

Interactive comment on “First Investigation of Perennial Ice in Winter Wonderland Cave, Uinta Mountains, Utah, USA” by Jeffrey S. Munroe

Aurel Perşoiu (Referee)

aurel.persoiu@gmail.com

Received and published: 26 September 2020

Over the past decade, investigations of perennial cave ice deposits took a more central stage, as the continuous rise in temperatures (and associated climatic changes) threaten these rather understudied components of the cryosphere. In this context, understanding the genesis and behavior of cave ice deposits could lead to the development of novel proxies of past climate variability that could add unique insights in past climate variability. Munroe attempts to do so by applying a range of investigation tools to several small perennial ice deposits in a cave in the Rocky Mountains, Utah and presenting and discussing the preliminary results. While the paleoclimatic potential of the investigated cave ice deposits is rather small, the results could advance our general knowledge of ice cave processes. While I had numerous comments, I nevertheless think that the manuscript could be published, given that 1) the paleoclimatic reconstruction is diminished in importance (see the comments on chronology) and 2) processes in the cave are emphasized as a tool for subsequent studies.

General comments: One of the main problems of the paper is the chronology. It is not clear at all what was actually dated. My understanding is that the top 15 cm of ice were dated. Because of the uneven ablation of the cave surface this approach is extremely problematic when it is intended to be used for climate reconstructions. If I understood correctly, the surface of the ice is extremely uneven and samples were collected from different depths, measured (“estimated visually” – a rather unusual choice) against the nearest ridge. Sublimation and/or melting was definitely acting with different intensities over the surface of the ice, both today and in the past, and as such, younger packrat droppings could have been incorporated in older ice and/or older ones reworked and deposited in new ice. Based on these considerations, I think the only message that can be obtained from the ^{14}C dating is that ice was present in the cave between the youngest and oldest dates. Anyway, sampling locations need to be marked on the cave map. A detailed sketch of the ice surface with the position of the dated samples against morphology (and stratigraphy, if available) will help us understand what was being done.

I drafted a new figure (Figure 2) to display the stratigraphy of the ice, the relationship between the Frozen Freeway surface and the ultimate uppermost layer of the sampled ice exposure, and the stratigraphic level where the samples were collected for radiocarbon dating.

I am surprised that no attempt was made to collect and use bulk electromagnetic wave propagation velocities – these could have been used to peek into the composition of the ice (a method used by Hausmann & Behm, 2011, which is cited by the author). Is the data available and usable? Even if not “fantastic”, it could further help subsequent studies (elsewhere). The point I want to make (here and through the review) is to have as much as possible methods descriptions and data available, rather than only “publishable” ones.

The suggestion that bulk EM wave propagation velocities be investigated is a good one. As I

note in the section on directions for future work, the investigations reported in this manuscript do not represent the end of fieldwork in this cave. Future geophysical investigations would certainly be useful for further clarifying three-dimensional architecture of the ice.

Specific comments The final paragraph of the introduction reads like being taken straight from a research proposal. Perhaps it should be rephrased to sound more article-like. Field site: it would benefit the readers to add one line about the characteristic of the limestone (rather than the name of the formation which would be in negligible interest). E.g., primary/secondary porosity and thickness of caprock are important to understand how water reaches the cave and/or how heat is being transferred to the cave. Also, for the understanding of ice chemistry, the general lithology of the rock should be presented.

I added details about the lithology of the Madison Limestone (Lines 72-73)

Line 89: at what height above the ice were the loggers suspended and at what distance from the rock walls? These are important considerations for the understanding of cave meteorology and factors leading to ablation/formation of ice, as the both presence of ice and of air currents induce strong vertical thermal gradients.

I added mention that the loggers were suspended 30 cm from the walls and ice (Line 91)

Line 100: please detail the “variety of gain settings” that were used during the GPR data acquiring (later in the manuscript, values are mentioned) and discuss the choice of one over the other. This is important if this study is to be useful for other researchers.

I clarified this in my revisions. (Lines 103-104)

Line 115-126: see my general comment. What calibration curve was used? The most recent is Raimier et al., 2020.

The IntCal13 curve was used, as is mentioned in Line 129. This was the newest available at the time the manuscript was written and there is very little difference in these young calibration ranges when recalibrating with Intcal 20.

Line 129-132: please describe the stratigraphy of the exposure and the number of samples collected per layer as the results are later presented using the layering. Did you consider layering during sampling or cut across strata (as suggested by the 2 cm spacing)? As water formed by the freezing of water, fractionation would have resulted in different stable isotope values within the same layer of ice.

Details about the stratigraphy of the exposure in the sampling strategy are presented in Lines 243 to 245, the visual divisions between the layers are shown schematically in the new Figure 2, the actual positions of these layer boundaries are plotted in Figure 8, and the number of samples per layer is stated in Table 2.

Line 134-136: please detail the location of the additional samples for stable isotope analyses.

These were added to Figure 1c.

Line 159: see my comment on the height of data loggers above cave ice surface.

Addressed in Line 91.

Line 167: using freezing degree days is rather uncommon, some readers might think that the number of days was calculated, rather than the sum of degrees below 0 °C. Please define it in the text. Perhaps the fdd should be calculated for the cave data, as well.

I defined the freezing degree day calculation. (Line 172)

Line 212-217: these should be moved under “methods”

Line 219-220: move to methods.

I’m not sure I agree with these two suggestions– talking about the total number of samples collected seems more like a result to me.

Line 220: “depleted” against. . .? Generally speaking, values cannot be depleted. A sample can be depleted in the heavy (light) isotope, resulting in a low (high) delta value.

Good point, I clarified throughout the text that delta values are relative to SMOW. I also switched to saying “less depleted” rather than “enriched”, since all of these samples are depleted relative to SMOW>.

Line 224-229: I do not understand the reason for removing “outliers”, the wide range of values is not an issue. This is a very unusual approach; I would consider all results, especially as the omitted results are almost similar to the ones used (e.g., fig. 7)

Screening to identify outliers in the isotope data seemed appropriate given the possibility that some of these ages have been greatly affected by fractionation. In applying this approach I was following the work of Benjamin et al. (2005¹), who screened their isotope data similarly before creating the local meteoric water line that I reference in my analysis. Perhaps this step is overly conservative, but I do think is important to evaluate the data before launching into analysis and interpretation. In any event, only three of the samples were eliminated by this screening, so my analysis essentially moves forward with the data set intact.

Line 245: relative to. . .?

Changed to “less depleted” (Line 248)

Line 254-255: move to methods, and detail

This procedure is part of the analysis of these data, thus it seems more appropriate in the discussion.

Line 259: Ni – possible contamination of the upper layers?

I don’t think so, because there is no source of Ni in the host rock. And as for contamination in the laboratory, all of the samples are treated identically and all were run sequentially in the same batch on the ICP-MS.

Line 274-276: I do not understand the rationale behind the explanation for the chimney. If outside air gets below the internal one, cold air will flow inside the cave, regardless of the presence or absence of a chimney (perhaps references in lines 2776-277 should be updated). From the data in fig. 2, I do not see the need for a secondary entrance. The morphology of the cave and the data clearly indicates a “cold air trap”, with dynamic cooling as cold air flows inside the cave and slow warming, perhaps triggered by geothermal heat (and additional heat brought to the cave by dripwater). This does not exclude the presence of a chimney, but if it was not observed during the visits, perhaps the simplest explanation

¹Benjamin, L., Knobel, L.L., Hall, L.F., Cecil, L.D., and Green, J.R., 2005, Development of a local meteoric water line for southeastern Idaho, western Wyoming, and southcentral Montana: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2004/5126/>.

would be sufficient (Occam's razor).

I added a bit more explanation for my logic in envisioning a chimney. I also clearly note that this is hypothetical. (Lines 277-280, again in Line 309)

Line 284-294: elegant

Thank you!

Line 292: did you notice airflow? Perhaps it is just warming propagating outwards though conduction from the warmer inner parts of the cave.

Yes, this is emphasized in the revision. (Line 279)

Line 295: what you mean by "back"? The longitudinal profile indicates flow from the entrance towards the "back" of the cave.

The observations in the summers of 2018 & 2019 clearly indicate that water entered from the rear of the cave, flooding out over the ice surface and reaching about halfway along the Frozen Freeway. I tried to clarify this in Lines 301-302 (It can't be shown on the scale of Figure 1, but the ice surface is slightly higher at the rear of the cave).

Line 299: again, was there a chimney/conduit observed? If not, water will just drip through the limestone's fissures.

I note that no drips or icicles were noted anywhere in the main part of the cave (Line 301)

Line 302: no need to flood the epikarst (it would be problematic at that altitude); in limestone, water will always find a way towards lower altitudes/caves.

True, I reworded this. (Lines 308-309)

Line 303: it would be useful to discuss the "ridges and troughs" on the surface of ice in detail. Inflow of warm water would definitely result in melting of ice, leading to the formation of surface micro topography. Further, the same water could bring in young packrat droppings and redeposit them in older ice, exposed during melting. This is evident in figure 10, where ridges are drowned in newly formed ice.

There is no sign that the ridges and troughs are produced by warm water, rather they appear to have been sculpted by airflow. Certainly water flowing over the surface of the ice could we distribute cryogenic minerals and organic matter, but it would not redeposit this material within "older ice"; rather this process would create a situation where older material is encased in younger ice. That material would be concentrated in a single stratigraphic level, clearly indicating its origins through melt out related concentration. That is not the case with the organic matter dated in this study, as I noted above.

Line 304: not seeing a secondary entrance, only hypothesize one, I would consider the cave a "static cave with congelation ice" (Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004a)

Again, given the clear evidence for strong airflow through the cave in the form of the sculpted ice surface, and the fact that strong drafting was noted during each field visit, I am inclined to lean toward the interpretation of hypothesized but currently inaccessible second entrance. Nonetheless, I am careful to note the hypothesized nature of this conduit. (Line 309)

Line 318: Is there possibly ice present under the breakdowns (in the "ice free" sections)?

Perhaps a continuous layer of ice extends from the entrance through the cave and the breakdowns cover it in places. It would be otherwise difficult to understand the lack of ice in parts of the cave.

Possibly, but this cannot be proven or disproven from the available evidence. In reality I think that the central and forward part of the cave where the breakdown is concentrated probably represent a mixing zone between ice derived from water entering the rear of the cave and flowing forward, and ice derived from water that runs into the Icicle Room through the entrance.

Line 324-326: the low reflectivity could indicate a thick and homogenous layer of clear ice, formed by the slow freezing of water in a through between ridges (so-called "lake ice"). And indeed, this ice would be free of cryogenic calcite and other sediments, that would settle at the bottom of the lake water during freezing. Here the usage of use bulk electromagnetic wave propagation velocities could help. Line 334: a photo and stratigraphic sketch would help understand the structure of the ice deposit and the stable isotope values

This is a good point, however looking at the current surface of the ice where it has been sculpted by the airflow reveals a nearly continuous layer of concentrated cryogenic minerals. These would form a strong reflector in GPR data. Furthermore, the scale of these ridges and troughs is on the order of 10 to 30 cm, whereas the scale of the apparently reflector-free ice seen in the GPR data is on the order of the meter. If continuous, meter deep pools of water were present on the surface of the ice at some point in the past, then yes they could have frozen as a lake ice creating a GPR record like the one that I imaged. However, this would require ridges and troughs on a scale quite different than that observed in the 2018 ice surface.

Line 342: depleted in heavy isotopes

I made this change in Line 402

Line 363: technically, it was the isotopologues that were fractionated. . .

Line 364-366: because during freezing of a pool of water the samples align one a straight line in a $\delta\text{H}-\delta^{18}\text{O}$ diagram (with $r^2 > 0.9$), potential loss of top and/or bottom samples would not affect to much the slope of the line.

True, but the effect could be larger if much of the ice layer were melted/ablated away...

Line 353 and subsequent paragraph: "freezing slopes" have been described as being generally below 7.2 (Jouzel and Souchez, 1982, Souchez and Jouzel, 1984, Souchez et al., 2000, Persoiu et al., 2011). Layers 4, 5, 6, 10, 12 and 13 (but not 9 and 10, which have slopes below 7) likely formed as thin layers of water froze on top of the existing ice block (slope > 7). This could be checked by plotting $\delta\text{-exces}$ vs. $\delta^{2}\text{H}$ (Souchez et al., 2000) for every layer. Lack of correlation between the two parameters would indicate kinetic conditions and thus open-system freezing (thin layers of water freezing on top of existing ice). Alternatively, all layers could have formed as "lake ice" and subsequently part of them melted away thus resulting in the loss of the alignment along a line with a slope below 7.

Line 371-372: regardless of type of freezing, fractionation occurs. It is the type of freezing and fractionation that matters. In layers of ice formed by the freezing of thin films of water, all water freezes "at once" and as such the stable isotope composition of the resulting ice is similar to that of the water. In the case of freezing of a pool of water, fractionation and continuous incorporation of heavy isotopes in ice would result in stable isotope trend from top to the bottom. If the entire layer is sampled, the stable isotope composition of ice is similar to that of the parent water; but if samples are collected at various depths, their stable isotope composition would differ (higher values at top, lower at the bottom). Further, partial melting would result in loss of usually top layers, enriched in heavy isotopes, so that the stable isotope values of the ice would have little resemblance of that of original water. Elegantly, and nicely employed here, intersecting the LMWL with the slope of samples from every such layer would result in the original water before freezing (regardless of ice loss,

freezing intensity, fractionation factors etc). This intersection should be applied to layers with low values of the slope (2, 7, 8, 9, 11 and bottom) and one single data point per layer should be than plotted in fig. 8 (assuming thus that each of these layers formed from one single pool of water – as for example, the one in Fig. 10d). However, this would alter the discussion in lines 374-384.

As noted in Table 2, I made this correction to estimate the initial isotopic composition of the water forming layers 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10, where slopes deviate markedly from 8. I did not initially correct layers 9, 11 and the bottom because their slopes were between 6.5 and 7, which is close to the local meteoric water line for winter precipitation. However, in the revision I have now corrected these values following the same protocol applied to the other layers. This adjusts the estimated “fSummer” slightly, but importantly it does not change the overall pattern of increasing fSummer upward in the sampled ice exposure. The values measured and calculated for the different layers of ice are presented as the purple lines in Figure 9. Rather than a dot or symbol in the center of each layer, as you suggest, I plot a line spanning bottom to top in each layer to represent the estimated fSummer. (Lines 416-422 and a new Table 2).

