1 Consequences of permafrost degradation for Arctic

² infrastructure - bridging the model gap between regional and

3 engineering scales

Thomas Schneider von Deimling^{1,2}, Hanna Lee³, Thomas Ingeman-Nielsen⁴, Sebastian Westermann⁵,
Vladimir Romanovsky⁶, Scott Lamoureux⁷, Donald A. Walker⁸, Sarah Chadburn⁹, Erin Trochim¹⁰, Lei
Cai¹¹, Jan Nitzbon^{1,2}, Stephan Jacobi¹, Moritz Langer^{1,2}

7 Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, 14473 Potsdam Germany 8 ²Humboldt University of Berlin, Geography Department, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin. Germany 9 ³NORCE Bjerknes Norwegian Research Centre. Centre for Climate Research. Norway Bergen. 10 ⁴Department of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Denmark Lyngby, 11 ⁵University of Oslo, Department of Geosciences, Sem Sælands vei 1. 0316 Norway Oslo, 12 ⁶University of Alaska Fairbanks, Geophysical Institute. Fairbanks, Alaska, USA Department of Geography 13 ⁷Oueen's University, and Planning, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada 14 ⁸University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute of Arctic Biology, Department of Biology and Wildlife, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA 15 ⁹University of Exeter, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Exeter EX4 4QE, UK 16 ¹⁰University of Alaska Fairbanks, Alaska Center for Energy and Power, Fairbanks, Alaska. USA 17 ¹¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming 650034, China

18 Correspondence to: Thomas Schneider von Deimling (thomas.schneider@awi.de)

Abstract. Infrastructure built on perennially frozen ice-rich ground relies heavily on thermally stable subsurface conditions.
Climate warming-induced deepening of ground thaw puts such infrastructure at risk of failure. For better assessing the risk of

21 large-scale future damage to Arctic infrastructure, improved strategies for model-based approaches are urgently needed.

22 We used the laterally-coupled one-dimensional heat conduction model CryoGrid3 to simulate permafrost degradation affected

23 by linear infrastructure. We present a case study of a gravel road built on continuous permafrost (Dalton highway, Alaska) and 24 forced our model under historical and strong future warming conditions (following the RCP8.5 scenario). As expected, the 25 presence of a gravel road in the model leads to higher net heat flux entering the ground compared to a reference run without 26 infrastructure, and thus a higher rate of thaw. Further, our results suggest that road failure is likely a consequence of lateral 27 destabilization due to talik formation in the ground beside the road, rather than a direct consequence of a top-down thawing 28 and deepening of the active layer below the road centre. In line with previous studies, we identify enhanced snow accumulation 29 and ponding (both a consequence of infrastructure presence) as key factors for increased soil temperatures and road 30 degradation. Using differing horizontal model resolutions we show that it is possible to capture these key factors and their 31 impact on thawing dynamics with a low number of lateral model units, underlining the potential of our model approach for

32 use in pan-arctic risk assessments.

- Our results suggest a general two-phase behaviour of permafrost degradation: an initial phase of slow and gradual thaw, followed by a strong increase in thawing rates after exceedance of a critical ground warming. The timing of this transition and the magnitude of thaw rate acceleration differ strongly between undisturbed tundra and infrastructure-affected permafrost ground. Our model results suggest that current model-based approaches which do not explicitly take into account infrastructure in their designs are likely to strongly underestimate the timing of future Arctic infrastructure failure.
- By using a laterally-coupled one-dimensional model to simulate linear infrastructure, we infer results in line with outcomes from more complex 2D- and 3D-models, but our model's computational efficiency allows us to account for long-term climate change impacts on infrastructure from permafrost degradation. Our model simulations underline that it is crucial to consider climate warming when planning and constructing infrastructure on permafrost as a transition from a stable to a highly unstable state can well occur within the service life time (about 30 years) of such a construction. Such a transition can even be triggered in the coming decade by climate change for infrastructure built on high northern latitude continuous permafrost that displays cold and relatively stable conditions today.
- 45

46 **1** Introduction

47 Land surface temperatures in the Arctic are reported to have warmed by more than 0.5 °C per decade since 1981 (Comiso and 48 Hall, 2014). This exceeds the average global warming by a factor between two to three and already is leading to pronounced 49 observable cryospheric changes ranging from sea-ice decline, Greenland ice sheet melt and reduction in spring snow cover, to 50 a wide range of permafrost degradation processes (Rowland et al., 2010). Rapid changes of permafrost landscapes are in particular triggered and accelerated by melting of excess ground ice, resulting in land surface subsidence (Kokelj and 51 52 Jorgenson, 2013). The potential damage to ecosystems and infrastructure caused by permafrost thaw is linked to diverse 53 ecological, social, and financial risks. The economic development of the Arctic requires highly resilient infrastructure such as 54 supply roads, pipelines, fuel storages, airports, and other buildings to be constructed on highly sensitive frozen ground (Larsen 55 and Fondahl, 2015). Even offshore activities and shipping in the Arctic depend on reliable onshore facilities in permafrost-56 dominated regions such as ports and local transportation networks. Functional and safe infrastructure is important for the 57 livelihood of the local population, and is directly dependent on the thermal stability of the underlying and surrounding 58 permafrost (Romanovsky, 2017).

59

Field investigations have demonstrated that the degradation of permafrost landscapes is not limited to top-down soil warming and thawing, but is often accompanied by thermokarst and a variety of erosional and mass wasting processes that operate on different spatial and temporal scales (Fortier et al., 2007;Liljedahl et al., 2016). Landslides, thermokarst features, and thermo-

63 erosion gullies are prominent geomorphological features that reflect the active dynamics of destabilized permafrost landscapes. 64 which directly threaten man made infrastructure (Nelson et al., 2001). Infrastructure itself can also cause thermokarst and other 65 processes resulting from thawing ground ice. Significant efforts are made during road planning to quantify ground ice (Trochim 66 et al., 2016). Ground ice distribution is one important factor towards understanding and predicting thermokarst processes that affect infrastructure. Additionally, these thermokarst processes in turn affect local heat, water, erosion and carbon and nutrient 67 68 exchange processes, so that a variety of feedback mechanisms such as additional greenhouse gas emissions may well be 69 associated with permafrost erosion (Chapin et al., 2005;Schuur et al., 2015;Schneider von Deimling et al., 2015;Walter 70 Anthony et al., 2018). Climate, terrain and local processes are critical additions to generate holistic understanding of 71 infrastructure response to permafrost (Stephani et al., 2014). Reliable and prompt assessments of risks of possible damages to 72 both the ecology and infrastructure are therefore critically important.

73

74 Recent studies indicate that many areas are already experiencing permafrost-related issues affecting engineered structures, 75 including Alaska where this study is focused. 18% (34 out of 187) of rural communities evaluated there were designated as 76 high risk with over half located in continuous permafrost (Kanevskiy et al., 2019). In the oilfield region of Prudhoe Bay on the 77 North Slope of Alaska, permafrost degradation has been documented over 62 years with acceleration after 1990 in the rates of 78 thermokarst related to a rise in summer air and permafrost temperatures (Raynolds et al., 2014). Considering projected climate 79 change under the RCP8.5 scenario, Streletskiy et al. (2019) and Suter et al. (2019) show that infrastructure built on permafrost 80 will be negatively affected by decreases in bearing capacity and ground subsidence by mid-century, resulting in large 81 infrastructure lifecycle replacement costs. The risk of disasters caused by damage to sensitive infrastructure such as pipelines, 82 fuel storages, and plants have yet to be estimated. Passive cooling techniques can be effective at reducing climate effects but 83 mitigation and adaptation can be more expensive than conventional construction (Doré et al., 2016). Globally, the emission of 84 additional greenhouse gases from thawing permafrost is increasing the risk for extra costs of overall climate change (Hope and 85 Schaefer, 2016; Melvin et al., 2017).

86 Given the lack of high-resolution circum-Arctic model projections of permafrost thaw and subsidence, recent risk assessment 87 studies of infrastructure failure have used statistical approaches and suggested that fundamental engineering structures in the 88 Arctic are at risk by mid-century (Hjort et al., 2018;Karjalainen et al., 2019). Such statistical approaches allow accounting for 89 various sources of local-scale information such as ground ice content, sediment type, or slope gradient at comparatively low 90 computational costs. They have their strength in providing high resolution geospatial maps for evaluating the potential of 91 future infrastructure failure in specific regions. But these statistical approaches cannot capture transient changes in permafrost 92 well enough and they particularly fail to predict dynamical and non-linear features of permafrost degradation (such as 93 thermokarst). Therefore these approaches can miss key factors that determine the timing of future infrastructure damage, which 94 likely lead to an underestimation of the timing when infrastructure is at risk in the future. Daanen et al. (2011) have suggested 95 a risk assessment approach that can benefit frozen ground engineering applications. Their approach accounts for dynamical 96 permafrost modelling, driven by regional climate change predictions, to estimate a Permafrost Thaw Potential which includes

97 the effects of climate warming and infrastructure elements on future thawing depths but does not account for lateral interactions

98 between infrastructure and adjacent permafrost ground. Different approaches used in previous studies have their strengths and 99 weaknesses, outlined below.

100

101 **1.1** State-of-the-art global modelling of permafrost degradation

102 The current global land surface models (LSMs) have undergone extensive improvements within the last decade to include key 103 processes related to permafrost changes and degradation. The focus of these model developments is on a better description of 104 physical thaw processes and of biogeochemical cycles of soil carbon release, but the impact of infrastructure has not yet been 105 included.

106 Widespread thawing of permafrost is expected in a warmer future climate and LSM-based model studies suggest large-scale 107 degradation of near-surface permafrost at the end of the 21st century (Lawrence et al., 2008:Lawrence et al., 2011: McGuire 108 et al. 2018). However, current modelling approaches used to simulate permafrost degradation under a warming climate are 109 highly simplistic since they only consider one-dimensional (top-down) thawing and ignore important lateral processes such as 110 snow redistribution, soil erosion and mass wasting, subsurface water flow - common processes in many regions that accelerate 111 thaw. This limits the ability to understand the magnitude of impacts under permafrost thaw. Thus, current model assessments 112 are most likely far too conservative in their estimates of underestimating permafrost thaw impacts, which is underlined by 113 observational evidence of strong permafrost degradation even under present day climate conditions not being captured by 114 models (Farguharson et al., 2019;Nitzbon et al., 2020).

