



Invited Perspective: What Lies Beneath a Changing Arctic?

Jeffrey M. McKenzie¹, Barret L. Kurylyk², Michelle A. Walvoord³, Victor F. Bense⁴, Daniel Fortier⁵, Chris Spence⁶, and Christophe Grenier⁷

¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, H3A 0E8 Canada

²Department of Civil and Resource Engineering and Centre for Water Resources Studies, Dalhousie University, Halifax, B3H 4R2, Canada

³Earth System Processes Division, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado, United States

⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁵Cold Regions Geomorphology and Geotechnical Laboratory, Department of Geography, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada

⁶National Hydrology Research Centre, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Saskatoon, Canada

⁷Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Correspondence to: Jeffrey M. McKenzie (jeffrey.mckenzie@mcgill.ca)

Abstract. As permafrost thaws in the Arctic, new subsurface pathways open for the movement of groundwater, energy, and solutes. We identify different ways that these subsurface changes are driving observed surface phenomena, including the potential for increased contaminant transport, modification to water resources, and enhanced rates of infrastructure (e.g. buildings and roads) damage. Further, as permafrost thaws it allows groundwater to transport carbon, nutrients, and other dissolved constituents from terrestrial to aquatic environments via progressively deeper subsurface flow paths. Cryohydrogeology, the study of groundwater in cold regions, must be included in Northern research initiatives to account for this hidden catalyst of environmental and societal change.

1 Introduction

Our understanding of congruent Arctic hydrologic transformations and climate change is derived almost entirely from data collected at or near the land surface from localized field studies or through remote sensing observations (IPCC, 2019). While these studies yield extremely valuable information about shifts in surface water and shallow ground ice distribution, river discharge, and soil moisture (AMAP, 2017; Vaughan et al., 2013), the underpinnings of many of these water-related changes lie beneath the depths of these investigations. Thawing of ancient permafrost is opening and creating new subsurface pathways for groundwater flow (Walvoord and Kurylyk, 2016), thereby altering fluxes and distribution of water, energy, and solutes that can be observed at the Earth's surface. Scientific advances in predicting future climate change require integration of subsurface processes within a broader understanding of Arctic change. Herein, we argue that groundwater is a catalyst of

Commented [AS1]: General public might understand your phrase as energy goes by "itself", but not transferred by moving water. Just a suggestion, consider to edit: ..."for movements of ground water, which moves energy and solutes".

Commented [AS2]: I would not call it "phenomena", consider using "consequences". To me (PhD in geotechnics), enhances rates of infrastructure damage is not a phenomena, it rather a consequence of errors (design/construction/maintenance) or consequences of applying design philosophies, which appeared to be inadequate (big research question if this can really be the case).

But it perhaps OK using phenomena from the formal point of view.

Commented [AS3]: I think that "included" is not fully current. I understand that the authors want to **amplify(?)** their main point by this word. However, I do not think that groundwater was practically absent in the research initiatives (I do not have a 100% overview of the initiatives), but think there might have been some. Hence, I would suggest pointing out that attention to GW shall be increased in the initiatives. But if there were indeed no initiatives then please keep the phrase as it is.

Commented [AS4]: Here or after the line 35: I am lacking a sentence or paragraph explaining in more details the reasons behind appearance/activation of the phenomena of GW for permafrost. I.e., a link which connects GW to the atmospheric processes of higher hierarchy – warming of air temperatures, increase of precipitation, changes in snow patterns (more snow > warmer permafrost) and the permafrost thaw.



30 change in Arctic regions, and we call for a more prominent inclusion of cryohydrogeology, the study of groundwater in cold
regions, in transdisciplinary research initiatives.