Line 380: I believe it is assumed here that the delta values reflect long-term annual means, in which case the difference is indeed large, pointing towards extreme climate shifts. Alternatively, it could be that they reflect different recharge patterns (e.g., winter vs. summer recharge).

This is exactly what I argue in Lines 443-444.

Lines 379-384: in the absence of age control, I would refrain from discussing LGM-old ice. Further, it would be difficult to explain the survival of ice in a small cave, with such a dynamic ice accumulation/ablation processes.

I fully agree; my emphasis in this paragraph was on the unlikelihood that the observed changes in stable isotope values is entirely due to temperature. I'll reword to emphasize that it is very hard to imagine LGM-age ice persisting in this cave. (Lines 350-352).

Lines 396-404: this could be somewhat shortened to a line, not to break the stable isotope discussion.

I would argue that it is important to present the details of this paragraph here because it supports my logic that the ice in WWC represents a snowpack signal augmented by varying amounts of summer precipitation, which is the focus of the following paragraphs.

Lines 404-412: perhaps a lengthier discussion of stable isotope variability in winter vs. summer could be included, with data from other stations nearby. Two data points are not enough to sustain the subsequent modeling. Further, if OIPC is used for summer, it should also be used for winter (especially as the OIPC-derived data for winter is depleted in ^{18}O by about 3 ‰ compared to measured values). So, either use OIPC only, or data from stations, but not a combination of the two. I understand that this would affect the modeling in lines 412-420, but it is more correct.

True, two datapoints is not a large sample set. But as I note in the text, these are actual integrated snowpack samples from the elevation above the cave for the winter before the water was collected, not output from a model or interpolation

scheme (Lines 374-380). Such correspondence gives these data value in excess of what would be gained by resorting to the interpolated OPIC data. Shifting to a full reliance on the OPIC would change the results of the mixing model in terms of actual percents; but the key point in Figure 9, and the discussion, is that the relative abundance of winter and summer contributions to the ice in the cave changed over time. I am not trying to argue that a specific contribution was exactly a specific percent at a particular point in time, my focus is on the trends. Thus it seems supportable to use the snowpack data for the winter precip, and the OPIC for the summer.

Lines 422-423: I still cannot see the reconstructed values.

I apologize, the way I wrote this was unclear; only the measured values are presented in Figure 9.

Lines 422-434: see my comment above and perhaps redo the calculations (using one value for the layers formed as "lake ice")

I cannot use one value for all of the lake ice layers because they could have formed from water with differing mixtures of winter precip and summer rain.

Lines 435-442: I find the discussion in this paragraph difficult to sustain by the data. Given the complex morphology of the ice surface and the lack of stratigraphic sketches, it is difficult to follow.

I address this with the new Figure 2. Note that the stratigraphic depth corresponding to the dated organic remains is highlighted in Figure 9.

Lines 444-456: in the absence of chronology, this section is very speculative and could be safely left out. "Glaciochemistry interpretation" should be moved before paleoclimate and "indication of recent change" after the climate data. However, in the absence of chronology, the entire discussion of the potential sources of variability in the chemistry of ice is speculative. As above, it could be reduced to a few lines, detailing the layer-by-layer variability, rather than potential temporal variability. For instance, are there differences between the two types of ice (lake vs. floor) in terms of chemistry? This could be more helpful for subsequent studies of cave ice deposits with better age control.

I would argue that the WWC record does not exist "in the absence of chronology": as I note above. I emphasize this with the new Figure 2, illustrating that the ^{14}C dates can be used to constrain the age of this ice, and indicate that the lower levels of the ice mass accumulated before and during the Little Ice Age (as is also highlighted in Figure 9). Thus, it seems prudent to carefully point out relevant records for snowfall and temperature in this region at this time. I am careful in Lines 455-466 not to over-interpret the data.

Line 469: see my comments on chronology. Ages should not be employed when discussing the layers, as 1) it was only the surface that was dated and 2) complex surface morphology, with deep ridges.

As is clarified in the new Figure 2, the organic matter was dated from layers 7 & 8; thus it is defensible to assign a Little Ice Age age to the deeper ice (see Figure 9).

"Limitations and direction for future research" and "conclusions" These two chapters should be merged and emphasize should be put on the potential usage of data obtained from this case in the general understanding of cave ice processes.

I disagree with the suggestion to merge these two sections. Acknowledging limitations is an important step for transparency, and suggesting directions for

future work emphasizes the importance of this site and the foundational nature of this initial dataset. None of these are actual conclusions from the data analysis though; those are separate and should be presented in a separate section.

The first panel in figure 2 is slightly misleading due to the inverted scale, please use a normal one (and perhaps write the “warmer outside, warmer in the cave” text with the same color as that of the line.

As is commonplace, the inverted scale was utilized to highlight the overall synchronicity between the different records. However, I added note to the caption emphasizing that the temperature scale of this particular time series is inverted (Line 757). I also change the color of the text in the figure as you requested.

Interactive comment on “First Investigation of Perennial Ice in Winter Wonderland Cave, Uinta Mountains, Utah, USA” by Jeffrey S. Munroe

Marc Luetscher (Referee)

marc.luetscher@isska.ch

Received and published: 14 October 2020

Thank you Marc for the obvious time and consideration you put into reviewing this manuscript. I appreciate your effort and am encouraged that you find this site, and the dataset I have generated, worthy of publication. As the title of the manuscript makes clear, this is a ‘first investigation’ of this recently discovered cave; the paper is not intended to be a complete summary of every type of investigation that could be conducted in such an environment. Mass balance of the ice mass, for instance, was not a target of this initial study. And given that nothing was known about the ice deposit (age, origin, composition) in this cave before this work, it would have been premature to use this location as a testbed for evaluating or answering key questions associated with ice caves. That work can – and should – come next, but the key goals here (as stated in Lines 65–67) are documenting the extent and age of the ice, elucidating its origin, and evaluating its paleoclimate potential. All of these goals are met by the data collected and presented in this study. In my revisions I will add wording to emphasize these specific goals, while also highlighting the potential of this site as a place where questions about ice caves could be addressed by future work building off the foundation presented here.

The author presents a detailed description of a recently discovered mid-latitude/high altitude ice cave located in the Uinta Mts, Utah (USA). The preliminary results suggest the cave ice is rather young and likely associated with enhanced winter snow precipitation during the Little Ice Age. Recent ingress of liquid water hints towards partial thawing of this sporadic mountain permafrost which is consistent with the general warming trend observed regionally.

The paper is nicely written and presents original data supporting the site-specific dynamics of the system. Such documentation is critical to better understand the response of the subsurface cryosphere with respect to climate change and provides fundamental data on a largely under-explored environment. Yet, the paper contributes only marginally to a better understanding of the general processes controlling cave ice mass balances nor does it provide a significant paleoenvironmental record. Therefore, I strongly suggest to emphasize the significance of this site for the larger community by stressing out its specificity with respect e.g. to other ice caves and/or its significance for regional mountain permafrost. Similarly, the discussion identifies a range of tasks (§4.5) that could be undertaken during future field work. Rather, I’d recommend focusing/rephrasing this chapter on what should be done at this site to answer key questions associated with ice caves, mountain permafrost and/or paleoenvironmental reconstructions.

Providing these few adjustments and some minor comments below, I fully support publication of this paper.

Detailed comments:

L. 16: edit "Most values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD range plot subparallel" (delete "range")

Done (Line 16)

L. 17: edit "with a slope of 7.5 with and an intercept at 0.03‰" (delete "with")

Done (Line 17)

L. 61-66: redundancy with L. 83-85.

In Line 83-85 (now 85-87) I am specifying what was done in the field.

L. 88: specify the location of the loggers

These are noted in Figure 1c. I added mention of how far away they were suspended from the ice and cave walls (Line 91).

L. 90: what about the loggers' calibration?

They are factory calibrated and were cross-checked before deployment.

L. 115: specify the location of your samples, in particular with respect to a cave ice stratigraphy and location of the isotope samples

I added these to Figure 1c and the caption (Lines 737-741).

L. 160: did you mean r^2 ? Check significant digits

Yes, thanks you (Line 65).

L. 194: can you comment about the wave propagation speed?

Added to Line 112.

L. 219: I am missing some info about the modern context. What is the temperature mean and range, resp. the isotope signature, in the outside environment?

Outside temperature data are presented in Lines 168-174; snow and precip isotope values in Lines 360-362 and 374-378.

L. 220: "both isotopes are notably depleted" I guess you mean that both isotope ratios are low, i.e. depleted in the heavy isotopes (?)

I added relative to SMOW (Line 223).

L. 221-222: check significant digits on the isotope values

I adjusted these throughout.

L. 272 "drops notably below $\sim 0^\circ\text{C}$ " or below the cave air temperature?

Good point, I meant the outside temperature – but because the inside never gets above 0, it's really the same.

Fig. 8 check/remove unit of Mg/Ca

Because it not really an important part of the discussion, I removed the Mg/Ca curve from Figure 9 entirely.

Table 1 I guess it is the sample mass and not the C-mass? Specify which calibration curve was used.

The sample curve is mentioned in the text (Line 29 and 789).

Table 2 check the significant digits; the precision is given at 0.2‰ and $\pm 1.0\%$ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$, respectively (cf. L. 143)

Good point, I made this change throughout the text and in Table 2.

First Investigation of Perennial Ice in Winter Wonderland Cave, Uinta Mountains, Utah, USA

Jeffrey S. Munroe¹

¹Geology Department, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT, 05753, USA

5 Correspondence to: Jeffrey S. Munroe (jmunroe@middlebury.edu)

Abstract. Winter Wonderland Cave is a solution cave at an elevation of 3140 m above sea level in Carboniferous-age Madison Limestone on the southern slope of the Uinta Mountains (Utah, USA). Temperature dataloggers reveal that the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) in the main part of the cave is -0.8°C , whereas the entrance chamber has a MAAT of -2.3°C . In contrast, ~~t~~The MAAT outside the cave entrance was $+2.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ between August 2016 and August 2018. Temperature in excess of 0°C were not recorded inside the cave during that 2-year interval. About half of the accessible cave, which has a mapped length of 245 m, is floored by perennial ice. Field and laboratory investigations were conducted to determine the age and origin of this ice and its possible paleoclimate significance. Ground penetrating radar surveys with a 400-MHz antenna reveal that the ice has a maximum thickness of ~ 3 m. Samples of ~~rodent~~~~packrat~~ (*Neotoma*) droppings obtained from an intermediate depth within the ice ~~in the main part of the cave~~ yielded radiocarbon ages from 40 ± 30 to 285 ± 12 years. These results correspond with median calibrated ages from AD 1645 to 1865, suggesting that at least some of the ice accumulated during the most of the ice ~~accumulated during the~~ Little Ice Age. Samples collected from a ~ 2 -m high exposure of layered ice were analysed for stable isotopes and glaciochemistry. Most values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD ~~range~~-plot subparallel to the global meteoric water line with a slope of 7.5 ~~with and an~~ intercept of 0.03‰. Values from some individual layers depart from ~~the~~is local water line suggesting that they formed during close-system freezing. In general, values of both $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD are lowest in the deepest ice, and highest at the top. This trend is interpreted as a shift in the relative abundance of depleted winter precipitation and ~~enriched~~~~less depleted~~ summer precipitation over time. Calcium has the highest average abundance of cations detectable in the ice (mean of 6050 ppb), followed by Al (2270 ppb), Mg (830 ppb), and K (690 ppb). Most elements are more abundant in the younger ice, possibly reflecting reduced rates of infiltration that prolonged water-rock contact in the epikarst. Abundances of Al and Ni likely reflect eolian dust incorporated in the ice. Liquid water appeared in the cave in August 2018 and August 2019, apparently for the first time in many years. This could be a sign of a significant change in the cave environment.

1 Introduction

Caves containing perennial ice, hereafter known as “ice caves”, have been reported from around the world (Persoiu and Lauritzen, 2017). Local permafrost conditions are maintained in these caves due to a combination of cold air trapping and dynamic ventilation (Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004a). Ice in these caves is a product of firnification of snow that falls or slides into the entrance, congelation of water entering into the cave, or both. Previous studies have demonstrated the paleoclimate potential of the perennial ice in caves (Holmlund et al., 2005; Persoiu et al., 2017), which can be investigated with methods analogous to those applied to glacier ice cores (Yonge, 2014; Yonge and MacDonald, 1999). Significantly, because ice caves are present at lower latitudes and altitudes than many mountain glaciers, they provide an opportunity to expand cryosphere-based paleoclimate records to areas where surficial ice is absent (Persoiu and Onac, 2012). An important motivation for increased study of ice caves is the observation that many perennial ice bodies in these caves are currently melting (Fuhrmann, 2007; Kern

and Thomas, 2014; Pflitsch et al., 2016). This worrisome trend raises the alarm that the paleoclimate records preserved in these subterranean ice masses will be lost forever (Kern and Perşoiu, 2013; Veni et al., 2014).

Despite the exciting potential of ice caves as paleoclimate archives, these features remain an understudied component of the cryosphere. Although an overview of ~~a broad array of~~ ice caves in a ~~wide range~~ variety of settings was presented more than 100 years ago (Balch, 1900), most modern investigations of cave ice have been conducted in a few focused areas, particularly the Alps (e.g. Luetscher et al., 2005; May et al., 2011; Morard et al., 2010) and the mountains of Romania (e.g. Perşoiu et al., 2011; Persoiu and Pazdur, 2011). There, techniques for dating cave ice (e.g. Kern et al., 2009; Luetscher et al., 2007; Spötl et al., 2014), interpreting stable isotope records in cave ice (e.g. Kern et al., 2011b; Perşoiu et al., 2011), and studying the glaciochemistry (e.g. Carey et al., 2019; Kern et al., 2011a) of cave ice have been developed over the past several decades. Technologies such as environmental dataloggers have simultaneously enabled investigation of micrometeorology in ice caves (e.g. Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004b; Obleitner and Spötl, 2011), and geophysical techniques such as ground penetrating radar (GPR) have been employed to image ice stratigraphy (e.g. Behm and Hausmann, 2007; Colucci et al., 2016; Gómez Lende et al., 2016; Hausmann and Behm, 2010, 2011). In general, however, these techniques have been employed only on a limited basis elsewhere in the world. ~~For instance, in~~ In North America, the ablation of subterranean ice masses has been monitored in New Mexico (Dickfoss et al., 1997) as well as in lava tubes on the Big Island of Hawaii (Pflitsch et al., 2016) and in California (Fuhrmann, 2007; Kern and Thomas, 2014). Seminal work on stable isotopes in cave ice was conducted in the Canadian Rocky Mountains (Lauriol and Clark, 1993; Yonge, 2014; Yonge and MacDonald, 1999), and micrometeorology studies have been published on sites with (possibly) perennial ice in the northern Appalachian Mountains (Edenborn et al., 2012; Holmgren et al., 2017).