115

116 **1.2** Modelling climate change impacts on infrastructure built on permafrost - an issue of scales

117 Under the current projections of climate warming in the Arctic, there is an increasing need to incorporate these permafrost 118 thaw scenarios into understanding the fate of infrastructures in the Arctic region. Given the pace of permafrost degradation, 119 Arctic infrastructure may well be seriously affected within 30 years, a common service lifespan for many infrastructure types. 120 It is important to note that infrastructure constructed on permafrost is not only being impacted by climate change, but it is itself 121 affecting ground thermal and hydrological conditions, thus leading to infrastructure-related impacts on permafrost stability. 122 Infrastructure embandments strongly change ground thermal properties and surface albedo. They result in an increased winter 123 insulation where additional snow accumulates (e.g. at the embankment shoulder and toe, (Fortier et al., 2011;O'Neill and Burn, 124 2017). In contrast, snow clearance at the road surface leads to strong subsurface winter cooling. Infrastructure embankments 125 can also act as a dam that alters natural drainage networks, causing water to accumulate next to the road (Andersland and 126 Ladanyi, 1994; de Grandpre et al., 2012; O'Neill and Burn, 2017). An elevated permafrost table in the embankment also hampers 127 drainage, which may result in ponding at the embankment toe and increase the potential for thaw subsidence there. Further, 128 dust deposition from the road on the adjacent tundra is favoured and can alter snow-related thermal insulation (Raynolds et al.,

- 129 2014). These examples underline the need for capturing fine-scale components (meter scale) when modelling infrastructure
- elements.

Comparable to the challenge of modelling microtopographic landscape features such as for polygonal tundra dynamics (Pau et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2016; Abolt et al., 2018; Nitzbon et al., 2019; Nitzbon et al., 2020), a key limitation of modelling infrastructure in current LSMs is in their coarse spatial grid, given typical horizontal model resolutions of ~100 km. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, no studies using LSMs have analysed consequences of permafrost degradation on Arctic infrastructure so far. Other model-based studies of Arctic infrastructure failure are rare and are usually limited to geotechnical site-specific studies (Darrow 2011; Fortier et al., 2011; Flynn et al., 2016; O'Neill and Burn, 2017).

137

The following sections give a short overview of differing modelling strategies of simulating infrastructure state and permafrost
 degradation, underlining their strengths and limitations.

140

141 **1.2.1** Geotechnical models (GTMs)

142 With a focus on fine scales and the aim to describe site-specific conditions and complex processes and interactions, 143 Geotechnical models (in the following referred to as GTMs) are specifically designed for engineering problems. The temporal 144 focus of the modelling is on the construction phase (months to a couple of years), but does not usually account for longer time 145 horizons. The spatial focus is on capturing thermal distributions in the ground with a 2D or 3D imprint. Boundary conditions 146 are often prescribed as stationary present day temperature and soil moisture state. Prescribing representative boundary 147 conditions for the local site is essential for producing accurate model results (Darrow, 2011). However, model initialization by 148 2D or 3D temperature and soil moisture fields is usually hampered given the scarcity of measurements, and the computational 149 burden of these models does not allow for long spin-up runs to ensure the model domain is in equilibrium with realistic 150 boundary conditions.

Thermal insulation by snow is a key component of permafrost modelling, but is often not accounted for in GTM studies. More complex *Thermo-Hydro-Mechanical (THMs) models* (e.g. Plaxis, GeoStudio) allow for a coupled model description of thermal, hydrological, and mechanical components, but their high computational costs limit their applicability for long-term climate-warming model runs or parameter uncertainty testing.₅ Tthe model scales are typically limited to a few tens of meters. A strength of GTMs lies in the possibility to couple mechanical processes, and providing specific model diagnostics in the form of stability and deformation measures, failure modes and quantification of the time to failure, which allow inferring direct measures for ground stability and road safety.

158 1.2.2 Land surface models (LSMs)

Representing the land surface components of Earth System Models (ESMs), LSMs describe exchange processes of water and energy fluxes at the land surface-to-atmosphere interface and ultimately enable the feedback from land to the climate system. 161 Yet, the representation of permafrost within state-of-the-art LSMs contained in the last IPCC assessment was less than 162 satisfactory (Ciais et al., 2013;Koven et al., 2013), and the latest model simulations submitted for the forthcoming IPCC 163 assessment have not substantially improved on this (Burke et al., 2020). However, most of the latest IPCC ESM's can at least 164 realistically account for the insulating effects of snow, and soil water phase changes (Burke et al., 2020). The greatest 165 shortcoming in the majority of the models is that they lack a sufficiently high vertical resolution in the soil column and a 166 representation of heat flux in deep soil layers (Burke et al., 2020). The standalone versions of LSM's are generally more 167 advanced than the ESM versions, due to the additional technical work required for coupling to the atmosphere. In offline mode, 168 more LSM's account for these key processes, and other major factors such as the impact of organic matter on soil thermal and 169 hydraulic properties (Lawrence et al., 2012;Chadburn et al., 2015;Kleinen and Brovkin, 2018;Oiu et al., 2019). In addition, 170 the current development of improved schemes for capturing of water flow dynamics will help in accounting for additional 171 processes of importance to infrastructure stability, such as ice lens formation or frost heave (Aas et al., 2019). Despite these 172 efforts, LSMs still miss representing key processes for modelling rapid permafrost degradation, and scale-issues remain a 173 challenge as the processes involved in abrupt permafrost degradation are very localized.

174 VirtualAn established LSM strategy for representing landscape heterogeneity (e.g. for, representing different vegetation types) 175 consists of-splitting grid cells into "tiles" tiling has recently been used in LSMs to take into account sub-grid variability in 176 surface characteristics combined with representing excess ground ice, but such tiling schemes are not spatially explicit and 177 historically have not described interaction between tiles more or less static with only limited possibilities of taking into account 178 changes in land surface cover (Lee et al., 2014; Ekici et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020), while more explicit interacting tiling schemes 179 are in development (Aas et al., 2019). The combination of these developments will enable a first order quantification of land 180 surface subsidence associated with permafrost thaw and subsequent land surface transformation such as wetland and 181 thermokarst lake formation. The benefit of these developments will be realised in the capacity to understand and quantify 182 permafrost thaw associated feedbacks to the climate system in a comprehensive and fully coupled manner that no other models 183 can represent.

- 184The benefit of these developments will be implemented such that the models can help understand and quantify permafrost185thaw-associated feedbacks to the climate system. Such feedbacks can only be systematically quantified using the coupled
- 186 <u>framework of Earth System Modelling, which no other models discussed in this study can represent.</u>
- 187

188 **1.2.3** Process-based Tiling Models (PTMs)

Modelling the interactions between climate warming, permafrost degradation, and infrastructure requires combining the high level of detail of GTMs with the large-scale and long-term capabilities of LSMs. This challenge of bridging the gap in scales can be met by the use of computationally-efficient Process-based Tiling Models (PTMs) through reducing complexity while still resolving key processes for capturing infrastructure-affected permafrost thaw. As opposed to standard LSM "tiling" approaches as mentioned in the previous section, we here refer to "process-based tiling" by considering the dynamic lateral

- interaction between individual tiles. Tiling approaches can be further optimized in efficiency by applying "adaptive tiling"
 concepts by using a dynamical number of tiles (Fisher, Koven 2020).
- A reduced order representation allows much faster time integration compared to GTMs and therefore allows for adequate model spin-up, long-term simulations over many decades, and uncertainty quantification through ensemble simulations. The
- 198 1-D focus on high vertical resolution of PTM's enables them to resolve time-sensitive processes (such as the build-up of a thin
- 199 ice-layer on a pond) and allows them to include complex processes at a scale currently not available in GTMs.
- 200 Capturing key dynamic processes despite reduced model complexity is enabled by making use of the flexibility of PTMs in 201 terms of modularity and scalability to a specific problem. For this purpose a case-specific tiling concept is needed to segregate 202 infrastructure and tundra into individual structural units adapted to the scales of the modelling task. Examples of using a PTM 203 (CryoGrid) in a tiling setting for describing diverse permafrost landscape dynamics can be found in Nitzbon et al. (2019, 2020), 204 where the authors capture micro-topography features to model dynamics of polygonal tundra degradation, and in (Langer et 205 al., 2016), where accelerated thaw through talik formation induced by thermokarst lakes is simulated. Jan et al. (2018) used a 206 mixed-dimensional model structure for efficiently simulating surface/subsurface thermal hydrology in low-relief permafrost 207 regions at watershed scales. Using the GIPL model, Daanen et al. (2011) linked large scale climate and permafrost simulations
- 208 to small scale engineering aspects with a focus on Greenland.
- 209 In this study, we used a laterally-coupled version of the 1D heat conduction PTM CryoGrid3 for modelling impacts from 210 permafrost degradation caused by climate change on Arctic infrastructure. To demonstrate how permafrost-affected 211 infrastructure failure though climate change can be captured in a modelling context, we present a case study focusing on gravel 212 roads built over ice-rich permafrost, particularly the area around the Prudhoe Bay (Dalton highway, Alaska). In section 2, we 213 give details about our simulation set-up. In section 3, we show model results of permafrost degradation affected by linear 214 infrastructure for historical, present day and future warming climate conditions. In section 4 we discuss how our modelling 215 approach can be applied at the pan-arctic scalewide where we suggest a strategy for modelling impacts of permafrost 216 degradation on Arctic infrastructure by bridging the gap between small and large scales. Conclusions are drawn in section 5. 217 Details of model set-up and model evaluation are given in the appendix.

218 2 Model description and simulation setting

222

We aimed to simulate the temperature regime under a typical gravel road built on continuous permafrost and its thermal evolution under climate warming. Given the abundance of infrastructure in the Prudhoe Bay Area (Alaska, coastal plain), we chose this region for our case studyes our target region for modelling (Fig. 2). ____

We used a (laterally-coupled) one-dimensional heat conduction model (*CryoGrid3*) which is able to simulate dynamic surface and subsurface processes in permafrost landscapes (Langer et al., 2016;Westermann et al., 2016). <u>The model explicitly</u>

225 simulates ground subsidence and subsequent ponding as a consequence of melting of excess ice in the ground (Westermann et 226 al., 2016). The CryoGrid3 model includes a dynamic snow cover representation and an explicit surface energy balance scheme 227 for simulating heat and water exchange with the atmosphere. Our model version also accounted for lateral heat and water 228 fluxes in the ground between adjacent tiles (Nitzbon et al., 2019). Vertical water flow is described by an instantaneous 229 infiltration scheme, while the calculation of lateral water fluxes between adjacent tiles is based on Darcy's law, assuming a 230 constant lateral hydraulic conductivity. The model has been extensively evaluated with respect to its performance in 231 representing key physical processes in tundra landscapes, namely surface energy balance, thermal state of ground, soil 232 hydrology, and snow cover dynamics (Westermann et al., 2011;Langer et al., 2013;Westermann et al., 2016), including 233 subsurface water exchange (Nitzbon et al., 2019:Nitzbon et al., 2020). Further, the model has been evaluated under different 234 climate conditions and for different permafrost landscape types such as peat plateaus and palsas (Martin et al., 2019).

