Drivers for Atlantic-origin waters abutting Greenland

Laura C. Gillard[1], Xianmin Hu[1,2], and Paul G. Myers[1]

[1]Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
[2]Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada

Correspondence: Laura C. Gillard (gillard2@ualberta.ca)

Abstract.

The oceanic heat available in Greenland's troughs is dependent on the location of the trough, the ~~warm~~ water origin, and how the water is impacted by local processes. This study investigates the mechanisms that bring warm water to the shelf and into the troughs abutting the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS). Warm water that is exchanged from the trough into the fjord may influence the melt on the marine terminating glaciers. Regional ocean model experiments showed that Melville Bay troughs experienced warming following 2009. An increase in ocean heat in these troughs may drive a retreat of the GrIS. In 2004 to 2006, model experiments captured an increase in onshore heat flux in the Disko Bay trough, coinciding with the timing of the disintegration of Jakobshavn Isbrae's floating tongue and observed ocean heat increase in Disko Bay. Warm Irminger water can extend far north into Baffin Bay, reaching as north as Melville Bay troughs. However, it diminishes north of 67^oN on the east coast. Seasonality of the maximum onshore heat flux differs due to distance away from the original source. The north-west coast and south-east coast respond differently to changes in meltwater from Greenland and high frequency atmospheric phenomena. With a doubling of the GrIS meltwater, Baffin Bay troughs brought $\sim 40\%$ more heat. The lack of presence of storms resulted in an increase in heat flux ($\sim 20\%$) through Helheim glacier's trough. These results demonstrate the importance of onshore heat transport through troughs and its potential implications to the GrIS.

1 Introduction

The Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS), with the second largest storage of fresh ice on earth, has a glaciated cover of 1.81 million km^2 (Rastner et al., 2012). With the volume of ice reaching 2.96 million km^3, if the entire ice sheet were to melt, the sea level equivalent (SLE) would be ~ 7 m (Bamber et al., 2013). The GrIS recorded a maximum mass loss in 2012 with values reaching -446 ± 114 Gt yr^{-1}, a SLE of $\sim 1.2 \pm 0.3$ mm yr^{-1}, and has varied around ~ 1 mm yr^{-1} SLE since (van den Broeke et al., 2016). Analysis of the the GrIS's mass loss and equivalent sea level rise (SLR) has shown that the GrIS has recently become a major source of global mean SLR (van den Broeke et al., 2016).

Meltwater originating off the south-west coast of the GrIS has been shown to circulate into the interior of the Labrador Sea (Gillard et al., 2016; Boning et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016; Dukhovskoy et al., 2016). The Labrador Sea is sensitive to

buoyancy, which impacts convection, a balance between heat loss and freshwater input; the former drives deep convection and the latter lowers the density of the surface waters, slowing down convection (Aagaard and Carmack, 1989; Straneo, 2006; Weijer et al., 2012). Thus, an increase of the accumulation of meltwaters in the Labrador Sea may affect and slow down deep convection, the process that forms deep ocean waters by mixing surface waters down the water column (Boning et al., 2016;

5 Weijer et al., 2012). A weakening of the deep water formation may impact the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), influencing how the earth distributes heat, impacting sea ice production and dissolved gases such as oxygen and carbon dioxide, and altering ecosystems. (Boning et al., 2016; Weijer et al., 2012; Swingedouw et al., 2014; Arrigo et al., 2017).

Numerous studies have focused on the causation for the increase in mass loss from the GrIS, such as atmospheric warming

10 (Box et al., 2009) and synoptic wind patterns (Christoffersen et al., 2011). The mass balance of the GrIS has been persistently negative since the rapid retreat of marine terminating glaciers began in 1995 (van den Broeke et al., 2016). There are approximately 900 marine terminating glaciers on the GrIS (Rastner et al., 2012) which drain ~ 88 % of the ice sheet (Rignot and Mouginot, 2012). Therefore, it is this type of glacier that has the greatest control over the fate of the ice sheet. Past studies have concluded that the influences affecting marine terminating glaciers include glacier surface thinning (Csatho et al., 2014),

15 glacier fjord ~~and~~ geometry (Porter et al., 2014; Fenty et al., 2016; Rignot et al., 2016a; Williams et al., 2017; Felikson et al., 2017), state of the ice melange (Moon et al., 2015), subglacial discharge (Bartholomaus et al., 2016; Jenkins, 2011), ocean ~~warming~~ (Holland et al., 2008; Myers and Ribergaard, 2013; Straneo and Heimbach, 2013), and ocean induced melting (Cai et al., 2017; Rignot et al., 2016b; Wood et al., 2018). Wood et al. (2018) showed that ocean warming at intermediate depths, below 200 m, has the potential to increase ocean induced undercutting, which has initialized the retreat of the majority of

20 marine terminating glaciers.

The fluctuation of heat content in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre (NASPG) may have been the cause of ocean warming in fjords of marine terminating glaciers (Holland et al., 2008; Myers and Ribergaard, 2013; Straneo and Heimbach, 2013). The NASPG contains a southern branch that travels northward across the North Atlantic Ocean to the West European Basins (Fig. 1). This branch then can travel westward, forming the Irminger Current circulating along Reykjanes Ridge. The Atlantic water

25 that remains in the Irminger Current carries relatively warm and saline waters along the south-east coast of Greenland, while waters from the Arctic Ocean and Greenland meltwaters from the East Greenland Current (EGC) and East Greenland Coastal Current merge to create a mixed and modified current (Bacon et al., 2014). This current forms the West Greenland Current (WGC) near Cape Farewell. The WGC separates into two branches: one travels northward along the west coast of Greenland into Baffin Bay bringing with it both less saline, cold Polar water and relatively warm, saline, modified Atlantic water, and

30 the second, warmer and more saline branch joins the southward flowing Baffin Island Current at Davis Strait (Fratantoni and Pickart, 2007; Myers et al., 2009). A portion of the NASPG can branch off northward through the Iceland–Scotland ridge, which separates the Norwegian Sea from the North Atlantic Ocean, as the Norwegian Atlantic Current (NwAC) (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012). Instead of recirculating in the Fram Strait, a part of the NwAC can enter Barents Sea, south of Spitzbergen or north through Fram Strait (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012). A large volume of water that travels through Fram Strait may

35 recirculate directly in the strait and return south to the Nordic Seas (Karcher et al., 2011; Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2012).

Another source of relatively warm water in Fram Strait may have originated from the Pacific Ocean (Aksenov et al., 2010; Hu and Myers, 2013). This water mass has entered the Arctic Ocean via the Bering Strait and may follow two distinct pathways, a transpolar route crossing through the Arctic or an Alaskan route, travelling through the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA) (Hu and Myers, 2013).

5   Along the shelf break of Greenland, transverse troughs extend across the coast of Greenland supplying warm water through to the mouths of fjords. Then, depending on the height of the fjord's sills, it can allow waters access to or block waters from reaching the marine terminating glaciers and accelerating their mass loss (Cai et al., 2017; Rignot et al., 2016b; Wood et al., 2018; Straneo et al., 2012). If the warm waters from the NASPG can reach these transverse troughs, changes in heat content of the NASPG may influence the state of marine terminating glaciers on the GrIS.

10   This study investigates the following questions: What are the processes that drive the warm water to the coast of Greenland? What is the significance of the deep troughs along Greenland's shelf to the supply of warm water to the fjords with marine terminating glaciers? How does an increase in horizontal resolution benefit an ocean model's representation of this? What is the mean state and variation of the onshore heat flux through the troughs around Greenland? How does the enhanced GrIS meltwater impact the renewal of ambient waters into the troughs? And what is the impact of high frequency atmospheric events
15   on bringing warm waters to the coast?

2   Methods

2.1   Model description

A high resolution coupled ocean–sea ice model is utilized in this study. The fundamental modelling framework used is the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO) version 3.4 (Madec, 2008). The ocean component is based on Ocean
20   Parallelise (OPA) and is used for the ocean dynamics and thermodynamics. For sea ice dynamics and thermodynamics, Louvain la Neuve Ice Model (LIM2) is used (Fichefet and Morales Maqueda, 1997). The regional domain for the coupled ocean–sea ice model covered the Arctic and Northern Hemisphere Atlantic Oceans (ANHA), with two open boundaries: one at the Bering Strait and the other at the latitude of $20°$ S. All simulations start from January 2002, and are integrated to December 2016.

Initial and monthly open boundary conditions (temperature, salinity, horizontal velocities, and sea surface height) are derived
25   from the $^1/_4°$ Global Ocean Reanalyses and Simulations (GLORYS2V3) product (Ferry et al., 2008). The surface atmospheric forcing fields (10 m surface wind, two metre air temperature and humidity, downward shortwave and longwave radiation, and total precipitation) with a temporal resolution of one hour and spatial resolution of 33 km, are from the Canadian Meteorological Centres Global Deterministic Prediction System Reforecasts (CGRF), provided by Environment and Climate Change Canada (Smith et al., 2014). The first two years of model output are regarded as the adjustment from the initial GLORYS2V3
30   fields, thus, only five–day averaged model output from 2004 to 2016 are analyzed in this study.