The most extensive investigation of a North America ice cave focused on Strickler Cavern, a site in the Lost River Range of Idaho (Munroe et al., 2018). That work documented coexistence of firn ice and congelation ice with radiocarbon age control extending back ~2000 years. Stable isotopes in this ice were interpreted to record cooling temperatures leading into the Little Ice Age, and analysis of major and trace elements supported identification of a local component and an exotic component of the overall dissolved load in the ice.

The project presented here applied the successful multidisciplinary approach from Strickler Cavern (Munroe et al., 2018) to another cave containing ice of a different genesis. In addition to radiocarbon dating, and glaciochemical and isotopic analysis, a two-year deployment of temperature dataloggers was incorporated to constrain cave meteorology, and GPR was employed to determine the thickness of the perennial ice body. The primary objectives of the project were to:

- 1) Determine the origin, extent, and age of the ice in this cave;
- 2) Develop and interpret a stable isotope record for this ice;
- 3) Analyse and interpret the glaciochemistry of the ice

2 Methods

2.1 Field site

Fieldwork was conducted within Winter Wonderland Cave in the Uinta Mountains of northeastern Utah, USA. Winter Wonderland Cave (hereafter “WWC”) was discovered in 2012 and has an entrance at an elevation of 3140 m on a north-facing

cliff. ~~The cave is developed in the~~ Carboniferous-age Madison Limestone, ~~a regionally extensive rock unit that in this area~~
75 ~~consists of fine to coarse-grained dolomite and limestone, with locally abundant nodules of chert~~ (Bryant, 1992). The general
location is presented in Figure 1, but to preserve this fragile cave environment and the ice formations within it, the exact
coordinates of the cave entrance are intentionally withheld. The cave has a vertical extent of 33 m and a mapped length of 245 m
(Fig. 1c). The narrow entrance slopes down steeply ~10 m to a roughly circular room (~10 m) with a high ceiling and flat floor
of ice. From this “Icicle Room” a narrow crack leads off to intersect with the “Frozen Freeway”, a ~~much~~-larger passage that
80 forms the main part of the cave. This steep-sided canyon, with walls up to 8 m high, developed along a fracture bearing
160/340°. About 50% of the floor of the Frozen Freeway is ice, the remainder is breakdown from the cave ceiling (Fig. 1c). The
Frozen Freeway terminates in a wider section with a flat ice floor called the “Skating Rink”. Beyond the Skating Rink, the cave
splits into two passages, both of which are choked with rock debris. Strong air currents passing through these rock piles suggest
the presence of considerable additional passage beyond the chokes. This air current has sublimated the ice at the edge of the
85 Skating Rink, producing an exposure ~2 m tall (Fig. 1c).

Fieldwork focused on documenting the temperature conditions within WWC, determining the thickness of the perennial ice,
gathering organic remains for radiocarbon dating, and collecting ice samples for glaciochemical and isotopic analysis. Visits to
WWC for this project were made on August 11, 2016; August 29, 2018; and August 19, 2019.

2.2 Cave Temperature Monitoring

Three temperature dataloggers (Onset Temp Pro v2) were deployed ~~in~~-within the cave from August, 2016 through August, 2018
(Fig. 1c). Each logger was suspended from the ceiling to measure temperature of the free air ~~~30 cm~~ away from the rock walls
and ice surface. The loggers were set to record the temperature every hour. An additional logger was deployed within a solar
95 radiation shield outside the cave entrance to record ambient air temperatures. The temperature dataloggers were collected in late
August, 2018. Data were downloaded into the proprietary Onset software Hoboware, filtered to calculate daily mean values, and
exported to a spreadsheet for further analysis and plotting.

2.3 Ground Penetrating Radar

100 To constrain the thickness of the ice, GPR surveys were conducted along the Frozen Freeway in 2019 following standard
protocols (e.g. Hausmann and Behm, 2011). A shielded 400-MHz antenna and a GSSI SIR-3000 controller were used to collect
the radar data. Before the survey, a transect was established with measured points every 5 m. A mark was recorded in the GPR
data each time the antenna passed one of these points, which allowed the GPR results to be converted to a physical horizontal
scale. A shorter survey was also conducted in the Icicle Room. In both locations, surveys were conducted multiple times, in
105 both directions. ~~Gain settings were determined using an auto-gain procedure at the start of each transect to, -using a variety of~~
~~gain settings to maximize~~optimize the balance between detecting stratigraphy in the ice and simultaneously imaging the
underlying ice-rock interface.

Data collected with the GPR ~~system~~ were processed with ~~the proprietary GSSI software~~ Radan 7.0. Processing involved
110 standard steps (e.g. Colucci et al., 2016; Hausmann and Behm, 2010, 2011) including a time-zero correction to eliminate the
impulse passing directly from the antenna to receiver (the direct wave); a full-pass background removal to remove the surface

wave; a finite impulse response (FIR) stacking filter to remove airwave reflections from the cave walls; an additional FIR filter to clip the bandwidth between 300 and 700 MHz; an adaptive gain procedure to amplify faint, deeper reflectors; distance normalization to produce a horizontally scaled profile; and migration to remove hyperbolic reflectors produced by objects within the ice. A dielectric permittivity of 3.15, typical of pure ice (Thomson et al., 2012) and corresponding to an average velocity of ~0.16 m/ns, was used to convert two-way radar travel times to estimated depths below the surface within-of the ice-body. Because the focus was on determining the thickness of the ice, no attempt was made to account for the different permittivity of the underlying bedrock.

2.4 Geochronology

To constrain the age of the ice, packrat-(Neotoma)rodent droppings within the ice were retrieved by angling an ice screw so that it intersected with the dropping and raised it to the surface. This technique was limited to droppings visible within the upper 15 cm of the ice, corresponding to the length of the ice screw. However, because the surface of the ice was locally sublimated into a series of valleys and troughs with relief of ~30 cm, drilling in the base of the troughs permitted the retrieval of droppings from deeper levels beneath the original ice surface. Samples were collected along the length of the Frozen Freeway (Fig. 2); depths of these samples were estimated relative to the height of the nearest ridge on the sublimated ice surface (Table 1). An additional sample was collected from a depth of ~75 cm below the ice surface in the Icicle Room taking advantage of a vertical exposure of ice eroded by air currents entering from the Frozen Freeway, as well as from the Icicle Room.

Samples of packrat-rodent droppings were selected for AMS ^{14}C dating at NOSAMS (National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry facility) and ICA (International Chemical Analysis Inc.). Each was dried, weighed, and photographed before submission. Resulting radiocarbon ages were calibrated against the IntCal 13 calibration curve data (Reimer et al., 2013) in Oxcal 4.3. All of the samples yielded multiple possible calibration ranges, so the median of the 2-sigma calibration range is considered the most likely age for these samples.

2.5 Isotopic and Glaciochemical Analyses

Ice samples were collected from existing exposures using an approach similar to that employed in previous studies (e.g. Carey et al., 2019). Using a hand-operated ice screw, a total of 84 samples were collected from the 2-m-high exposure of ice at the edge rear of the Skating Rink (Fig. 2). Working downward from the top, samples were collected at a spacing of 2 cm for the first 150 cm, and 5 cm for the bottom 50 cm. At each level, two holes were drilled side-by-side and the resulting miniature ice cores were collected in 50-ml screwtop tubes. The first several centimetres of ice drilled out at each hole were discarded to avoid the possibility of meltwater contamination near the ice surface (Kern et al., 2011a). Additional samples of ice were collected with the same method from the Icicle Room, as well as local exposures within the pile of breakdown at the entrance to the Frozen Freeway (Fig. 1c2). Samples of liquid water from pools along the edge of on the Skating Rink were collected in 2018 and 2019 in 50-ml, screwtop vials with no head space.

Ice samples were melted overnight, and filtered to 0.2- μm the next day into new 15-ml tubes with no head space. These were stored at 4°C before analysis (<48 hours later) of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD in a Los Gatos Research DLT-100 water isotope analyser with a

150 CTC Analytics autosampler at Brigham Young University. Analysis followed standard procedures (e.g. Perşoiu et al., 2011). Each sample was run eight times, along with a set of three standards, which were calibrated against VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water). Precision of the resulting $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD measurements is $\pm 0.2\text{‰}$ and $\pm 1.0\text{‰}$, respectively. Stable isotope results were compared against values for the location of WWC downloaded from the Online Precipitation Isotopes Calculator <waterisotopes.org>.

155 For glaciochemical analysis, remaining samples of meltwater were acidified with trace element grade nitric acid and stored at 4°C before analysis with a Thermo Fisher iCAP Qc ICP-MS at Middlebury College following standard procedures (e.g. Kern et al., 2011a). Each sample was run three times, with blanks and reference standards between every 5 samples. Samples were calibrated against a standard curve developed with 5 concentrations of an in-house standard based off NIST SRM 1643f. Results were drift corrected, and detection limits were considered to be 1.0 ppb.

3 Results

3.1 Temperature dataloggers

The temperature data loggers ran continuously during their deployment, each recording 17,942 hourly measurements. When plotted as time series, these data reveal a repeating seasonal rhythm of temperatures within the cave, as well as air temperatures outside the cave entrance (Fig. 23). Temperatures at all three positions within WWC remained continuously $< 0^\circ\text{C}$. The coldest cave temperatures were recorded by Logger 3 in the Icicle Room (average of -1.5°C), which is closest to the cave entrance. There temperatures dropped to below -8°C at times each winter, although typically temperatures were closer to -4°C . The locations of Loggers 1 and 2 were warmer, -0.4 and -0.6°C , respectively (Fig. 3a4a). The records from these loggers also exhibit a great degree of similarity, and are strongly correlated with one another (r^2 of 0.986, $P=0.000$). The temperature difference between these two loggers was lowest in the winter, and greatest in the summer.

The record from the logger outside WWC indicates a mean annual air temperature of 2.3°C (Fig. 3a4a). The winter of 2016–17 was colder than 2017–18 (based on the average temperature between November 1 and May 1). Both winters had a similar absolute coldest temperature of around -21°C . The winter 2017–18 had a longer stretch of time between the first and last subzero temperatures, but the beginning of this winter was relatively warm. As a result, the winter 2016–17 recorded 950 a greater number of freezing degree days $(\sum (0^\circ\text{C} - \text{daily mean}))$ in comparison with 766 during the winter of 2017–18 (both calculated from 11/1 through 5/31). In response to this difference, the data loggers within the cave were approximately 0.5°C colder on May 1, 2017 relative to May 1, 2018.

180 Comparison of the records from all of the loggers indicates that when the outdoor temperature drops significantly below zero, the Icicle Room begins to cool, followed a few days later by the loggers deeper within the cave (Fig. 3b4b). In contrast, once the outdoor temperature stops cycling below zero at the beginning of the summer, then all loggers within the cave exhibit greatly reduced short-term variability, and temperatures at all of them rise asymptotically toward their equilibrium temperature, which is reached later in the summer (Fig. 23). Equilibrium temperatures are warmest at Logger 3 (Skating Rink, farthest back in the cave, Fig. 1c), and coldest at Logger 1 in the Icicle Room near the cave entrance (Fig. 23).

Close inspection of the time series also reveals interesting short-term behaviour at each of the logger sites. For instance, air temperatures in the Icicle Room (Logger 3) exhibit transient (~1 day) increases in air temperature during the summer on several occasions (Figs. 34c & 4d). These are mirrored by simultaneous, but smaller amplitude, decreases in temperature at the loggers deeper within the cave (Fig. 3s, 4c & 4d). Notably, after each of these disturbances, temperatures return to their baseline values. Another observation is that in May 2017, the temperature at Logger 2 rose above the temperature at Logger 1 for about 10 days, the only interval during the entire deployment when this reversal occurred (Fig. 34c). Finally, in early June 2018, the temperature at Logger 3 abruptly rose about half a degree, and stayed elevated relative to the temperature at Logger 2 for the rest of the summer (Fig. 34d).

3.2 Ground Penetrating Radar

GPR surveys along the Frozen Freeway, as well as in the Icicle Room, provided information about the thickness and internal stratigraphy of the ice. The survey in the Frozen Freeway extended for 75 m through passage of varying widths (Fig. 1c). Because the antenna was shielded, reflections from the cave walls and ceiling were not an issue. However, in the narrowest sections (less than 2 m wide), overlapping reflections from the cave walls beneath the ice surface made it difficult to identify consistent stratigraphy in the ice. Nonetheless, in wider sections, such as the Skating Rink, clear stratigraphic details within the ice are apparent in the radar data (Fig. 45). These take the form of sub-parallel banding at various depths, which are laterally consistent over several meters. There is a general trend of less pronounced reflectors in shallower parts of the ice, whereas the strongest reflectors are found at depths greater than a meter below the ice surface.

Along the entire length of the Frozen Freeway transect, a continuous reflector is discernible at depth, which likely represents the contact between the ice and the underlying bedrock (Fig. 45). In the Skating Rink, where the cave is widest and Over the first 35 m of the transect, where reflections from the cave walls were not an issue, the maximum depth of this reflector (using a dielectric permittivity of 3.15) is between 2 and 2.5 m below the current ice surface.