235 2.1 Model limitations

236 Although our model was designed to capture essential components of permafrost thaw, it is limited by several physical 237 assumptions. We do not model heat advection by lateral water flow which can strongly accelerate road failure (de Grandpre 238 et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2019). Our model represents the thermal impact of the snow cover on the ground by a simple bulk 239 snow density approach neglecting any temporal changes in snow cover properties resulting from snow metamorphism (i.e., 240 depth hoar) or wind compaction (i.e., ice crusts). However, the effective thermal properties of the snow layer can change 241 following infiltration and refreezing of rain or meltwater. The snow scheme emulates lateral redistribution of snow, but without 242 explicitly calculating wind drift. Besides infrastructure failure due to thawing of ice-rich soils, frost heave in frost-susceptible 243 ground below the embankment can also lead to severe infrastructure damage - a process which is not captured in our model. 244 In this study we focus on ice-rich grounds and assess the timing when infrastructure failure might occur in the future due to 245 melting of excess ground ice and subsequent subsidence. -We do not model mechanical deformations of and stresses in the 246 embankment which can largely determine ultimate road stability especially in areas of lower ground ice contents. The exact 247 timing of failure will depend on road design (e.g. embankment dimensions, Kong et al. 2019) and local climate conditions 248 which can of course deviate from the case that we are discussing here (especially if road protecting cooling devices are installed 249 such as thermosiphons, ducts, insulating layers, or snow sheds). Rather than trying to simulate a specific infrastructure element 250 at a given location and inferring specific estimates of infrastructure failure, we want to demonstrate the broader applicability 251 of our modelling approach for capturing critical processes of key importance to the stability of infrastructure on ice-rich 252 permafrost grounds which are not represented in other modelling frameworks.

253

254 2.2 Model set-up

Down-scaled climate data (SNAP <u>(SCENARIOS NETWORK FOR ALASKA and ARCTIC PLANNING)</u>, (Lader et al., 2017)
 was used to force our model under historical, present day and projected climate change, covering the period from 1975 to

- 257 <u>2100</u>. We bias-corrected climate forcings to ensure that our simulated present day soil temperature conditions were close to
 258 observations (see appendix). For describing future climate evolution we used the RCP8.5 scenario, which leads to mean annual
 259 surface air temperature warming of about 5° C above year 2020 levels at Deadhorse at mid-century.
- 260

261 We set up our model to represent a transect from the road centreline to the adjacent tundra up to a distance of 100 m. The 262 tundra and infrastructure elements were accounted for by prescribing different ground stratigraphies (Tab. 1 and Fig. 3), and 263 by adapting surface- and below-ground specific parameters (Tab. 2). We investigated a 'conservative' and a 'vulnerable' case 264 for our model simulations. In the latter case we consider pond formation next to the road and increased solar incoming radiation 265 on the road shoulder by assuming southerly facing conditions. Pond formation has a pronounced effect on our modelled soil 266 temperatures by altering surface energy fluxes through lowering surface albedo and replacing thermal properties of the soil 267 surface by those of a water body. Further we assumed snow-free conditions on the road surface and additional snow 268 accumulation on the road shoulder and toe (Fig. 3). Snow accumulation and ponding next to the highway are primary drivers 269 of thaw in our model-setup. Further drivers such as dust deposition, lateral heat advection by subsurface water transport or 270 backing up of surface flow are not accounted for. 271 We used a high vertical resolution for grid cells in the upper 4 meter of the ground and coarse resolution towards our lower

model boundary at 1000 m depth, which is subject to an assumed geothermal heat flow of 0.05 W m⁻² (Lachenbruch et al.,
1982). In the appendix we give detailed information about model spin-up, ground stratigraphy choices, and gravel road design
choices. Table 2 lists key model parameters which affect surface and subsurface heat fluxes. Further standard model parameter
choices can be found in Westermann et al. (2016) and Nitzbon et al. (2019).

276

277 2.3 Simulation setting

The model domain was subdivided into structural units (SUs) of differing surface and subsurface characteristics (e.g., with respect to albedo, snow accumulation, excess ice presence and ground composition, see Fig. 3). All SUs were laterally connected to allow for below-ground lateral exchange of heat and water between the gravel road and the adjacent tundra. The sensitivity of model <u>results</u> to horizontal model resolution was investigated using three set-ups to capture the gravel road and tundra up to a distance of 100 m from the road centre with increasing horizontal resolution (Tab. 3 and appendix).

283 3 Results and discussion

284 **3.1** Present day conditions

Figure 4 illustrates how a replacement of the tundra surface by a gravel embankment is affecting subsurface temperatures: the removal of the protective peat layer and the clearance of snow on the road centre increases the coupling of surface air and ground temperatures. Warm summer air temperatures penetrate much deeper into the ground under the road surface as compared to the tundra (Fig. 4, right panels). Snow accumulation at the toe and road shoulder results in <u>markedly warmer</u>
 strong-winter ground <u>temperatureswarming</u> (Fig. 4, left panels).

290 Our simulation results describe a gravel road which is stable under year 2000 climate conditions as we model an artificial 291 permafrost table under the road which is elevated above the tundra permafrost table simulated thaw depths only reach levels 292 well above the embankment base (Fig. 4). Warming from the beginning of the century until today (year 2020) has increased 293 overall ground temperatures, which leads to a pronounced active layer deepening under the toe (SU3). In this area, snow 294 accumulation and related soil warming leads to excess ice melt and pond formation in the year 2014, further enhancing subsoil 295 temperatures. Our model results are in line with our observations taken along a transect away from the Dalton highway close 296 to Deadhorse (see appendix), which show increasing winter ground surface temperatures with declining distance to the road 297 (Fig.A2), underlining the thermal imprint of snow accumulation at the shoulder and toe. A maximum in thaw depth under the 298 toe of a gravel road describes a characteristic also inferred in GTM studies and observations (Flynn et al., 2016, Fortier et al., 299 2011, Oi et al, 2012).

300 Under present day climate conditions (year 2020), the active layer at the toe is close to reaching the depth of the embankment 301 base - in contrast to the active layer below the road centre which is still elevated relative to the toe. The active layer in the 302 tundra (SU4) is around 70 cm by 2020, in line with observations (CALM Database. Washington, D.C.: The George Washington 303 University. https://www2.gwu.edu/~calm/data/data-links.html.). The formation of a zone of temperatures approaching zero 304 degrees at the end of the winter season (light bluish areas in Fig. 4, March 2020) indicates vulnerable conditions where 305 additional future warming will have a strong effect on thaw depths. The general characteristic of strongly increased heat 306 penetration below the road centre as compared to the tundra and the increased soil warming under the toe caused by snow 307 accumulation was also inferred in other modelling approaches (Fortier et al., 2011;Flynn et al., 2016, Darrow 2011). For a 308 vegetated hillslope side, Jafarov et al. (2018) inferred a pronounced effect on soil warming from preferential snow 309 accumulation.

For investigating the impact of infrastructure on permafrost degradation we have additionally run a reference run (single tile) with identical parameter choices, but without including infrastructure. It can be seen that the outer tundra tiles from the laterally coupled setting reach soil temperatures very close to the reference run (separate right soil temperature columns), therefore justifying our assumption of a zero boundary flux at the outer model domain.

314

315 **3.2** Development under future climate change

316 Under the 21st century future climate change scenario, the simulated ground thermal regime shows strong increases in 317 maximum thaw depths. The prescribed additional mean annual surface air warming of about 5°C above 2020 levels (7° above

318 2000 levels) until the mid-century for the Prudhoe Bay region (following RCP8.5) leads to the formation of an open talik 319 below the pond (toe tile, see discussion below). This warming signal further spreads into the embankment, subgrade and 320 adjacent tundra (Fig. 4, see also the animated temperature evolution in the supplementary material). The thermal buffering of 321 the lower shoulder is not sufficient anymore to prevent strong thawing in the embankment subgrade. In the case of the thaw 322 front reaching thaw-unstable ice-rich layers, subsequent ground subsidence would result in failure of the embankment 323 shoulder. In contrast, the snow-free road centreline is stable by 2050 as winter heat extraction is still strong enough to prevent 324 active layer deepening below the embankment base. Nonetheless, further warming and lateral growth of the talik into the 325 embankment core and subgrade will strongly destabilize the road foundation and lead to subsequent total road failure if the 326 road was built on ice-rich ground (Fig. 4 lowest panels).

327 Our results under the 21st century climate change scenario reveal pronounced differences in the dynamics of simulated thaw 328 depths among the different structural units (Fig. 5; road centre, shoulder, toe, tundra). Thawing rates can be split into two 329 periods: a period of slow and gradual increase in maximum thaw depths, followed by a sharp increase in thawing rates once a 330 critical warming of the ground has been reached. The two-phase thawing characteristic cannot be explained through changes 331 in external climate forcing (see Figure A3), but by internal dynamics following the formation of year-round partially unfrozen 332 layers in the ground. We can roughly track the timing of the increase in thawing rates by the first occurrence of a layer with a 333 maximum refreeze of 25% of the pore water (dashed vertical lines, Figure 5). This diagnostic can be seen as a precursor for 334 later full talik formation (i.e. year-round unfrozen conditions). The occurrence of individual cold or snow-poor winters can result in a strong winter refreeze of taliks, causing large year-to-year variability in simulated maximum thaw depths. 335

336 As a consequence of snow accumulation and subsequent ground warming, the toe structural unit is the first location where an 337 increase in thawing rates is seen. In the 'vulnerable' setting with ponding at the toe, this increase is very pronounced and starts 338 already around 2015 after pond formation (Fig. 5b), reaching thawing rates of 20 cm yr⁻¹. Such high thawing rates are an 339 expression of the heat gain after the replacement of the snow-free tundra surface (assumed albedo of 0.2) with a water surface 340 albedo (0.07, see table 2). Further, an unfrozen pond allows for a more efficient heat uptake of warm surface air through 341 vertical mixing within the water column as compared to top-down heat diffusion in solid ground. The shoulder tile reveals a 342 lagged thaw rate increase, reaching thaw penetration below the embankment base around 2040 (around 2055 if no pond is 343 assumed), which corresponds roughly to the formation time of a year-round partially unfrozen soil layer (Figure 5). Further 344 warming will ultimately lead to road destabilization if the ground below the embankment base is ice-rich. In contrast, the road 345 centre is much more stable with a thaw rate increase many decades later. The outer road edge is destabilized around the year 346 2060 (2075 without ponding). Talik formation under the road centre only occurs after year 2080, but triggers a very pronounced 347 increase in thawing rates (Fig. 5). The large increase in late-century thawing rates under the road centre are a consequence of 348 previous continuous warming of subgrade temperatures through lateral heat flux in depth. This heat flux leads to an almost 349 isothermal temperature depth profile under the road close to 0°C (see Fig.4, lowest panels), making the ground strongly

350 <u>vulnerable to further warming</u>. The outer road edge is destabilized by around the year 2060 (2075 without ponding). By In 351 contrast to the tiles which are thermally affected by the gravel road, the adjacent tundra reveals <u>only</u> a gradual active layer 352 deepening without <u>the formation of largely unfrozen layers or full talks and showing with no</u> accelerated thaw in the 21st 353 century (Figure 5).