2 Groundwater - A catalyst of arctic change

2.1 Altered surface hydrology

Present and future groundwater systems in permafrost regions are subject to alteration in response to surface warming because
35 average ground temperature conditions primarily control whether subsurface water is frozen or unfrozen. As permafrost thaws,
ground permeability can increase by orders of magnitude (analogous to the stark contrast in permeability of clay versus sand),
allowing groundwater to infiltrate and circulate more deeply and across greater lateral distances (Walvoord and Kurylyk,
2016). Hydrologic and hydrogeologic regime shifts may occur following the formation of perennially unfrozen zones (taliks)
that lie horizontally above the permafrost table and allow for groundwater flow and transport even during the winter months
40 (Lamontagne-Hallé et al., 2018; Devoie et al., 2019; Walvoord et al., 2019). These changes not only increase the available
storage and flux of liquid groundwater, but also enhance the potential for exchange of water between aquifers and surface
water bodies (blue lines, Figure 1; Evans et al., 2020; Lemieux et al., 2020) and lead to shifts in vegetation (Christensen et al.,
2004).

Historical increases in groundwater discharge during winter months to major rivers (Walvoord and Striegl, 2007; St. Jacques
45 and Sauchyn, 2009; Duan et al., 2017) and accompanying nutrient and inorganic solute exports are being observed across the
pan-Arctic region (Connolly et al., 2020). These data provide compelling evidence that proportionally more precipitation
falling on the land surface is being routed through groundwater pathways in response to permafrost thaw. At local to regional
scales, increased streamflow has been attributed to thaw-mediated groundwater connections between previously isolated
upgradient wetlands and stream networks (Connon et al., 2014). Furthermore, wetter and warmer conditions slow freeze-back,
50 permitting subsurface pathways for groundwater to persist through the winter. The net result of these changes is increased
baseflow and discharge in Northern rivers, particularly during winter. These changes in the subsurface 'plumbing' can explain
observed, non-intuitive wetting and drying transformations across the landscape that are manifested at the land surface (Smith
et al., 2005; Avis et al., 2011; Lamontagne-Hallé et al., 2018; Pastick et al., 2018) and have important implications for
vegetation, wildlife habitat, biological productivity, and greenhouse gas emissions (Christensen et al., 2004; McGuire et al.,
55 2018; Elder et al., 2018).

2.2 New transport pathways

Thaw-activated groundwater flow influences the terrestrial to aquatic transfer of nutrients and contaminants in permafrost
environments (green and red arrows, Figure 1). Of concern is the fate and transport of globally significant sources of carbon
(Schoor et al., 2015) and mercury (Schuster et al., 2018) stored in permafrost, pathogens (Legendre et al., 2014), and localized



60 anthropogenic contaminants such as organic compounds, heavy metals, and mine tailing runoff. As permafrost thaws, increased groundwater flow and connectivity will become more important for transporting these constituents released from thawing permafrost to aquatic systems (e.g. rivers, lakes) where they are processed or exported to the coastal ocean (Tank et al., in press).

The fate of sequestered organic carbon in thawing permafrost has garnered considerable research attention with focus on decomposition and conversion to greenhouse gases in place, providing a positive feedback to climate change (Schoor et al., 2015). However, large-scale ecosystem models aimed at addressing the strength of the permafrost carbon feedback (Lawrence et al., 2015; Parazoo et al., 2018) typically do not incorporate groundwater geochemical and microbial controls on subsurface processing of carbon, lateral carbon transport, and the potential for storage and burial of permafrost carbon as a mechanism for greenhouse gas attenuation (Neilson et al., 2018; Cochand et al., 2019; Vonk et al., 2019). Though recognized sources of 70 uncertainty in the net ecosystem carbon balance of permafrost regions (McGuire et al., 2018), these processes remain poorly constrained and thus difficult to adequately represent in ecosystem models due in part to the lack of groundwater knowledge in the Arctic.