The GPR transect in the Icicle Room spanned a length of 8 m. Results imaged layered ice superimposed on a sloping bedrock surface locally mantled by blocks of cave breakdown (Fig. 56). As in the Frozen Freeway, the upper 1 m of this ice exhibits only faint laminations, however below a depth of ~1 m the strength of the reflectors defining these laminations increases markedly. Based on the pattern of these parallel reflectors, and their relationship with the sub-adjacent, higher intensity reflectors from the bedrock, the maximum ice thickness in the Icicle Room, assuming a dielectric permittivity of 3.15, may exceed 3 m (Fig. 56).

3.3 Radiocarbon dating

Seven samples of ~~packrat~~-rodent droppings collected from WWC were submitted for AMS radiocarbon dating. Six of these samples were successfully dated, yielding ages from 40 to 285 radiocarbon years, corresponding to median calibrated ages from AD 1890 to AD 1630 (Table 1, Fig. 67). The oldest of these samples has a 2-sigma calibration range that extends back to AD 1520. Four of the samples have 2-sigma calibration ranges that extend, with low probability, into the 20th century. One of these samples (WWC-FF-45) has a calibration range that overlaps (with very low probability) with post AD 1950 (Fig. 67).

~~The sample from the Icicle Room was collected from a vertical exposure of ice eroded by air currents entering from the Frozen Freeway. The depth of this sample was ~75 cm below the ice surface in August, 2016. Depths of samples from the Frozen Freeway were estimated visually relative to the height of the nearest ridge on the sublimated ice surface (Table 1). Overall, the~~

225 estimated depths of these samples have no relationship with their ages. The oldest sample (WWC-FF-5) was from the shallowest
230 ~~estimated~~ depth (5 cm). On the other hand, two samples obtained near one another from the same ~~apparent~~ depth (WW-20a and
WW-20b) yielded identical ages.

3.4 Stable isotopes

A total of 94 samples collected from the continuous exposure at the back of the Skating Rink (Fig. 2), and from isolated
230 exposures of ice in and near the Icicle Room, were analysed successfully for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD . Overall, values of both isotopes are
notably depleted ~~relative to VSMOW~~, with an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of ~~-13.95~~14.0 and δD of ~~-104~~3.9 (Table 2). Minimum and maximum
values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ are -17.56 and -8.766‰, and for δD are -125.0 and -64.4‰. Overall, values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD are strongly and
linearly correlated with one another (r^2 of 0.957).

235 Given the wide range of isotope values in the samples from the Skating Rink exposure, a three-step screening was conducted to
identify outliers. First, values of d-excess in permil were calculated as $\text{d-excess} = \delta\text{D} - (8 \times \delta^{18}\text{O})$ (Dansgaard, 1964). Second,
because the distribution of the original data was not normal as revealed by a Shapiro-Wilk test ($P=0.014$), the values were log-
transformed. Third, values >2 standard deviations away from the mean were tagged as outliers. This process identified 3
240 samples, two with anomalously low values of d-excess, and one with an unusually high value (Fig. 78). These samples were
removed from further consideration, leaving 81 samples from this exposure.

On a plot of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ versus δD , these 81 samples from the Skating Rink exposure plot parallel to and generally slightly below the
global meteoric waterline (Fig. 78). A linear regression through the 81 samples from the continuous exposure has a slope of 7.5
and y-intercept of 0.03 (r^2 of 0.980).

245 Plotting values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD vs. depth in the 2-m high exposure reveals short-term variability superimposed over a longer
trend (Fig. 89). In general, isotopic values in deeper samples are more depleted ~~in heavy isotopes~~, and rise toward the top. The
~~most-enriched~~least depleted samples were collected from within a few centimetres of the cave ceiling. The overall trend in d-
excess runs generally contrary to $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD , with higher values in deeper ice (Fig. 9).

250 In the field, the upper 1.5 m of this exposure, which was more accessible, was visibly stratified, with thin layers of fine carbonate
precipitates defining boundaries between 13 discernible layers (Fig. 2). Similar layers were not noticed in the bottom 50 cm of
the exposure, however this may ~~simply~~ reflect the fact that it was difficult to view the bottom of the exposure head-on. Some of
these layers, which range from 2 to more than 20 cm thick, correspond with variations in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD (Fig. 89). For instance, δ
255 values are notably ~~enriched-less depleted~~ in Layer 2, with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ up to -8.7‰. Similarly, Layer 8 and the central part of Layer 9
both contain relatively ~~enriched-less depleted~~ ice. However, isotopic values do not always change appreciably across these layer
boundaries. For example, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD rise steadily through Layer 5, continuing a trend that spans from Layers 4 through 6 (Fig.
89). The boundary between Layers 10 and 11 appears is the only instance in which a visible boundary coincides with a major
change in isotope values (a shift in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of 1.2‰ and δD of ~~12~~1.5‰).

260 3.5 Glaciochemistry

A total of 13 major and trace elements were detectable in ice samples analysed from the exposure at the Skating Rink. Ranked in
order from most to least abundant they are $\text{Ca} \rightarrow \text{Al} \rightarrow \text{Mg} \rightarrow \text{K} \rightarrow \text{Na} \rightarrow \text{P} \rightarrow \text{Si} \rightarrow \text{Ni} \rightarrow \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{Ba} \rightarrow \text{Sr} \rightarrow \text{Mn} \rightarrow \text{and Rb}$ (Fig. 910).

Peak abundances of calcium are ~20,000 ppb, and Al, Mg, K, and Na are all detectable in some samples at concentrations above 1000 ppb. Rubidium is the least abundant detectable element, generally <1 ppb. Phosphorous, Si, and Sr were not detectable in some samples. A principal component analysis applying a varimax rotation to log-transformed values of Ca, Al, Mg, K, Na, Ti, Ba, Mn, and Rb (removing P, Si, and Sr which were not detectable in all samples) loads all elements except Al and Ni on the first principle component (PC-1) at values from 0.763 to 0.890 (Fig. 8). These elements follow a consistent pattern of generally low values in the bottom half of the exposure, rising values between ~100 and 50 cm depth, and notably elevated values in the uppermost 50 cm (Fig. 89). In contrast, Ni exhibits the strongest positive correlation with PC-2 (0.891), and Al is strongly and negatively correlated with PC-3 (-0.827). Nickel has general low and stable values until the uppermost sample, whereas Al abundance is high between 125 and 150 cm, and again around a depth of 50 cm (Fig. 109).

Similar elemental abundances were measured in the ice samples collected between the Frozen Freeway and the Icicle Room. Calcium is again the most abundant element, with an average concentration of 4850 ppm, and Rb is the least abundant (<1 ppm). Mean abundances for all elements in these samples are strongly correlated with those measured for the Skating Rink exposure (r^2 of 0.945). Unlike in the exposure at the Skating Rink, there is no obvious trend in abundance in these samples. However, because they were collected from isolated locations where ice was locally visible between blocks of breakdown, their relationship with one another is unclear and it may be inappropriate to consider them parts of a stratigraphic sequence.

4 Discussion

4.1 Origin, extent, and age of the ice

The data loggers that recorded air temperatures between 2016 and 2018 provide a clear explanation for why perennial subzero conditions are maintained inside WWC despite a mean annual temperature of $\pm 2.3^\circ\text{C}$ outside the entrance. As illustrated in Figure 23, cold air enters the Icicle Room (Logger 3) anytime whenever the outside air temperature drops notably below -0°C the temperature in the cave. If cold temperatures outside are maintained for more than a few days, this cold pulse penetrates deep enough into the cave to be recorded by Logger 2 in the Frozen Freeway and Logger 1 at the Skating Rink (Figure 3b4b). Although the slight downhill slope of the entrance passage leading to the main part of the cave would alone encourage the entry of dense cold air in winter, the extreme narrowness of the actual cave entrance (<25 cm) combined with the observation of a substantial draft flowing through the cave during each field visit suggests that This pattern indicates that a connection exists between the currently accessible end of WWC and the surface of the plateau ~100 m above the cave. This conduit acts as a chimney, allowing relatively warm air within the cave to rise towards the surface in the winter (Balch, 1900; Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004b; Thury, 1861). This air is replaced by cold air flowing in through the cave entrance into the Icicle Room, refrigerating the cave interior throughout the winter. In contrast, during the summer, there is a minor reversal of this pattern as cold air within the cave flows out through the entrance, replaced by warm air penetrating downward through the hypothesized connection between the cave and the plateau surface. However, because the entrance of the cave is ~15 m higher than the Frozen Freeway (Fig. 1c), it is not possible for all of the dense, cold air to be evacuated from the cave in the summer. As a result, the interior of WWC is disproportionately impacted by cold air in winter, and is relatively unaffected by summer warmth.

Occasionally during the summer months the temperature in the Icicle Room abruptly jumps $\sim 1^\circ\text{C}$ before returning to baseline levels (Fig. 3e-4c & 3d4d). This shift is accompanied by a minor temperature decrease at the loggers deeper in the cave. This pattern indicates that some process brings relatively warm outside air into the Icicle Room, displacing colder air deeper into the

cave, but not for long enough to have a lasting impact on the overall temperature distribution. Comparison of the logger records with meteorological data from the Chepeta RAWs (remote automated weather station) 60 km to the east (Fig. 1b) at a slightly higher elevation (3680 m) indicates that each of these transient temperature increases was associated with wind from 320 to 340° at velocities greater than 15 m/s. Given the orientation of the cave entrance, this azimuth is perfectly aligned to push relatively warm outside air through the cave entrance, temporarily warming the Icicle Room and displacing cold air deeper into the cave. However, because the general airflow is outward during the summer, as soon as the wind direction or velocity changes and warm air is no longer forced into the cave, the pattern reverses and the Icicle Room cools down again.

Given the distribution of ice ~~and the lack of ice stalactites or stalagmites along the Frozen Freeway deposits~~, it appears that the water responsible for the ice in WWC entered ~~through the boulder-choked constrictions from in the backrear of the cave, flowing through the boulder-choked constrictions~~, as well as through the entrance into the Icicle Room. Because there are no streams or lakes on the landscape above the cave, this water is likely derived from infiltrating precipitation. Furthermore, given the climate at this location, much of this water is likely related to the melting of winter snowpack in late spring. This rapid melt produces a pulse of water that penetrates downward through the epikarst to reach the back of the cave, perhaps following the conduit that allows cave air to rise toward the surface in the winter. Snowmelt on the north-facing cliff above the cave entrance can enter the Icicle Room directly. It is also possible that intense summer rainstorms may deliver water to the plateau above WWC in quantities sufficient to ~~flood the epikarst and deliver water to reach~~ the cave interior. Either way, this water freezes upon entering the subzero cave interior, incrementally adding a new layer to the perennial ice deposit. Given the ~~hypothesized conduit linking the cave to the surface, this model of ventilation~~ for maintenance of freezing temperatures, and the ~~apparent~~ formation of ice from inflowing water, WWC ~~is can be~~ classified as a “dynamic cave with congelation ice” (Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004a).

Winter Wonderland Cave was not visited during the summer of 2017, so it is unknown whether water entered the cave that year. However, in August 2018, liquid water and new ice were noticed at the Skating Rink and along parts of the Frozen Freeway. The temperature data logger from the Skating Rink (Logger 1) recorded a ~1°C increase in temperature during the first week of June, 2018 (Fig. ~~3d4d~~). In contrast to the summer temperature increases in the Icicle Room, this temperature rise was long-lasting: during the weeks leading up to this point the temperatures at Logger 1 and Logger 2 were identical, yet after this point, Logger 1 at the Skating Rink remained ~1 °C warmer than Logger 2. The arrival of relatively warm meltwater to the back of the cave at this time could explain the abruptness of this temperature jump, and the slow release of latent heat as this water froze could have maintained the slightly warmer temperatures relative to Logger 2. Snowpack monitoring sites at Trial Lake and Brown Duck, at similar locations, elsewhere in the southwestern Uinta Mountains (Fig. 1b) rapidly lost the majority of their snow water equivalent in May, 2018 (~~Fig. 1b~~). This offset suggests that it requires ~1-2 weeks for meltwater to transit from the plateau surface to the cave.

Results from the GPR surveys indicate that the ice forming the floor of the Frozen Freeway is generally from 1 to ~2.5 m thick and has accumulated over an uneven surface of bedrock and blocks of breakdown (Fig. 45). The 2-m high exposure of ice at the edge of the Skating Rink starts ~45 cm above the floor of the Frozen Freeway, exploiting remnant ice layers reaching to the cave ceiling that have not been removed by sublimation (Fig. 2). Given the assumed dielectric permittivity, therefore, it is possible that as much as a meter of additional ice exists below the deepest stratigraphic level accessible in this exposure. Similarly, in the Icicle Room, an ice thickness possibly in excess of 3 m was imaged (Fig. 56). In both locations, the tendency of the upper ~1 m

340 of ice to exhibit reduced stratigraphic layering compared with the deeper ice may reflect a greater presence of mineral precipitates, dust, or organic matter concentrated specific levels within the deeper ice.

Determining the age of cave ice deposit is rarely straightforward, and the situation in WWC is no different. Compared with a sag-type cave in which snow and organic matter accumulate in a vertical shaft (e.g. Munroe et al., 2018; Spötl et al., 2014), the interior of WWC is relatively devoid of organic matter. On the other hand, the radiocarbon dated ~~packrat~~-rodent droppings do provide important age constraints (Fig. 67). Because these samples were collected from the uppermost layers of the ice deposit (Fig. 2), they provide minimum limits on the age of the ice, suggesting that most of the ice accumulated before ~AD 1850.

4.2 Paleoclimate implications

350 The overall pattern in these data is that the deep ice is more depleted in heavy isotopes relative to SMOW, whereas stratigraphically higher ice is less depleted (Fig. 9). This trend indicates a long-term change in the isotopic composition of the water entering WWC. Air temperature exerts a well-documented effect on isotopic values of precipitation, with a lower temperature of condensation corresponding to more depleted $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD (Dansgaard, 1964). Therefore, one possible interpretation is that average air temperatures increased over the time period represented by the Skating Rink exposure. However, given the relationship between monthly average temperature and estimated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for precipitation at the Brown Duck SNOTEL site (Fig. 1b), the difference between the most depleted (-16.2‰) and least depleted (-8‰) samples from this exposure would correspond to a temperature change of approximately 11°C. This result exceeds the temperature difference between full glacial and modern conditions in the Uinta and Wasatch Mountains determined from numerical modelling of paleoglaciers (Laabs et al., 2006; Quirk et al., 2018, 2020). It is difficult to imagine that the ice in WWC is residual from the last glaciation, which reached its maximum in this area at 18-20 ka (Laabs et al., 2009; Quirk et al., 2020), thus the measured changes in the isotopic values are unlikely to be solely a function of temperature.