Our inferred dynamics of thaw rate acceleration resemble the thawing behaviour seen in a model study of a gravel road on discontinuous permafrost (Fortier et al., 2011). Figure 5 underlines that model studies that do not explicitly incorporate infrastructure in their model design are likely to strongly underestimate the timing of infrastructure damage following permafrost thaw, as infrastructure presence can promote enhanced ground warming.

358 We further tested how a stabilization of climate at the end of the 21st century would affect the long-term behaviour of ground 359 thaw dynamics. All tiles which are affected by the presence of the gravel road show continuously increasing thaw depths throughout the 22nd century as deep soil layers reach above-zero temperatures. In contrast, the active layer below the tundra 360 361 stabilizes at depth (Figure A3). This stabilization is realized despite slightly positive mean annual air temperatures after year 362 2075 (Figure A3, light blue curves) through combined effects from a pronounced reduction in snow insulation (as a 363 consequence of strongly reduced snow heights and shortened snow seasons) and soil surface drying during summer (resulting 364 in a strong increase in summer insulation of the soil surface). This finding shows the key impact of the protective peat layer 365 for sub-ground temperatures and permafrost state, but we underline that our simulated preservation of tundra permafrost also 366 depends on the chosen model setting (e.g. with respect to external climate forcing and to internal model parameterizations).

367

368 3.3 Sensitivity to number of structural units

A key question of interest to modelling concerns the amount of detail necessary to satisfactorily capture the road thermal state and its adjacent environment. We investigated this aspect by running our model with low lateral resolution (1 road tile, 1 tundra tile), with medium resolution resolving all structural units (road, shoulder, toe, tundra) and with high resolution. In the latter case we further subdivided structural units into multiple tiles (total of 30 tiles, Tab. 1).

When describing our model-setup by only two tiles, our results suggest that permafrost degradation under the road centre is underestimated, resulting in less pronounced thaw The medium and high resolution settings show consistent results, with slight differences when focusing on the outer edge of the road (Fig. 6a). In contrast, modelled maximum thaw depths for the adjacent tundra show no sensitivity to horizontal model resolution (Fig. 6b). The toe and shoulder tiles (Fig. 6c, d) are not resolved in the low resolution setting and reveal much stronger permafrost degradation, underlining the importance of accounting for these elements in the model design. While the difference in simulated thaw trajectories between medium and high resolution runs is rather small for the shoulder tile (Fig. 6c), larger deviations are seen for the toe tile (Fig. 6d). Yet both

380 experiments reveal very similar thaw dynamics and reveal differences in the timing of thaw below the embankment base of

only a few years.

382 <u>4 Perspective on future modelling of Arctic infrastructure failure</u>

Our results clearly exhibit that there are combined effects from climate warming, permafrost degradation, and the presence of infrastructure. This highlights that future model-based risk-assessments of Arctic infrastructure should take into account all three components in understanding and quantifying the consequences of permafrost degradation on Arctic infrastructure. For such a purpose the use of numerical modelling appears the most appropriate tool to account for the full spectrum of dynamical permafrost changes expected in a warmer future.

388

394

389 Despite the large difference in scales between GTMs (decimetres to meters) and LSMs (hundred kilometres), our study 390 illustrates a potential for bridging this gap by the use of Process-based tiling models (PTMs). PTMs are computationally less 391 expensive than complex three-dimensional GTMs, structurally more flexible in describing processes relevant to permafrost 392 degradation than both GTMs and LSMs, and adaptable to different scales. The computational efficiency of PTMs allow them 393 to conduct long-term climate change scenario simulations while also exploring uncertainty by simulating ensembles.

In light of risk assessments of Arctic infrastructure failure, we envision two key directions of model applications: I) large-scale (i.e. LSM scale) pan-Arctic modelling of climate-induced infrastructure failure for inferring potential risk estimates, and II) fine-scale (i.e. GTM scale) modelling at individual infrastructure level to determine specific risk estimates. While large-scale modelling aims at a rather general quantification of how infrastructure could potentially be affected through climate change in certain regions across the Arctic, fine-scale modelling allows a case-specific assessment of certain infrastructures at chosen locations. PTMs can support both modelling approaches.

401 402

A) Linking PTMs to LSMs/ESMs

<u>Given the focus on large-scale risk assessments, LSM development aims at coarsely capturing general aspects of climate-</u>
 <u>change related infrastructure risks. This could be realized by implementing infrastructure through tile-based infrastructure</u>
 <u>classes, with each class representing a certain type of infrastructure (e.g. roads, pipelines, buildings). The result of LSM</u>
 <u>simulations representing infrastructure in such a reduced but therefore manageable manner (Cai et al., 2020) could produce</u>
 <u>pan-Arctic risk maps showing key regions where infrastructure failure through melting of excess ice and subsequent ground</u>
 <u>subsidence is likely for a given infrastructure class under a given scenario of future climate change.</u>
 <u>We envision three different directions of how PTMs can be linked to LSMs for modelling of large-scale infrastructure risks</u>

410 <u>from permafrost degradation:</u>

- 411 (I) Drawing general conclusions from PTM analyses can support LSM development in terms of how to best
 - 412 incorporate tiling-based infrastructure descriptions into LSMs. For this purpose, PTMs could e.g. inform about
 - the minimum number of structural units needed to satisfactorily simulate the interaction of a certain infrastructure type with
 - 414 its permafrost surrounding. Here, we showed that for a gravel road setup, four structural units (i.e. four tiles) are a sufficient
 - approximation to describe the impacts of snow accumulation and ponding on the thermal state of the road. Other infrastructure
 elements will require different numbers of structural units depending on infrastructure design.
 - (II) Rather than developing tiling-based infrastructure descriptions in the LSMs themselves, PTMs with an
 infrastructure component could be run offline for each LSM grid cell. This allows a quantification of pan-Arctic infrastructure
 risks based on the climate forcing and soil grid information (such as assumed excess ice distribution, Cai et al 2020) from a
 LSM, in combination with inferred permafrost-infrastructure interactions as calculated by the PTM.
 - III) A third direction (as done in this study) is the direct use of climatological forcing data from an ESM to run a PTM
 in a standalone mode in which site specific aspects can be accounted for (such as local soil stratigraphy, soil moisture, ground
 ice distribution).
 - 424

Pan-Arctic large-scale modelling as discussed above (I and II) can only provide a potential risk estimate, as they do not explicitly simulate the stability of individual infrastructure at specific locations. Site specific risk assessments must be inferred from complex three-dimensional GTM simulations which account for spatial details and therefore are capable of considering site and design-specific infrastructure aspects (such as infrastructure dimensions (Kong et al., 2019), asymmetry (Raynolds et al.,2014, Abolt et al.,2017), presence of cooling measures (Xu and Goering, 2008), and local boundary conditions (e.g. local climate, insolation angle, subgrade hydrological flow, Darrow 2011).

431 432

<u>B) Linking PTMs to GTMs</u>

433 PTMs could improve GTM setups by providing information about climate-induced changes in upper model boundary 434 conditions. GTMs often assume that the upper model boundary can be described by quasi-stationary conditions concerning 435 atmosphere-to-ground heat fluxes (e.g. by using fixed n-factors). Darrow (2011) underlines the high sensitivity of modelled 436 ground temperatures to upper boundary assumptions and points to limitations of using fixed n-factors when climate change 437 effects are to be considered. In our study we show the strong enhancement effect of permafrost degradation coming from 438 ground heat gain caused by ponding next to the road. Such effects are typically not resolved by GTM models. A model-based 439 representation of pond formation or of snow cover changes require high vertical resolution and small time steps. Although this 440 could in principle be represented in GTMs, the computational demand for running such models on climate timescales in very 441 high resolution in 2D or 3D is still beyond reasonable computational effort today. In contrast, the 1D focus of PTMs on 442 capturing vertical processes in high resolution (and with some 2 or 3D interaction) allows for investigation of complex 443 environmental changes (and their lateral interactions) in a schematic way with moderate computational costs. This can help in

444	drawing more general conclusions on permafrost-infrastructure interactions (under present climate and under climate change).
445	Here we sketch three possible directions of how GTM development could profit from PTM capacities:
446	(I) PTMs can be used to estimate how strongly changes in ground surface processes affect n-factors. This information
447	could then be used for improving GTM model setups (by re-scaling n-factors) when climate change effects are to be considered.
448	II) A further improved representation of upper boundary conditions in GTMs could be reached by hybrid model
449	approaches which take advantage of the individual strengths of different model classes. One strategy could be the coupling of
450	the complex surface energy balance component of a PTM to a GTM for use as upper model boundary forcing ("semi-hybrid
451	approach").
452	(III) Such a modelling approach could be extended into a "full hybrid approach" by running the PTM on a comparable
453	horizontal resolution as used in a GTM. The simulated thermal state from the PTM could then be applied to the GTM for
454	calculating soil hydrology and mechanics. In turn, GTM information is returned to the PTM, such that the state of each model
455	is updated by the results of the other in each iteration. While losing some GTMs resolution of geometries in the thermal field
456	calculations, such an approach would benefit from the complex process description and modular adaptability of the PTM, to
457	better describe some aspects of infrastructure degradation.
458	
459	The best-suited modelling strategy will finally depend on the type of risk analysis under investigation. In the light of degrading
460	permafrost observed already today in many regions around the Arctic, novel modelling strategies for estimating risks of future
461	infrastructure failure under a warming climate are urgently needed.
462	

463 45 Conclusions

1. . .