2.2 Sensitivity experiment set-up

2.2.1 Horizontal resolution experiments

The ANHA horizontal mesh grid is extracted from a global tripolar grid, ORCA (Barnier et al., 2007), with two different horizontal resolutions. One is at a $^1/_4$° (hereafter referred to as LowRes for low resolution) with a resolution ranging from

5 ~ 11 km to ~ 15 km around Greenland, and the other one at a $^1/_{12}$° (hereafter referred to as HighRes for high resolution) with a resolution ranging from ~ 3.5 km to ~ 5 km around Greenland. In the vertical, the ANHA configurations use the geopotential or z–level coordinate with a total of 50 levels. The layer thickness increases smoothly from 1.05 m at the surface level to 453.1 m in the last level (at a depth of 5727.92 m). High resolution is applied to the upper ocean, i.e., 22 levels for the top 100 m. Partial step (Bernard et al., 2006) is also enabled to better represent the sea floor. Bathymetry in LowRes is taken

10 from the existing global ORCA025 bathymetry (MEOM, 2013), which is based on a global relief model (ETOPO1) (Amante and Eakins, 2009) and a gridded bathymetric data set (GEBCO1) (BODC, 2008) with modifications (Barnier et al., 2007). For HighRes, the bathymetry is generated by using ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009) for the polar region, and the Global Predicted Bathymetry (Smith and Sandwell, 1997) from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings for the rest of the domain.

The HighRes configuration provides model fields at a finer scale that is not always visible in LowRes. This provides the

15 potential for a better simulation of warm ocean currents travelling towards the GrIS via a better representation of deep troughs. In addition, model resolution also plays a role in simulating ocean mixing and mesoscale features, such as eddies, that bring warm water towards the shelf through the trough along the GrIS. Note that, even the $^1/_{12}$° resolution referred to as HighRes in this study, the small scale interactions of plume dynamics and glacier ice–ocean interactions within the fjord is still not resolved. Therefore, this study will focus on the relatively large scale processes outside of the fjords with an assumption that

20 the meltwater will reach the ocean surface once out of the fjord (Fig. 2). This is also consistent with how Greenland discharge is added in the model, injected at the surface level then mixed into a 10 m thick layer. This approach is common in the present generation of ocean models at this horizontal scale, such as in Castro de la Guardia et al. (2015) and Dukhovskoy et al. (2016).

2.2.2 Enhanced Greenland discharge experiment

LowRes and HighRes simulations use two interannual monthly runoff sources. Greenland's freshwater flux (tundra and river

25 runoff) is provided by Bamber et al. (2012). Runoff in the rest of the model domain is provided by Dai et al. (2009). As Castro de la Guardia et al. (2015) showed, enhanced Greenland melt can change nearby ocean circulation, e.g., Baffin Bay. Here we conduct a pair of sensitivity experiments (LowResControl and LowResDoubleMelt) with more realistic spatial distribution and temporal varying Greenland meltwater to quantify the impact on warm waters flowing towards the marine terminating glaciers through troughs.

30 LowResControl includes only the river and tundra runoff (~ 46 %% of the total) of Greenland's freshwater flux. This is because the version of the model used here does not have an iceberg module. Therefore LowResControl under represents the total of Greenland's freshwater flux. Therefore, LowResDoubleMelt, takes into account the solid mass discharge. It is important to note that the entire solid discharge in LowResDoubleMelt is transformed into the liquid component (i.e., treated

the same as the runoff), as the version of the model used here does not have an iceberg module. This results in roughly twice as much meltwater in LowResDoubleMelt compared to LowResControl. For this study, a comparison of the GrIS freshwater flux (LowResControl) to the total GrIS melt (freshwater flux and total iceberg discharge, in liquid form) (LowResDoubleMelt) is made to demonstrate the ocean model's sensitivity to increased GrIS liquid melt.

2.2.3 High frequency atmospheric event experiment

Previous studies (Holdsworth and Myers, 2015; Garcia Quintana et al., 2019), have shown that high frequency atmospheric phenomena, such as storms, barrier winds, fronts, and topographic jets, plays an important role in the ocean processes (e.g., deep convection in the Labrador Sea) in the study area. Do they also influence warm water brought towards the GrIS? Until this study, this has not yet been studied. With the use of the Kolmogorov–Zurbenko (KZ) filter (Zurbenko et al., 1996), the removal of atmospheric variability (such as temperature and wind speeds) that persisted for a length of 10 days or less from the atmospheric forcing was done to drive a sensitivity simulation, called LowResNoStorms. For more information regarding the methodology of the KZ filtering, please see Garcia Quintana et al. (2019). A complete list of simulations used in this study is given in Table 1.

2.3 Mean flow and its fluctuation

To evaluate the ocean's heat that reaches onto the shelf and into the troughs, heat fluxes are calculated at six sections along the coast of Greenland (across one trough per section, as shown in purple and tan, respectively, in Fig. 1). Section names and their associated trough names are seen in Fig. 1. To calculate the fluctuation of the heat flux, the five day averaged model output of both temperature and velocity normal to the section are treated as the full current. A moving averaged was applied by taking the average of five model outputs (25 days) centered on a particular output by taking outputs from two previous, the centered, and two future. Therefore the mean of the temperature and velocity can be taken over a longer period (25 days). The mean values were then subtracted from the full current to get the fluctuation component of the heat flux. Given Eq. (1), ρ_0 is the reference density, Cp is the specific heat capacity of sea water, n is the length of the section, $H(z)$ is the water depth along the section, $T(t,z,n)$ is the temperature, and $U(t,z,n)$ is the velocity normal to the section.

$$HeatFlux_{eddy} = \rho_0 Cp \int_0^n \int_0^{H(z)} U(t,z,n)T(t,z,n) - \overline{U}(t,z,n)\overline{T}(t,z,n) \, dz \, dn \tag{1}$$

To understand the importance of the fluctuation component of the flow around Greenland, the eddy kinetic energy (EKE) was calculated using the five day average model outputs of velocity in the zonal (u) and the meridional (v) components. To see the annual average of EKE the EKE was calculated first using Eq. (2). The monthly EKE averages for each month was calculated, and the yearly EKE averages for each year over the period of 2004 to 2016 were calculated.

$$EKE = \frac{(\overline{u^2} - \overline{u}^2) + (\overline{v^2} - \overline{v}^2)}{2} \tag{2}$$

2.4 Backward Lagrangian tracking of warm water reaching marine terminating glaciers

To find the source of the warm waters (i.e. waters of temperature $> 0°$ C) found near marine terminating glaciers, virtual particles were released at six locations close to the model coastline (cyan lines in Fig. 1). An offline Lagrangian tool, ARIANE, was used to integrate the trajectories of warm water back to five years (Blanke and Raynaud, 1997; Blanke et al., 1999). The Lagrangian calculation is based on the simulated velocity fields of the HighRes output from 2004 to 2016. The virtual particles (~ 5000 for each location) were initially homogeneously distributed in the entire water column (excluding surface waters i.e. top 30 metres), in late June for each year. Distribution of particles below the surface excludes local surface warming and allows the analysis of where the warm water at depth comes from.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Impact of model resolution on EKE

This study focuses on six sections around Greenland (Fig. 1) with marine terminating glaciers and deep bathymetric features. To examine the sensitivity to different resolution, an examination of the EKE takes place. Figure 3 shows the comparison of EKE in regions along west, south-east and north-east coast of Greenland from the HighRes and LowResControl. In Fig. 4, the six sections are drawn (seen in light purple on the map inset 1). HighRes model bathymetry is in grey and each section runs north to south on the x–axis starting at the left hand side of the figure indicated by the zero kilometre marker. The rest of this section will compare the six sections and discuss how observed bathymetry from other studies compares to the HighRes model bathymetry (Fig. 4) as well as discuss the regions EKE (Fig. 3).

West coast

In north-west Greenland, Kong Oscar is the fastest marine terminating glacier, terminating into Melville Bay (Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006; Rignot and Mouginot, 2012). Twenty percent of the GrIS drainage volume is directed along glaciers that feed into Melville Bay, amounting to a discharge of ~ 80 km^3 yr^{-1} (Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006). Located in north-east Baffin Bay (Fig. 1), Melville Bay holds the widest and deepest Greenland cross shelf troughs. This system consists of three troughs: the North, Centre, and South Melville Bay Troughs (MVBTs: MVBNT, MVBCT, and MVBST). The MVBTs are 170 to 320 km long, 45 to 120 km wide and reach depths between 740 m to 1100 m with shallow banks (around 100 m below sea level) called inter–trough banks (Slabon et al., 2016; Morlighem et al., 2017). The HighRes bathymetry (seen in Fig. 4a) is relatively shallow compared to the observations discussed. MVBNT is located at the kilometre markers 10 km to 120 km, MVBCT at 320 km to 450 km, and MVBST at 480 km to 580 km. The depths in the HighRes are about 400 m for MVBNT, and reaching almost 700 m depth for MVBCT and MVBST.

Further south, on the west coast of Greenland, Jakobshavn Isbrae (JI) terminates into Disko Bay. The rapid retreat and disintegration of JI's floating ice tongue has been attributed to an increase in heat content, deep bathymetry, and NASPG warming (Holland et al., 2008; Myers and Ribergaard, 2013; Gladish et al., 2015; An et al., 2017). Recent slowing down of

JI's acceleration has been attributed to the glacier reaching a higher bed, high amounts of freshwater from the Canadian Arctic, a weak WGC, or a cold Baffin Bay current flooding the West Greenland Shelf (Joughin et al., 2012; Gladish et al., 2015; An et al., 2017). In HighRes, the section drawn for Disko Bay (Fig. 4b) shows two deep bathymetric features: the first trough, located at 100 km to 200 km, and the second trough at 380 km to 500 km, now called UT (Uummannaq Trough) and DBT

5   (Disko Bay Trough), respectively. UT connects to Uummannaq Fjord and DBT connects into Disko Bay. Both UT and DBT reach depths of around 500 m, similar to observations found in (Hogan et al., 2016). In a more recent data set provided by BedMachineV3, UT similarly reaches approximately 500 m but DBT is much deeper, reaching depths of 900 m (Morlighem et al., 2017).

