- 1 A pilot study about microplastics and mesoplastics in an Antarctic glacier
- 2
   3 Miguel González-Pleiter<sup>1,2+</sup>, Gissell Lacerot<sup>3</sup>, Carlos Edo<sup>1</sup>, Juan Pablo-Lozoya<sup>4</sup>, Francisco
- 4 Leganés<sup>2</sup>, Francisca Fernández-Piñas<sup>2</sup>, Roberto Rosal<sup>1</sup>, Franco Teixeira-de-Mello<sup>5</sup>+
- 5
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, Physical Chemistry and Chemical Engineering,
   University of Alcala, Alcalá de Henares, E-28871 Madrid, Spain
- 8

<sup>2</sup>Departament of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
 Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

11

<sup>3</sup>Ecología Funcional de Sistemas Acuáticos, Centro Universitario Regional del Este
 (CURE), Universidad de la República, Ruta nacional №9 y ruta №15, 27000 Rocha,
 Uruguay

- <sup>4</sup>Centro Interdisciplinario de Manejo Costero Integrado del Cono Sur (C-MCISur), Centro
   Universitario Regional del Este (CURE), Universidad de la República, Tacuarembó entre
- 17 Av. Artigas y Aparicio Saravia, 20000 Maldonado, Uruguay
- 18

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Ecología y Gestión Ambiental, Centro Universitario Regional del Este
 (CURE), Universidad de la República, Tacuarembó entre Av. Artigas y Aparicio Saravia,

- (CURE), Universidad de la Re
   20000 Maldonado, Uruguay
- 22
- 23 +Corresponding authors:

24 Miguel González-Pleiter, email: mig.gonzalez@uam.es

25 Franco Teixeira-de-Mello, email: <u>frantei@fcien.edu.uv</u>

26

# 27 Abstract

28 Plastics have been found in several compartments in Antarctica. However, there is currently no evidence of their presence on Antarctic glaciers. Our pilot study 29 30 investigated plastic occurrence on two ice surfaces (one area around the Uruguay lake 31 and another one around the lonosferico lake) that constitute part of the ablation zone 32 of Collins Glacier (King George Island, Antarctica). Our results showed that expanded polystyrene (EPS) was ubiquitous ranging from 0.17 to 0.33 items m<sup>-2</sup> whereas polyester 33 was found only on the ice surface around the Uruguay lake (0.25 items  $m^{-2}$ ). 34 35 Furthermore, we evaluated the daily changes in the presence of plastics in these areas 36 in the absence of rainfall to clarify the role of the wind in their transport. We registered 37 an atmospheric dry deposition rate between 0.08 items m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on the ice surface around Uruguay lake and 0.17 items m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on the ice surface around Ionosferico lake. 38 Our pilot study is the first report of plastic pollution presence on an Antarctic glacier, 39 possibly originated from local current and past activities, and likely deposited by wind 40 41 transport.

42

- 44
- 45

#### 46 Introduction

The cryosphere is the frozen water part of the Earth system that consists of areas in which the temperatures are below 0°C for at least part of the year (NOAA, 2019). Most of the cryosphere in terms of volume of ice is in Antarctica. It has been estimated that the Antarctic cryosphere holds around 90% of Earth's ice mass (Dirscherl et al., 2020), with an increasing rate of ice loss during last decades (Rignot et al., 2019).Furthermore, the Antarctic cryosphere represents the majority of the world's freshwater, representing the largest freshwater ecosystem on the planet (Shepherd et al., 2018).