360 A more plausible interpretation is that the isotopic variability reflects changes in the relative abundance of seasonal precipitation over WWC. Under the modern climate, the Uinta Mountains are influenced by two distinct precipitation patterns strongly limitedlinked to specific seasons. Storms penetrating inland from the Pacific Ocean produce a peak of precipitation during December, January, and February (Mitchell, 1976). By virtue of the cold winter air temperatures, and the long transport pathway for this moisture passing over multiple mountain ranges, this precipitation is strongly depleted in ^{18}O and deuterium. In contrast, during the summer, primarily in August, moisture with relatively enrichedless depleted isotopic values is delivered from the south by the North American Monsoon circulation (Metcalf et al., 2015; Ropelewski et al., 2005). Correspondingly, the Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator predicts that the location of Winter Wonderland Cave receives precipitation with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ around -23‰ in winter, and around -8‰ in August.

375 The rapid 1°C increase in air temperature recorded by the logger at the Skating Rink in early June, 2018 is a likely signal for the arrival of snowmelt at the beginning of that summer (Fig. 34d). However, liquid water was still observedpresent at the Skating Rink more than two months later on August 29, 2018. Similarly, although there is no temperature record for the summer of 2019, liquid water was again presentobserved at the Skating Rink on August 19, 2019. Assuming that the snowmelt pulse usually arrives at the beginning of the summer, matching the annual timing of snowmelt, the persistence of a relatively-thin layer (centimeterscm-scale) of liquid water in subzero conditions for multiple months is improbable. Therefore it is likely that additional water entered the cave later in the summers of 2018 and 2019, after the main snowmelt pulse. This summer water

Formatted: Not Highlight

would most conceivably reflect the monsoonal precipitation that dominates in August. In this model, therefore, the water forming ice in WWC represents an integrated snowpack signal that is augmented by some amount of isotopically heavier summer precipitation.

The isotopic composition of the snowmelt directly above WWC is unknown. However, fully integrated snowpack samples collected at the elevation of the plateau above the cave at Pole Mountain ~50 km eastward along the south flank of the Uinta Mountains in late March, 2019 had an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of -18.9‰ (Fig. 1b). Similar full-snowpack samples collected at the same elevation in early April, 2019 at Trial Lake ~25 km northwest of WWC had an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of -20.3‰ (Fig. 1b). The mean of all these values (-19.6‰) is lower than the value of -18.2‰ for two samples of water collected from the Skating Rink surface in August, 2019, indicating that the water collected in the cave that summer was a mixture of depleted winter snow and enriched/less depleted summer rain.

With this insight, a simple mixing model was constructed to predict the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of water comprised of varying proportions of snowmelt (at -19.6‰) and monsoonal rain (-8‰). This model suggests that the water collected from pools on the surface of the Skating Rink in late summer, 2019 was a mixture of 88% winter snowmelt and 12% monsoonal/summer rain. This estimate of the summer component in this sample is a minimum, because the water had already begun to freeze beneath a lid of ice, which would drive $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the remaining water in a more negative direction (Jouzel and Souchez, 1982; Persoiu et al., 2011). Nonetheless, the value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the modern water is more negative than any of the analysed ice samples, indicating that either this water contained a lower fraction of summer rain than the ice samples, or that the snowmelt pulse in 2019 was unusually large.

Applying this mixing model to the ice samples requires an assessment of whether the isotope values in the ice reflect those of the original precipitation, or if they have been altered during freezing. In this regard it is notable that in vertical exposures, the ice in WWC is seen in vertical exposures to consist of composed of visually distinct layers from <5 to ~20 cm thick delineated by mineral precipitates and changes in bubble density (Fig. 2). Layering on a similar scale is also resolvable in the GPR results (Figs. 4-5 & 56). If these layers were constructed through the incremental additions of thin films of water, then the mineral precipitates defining the visible layer boundaries could have formed in response to sublimation that lowered the ice surface creating a lag of material that was originally included in the ice. Alternatively, the visible layers may have formed through slow, closed-system freezing of pools of water with a depth equivalent to the resulting layer thickness. Mineral precipitates would have formed within each pool as the floating ice thickened downward, concentrating the residual liquid water. These ice formed by these two models/mechanisms have been described as are termed “floor ice” and “lake ice” respectively in previous ice cave research (Persoiu et al., 2011).

Downward freezing of a layer of water beneath a thickening lid of ice will result in sequentially more depleted ice that is sequentially more depleted in heavy isotopes at depth, as the heavier ^{18}O and deuterium are preferentially incorporated into the early-formed ice at the surface (Citterio et al., 2004b; Persoiu and Pazdur, 2011; Souchez and Jouzel, 1984). Thus, plotting $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD vs. depth, and highlighting the locations of visually identified layer boundaries, was employed to determine the likely origin of the different ice layers. When plotted this way (Fig. 89), several layers exhibit trends of increasing isotope depletion with depth, however these differences are generally <1‰ for $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ from top to bottom in a given layer. The exception is Layer 8 where $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ falls from -12‰ to -15‰ over a layer thickness of 14 cm. Previous work has shown that a top to bottom offset in

$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of ~4‰ occurs within 5 to 10-cm-thick layers of lake ice in a well-studied Romanian ice cave (Perşoiu et al., 2011; Persoiu and Pazdur, 2011). With this observation as a reference point, Layer 8 in WWC likely formed through closed-system freezing of a thick layer of water, and it is possible that this process slightly impacted some other layers as well, but the smaller $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ offset in the other layers is not necessarily a signal of top-down freezing that shifted isotopic values from the composition of the original water.

Another way to evaluate the likelihood that ice formed through closed or open-system freezing is to plot $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ vs. δD from an individual layer and consider whether the values align with the (local) meteoric water line, indicating floor ice, or with a lower “freezing slope” indicative of more extensive fractionation, typical of lake ice (Jouzel and Souchez, 1982; Perşoiu et al., 2011). When arranged this way, sets of samples ($n \geq 3$) defining individual layers in the Skating Rink exposure have slopes from 6.0 to 13.7 (Table 2). Layers 6, 9, 11, 12, and 13, and samples from the bottom of the exposure have slopes that are close to the meteoric water line. The isotopic measurements in these layers, therefore, likely record of the composition of the original meltwater with fidelity. In contrast, layers 7, 9, 11, and the bottom ice have lower slopes has a slope < 6.0 , which is consistent with suggesting more extensive fractionation during freezing. The slopes of Layers 4, 5 and 10 are quite high (> 9.2), although they were determined for just three points. Previous experimental and field-based work has demonstrated that isotopic composition of the original water can be found at the intersection of a line fit to the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD measurements for an individual ice layer and the meteoric waterline (Jouzel and Souchez, 1982; Perşoiu et al., 2011). Applying this approach yields estimates of original $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values for the layers that appear to have fractionated extensively during closed-system freezing (Table 2).

Two cautions must be noted in when considering this analysis. First, samples were not collected continuously through the exposure, they were spaced 2 cm (for the upper part) and 5 cm (for the lower part) from one another apart. As a result, not all ice was sampled and the isotopic composition of the ultimate top and bottom ice in a given layer is unknown. Second, previous work has noted that kinetic effects during rapid freezing can cause deviations from the freezing slope (Perşoiu et al., 2011). Based on relationships between δD and d-excess, kinetic effects likely played a role in the formation of at least some layers in the Skating Rink exposure. Nonetheless, with these considerations in mind, estimated isotopic compositions of the original water obtained from the intersection of the freezing slope and meteoric water line were combined with the average isotopic values for layers apparently did not fractionate greatly, based on downward trends in isotope values and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ vs. δD slopes. This approach yielded a composite series of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD values vs. depth (Fig. 8).

The overall pattern in these data is that the deep ice is more depleted in heavy isotopes, whereas stratigraphically higher ice is more enriched (Fig. 8). This trend indicates a long-term change in the isotopic composition of the water entering WWC. Air temperature exerts a well documented effect on isotopic values of precipitation, with a lower temperature of condensation corresponding to more depleted $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD (Dansgaard, 1964). Therefore, one possible interpretation is that average air temperatures increased over the time period represented by the Skating Rink exposure. However, given the relationship between monthly average temperature and estimated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for precipitation at the Brown Duck SNOTEL site (Fig. 1b), the difference between the most depleted (-16.2‰) and most enriched (-8‰) samples from this exposure would correspond to a temperature change of approximately 11°C. This result exceeds the temperature difference between full glacial and modern conditions in the Uinta and Wasatch Mountains determined from numerical modelling of paleoglaciers (Laabs et al., 2006; Quirk et al., 2018, 2020). Unless the ice in WWC is residual from the last glaciation, which reached its maximum in this area at 18–20 ka (Laabs et al., 2009; Quirk et al., 2020), it is unlikely that changes in the isotopic values from WWC are solely a function of temperature.

Formatted: Highlight

460 A more plausible interpretation is that the isotopic variability reflects changes in the relative abundance of seasonal precipitation over WWC. Under the modern climate, the Uinta Mountains are influenced by two distinct precipitation patterns strongly limited to specific seasons. Storms penetrating inland from the Pacific Ocean produce a peak of precipitation during December, January, and February (Mitchell, 1976). By virtue of the cold winter air temperatures, and the long transport pathway for this moisture passing over multiple mountain ranges, this precipitation is strongly depleted in ^{18}O and deuterium. In contrast, during
465 the summer, primarily in August, moisture with relatively enriched isotopic values is delivered from the south by the North American Monsoon circulation (Metcalf et al., 2015; Ropelewski et al., 2005). Correspondingly, the Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator predicts that the location of Winter Wonderland Cave receives precipitation with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ around -23‰ in winter, and around -8‰ in August.

470 The rapid 1°C increase in air temperature recorded by the logger at the Skating Rink in early June, 2018 is a likely signal for the arrival of snowmelt at the beginning of that summer (Fig. 3d). However, liquid water was still observed at the Skating Rink more than two months later on August 29, 2018. Similarly, although there is no temperature record for the summer of 2019, liquid water was again present at the Skating Rink on August 19, 2019. Assuming that the snowmelt pulse usually arrives at the beginning of the summer, matching the annual timing of snowmelt, the persistence of a relatively thin layer (centimeters) of
475 liquid water in subzero conditions for multiple months is improbable. Therefore it is likely that additional water entered the cave later in the summers of 2018 and 2019, after the main snowmelt pulse. This summer water would most conceivably reflect the monsoonal precipitation that dominates in August. In this model, therefore, the water forming ice in WWC represents an integrated snowpack signal that is augmented by some amount of isotopically heavier summer precipitation.

480 The isotopic composition of the snowmelt directly above WWC is unknown. However, fully integrated snowpack samples collected at the elevation of the plateau above the cave at Pole Mountain -50 km eastward along the south flank of the Uinta Mountains in late March, 2019 had an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of -18.9‰ (Fig. 1b). Similar full snowpack samples collected at the same elevation in early April, 2019 at Trial Lake -25 km northwest of WWC had an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of -20.3‰ (Fig. 1b). The mean of all these values (-19.6‰) is lower than the value of -18.2‰ for two samples of water collected from the Skating Rink surface in
485 August, 2019, indicating that the water collected in the cave that summer was a mixture of depleted winter snow and enriched summer rain.

With this insight, a mixing model was constructed to predict the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of water comprised of varying proportions of snowmelt (at -19.6‰) and monsoonal rain (-8‰). This model suggests that the water collected from pools on the surface of the
490 Skating Rink in late summer, 2019 was a mixture of 88% winter snowmelt and 12% monsoonal rain. This estimate of the summer component in this sample is a minimum, because the water had already begun to freeze beneath a lid of ice, which would drive $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in a more negative direction (Jouzel and Souchez, 1982; Perçieu et al., 2011). Nonetheless, the value of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the modern water is more negative than any of the analysed ice samples, indicating that either this water contained a lower fraction of summer rain than the ice samples, or that the snowmelt pulse in 2019 was unusually large.

495 Applying the mixing model presented earlier to Going one step farther, this model can be applied to the average (measured and reconstructed) values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ for ice layers in the Skating Rink exposure (Fig. 8). This approach suggests that the deepest, oldest ice formed from water containing ~40% summer precipitation (fSummer, ~0.40), and that the fraction of monsoonal moisture

~~incorporated in this ice~~ increased to $\geq \sim 60\%$ near the top of the exposure (Fig. 9). Two outliers to this pattern are notable: a peak value of 83% in Layer 5, and a lower value of 38% in Layer 7. However, Layer 5 was thin and is represented by just 3 datapoints, creating uncertainty in its regression slope that carries over into the estimate of original water composition. Layer 7 contains two sets of isotopic values, a constant upper half and a lower half with a pronounced negative excursion (Fig. 89), implying that the suite of samples collected may have inadvertently spanned two layers separated by a contact that went unnoticed in the field. An obvious limitation is that this simple model assumes that the isotopic composition of snowmelt and monsoonal precipitation were constant over time. It also assumes that the interpolated isotopic value for August precipitation from the OPIC is accurate, and that the snowpack samples adequately represent the composition of the snow on the plateau above WWC. Nonetheless, even with these considerations, it is notable that the relative importance of winter and summer precipitation ~~may have changed~~ appears to have changed at this location over time.

The radiocarbon dated organic remains were collected within the uppermost layers of ice forming the floor of the Frozen Freeway, which corresponds to Layers 7 and 8 in the Skating Rink exposure (Figs. 2 & 98). This relationship allows the exposure to be divided broadly into a lower part, where the ice apparently accumulated prior to the 1800s, and an upper part (Layers 1 through 6), which accumulated more recently. The lower layers, therefore, correspond with the interval of generally cooler temperatures in the northern hemisphere referred to as the Little Ice Age (Grove, 1988). The reconstructed summer water content of this ice varied in a narrow range between 40 and 50%, suggesting relatively consistent conditions under which the snowmelt pulse and summer monsoon contributed roughly equal amounts of water to the cave. After this time, summer water began to exert a greater control over the isotopic composition of the ice.

