms for the specific surface area of snow
- 1095 from near-infrared satellite data in mountainous terrain, and comparison with the output of a semi-distributed

1096 snowpack model, The Cryosphere, 7, 741-761, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-741-2013, 2013.

- 1097 McFarlane, S. A., Marchand, R. T., and Ackerman, T. P.: Retrieval of cloud phase and crystal habit from Multiangle
- 1098 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data, J.
- 1099 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D14201, doi:10.1029/2004JD004831, 2005.
- 1100 Mei, L.L., Xue, Y., de Leeuw, G., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Kokhanovsky, A.A., Istomina, L., Guang, J., Burrows,
- 1101 J. P.: Aerosol Optical depth retrieval in the Arctic region using MODIS over snow. Remote Sensing of Environment. 1102
- 128,234 -245, 2013a.
- 1103 Mei, L.L., Xue, Y., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Istomina, L., Kokhanovsky, A.A., de Leeuw, G., Guang, J., Burrows,
- 1104 J. P.: Aerosol Optical depth retrieval over snow using AATSR data. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 34(14), 1105 5030 - 5041, 2013b.

- 1106 Mei, L. L., Rozanov, V., Vountas, M., Burrows, J., Levy, R., Lotz, W.: A Cloud masking algorithm for the XBAER
- 1107 aerosol retrieval using MERIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment.* 197, 141-160,
 1108 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.11.016, 2017.
- 1109 Mei, L., Vandenbussche, S., Rozanov, V., Proestakis, E., Amiridis, V., Callewaert, S., Vountas, M., Burrows, J. P.,
- 1110 2020, On the retrieval of aerosol optical depth over cryosphere using passive remote sensing, *Remote Sensing of*
- 1111 Enviroment, 241, 111731, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111731, 2020a.
- 1112 Mei, L.L., Rozanov, V., Ritter, C., Heinold, B., Jiao, Z.T., Vountas, M., Burrows, J.P.: Retrieval of aerosol optical
- thickness in the Arctic snow-covered regions using passive remote sensing: impact of aerosol typing and surface
- 1114 reflection model. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. 10.1109/TGRS.2020.2972339, 1-15.
- 1115 2020b.
- 1116 Mei, L., Rozanov, V., Jäkel, E., Cheng, X., Vountas, M., and Burrows, J. P.: The retreival of snow properties from
- 1117 SLSTR/Sentinel-3 part 2: results and validation, The Cryosphere Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-
- 1118 2020-270, in review, 2020c.Mishchenko MI, Travis LD, Lacis AA. Scattering, absorption, and emission of light by
- small particles. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
- 1120 Nakaya, U., Sekido, Y., General classification of snow crystals ad their frequency of occurrence. J. Fac. Sci.,
- 1121 Hokkaido Imperial Univ., Ser. II I-9, 234–264, 1938
- 1122 Nakaya, U.: Snow Crystals: Natural and Artificial, Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1954.
- 1123 Painter, T. H., Dozier, J., Roberts, D. A., Davis, R. E., and Greene, R. O.: Retrieval of subpixel snow-covered area
- and grain size from imaging spectrometer data, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 85, 64–77, 2003.
- 1125 Painter, T.H., Rittger, K., McKenzie, C., Slaughter, P., Davis, R.E., Dozier, J.: Retrieval of subpixel snow covered
- areas, grain size, and albedo from MODIS, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, 868-879, 2009.
- 1127 Peltoniemi, J., Hakala, T., Suomalainen, J., & Puttonen, E.: Polarised bidirectional reflectance factor measurements
- from soil, stones, and snow. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 110(17), 1940-1953,2009
- 1129 Platnick, S., Meyer, K. G., King, M. D., Wind, G., Amarasinghe, N., Marchant, B., Arnold, G. T., Zhang, Z.,
- 1130 Hubanks, P. A., Holz, R. E., Yang, P., Ridgway, W. L., and Riedi, J.: The MODIS Cloud Optical and Microphysical

- Products: Collection 6 Updates and Examples From Terra and Aqua, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote*, 55, 502–525,
 2017
- 1133 Picard, G., Arnaud, L., Domine, F., and Fily, M.: Determining snow specific surface area from near-infrared
- 1134 reflectance measurements: Numerical study of the influence of grain shape. Cold Regions Science and Technology,
- 1135 56(1), 10–17. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2008.10.001, 2009.
- 1136 Pirazzini, R., Räisänen, P., Vihma, T., Johansson, M., and Tastula, E.-M.: Measurements and modelling of snow
- 1137 particle size and shortwave infrared albedo over a melting Antarctic ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 2357-2381,
- 1138 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-2357-2015, 2015.
- 1139 Pohl, C., Istomina, L., Tietsche, S., Jäkel, E., Stapf, J., Spreen, G., and Heygster, G.: Broadband albedo of Arctic
- 1140 sea ice from MERIS optical data, The Cryosphere, 14, 165–182, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-165-2020, 2020a.
- 1141 Pohl C., Rozanov V.V., Mei L., Burrows J.P., Heygster G. and Spreen G.: Implementation of an ice crystal single-
- 1142 scattering property database in the radiative transfer model SCIATRAN, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer,
- doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2020.107118,2020b
- Pope A., Gareth Rees, W., Fox, A.J. and Fleming, A.: Open access data in polar and cryo spehric remote sensing, *Remote sensing*, 6, 6183-6220, 2014.
- 1146 Qu, Y., Liang, S.L., Liu, Q., He, T., Liu, S.H. and Li, X.W.: Mapping surface broadband albedo from satellite
- 1147 observations: A review of literatures on algorithms and products, *Remote sensing*, 7, 990-1020, 2015.
- 1148 Räisänen, P., Kokhanovsky, A., Guyot, G., Jourdan, O., and Nousiainen, T.: Parameterization of single-scattering
- 1149 properties of snow, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 1277–1301, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-1277-2015, 2015.
- **1150** Räisänen, P., Makkonen, R., Kirkevåg, A., and Debernard, J. B.: Effects of snow grain shape on climate simulations:
- sensitivity tests with the Norwegian Earth System Model, *The Cryosphere*, 11, 2919-2942,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2919-2017, 2017.
- 1153 Rozanov, V. V., Rozanov, A. V., Kokhanovsky, A. A., and Burrows, J. P.: Radiative transfer through terrestrial
- atmosphere and ocean: Software package SCIATRAN, J. Quant. Spect. Rad. Trans. 133, 13–71, doi:10.5194/acp-
- **1155** 8-1963-2008, 2014.