54

55 Plastics, especially microplastics (plastic items < 5 mm long; MPs), have been detected 56 in several specific locations of the cryosphere including mountain glaciers (Ambrosini et 57 al., 2019; Cabrera et al., 2020; Materić et al., 2020), polar and urban snow (Bergmann et al., 2019; Österlund et al., 2019) and sea ice (Geilfus et al., 2019; Kelly et al., 2020; La 58 Daana et al., 2020; Obbard et al., 2014; Peeken et al., 2018; von Friesen et al., 2020). 59 60 The occurrence of MPs in snow ranged from 0 to 1.5 x 10<sup>5</sup> MP L<sup>-1</sup> of melted snow (Bergmann et al., 2019), although it should be noted that a part of this study was 61 62 conducted near urban areas. Regarding sea ice, concentrations of up to  $1.2 \times 10^4$  MP L<sup>-1</sup> 63 have been reported, although there are large differences between studies even from 64 the same region (Peeken et al., 2018; von Friesen et al., 2020). The use of different units 65 in reporting MP concentrations in mountain glaciers such as the number of items per mass of ice weight (78.3 ± 30.2 MPs kg<sup>-1</sup> of sparse and fine supraglacial debris; Ambrosini 66 et al., 2019) and mass of MPs per volume (0 to 23.6 ± 3.0 ng of MPs mL<sup>-1</sup>; Materić et al., 67 2020), makes comparisons between studies difficult (e.g. 101.2 items L<sup>-1</sup>; Cabrera et al., 68 69 2020). Regarding the shape of the MPs found in the cryosphere, fibers seem to be 70 dominant in mountain glaciers (65 %) and sea ice (79 %), followed by fragments 71 (Ambrosini et al., 2019; La Daana et al., 2020). Concerning the size of MPs, it has been 72 reported a broad size distribution in sea ice, with 67 % of MPs in the 500-5000 µm range 73 (La Daana et al., 2020). Other studies found lower sizes, however, with significant 74 amounts (up to 90 %) of MPs smaller than 100 µm in snow and sea ice (Ambrosini et al., 75 2019; Bergmann et al., 2019; Bergmann et al., 2017; Kelly et al., 2020; Peeken et al., 76 2018). The differences between these studies may be due to the different analytical 77 methods used, particularly methodologies such as micro Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (µFTIR, which can identify smaller sized MPs). In general, the presence of 78 79 plastics larger than5 mm has not been reported in the cryosphere, probably because they occur at lower concentrations and evade detection. µFTIR revealed that 80 polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyamide (PA), 81 polyester (PE), varnish 82 (acrylates/polyurethane), several synthetic rubbers, polypropylene (PP), and 83 polyurethane (PU) are the most common types of MPs in the cryosphere (Ambrosini et 84 al., 2019; Bergmann et al., 2019; Bergmann et al., 2017; La Daana et al., 2020; Materić et al., 2020; Obbard et al., 2014; Peeken et al., 2018). The sources of MPs detected in the 85 cryosphere, however, remain poorly understood. It has been suggested that they could 86 87 be transported by the wind before being deposited by both wet and dry deposition in remote areas such as polar regions (Halsband and Herzke, 2019). In fact, it has been 88 reported that air masses can transport MPs through the atmosphere over distances of 89

at least 100 km and that they can be released from the marine environment into the
atmosphere by sea-spray (Allen et al., 2020; Allen et al., 2019; González-Pleiter et al.,
2020a).

93

94 So far, plastics have been found in specific parts of the cryosphere (mountain glacier, 95 snow, and sea ice) and Antarctica (seawater, freshwater, sediments, and organisms). We 96 hypothesize that plastics have also reached freshwater glaciers in Antarctica and that 97 wind transport plays a crucial role in this process. To test this hypothesis, we carried out 98 a pilot study to investigate the presence of plastics on two ice surfaces (one area around 99 Uruguay lake and another one around Ionosferico lake) that constitute part of the 100 ablation zone of Collins Glacier in Maxwell Bay in King George Island (Antarctica). 101 Furthermore, the daily changes in the presence of plastics in these ice surfaces was 102 evaluated in the absence of precipitation, to clarify the role of wind in their transport.

103

# 104 Materials and Methods

# 105 2.1 Study area

106 Collins Glacier is located on the northeast of Fildes Peninsula (King George Island, Antarctica; Figure 1A) and has a total surface area of 15 km<sup>2</sup> (Simoes et al., 2015). Our 107 108 study was carried out on the ice surface of the glacier ablation areas around two lakes 109 (Uruguay or Profound, and Ionosferico) in Maxwell Bay (Figure 1B). Uruguay lake (S 62° 11' 6.54", O 58° 54' 42.23") is located in the proximity of the Artigas Antarctic Scientific 110 Base and its access road (~300 m) is subjected to human transit (Figure 1B). The distance 111 from the shoreline to Uruguay lake is ~366 m. The lake is used for drinking and domestic 112 113 water supply. The glacier surface studied in this lake covered 1680 m<sup>2</sup>. Ionosferico lake 114 (62° 11' 59.41", O 58° 57' 44.17") is located ~600 m from Artigas Base and has minimal 115 human activity. The distance from the shoreline to lonosferico lake is ~694 m. The glacier surface studied covered 537 m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 1B). It should be noted that there were no visible 116 117 footpaths through or nearby the glacier surfaces of both lakes during the duration of our 118 study (except our own footprints).

119

120 2.2 Experimental assessment of plastic concentration

To evaluate the concentration of plastics, twelve squares were marked on the ice surface around Uruguay lake (Figure 1C) and six squares on the ice surface around lonosferico lake (Figure 1D), which constitute part of the ablation zone of Collins Glacier, on 18/2/2020. The first square of 1 m<sup>2</sup> on the ice surface

on each lake was randomly marked. After that, the rest of the squares of 1 m<sup>2</sup> were distributed every ten meters covering the entire ice surface around each lake (Figure 127 1E). All items visually resembling plastic (suspected plastic) inside the squares were registered (Figure 1F). It should be noted that our sampling strategy excluded the plastics non-detectable by the naked eye (i.e. small plastics such as fibers). Thus, we probably underestimated the concentration of small plastics on the ice surface.

