



Brief Communication:

New evidence further constraining Tibetan ice core chronologies to the Holocene

Shugui Hou^{1,2}, Wangbin Zhang¹, Ling Fang^{3,4}, Theo M. Jenk^{3,4}, Shuangye Wu⁵,

5 Hongxi Pang¹ and Margit Schwikowski^{3,4}

¹School of Geographic and Oceanic Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023,
China.

²School of Oceanography, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

10 ³Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen
PSI, Switzerland.

⁴Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5,
CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland.

⁵Department of Geology, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH 45469, USA.

15 Correspondence to: Shugui Hou (shugui@nju.edu.cn)



Abstract. There is considerable controversy regarding the age ranges of Tibetan ice cores. The Guliya ice core was reported to reach as far back as ~760 ka (thousand years), whereas chronologies of all other Tibetan cores cover at most the Holocene. Here we present ages for two new ice cores reaching bedrock, from the Zangser Kangri (ZK) glacier in the northwestern Tibetan Plateau and the Shulenanshan (SLNS) glacier in the western Qilian Mountains. We estimated bottom ages of $8.90^{+0.56}_{-0.57}$ ka BP and $7.46^{+1.79}_{-1.46}$ ka BP for the ZK and SLNS ice core respectively, constraining the time range accessible by Tibetan ice cores to the Holocene.

25 1 Introduction

Tibetan ice cores have provided a wealth of information for past climatic and environmental conditions at different time scales. However, there is still considerable controversy regarding their chronologies. Whereas the bedrock-reaching ice cores from Chongce, Puruogangri, Dundu and East Rongbuk (see location map shown in Fig. 1) were shown to cover at most the Holocene (Hou et al., 2018), the Guliya ice core, drilled in 1992 from the Guliya ice cap in the west Kunlun Mountains on the northwestern Tibetan Plateau (TP, Fig. 1), was reported to reach as far back as ~760 ka (Thompson et al., 1997). This would make it the oldest non-polar ice core known to date. In 2015, several new ice cores were recovered from the Guliya ice cap (Thompson et al., 2018). The updated chronology of the new Guliya ice cores (Zhong et al., 2018; 2020) is about an order of magnitude younger than the initially reported chronology of the Guliya1992 core, but still goes well beyond the Holocene. Here we present more evidence from the bottom age estimates of two new Tibetan bedrock ice cores from the Zangser Kangri and Shulenanshan glaciers (location map shown in Fig. 1) based on the accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) ^{14}C measurements of the



water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) fraction of carbonaceous aerosols embedded in the glacier ice (Jenk et al., 2007, 2009; Uglietti et al., 2016). This recently established technique, which was validated by dating ice of known age (Uglietti et al., 2016), was also applied for establishing the chronology of the Tibetan Chongce ice
45 cores (Hou et al., 2018).

2 The new ice cores

In April 2009, two ice cores were drilled to bedrock (127.78 m and 126.71 m in length) at an elevation of 6226 m asl (above sea level) from the Zangser Kangri (ZK) ice cap (34°18'5.8"N, 85°51'14.2"E; Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). The ice cores were drilled in
50 dry holes using an electromechanical drill. The ZK ice cap is located on the northwestern TP, covering an area of 338 km² with an ice volume of 41.7 km³ and snowlines at ~ 5700–5940 m asl (Shi et al., 2008). The ZK ice core borehole temperature ranges from -15.2 °C to -9.2 °C, with a basal temperature of -9.2 °C (An
55 et al., 2016). The ZK ice cores were kept frozen and transported to the State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science at Lanzhou, where they were stored in a cold room (-20°C) until being processed for analysis.

In May 2011, three bedrock reaching ice cores were recovered from the Shulenanshan (SLNS) glacier (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2), with Core 1 (38°42'0"N, 97°15'8"E, 59.29 m in
60 length) and Core 2 (38°42'0"N, 97°15'8"E, 59.78 m in length) drilled at an elevation of 5396 m asl and and Core 3 (38°42'19"N, 97°15'59"E, 81.05 m in length) at 5367 m asl respectively (Fig. S2). The SLNS glacier is located in the western Qilian Mountains, where the first bedrock Tibetan ice core, the Dundu ice core (38°6'N, 96°24'E, 5325 m asl), was recovered (Thompson et al., 1989). The distance between
65 the SLNS and Dundu drilling sites is about 100 km (Fig. 1). The SLNS glacier covers



an area of 589 km² with an ice volume of 33.3 km³ and snowline at ~4800 m asl (Shi et al., 2008). The SLNS glacier temperature ranges from -5.6 °C to -9.8 °C, with a basal temperature of -8.2 °C (Liu et al., 2009). The SLNS ice cores were kept frozen from the time of drilling to final processing, being stored in a cold room (-20°C) at the
70 State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science at Lanzhou.