In our study we show the applicability of a laterally-coupled one-dimensional heat conduction model for simulating permafrost-affected infrastructure failure, demonstrated for the case of a gravel road built on continuous permafrost. Our simulation results allow an improved process understanding of how the ground below infrastructure can degrade under a scenario of intensive future climate change, and underline the potential for use of such models in pan-arctic risk assessments.

Our model simulations show a transition from slow and gradual thaw to fast, and likely irreversible permafrost degradation, and point to a threshold-like behaviour where (without extensive active ground cooling measures) road failure is inevitable once a critical level of ground warming has been reached. Rather than a simple top-down thawing and deepening of the active layer below the road centre, we identify lateral destabilization of the embankment and subgrade caused by talik formation under the toe, a key process which results in accelerating thaw rates and results in subsequent road failure.

The comparison of modelled thawing depths under the road and tundra underlines that infrastructure can exert a strong impact on ground temperatures as a consequence of increased net heat input in the subsurface. Therefore it is crucial that model-based

estimates of the timing of Arctic infrastructure failure account for the amplification of permafrost degradation through thepresence of Arctic infrastructure itself.

477 Based on our modelling results with differing lateral model resolutions we conclude that the minimum number of model tiles 478 should be chosen such that important *structural units* are captured, such that small-scale processes which exert a key impact 479 on the ground thermal regime are accounted for. In our example of a gravel road we have identified snow accumulation and 480 ponding at the shoulder and toe such key processes. For our linear infrastructure example we suggest a minimum number of 481 four structural units to represent the road surface, the shoulder, the toe, and the tundra. For other types of infrastructure, 482 structural units have to be adapted accordingly. If more spatial detail is needed (such as the information about the thermal state 483 of the embankment at the road edge), structural units can be further subdivided into individual tiles of finer lateral resolution. 484 Our simulations demonstrate that a low number of structural units can be sufficient to resolve processes operating on 485 engineering scales. Our use of a laterally-coupled one-dimensional model allows us to infer results in line with more complex 486 2D- and 3D-models but our model's computational efficiency enables us to calculate long-term climate change impacts on 487 infrastructure from permafrost degradation. Further, our simulation results show the potential for reducing model complexity 488 and therefore underline the capability of use in computationally extensive pan-arctic analyses.

Our results underline that it is crucial to consider climate change effects when planning and constructing infrastructure on permafrost as a transition from a stable to a highly unstable state can well occur within the infrastructure's service lifetime (about 30 years). With our focus on the Dalton highway at Deadhorse (Prudhoe Bay, Alaska), we illustrate that such a transition can even occur in the coming decade for infrastructure built on continuous permafrost that displays cold and relatively stable conditions today.

494

495 Appendix A: Details of model set-up

496 A1 Climate Forcing

We used down-scaled climate data (for air temperature, humidity, pressure, wind speed, rain and snow precipitation, and incoming long-wave and short-wave radiation) provided by the SNAP database (SNAP, (Lader et al., 2017) to force our model under historical, present day and projected future climate change conditions. We follow the approach by Westermann et al. (2016) of using monthly climate anomaly fields in combination with high-frequency reference climate data to generate biascorrected climate forcings. The monthly anomalies are calculated based on a downscaled GFDL model run under the RCP8.5 scenario. The reference climate data cover the period 2005 to 2015 and are taken from the downscaled SNAP ERA data. 503 The RCP8.5 forcing describes a scenario of extensive future climate change resulting in a radiative forcing of 8.5 W m⁻² by 504 2100. For the Prudhoe Bay area (Deadhorse) this forcing leads to mean annual air temperatures about 5° C above mean year 505 2020 levels by the year 2050 (about 8° C by 2075).

506

507 A2 Initialization and boundary conditions

We initiate soil temperatures based on borehole data at 10 m and 20 m depth (Romanovsky et al, 2019) and start our simulations in the year 1975, using the first 25 years for spinning-up our model domain under historical climate conditions. For capturing observed high soil moisture conditions, we prescribe an external water flux of 2 mm day⁻¹ for the period of unfrozen soil surface conditions. We prescribe an external water flux of 2 mm day⁻¹ for the period of unfrozen soil surface conditions. This flux could mimic the impact of surficial lateral water fluxes or could be understood as a correction factor for precipitation biases. We introduce this flux for capturing observed high soil moisture conditions in tundra soils next to the road at our chosen location.

515

Based on borehole data (Raynolds et al., 2014), we prescribe the tundra soil stratigraphy by assuming a 30 cm peat layer on top of a two meter thick silty mineral soil layer. Below, a sandy mineral soil layer is assumed extending to the bedrock boundary at 10 m depth (see Fig. 3). In our model setting with ponding ('vulnerable' setting), we assume a layer of high excess ice between 1 m and 2 m, mimicking a case of a buried ice wedge next to the road. The maximum model depth is constrained to 1000 m with a lower boundary flux condition given by a geothermal heat flow of 0.05 W m⁻² (Lachenbruch et al., 1982). Ground stratigraphies for tundra and infrastructure conditions are given in Tab. 1.

522

523 In our model setup we consider a transect from the road centreline to the adjacent tundra up to a distance of 60 m (low and 524 medium resolution) or 100 m (high resolution), assuming symmetry along the centreline for computational efficiency. At the 525 outer model boundaries we assume zero lateral flux conditions given our symmetry assumption with regard to the road 526 centreline and negligible lateral gradients at the outer tundra model boundary.

527

528 A3 Model resolution and subdivision into structural units

We have run CryoGrid3 in three different set-ups in which we have captured the gravel road and tundra up to a distance of 100 m from the road centre with increasing horizontal resolution. In a low resolution setting (*LowRes*) we have only used two tiles (i.e. simulating two connected soil columns) to describe the gravel road centre by one SU coupled to a tundra SU. In contrast, in the medium resolution (*MidRes*) and high resolution (*HighRes*) settings we use four SUs which allow us to resolve our model domain in greater spatial detail, e.g. by accounting for small-scale effects of snow accumulation and ponding in the vicinity of the road (see Fig. 3). In these settings we additionally consider one structural unit for the embankment shoulder

- (SU2) and one structural unit for the toe (SU3). Further, we resolve the outer edge of the road surface separately by one additional tile (*MidRes*). In the *HighRes* setting we describe the tundra with finer horizontal resolution close to the road, and increasingly coarser resolution towards the outer boundary at 100 m distance from the road (Tab. 2).
- 538 The vertical model grid node spacing is 2 cm in the upper 4 m, 10 cm between 4 m to 10 m, 20 cm in the depth range 10 m to
- 539 20 m, followed by 10 more layers of increasing thickness down to the lower model domain boundary at 1000 m.
- 540

541 A4 Road embankment and toe

We assume that the road surface is 2.5 m above ground with a total embankment thickness of four meters (i.e. we imply an excavation of the uppermost 1.5 m of ground during construction). The material in the top 10 cm of the road surface is finegrained gravel, all other parts of the embankment are assumed to consist of coarse-grained gravel. We assume that the road centre is permanently snow free as a consequence of snow plowing. We capture this effect in the model by removing snowfall from the road centre.

We constrain landscape-scale maximum snow height on the adjacent tundra to 40 cm to simulate snow heights in the range of observations (Nicolsky et al., 2017). As we do not simulate snow redistribution (through plowing and wind drift), we realize additional snow accumulation at the toe and shoulder by scaling snowfall by a factor of four. We constrain total snow heights depending on the distance to the surface road edge and describe a linear profile which results in largest snow heights at the toe (see Fig. 3, light blue shading). In our *LowRes* setting we cannot resolve ponding or snow accumulation along the shoulder and toe, as we only include one road tile and one larger-scale tundra tile with uniform snow height.

The embankment has an assumed slope of 1:2 (1 m vertical vs. 2 m horizontal) and determines the maximum height of snow accumulation at the shoulder and toe (Fig. 3). In our conservative setting, we assume a general orientation of the Dalton highway in North-South direction. In our vulnerable setting we assume a southerly facing road shoulder and account for increased incident solar radiation. We acknowledge that a specific gravel road can deviate strongly from our assumed setting here (e.g. (Andersland and Ladanyi, 1994).

558 Appendix B: Evaluation of the impact of snow accumulation on soil temperatures

During the winter season 2018/2019 we have measured soil surface temperatures along a transect at the Dalton highway 10 km south of Deadhorse (70.099°N, 148.511°W, <u>https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.914327</u>). We have used iButton temperature loggers (model "DS1921G") placed in the uppermost soil surface, covering both sides of the road up to a distance of 50 meters away from the road centre (see Fig. A1). Our transect is in the direct vicinity of the weather monitoring station DSS1 (Dalton MP 405) of the Water and Environmental Research Center (WERC) of the UAF (Toniolo et. al., 2020) which we used for comparing measured soil temperatures to observed surface air temperatures.

565

566	For investigating the impact of ground warming from snow accumulation at the road shoulder and toe, we have analysed the							
567	temperature difference between the soil surface and the surface air (2 m height) for snow covered conditions (1st of November							
568	to 30st of April). The observations show warmer soil surface winter temperatures in the vicinity of the road (SU2 and SU3)							
569	compared to the more distant tundra (SU4, Fig.A2, upper panel). In contrast, the snow-free road surface (SU1) reveals mean							
570	modelled temperatures about 10 degrees colder than observed tundra soil surface temperatures. By prescribing snow							
571	accumulation at the shoulder and toe (SU2 and SU3), our model simulations capture the snow warming effect and point to a							
572	strong year-to-year variability of the magnitude of this effect depending on climatic conditions of a specific year. For the snow							
573	season 2018/2019 our observations suggest an additional soil surface warming from snow accumulation of about 4 °C (Fig.A2,							
574	lower panel).							
575								
576								
577 578	Code and data availability The model source code used for the simulations in this work is available at Github							
579	(https://github.com/CryoGrid/CryoGrid3/tree/xice_mpi_IS) and will be finally archived on Zenodo in case of final acceptance							
580	of this manuscript. The measurement data from Langer et al. (2020) used for evaluation of the model results are available from							

- 581 PANGAEA (https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.914327).
- 582

583 Video supplement

584 The supplement to this article (<u>https://doi.org/10.5446/47699</u>) contains an animated video showing the results of the 585 simulations described in section 3.

586

587 Author contributions

TSvD and ML designed the study. TSvD extended the model code for including infrastructure, carried out the simulations and wrote the paper. SJ generated model forcing data sets. All authors interpreted the results and contributed to the paper.