2.3 Accelerated infrastructure damage

Of paramount concern for Northern societies is the impacts of climate change on transportation infrastructure (e.g. roads, 75 railways, runways), buildings, pipelines, and even trails for access to subsistence resources by Indigenous communities (Instanes et al., 2016). As permafrost thaws in ice-rich regions, the ground subsides unevenly leading to thermokarst features and unstable slopes, both of which are destructive to surface structures and incur local and regional costs to society (Figure 1; Hjort et al., 2018). Although it is generally overlooked, the flow of groundwater beneath structures built on permafrost can increase thaw and enhance subsidence rates (Chen et al., 2019). In some cases, erosion and water ponding around subsiding 80 structures requires costly engineering solutions to reroute excess water. Icings (or Aufeis), ice masses due to freezing groundwater seepage, are widely distributed across Northern landscapes (Crites et al., 2020) and can cause flooding and create hazardous conditions on highways, railroads, and airfields. However, little is known regarding the influence of climate-mediated changes to groundwater flow dynamics on the occurrence of icings. Infrastructure designs that typically rely on historical climate information to engineer necessary risk averting measures are becoming increasingly insufficient to keep pace 85 with rapidly changing groundwater conditions. The potential for catastrophic Northern infrastructure failure from a changing groundwater regime presents threats to community security. Although predicting and planning for alterations to thermomechanical conditions that may arise due to changing groundwater conditions is an ongoing challenge, it is of critical importance.

Commented [AS5]: Term "coastal ocean" is absent in the arctic coastal studies dealing with engineering and coastal dynamics (coastal erosion). I do not know whether this term is used by the Ecology. Consider using "littoral zone of the ocean".

Commented [AS6]: Line 74:

I do not think that the term "overlooked" should be used here. In case if such flow is present on a site then it means that it is a consequence of an error in design/construction/maintenance on the site. Engineering design in permafrost shall assure good drainage around the buildings. Hence, GW is not a factor in foundation design of buildings in permafrost as it is eliminated by the general design approaches. This can be different for design of dams, culverts, even road pavements working partly as dams.

Commented [AS7]: Which may point out that the initial design was wrong.

Commented [AS8]: Again, this should be revealed during the initial site investigations, then this will not appear as a surprise at the exploitation stage. But sometimes presence (known well before initiation of the project) of icings is simply disregarded in the design.

Commented [AS9]: Lines 83-85: Please support with a reference or rephrase by presenting this point as a hypothesis.

Is your suggestion relevant to the methods using downscaled GCM (as for instance (Instanes 2016, Incorporating climate warming scenarios in coastal permafrost engineering design – Case studies from Svalbard and northwest Russia)

Commented [AS10]: Lines 85-86: This may be the case for some infrastructures located in the areas with specific site conditions (drainage issues, ground ice content, thickness of un lithified sediment on slope terrain) where groundwater is an important factor for thermal regime of permafrost and/or stability of terrain; or, in broader sense, in the regions with high levels of precipitation now and even higher in the future.

But there are site conditions where precipitation/groundwater will not have such impact (lithified sediment, low ice content, good drainage).

Hence, such scenario/prediction is not relevant for the whole Northern infrastructure. I suggest you to point out that it is relevant only for some infrastructure/infrastructure under certain conditions.

Commented [AS11]: Fully agree, but for certain problems (water retaining structures, etc.)/certain types of structures (see comments above) /certain types of conditions.



90 2.4 Consequences for Northern water supply

Future climate change will impact Arctic water resources and incur both beneficial and detrimental consequences for water quality and security. Due to the remoteness and extreme cold, water supply and wastewater treatment is very expensive and technologically challenging for Northern communities. Climate change may positively impact Northern water supply in some locations, as previously dormant aquifers will be activated by permafrost thaw, leading to groundwater as a viable alternative or supplemental domestic and municipal water supply (Lemieux et al., 2016). Much work is needed to fully understand the potential for aquifer development in thawing permafrost systems.

Activation of groundwater systems also poses new risks to Arctic water supply. Mobilization of solutes by groundwater can degrade surface and subsurface water resource from pathogens (Legendre et al., 2014) or natural [e.g. mercury (Schuster et al., 2018) or and anthropogenic (e.g. toxic contaminants, landfill leachate) contaminants, raising human and ecosystem health concerns. Also, little is known regarding the impacts of coastal thermal erosion, sea level rise, and saltwater intrusion on Arctic coastal water resources. Some Northern coastal communities are already experiencing saltwater intrusion into surface water reservoirs via surface or subsurface pathways (Johnson, 2018).