3 Micro-radiocarbon dating

Eight samples from the 127.78 m ZK ice core and seven samples from the 81.05 m SLNS ice core were micro-radiocarbon dated with accelerator mass spectrometry at
75 the Laboratory for the Analysis of Radiocarbon with AMS (LARA) of the University of Bern, using carbonaceous aerosol particles contained in the ice (Tables S1 and S2). Details about sample preparation procedures and analytical methods can be found in previous publications (Jenk et al., 2007; 2009; Uglietti et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2018). In brief, for decontamination, ~5 mm outer layer was removed from the ice core in a -
80 20°C cold room and the remaining core samples were rinsed with ultra-pure water in a class 100 laminar flow box. Particles contained in the melted ice samples were filtered onto freshly preheated quartz fiber filters (Pallflex Tissuquartz, 2500QAO-UP). The filters were then heated at 340 °C for 10 min and at 650 °C for 12 min in a thermal-optical carbon analyzer (Model4L, Sunset Laboratory Inc., USA) to combust
85 and separate the water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC), separated from the elemental carbon (EC) fraction. For dating, the radiocarbon (¹⁴C) in the resulting CO₂ was measured by the Mini Carbon Dating System (MICADAS, 200 kV compact AMS), equipped with a gas ion source for ¹⁴C analysis. The average overall procedural blank used for correction of the AMS F¹⁴C results was 1.26±0.59 µg
90 carbon (n = 115) with a F¹⁴C of 0.69±0.15 (n = 76). Conventional ¹⁴C ages were



calibrated using OxCal v4.3 software with the IntCal13 calibration curve (Ramsey and Lee, 2013; Reimer et al., 2013).

4 Results

95 4.1 The depth-age relationship of the ZK ice core

The age probability distributions of the ZK ice core are shown in Fig. S3, and the results are given in Table S1. The age probability distributions show a mostly monotonic increase in age with depth, following the trend of radioactive decay. The deepest (oldest) sample (ZK-8) was collected from the section of the ZK ice core
100 close to the bedrock, giving an age of 8.75 ± 0.24 ka cal BP (before present, i.e., 1950 AD). This is the oldest age ever determined absolutely for Tibetan ice cores (Hou et al., 2018).

It should be noted that this ^{14}C derived age represents the average age of the entire core section. Depending on the sampling resolution (i.e. sample length along the ice
105 core axis) the bottom of the sample can thus be significantly older than the ^{14}C determined average age, particularly for sections close to bedrock which experienced strong thinning by ice flow. Accordingly, the above ^{14}C age for ZK-8 only provides a lower age limit for the very bottom of the core. For an estimate of the ice age close to bedrock, a modeling approach is required. We used the ^{14}C ages and the β -activity
110 horizon to establish a continuous depth-age relationship of the ZK ice core (Fig. 2) by applying COPRA (CONstruction of Proxy Record from Age models), a Monte Carlo-based age modeling software (Breitenbach et al., 2012). This method was used before to establish the depth-age scale of the Mt. Ortles ice core extracted from the summit of Alto dell'Ortles in the Italian Alps (Gabielli et al., 2016). The COPRA method can
115 account for potential changes in accumulation and/or strain rate, and provides an



objective uncertainty estimate for each depth based on the density of dating horizons and their individual uncertainty. Applying this method, we estimated a bottom age of $8.90 \pm_{0.57}^{0.56}$ ka BP. In addition, we established two alternative depth-age relationships of the ZK ice core by excluding either ZK-1 or ZK-2, resulting in similar bottom age estimates (Fig. S4).