590

591 Competing interests.

- All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 593
- 594

595 Acknowledgements

596 This work was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) of Germany through a grant to Moritz 597 Langer (no.01LN1709A). Additional support came from the AWI Innovation Funds (Innovation Project IP10200006). Special 598 thanks to Alexander Oehme for providing climate forcing data, and to Heiko Gericke and Natalja Rakowsky for IT support. 599 Donald A. Walker acknowledges support by U.S. NSF awards 1263854 and 1928237 and Vladimir Romanovsky 600 acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation (Grant 1832238) and by the Next-Generation Ecosystem

601 <u>Experiment (NGEE-Arctic) project of DOE</u>. This work was also supported by the HORIZON2020 (BG–2017–1) project 602 Nunatarvuk (Grant agreement no. 773421).

- 603
- 604

605 <u>56</u> References

- Abolt, C. J., Young, M. H., Atchley, A. L., and Harp, D. R.: Microtopographic control on the ground thermal regime in ice
 wedge polygons, Cryosphere, 12, 1957-1968, 10.5194/tc-12-1957-2018, 2018.
- 609 Boike, J., Nitzbon, J., Anders, K., Grigoriev, M., Bolshiyanov, D., Langer, M., Lange, S., Bornemann, N., Morgenstern, A.,
- 610 Schreiber, P., Wille, C., Chadburn, S., Gouttevin, I., Burke, E., and Kutzbach, L.: A 16-year record (2002-2017) of permafrost,
- 611 active-layer, and meteorological conditions at the Samoylov Island Arctic permafrost research site, Lena River delta, northern
- 612 Siberia: an opportunity to validate remote-sensing data and land surface, snow, and permafrost models, Earth System Science
- 613 Data, 11, 261-299, 10.5194/essd-11-261-2019, 2019.
- 614 Burt, W. V.: ALBEDO OVER WIND-ROUGHENED WATER, Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 11, 283-290, 10.1175/1520-
- 615 <u>0469(1954)011<0283:AOWRW>2.0.CO;2, 1954.</u>
- 616 Cosenza, P., Guerin, R., and Tabbagh, A.: Relationship between thermal conductivity and water content of soils using
- 617 <u>numerical modelling, European Journal of Soil Science, 54, 581-587, 10.1046/j.1365-2389.2003.00539.x, 2003.</u>
- 618 Fisher, R. A., and Koven, C. D.: Perspectives on the Future of Land Surface Models and the Challenges of Representing
- 619 <u>Complex Terrestrial Systems, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, 10.1029/2018ms001453, 2020.</u>
- 520 Jan, A., Coon, E. T., Painter, S. L., Garimella, R., and Moulton, J. D.: An intermediate-scale model for thermal hydrology in
- 621 <u>low-relief permafrost-affected landscapes, Computational Geosciences, 22, 163-177, 10.1007/s10596-017-9679-3, 2018.</u>
- Kong, X. B., Dore, G., and Calmels, F.: Thermal modeling of heat balance through embankments in permafrost regions, Cold
- 623 <u>Reg. Sci. Tech., 158, 117-127, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2018.11.013, 2019.</u>
- 624 Kumar, J., Collier, N., Bisht, G., Mills, R. T., Thornton, P. E., Iversen, C. M., and Romanovsky, V.: Modeling the
- 625 spatiotemporal variability in subsurface thermal regimes across a low-relief polygonal tundra landscape, Cryosphere, 10, 2241-
- 626 <u>2274, 10.5194/tc-10-2241-2016, 2016.</u>
- 627 McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C., Clein, J. S., Burke, E., Chen, G. S., Jafarov, E., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko,
- 628 S., Nicolsky, D., Peng, S. S., Rinke, A., Ciais, P., Gouttevin, I., Hayes, D. J., Ji, D. Y., Krinner, G., Moore, J. C., Romanovsky,
- 629 V., Schaedel, C., Schafer, K., Schuur, E. A. G., and Zhuang, Q. L.: Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the
- 630 northern permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
- 631 <u>States of America, 115, 3882-3887, 10.1073/pnas.1719903115, 2018.</u>

- 632 Pau, G. S. H., Bisht, G., and Riley, W. J.: A reduced-order modeling approach to represent subgrid-scale hydrological dynamics
- 633 for land-surface simulations: application in a polygonal tundra landscape, Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 2091-2105,
- 634 <u>10.5194/gmd-7-2091-2014, 2014.</u>
- Qi, J. L., Yao, X. L., Yu, F., and Liu, Y. Z.: Study on thaw consolidation of permafrost under roadway embankment, Cold
- 636 <u>Reg. Sci. Tech., 81, 48-54, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2012.04.007, 2012.</u>
- 637 <u>Westermann, S., Schuler, T. V., Gisnås, K., and Etzelmüller, B.: Transient thermal modeling of permafrost conditions in</u>
 638 Southern Norway, The Cryosphere, 7, 719-739, 10.5194/tc-7-719-2013, 2013.
- Xu, J. F., and Goering, D. J.: Experimental validation of passive permafrost cooling systems, Cold Reg. Sci. Tech., 53, 283-
- 640 <u>297, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2007.09.002, 2008.</u>
- 641 642
- Aas, K. S., Martin, L., Nitzbon, J., Langer, M., Boike, J., Lee, H., Berntsen, T. K., and Westermann, S.: Thaw processes in
 ice-rich permafrost landscapes represented with laterally coupled tiles in a land surface model, The Cryosphere, 13, 591-609,
 10.5194/tc-13-591-2019, 2019.
- Andersland, O. B., and Ladanyi, B.: Introduction to Frozen Ground Engineering, Springer, Dordrecht, 1994.
- 647 Burke, E. J., Zhang, Y., and Krinner, G.: Evaluating permafrost physics in the CMIP6 models and their sensitivity to climate 648 change, The Cryosphere Discuss., 2020, 1-33, 10.5194/tc-2019-309, 2020.
- Cai, L., Lee, H., Aas, K. S., and Westermann, S.: Projecting circum-Arctic excess ground ice melt with a sub-grid representation in the Community Land Model, The Cryosphere Discuss., 2020, 1-28, 10.5194/tc-2020-91, 2020.xxx
- 651 Chadburn, S. E., Burke, E. J., Essery, R. L. H., Boike, J., Langer, M., Heikenfeld, M., Cox, P. M., and Friedlingstein, P.:
- Impact of model developments on present and future simulations of permafrost in a global land-surface model, Cryosphere, 9,
- 653 1505-1521, 10.5194/tc-9-1505-2015, 2015.
- 654 Chapin, F. S., Sturm, M., Serreze, M. C., McFadden, J. P., Key, J. R., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Rupp, T. S., Lynch, A.
- H., Schimel, J. P., Beringer, J., Chapman, W. L., Epstein, H. E., Euskirchen, E. S., Hinzman, L. D., Jia, G., Ping, C. L., Tape,
- 656 K. D., Thompson, C. D. C., Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M.: Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming,
- 657 Science, 310, 657-660, 2005.
- 658 Chen, L., Fortier, D., McKenzie, J. M., and Sliger, M.: Impact of Heat Advection on the Thermal Regime of Roads Built on
- 659 Permafrost, Hydrological Processes, n/a, 10.1002/hyp.13688, 2019.
- 660 Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., M. Heimann, C.,
- 661 Jones, C., Quéré, L., Myneni, R. B., Piao, S., and Thornton, P.: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles
- 662 in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report
- of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, G.-K. P., M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J., and
- 664 Boschung, A. N., Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.

- Comiso, J. C., and Hall, D. K.: Climate trends in the Arctic as observed from space, WIREs Climate Change, 5, 389-409,
 10.1002/wcc.277, 2014.
- 667 Daanen, R. P., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Marchenko, S. S., Romanovsky, V. E., Foged, N., Stendel, M., Christensen, J. H., and
- Svendsen, K. H.: Permafrost degradation risk zone assessment using simulation models, Cryosphere, 5, 1043-1056, 10.5194/tc 5-1043-2011, 2011.
- Darrow, M. M.: Thermal modeling of roadway embankments over permafrost, Cold Reg. Sci. Tech., 65, 474-487,
- 671 10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.11.001, 2011.
- de Grandpre, I., Fortier, D., and Stephani, E.: Degradation of permafrost beneath a road embankment enhanced by heat advected in groundwater, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 49, 953-962, 10.1139/e2012-018, 2012.
- 674 Doré, G., Niu, F., and Brooks, H.: Adaptation Methods for Transportation Infrastructure Built on Degrading Permafrost,
- 675 Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 27, 352-364, 10.1002/ppp.1919, 2016.
- 676 Ekici, A., Lee, H., Lawrence, D. M., Swenson, S. C., and Prigent, C.: Ground subsidence effects on simulating dynamic high-
- latitude surface inundation under permafrost thaw using CLM5, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 5291-5300, 10.5194/gmd-12-52912019, 2019.
- Farouki, O., Research, C. R., and Laboratory, E.: Thermal Properties of Soils, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Cold Regions
 Research and Engineering Laboratory, 1982.
- 681 Farquharson, L. M., Romanovsky, V. E., Cable, W. L., Walker, D. A., Kokelj, S. V., and Nicolsky, D.: Climate Change Drives
- Widespread and Rapid Thermokarst Development in Very Cold Permafrost in the Canadian High Arctic, Geophysical
 Research Letters, 46, 6681-6689, 10.1029/2019GL082187, 2019.
- 684 Flynn, D., Kurz, D., Alfaro, M., Graham, J., and Arenson, L. U.: Forecasting Ground Temperatures under a Highway
- Embankment on Degrading Permafrost, Journal of Cold Regions Engineering, 30, 10.1061/(asce)cr.1943-5495.0000106, 2016.
- 686 Fortier, D., Allard, M., and Shur, Y.: Observation of rapid drainage system development by thermal erosion of ice wedges on
- Bylot island, Canadian Arctic Archipelago, Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 18, 229-243, 10.1002/ppp.595, 2007.
- 688 Fortier, R., LeBlanc, A. M., and Yu, W. B.: Impacts of permafrost degradation on a road embankment at Umiujaq in Nunavik
- 689 (Quebec), Canada, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 48, 720-740, 10.1139/t10-101, 2011.
- Grenfell, T. C., and Maykut, G. A.: The Optical Properties of Ice and Snow in the Arctic Basin, Journal of Glaciology, 18,
 445-463, 10.3189/S0022143000021122, 1977.
- Hjort, J., Karjalainen, O., Aalto, J., Westermann, S., Romanovsky, V. E., Nelson, F. E., Etzelmuller, B., and Luoto, M.:
- 693 Degrading permafrost puts Arctic infrastructure at risk by mid-century, Nat. Commun., 9, 10.1038/s41467-018-07557-4, 2018.
- 694 Hope, C., and Schaefer, K.: Economic impacts of carbon dioxide and methane released from thawing permafrost, Nature
- 695 Climate Change, 6, 56-59, 10.1038/nclimate2807, 2016.
- Jafarov, E. E., Coon, E. T., Harp, D. R., Wilson, C. J., Painter, S. L., Atchley, A. L., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Modeling the
- 697 role of preferential snow accumulation in through talik development and hillslope groundwater flow in a transitional permafrost
- landscape, Environmental Research Letters, 13, 105006, 10.1088/1748-9326/aadd30, 2018.