Looking at a spatial view of the EKE in the west region (Fig. 3a, Fig. 3b, and Fig. 3c), the EKE is highest near changes in

10   bathymetric features along the 250 m and 500 m isobaths. HighRes shows a larger magnitude for the EKE, from approximately 1×10^{-3} m² s⁻² to about 3×10^{-3} m² s⁻². In Fig. 3a, there is a clearer representation of a feature near the south edge of DBT in comparison to Fig. 3b.

South-east coast

In the south-east region there are two glaciers of interest: Helheim Glacier (HG) and Kangerlussuaq Glacier (KG). HG termi-

15   nates at a depth of 700 m in Sermilik Fjord, which is approximately 900 m deep at the mouth with the adjacent continental shelf, reaching depths of 350 m (Straneo et al., 2010; Morlighem et al., 2017). Warming in Sermilik Fjord cannot be explained by local heating or surface fluxes. The warming in the fjord is instead a result of the advection of warmer waters into the fjord, as warm waters are present on the shelf year round, peaking from July to September (Straneo et al., 2010). In HighRes, the section drawn for HG (Fig. 4c) shows four unique features. The first one at kilometre marker 25 km to about 100 km shows a

20   slumping of bathymetry reaching about 250 m in depth. Moving further south there are three deep troughs. The first trough is located at 120 km to 180 km, reaching depths surpassing 500 m, and the second and third troughs, located at 190 km to 260 km and 350 km to 375 km, respectively, reach depths closer to 700 m. Features will be referred to as Slump, HGT1, HGT2, and HGT3.

In the BedMachineV3 data set, Kangerdlussuaq trough (KT) reaches depths closer to 800 m (Morlighem et al., 2017).

25   Atlantic water occupies the deep waters of the KT and Kangerlussuaq Fjord (KF) (Azetsu-Scott and Tan, 1997). KF has a bathymetrically open mouth, which could influence the Atlantic water transport that is observed there (Azetsu-Scott and Tan, 1997; Christoffersen et al., 2011; Inall et al., 2014). In HighRes, the section drawn for KT (Fig. 4d) is drawn over an area with the maximum depth in the middle of the section, deeper than 600 m, at kilometre marker 175 km. The KT extends from 125 km to about 200 km.

30   The south-east location (Fig. 3d, Fig. 3e, and Fig. 3f) has a very high EKE, larger than any other region. Maximum values reached 4×10^{-3} m² s⁻² in the EGC. It is clear that the EKE decreases closer to HGT2 where the bathymetry reaches depths of 500 m. This shows a bifurcation around the 500 m isobath, and the flow decreases in magnitude when it passes over HGT2. LowResControl captured the bifurcation and decreased magnitudes over HGT2 and the decreased magnitudes along the eastern

shelf break of the 500 m isobath, though at a coarser scale. Comparing HighRes to LowResControl, LowResControl appears
to have higher values north of the Slump and south of HGT3.

North-east coast

In the north-east, Daugaard-Jensen Glacier terminates into Scoresby Sund and Nioghalvfjerdsbrae (79NG) terminates into the

5   sound of Jøkelbugten. The BedMachineV3 shows depths of around 600 m (Morlighem et al., 2017). The HighRes section
drawn for Scoresby Sund (Fig. 4e) is outside of the opening of the coastline, from north to south, connecting fjord waters to the
open ocean. The bathymetry here is smoother with fewer carved features. Instead it shows a skewed U shape in this section.
The maximum depth is reached at kilometre marker 120 km with a depth slightly greater than 500 m.

    79NG has a floating ice tongue that abuts Hovgaard Ø, which divides the tongue into two sections (Wilson and Straneo,

10   2015). The most rapid melting occurs at the grounded (pinned) front, south of Hovgaard Ø, where the ice tongue is thickest
and is exposed to deeper and warmer waters (Seroussi et al., 2011; Wilson and Straneo, 2015). Atlantic Intermediate Water
flows via bathymetric channels to the south of Hovgaard Ø at a pinned ice front, where there is a shorter pathway between the
shelf and cavity, exposing more shelf driven processes such as intermediary flows (Jackson et al., 2014). The warm water is
supplied from the warm water that resides in Norske Trough (NT) east of Hovgaard Ø (Fig. 1) (Wilson and Straneo, 2015).

15   Some of the relatively fresh glacially modified water is exported to the continental shelf via Dijmphna Sund, north of the glacier
(Wilson and Straneo, 2015). In the BedMachineV3, NT reaches depths close to 600 m (Morlighem et al., 2017). The HighRes
section drawn for 79NG (Fig. 4f) is drawn from north to south. The HighRes bathymetry shows the deepest region exceeding
depths of 300 m, though the majority of this section lies around 200 m.

    In the north-east region (Fig. 3g, Fig. 3h, and Fig. 3i), EKE increases along the troughs at the 250 m and 500 m isobaths.

20   The differences of the EKE hover around $-0.5 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{m^2 \, s^{-2}}$ to $0.5 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{m^2 \, s^{-2}}$. This region is unique because it is the
only region that does not show as significant changes in EKE due to resolution, though HighRes captures stronger EKE over
all. Turbulent mixing is highest along shelf breaks and changes of bathymetry, but predominately strongest in the south-east
region (Fig. 3). Weak EKE off the north-west and north-east coast of Greenland may be due to the semi-permanent sea ice
cover.

25   What is the significance of the deep troughs along Greenland's shelf to the supply of warm water to the fjords with marine
terminating glaciers? A look at the onshore heat flux through these troughs will be shown using HighRes, as the benefits of a
higher horizontal resolution have been shown. However, given the numerical costs of the HighRes, LowRes is utilized for the
sensitivity experiments that will be discussed later in this paper.

3.2   Onshore heat flux through coastal troughs

30   **3.2.1   West coast: mean state**

The section drawn for Melville Bay (Fig. 4a), located on the north-west coast of Greenland, shows three deep bathymetric
troughs: the MVBNT, MVBCT, and MVBST (all troughs described in Sect. 3.1). At the south edge of all three troughs

(kilometre marker 110 km, 450 km, and 560 km, for MVBNT, MVBCT, and MVBST, respectively) there is an onshore heat flux, and at the north edge (kilometre marker 15 km, 330 km, and 500 km) an offshore heat flux. This identifies that the northward warm waters travelling along the west Greenland coast are influenced by bathymetry and are steered eastward along the trough towards the coast.

5   MVBNT, MVBCT, and MVBST experienced an increase in heat transport in 2009–2010 which persisted for five years. For MVBNT there was little heat transfer before 2010 when the heat transport through to 2015 increased to 0.05 TW. At MVBCT, a significant heat flux increase started at the end of 2009, and reached a relatively stable value of 0.1 TW through to the end of 2016. For the MVBST there was a more persistent interannual heat flux throughout the entire period, increasing from 0.1 TW to 0.2 TW starting at the end of 2009. An increase in warm water flux through troughs in northern regions of Greenland shelf

10   since 2009–2010 was also identified. A change from 0.1 TW is significant, as that increase in heat can potentially melt 300 tons of ice per second. Thus, an increase in ocean heat presence in these troughs may have driven more melt from the glaciers that terminate in Melville Bay.

The section drawn for Disko Bay (Fig. 4b), located on the west coast of Greenland, shows two deep troughs: UT and DBT. Both troughs experience an onshore heat flux at the south edge (kilometre marker about 180 km and 480 km, for UT and DBT,

15   respectively) and an offshore heat flux at the north edge (kilometre marker 100 km to 120 km and 400 km to 420 km, for UT and DBT, respectively). In addition to modified Atlantic water travelling northward via the WGC, along the coast, this study shows that the warm waters are influenced by the bathymetry and are steered eastward into the trough towards the coast.

HighRes was able to capture an oceanic heat increase in UT and DBT in the early 2000s (2005 for UT and 2004 to 2007 for DBT). For UT there are specific events when the heat peaked up to ~ 0.3 TW, and at the end of 2005 there was a peak

20   heat flux of about 0.1 TW and then through 2010 to 2012 there are variable pulses (0.1 TW) with maximum in the winter of 2010–2011 with a value of 0.2 TW. There is a consistent heat flux onshore in DBT from 2004 to end of 2007, and an increase in the heat flux (values showing 0.4 TW) reaching a maximum in 2010 and then decreasing back towards 0.35 TW afterwards. The increased heat flux in years of 2004 to 2006 coincide with the disintegration of the JI floating tongue, and observed oceanic heat increase in Disko Bay (Holland et al., 2008).

25   ### 3.2.2 West coast: seasonal and interannual variation

The seasonality of the average onshore heat flux is shown in MVBCT (Fig. 5a). Late fall and early winter shows the maximum onshore heat flux with a peak in November. Through late winter to spring onshore heat flux is weakest, with the minimum in April. Years of 2004–2007, as indicated in a variety of blues, overall have less onshore heat flux. As time progresses, the onshore heat flux increases. 2011 and 2014 (as indicated in colours of pale green and orange) show the highest values of

30   onshore heat flux, reaching maximums of about 13 TW and 11 TW respectively. This indicates that more heat has been received into MVBCT in more recent years.

Further south in DBT (Fig. 5b), fall and winter seasons have higher onshore heat flux. However, earlier years (2004 to 2005) show above average onshore heat flux in the summer. A maximum onshore heat flux was identified in July and August of 2004 and 2005 (reaching values around 7 TW to 10 TW). However in other years, June and July have lower values of heat flux

(hovering close to 0 TW). This peak in 2004 to 2006 is shown in DBT (Fig. 4b). In 2011 there is a spike of onshore heat flux in December, reaching over 10 TW, then decreased in January (Fig. 5b). For UT, in 2011, there was also a peak onshore heat flux (Fig. 4b).