Records of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ from lake sediments on the White River Plateau in northwestern Colorado (Fig. 1a) suggests that winters during the Little Ice Age were notably snowy, with snowfall making up the greatest fraction of annual precipitation at any time during the Holocene (Anderson, 2012). After that time, snowfall became less important. A similar pattern is seen in multiproxy lacustrine records from San Luis Lake (Fig. 1a) in southern Colorado (Yuan et al., 2013). The suggestion that water derived from the melting of winter snow contributed to a greater fraction of the ice forming in WWC ~~at this time~~ during the Little Ice Age is consistent with these other regional hydroclimate records. ~~Values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ from an exposure of ice in Strickler Cavern (Fig. 1a) record a shift from -14.5 to nearly -17‰ over the first few decades of the 18th Century, which was interpreted as an interval of decreasing temperatures leading to the peak of the Little Ice Age (Munroe et al., 2018).~~—An additional point of reference is provided by isotope measurements in a glacier ice core from the Wind River Mountains (Fig. 1a), ~ 400 km to the northeast of WWC (Naftz et al., 1996). In ~~this that~~ core, a positive shift in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of $\sim 1\text{‰}$ accompanied the end of the Little Ice Age around AD 1845 (Schuster et al., 2000). The magnitude of this shift is about half as large as the change in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ between the bottom of Layer 6 and the top of the Skating Rink exposure (excluding the unusually enriched samples from Layer 2). Part of the trend toward ~~less depleted more enriched~~ $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values in the uppermost part of the Skating Rink exposure may, therefore, reflect an increase in average air temperature after the Little Ice Age ended.

4.3 Glaciochemistry interpretation

The elemental abundances measured in the ice from WWC are notably similar from sample to sample, suggesting that the composition of the water did not changed dramatically over time. Such constancy fits the assumption that the majority of the dissolved load in the water was obtained through water-rock dissolution reactions in the epikarst. If the pathways leading water

from the plateau surface down to the cave did not change appreciably over the time period represented by the ice, then it is logical that the overall chemical signature of this ice was relatively constant.

At the same time, however, the majority of elements exhibit a notable increase in concentration in the upper part of the ice. This pattern is consistent across nearly every detectable element, and is clearly seen in the first principle component (PC-1) calculated from these elemental abundances (Fig. 89). The shift toward greater elemental enrichment occurred primarily as a step change in Layer 8, which as noted above contains organic remains dating to the latter part of the Little Ice Age. Thus it seems that the glaciochemical composition of this ice changed between the Little Ice Age and the years that followed. Consideration of the setting of WWC and available information about regional hydroclimate in the latest Holocene reveals possible explanations for the rising elemental abundances in the younger ice. First, the decrease in winter snowpack after the Little Ice Age noted in the lacustrine records (Fig. 1a) from Colorado (Anderson, 2012; Yuan et al., 2013) could have slowed the rate of infiltration through the epikarst, affording water a longer contact time with host rock during transit from the ground surface to the cave, leading to an increased dissolved load in the water. ~~There is a slight tendency toward higher molar Mg/Ca ratios in the upper part of the Skating Rink exposure (Fig. 8), consistent with more evaporative conditions in the epikarst (Steponaitis et al., 2015).~~ Furthermore, if a greater fraction of the water reaching the cave was coming from summer monsoonal moisture, rather than winter snowmelt, near-surface rock temperatures in the epikarst may have been elevated above Little Ice Age values, increasing rates of dissolution reactions. Either way, the increase in dissolved load in the ice roughly at the same time as the shift toward an apparently higher fraction of summer moisture, along with an overall decrease in the observed thickness of the ice layers, is consistent with a shift toward reduced winter snowfall.

One element that notably does not follow the pattern of increasing abundance in the uppermost ice is Al, which is most abundant in samples from Layer 12 and Layer 7 (Fig. 89). Aluminium is not present in significant quantities in the carbonate bedrock hosting WWC, suggesting that the Al in the ice has another source. Previous work has documented the abundance of Al in Uinta Mountain dust, where Al in the form of aluminosilicates is present at a weight percent abundance of ~7% (Munroe, 2014). Thus the changing abundance of Al in the Skating Rink exposure may reflect variations in the abundance of dust brought into the cave by inflowing air currents during winter. This raises the possibility that additional age control, combined with the collection of more ice samples, could allow reconstruction of dust abundance over time from this layered ice deposit.

A final observation in the glaciochemistry is the greatly elevated level of Ni in the uppermost samples. After averaging 16.5 ppb through nearly all of the Skating Rink exposure, Ni rises in the uppermost 10 cm, and reaches a maximum of 500 ppb in the youngest sample immediately below the cave ceiling (Fig. 89). Work elsewhere in the Uinta Mountains has demonstrated that the abundance of Ni is greatly elevated in modern dust (Munroe, 2014), as well as in lake sediments that accumulated after AD 1900 (Reynolds et al., 2010). This dramatic elevation-increase of Ni abundance has been ascribed to fugitive dust from mine tailings and other anthropogenic activities in the southwestern US. In WWC, the increase in Ni levels begins to rise in ice approximately 30 cm stratigraphically higher than the radiocarbon ages (Fig. 89), a relationship that adds further support for the theory that the uppermost ice accumulated after the Little Ice Age.

4.4 Indications of recent change

Studies of other ice caves have reached the sobering conclusion that many subterranean ice bodies have a negative mass balance and are rapidly disappearing as a direct or lagged response to climate change above the cave (Kern and Persoiu, 2013; Pflitsch et

al., 2016). Winter Wonderland Cave was discovered less than 10 years ago and has only been entered five times, thus the period and number of observations is minimal. However, these repeat visits have identified notable evidence suggesting that conditions within the cave have recently changed. During summer visits in 2014, 2015, and 2016 the surface of the ice along the Frozen Freeway was dramatically sculpted into a series of ridges and furrows presumably created by sublimation induced by air currents (Fig. 10a-11a & 11b). The surface of this ice was mantled by cryogenic mineral precipitates, and no liquid water was observed anywhere in the cave. The overall impression was that it had been many years since water entered the cave and added a new layer to the ice deposit. Short-term observations of an ice cave in the Canadian Rockies suggests that sublimation producing sculpted patterns on the surface of ice proceeds at a rate on the order of 3 mm per year (Marshall and Brown, 1974). Thus, the ~30 cm of relief on the surface of the Frozen Freeway suggests that liquid water had not entered the cave for possibly as long as 100 years. As noted earlier, that situation changed dramatically in 2018 and again in 2019 when considerable water entered from the rear of the cave and flooded across the Frozen Freeway, filling most of the troughs and submerging most of the crests (Fig. 11c & 11d). Certainly, infiltration of liquid water is not a new occurrence in WWC; it is exactly this process which created the layered ice deposit in the first place. On the other hand, the abrupt appearance of this water in 2018 following an apparently long stretch of time in which water failed to reach the cave, suggests a significant shift in the availability of water, or the thermal environment within the cave. Neither the water years 2017-18 or 2018-19 featured unusual amounts of precipitation, but temperatures at the Brown Duck snowpack monitoring site (Fig. 1b) have risen at a rate of 0.8°C/decade over the past 25 years. Thus, it is possible that warming temperatures have crossed a threshold and begun to melt ice farther back in an inaccessible part of the cave, contributing to the meltwater observed in the Skating Rink in the last two summers. Melting of ice with more negative isotope values could also explain why the water collected in 2019 was so depleted in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD .

4.5 Limitations and direction for future research

This multidisciplinary investigation of the perennial ice in Winter Wonderland Cave supports a model for the origin of the ice, provides constraints on the age of the ice, and uses isotopic and glaciochemical data to explore the possible paleoclimate significance of this ice. Despite these achievements, this work remains a preliminary assessment, and there are a number of significant directions in which future efforts could be focused. Foremost among these would be the acquisition of additional age control. The collection and radiocarbon dating of organic remains from other locations within the cave would be helpful in further limiting the age of the ice. Similarly, although uranium abundance was not assessed in the glaciochemistry reported here, it may be possible that the ice could be directly dated with U/Th techniques (Cheng et al., 2013).

In terms of sampling, it may be worthwhile to attempt to retrieve a core where the GPR analysis indicates a maximum ice thickness. Given the difficult access to the cave and a challenging working environment, retrieval of such a core would not be a simple process. However, an ice core would allow the analysis of truly contiguous samples from an unambiguous stratigraphic context. Additional environmental proxies in the ice, for instance pollen (Feurdean et al., 2011), black carbon, or dust could be studied in a core to reveal more details about environmental conditions outside of the cave when the ice was accumulating.

Either combined with coring, or through a separate sampling campaign, it would also be useful to retrieve intact, oriented blocks of ice for crystallographic study (Holmlund et al., 2005). Analysis of crystal orientations, bubble trails, inclusions, and other features would provide information about the conditions under which the ice formed (Citterio et al., 2004a; Marshall and Brown, 1974). Such evidence could be useful in distinguishing between open and closed-system models for the genesis of the ice layers.

Additional data loggers could be deployed throughout the cave to further collect micrometeorological data. Relative humidity loggers were installed in the cave in 2019, and should be useful in identifying changes in the direction of cave ventilation. Such efforts could be combined with deployment of a three-dimensional, ultrasonic anemometer (Pflitsch and Piasecki, 2003). More data loggers from the rear of the cave might be able to better identify the thermal pulse associated with the arrival of meltwater in early summer. Similarly, deployment of a thermal camera could further document the arrival of this water (Berenguer-Sempere et al., 2014).

Finally, in terms of more traditional cave research techniques, a focused campaign to collect summer precipitation and winter snow on the plateau above WWC would constrain the initial properties of the infiltrating water and permit more nuanced interpretations of the source for the ice and its dissolved load (Carey et al., 2019; Herman, 2019). Similarly, speleothems are present in the cave. None of them are currently active and no dripwater was observed during any of the August visits. However, it would be interesting to determine when these speleothems were growing and what they may contain as a paleoclimate record.

5 Conclusions

Winter Wonderland Cave is a solution cave in Carboniferous limestone at an elevation of approximately 3140 m in the Uinta Mountains of northeastern Utah, USA. The cave contains a layered perennial ice deposit formed by freezing of infiltrating water. Radiocarbon dating of organic remains indicates at least some of this ice accumulated before approximately AD 1850. Ground penetrating radar indicates that the ice is locally ~3 m thick. Isotopic analysis suggests that some ice layers may have formed during closed-system freezing, however most samples plot near the meteoric water line. The ice seems to have been formed from a mixture of winter snowmelt and summer precipitation, with winter water dominating in the early part of the record, and summer water becoming more significant in the latter part. This shift is consistent with other regional hydroclimate evidence suggesting that winters were unusually snowy during the Little Ice Age. Glaciochemical data indicate that the overall dissolved load in the ice increased after the Little Ice Age. This change may be a sign of reduced rate of infiltration that increased water-rock interaction time. Abundances of Al and Ni follow a different pattern, and likely reflect variation in the amount of eolian dust incorporated in the ice over time. The appearance of liquid water in the cave in the last two summers is unusual, and may be a sign of a recent change in the cave environment. Collectively these results emphasize the potential significance of the ice in Winter Wonderland Cave as a paleoclimate record, and highlight its vulnerability. Future work should focus on acquiring additional age control for this ice and possibly retrieving a continuous core through the ice deposit.

Acknowledgments. David Herron (USDA Forest Service) discovered Winter Wonderland Cave and provided the detailed mapping from which Figure 1 was simplified. Middlebury students Quinn Brencher, Kristin Kimble, Miranda Seixas, and Caleb Walcott were instrumental in the success of this challenging fieldwork. Thanks to Greg Carling and Kevin Rey at Brigham Young University for assistance with snow collection and the stable isotope measurements, and to Pete Ryan and Jody Smith at Middlebury College for help with the ICP-MS analysis.


References

- Anderson, L.: Rocky Mountain hydroclimate: Holocene variability and the role of insolation, ENSO, and the North American Monsoon, *Global and Planetary Change*, 92–93, 198–208, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2012.05.012, 2012.
- Balch, E. S.: *Glaciers, or Freezing Caverns*, Allen Lane & Scott, Philadelphia., 1900.
- 655 Behm, M. and Hausmann, H.: Eisdickenmessungen in alpinen Höhlen mit Georadar, *Die Höhle*, 58, 3–11, 2007.
- Berenguer-Sempere, F., Gómez-Lende, M., Seranno, E. and de Sanjosé-Blasco, J. J.: Orthothermographies and 3D modeling as potential tools in ice caves studies: the Peña Castil Ice Cave (Picos de Europa, Northern Spain), *International Journal of Speleology*, 43(1), 4, 2014.
- 660 Bryant, B.: *Geologic and structure maps of the Salt Lake City 1 x 2 quadrangle, Utah and Wyoming*, US Geological Survey., 1992.
- Carey, A. E., Zorn, M., Tičar, J., Lipar, M., Komac, B., Welch, S. A., Smith, D. F. and Lyons, W. B.: Glaciochemistry of Cave Ice: Paradana and Snežna Caves, Slovenia, *Geosciences*, 9(2), 94, 2019.
- Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Shen, C.-C., Polyak, V. J., Asmerom, Y., Woodhead, J., Hellstrom, J., Wang, Y., Kong, X., Spötl, C. and others: Improvements in ²³⁰Th dating, ²³⁰Th and ²³⁴U half-life values, and U–Th isotopic measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 371, 82–91, 2013.
- 665 Citterio, M., Turri, S., Bini, A., Maggi, V., Pelfini, M., Pini, R., Ravazzi, C., Santilli, M., Stenni, B. and Udisti, R.: Multidisciplinary approach to the study of the Lo Lc 1650 “Abisso sul Margine dell’Alto Bregai” ice cave (Lecco, Italy), *Theoretical and Applied Karstology*, 17, 45–50, 2004a.
- 670 Citterio, M., Turri, S., Bini, A. and Maggi, V.: Observed trends in the chemical composition, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and crystal sizes vs. depth in the first ice core from the “LoLc 1650 Abisso sul Margine dell’Alto Bregai” ice cave (Lecco, Italy), *Theor. Appl. Karstol*, 17, 45–50, 2004b.
- Colucci, R. R., Fontana, D., Forte, E., Potleca, M. and Guglielmin, M.: Response of ice caves to weather extremes in the southeastern Alps, Europe, *Geomorphology*, 261, 1–11, 2016.
- Craig, H.: Isotopic variations in meteoric waters, *Science*, 133(3465), 1702–1703, 1961.
- 675 Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, *Tellus*, 16(4), 436–468, 1964.
- Dickfoss, P. V., Betancourt, J. L., Thompson, L. G., Turner, R. M. and Tharnstrom, S.: History of ice at Candelaria Ice Cave, New Mexico, *New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Bulletin*, 156, 91–112, 1997.
- Edenborn, H. M., Sams, J. I. and Kite, J. S.: Thermal regime of a cold air trap in central Pennsylvania, USA: the Trough Creek Ice Mine, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 23(3), 187–195, 2012.
- 680 Feurdean, A., Perşoiu, A., Pazdur, A. and Onac, B. P.: Evaluating the palaeoecological potential of pollen recovered from ice in caves: A case study from Scărișoara Ice Cave, Romania, *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 165(1), 1–10, 2011.
- Fuhrmann, K.: Monitoring the disappearance of a perennial ice deposit in Merrill Cave, *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies*, 69(2), 256–265, 2007.
- 685 Gómez Lende, M., Serrano, E., Bordehore, L. J. and Sandoval, S.: The role of GPR techniques in determining ice cave properties: Peña Castil ice cave, Picos de Europa, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 41(15), 2177–2190, 2016.
- Grove, J. M.: *The Little Ice Age*, London, United Kingdom., 1988.
- Hausmann, H. and Behm, M.: Application of ground penetrating radar (GPR) in Alpine ice caves, *The Cryosphere Discuss*, 4, 1365–1389, 2010.
- Hausmann, H. and Behm, M.: Imaging the structure of cave ice by ground-penetrating radar, *The Cryosphere*, 5(2), 329, 2011.