- Kanevskiy, M., Connor, B., Schnabel, B., Shur, Y., Bjella, K., Trochim, E., Dean, K., and Ellison, A.: Risk Evaluation for
 Permafrost-Related Threats: Methods of Risk Estimation and Sources of Information, 2019.
- 701 Karjalainen, O., Aalto, J., Luoto, M., Westermann, S., Romanovsky, V. E., Nelson, F. E., Etzelmuller, B., and Hjort, J.:
- 702 Circumpolar permafrost maps and geohazard indices for near-future infrastructure risk assessments, Scientific Data, 6,
 703 10.1038/sdata.2019.37, 2019.
- 704 Kleinen, T., and Brovkin, V.: Pathway-dependent fate of permafrost region carbon, Environmental Research Letters, 13,
- 705 094001, 10.1088/1748-9326/aad824, 2018.
- Kokelj, S. V., and Jorgenson, M. T.: Advances in Thermokarst Research, Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 24, 108-119,
 10.1002/ppp.1779, 2013.
- Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., and Stern, A.: Analysis of Permafrost Thermal Dynamics and Response to Climate Change in the
 CMIP5 Earth System Models, Journal of Climate, 26, 1877-1900, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00228.1, 2013.
- Lachenbruch, A. H., Sass, J. H., Marshall, B. V., and Moses Jr, T. H.: Permafrost, heat flow, and the geothermal regime at
- 711 Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 87, 9301-9316, 10.1029/JB087iB11p09301, 1982.
- 712 Lader, R., Walsh, J. E., Bhatt, U. S., and Bieniek, P. A.: Projections of Twenty-First-Century Climate Extremes for Alaska via
- 713 Dynamical Downscaling and Quantile Mapping, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 56, 2393-2409,
- 714 10.1175/jamc-d-16-0415.1, 2017.
- Langer, M., Westermann, S., Heikenfeld, M., Dorn, W., and Boike, J.: Satellite-based modeling of permafrost temperatures in
 a tundra lowland landscape, Remote Sensing of Environment, 135, 12-24, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.03.011, 2013.
- 717 Langer, M., Westermann, S., Boike, J., Kirillin, G., Grosse, G., Peng, S., and Krinner, G.: Rapid degradation of permafrost
- 718 underneath waterbodies in tundra landscapes—Toward a representation of thermokarst in land surface models, Journal of
- 719 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 121, 2446-2470, 10.1002/2016JF003956, 2016.
- Larsen, J. N., and Fondahl, G.: Arctic Human Development Report Regional Processes and Global Linkages, Nordic Council
 of Ministers, 2015.
- Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A. G., Romanovsky, V. E., and Nicolsky, D. J.: Sensitivity of a model projection of near-surface
- permafrost degradation to soil column depth and representation of soil organic matter, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth
 Surface, 113, 10.1029/2007jf000883, 2008.
- Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L.,
- Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural
- advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 3,
- 728 10.1029/2011ms000045, 2011.

- 729 Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A. G., and Swenson, S. C.: Simulation of Present-Day and Future Permafrost and Seasonally Frozen
- 730 Ground Conditions in CCSM4, Journal of Climate, 25, 2207-2225, 10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00334.1, 2012.
- 731 Lee, H., Swenson, S. C., Slater, A. G., and Lawrence, D. M.: Effects of excess ground ice on projections of permafrost in a
- 732 warming climate, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 124006, 10.1088/1748-9326/9/12/124006, 2014.

- Liljedahl, A. K., Boike, J., Daanen, R. P., Fedorov, A. N., Frost, G. V., Grosse, G., Hinzman, L. D., Iijma, Y., Jorgenson, J.
- 734 C., Matveyeva, N., Necsoiu, M., Raynolds, M. K., Romanovsky, V. E., Schulla, J., Tape, K. D., Walker, D. A., Wilson, C. J.,
- 735 Yabuki, H., and Zona, D.: Pan-Arctic ice-wedge degradation in warming permafrost and its influence on tundra hydrology,
- 736 Nature Geoscience, 9, 312-+, 10.1038/ngeo2674, 2016.
- Martin, L. C. P., Nitzbon, J., Aas, K. S., Etzelmüller, B., Kristiansen, H., and Westermann, S.: Stability Conditions of Peat
 Plateaus and Palsas in Northern Norway, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 124, 705-719,
 10.1029/2018JF004945, 2019.
- 740 Melvin, A. M., Larsen, P., Boehlert, B., Neumann, J. E., Chinowsky, P., Espinet, X., Martinich, J., Baumann, M. S., Rennels,
- 741 L., Bothner, A., Nicolsky, D. J., and Marchenko, S. S.: Climate change damages to Alaska public infrastructure and the
- economics of proactive adaptation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114,
 E122-E131, 10.1073/pnas.1611056113, 2017.
- Nicolsky, D. J., Romanovsky, V. E., Panda, S. K., Marchenko, S. S., and Muskett, R. R.: Applicability of the ecosystem type
- approach to model permafrost dynamics across the Alaska North Slope, Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface, 122,
 50-75, 10.1002/2016jf003852, 2017.
- Nitzbon, J., Langer, M., Westermann, S., Martin, L., Aas, K. S., and Boike, J.: Pathways of ice-wedge degradation in polygonal
 tundra under different hydrological conditions, The Cryosphere, 13, 1089-1123, 10.5194/tc-13-1089-2019, 2019.
- Nitzbon, J., Westermann, S., Langer, M., Martin, L. C. P., Strauss, J., Laboor, S., and Boike, J.: Fast response of cold ice-rich
 permafrost in northeast Siberia to a warming climate, Nat. Commun., 11, 11, 10.1038/s41467-020-15725-8, 2020.
- O'Neill, H. B., and Burn, C. R.: Impacts of variations in snow cover on permafrost stability, including simulated snow
 management, Dempster Highway, Peel Plateau, Northwest Territories, Arct. Sci., 3, 150-178, 10.1139/as-2016-0036, 2017.
- 753 Qiu, C., Zhu, D., Ciais, P., Guenet, B., Peng, S., Krinner, G., Tootchi, A., Ducharne, A., and Hastie, A.: Modelling northern
- peatland area and carbon dynamics since the Holocene with the ORCHIDEE-PEAT land surface model (SVN r5488), Geosci.
- 755 Model Dev., 12, 2961-2982, 10.5194/gmd-12-2961-2019, 2019.
- Raynolds, M. K., Walker, D. A., Ambrosius, K. J., Brown, J., Everett, K. R., Kanevskiy, M., Kofinas, G. P., Romanovsky, V.
- E., Shur, Y., and Webber, P. J.: Cumulative geoecological effects of 62 years of infrastructure and climate change in ice-rich
- permafrost landscapes, Prudhoe Bay Oilfield, Alaska, Global Change Biology, 20, 1211-1224, 10.1111/gcb.12500, 2014.
- 759 Romanovsky, V., Isaksen K., Drozdov D., Anisimov O., Instanes A., Leibman M., McGuire A. D., Shiklomanov N., Smith S.,
- 760 Walker D.: Changing permafrost and its impacts, in: Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA) 2017, Gylling,
- 761 DK-8300 Odder, Denmark, 65-102, 2017.
- 762 Rowland, J. C., Jones, C. E., Altmann, G., Bryan, R., Crosby, B. T., Hinzman, L. D., Kane, D. L., Lawrence, D. M., Mancino,
- 763 A., Marsh, P., McNamara, J. P., Romanvosky, V. E., Toniolo, H., Travis, B. J., Trochim, E., Wilson, C. J., and Geernaert, G.
- L.: Arctic Landscapes in Transition: Responses to Thawing Permafrost, Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 91,
- 765 229-230, 10.1029/2010EO260001, 2010.

- 766 Schneider von Deimling, T., Grosse, G., Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Morgenstern, A., Schaphoff, S., Meinshausen, M., and
- Boike, J.: Observation-based modelling of permafrost carbon fluxes with accounting for deep carbon deposits and thermokarst
 activity, Biogeosciences, 12, 3469-3488, 10.5194/bg-12-3469-2015, 2015.
- 769 Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schadel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry,
- 770 P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and Vonk, J.
- E.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 520, 171-179, 10.1038/nature14338, 2015.
- 772 Stephani, E., Fortier, D., Shur, Y., Fortier, R., and Dore, G.: A geosystems approach to permafrost investigations for
- 773 engineering applications, an example from a road stabilization experiment, Beaver Creek, Yukon, Canada, Cold Reg. Sci.
- 774 Tech., 100, 20-35, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2013.12.006, 2014.
- 775 Streletskiy, D. A., Suter, L. J., Shiklomanov, N. I., Porfiriev, B. N., and Eliseev, D. O.: Assessment of climate change impacts
- on buildings, structures and infrastructure in the Russian regions on permafrost, Environmental Research Letters, 14,
 10.1088/1748-9326/aaf5e6, 2019.
- Sturm, M., Taras, B., Liston, G. E., Derksen, C., Jonas, T., and Lea, J.: Estimating Snow Water Equivalent Using Snow Depth
 Data and Climate Classes, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11, 1380-1394, 10.1175/2010jhm1202.1, 2010.
- 780 Suter, L., Streletskiy, D., and Shiklomanov, N.: Assessment of the cost of climate change impacts on critical infrastructure in
- 781 the circumpolar Arctic, Polar Geography, 42, 267-286, 10.1080/1088937X.2019.1686082, 2019.
- Trochim, E. D., Schnabel, W. E., Kanevskiy, M., Munk, J., and Shur, Y.: Geophysical and cryostratigraphic investigations for
 road design in northern Alaska, Cold Reg. Sci. Tech., 131, 24-38, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2016.08.004, 2016.
- 784 Walter Anthony, K., Schneider von Deimling, T., Nitze, I., Frolking, S., Emond, A., Daanen, R., Anthony, P., Lindgren, P.,
- 785 Jones, B., and Grosse, G.: 21st-century modeled permafrost carbon emissions accelerated by abrupt thaw beneath lakes, Nat.
- 786 Commun., 9, 3262, 10.1038/s41467-018-05738-9, 2018.
- 787 Westermann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., Schuler, T. V., and Etzelmüller, B.: Modeling the impact of wintertime rain events on
- the thermal regime of permafrost, The Cryosphere, 5, 945-959, 10.5194/tc-5-945-2011, 2011.
- 789 Westermann, S., Langer, M., Boike, J., Heikenfeld, M., Peter, M., Etzelmüller, B., and Krinner, G.: Simulating the thermal
- regime and thaw processes of ice-rich permafrost ground with the land-surface model CryoGrid 3, Geosci. Model Dev., 9,
- 791 523-546, 10.5194/gmd-9-523-2016, 2016.
- 792







Figure 1: Classification of model classes according to their representative temporal and spatial scales. Geotechnical models (GTMs)
 operate on short time horizons (with a focus on the construction period), Land Surface Models (LSMs) focus rather on decadal to
 multi-centennial timescales. Process-based Tiling models can fill the gap in scales between GTMs and LSMs.