4.2 The depth-age relationship of the SLNS ice core

All ages show an increase with depth following the function of radioactive decay (Fig. 3). The age probability distributions of the SLNS ice core are shown in Fig. S5, and the results are given in Table S2. Although being 2.1 m apart, samples SLNS-5 and SLNS-6 yield similar age distributions which nevertheless allow assuming the true age to be different by as much as 1.8 ka (1σ range). However, a potential shift to higher accumulation rates for this specific time interval cannot be excluded, and would be consistent with the findings of Herren et al. (2013) in the Tsambagarav ice core from the Mongolian Altai observing such a shift at ~ 6 ka BP. From the Monte Carlo simulations of the SLNS ice core (Fig. 3), we got a bottom age estimate of $7.46 \pm_{1.46}^{1.79}$ ka BP. When fitting all ^{14}C ages with a simple exponential regression model, a similar modeled age at the ice-bedrock contact of 7.30 ± 0.52 ka BP resulted (Fig. 3).

5 Discussion

5.1 Comparison with the Chongce ice cores

The ages at the ice-bedrock contact were estimated to be $8.3 \pm_{3.6}^{6.2}$ ka BP and $9.0 \pm_{3.6}^{7.9}$ ka BP for the Chongce 216.6 m and 135.8 m ice cores respectively (Hou et al., 2018). Our estimate of the ZK ice core bottom age of $8.90 \pm_{0.57}^{0.56}$ ka BP is very close to the



older bottom age estimates of the Chongce ice cores. These bottom age estimates are much younger than the luminescence age of 42 ± 4 ka BP for the basal sediment collected from the bottom of the Chongce ice core, which was regarded as an upper limit of the Chongce ice core bottom age (Zhang et al., 2018).

145

5.2 Comparison with the Guliya ice cores

Thompson et al. (1997) suggested the top 266 m of the Guliya1992 ice core covers the past 110 ka based on matching the Guliya $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record with the GISP2 ice core CH_4 record. They believed the Guliya 1992 ice core was older than 500 ka BP below the depth of 290 m, and up to ~ 760 ka BP at the ice-bedrock contact, primarily based on the ^{36}Cl measurements. These age estimates are near two orders of magnitudes older than all the other Tibetan ice cores. In 2015, a new Guliya ice core to bedrock (309.73 m in length) was recovered adjacent to the drilling site in 1992. At the same time, three ice cores to bedrock (50.72 m, 51.38 m and 50.86 m in length) were retrieved from the Guliya ice cap summit ($35^\circ 17' \text{ N}$, $81^\circ 29' \text{ E}$; ~ 6700 m asl) (Thompson et al., 2018). So far, only the 50.86 m Guliya2015 summit core has some limited published information on its chronology. Zhong et al. (2018) indicated that the 50.86 m Guliya2015 summit core is ~ 20 ka BP at the depth of 41.10 - 41.84 m and ~ 30 ka BP at 49.51 - 49.90 m based on matching its $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profile with that of the Guliya1992 ice core. Later the same research team refined those two ages from ~ 20 ka BP to $\sim 4 - 4.5$ ka BP and from ~ 30 ka BP to ~ 15 ka BP at the same depths (Zhong et al., 2020). Extrapolating from the two age points, Hou et al. (2019) estimated the basal ages of the Guliya2015 summit core to be 76.6 ka BP (or 91.7 ka BP after refinement) at 0.01 m w.e. above bedrock, and 48.6 ka BP (or 29.0 ka BP after refinement) at 0.20 m w.e.

150

160



165 above bedrock (Fig. S6). There are apparent inconsistencies among the bottom age estimates of different Guliya ice cores.

Several studies have already raised questions about the accuracy of the original Guliya ice core chronology (Cheng et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2018; 2019; Tian et al., 2019). Cheng et al. (2012) argued that the 110 ka time scale needs to be compressed
170 by a factor of two in order to reconcile the difference of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variations between the Guliya ice core and the Kesang stalagmite records (see Fig. 1 for location). Tian et al. (2019) provided the first radiometric ^{81}Kr dating results for ice samples collected at the outlets of the Guliya ice cap, yielding upper age limits in the range of 15–74 ka (90% confidence level). Moreover, Hou et al. (2019) found a high degree of
175 consistency between the depth - $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profiles of the Guliya and Chongce ice cores, and argued that the Guliya ice core might cover a similar age range as the Chongce core. The new estimates of the bottom ages of the ZK and SLNS ice cores provide further support to the Chongce ice core chronology.