3. Going below the surface

With projections of rapid and abrupt warming in the Arctic for the foreseeable future, groundwater processes will become increasingly important catalysts of environmental change. Groundwater and lateral chemical transport processes are typically ignored in current Earth System Models (ESMs) used for studying and projecting climate change, even though it is well established that riverine carbon exports, which are strongly influenced by groundwater processes, substantially impact ocean ecosystems and release/burial of carbon in marine environments (Vonk and Gustafsson, 2013). Furthermore, lateral redistribution of surface water and soil moisture across the landscape will impact greenhouse gas exchange in Arctic and Boreal regions, and the exclusion of this process from ESMs limits their ability to foresee and predict cascading effects on the hydrosphere and atmosphere.

We call for inclusion of *cryohydrogeology* within the larger scope of Arctic climate change research. While there has been limited activity in this field in the past decade, cryohydrogeology research has been conducted in isolation from other Arctic research programs. We propose the following recommendations:

- *Northern field programs that address subsurface knowledge gaps are required.* Such programs, while expensive, should be integrated within existing multidisciplinary cryosphere programs. There is a need for improved characterization of Arctic subsurface hydrology to serve as a baseline for future comparison and as input for hydrogeologic and geomechanical models, including ESMs.

Commented [AS12]: Coastal erosion of permafrost-affected coastlines is not always has "thermal" component/driver. For clastic sediment beaches (sandy shores) the "thermal" component of erosion is absent, i.e. all geomorphological work performed by waves only. For cohesive shores (clay, ice-rich sediment) – yes, thermal factor plays a role. Hence, I suggest you avoid using "thermal" here.



- 120 • *Groundwater-permafrost feedbacks need to be incorporated into ESMs to improve quantification of regional and global climate projections.* Climate models represent subsurface processes as confined to the vertical dimension with low vertical resolution. Without the incorporation of groundwater flow, lateral transfer of water, solutes (including carbon), and energy that can accelerate the landscape response to surface warming, vertical models may substantially under-represent the rate or magnitude of environmental changes. Recent advances in
- 125 cryohydrogeological modeling (Grenier et al., 2018; Dagenais et al., 2020) can form the basis for inclusion of lateral processes into Arctic climate change simulations. Incorporation of groundwater dynamics in ecosystem models of permafrost regions will also allow for further exploration of vegetation response (e.g., type, phenology, and productivity) to thaw-mediated plant available water.
- 130 • *Long-term water management strategies for Arctic Indigenous communities, infrastructure, and industry must explicitly consider groundwater* as a potential future water resource, an accelerator of landscape change, and a driver of consequent infrastructure damage and water pollution. As the Arctic thaws, newly mobilized groundwater will directly impact infrastructure sustainability and water security. Water quantity and quality will be influenced by enhanced landscape hydrologic connectivity, altered water residence times, and mobilized contaminants.

135 Groundwater is a critical component of the Arctic response narrative to climate change, and the many exciting large international research programs need to account for hydrogeologic processes, or they will overlook an important catalyst of change. **Best practices for design and monitoring of infrastructure overlying permafrost should incorporate hydrogeologic considerations to help Arctic nations and communities actualize sustainable growth and development while balancing economic limitations.**

140

Author Contribution

JMM led writing of the manuscript and received support and contributions from all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

145 References

Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP): *Snow, Water, Ice, Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA)*, Oslo, Norway, 2017.

Commented [AS13]: This reference might be useful: (Sinitsyn et al., in press), see p. 33.

Sinitsyn, A.O., Depina, I., Bekele, Y., Christensen, S., van Oosterhout, D. Development of coastal infrastructure in cold climate. Summary Guideline. SFI SAMCoT report (in press). SINTEF Research 70

Can be requested through ResearchGate:
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338711826_Development_of_coastal_infrastructure_in_cold_climate_Summary_Guideline_SFI_SAMCoT_report_Version_01



150 Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J. and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude wetlands in response to permafrost thaw, *Nat Geosci*, 4(7), 444–448, doi:10.1038/ngeo1160, 2011.