Observations at Davis Strait see a temperature maximum in August through to November (Curry et al., 2011). The results
5   show DBT received onshore heat flux earlier in the season in the period of 2004 to 2006, around June and July. As the years progressed in the model the timing of the maximum heat flux becomes later in the season, from September to January (Fig. 5b). These results show an early arrival in warm waters occurs at the time when JI melted rapidly (Holland et al., 2008). This may therefore have been due to not only increase in ocean heat but perhaps an arrival of warm waters earlier in the melt season.

3.2.3   South-east coast: mean state

10   The section drawn for Helheim (Fig. 4c) located off the south-east coast of Greenland, shows four unique features, Slump, HGT1, HGT2, and HGT3. For this section, HGT1 through to HGT3, at the north edge of the troughs (kilometre marker 100 km, 200 km, and 350 km) there is an onshore heat flux, and an offshore heat flux at the south edge (kilometre marker 175 km, 225 km, and 355 km). This identifies that there must be southward flowing warm water travelling along the south-east coast of Greenland, potentially drawn in from EGC, and the warm waters are again being bathymetrically steered westward along the
15   trough towards the coast. Slump shows an off shelf heat flux, oscillating from 0 TW to ∼- 0.5TW, potentially associated with lots of transient mixing and eddies.

The section drawn for KT (Fig. 4d), highlights the extent of this trough. On the north portion of the section, from about 25 km to 100 km there is evidence of mixing of signals of on and off shelf. At the 150 km mark, throughout the years, there is a consistent onshore heat flux of greater than 0.1 TW and similar in magnitude is an offshore heat flux on the south edge of the
20   trough. This trough appears to have the strongest onshore signal of the section. At the south portion of the section (from 225 km to 325 km) there is a lot of variability of on and offshore in space and time.

3.2.4   South-east coast: seasonal and interannual variation

For HGT2 (Fig. 5c), the period of August through to May has the weakest onshore heat flux. However, offshore heat flux occurs all year round making this location unique compared to all other regions. Observations from a fjord in south-east Greenland
25   showed that in the winter months the layer of Atlantic water is warmer than the summer (Straneo et al., 2011). Looking at HGT2 (Fig. 5c), from October to March there was large variability in the magnitude and direction of the heat flux. At KT (Fig. 5d), a peak of onshore heat flux occurs after August for most years. Summer onshore heat peaks occur in 2004, 2005, 2015, and 2016.

3.2.5   North-east coast: mean state

30   The section drawn for Scoresby Sund (Fig. 4e), shows Scoresby Sund Trough (SBST). It is again on the north edge of the maximum depth, at kilometre marker 110 km that there is a consistent signal for onshore heat flux of more than 0.025 TW. On

the north edge of the kilometre marker 20 km to 30 km, there is variability in the offshore heat flux. The middle of the section is where the heat is coming towards the coast.

The section drawn for 79NG (Fig. 4f), located north-east of Greenland, is drawn from north to south. On the north side of the trough, at around 400 km there is a pattern for onshore heat flux at different periods within the time series, and also similar

5 for 1000 km and 1100 km. This area's bathymetry is quite complex and the deeper regions such as kilometre marker, 40 km, and from 1000 km to 1100 km, has heat flux onshore. The onshore heat flux has a much smaller magnitude than any of the other sections, reaching its maximum value at about 0.04 TW.

3.2.6 North-east coast: seasonal and interannual variation

At SBST (Fig. 5e), onshore heat flux begins to increase in October and declines in April. Peak years include early 2005, then

10 2010 and 2011, with 2016 having a weaker onshore heat flux. A maximum of 10 TW is reached in 2005. At NT (Fig. 5f) the seasonality is not clear. A consistent growth in onshore heat flux occurs in August, with a minimum of heat flux in June. There is a lot of variability from 2004 to 2016, with maximum flux in 2004, 2005, and 2010 and strongest offshore heat flux occurring in January through May of 2015. From August to December, maximum onshore heat occurred in 2006, 2010, and 2014, with a maximum offshore heat flux in 2004, 2009 and 2007. From 2012 to 2016 there is a peak in February with a

15 decline in March and April. The heat flux then increases steadily to a maximum in September and October, where it then declines again. Therefore, for this region, the seasonality has changed throughout the time of the study. This could mean the state of the north-east GrIS may be influenced at different times by oceanic forcing.

3.2.7 Summary of onshore heat flux through coastal troughs

Of these six regions, the region closest to Irminger Sea, HGT2, receive the warmest water in June to September. The two

20 regions farther away from the NASPG on the west coast of Greenland (MVBCT and DBT), have warm water transported later due to the arrival of warm waters from the WGC. DBT has the largest onshore ocean heat flux from July to December. Further north, a later arrival occurs at MVBCT (September through December). On the north-east coast of Greenland, warm water is received from the NwAC. The transport through the three troughs peak in onshore heat flux thusly: KT from August to November, followed by SBST from November to April and the NT peaked from September to January. Therefore, HGT2

25 could receive warm water first from the Irminger Sea, then the WGC reaches DBT then MVBCT and the NwAC reaches KT, followed by SBST and NT. For the WGC branch, Carroll et al. (2018) identified the warmest and saltiest Irminger water in Davis Strait during summer months, this would align with the timing of the arrival of warm waters in the troughs along the west coast of Greenland, as their is a lagged time when the warm water is shown in these troughs, in summer or fall.

3.3 Contribution of the mean flow and its fluctuation

30 An ocean current can be broken down into two components, mean and fluctuation. Sect. 2.3 defines how the mean and fluctuation components are calculated (using Eq. (1)). Examining the mean and fluctuation components of the current will help

identify what processes drives the heat flux through the troughs (Fig. 6). This section will compare LowResControl, LowRes-DoubleMelt and HighRes.

For the west coast of Greenland, MVBCT and DBT show that the mean flow is crucial for bringing heat on the shelf (Fig. 6a and Fig. 6c). For MVBCT (Fig. 6b) the fluctuation component is negligible, approximately 0 TW with the mean component reaching a maximum of ~ 13 TW. LowResDoubleMelt transports just under 10 TW and LowResControl closer to 7 TW at the end of 2012. More GrIS melt brings more mean onshore heat flux through the majority of the period. From the end of 2005 the arrival of the heat flux occurs at the end of the year, consistent with Fig. 5a.

With DBT (Fig. 6d), the fluctuation component is less than the mean component. Mean peaks occurred throughout the period with interannual variability, with a maximum at the end of the year, consistent with Fig. 5b. For all experiments, at the end of 2004 and 2005, there was a peak in onshore mean heat flux. However the maximum onshore heat flux in HighRes, in 2004 and 2011 is 11 TW. HighRes resolves smaller scale features, which may prove to be important in determining the heat flux into the trough.

The south-east Greenland trough, HGT2, shows that the fluctuation component has transports between 0 TW to ~ 4 TW of onshore heat flux (Fig. 6f). The fluctuation is crucial for bringing heat onto the shelf especially for HighRes, as there is a large mean offshore heat flux through the study period (Fig. 6e). It is due to the mean velocity, normal to the section, that is driving the offshore heat exchange. The fluctuation component of the flow having an impact on the control of the oceanic heat is consistent with studies that have looked at strong wind events in this region bringing warm waters to the coast (Christoffersen et al., 2011). How winds may impact the ocean heat flux will be discussed later in this section.

For KT, both the mean component and fluctuation component contribute to the onshore heat flux similarly in both LowRes experiments (LowResControl and LowResDoubleMelt) (Fig. 6g and Fig. 6h). There was variability with on and offshore pulses with the mean and fluctuation components, though the fluctuation is larger for HighRes than the LowRes experiments. For HighRes, the mean onshore heat flux reaches a maximum at the end of 2004 and 2014 with values of approximately 14 TW, whereas the LowRes experiments reaches about 7 TW and 7 TW in those years. It is interesting to note the differences between HGT2 and KT, in HighRes, since they are located in close proximity to each other.

In the north-east at SBST (Fig. 6i) varying the meltwater or the resolution does not impact the mean onshore heat flux. The fluctuation of the heat flux (Fig. 6j) has little contribution onshore for most of the study period, though there is an increase from $\sim \pm 2$ TW at the end of 2010 in HighRes and ± 2.5 TW in 2016 in LowResDoubleMelt. However, mean onshore heat flux component is consistently higher for all simulations throughout the study period with peaks of ~ 10 TW in 2005. Peaks in the mean onshore heat flux occurs at the end of each year following into the beginning of the next year, consistent with the seasonality shown in Fig. 5e.

Further north at NT (Fig. 6k), the mean component dominates over the fluctuation component for onshore heat flux. The mean component carries heat offshore as well with values reaching over -3 TW compared to ~ 0.5 TW onshore. The fluctuation component also contributes to carrying heat towards the shelf, with values reaching ~ 0.2 TW (Fig. 6l).

To see what is happening further off shelf, a section was drawn called NToff (Fig. 1). Now there exists stronger onshore pulses of the mean heat flux (values reach 2 TW or up to as high at 4 TW) (Fig. 6m). Most onshore mean heat flux pulses

occur at the end of each year though maximums of 4 TW occurred at the beginning of 2005, and end of 2011 into 2012. Like in NT, the mean heat flux still contributes to the offshore component. There is not much different between the fluctuation of the heat flux between NT and NToff (Fig. 6n).