131

132 2.3 Experimental assessment of atmospheric dry deposition of plastics

After the initial sampling, we selected six squares on the ice on each lake for subsequent daily monitoring. Additional sampling was performed every twelve hours for two days (18/02/2020 and 20/02/2020) after the initial sampling. No precipitation occurred during the duration of the experiment.

137

### 138 2.4 Characterization and identification of plastics

Every item visually resembling plastic detected in the squares was collected with 139 140 stainless-steel tweezers, placed into glass bottles, and stored at 4 °C until analysis. All 141 collected items were photographed, measured and their composition was identified by 142 ATR-FTIR using an Agilent Cary 630 FTIR spectrometer or by  $\mu$ FTIR on a Perkin-Elmer 143 Spotlight 200 Spectrum Two apparatus equipped with a MCT detector (depending on 144 the size of the item). The spectra were taken using the following parameters in micro-145 transmission mode: spot 50 μm, 32 scans, and spectral range 550-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 8 cm<sup>-1</sup> 146 <sup>1</sup> resolution. The spectra were processed using Omnic software (Thermo Fisher). Items 147 with matching values > 60% were considered plastic materials. The results of 148 concentration and atmospheric dry deposition of plastics reported in this study include 149 only items positively identified as plastics according to the FTIR analysis and were 150 expressed as number of items per surface unit and items per surface unit and day 151 respectively.

152

#### 153 2.5 Prevention of procedural contamination

154 To avoid sample contamination, all materials used were previously cleaned with MilliQ water, wrapped in aluminum foil, and heated to 300 °C for 4 h to remove organic matter. 155 156 The use of any plastic material during sampling was avoided. Furthermore, possible contamination from our clothes was controlled throughout the sampling, by checking 157 158 fibers and fragments extracted from the clothes against the MPs and MePs found in the 159 samples, and by positioning us downwind from the sampled area. Given their size, plastics found in this study were detected by the naked eye and their traceability could 160 be easily maintained during quantification and identification of the samples. 161

162

### 163 Results

164 3.1 Characterization and identification of the plastics

165 In total, 45 items preliminarily identified as plastics were collected, of which 29 items 166 were confirmed as plastic by FTIR or  $\mu$ FTIR analyses (matching > 60%). The size of 167 plastics ranged from 2292 to 12628  $\mu$ m length and from 501 to 11334  $\mu$ m width (Figure 168 2A). According to their size, 13 mesoplastic items (plastic items between 5-25 mm long; 169 MeP) and 3 MP items were found on the ice around Uruguay lake, and 12 MeP items and 1 MP item on the ice around Ionosferico lake (Figure 2B). Meso and MPs (hereinafter 170 171 referred to as plastics) of expanded polystyrene (EPS) were found on the ice around both 172 lakes: 8 plastic items on the ice around Uruguay lake and 13 plastic items on the ice 173 around Ionosferico lake (Figure 2B, C, and D). Polyester (n = 7 items; Figure 2B, E, and F) 174 and polyurethane (n = 1 item; Figure 2B, G and H) items were present only on the ice 175 around Uruguay lake. It should be noted that spectra of the polyester (Figure 2F) showed a high similarity with alkyd resin, a thermoplastic polyester widely used in syntheticpaints.

178

179 3.2 Plastic concentration

EPS items were ubiquitous on the ice with concentrations ranging from 0.17 items m<sup>-2</sup> on the ice around Uruguay lake to 0.33 items m<sup>-2</sup> on the ice around Ionosferico lake (Table S1). The concentration of polyester, which was found only on the ice around Uruguay lake, was 0.25 items m<sup>-2</sup> (Table S1). Polyurethane items were not observed in Ionosferico lake (Table S1).

- 185
- 186 3.3 Atmospheric dry deposition of plastics
- 187 The dry deposition rate of EPS was 0.08 EPS and 0.17 EPS items m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on the ice 188 around Uruguay and Ionosferico lakes, respectively (Table S2 and Figure 3).

Polyester was only deposited on the ice around Uruguay lake at a rate of 0.08 items m<sup>-2</sup>
 day<sup>-1</sup>. Polyurethane items were not observed in Ionosferico lake during the duration of
 the experiment (Table S2). The plastics deposited on the ice of Ionosferico lake during
 the experiment were exclusively EPS (Table S2 and Figure 3).

193

# 194 Discussion

195 The presence of plastics has been documented in different places in Antarctica: marine 196 surface waters (Cincinelli et al., 2017; Isobe et al., 2017; Jones-Williams et al., 2020; 197 Lacerda et al., 2019; Suaria et al., 2020), marine sediments (Cunningham et al., 2020; Munari et al., 2017; Reed et al., 2018), zooplankton samples from ocean water (Absher 198 199 et al., 2019), marine benthic invertebrates (Sfriso et al., 2020), Antarctic Collembola 200 (Bergami et al., 2020b), penguins (Bessa et al., 2019), seabirds (Ibañez et al., 2020) and 201 freshwater (González-Pleiter et al., 2020b). However, there was only one study showing 202 the occurrence of plastics in the Antarctic cryosphere, which was carried out on sea ice 203 (Kelly et al., 2020). Thus, this is the first report on the presence of MPs and MePs on the 204 surface of Antarctic glaciers. Furthermore, our findings provide an insight into the role 205 of wind in the transport of this material.