Chen, L., Fortier, D., McKenzie, J. M. and Sliger, M.: Impact of Heat Advection on the Thermal Regime of Roads Built on Permafrost, *Hydrol Process*, doi:10.1002/hyp.13688, 2019.

155

Christensen, T. R., Johansson, T., Åkerman, H. J., Mastepanov, M., Malmer, N., Friborg, T., Crill, P. and Svensson, B. H.: Thawing sub-arctic permafrost: Effects on vegetation and methane emissions, *Geophys Res Lett*, 31(4), doi:10.1029/2003gl018680, 2004.

160 Cochand, M., Molson, J. and Lemieux, J.: Groundwater hydrogeochemistry in permafrost regions, *Permafrost Periglac*, 30(2), 90–103, doi:10.1002/ppp.1998, 2019.

Connolly, C. T., Cardenas, M. B., Burkart, G. A., Spencer, R. G. M. and McClelland, J. W.: Groundwater as a major source of dissolved organic matter to Arctic coastal waters, *Nat Commun*, 11(1), 1479, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15250-8, 2020.

165

Connon, R. F., Quinton, W. L., Craig, J. R. and Hayashi, M.: Changing hydrologic connectivity due to permafrost thaw in the lower Liard River valley, NWT, Canada, *Hydrol Process*, 28(14), 4163–4178, doi:10.1002/hyp.10206, 2014.

170 Crites, H., Kokelj, S. V. and Lacelle, D.: Icings and groundwater conditions in permafrost catchments of northwestern Canada, *Sci Rep-uk*, 10(1), 3283, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-60322-w, 2020.

Devoie, É. G., Craig, J. R., Connon, R. F. and Quinton, W. L.: Taliks: A tipping point in discontinuous permafrost degradation in peatlands, *Water Resour Res*, doi:10.1029/2018wr024488, 2019.

175 Dagenais, S., Molson, J., Lemieux, J.-M., Fortier, R. and Therrien, R.: Coupled cryo-hydrogeological modelling of permafrost dynamics near Umiujaq (Nunavik, Canada), *Hydrogeol J*, 1–18, doi:10.1007/s10040-020-02111-3, 2020.

Duan, L., Man, X., Kurylyk, B. and Cai, T.: Increasing Winter Baseflow in Response to Permafrost Thaw and Precipitation Regime Shifts in Northeastern China, *Water-sui*, 9(1), 25, doi:10.3390/w9010025, 2017.

180



Elder, C. D., Xu, X., Walker, J., Schnell, J. L., Hinkel, K. M., Townsend-Small, A., Arp, C. D., Pohlman, J. W., Gaglioti, B. V. and Czimczik, C. I.: Greenhouse gas emissions from diverse Arctic Alaskan lakes are dominated by young carbon, *Nat Clim Change*, 8(2), 166–171, doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0066-9, 2018.

185 Evans, S. G., Yokeley, B., Stephens, C. and Brewer, B.: Potential mechanistic causes of increased baseflow across northern Eurasia catchments underlain by permafrost, *Hydrol Process*, doi:10.1002/hyp.13759, 2020.

Grenier, C., Anbergen, H., Bense, V., Chanzy, Q., Coon, E., Collier, N., Costard, F., Ferry, M., Frampton, A., Frederick, J., Gonçalves, J., Holmén, J., Jost, A., Kokh, S., Kurylyk, B., McKenzie, J., Molson, J., Mouche, E., Orgogozo, L., Pannetier, R.,
190 Rivière, A., Roux, N., Rühaak, W., Scheidegger, J., Selroos, J.-O., Therrien, R., Vidstrand, P. and Voss, C.: Groundwater flow and heat transport for systems undergoing freeze-thaw: Intercomparison of numerical simulators for 2D test cases, *Adv Water Resources*, 114, 196–218, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2018.02.001, 2018.

Hjort, J., Karjalainen, O., Aalto, J., Westermann, S., Romanovsky, V. E., Nelson, F. E., Eitzelmüller, B. and Luoto, M.:
195 Degrading permafrost puts Arctic infrastructure at risk by mid-century., *Nat Commun*, 9(1), 5147, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07557-4, 2018.