- 690 Herman, J. S.: Chapter 133 - Water chemistry in caves, in *Encyclopedia of Caves* (Third Edition), edited by W. B. White, D. C. Culver, and T. Pipan, pp. 1136–1143, Academic Press., 2019.
- Holmgren, D., Pflitsch, A., Rancourt, K. and Ringeis, J.: Talus-and-gorge ice caves in the northeastern United States past to present, *Journal of Cave & Karst Studies*, 79(3), 2017.
- 695 Holmlund, P., Onac, B. P., Hansson, M., Holmgren, K., Mörrh, M., Nyman, M. and Persoiu, A.: Assessing the palaeoclimate potential of cave glaciers: the example of the scărișoara ice cave (romania), *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 87(1), 193–201, 2005.
- Jouzel, J. and Souchez, R. A.: Melting-refreezing at the glacier sole and the isotopic composition of the ice, *Journal of Glaciology*, 28(98), 35–42, 1982.
- Kern, Z. and Persoiu, A.: Cave ice—the imminent loss of untapped mid-latitude cryospheric palaeoenvironmental archives, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 67, 1–7, 2013.
- 700 Kern, Z. and Thomas, S.: Ice level changes from seasonal to decadal time-scales observed in lava tubes, Lava Beds National Monument, NE California, USA, *Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria*, 37(2), 151–162, 2014.
- Kern, Z., Molnár, M., Svingor, É., Persoiu, A. and Nagy, B.: High-resolution, well-preserved tritium record in the ice of Bortig Ice Cave, Bihor Mountains, Romania, *The Holocene*, 19(5), 729–736, 2009.
- 705 Kern, Z., Széles, E., Horvatinčić, N., Fórizs, I., Bočić, N. and Nagy, B.: Glaciochemical investigations of the ice deposit of Vukučić Ice Cave, Velebit Mountain, Croatia, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 485–494, 2011a.
- Kern, Z., Fórizs, I., Pavuza, R., Molnár, M. and Nagy, B.: Isotope hydrological studies of the perennial ice deposit of Saarlhale, Mammuthöhle, Dachstein Mts, Austria, *Cryosphere*, 5(1), 291–298, 2011b.
- 710 Laabs, B. J. C., Plummer, M. A. and Mickelson, D. M.: Climate during the last glacial maximum in the Wasatch and southern Uinta Mountains inferred from glacier modeling; Quaternary landscape change and modern process in western North America, edited by J. S. Munroe, B. J. C. Laabs, and E. C. Carson, *Geomorphology*, 75(3–4), 300–317, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.07.026, 2006.
- 715 Laabs, B. J. C., Refsnider, K. A., Munroe, J. S., Mickelson, D. M., Applegate, P. J., Singer, B. S. and Caffee, M. W.: Latest Pleistocene glacial chronology of the Uinta Mountains: support for moisture-driven asynchrony of the last deglaciation, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28(13–14), 1171–1187, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.12.012, 2009.
- Lauriol, B. and Clark, I. D.: An approach to determine the origin and age of massive ice blockages in two arctic caves, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 4(1), 77–85, 1993.
- Luetscher, M. and Jeannin, P.-Y.: A process-based classification of alpine ice caves, *Theoretical and Applied Karstology*, 17(5), 5–10, 2004a.
- 720 Luetscher, M. and Jeannin, P.-Y.: The role of winter air circulations for the presence of subsurface ice accumulations: an example from Monlési ice cave (Switzerland), *Theoretical and Applied Karstology*, 17(6), 2004b.
- Luetscher, M., Jeannin, P.-Y. and Haeberli, W.: Ice caves as an indicator of winter climate evolution: a case study from the Jura Mountains, *The Holocene*, 15(7), 982–993, 2005.
- 725 Luetscher, M., Boliu, D., Schwikowski, M., Schotterer, U. and Smart, P. L.: Comparison of techniques for dating of subsurface ice from Monlesi ice cave, Switzerland, *Journal of Glaciology*, 53(182), 374–384, 2007.
- Marshall, P. and Brown, M. C.: Ice in Coulthard Cave, Alberta, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 11(4), 510–518, 1974.
- May, B., Spötl, C., Wagenbach, D., Dublyansky, Y. and Liebl, J.: First investigations of an ice core from Eisriesenwelt cave (Austria), *The Cryosphere*, 5(1), 81–93, 2011.

- 730 Metcalfe, S. E., Barron, J. A. and Davies, S. J.: The Holocene history of the North American Monsoon: 'known knowns' and 'known unknowns' in understanding its spatial and temporal complexity, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 120, 1–27, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.04.004, 2015.
- Mitchell, V. L.: The regionalization of climate in the western United States, *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 15(9), 920–927, 1976.
- 735 Morard, S., Bochud, M. and Delaloye, R.: Rapid changes of the ice mass configuration in the dynamic Diablotins ice cave–Fribourg Prealps, Switzerland, *The Cryosphere*, 4, 489–500, 2010.
- Munroe, J. S.: Investigating the Directionality of Eolian Dust Delivery to the Alpine Zone of the Uinta Mountains, Utah, USA, vol. 46, p. 36., 2014.
- Munroe, J. S., O'Keefe, S. S. and Gorin, A. L.: Chronology, stable isotopes, and glaciochemistry of perennial ice in Strickler Cavern, Idaho, USA, *GSA Bulletin*, 130(1–2), 175–192, 2018.
- 740 Naftz, D. L., Klusman, R. W., Michel, R. L., Schuster, P. F., Reddy, M. M., Taylor, H. E., Yanosky, T. M. and McConnaughey, E. A.: Little Ice Age evidence from a south-central North American ice core, USA, *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 28(1), 35–41, 1996.
- Obleitner, F. and Spötl, C.: The mass and energy balance of ice within the Eisriesenwelt cave, Austria, *The Cryosphere*, 5(1), 245, 2011.
- 745 Persoiu, A. and Lauritzen, S.-E.: *Ice caves*, Elsevier., 2017.
- Perşoiu, A. and Onac, B. P.: Ice in Caves, in *Encyclopedia of Caves (Second Edition)*, edited by W. B. White and D. C. Culver, pp. 399–404, Academic Press, Amsterdam., 2012.
- Persoiu, A. and Pazdur, A.: Ice genesis and its long-term mass balance and dynamics in Scarisoara Ice Cave, Romania, *The Cryosphere*, 5(1), 45, 2011.
- 750 Perşoiu, A., Onac, B. P., Wynn, J. G., Bojar, A.-V. and Holmgren, K.: Stable isotope behavior during cave ice formation by water freezing in Scărișoara Ice Cave, Romania, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116(D2), 2011.
- Perşoiu, A., Onac, B. P., Wynn, J. G., Blaauw, M., Ionita, M. and Hansson, M.: Holocene winter climate variability in Central and Eastern Europe, *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1–8, 2017.
- 755 Pflitsch, A. and Piasecki, J.: Detection of an airflow system in Niedzwiedzia (Bear) cave, Kletno, Poland, *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies*, 65(3), 160–173, 2003.
- Pflitsch, A., Schörghofer, N., Smith, S. M. and Holmgren, D.: Massive Ice Loss from the Mauna Loa Ice cave, Hawaii, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 48(1), 33–43, 2016.
- 760 Quirk, B. J., Moore, J. R., Laabs, B. J., Caffee, M. W. and Plummer, M. A.: Termination II, Last Glacial Maximum, and Lateglacial chronologies and paleoclimate from Big Cottonwood Canyon, Wasatch Mountains, Utah, *GSA Bulletin*, 130(11–12), 1889–1902, 2018.
- Quirk, B. J., Moore, J. R., Laabs, B. J., Plummer, M. A. and Caffee, M. W.: Latest Pleistocene glacial and climate history of the Wasatch Range, Utah, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 238, 106313, 2020.
- 765 Reimer, P. J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Ramsey, C. B., Buck, C. E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M. and others: IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP, *Radiocarbon*, 55(4), 1869–1887, 2013.
- Reynolds, R. L., Mordecai, J. S., Rosenbaum, J. G., Ketterer, M. E., Walsh, M. K. and Moser, K. A.: Compositional changes in sediments of subalpine lakes, Uinta Mountains (Utah): evidence for the effects of human activity on atmospheric dust inputs, *J.Paleolimnol.*, 44(1), 161–175, 2010.

- Ropelewski, C. F., Gutzler, D. S., Higgins, R. W. and Mechoso, C. R.: The North American monsoon system, *The Global Monsoon System: Research and forecast*, 207–218, 2005.
- Schuster, P. F., White, D. E., Naftz, D. L. and Cecil, L. D.: Chronological refinement of an ice core record at Upper Fremont Glacier in south central North America, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 105(D4), 4657–4666, 2000.
- Souchez, R. A. and Jouzel, J.: On the isotopic composition in δD and $\delta^{18}O$ of water and ice during freezing, *Journal of Glaciology*, 30(106), 369–372, 1984.
- Spötl, C., Reimer, P. J. and Luetscher, M.: Long-term mass balance of perennial firn and ice in an Alpine cave (Austria): Constraints from radiocarbon-dated wood fragments, *The Holocene*, 24(2), 165–175, 2014.
- Thomson, L. I., Osinski, G. R. and Pollard, W. H.: The dielectric permittivity of terrestrial ground ice formations: Considerations for planetary exploration using ground-penetrating radar, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 117(E9), 2012.
- Thury, M.: Etude des Glacières naturelles, *Archives des sciences de la bibliothèque universelle, Genève*., 1–59, 1861.
- Veni, G., Land, L. and Perşoiu, A.: Time, Money, And Melting Ice: Proposal For A Cooperative Study Of The World’S Cave Ice In A Race Against Climate Change, *The International Workshop on Ice Caves*, 2014.
- Yonge, C. J.: Stable Isotope Composition Of Perennial Ice In Caves As An Aid To Charaterizing Ice Cave Types, *International Workshop in Ice Caves, Symposium 4*, 2014.
- Yonge, C. J. and MacDonald, W. D.: The potential of perennial cave ice in isotope palaeoclimatology, *Boreas*, 28(3), 357–362, 1999.
- Yuan, F., Koran, M. R. and Valdez, A.: Late Glacial and Holocene record of climatic change in the southern Rocky Mountains from sediments in San Luis Lake, Colorado, USA, *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.*, 392(Journal Article), 146–160, 2013.






Figure 1. Location map of the study area. (a) Western North America with US state outlines. The state of Utah is highlighted. Red box denotes the location of the enlargement in panel (b). Other sites noted in the text are identified: SC-Strickler Cavern (Munroe et al., 2018), WRR-Wind River Range (Naftz et al., 1996), WRP-White River Plateau (Anderson, 2012), SLL-San Luis Lake (Yuan et al., 2013). (b) Enlargement of the Uinta Mountain region in USA NAIP natural color imagery. The yellow triangle marks the location of Winter Wonderland Cave (WWC). Other sites noted in the text are identified: TL-Trial Lake snowpack monitoring site and snow sampling site, BD-Brown Duck snowpack monitoring site, PM-Pole Mountain snow sampling site, CR-Chepeta RAWS. (c) Profile and map views of Winter Wonderland Cave simplified from mapping by David Herron, USDA-Forest Service. Cross-hatching pattern denotes breakdown and blue color denotes perennial ice. The locations of the cave entrance, the Icicle Room, the Frozen Freeway, and the Skating Rink are noted. The orange lines mark the Ground Penetrating Radar transects. The numbers 0 and 35 mark the start and end of the transect in the Frozen Freeway, and 0 and 8 mark the start and end of the transect in the Icicle Room. The red star at the end of the Skating Rink is the exposure described in the text. The red cross marks the exposure at the edge of the Icicle Room. "Other samples" highlights the region where additional local ice samples were collected from between blocks of breakdown. The boxed numerals 1-3 identify the locations of the temperature dataloggers.





Figure 2. Schematic illustrating the relationship between the Frozen Freeway, where most ^{14}C samples were collected from shallow depths within the ice, and the taller exposure at the Skating Rink where most samples for stable isotopes and glaciochemistry were collected. This exposure reached to the cave ceiling, and the part projecting above the Frozen Freeway contained 6 visibly distinct layers. Intermediate parts of this exposure were also visibly layered, with Layers 7 and 8 corresponding stratigraphically to the near-surface layers of the Frozen Freeway where the ^{14}C samples were collected. The deepest ice in this exposure did not appear to be layered, although it was difficult to view this ice directly. The total height of the Skating Rink exposure is 2 m.