206

207 In this sense, winds (especially high-speed ones) appear to be a key element in the 208 transport of plastics to Antarctic glaciers. The prevailing winds in the study area (Figure 209 1B) blow predominantly from the west (Figure 4A). However, strong winds (Figure 4B), wind gusts (Figure 4C), and strong wind gusts (Figure 4D) blow mainly from the east and 210 211 southeast directions, and could be responsible for the spreading of plastics from the 212 different origins to the surface of the glacier ablation areas. These strong winds would 213 explain the presence of MePs despite their size (Figure 2A). In fact, the low density of 214 the MePs found (mainly EPS; Figure 2B) would have allowed their easy dispersion by 215 wind.

216

Our results on the wind transport and deposition of plastics support the hypothesis that the role of the wind is relevant for the short-range transport of MPs and MePs in (and among) different areas of Antarctica. The dry deposition of plastics (Table S2) was closely 220 related to the wind regimes during the study period (Figure S1). Based on information 221 available on the meteorological conditions during the study dates (18/02/2020 -20/02/2020) in Villa Las Estrellas (Figure S1A), which is located near the Artigas Beach 222 223 (Figure S1B), the wind blew from the northeast veering to the south with a speed 224 between 10 and 30 km/h (Figure S1A). These wind conditions suggest a possible link 225 with marine environment, which can act as a source of plastics (Allen et al., 2020), and 226 potentially explain the presence of plastics on the glacier ablation areas. However, 227 considering the low intensity of the winds recorded during those days (Figure S1A) and 228 the presence of MePs, it is also possible that the predominant high-speed winds 229 transported MePs from other adjacent areas of the Fildes Peninsula to the vicinity of the 230 lakes, in the days prior to our study (Figure 4B, C, and D) and then, the milder winds 231 registered during the sampling days (Figure S1A) deposited these MePs on the ice.

232

233 The chemical composition of the plastics found (Figure 2D, F, and H) supports the fact 234 that the source of the plastics could be of marine and/or land-based origin. The types of 235 plastics found (Figure 2B) are related to human activities in the Fildes Peninsula that 236 could generate plastic debris such as tourism, leaks in waste management at scientific 237 bases or the presence of abandoned infrastructures. Considering the location of Collins 238 Glacier and the main human activities on the Fildes Peninsula (e.g. airfield, scientific 239 bases), the prevailing winds from the west could have transported small and lightweight 240 plastics to the study area. In fact, EPS is widely used in packaging and as insulation 241 material in old buildings in this area and polyester is also a component of old buildings paints. In the same way, some of these plastics could be released from the marine 242 243 environment to Artigas beach area and, then, be transported by the wind to the glaciers. 244 In this sense, polyurethane MePs (which are similar to those found in this work) have 245 already been reported in sea surface waters in the Antarctic (Jones-Williams et al., 2020) 246 and EPS MePs have been found on Artigas beach (Laganà et al., 2019). These findings 247 highlight a potential threat to the fragile Antarctic ecosystem, since the presence of 248 these plastics (e.g. polystyrene particles) has been shown to affect Antarctic biota 249 (Bergami et al., 2019; Bergami et al., 2020a).

250

251 The role of the atmospheric dry deposition on the presence of plastics on glaciers is supported by recent studies suggesting that MPs can be transported, up to hundreds of 252 253 kilometres, through the atmosphere before being deposited (González-Pleiter et al., 254 2020a). Our results showed that the atmospheric deposition of plastics on glaciers is still 255 low, with figures between two and four orders of magnitude lower than values reported 256 in populated areas (Brahney et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2017; Dris et al., 2016; Klein and 257 Fischer, 2019; Roblin et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2020). Our results also show that plastic 258 pollution, even if only in small quantities, reaches remote areas with few human 259 settlements. The occurrence of plastic pollution in Antarctica represents the spreading 260 of anthropogenic pollutants in the last pristine environment on the Earth, most likely 261 linked to their presence on site. Further research is needed then to elucidate the 262 occurrence, sources, fate, and impact of plastics in such remote places.