180 5.3 Comparison with the Dundee ice core

In 1987, three ice cores to bedrock (139.8 m, 136.6 m and 138.4 m in length) were recovered from the Dundee ice cap (38°6'N, 96°24'E, 5325 m asl) in the western Qilian Mountains (Fig. 1). The 139.8 m Dundee ice core was previously dated to be 40 ka BP at the depth of 5 m above the bedrock, and potentially >100 ka BP at the ice-bedrock
185 contact (Thompson et al., 1989). Later, a single ^{14}C age of 6.24 ± 0.33 ka BP was determined for a sample collected close to bedrock (exact distance above the bedrock unavailable) (Thompson et al., 2005). The SLNS ice core was also drilled from a glacier in the western Qilian Mountains, ~100 km from the Dundee ice cap (Fig. 1), and its ^{14}C cal age of 6.62 ± 0.82 ka BP for a sample collected at 0.03 m above the



190 bedrock is in good agreement with 6.24 ± 0.33 ka of the Dundee ice core sample. The
 estimated bottom age of $7.46 \pm_{1.46}^{1.79}$ ka BP for the SLNS ice core strongly indicates that
 the age of ice cores from the western Qilian Mountains is much younger than initially
 suggested by the Dundee ice core chronology (Thompson et al., 1989). This
 discrepancy highlights the necessity to re-evaluate the Dundee ice core chronology, as
 195 well as any interpretation based on its records (Thompson et al., 1989).

6 Conclusions

We presented the ^{14}C ages of two new Tibetan bottom ice cores, providing additional
 support for the Holocene origin of Tibetan ice cores, and further challenging the
 200 reliability of the chronologies of the Guliya and Dundee ice cores. The estimated
 bottom ages of $8.90 \pm_{0.57}^{0.56}$ ka BP for the ZK ice core, and $7.46 \pm_{1.46}^{1.79}$ ka BP for the
 SLNS ice core add convincing evidence. In order to resolve the chronological
 controversy of the Tibetan ice cores, it is necessary to explore other independent lines
 of evidence, especially from absolute dating techniques (e.g. ^{14}C , ^{36}Cl , ^{10}Be and ^{81}Kr)
 205 and ice core gas measurements (e.g. CH_4 , the isotopic composition of atmospheric
 O_2). Better sharing of original datasets (e.g., water isotopes, major ions, dust, gas
 measurements) for the published Tibetan ice core studies would be beneficial for
 further research in this area.

210 **Data availability.** The ^{14}C data of the ZK and SLNS ice cores is provided in Tables
 S1 and S2.

Author contribution. SH conceived this study, drilled the ice cores, and wrote the
 paper with contributions from SW, MS and TMJ. LF, TMJ and MS measured the ^{14}C



215 sampels. WZ prepared the figures with contributions from SH, MS and TMJ. All
 authors contributed to discussion of the results.

Competing interests. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

220 **Acknowledgments.** Thanks are due to many scientists, technicians, graduate students
 and porters, especially to Yongliang Zhang and Yaping Liu, for their great efforts in
 the high elevations. This work was supported by the National Natural Science
 Foundation of China (91837102, 41830644 and 41330526) and the “333 Project” of
 the Jiangsu Province (BRA2020030).

225

References

- An, W., Hou, S., Zhang, W., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Wu, S., and Pang, H.: Significant
 recent warming over the northern Tibetan Plateau from ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records,
 Clim. Past, 12, 201–211, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-201-2016>, 2016.
- 230 Breitenbach, S. F. M., Rehfeld, K., Goswami, B., Baldini, J. U. L., Ridley, H. E.,
 Kennett, D. J., Prufer, K. M., Aquino, V. V., Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V. J.,
 Cheng, H., Kurths, J., and Marwan, N.: COConstructing Proxy Records from Age
 models (COPRA), Clim. Past, 8, 1765–1779, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-1765-2012>, 2012.
- 235 Cheng, H., Zhang, P. Z., Spötl, C., Edwards, R. L., Cai, Y. J., Zhang, D. Z., Sang, W.
 C., Tan, M., and An, Z. S.: The climatic cyclicity in semiarid-arid central Asia
 over the past 500,000 years, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L01705,
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050202>, 2012.