- 1156 Saito, M., P. Yang, N. G. Loeb, and S. Kato: A novel parameterization of snow albedo based on a two-layer snow
- 1157 model with a mixture of grain habits, J. Atmos. Sci., 76, 1419–1436, 2019.
- 1158 Satellite Snow Monitoring Community (SSMC), Perspectives for a European Satellite-based Snow Monitoring
- 1159 Strategy, White Paper, Satellite Snow Monitoring Community, ESA DUE GlobSnow-2 Consortium, 2014.
- 1160 Schneider, A., Flanner, M., De Roo, R., and Adolph, A.: Monitoring of snow surface near-infrared bidirectional
- reflectance factors with added light-absorbing particles, *The Cryosphere*, 13, 1753–1766, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-
- **1162** 13-1753-2019, 2019.
- 1163 Serreze, M. C. and Francis, J. A.: The Arctic amplification debate, *Climatic Change*, 76, 241–264, 2006.
- 1164 Sinnhuber, B.-M., Sheode, N., Sinnhuber, M., Chipperfield, M. P., Feng, W.: The contribution of anthropogenic
- bromine emissions to past stratospheric ozone trends: a modelling study. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 2863-2871,
- 1166 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2863-2009, 2009.
- Stamnes, K., Li, W., Eide, H., Aoki, T., Hori,M. and Storvold, R.: ADEOSII/GLI snow/ice products part I:
 Scientific basis, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 111, 258–273, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2007.03.023, 2007.
- 1169 Taillandier, A. S., Domine, F., Simpson, W. R., Sturm, M., and Douglas, T. A.: Rate of decrease of the specific
- 1170 surface area of dry snow: Isothermal and temperature gradient conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 112, F03003,
- **1171** 10.1029/2006jf000514, 2007.
- **1172** Tsukerman, E. and Veomett, E. : A Simple Proof of Cauchy's Surface Area Formula, *arXiv*, 1604.05815,2016
- 1173 Ulanowski, Z., Kaye, P. H., Hirst, E., Greenaway, R. S., Cotton, R. J., Hesse, E., and Collier, C. T.: Incidence of
- 1174 rough and irregular atmospheric ice particles from Small Ice Detector 3 measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14,
- 1175 1649-1662, 10.5194/acp-14-1649-2014, 2014.
- 1176 Tomasi, C., Kokhanovsky, A. A., Lupi, A., Ritter, C., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N. T., Stone, R. S., Holben, B. N., and
- 1177 Nyeki, S.: Aerosol remote sensing in polar regions, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 140, 108–157,
 1178 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.11.001, 2015.
- 1179 Van de Hulst, H :Light Scattering by Small Particles, Dover Publications, 496 pages, 1981

- 1180 Warren, S. and Wiscombe, W.: A model for the spectral albedo of snow, II: Snow containing atmospheric aerosols,
- **1181** J. Atmos. Sci., 37, 2734–2745, 1980.
- 1182 Wiebe, H., Heygster, G., Zege, E., Aoki, T., and Hori, M.: Snow grain size retrieval SGSP from optical satellite data:
- 1183 Validation with ground measurements and detection of snow fall events, Remote Sens. Environ., 128, 11-20,
- 1184 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.09.007, 2013.
- 1185 Xiong, C., & Shi, J.: Snow specific surface area remote sensing retrieval using a microstructure based reflectance
- 1186 model. Remote Sensing of Environment, 204, 838–849. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.09.017,2018
- 1187 Yamaguchi, S., Ishizaka, M., Motoyoshi, H., Nakai, S., Vionnet, V., Aoki, T., Yamashita, K., Hashimoto, A., and
- 1188 Hachikubo, A.: Measurement of specific surface area of fresh solid precipitation particles in heavy snowfall regions
- 1189 of Japan, Cryosphere, 13, 2713-2732, 10.5194/tc-13-2713-2019, 2019.
- 1190 Yang, P., Bi, L., Baum, B. A., Liou, K.-N., Kattawar, G. W., Mishchenko, M. I. And Cole, B.: Spectrally consistent
- scattering, absorption, and polarization properties of atmospheric ice crystals at wavelengths from 0.2 to 100 µm, J.
- **1192** *Atmos. Sci.*.70, 330–347, 2013.