264 Taken together, our research indicates that human activities in sensitive remote areas 265 such as Antarctica leave a footprint that includes plastic pollution. Since the early reports of litter pollution on the seafloor (Dayton and Robilliard, 1971) and, subsequently on 266 267 beaches and seabirds of Antarctica (Convey et al., 2002; Creet et al., 1994; Fijn et al., 2012; Lenihan et al., 1990; Sander et al., 2009) the handling of waste has been improved 268 269 by the implementation of the Antarctic Treaty System, Annex III 'Waste Disposal and 270 Waste Management'. The Treaty forces to remove all plastic from Antarctica, with the 271 only exception of plastics that can be incinerated without producing harmful emissions 272 (Antarctic Treaty Secretariat, 1998). However, once plastics are broken down into 273 smaller fractions and dispersed throughout the continent and nearby waters, 274 management measures become very difficult to address, as evidenced by our data. 275 Thus, a more rigorous management of plastics is essential for preserving a clean 276 environment within the Treaty Area (Zhang et al., 2020).

277 278

### 279 Conclusion

280 This is the first report of the presence of both MePs and MPs on an Antarctic glacier, 281 which were probably transported by wind from local sources such as beach areas. In total, three types of plastics (EPS, PU and polyester) were found on two glacier surfaces 282 that constitute part of the ablation zone of Collins Glacier (King George Island, 283 Antarctica). EPS was ubiquitous in the two glacier surfaces studied. Our study showed 284 285 that the management of plastic contamination in Antarctica should be improved, 286 focusing on the waste generated by current and past anthropogenic activities that occur 287 in that area.

288 289

# 290 Author contribution

Miguel González-Pleiter: identified the research question, formulated the hypothesis, 291 292 developed the experimental design, planned the experiments, performed the 293 experiments in the field, performed the experiments in the laboratory, compiled the 294 data sets, analyzed the data, discussed the results, prepared graphical material, wrote 295 the paper (original draft) and provided financial support. Gissell Lacerot: identified the 296 research question, formulated the hypothesis, developed the experimental design, 297 planned the experiments, checked the field data, discussed the results, wrote the paper 298 (final version). Carlos Edo: performed the experiments in the laboratory, compiled the 299 data sets, analyzed the data, discussed the results, prepared graphical material and 300 review final manuscript. Juan Pablo Lozoya: developed the experimental design, 301 checked the field data, discussed the results, review final manuscript and provided 302 financial support. Francisco Leganés: discussed the results, review final manuscript and 303 provided financial support. Francisca Fernández-Piñas: checked the field data, checked 304 the laboratory data, discussed the results, review final manuscript and provided 305 financial support. Roberto Rosal: checked the field data, checked the laboratory data, 306 discussed the results, review final manuscript and provided financial support. Franco 307 Teixeira de Mello: identified the research question, formulated the hypothesis, 308 developed the experimental design, planned the experiments, performed the

experiments in the field, checked the field data, prepared graphical material, discussedthe results, review final manuscript and provided financial support.

- 311
- 312

#### 313 Acknowledgements

314 This research was funded by the Government of Spain (CTM2016-74927-C2-1/2-R) and 315 the Uruguayan Antarctic Institute. MGP thanks the Carolina Foundation for the award 316 of a postdoctoral grant (SEGIB). CE thanks the Spanish Government for the award of a 317 predoctoral grant. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Fiorella Bresesti, 318 Evelyn Krojmal and Barbara De Feo from the Centro Universitario Regional del Este, 319 Universidad de la República for their assistance during sampling, of Marta Elena 320 González Mosquera from University of Alcala for providing access to the Agilent Cary 321 630 FTIR spectrometer, and of Gastón Manta from Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de 322 la República for providing historical wind analysis at the Artigas Antarctic Research Base. 323 FTM, GL and JPL thanks the Sistema Nacional de Investigadores (SNI) and the Programa 324 de Desarrollo de las Ciencias Básicas (PEDECIBA).

325

### 326 Declaration of competing interest

- 327 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 328

#### 329 References

Absher, T. M., Ferreira, S. L., Kern, Y., Ferreira, A. L., Christo, S. W., and Ando, R. A.: Incidence
and identification of microfibers in ocean waters in Admiralty Bay, Antarctica, Environmental
Science and Pollution Research, 26, 292-298, 2019.

Allen, S., Allen, D., Moss, K., Le Roux, G., Phoenix, V. R., and Sonke, J. E.: Examination of the ocean as a source for atmospheric microplastics, PLoS One, 15, e0232746, 2020.

Allen, S., Allen, D., Phoenix, V. R., Le Roux, G., Jiménez, P. D., Simonneau, A., Binet, S., and Galop,

D.: Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment,
Nature Geoscience, 12, 339-344, 2019.

Ambrosini, R., Azzoni, R. S., Pittino, F., Diolaiuti, G., Franzetti, A., and Parolini, M.: First evidence
of microplastic contamination in the supraglacial debris of an alpine glacier, Environmental
pollution, 253, 297-301, 2019.