- Gabrielli, P., Barbante, C., Bertagna, G., Bertó, M., Binder, D., Carton, A., Carturan,
 240 L., Cazorzi, F., Cozzi, G., Dalla Fontana, G., Davis, M., De Blasi, F., Dinale,
 R., Dragà, G., Dreossi, G., Festi, D., Frezzotti, M., Gabrieli, J., Galos, S. P.,
 Ginot, P., Heidenwolf, P., Jenk, T. M., Kehrwald, N., Kenny, D., Magand, O.,
 Mair, V., Mikhalev, V., Lin, P. N., Oegg, K., Piffer, G., Rinaldi, M.,
 Schotterer, U., Schwikowski, M., Seppi, R., Spolaor, A., Stenni, B.,
 245 Tonidandel, D., Uglietti, C., Zagorodnov, V., Zanoner, T., and Zennaro, P.:
 Age of the Mt. Ortles ice cores, the Tyrolean Iceman and glaciation of the
 highest summit of South Tyrol since the Northern Hemisphere Climatic
 Optimum, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 2779–2797, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2779-2016>, 2016.
- 250 Herren, P., Eichler, A., Machguth, H., Papina, T., Tobler, L., Zapf, A., and
 Schwikowski, M.: The onset of Neoglaciation 6000 years ago in western
 Mongolia revealed by an ice core from the Tsambagarav mountain range,
Quat. Sci. Rev., 69, 59–68, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.02.025>,
 2013.
- 255 Hou, S., Jenk, T. M., Zhang, W., Wang, C., Wu, S., Wang, Y., Pang, H., and
 Schwikowski, M.: Age ranges of the Tibetan ice cores with emphasis on the
 Chongce ice cores, western Kunlun Mountains, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 2341–
 2348, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-2341-2018>, 2018.
- Hou, S., Zhang, W., Pang, H., Wu, S., Jenk, T. M., Schwikowski, M., and Wang, Y.:
 260 Apparent discrepancy of Tibetan ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records may be attributed to
 misinterpretation of chronology, *The Cryosphere* 13, 1743–1752,
<https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1743-2019>, 2019.



- Jenk, T., Szidat, S., Schwikowski, M., Gäggeler, H., Wacker, L., Synal, H., and
 Saurer, M.: Microgram level radiocarbon (^{14}C) determination on carbonaceous
 265 particles in ice, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B, 259, 518-525,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.196>, 2007.
- Jenk, T., Szidat, S., Bolius, D., Sigl, M., Gäggeler, H., Wacker, L., Ruff, M.,
 Barbante, C., Boutron, C., and Schwikowski, M.: A novel radiocarbon dating
 technique applied to an ice core from the Alps indicating late Pleistocene ages,
 270 J. Geophys. Res., 114, D14, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009jd011860>, 2009.
- Liu, Y., Hou, S., Wang, Y., and Song, L.: Distribution of borehole temperature at four
 high-altitude alpine glaciers in Central Asia. J. Mountain Sci., 6(3), 221-227,
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-009-0254-9>, 2009.
- Ramsey, C. B. and Lee, S.: Recent and planned developments of the program Oxcal,
 275 Radiocarbon, 55, 720-730, https://doi.org/10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16215, 2013.
- Reimer, P., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J., Blackwell, P., Ramsey, C., Buck, C.,
 Cheng, H., Edwards, P., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P., Guilderson, T., Hafliðason,
 H., Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T., Hoffmann, D., Hogg, A., Hughen, K.,
 Kaiser, K., Kromer, B., Manning, S., Niu, M., Reimer, R., Richards, D., Scott,
 280 E., Southon, J., Staff, R., Turney, C., and van der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and
 Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0-50,000 years cal B.P.,
 Radiocarbon, 55, 1869-1887, https://doi.org/10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947,
 2013.
- Shi, Y., Li, S., Ye, B., Liu, C., & Wang, Z. (2008). Concise Glacier Inventory of
 285 China [in Chinese]. Shanghai, China: Shanghai Popular Science Press.
- Thompson, L. G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Davis, M., Bolzan, J., Dai, J., Klein, L.,
 Yao, T., Wu, X., Xie, Z., and Gundestrup, N.: Holocene-late pleistocene