The percent difference of the annual summation of the onshore heat through NToff verus NT is 5.3 %, 6.5 %, and 6.3 %

5 for HighRes, LowResDoubleMelt, and LowResControl, respectively. Therefore, NToff has more heat travelling through the section than NT. This may be to do the deepening off shelf allowing for warm waters to enter this region, and not closer to the shelf where the bathymetry shallows.

3.4 Impact of enhanced Greenland meltwater

Through each section, the annual average onshore heat flux and the total onshore heat flux was calculated for the study period

10 (2004 to 2016). A comparison between the experiments were made for each sector (west includes Melville Bay, Disko Bay, south-east includes Helheim and Kangerdlussuaq, north-east includes Scoresby Sund, 79NG sections) (Table 2). With double the meltwater, the west sector had a 37 % increase in onshore heat flux. It appears that this mechanism (increase of heat flux with an increase in meltwater) is not as strong or reproduced in any other sector (-5 % and 9 % for south-east and north-east sectors).

15 For Melville Bay in LowResControl (Fig. 7a), a warm core of water exists at depths 100 m to 400 m, with a maximum (kilometre marker 500 km) in MVBST reaching almost $2^{\circ}C$. In LowResDoubleMelt (Fig. 7b), the warm water core temperature increased and MVBST reaches temperatures closer to $3^{\circ}C$. The cold water layer in LowResDoubleMelt thinned more than in the LowResControl. For Disko Bay, both deep troughs (UT and DBT) hold warmer water in LowResDoubleMelt ($3^{\circ}C$, Fig. 7d) than in LowResControl ($\sim 2^{\circ}C$, Fig. 7c). The maximum increase occurred in a warm core in both troughs, UT and DBT

20 (at kilometre marker 150 km and 400 km), with a depth of 150 m to 350 m. The cooler water layer at the surface again thinned in LowResDoubleMelt (Fig. 7c).

Previous studies, from a variety of scales of modelling, have shown that addtional freshwater can increase the presence of heat to a region. In the ocean, if GrIS melt increases, it may add more energetic plume dynamics along a glacier face and increase the strength of the thermohaline circulation in fjords. Cai et al. (2017) showed in a 2–D model, ran for on year, with

25 ice shelf melt derived from observed melt rates for Petermann Glacier, that an increase in thermohaline circulation in the fjord can bring more heat and salt towards the ice sheet (Cai et al., 2017). Castro de la Guardia et al. (2015) used a regional ocean model to set up eight sensitivity experiments, adjusting melt rates from the GrIS and ran for a period of 10 years. Grivault et al. (2017) also used a regional ocean model, and had interannual runoff and had experiments run for a 40 year period. With an increase in the GrIS melt, the heat content increases in Baffin Bay (Castro de la Guardia et al., 2015; Grivault et al., 2017).

30 Of all the regions around Greenland, Baffin Bay is a unique system, as it responds to an increase in the GrIS melt in a different way than any other region around Greenland. Identifying that ocean temperatures in troughs in Baffin Bay are indeed warming with increasing the GrIS melt provides further support to Castro de la Guardia et al. (2015) work but this study provides more realistic experiments and detailed locations. Therefore in a climate change scenario, with the GrIS continuing

to melt, Baffin Bay's ocean heat may increase the most compared to other regions around Greenland. Thus increasing the potential for glaciers to continue to melt, impacting climate, SLR, and ecosystems.

3.5 Impact of high frequency atmospheric events

A question of how the atmospheric variability may impact the region of HG for renewing heat from the shelf has been discussed
5   in previous observational studies (Straneo et al., 2010; Christoffersen et al., 2011). Section 3.1 showed that regions with less sea ice may have more sensitivity to atmospheric forcing, such as the region of the south-east. How does filtering out storms,where winds and the associated temperatures are impacted, affect the high variability in the south-east? A comparison of LowResControl and LowResNoStorms will be shown (where the atmospheric filter has been applied Sect. 2.2.3).

Figure 8a shows the EKE for the south-east region using LowResNoStorms. LowResControl (Fig. 3e) had EKE values
10   reaching 4×10^{-3} m^2 s^{-2}. However, LowResNoStorms EKE peaks in magnitudes of 2.5×10^{-3} m^2 s^{-2}, i.e. turbulent energy is reduced by about half. The bifurcation of the energy near HGT2 is not as strong as it was for LowResControl. It appears that filtering out storms, decreases the EKE strength in the south-east region.

Figure 8b and Fig. 8c show the trend of mean heat flux and fluctuation of the heat flux on or off shelf component for HGT2 with the LowResNoStorms. The mean heat flux appears to be smaller in LowResNoStorms than LowResControl. The
15   LowResNoStorms fluctuation component of the onshore heat flux reached values closer to 10 TW in 2004 to end of 2007. LowResControl had onshore heat flux values greater than 5 TW in 2004, 2010, 2015 and 2016. The fluctuation component of the heat flux is smaller with the LowResNoStorms. Therefore, less storms decreases the fluctuation component of the heat flux and increases the mean component of the heat flux. Therefore, less storms may increase the overall onshore heat flux into HGT2, as the changes in mean values exceed the changes fluctuation values ($\sim$ 5 TW vs $\sim$ 1 TW).

20   The summation of the onshore heat flux from 2004 to 2016 has been calculated and compared between LowResControl and LowResNoStorms. LowResNoStorms has a total of 2260.2 TW, and the LowResControl is $\sim$ 18 % less, with a total of 1914.5 TW. This extra 345.7 TW could have the potential to melt 1037.1 kilotons of ice per second. Therefore this increase in total onshore heat flux might be due to less heat being transferred off the shelf due to high variability atmospheric forcing.

3.6 Source of warm water reaching the marine terminating glaciers

25   **West coast**

As described in Sect. 3.1, the west coast of Greenland has fast marine terminating glaciers, such as Kong Oscar and JI. This study shows that the Irminger water's influence on the GrIS can extend far north into Baffin Bay, reaching Melville Bay and its subsequent troughs. In Fig. 9a and Fig. 9b, Lagrangian trajectories show that warm waters (T>0° C, modified Atlantic water), sourced from the Irminger Sea, supplies heat to the west coast of Greenland. In both figures the highest probability
30   (values greater than 0.1 %) of warm waters come directly from the troughs. Warm water in Melville Bay (Fig. 9a), was found to be sourced from the Irminger Sea, which had travelled via the WGC into Baffin Bay as West Greenland Irminger Water, as well as from north of Iceland, following the NwAC. These warm waters had travelled along the shelf of the south-east and

south-west Greenland, following the bathymetric features with the boundary currents to then reach the north-west coast of Greenland. There is a small likelihood that warm water found near Melville Bay would come from Fram Strait or the CAA. Further south on the north-west coast, near Disko Bay, Fig. 9b, warm water travelled a similar route as it did to reach Melville Bay. Warm water found north of Iceland has a higher probability to enter Disko Bay than it did to enter Melville Bay. This

5 could be due to the timing of the five year trajectories, as the warm water reaching further north (to Melville Bay) will have a longer distance to travel and endure more modification and cooling. It is evident that the Irminger Sea plays a vital role in sourcing heat to the west coast of Greenland, even in the far north of Baffin Bay (Straneo and Heimbach, 2013). Water mass changes and temperature fluctuations in the Iceland Sea may thus have more impact on glaciers that terminate into the fjord systems that reach Disko Bay and not further north into Melville Bay. Beyond the scope of this study would be to look how

10 how the glaciers have been changing in the CAA and if warm water can be seen in the troughs or fjords in this region.

South-east coast

As described in Sect. 3.1, in the south-east region there are two large glaciers of interest HG and KG. The south-east coast of Greenland, where HG and KG are located, receives warm water differently than Baffin Bay. In Fig. 9c and Fig. 9e, it is shown that the Irminger Sea plays a more indirect role in supplying heat to these regions. HG (Fig. 9c) receives its warm water

15 from the Iceland Sea with a higher probability of waters sourced through the Fram Strait. This warm water from Fram Strait has travelled via the NwAC along the shelf break into the Iceland Sea, then along the east coast along the shelf via the EGC, feeding into the troughs. There does exist a low percentage of warm water travelling north from the Irminger Sea towards the shelf, directly feeding into the troughs towards Sermilik Fjord. Further north at KG, warm water has a very low likelihood that it will be supplied from south of Iceland. This is consistent with Azetsu-Scott and Tan (1997); Jiskoot et al. (2012), that

20 Irminger Current's influence diminishes north of $67°N$ due to Denmark Strait. HG is located $\sim 65°$ N, and KG at $\sim 67°$ N.

Warm waters that KG (Fig. 9d) receives are sourced similarly as explained for HG, from the Fram Strait. Figure 9d shows more clearly that the Fram Strait water is most likely recirculated Atlantic water that has travelled across the Iceland-Scotland Ridge and continued as the NwAC. The recirculated Atlantic water travelled south, shoaling and travelling onto the shelf to KG, and reaches the trough, KT, supplying the coast with warm water.

25 **North-east coast**

As described in Sect. 3.1, in the north-east, Daugaard-Jensen Glacier terminates into Scoresby Sund and 79NG terminates into the sound of Jøkelbugten, north-east of Greenland. Scoresby Sund differs from the previously mentioned KG paths, as it is more defined (Fig. 9e). This warm water has either been recirculated via NwAC and travelled through the Fram Strait, or is water from the Arctic which has travelled along the coast of Canada, another possible route of Pacific Water as seen in Hu and

30 Myers (2013). If the warm water has been recirculated via NwAC it has travelled along the shelf break at a depth of ~ 300 m, and if it has travelled from the Arctic, this water travelled east, at a depth of ~ 550 m along the Canadian Shelf and into the Fram Strait.