- 341 Antarctic Treaty Secretariat, 1998. Annex III to the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the
- Antarctic Treaty. Waste disposal and waste management. Accessed at <a href="http://www.ats.aq">http://www.ats.aq</a> on 9
   Feb 2021.
- Bergami, E., Emerenciano, A. K., González-Aravena, M., Cárdenas, C., Hernández, P., Silva, J., and
- 345 Corsi, I.: Polystyrene nanoparticles affect the innate immune system of the Antarctic sea urchin 346 Sterechinus neumaveri. Polar Biology, 42, 742-757, 2019
- 346 Sterechinus neumayeri, Polar Biology, 42, 743-757, 2019.
- Bergami, E., Manno, C., Cappello, S., Vannuccini, M., and Corsi, I.: Nanoplastics affect moulting
  and faecal pellet sinking in Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) juveniles, Environment
  International, 143, 105999, 2020a.
- Bergami, E., Rota, E., Caruso, T., Birarda, G., Vaccari, L., and Corsi, I.: Plastics everywhere: first evidence of polystyrene fragments inside the common Antarctic collembolan Cryptopygus antarcticus, Biology letters, 16, 20200093, 2020b.
- Bergmann, M., Mützel, S., Primpke, S., Tekman, M. B., Trachsel, J., and Gerdts, G.: White and wonderful? Microplastics prevail in snow from the Alps to the Arctic, Science Advances, 5, eaax1157, 2019.
- Bergmann, M., Wirzberger, V., Krumpen, T., Lorenz, C., Primpke, S., Tekman, M. B., and Gerdts,
- 357 G.: High quantities of microplastic in Arctic deep-sea sediments from the HAUSGARTEN
- observatory, Environmental science & technology, 51, 11000-11010, 2017.

- Bessa, F., Ratcliffe, N., Otero, V., Sobral, P., Marques, J. C., Waluda, C. M., Trathan, P. N., and
   Xavier, J. C.: Microplastics in gentoo penguins from the Antarctic region, Scientific reports, 9, 1-
- 361 7, 2019.
- Brahney, J., Hallerud, M., Heim, E., Hahnenberger, M., and Sukumaran, S.: Plastic rain in protected areas of the United States, Science, 368, 1257-1260, 2020.
- Cabrera, M., Valencia, B. G., Lucas-Solis, O., Calero, J. L., Maisincho, L., Conicelli, B., Moulatlet,
- G. M., and Capparelli, M. V.: A new method for microplastic sampling and isolation in mountain
   glaciers: A case study of one antisana glacier, Ecuadorian Andes, Case Studies in Chemical and
- 367 Environmental Engineering, 2, 100051, 2020.
- Cai, L., Wang, J., Peng, J., Tan, Z., Zhan, Z., Tan, X., and Chen, Q.: Characteristic of microplastics
  in the atmospheric fallout from Dongguan city, China: preliminary research and first evidence,
  Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 24, 24928-24935, 2017.
- 371 Cincinelli, A., Scopetani, C., Chelazzi, D., Lombardini, E., Martellini, T., Katsoyiannis, A., Fossi, M.
- C., and Corsolini, S.: Microplastic in the surface waters of the Ross Sea (Antarctica): occurrence,
  distribution and characterization by FTIR, Chemosphere, 175, 391-400, 2017.
- Convey, P., Barnes, D., and Morton, A.: Debris accumulation on oceanic island shores of the
  Scotia Arc, Antarctica, Polar Biology, 25, 612-617, 2002.
- Creet, S., Van Franeker, J., Van Spanje, T., and Wolff, W.: DIET OF THE PINTADO PETREL DAPTION
  CAPENSE AT KING GEORGE ISLAND, ANTARCTICA, 1990-91, Marine Ornithology, 22, 221-229,
  1994.
- Cunningham, E. M., Ehlers, S. M., Dick, J. T., Sigwart, J. D., Linse, K., Dick, J. J., and Kiriakoulakis,
- K.: High abundances of microplastic pollution in deep-sea sediments: evidence from Antarctica
   and the Southern Ocean, Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 13661-13671, 2020.
- 382 Dayton, P. K. and Robilliard, G. A.: Implications of pollution to the McMurdo Sound benthos,383 1971. 1971.
- Dirscherl, M., Dietz, A. J., Dech, S., and Kuenzer, C.: Remote sensing of ice motion in Antarctica–
  A review, Remote Sensing of Environment, 237, 111595, 2020.
- Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C., and Tassin, B.: Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout:
  a source of microplastics in the environment?, Marine pollution bulletin, 104, 290-293, 2016.
- Fijn, R. C., Van Franeker, J. A., and Trathan, P. N.: Dietary variation in chick-feeding and selfprovisioning Cape Petrel Daption capense and Snow Petrel Pagodroma nivea at Signy Island,
  South Orkney Islands, Antarctica, Marine Ornithology, 40, 81-87, 2012.
- Geilfus, N.-X., Munson, K., Sousa, J., Germanov, Y., Bhugaloo, S., Babb, D., and Wang, F.:
  Distribution and impacts of microplastic incorporation within sea ice, Marine pollution bulletin,
  145, 463-473, 2019.
- 394 González-Pleiter, M., Edo, C., Aguilera, Á., Viúdez-Moreiras, D., Pulido-Reyes, G., González-Toril,
- 395 E., Osuna, S., de Diego-Castilla, G., Leganés, F., and Fernández-Piñas, F.: Occurrence and
- transport of microplastics sampled within and above the planetary boundary layer, Science ofThe Total Environment, 2020a. 143213, 2020a.
- González-Pleiter, M., Edo, C., Velázquez, D., Casero-Chamorro, M. C., Leganés, F., Quesada, A.,
  Fernández-Piñas, F., and Rosal, R.: First detection of microplastics in the freshwater of an
  Antarctic Specially Protected Area, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 161, 111811, 2020b.
- Halsband, C. and Herzke, D.: Plastic litter in the European Arctic: What do we know?, EmergingContaminants, 5, 308-318, 2019.
- Ibañez, A., Morales, L., Torres, D., Borghello, P., Haidr, N., and Montalti, D.: Plastic ingestion risk
  is related to the anthropogenic activity and breeding stage in an Antarctic top predator seabird
  species, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 157, 111351, 2020.
- 406 Isobe, A., Uchiyama-Matsumoto, K., Uchida, K., and Tokai, T.: Microplastics in the southern
  407 ocean, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 114, 623-626, 2017.
- 408 Jones-Williams, K., Galloway, T., Cole, M., Stowasser, G., Waluda, C., and Manno, C.: Close
- 409 encounters-microplastic availability to pelagic amphipods in sub-antarctic and antarctic surface
   410 waters, Environment International, 140, 105792, 2020.