- climatic ice core records from Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, *Science*, 246, 474-477, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.246.4929.474>, 1989.
- 290 Thompson, L. G., Yao, T., Davis, M. E., Henderson, K. A., Mosley-Thompson, E., Lin, P.-N., Beer, J., Synal, H.-A., Cole-Dai, J., and Bolzan, J.F.: Tropical climate instability: the last glacial cycle from a Qinghai-Tibetan ice core, *Science*, 276, 1821-1825, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5320.1821>, 1997.
- 295 Thompson, L. G., Davis, M., Mosley-Thompson, E., Lin, P., Henderson, K., and Mashiotto, T.: Tropical ice core records: evidence for asynchronous glaciation on Milankovitch timescales, *J. Quat. Sci.*, 20, 723-733, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.972>, 2005.
- Thompson, L., Yao, T., Davis, M., Mosley-Thompson, E., Wu, G., Porter, S., Xu, B.,
 300 Lin, P., Wang, N., Beaudon, E., Duan, K., Sierra-Hernández, M., and Kenny, D.: Ice core records of climate variability on the Third Pole with emphasis on the Guliya ice cap, western Kunlun Mountains, *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 188, 1-14, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.03.003>, 2018.
- Tian, L., Ritterbusch, F., Gu, J., Hu, S., Jiang, W., Lu, Z., Wang, D., and Yang, G.:
 305 ^{81}Kr dating of the Guliya ice cap, Tibetan Plateau, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 6636–6643, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082464>, 2019.
- Uglietti, C., Zapf, A., Jenk, T., Sigl, M., Szidat, S., Salazar, G., and Schwikowski, M.: Radiocarbon dating of glacier ice: overview, optimisation, validation and potential, *The Cryosphere* 10, 3091-3105, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-3091-2016>, 2016.
 310
- Zhang, Z., Hou, S., and Yi, S.: The first luminescence dating of Tibetan glacier basal sediment, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 1-6, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1-2018>, 2018.



- Zhong, Z. P., Solonenko, N.E., Gazitúa, M. C., Kenny, D. V., Mosley-Thompson, E.,
Rich, V. I., Van Etten, J. L., Thompson, L. G., and Sullivan, M. B.: Clean low-
315 biomass procedures and their application to ancient ice core microorganisms,
Front. Microbiol., 9, 1-15, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01094>, 2018.
- Zhong, Z. P., Solonenko, N.E., Li, Y. F., Gazitúa, M. C., Roux, S., Davis, M. E.,
Van Etten, J. L., Mosley-Thompson, E., Rich, V. I., Sullivan, M. B., and
Thompson, L. G.: Glacier ice archives fifteen-thousand-year-old viruses,
320 bioRxiv, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.03.894675>, 2020.




Figure 1. Map showing the locations of ice core drilling sites. The numbers for each site except Guliya are the oldest measured ^{14}C ages, while the number inside the bracket is the estimated ice age at the ice-bedrock contact. Data of glaciers are from the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS, available at <http://www.glims.org>). The topographic data were extracted from ETOPO1 elevations global data, available from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration at <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/global.html>.

330





Figure 2. The age–depth relations of the ZK ice core based on 2000 Monte Carlo simulations fitting the absolute dated age horizons. Solid black lines indicate the mean values and dotted lines indicate the 1σ confidence interval. The red cross stands for the reference layer of β -activity peak in 1963 (An et al., 2016). Blue circles show the calibrated WIOC ^{14}C ages, and red dot represents the average of the ZK-1 and ZK-2 ages at their average depth. Errors bars represent the 1σ uncertainty. Note that ZK1 and ZK-2 are not included in the Monte Carlo simulations, but both are located within the 1σ confidence envelopes.



340

Figure 3. The age–depth relationships of the SLNS ice core based on 2000 Monte Carlo simulations fitting the absolute dated age horizons. Solid black line indicates model mean and dotted lines indicate the 1σ confidence interval. The blue dots stand for the calibrated ^{14}C ages with 1σ error bar. The red line shows the depth–age profile modeled by an exponential regression.

345