Warm water that travels to 79NG (seen in Fig. 9f) follows the shallow bathymetry of the north-east Greenland shelf. Warm waters which have been fed through the Fram Strait are most likely to be received south through NT, as seen in Wilson and Straneo (2015); Schaffer et al. (2017). It appears that this location has the highest chance of receiving warm waters from the Arctic. It is possible that these warm waters may be sourced from the Arctic, being modified Atlantic waters or potentially

5   the Pacific Water, by travelling through the Arctic via the transpolar route (Hu and Myers, 2013; Dmitrenko et al., 2019). This highlights the importance of ocean properties in the Fram Strait and how they may impact marine terminating glaciers on the north-east coast. Therefore, a change in water mass at this location may impact the marine terminating glaciers on the north-east and east coast of Greenland.

4   Conclusions

10   The oceanic heat available in Greenland's troughs is dependent on both the location of the trough, variability of the warm water origin, how the water is transformed as it travels to the troughs, as well as local processes occurring, such as heat loss to the atmosphere. It is important to understand the processes that bring this warm water to the shelf and into the troughs, as this water can be then exchanged into the fjords. Warm water that exists in fjords create an oceanic heat forcing on the marine terminating glaciers (Cai et al., 2017; Rignot et al., 2016b; Wood et al., 2018). To our knowledge this is the first look at changes

15   in heat flux in troughs that are connected to fjords with marine terminating glaciers.

The study's model experiments showed that Melville Bay troughs experienced a warming following 2009. Therefore an increase in ocean heat presence in these troughs may have driven more heat to glaciers that terminate here. In 2004 to 2006, model experiments captured an increase in onshore heat flux in DBT, coinciding with the timing of the disintegration of JI floating tounge and observed ocean heat increase in Disko Bay (Holland et al., 2008).

20   This study showed that the Irminger water can extend far north into Baffin Bay, reaching as north as Melville Bay and its subsequent troughs. The Irminger water's influence on the east coast of the GrIS diminishes north of $\sim 67° N$ (as Azetsu-Scott and Tan (1997); Jiskoot et al. (2012) have shown). Warm waters are replaced by sources from Fram Strait either of recirculated Atlantic Water via Nordic Seas as Wilson and Straneo (2015); Schaffer et al. (2017) have seen and or Pacific Water, which other studies have shown, exported through Fram Strait (Dodd et al., 2012; Hu and Myers, 2013; Dmitrenko et al., 2019).

25   Seasonality of the maximum onshore heat flux through troughs around the GrIS differs due to distance away from the original warm water source. The seasonality of the maximum onshore heat flux through all six regions were presented. For the Irminger Current influence the peaks begin: June for HGT2, July for DBT and September for MVBCT. Then for the areas receiving warm water from the NwAC: August for KT, November for SBS, and September to January for NT.

The EKE was shown to have the highest values along shelf breaks and changes in bathymetry. This study found that the

30   south-east region has the highest values of EKE. Weak EKE off the north-west and north-east coast may be due to semi-permanent sea ice cover. This study also found that Baffin Bay troughs (MVBCT and DBT) and SBST received onshore heat flux through the mean component of the ocean flow. South-east Greenland troughs (HGT2 and KT) and NT received onshore

heat flux through the fluctuation component of the ocean flow. NToff receives more onshore heat flux than NT due to having deeper bathymetry offshore.

The south-east region has the highest EKE as well as stronger sensitivity with changes in atmospheric conditions than all other regions. Therefore the south-east coast of Greenland is impacted the most by the atmospheric filter (i.e. no storms). No storms resulted in a reduction of EKE (~ 50 %) and less offshore heat transport and therefore more heat flux (~ 20 %) through the Helheim glacier trough (HGT2).

It is imperative to try to understand how sensitive the ocean is to additional meltwater from Greenland. Baffin Bay is a unique system, as it responds to an increase in the GrIS melt in a different way than any other region around Greenland. Baffin Bay troughs will bring more heat (~ 40 %) towards the GrIS if the GrIS freshwater flux doubles. This study shows that a doubling of the GrIS melt may cause a warming in Baffin Bay and an increase in heat flux through troughs, potentially escalating the melt of the GrIS, consistent with Castro de la Guardia et al. (2015) but now in a more realistic set–up with Greenland meltwater temporally and spatially distributed.

Since ~~global or regional ocean models~~ do not have the capability to resolve small scale processes such as fjord circulation, the exchange between fjords and troughs cannot be looked into. Instead, there is an assumption in place, that the water characteristics that exist in the troughs will match those in the fjords due to dynamics of cross shelf exchanges (Jackson et al., 2014; Sutherland et al., 2014). A warming of ocean heat in troughs may lead to a warming of ocean heat to fjords. Due to the model bathymetry under representing the depth of these troughs, this study may be underestimating the amount of ocean heat available to enter these troughs. Ocean models should take advantage of recent bathymetric data sets to improve their models bathymetry such as BedMachineV3 (Morlighem et al., 2017). ~~Beyond the scope of this study would be to look how the glaciers have been changing in the CAA and if warm water can be seen in the troughs or fjords in that region.~~ Additionally, the study only looked at the impact from the freshwater flux of the GrIS. The inclusion of an iceberg model coupled with an ocean model may give further insight to the heat and freshwater budget in regions of high GrIS discharge, such as explained in Marson et al. (2018).

Author contributions. L.G. and P.M. designed the study and L.G. carried it out. X.H. developed the model configuration and performed the simulations. L.G. prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing interests. No competing interest are present.

Disclaimer. TEXT

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Yarisbel Garcia Quintana for carrying out the LowResNoStorm experiment. We would like to thank the Compute Canada staff for providing and maintaining the high performance computing system which our simulations took place on. We gratefully acknowledge the financial and logistic support of grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. These include Discovery Grant (rgpin227438) awarded to Dr. P.G. Myers, Climate Change and Atmospheric Research

5 Grant (VITALS - RGPCC 433898), and an International Create (ArcTrain - 432295).

References

Aagaard, K. and Carmack, E. C.: The role of sea ice and other fresh water in the Arctic circulation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 94, 14 485–14 498, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC094iC10p14485, 1989.

Aksenov, Y., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., and Holliday, N. P.: Polar outflow from the Arctic Ocean: A high resolution model study, Journal of Marine Systems, 83, 14 – 37, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.06.007, 2010.

Amante, C. and Eakins, B.: ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis, NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, https://doi.org/10.7289/V5C8276M, 2009.

An, L., Rignot, E., Elieff, S., Morlighem, M., Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Holland, D. M., Holland, D., and Paden, J.: Bed elevation of Jakobshavn Isbrae, West Greenland, from high-resolution airborne gravity and other data, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 3728–3736, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073245, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073245, 2017GL073245, 2017.

Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., Castelao, R. M., Luo, H., Rennermalm, s. K., Tedesco, M., Mote, T. L., Oliver, H., and Yager, P. L.: Melting glaciers stimulate large summer phytoplankton blooms in southwest Greenland waters, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 6278–6285, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073583, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017GL073583, 2017.

Azetsu-Scott, K. and Tan, F. C.: Oxygen isotope studies from Iceland to an East Greenland Fjord: behaviour of glacial meltwater plume, Marine Chemistry, 56, 239 – 251, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(96)00078-3, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304420396000783, modern Chemical and Biological Oceanography: The Influence of Peter J. Wangersky, 1997.

Bacon, S., Marshall, A., Holliday, N. P., Aksenov, Y., and Dye, S. R.: Seasonal variability of the East Greenland Coastal Current, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119, 3967–3987, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009279, 2014.

Bamber, J., Van Den Broeke, M., Ettema, J., Lenaerts, J., and Rignot, E.: Recent large increases in freshwater fluxes from Greenland into the North Atlantic, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 2012.

Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Hurkmans, R. T. W. L., Dowdeswell, J. A., Gogineni, S. P., Howat, I., Mouginot, J., Paden, J., Palmer, S., Rignot, E., and Steinhage, D.: A new bed elevation dataset for Greenland, The Cryosphere, 7, 499–510, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-499-2013, 2013.

Barnier, B., Brodeau, L., Le Sommer, J., Molines, J., Penduff, T., Theetten, S., Treguier, A. M., Madec, G., Biastoch, A., Bőning, C., Dengg, J., Gulev, S., Bourdallé, B. R., Chanut, J., Garric, G., Alderson, S., Coward, A., de Cuevas, B., New, A., Haines, K., Smith, G., Drijfhout, S., Hazeleger, W., Severijns, C., and Myers, P.: Eddy-permitting ocean circulation hindcasts of past decades, CLIVAR Exchanges, 12, 8–10, 2007.

Bartholomaus, T. C., Stearns, L. A., Sutherland, D. A., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Walker, R. T., Catania, G., Felikson, D., Carroll, D., Fried, M. J., and et al.: Contrasts in the response of adjacent fjords and glaciers to ice-sheet surface melt in West Greenland, Annals of Glaciology, 57, 25–38, https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2016.19, 2016.

Bernard, B., Madec, G., Penduff, T., Molines, J.-M., Treguier, A.-M., Le Sommer, J., Beckmann, A., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Dengg, J., Derval, C., Durand, E., Gulev, S., Remy, E., Talandier, C., Theetten, S., Maltrud, M., McClean, J., and De Cuevas, B.: Impact of partial steps and momentum advection schemes in a global ocean circulation model at eddy-permitting resolution, Ocean Dynamics, 56, 543–567, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0082-1, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0082-1, 2006.