- Kelly, A., Lannuzel, D., Rodemann, T., Meiners, K., and Auman, H.: Microplastic contamination in
  east Antarctic sea ice, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 154, 111130, 2020.
- 413 Klein, M. and Fischer, E. K.: Microplastic abundance in atmospheric deposition within the
- 414 Metropolitan area of Hamburg, Germany, Science of the Total Environment, 685, 96-103, 2019.
- 415 La Daana, K. K., Gardfeldt, K., Krumpen, T., Thompson, R. C., and O'Connor, I.: Microplastics in
- 416 sea ice and seawater beneath ice floes from the Arctic Ocean, Scientific reports, 10, 1-11, 2020.

417 Lacerda, A. L. d. F., Rodrigues, L. d. S., Van Sebille, E., Rodrigues, F. L., Ribeiro, L., Secchi, E. R.,

- 418 Kessler, F., and Proietti, M. C.: Plastics in sea surface waters around the Antarctic Peninsula, 419 Scientific reports 9, 1-12, 2019
- 419 Scientific reports, 9, 1-12, 2019.
- 420 Laganà, P., Caruso, G., Corsi, I., Bergami, E., Venuti, V., Majolino, D., La Ferla, R., Azzaro, M., and
- 421 Cappello, S.: Do plastics serve as a possible vector for the spread of antibiotic resistance? First
- 422 insights from bacteria associated to a polystyrene piece from King George Island (Antarctica),
- 423 International journal of hygiene and environmental health, 222, 89-100, 2019.
- Lenihan, H. S., Oliver, J. S., Oakden, J. M., and Stephenson, M. D.: Intense and localized benthic
  marine pollution around McMurdo Station, Antarctica, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 21, 422-430,
  1990.
- 427 Materić, D. a., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kau, D., Anten, M., Greilinger, M., Ludewig, E., van Sebille, E., 428 Röckmann, T., and Holzinger, R.: Micro-and nanoplastics in Alpine Snow: a new method for
- Röckmann, T., and Holzinger, R.: Micro-and nanoplastics in Alpine Snow: a new method for
  chemical identification and (semi) quantification in the nanogram range, Environmental science
  & technology, 54, 2353-2359, 2020.
- Munari, C., Infantini, V., Scoponi, M., Rastelli, E., Corinaldesi, C., and Mistri, M.: Microplastics in
  the sediments of Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea, Antarctica), Marine pollution bulletin, 122, 161-165,
  2017.
- 434 NOAA, 2019. What is the cryosphere?. National Ocean Service website, 290 435 https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/cryosphere.html
- Obbard, R. W., Sadri, S., Wong, Y. Q., Khitun, A. A., Baker, I., and Thompson, R. C.: Global
  warming releases microplastic legacy frozen in Arctic Sea ice, Earth's Fu
- 438 ture, 2, 315-320, 2014.
- 439 Österlund, H., Renberg, L., Nordqvist, K., and Viklander, M.: Micro litter in the urban 440 environment: sampling and analysis of undisturbed snow, 2019.
- Peeken, I., Primpke, S., Beyer, B., Gütermann, J., Katlein, C., Krumpen, T., Bergmann, M.,
  Hehemann, L., and Gerdts, G.: Arctic sea ice is an important temporal sink and means of
  transport for microplastic, Nature communications, 9, 1-12, 2018.
- Reed, S., Clark, M., Thompson, R., and Hughes, K. A.: Microplastics in marine sediments near
  Rothera research station, Antarctica, Marine pollution bulletin, 133, 460-463, 2018.
- 446 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Van Den Broeke, M., Van Wessem, M. J., and Morlighem,
- 447 M.: Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979–2017, Proceedings of the
  448 National Academy of Sciences, 116, 1095-1103, 2019.
- Roblin, B., Ryan, M., Vreugdenhil, A., and Aherne, J.: Ambient Atmospheric Deposition of
  Anthropogenic Microfibers and Microplastics on the Western Periphery of Europe (Ireland),
  Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 11100-11108, 2020.
- 452 Sander, M., Costa, E. S., Balbão, T. C., Carneiro, A. P. B., and dos Santos, C. R.: Debris recorded in
  453 ice free areas of an Antarctic Specially Managed Area (ASMA): Admiralty Bay, King George Island,
  454 Antarctic Peninsula, Neotropical Biology and Conservation, 4, 36-39, 2009.
- Sfriso, A. A., Tomio, Y., Rosso, B., Gambaro, A., Sfriso, A., Corami, F., Rastelli, E., Corinaldesi, C.,
  Mistri, M., and Munari, C.: Microplastic accumulation in benthic invertebrates in Terra Nova Bay
  (Ross Sea, Antarctica), Environment international, 137, 105587, 2020.
- 458 Shepherd, A., Fricker, H. A., and Farrell, S. L.: Trends and connections across the Antarctic 459 cryosphere, Nature, 558, 223-232, 2018.
- 460 Simoes, C. L., Rosa, K. K. d., Czapela, F. F., Vieira, R., and Simoes, J. C.: Collins Glacier retreat
- 461 process and regional climatic variations, King George Island, Antarctica, Geographical Review,
  462 105, 462-471, 2015.