Instanes, A., Kokorev, V., Janowicz, R., Bruland, O., Sand, K. and Prowse, T.: Changes to freshwater systems affecting Arctic infrastructure and natural resources, *J Geophys Res Biogeosciences*, 121(3), 567–585, doi:10.1002/2015jg003125, 2016.

200 IPCC: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H.- O. Pörtner et al. eds.], In Press, 2019.

Johnson, K.: Nunavut communities struggle with water shortage and supply issues, *Environmental Science & Engineering Magazine*, (April), 10–12, 2018.

205 Lamontagne-Hallé, P., McKenzie, J. M., Kurylyk, B. L. and Zipper, S. C.: Changing groundwater discharge dynamics in permafrost regions, *Environ Res Lett*, 13(8), 084017, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aad404, 2018.


Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C. D., Swenson, S. C., Riley, W. J. and Slater, A. G.: Permafrost thaw and resulting soil moisture changes regulate projected high-latitude CO₂ and CH₄ emissions, *Environ Res Lett*, 10(9), 094011, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094011, 2015.



- 215 Legendre, M., Bartoli, J., Shmakova, L., Jeudy, S., Labadie, K., Adrait, A., Lescot, M., Poirot, O., Bertaux, L., Bruley, C.,
Coute, Y., Rivkina, E., Abergel, C. and Claverie, J.-M.: Thirty-thousand-year-old distant relative of giant icosahedral DNA
viruses with a pandoravirus morphology, *Proc National Acad Sci*, 111(11), 4274–4279, doi:10.1073/pnas.1320670111, 2014.
- 220 Lemieux, J.-M., Fortier, R., Talbot-Poulin, M.-C., Molson, J., Therrien, R., Ouellet, M., Banville, D., Cochand, M. and Murray,
R.: Groundwater occurrence in cold environments: examples from Nunavik, Canada, *Hydrogeol J*, 24(6), 1497–1513,
doi:10.1007/s10040-016-1411-1, 2016.
- Lemieux, J.-M., Fortier, R., Murray, R., Dagenais, S., Cochand, M., Delottier, H., Therrien, R., Molson, J., Pryet, A. and
Parhizkar, M.: Groundwater dynamics within a watershed in the discontinuous permafrost zone near Umiujaq (Nunavik,
Canada), *Hydrogeol J*, 28(3), 833–851, doi:10.1007/s10040-020-02110-4, 2020.
- 225 McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C., Klein, J. S., Burke, E., Chen, G., Jafarov, E., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko,
S., Nicolsky, D., Peng, S., Rinke, A., Ciais, P., Gouttevin, I., Hayes, D. J., Ji, D., Krinner, G., Moore, J. C., Romanovsky, V.,
Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G. and Zhuang, Q.: Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the northern
permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change, *Proc National Acad Sci*, 115(15), 3882–3887,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1719903115, 2018.
- 230 Neilson, B. T., Cardenas, M. B., O'Connor, M. T., Rasmussen, M. T., King, T. V. and Kling, G. W.: Groundwater Flow and
Exchange Across the Land Surface Explain Carbon Export Patterns in Continuous Permafrost Watersheds, *Geophys Res Lett*,
45(15), 7596–7605, doi:10.1029/2018gl078140, 2018.
- 235 Parazoo, N. C., Koven, C. D., Lawrence, D. M., Romanovsky, V. and Miller, C. E.: Detecting the permafrost carbon feedback:
talik formation and increased cold-season respiration as precursors to sink-to-source transitions, *Cryosphere*, 12(1), 123–144,
doi:10.5194/tc-12-123-2018, 2018.
- 240 Pastick, N. J., Jorgenson, M. T., Goetz, S. J., Jones, B. M., Wylie, B. K., Minsley, B. J., Genet, H., Knight, J. F., Swanson, D.
K. and Jorgenson, J. C.: Spatiotemporal remote sensing of ecosystem change and causation across Alaska, *Global Change
Biol*, 25(3), 1171–1189, doi:10.1111/gcb.14279, 2018.
- Schuster, P. F., Schaefer, K. M., Aiken, G. R., Antweiler, R. C., Dewild, J. F., Gryziec, J. D., Gusmeroli, A., Hugelius, G.,
Jafarov, E., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Liu, L., Herman-Mercer, N., Mu, C., Roth, D. A., Schaefer, T., Striegl, R. G., Wickland, K.
245 P. and Zhang, T.: Permafrost Stores a Globally Significant Amount of Mercury, *Geophys Res Lett*, doi:10.1002/2017gl075571,
2018.