800

801

802



Figure 2: Focus of the model domain in the Prudhoe Bay region, Alaska (Deadhorse, 70.099°N 148.511°W, middle figure). Exemplary drone view of the Dalton highway close to Toolik (right figure). The asterisk in the middle figure illustrates the location of our soil

805 surface temperature monitoring site (see appendix).

807

808



810 Figure 3: Modelled half-cross-section from the road centre to the adjacent tundra. The setting shown illustrates the sub-division into 811 4 structural units (SU1-4: Road Centre (including the additional tile for resolving the outer edge), Shoulder, Toe, Tundra). The 812 greyish area with black dots represents the road embankment. The light blue shading indicates potential maximum snow height. 813 The dark blue area illustrates ponding next to the road. The lower model boundary (not shown) is at 1000m depth.

814



816 Figure 4: Simulated ground temperatures in the road embankment, subgrade and adjacent tundra at the end of winter (left) and 817 summer (right) for past (uppermost panels), present-day (upper mid-panel), and future (lower 2 panels) climate conditions (based 818 on the RCP8.5 scenario). Results are inferred from the vulnerable highRes model setting using 30 tiles. The separate right columns 819 indicate the temperature profile of a single-tile undisturbed tundra reference run. Dotted grey lines illustrate the embankment base. 820 Vertical dashed black lines indicate the separation into the structural units 'road surface', 'shoulder', toe', and 'tundra'. Note the 821 x-scale break for distances larger than 25 m. The lower model boundary is at 1000 m depth (not shown). The position of the 0°C 822 isotherm (separating bluish and yellowish colours) at the end of the summer corresponds approximately to the maximum annual 823 thaw depth (right column).



Figure 5: Temporal evolution of maximum thaw depth (MTD) for all structural units under RCP8.5 warming (SU1: road centre, SU2: embankment shoulder, SU3: toe, SU4: adjacent tundra). The left pP anel (a) illustrates the conservative case without ponding at the toe, the right panel (b) shows the vulnerable case with ponding and a southern facing road shoulder. Runs show results from the *MedRes* setting with 5 tiles (see table 3) and show annual means (thin lines) and 5 year moving means (thick lines). Dashed vertical lines indicate the timing of the first occurrence of a year-round partially unfrozen layer (maximum refreeze up to 25% of pore water). The horizontal black dotted lines indicate the tundra surface and embankment base.











Figure 6: Temporal evolution of the maximum thaw depth (MTD) under gravel road (a,c) and tundra conditions (b,d) for different

lateral model resolutions. Shown is the 5 year mean of maximum thaw depth (MTD) under RCP8.5 warming for low horizontal

837 model resolution (2 tiles, red lines), medium resolution (vulnerable setting, 5 tiles, blue curves), and high resolution (vulnerable 838 setting, 30 tiles, black curves). Also shown is the outer edge of the road centre (a, dashed lines). The vertical lines indicate the first

oso setting, so thes, black curves). Also shown is the outer edge of the road centre (a, dashed lines). The vertical lines indicate the first occurrence of a MTD exceeding the embankment depth (dashed horizontal line). Note the difference in v-axis scales between upper

840 and lower panels.



841

Figure A1: Transect of iButton soil temperature loggers across the Dalton highway at our site close to Deadhorse (70.099°N, 148.511°W). Red dots indicate the position of ibutton sensors, the bright grey element shows the gravel road surface, the adjacent

844 darker grey elements show the road shoulders. To the right a side road leading to the adjacent Sagavanirktok River (lower right) is 845 seen (drone photo Soraya Kaiser).



Figure A2: Transect of modelled (*MedRes* conservative setting) and observed soil surface temperatures for increasing distances to the road centre. The upper panel shows modelled and observed soil surface temperatures averaged over the snow season (1st of November to 30th of April). The lower panel shows the difference of snow-season surface soil minus surface air temperature. Blue dots and blue lines <u>illustrate simulated year-to-year variability and</u> indicate the median and min-max range <u>of individual_estimated</u> from 11 snow seasons simulated by CryoGrid3 runs for the periodfrom_2010 to 2020, orange dots give estimates based on ibutton measurements (Dalton highway, Alaska) for the <u>snowwinter</u> season 2018/2019. The vertical dotted black lines illustrate the structural unit domains (SU1: road centre, SU2: embankment shoulder, SU3: toe, SU4: adjacent tundra).

- 855
- 856



Figure A3: Climate stabilization under RCP8.5 warming. The left panel illustrates the long-term evolution of maximum thaw
 depths (MTD) for all structural units for the vulnerable setting (MedRes, 5 tiles, see also Fig.5). The middle and right panels
 show soil temperatures at 5 meter and 10 meter depth below the tundra surface for the different structural units along with
 surface air temperature (5 year moving means). Black dotted vertical lines at 2095 correspond to the beginning of climate
 stabilization.

Depth [m]	Initial water/ ice content	Mineral	Organic	Porosity	Туре				
Tundra	Tundra								
[00.3]	0.7	0.05	0.2	0.75	Organic				
[0.32.3]	0.4	0.55	0.05	0.4	silt				
[2.310]	0.4	0.55	0.05	0.4	Sand				
>10	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.3	Sand				
Road Centre									
[-2.52.4]	0.05	0.8	0.0	0.2	fine grained gravel				
[-2.41.5]	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.3	coarse grained gravel				
[1.52.3]	0.4	0.55	0.05	0.4	Silt				

[2.310]	0.4	0.55	0.05	0.4	Sand
>10	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.3	Sand

867Table 1: Ground stratigraphies with volumetric fractions of the ground constituents. Negative depths correspond to layers elevated868relative to the tundra surface at 0 m. The setting for the road shoulder is identical to the road centre, besides lacking a fine grained869surface layer and starting from elevations between the road surface and the tundra (see Fig. 3). The road embankment base is870assumed at 1.5 m depth. In the case of ponding at the toe ('vulnerable' setting), we assume high excess ice in the ground between 1871m and 2 m depth.

albedo	snow free surface tundra	0.2	Langer et al. (2011)
albedo	pond	<u>0.07</u>	<u>Burt (1954)</u>
albedo	snow free surface gravel road	0.3	(Andersland and Ladanyi, 1994)
albedo	fresh snow	0.85	(Grenfell and Maykut, 1977)
albedo	old snow	0.5	(Grenfell and Maykut, 1977)
density	snow cover	250 kg m ⁻³	(Sturm et al., 2010)
thermal conductivity	mineral soil and gravel fraction	3.0 W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	(Langer et al., 2013),(Farouki et al., 1982)
thermal conductivity	organic soil fraction	0.25 W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	(Farouki et al., 1982)
<u>hydraulic</u> conductivity	below surface ground	$1e^{-5} \text{ m sec}^{-1}/$	<u>(Boike et al, 2019)</u>
volumetric heat capacity	mineral soil and gravel fraction	2.0*10 ⁶ J K ⁻¹ m ⁻³	Farouki (1982)
volumetric heat capacity	organic soil fraction	2.5*10 ⁶ J K ⁻¹ m ⁻³	Farouki (1982)

	geothermal	heat	lower model boundary	0.05	(Lachenbruch et al., 1982)
	flux			W m ⁻²	
875 876 877 878	Table 2: Model are calculated b al.,2003;Wester account by calculated	parame ased on mann et ulating t	ters in CryoGrid3 used in this study. Effective the volumetric fractions of the ground const t al., 2013). The temperature dependence of temperature-dependent water and ice content	ve thermal conduc tituents water, ice of the effective the nts.	tivities and heat capacities of each model layer , air, mineral, gravel, and organic (Cosenza et rmal conductivity and capacity is taken into
879 880					
881					
882					
883					

Experiment	Description				
LowRes	Low resolution run with 2 structural units (2 tiles)				
	Tile 1: road centre (10 m width), Tile 2: tundra (90 m width)				
	Toe and shoulder are not resolved (i.e. no snow accumulation, no ponding, no increased incident				
	solar radiation)				
MedRes	Medium resolution run with 4 structural units (5 tiles)				
	Tile 1: road centre (4 m width), Tile2: outer road centre (1 m width), Tile3: shoulder (5 m width),				
	Tile 4: toe (10 m width), Tile 5: tundra (40 m width)				
'conservative'	Snow accumulation at shoulder and toe are represented				
'vulnerable'	Same as 'conservative setting, but additional ponding at toe and increased incident solar radiation				
	at shoulder (southern facing)				
HighRes	High resolution run with 4 structural units (30 tiles)				
	Tile 1-5: road centre (1 m width), Tile 6-10: shoulder (1 m width), Tile 11-20: toe (1 m width), Tile				
	21-25: tundra (1 m width), Tile 26-27: tundra (5 m width), Tile 28-29: tundra (10 m width), Tile 30:				
	tundra (45 m width)				
<u>'conservative'</u>	Not performed for HighRes				
'vulnerable'	Snow accumulation at shoulder and toe (snow height depending on distance to road), ponding at toe,				
	increased incident solar radiation at shoulder (southern facing)				

	Ref	Tundra	reference	run	without	infrastructure
		(using the same tune	dra stratigraphy as in the	other experiment	s)	
884	Table 3: Description of	performed simulation	experiments with CryoG	rid3		
885						
886						
887						
888						

I