Formatted: Caption

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript






Figure 23. Temperature records from dataloggers deployed in Winter Wonderland Cave from August 2016 through August 2018. Logger 1 was at the Skating Rink, Logger 2 along the Frozen Freeway, and Logger 3 in the Icicle Room (Fig. 1c). Outside air temperature was recorded by a logger in a solar radiation shield outside the cave entrance. Yellow shading highlights the summer periods when cave ventilation is greatly reduced. The purple time series at the top displays the difference between the temperature at Logger 1 and the temperature outside the cave; *note the inverted axis*. Time series from loggers within the cave are filled below 0° C. Dashed black lines highlight 0° C on the outside air and the temperature difference plots.




Figure 34. Details of temperature records from Winter Wonderland Cave. (a) Box and whisker plot showing the distribution of temperature measurements at each of the three loggers (L-1 through L-3), as well as outside the cave. (b) detailed view of temperature records from late January, 2017. A notable low point in temperature outside the cave was mirrored immediately by a temperature drop within the Ice Room, recorded by Logger 3 on January 27 (star). A corresponding drop in the temperature deeper in the cave was recorded two days later, supporting the theory that cold air enters through the Ice Room in winter. (c and d) Temperatures during the summer of 2017 (c) in the summer of 2018 (d) at the loggers within the cave. The black arrows highlight examples of transient warming in the Ice Room that were not observed deeper in the cave. The blue star in (c) marks an interval in early May when the temperature at Logger 2 in the Frozen Freeway briefly rose above the temperature of Logger 1 at the Skating Rink. The orange star (d) highlights a dramatic, permanent increase in temperature at Logger 1, interpreted to represent the arrival of meltwater at the back of the cave in 2018.




Figure 45. Results of a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey along the Frozen Freeway. (a) Uninterpreted GPR data for half of the full survey length, with the back of the cave on the right. Vertical white lines mark measured points every 5 m used for scaling the GPR survey. (b) Interpretation of the GPR survey shown in (a). The bedrock surface is represented by an irregular, but laterally continuous contact. More isolated and discontinuous zones of high amplitude reflectors above this contactor interpreted as piles of breakdown. Ice beneath the Skating Rink, between 20 and 35 m along the transect, reaches a maximum thickness of nearly 2.5 m. Ice along the Frozen Freeway, between 0 and 20 m, has a maximum thickness of ~2 m. Horizontal lines within the ice are likely stratigraphic layers.




Figure 56. Results of an 8-m long Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) across the Icicle Room, presenting uninterpreted (a) and interpreted (b) data. From the surface to a depth of ~1 m the ice is only faintly stratified, whereas below that depth the ice contains increasingly prominent radar reflectors. The steeply sloping bedrock surface is defined on the left side of the transect, mantled in places by breakdown. The maximum thickness of ice here is unclear, but it may be in excess of the 3.5 m penetrated by the 400 MHz GPR system.




Figure 67. Calibration ranges from the Intcal 13 calibration curve for radiocarbon dates obtained from Winter Wonderland Cave. Sample labels correspond with Table 1. Circles mark the mean calibrated age for each sample, diamonds denote the median age. Both are labelled in years A.D.





Figure 78. Plot of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ vs $\delta^2\text{H}$ for ice samples from the Skating Rink exposure (Fig. 1c). Samples are color-coded to highlight their depth below the top of the exposure. Three samples identified as outliers and discarded from the dataset are marked with red crosses. The solid light blue line represents a linear regression through the remaining 81 samples, and the equation for this line is presented at lower right. The dashed blue line is the global meteoric waterline (Craig, 1961).








Figure 89. Composite plot of values measured in the Skating Rink plot against depth below the top of the exposure. Values of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ vs δD are shown in the first column. d-excess and the reconstructed fraction of summer monsoonal water in the ice are shown in the second column. The first principle component determined for the glaciochemistry results, along with the molar ratio Mg/Ca are is displayed shown in the third column. The two elements which do not fit into PC-1, Al and Ni, are shown in the fourth column. Dashed horizontal lines mark visible layer boundaries observed in the exposure. From top to bottom, these layers are numbered 1 through 13. The shaded gray region marks the approximate depth from which the radiocarbon ages along the Frozen Freeway were obtained, thus, The ice in this depth range likely dates to between A.D. 1600 and 1850, deeper ice is older.



[875] Figure 109. Box and whisker plot presenting the abundance of detectable elements in samples from the Skating Rink exposure, arranged from most to least abundant. Central lines represent median values, box represents interquartile range, whiskers represent interquartile range $\times 1.5$, and circles represent outliers.



880 Figure 110. A selection of photographs highlighting changes observed in Winter Wonderland Cave. (a) The sculpted surface of the ice along the Frozen Freeway in 2016 illustrating numerous intersecting cusate forms produced by sublimating air currents. The total relief is ~30 cm. The orange material on the ice is cryogenic mineral precipitates. (b) A view showing the edge of the ice in the Skating Rink in 2016 where a ~20-cm deep moat had formed at the contact with the rock wall of the cave. (c) The surface of the Frozen Freeway in 2018 illustrating how inflowing water had submerged nearly all of the former sculpted surface under new ice. The red Swiss Army knife is located at the end of a ~1-m long section of the former crest in the ice that still reaches above the new ice surface. (d) Another view from a section of the Frozen Freeway in 2018 where new ice formed from inflowing water has completely inundated the former sculpted ice surface. Red Swiss Army knife is 10 cm long.

Table 1. Radiocarbon Dating Results from Winter Wonderland Cave

| Lab Number -- | Lab -- | Sample -- | Location * | Depth cm | Mass mg | ¹⁴ C Age yrs | +/- yrs | δ13C ‰ | Cal Range yrs AD | Mean yrs AD | Median yrs AD | Note -- |
|------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| 17O/0351 | ICA | WW-20a | FF | 20 | 60 | 40 | 30 | -- | 1690-1730 (20.5), 1810-1920 (74.8) | 1840 | 1890 | -- |
| 17O/0352 | ICA | WW-20b | FF | 20 | 22 | 50 | 30 | -- | 1690-1730 (21.8), 1810-1920 (73.6) | 1840 | 1870 | -- |
| OS-128827 | NOSAMS | WWC-IR-75 | IR | 75 | 200 | 145 | 15 | -27.91 | 1670-1700 (15.3), 1720-1780 (33.9) , 1790-1820 (11.6), 1830-1880 (14.3), 1910-1950 (20.3) | 1800 | 1780 | Composite |
| OS-128826 | NOSAMS | WWC-FF-45 | FF | 45 | 25 | 215 | 15 | -26.71 | 1640-1680 (34.5), 1770-1800 (50.2) , 1940-x (10.7) | 1760 | 1790 | -- |
| 17O/0353 | ICA | WW-30 | FF | 30 | 18 | 260 | 20 | -- | 1520-1560 (9.4), 1630-1670 (78.2) , 1780-1800 (7.8) | 1650 | 1650 | Single, intact |
| OS-128804 | NOSAMS | WWC-FF-5 | FF | 5 | 12 | 285 | 12 | -27.26 | 1520-1560 (45.6), 1630-1660 (49.8) | 1590 | 1630 | -- |
| 17O/0350 | ICA | WW-15 | FF | 15 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

*FF = Frozen Freeway, IR = Icicle Room

Table 2. Stable Isotope Results for Winter Wonderland Cave

| | ave $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | SD $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | ave dD | SD dD | d-excess | SD d-excess | Slope | Intercept | n | r ² | Altered? | Est. | Est. | fSummer |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|-----------|----|----------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|---------|
| | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | -- | ‰ | -- | -- | -- | ln. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | ln. dD | -- |
| Layer 1 | -11.4 | -- | -83 | -- | 7.9 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -11.4 | -83 | 0.71 |
| Layer 2 | -9.0 | 0.5 | -66 | 3 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 5.76 | -14.2 | 2 | 1.000 | Y | -10.5 | -75 | 0.79 |
| Layer 3 | -12.1 | -- | -88 | -- | 9.0 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -12.1 | -88 | 0.65 |
| Layer 4 | -12.6 | 0.2 | -93 | 3 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 13.70 | 79.2 | 3 | 0.965 | Y | -12.4 | -90 | 0.62 |
| Layer 5 | -13.3 | 0.1 | -100 | 1 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 9.17 | 21.5 | 3 | 0.924 | Y | -10.0 | -70 | 0.83 |
| Layer 6 | -13.5 | 0.2 | -102 | 2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 7.64 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.949 | N | -13.5 | -102 | 0.53 |
| Layer 7 | -13.6 | 0.6 | -103 | 4 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 5.98 | -21.9 | 10 | 0.961 | Y | -15.2 | -112 | 0.38 |
| Layer 8 | -12.7 | 1.3 | -94 | 8 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 6.51 | -11.6 | 8 | 0.996 | Y | -13.8 | -100 | 0.51 |
| Layer 9 | -14.0 | 0.9 | -105 | 6 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 6.88 | -8.5 | 7 | 0.921 | Y | -15.5 | -115 | 0.35 |
| Layer 10 | -14.9 | 0.0 | -113 | 2 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 12.06 | 65.0 | 3 | 0.959 | Y | -14.0 | -105 | 0.48 |
| Layer 11 | -13.6 | 0.2 | -102 | 2 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 6.47 | -13.9 | 11 | 0.826 | Y | -14.8 | -110 | 0.42 |
| Layer 12 | -14.6 | 0.4 | -110 | 3 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 8.01 | 7.4 | 9 | 0.985 | N | -14.6 | -110 | 0.43 |
| Layer 13 | -15.1 | 0.3 | -114 | 3 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 8.03 | 7.7 | 6 | 0.956 | N | -15.1 | -114 | 0.39 |
| Bottom | -15.4 | 0.5 | -113 | 4 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 6.69 | -10.3 | 10 | 0.940 | Y | -14.8 | -107 | 0.42 |
| Mean All | -14.0 | 1.4 | -104 | 10 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 7.03 | -5.8 | 94 | 0.957 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Mean SR* | -13.8 | 1.3 | -103 | 10 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 7.40 | -1.3 | 84 | 0.976 | -- | -- | -- | 0.54 |
| Mean IR* | -15.2 | 1.4 | -109 | 11 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 7.36 | 3.2 | 10 | 0.994 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

*SR = Skating Rink, IR = Icicle Room

Table 2. Stable Isotope Results for Winter Wonderland Cave

| | ave $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | SD $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | ave dD | SD dD | d-excess | SD d-excess | Slope | Intercept | n | r ² | Altered? | Est. | Est. | fSummer |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|-----------|----|----------------|----------|---------------------------|---------|---------|
| | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | ‰ | -- | ‰ | -- | -- | -- | ln. $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ | ln. dD | -- |
| Layer 1 | -11.36 | -- | -83.02 | -- | 7.88 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -11.36 | -83.02 | 0.71 |
| Layer 2 | -9.03 | 0.52 | -66.24 | 3.02 | 5.99 | 1.17 | 5.76 | -14.19 | 2 | 1.000 | Y | -10.50 | -75.00 | 0.79 |
| Layer 3 | -12.10 | -- | -87.77 | -- | 9.05 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -12.10 | -87.77 | 0.65 |
| Layer 4 | -12.59 | 0.21 | -93.12 | 2.98 | 7.57 | 1.34 | 13.70 | 79.24 | 3 | 0.965 | Y | -12.40 | -90.00 | 0.62 |
| Layer 5 | -13.26 | 0.12 | -100.11 | 1.10 | 5.97 | 0.33 | 9.17 | 21.48 | 3 | 0.924 | Y | -10.00 | -70.00 | 0.83 |
| Layer 6 | -13.46 | 0.23 | -101.94 | 1.81 | 5.75 | 0.42 | 7.64 | 0.90 | 10 | 0.949 | N | -13.46 | -101.94 | 0.53 |
| Layer 7 | -13.62 | 0.59 | -103.42 | 3.62 | 5.55 | 1.39 | 5.98 | -21.94 | 10 | 0.961 | Y | -15.20 | -112.00 | 0.38 |
| Layer 8 | -12.73 | 1.27 | -94.40 | 8.28 | 7.44 | 1.97 | 6.51 | -11.56 | 8 | 0.996 | Y | -13.75 | -100.00 | 0.51 |
| Layer 9 | -13.98 | 0.89 | -104.74 | 6.35 | 7.13 | 2.05 | 6.88 | -8.52 | 7 | 0.921 | N | -13.98 | -104.74 | 0.49 |
| Layer 10 | -14.86 | 0.02 | -113.31 | 1.85 | 5.53 | 0.71 | 12.06 | 65.05 | 3 | 0.959 | Y | -14.00 | -105.00 | 0.48 |
| Layer 11 | -13.55 | 0.23 | -101.54 | 1.60 | 6.87 | 0.75 | 6.47 | -13.92 | 11 | 0.826 | N | -13.55 | -101.54 | 0.52 |
| Layer 12 | -14.63 | 0.43 | -109.73 | 3.50 | 7.30 | 0.43 | 8.01 | 7.45 | 9 | 0.985 | N | -14.63 | -109.73 | 0.43 |
| Layer 13 | -15.13 | 0.33 | -113.66 | 2.71 | 7.35 | 0.57 | 8.03 | 7.73 | 6 | 0.956 | N | -15.13 | -113.66 | 0.39 |
| Bottom | -15.36 | 0.54 | -113.05 | 3.74 | 9.87 | 1.11 | 6.69 | -10.32 | 10 | 0.940 | N | -15.36 | -113.05 | 0.37 |
| Mean All | -13.95 | 1.38 | -103.94 | 9.94 | 7.68 | 2.46 | 7.03 | -5.85 | 94 | 0.957 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Mean SR* | -13.80 | 1.30 | -103.35 | 9.75 | 7.05 | 1.69 | 7.40 | -1.26 | 84 | 0.976 | -- | -- | -- | 0.55 |
| Mean IR* | -15.23 | 1.45 | -108.84 | 10.69 | 12.98 | 1.25 | 7.36 | 3.20 | 10 | 0.994 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

*SR = Skating Rink, IR = Icicle Room