Beszczynska-Möller, A., Fahrbach, E., Schauer, U., and Hansen, E.: Variability in Atlantic water temperature and transport at the entrance to the Arctic Ocean, 1997–2010, ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss056, 2012.

Blanke, B. and Raynaud, S.: Kinematics of the Pacific Equatorial Undercurrent: An Eulerian and Lagrangian approach from GCM results, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 27, 1038–1053, 1997.

Blanke, B., Arhan, M., Madec, G., and Roche, S.: Warm water paths in the equatorial Atlantic as diagnosed with a general circulation model, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 29, 2753–2768, 1999.

5 BODC: British Oceanographic Data Center's General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans, 2008.

Boning, C. W., Behrens, E., Biastoch, A., Getzlaff, K., and Bamber, J. L.: Emerging impact of Greenland meltwater on deepwater formation in the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature Geosci, 9, 523–527, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2740, 2016.

Box, J. E.and Yang, L., Bromwich, D. H., and Bai, L.: Greenland Ice Sheet Surface Air Temperature Variability: 1840–2007, Journal of Climate, 22, 4029–4049, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2816.1, 2009.

10 Cai, C., Rignot, E., Menemenlis, D., and Nakayama, Y.: Observations and modeling of ocean-induced melt beneath Petermann Glacier Ice Shelf in northwestern Greenland, Geophysical Research Letters, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073711, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073711, 2017.

Carroll, D., Sutherland, D. A., Curry, B., Nash, J. D., Shroyer, E. L., Catania, G. A., Stearns, L. A., Grist, J. P., Lee, C. M., and de Steur, L.: Subannual and Seasonal Variability of Atlantic-Origin Waters in Two Adjacent West Greenland Fjords, Journal of Geo-
15 physical Research: Oceans, 123, 6670–6687, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014278, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JC014278, 2018.

Castro de la Guardia, L., Hu, X., and Myers, P. G.: Potential positive feedback between Greenland Ice Sheet melt and Baffin Bay heat content on the west Greenland shelf, Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 4922–4930, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064626, 2015.

Christoffersen, P., Mugford, R. I., Heywood, K. J., Joughin, I., Dowdeswell, J. A., Syvitski, J. P. M., Luckman, A., and Benham, T. J.:
20 Warming of waters in an East Greenland fjord prior to glacier retreat: Mechanisms and connection to large-scale atmospheric conditions, Cryosphere, 5, 701–714, 2011.

Csatho, B. M., Schenk, A. F., van der Veen, C. J., Babonis, G., Duncan, K., Rezvanbehbahani, S., van den Broeke, M. R., Simonsen, S. B., Nagarajan, S., and van Angelen, J. H.: Laser altimetry reveals complex pattern of Greenland Ice Sheet dynamics, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 18 478–18 483, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411680112, http://www.pnas.org/content/111/52/
25 18478, 2014.

Curry, B., Lee, C. M., and Petrie, B.: Volume, Freshwater, and Heat Fluxes through Davis Strait, 2004–05, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 41, 429–436, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4536.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4536.1, 2011.

Dai, A., Qian, T., Trenberth, K. E., and Milliman, J. D.: Changes in continental freshwater discharge from 1948 to 2004, Journal of Climate, 22, 2773–2792, 2009.

30 Dmitrenko, I. A., Kirillov, S. A., Rudels, B., Babb, D. G., Myers, P. G., Stedmon, C. A., Bendtsen, J., Ehn, J. K., Pedersen, L. T., Rysgaard, S., and Barber, D. G.: Variability of the Pacific-Derived Arctic Water Over the Southeastern Wandel Sea Shelf (Northeast Greenland) in 2015–2016, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 349–373, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014567, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JC014567, 2019.

Dodd, P. A., Rabe, B., Hansen, E., Falck, E., Mackensen, A., Rohling, E., Stedmon, C., and Kristiansen, S.: The freshwater compo-
35 sition of the Fram Strait outflow derived from a decade of tracer measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008011, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2012JC008011, 2012.

Dukhovskoy, D. S., Myers, P. G., Platov, G., Timmermans, M.-L., Curry, B., Proshutinsky, A., Bamber, J. L., Chassignet, E., Hu, X., Lee, C. M., and Somavilla, R.: Greenland freshwater pathways in the sub-Arctic Seas from model experiments with passive tracers, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011290, 2016.

Felikson, D., Bartholomaus, T. C., Catania, G. A., Korsgaard, N. J., Kjær, K. H., Morlighem, M., Noël, B., van den Broeke, M., Stearns,
5   L. A., Shroyer, E. L., Sutherland, D. A., and Nash, J. D.: Inland thinning on the Greenland Ice Sheet controlled by outlet glacier geometry, Nature Geoscience, 10, 366–369, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2934, 2017.

Fenty, I., Willis, J. K., Khazendar, A., Dinardo, S., Forsberg, R., Fukumori, I., Holland, D., Jakobsson, M., Moller, D., Münchow, J. M. A., Rignot, E., Schodlok, M., Thompson, A. F., Tinto, K., Rutherford, M., and Trenholm, N.: Oceans Melting Greenland: Early Results from NASA's Ocean-Ice Mission in Greenland , Oceanography, 29, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.100, 2016.

10   Ferry, N., Greiner, E., Garric, G., Penduff, T., Treiguier, A.-M., and Reverdin, G.: GLORYS-1 Reference Manual for Stream 1 (2002-2007), GLORYS project report, 2008.

Fichefet, T. and Morales Maqueda, M.: Sensitivity of a global sea ice model to the treatment of ice thermodynamics and dynamics, Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 12 609–12 646, 1997.

Fratantoni, P. S. and Pickart, R. S.: The western North Atlantic shelfbreak current system in summer, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 37,
15   2509–2533, 2007.

Garcia Quintana, Y., Courtois, P., Hu, X., Pennelly, C., Kieke, D., and Myers, P. G.: Sensitivity of Labrador Sea Water formation to changes in model resolution, atmospheric forcing and freshwater input, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 0, 2019.

Gillard, L. C., Hu, X., Myers, P. G., and Bamber, J. L.: Meltwater pathways from marine terminating glaciers of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 10,873–10,882, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070969, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070969, 2016.

20   Gladish, C., Holland, D., and Lee, C.: Oceanic boundary conditions for Jakobshavn Glacier. Part II: Provenance and sources of variability of disko bay and Ilulissat Icefjord waters, 1990-2011, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 45, 33–63, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-14-0045.1, 2015.

Grivault, N., Hu, X., and Myers, P. G.: Evolution of Baffin Bay Water Masses and Transports in a Numerical Sensitivity Experiment under Enhanced Greenland Melt, Atmosphere-Ocean, 55, 169–194, https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2017.1333950, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/
25   07055900.2017.1333950, 2017.

Hogan, K. A., Cofaigh, C. ., Jennings, A. E., Dowdeswell, J. A., and Hiemstra, J. F.: Deglaciation of a major palaeo-ice stream in Disko Trough, West Greenland, Quaternary Science Reviews, 147, 5 – 26, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.018, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0277379116300208, special Issue: PAST Gateways (Palaeo-Arctic Spatial and Temporal Gateways), 2016.

30   Holdsworth, A. M. and Myers, P. G.: The Influence of High-Frequency Atmospheric Forcing on the Circulation and Deep Convection of the Labrador Sea, Journal of Climate, 28, 4980–4996, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00564.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00564.1, 2015.

Holland, D. M., Thomas, R. H., De Young, B., Ribergaard, M. H., and Lyberth, B.: Acceleration of Jakobshavn Isbrae triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters, Nature Geoscience, 1, 659–664, 2008.

35   Hu, X. and Myers, P. G.: A Lagrangian view of Pacific water inflow pathways in the Arctic Ocean during model spin-up, Ocean Modelling, 71, 66 – 80, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.06.007, 2013.

Inall, M. E., Murray, T., Cottier, F. R., Scharrer, K., Boyd, T. J., Heywood, K. J., and Bevan, S. L.: Oceanic heat delivery via Kangerdlugssuaq Fjord to the south-east Greenland Ice Sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119, 631–645, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009295, 2014.

Jackson, R. H., Straneo, F., and Sutherland, D. A.: Externally forced fluctuations in ocean temperature at Greenland glaciers in non-summer months, Nature Geoscience, 7, 503–508, 2014.

Jenkins, A.: Convection-driven melting near the grounding lines of ice shelves and tidewater glaciers, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 41, 2279–2294, 2011.

Jiskoot, H., Juhlin, D., St Pierre, H., and Citterio, M.: Tidewater glacier fluctuations in central East Greenland coastal and fjord regions (1980s-2005), Annals of Glaciology, 53, 35–44, https://doi.org/10.3189/2012AoG60A030, 2012.

Joughin, I., Alley, R. B., and Holland, D. M.: Ice-Sheet Response to Oceanic Forcing, Science, 338, 1172–1176, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226481, 2012.

Karcher, M., Beszczynska-Möller, A., Kauker, F., Gerdes, R., Heyen, S., Rudels, B., and Schauer, U.: Arctic Ocean warming and its consequences for the Denmark Strait overflow, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006265, 2011.

Luo, H., Castelao, R. M., Rennermalm, A. K., Tedesco, M., Bracco, A., Yager, P. L., and Mote, T. L.: Oceanic transport of surface meltwater from the southern Greenland Ice Sheet, Nature Geoscience, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2708, 2016.

Madec, G.: NEMO ocean engine, Note du Pole de modélisation, 2008.