- 250 Schuur, E., McGuire, A., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D., Hugelius, G., Koven, C., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D.,
Natali, S., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M., Treat, C. and Vonk, J.: Climate change and the permafrost
carbon feedback, *Nature*, 520(7546), 171–179, doi:10.1038/nature14338, 2015.
- Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M. and Hinzman, L. D.: Disappearing Arctic Lakes, *Science*, 308(5727), 1429–1429,
doi:10.1126/science.1108142, 2005.
- 255 St. Jacques, J.-M. S. and Sauchyn, D. J.: Increasing winter baseflow and mean annual streamflow from possible permafrost
thawing in the Northwest Territories, Canada, *Geophys Res Lett*, 36(1), doi:10.1029/2008gl035822, 2009.
- Tank, S., et al., Landscape matters: Predicting the biogeochemical effects of permafrost thaw on aquatic networks with a state
factor approach, in Special Issue 2020 Transactions of the IPA in Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, doi: 10.1002/ppp.2057,
260 In Press, 2020.
- Vaughan, D. G. et al. Observations: Cryosphere. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (Stocker, T.F. et al., eds.).
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2013.
- 265 Vonk, J. E. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Permafrost-carbon complexities, *Nat Geosci*, 6(9), 675–676, doi:10.1038/ngeo1937, 2013.
- Vonk, J. E., Tank, S. E. and Walvoord, M. A.: Integrating hydrology and biogeochemistry across frozen landscapes, *Nat
Commun*, 10(1), 5377, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13361-5, 2019.
- 270 Walvoord, M. A. and Striegl, R. G.: Increased groundwater to stream discharge from permafrost thawing in the Yukon River
basin: Potential impacts on lateral export of carbon and nitrogen, *Geophys Res Lett*, 34(12), doi:10.1029/2007gl030216, 2007.
- Walvoord, M. A. and Kurylyk, B. L.: Hydrologic Impacts of Thawing Permafrost—A Review, *Vadose Zone J*, 15(6), 0,
275 doi:10.2136/vzj2016.01.0010, 2016.
- Walvoord, M. A., Voss, C. I., Ebel, B. A. and Minsley, B. J.: Development of perennial thaw zones in boreal hillslopes
enhances potential mobilization of permafrost carbon, *Environ Res Lett*, 14(1), 015003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf0cc, 2019.



280

Figure 1: Pathways for groundwater to catalyse environmental change in the Arctic. (1) Arctic warming and permafrost thaw promotes increased flux, circulation, and connectivity of groundwater above and below permafrost. (2) Groundwater transports carbon and nutrients from terrestrial to aquatic environments via progressively deeper subsurface flowpaths with top-down permafrost thaw (green arrows). Permafrost carbon may be mobilized in the aqueous phase upon thaw and transported to inland waters (dashed green arrows). (3) As permafrost thaws, there are opportunities for increased transport of contaminants (e.g. industrial waste, sewage, etc.) due to enhanced groundwater flow (red arrows). Sequestered contaminants, such as pathogens or mercury, are released as permafrost thaws and transported via groundwater flow (dashed red arrow). (4) Water resources will change as permafrost thaws, including increased potential for groundwater development. (5) Groundwater flow can enhance permafrost thaw rates, leading to land subsidence and destruction of surface infrastructure such as roads or buildings. (6) Cryohydrogeology needs to be incorporated into planning for Northern communities and future economic development.

285

290