- 463 Suaria, G., Perold, V., Lee, J. R., Lebouard, F., Aliani, S., and Ryan, P. G.: Floating macro-and 464 microplastics around the Southern Ocean: Results from the Antarctic Circumnavigation 465 Expedition, Environment international, 136, 105494, 2020.
- von Friesen, L. W., Granberg, M. E., Pavlova, O., Magnusson, K., Hassellöv, M., and Gabrielsen,
  G. W.: Summer sea ice melt and wastewater are important local sources of microlitter to
  Svalbard waters, Environment international, 139, 105511, 2020.
- 469 Wright, S., Ulke, J., Font, A., Chan, K., and Kelly, F.: Atmospheric microplastic deposition in an
- 470 urban environment and an evaluation of transport, Environment international, 136, 105411,471 2020.
- Zhang, M., Haward, M., and McGee, J.: Marine plastic pollution in the polar south: Responsesfrom Antarctic Treaty System, Polar Record, 56, 2020.

474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485

486 487





Figure 1. (A) General view of Antarctica and location of King George Island. The blue 489 490 ellipse indicates the Fildes Peninsula. Collins Glacier is located on the northeast of Fildes Peninsula. (B) A detailed view of Ionosferico lake, Uruguay lake, Artigas Research Station 491 and Collins Glaciers in the Fildes Peninsula. (C) and (D) ablation zone of Collins Glacier 492 493 around Ionosferico lake and Uruguay lake, respectively. Red squares indicate sampling squares. (E) Photograph of the glacier surface around Uruguay lake that constitute part 494 495 of the ablation zone of Collins Glacier taken on 18/02/2020. (F) A representative square 496 on the glacier surface used in this study.





Figure 2. (A) Size of the plastics collected on the glacier surface. (B) Total number of the
 mesoplastics and microplastics found on the glacier surface around Uruguay lake and
 lonosferico. Representative photographs of expanded polystyrene (C), polyester (E) and
 polyurethane (G) found on the glacier surface. The red arrows indicate the plastics. FTIR
 representative spectra of expanded polystyrene (D), polyester (F) and polyurethane (H)
 found on the glacier surface.



506

507 Figure 3. Changes in the presence of plastics into the squares marked on ice surface around Uruguay lake (A) and around Ionosferico lake (B) that

constitute part of the ablation zone of Collins Glacier in Maxwell Bay in King George Island (Antarctica). Plastics were monitored every 12 hours for 508

two days (18/2/2020 and 20/2/2020) in the absence of precipitation. Asterisks indicate squares different from those used in the assessment of 509

plastic concentration. 510





**Figure 4.** Wind Roses obtained for the area of BCAA based on historical data of the Uruguayan National Institute of Meteorology (January 1998 - May 2016; 24,698 records). The data is available for research through <u>https://www.inumet.gub.uy/</u> with previous authorization from the institution. Based on the speed of winds considered (A) and (B) refer to *Winds* and *Strong winds*, and (C) and (D) to *Wind Gusts* and *Strong wind gusts*, respectively.