Marson, J. M., Myers, P. G., Hu, X., and Le Sommer, J.: Using Vertically Integrated Ocean Fields to Characterize Greenland Icebergs' Distribution and Lifetime, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 4208–4217, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077676, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL077676, 2018.

MEOM: Bathymetry ORCA0.25, http://servdap.legi.grenoble-inp.fr/meom/ORCA025-I/, 2013.

Moon, T., Joughin, I., and Smith, B.: Seasonal to multiyear variability of glacier surface velocity, terminus position, and sea ice/ice mélange in northwest Greenland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120, 818–833, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003494, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JF003494, 2015.

Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., Catania, G., Chauché, N., Dowdeswell, J. A., Dorschel, B., Fenty, I., Hogan, K., Howat, I., Hubbard, A., Jakobsson, M., Jordan, T. M., Kjeldsen, K. K., Millan, R., Mayer, L., Mouginot, J., Noël, B. P. Y., O'Cofaigh, C., Palmer, S., Rysgaard, S., Seroussi, H., Siegert, M. J., Slabon, P., Straneo, F., van den Broeke, M. R., Weinrebe, W., Wood, M., and Zinglersen, K. B.: BedMachine v3: Complete Bed Topography and Ocean Bathymetry Mapping of Greenland From Multibeam Echo Sounding Combined With Mass Conservation, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 11,051–11,061, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017GL074954, 2017.

Myers, P. G. and Ribergaard, M. H.: Warming of the polar water layer in Disko Bay and potential impact on Jakobshavn Isbrae, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 43, 2629–2640, 2013.

Myers, P. G., Donnelly, C., and Ribergaard, M. H.: Structure and variability of the West Greenland Current in Summer derived from 6 repeat standard sections, Progress in Oceanography, 80, 93–112, 2009.

Porter, D. F., Tinto, K. J., Boghosian, A., Cochran, J. R., Bell, R. E., Manizade, S. S., and Sonntag, J. G.: Bathymetric control of tidewater glacier mass loss in northwest Greenland, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 401, 40 – 46, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.058, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X14003744, 2014.

Rastner, P., Bolch, T., Mölg, N., Machguth, H., Le Bris, R., and Paul, F.: The first complete inventory of the local glaciers and ice caps on Greenland, The Cryosphere, 6, 1483–1495, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1483-2012, https://www.the-cryosphere.net/6/1483/2012/, 2012.

Rignot, E. and Kanagaratnam, P.: Changes in the velocity structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Science, 311, 986–990, 2006.

Rignot, E. and Mouginot, J.: Ice flow in Greenland for the International Polar Year 2008-2009, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 2012.

Rignot, E., Fenty, I., Xu, Y., Cai, C., Velicogna, I., Cofaigh, C. ., Dowdeswell, J. A., Weinrebe, W., Catania, G., and Duncan, D.: Bathymetry data reveal glaciers vulnerable to ice-ocean interaction in Uummannaq and Vaigat glacial fjords, west Greenland, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 2667–2674, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067832, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067832, 2016GL067832, 2016a.

Rignot, E., Xu, Y., Menemenlis, D., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Li, X., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., den Broeke, M. v., Fenty, I., Cai, C., An, L., and Fleurian, B. d.: Modeling of ocean-induced ice melt rates of five west Greenland glaciers over the past two decades, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 6374–6382, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068784, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016GL068784, 2016b.

Schaffer, J., von Appen, W.-J., Dodd, P. A., Hofstede, C., Mayer, C., de Steur, L., and Kanzow, T.: Warm water pathways toward Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden Glacier, Northeast Greenland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 4004–4020, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012462, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JC012462, 2017.

Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Larour, E., Aubry, D., Ben Dhia, H., and Kristensen, S. S.: Ice flux divergence anomalies on 79north Glacier, Greenland, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047338, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047338, l09501, 2011.

Slabon, P., Dorschel, B., Jokat, W., Myklebust, R., Hebbeln, D., and Gebhardt, C.: Greenland ice sheet retreat history in the northeast Baffin Bay based on high-resolution bathymetry, Quaternary Science Reviews, 154, 182 – 198, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.10.022, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0277379116304930, 2016.

Smith, G. C., Roy, F., Mann, P., Dupont, F., Brasnett, B., Lemieux, J.-F., Laroche, S., and Bélair, S.: A new atmospheric dataset for forcing ice-ocean models: Evaluation of reforecasts using the Canadian global deterministic prediction system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 140, 881–894, 2014.

Smith, W. H. F. and Sandwell, D. T.: Global seafloor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings, Science, 277, 1957–1962, 1997.

Straneo, F.: Heat and freshwater transport through the central Labrador Sea, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 36, 606–628, 2006.

Straneo, F. and Heimbach, P.: North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's outlet glaciers, Nature, 504, 36–43, 2013.

Straneo, F., Hamilton, G., Sutherland, D., Stearns, L. A., Davidson, F., Hammill, M., Stenson, G. B., and A., R.: Rapid circulation of warm subtropical waters in a major glacial fjord in East Greenland, Nature Geosci, 3, 182–186),, 2010.

Straneo, F., Curry, R. G., Sutherland, D. A., Hamilton, G. S., Cenedese, C., Våge, K., and Stearns, L. A.: Impact of fjord dynamics and glacial runoff on the circulation near Helheim Glacier, Nature Geoscience, 4, 322–327, 2011.

Straneo, F., Sutherland, D. A., Holland, D., Gladish, C., Hamilton, G. S., Johnson, H. L., Rignot, E., Xu, Y., and Koppes, M.: Characteristics of ocean waters reaching Greenland's glaciers, Annals of Glaciology, 53, 202–210, 2012.

Sutherland, D. A., Straneo, F., and Pickart, R. S.: Characteristics and dynamics of two major Greenland glacial fjords, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119, 3767–3791, 2014.

Swingedouw, D., Rodehacke, C. B., Olsen, S. M., Menary, M., Gao, Y., Mikolajewicz, U., and Mignot, J.: On the reduced sensitivity of the Atlantic overturning to Greenland Ice Sheet melting in projections: a multi-model assessment, Climate Dynamics, 2014.

van den Broeke, M. R., Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., and Wouters, B.: On the recent contribution of the Greenland Ice Sheet to sea level change, The Cryosphere, 10, 1933–1946, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1933-2016, https://www.the-cryosphere.net/10/1933/2016/, 2016.

Weijer, W., Maltrud, M. E., Hecht, M. W., Dijkstra, H. A., and Kliphuis, M. A.: Response of the Atlantic Ocean circulation to Greenland Ice
5   Sheet melting in a strongly-eddying ocean model, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 2012.

Williams, C. N., Cornford, S. L., Jordan, T. M., Dowdeswell, J. A., Siegert, M. J., Clark, C. D., Swift, D. A., Sole, A., Fenty, I., and Bamber, J. L.: Generating synthetic fjord bathymetry for coastal Greenland, The Cryosphere, 11, 363–380, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-363-2017, https://www.the-cryosphere.net/11/363/2017/, 2017.

Wilson, N. J. and Straneo, F.: Water exchange between the continental shelf and the cavity beneath Nioghalvfjerdsbrae (79 North Glacier),
10   Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 7648–7654, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064944, 2015.

Wood, M., Rignot, E., Fenty, I., Menemenlis, D., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Mouginot, J., and Seroussi, H.: Ocean-Induced Melt Triggers Glacier Retreat in Northwest Greenland, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 8334–8342, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078024, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL078024, 2018.

Zurbenko, I., Porter, P. S., Gui, R., Rao, S. T., Ku, J. Y., and Eskridge, R. E.: Detecting Discontinuities in Time Series of Upper-Air
15   Data: Development and Demonstration of an Adaptive Filter Technique, Journal of Climate, 9, 3548–3560, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<3548:DDITSO>2.0.CO;2, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<3548:DDITSO>2.0.CO;2, 1996.

Figure 1. Ocean circulation around Greenland, with relatively warm Atlantic waters are seen in red, modified Atlantic waters in yellow and Arctic and freshwater pathways in blue lines. The large map shows areas that will be discussed throughout this study such as the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre (NASPG), Labrador Sea, Davis Strait, Baffin Bay, Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA), Arctic Ocean, West European Basins, Norwegian Sea, Greenland Sea, and Fram Strait, Cape Farwell (CF). Ocean currents that will be discussed are shown here, Irminger Current (IC), Norwegian Atlantic Current (NwAC), East Greenland Current (EGC), and West Greenland Current (WGC). The light grey circles show the locations of six marine terminating glaciers. Kong Oscar (KO) that terminates into Melville Bay (MVB), Jakobshavn Isbrae (JI) that terminates into Disko Bay (DB), Helheim Glacier (HG), Kangerlussuaq Glacier (KG), Daugaard-Jensen Glacier that terminates into Scoresby Sund (SBS) and Nioghalvfjerdsbrae (79NG). The insets show a closer view of this studies specific regions around Greenland. Starting from the top left, the west, south-east, and north-east coast. The insets show the model coastline, model bathymetry in metres (in grey shading and black contours), six sections of our analysis along the shelf in light purple, sections of troughs (tan lines). The light cyan colours are where the ARIANE virtual particles were released.

Figure 2. The schematic shows how the model injects meltwater. The left side of the figure shows what the model cannot resolve. This includes a glacier, small scale melting from the glacier, and the plume dynamics that occurs along the face of the glacier. Our model resolves larger scale processes that occur along the coastline, therefore, injects the meltwater from the GrIS at the first ocean model layer at the surface, and then is mixed to a thickness of 10 m.

HighRes   LowResControl   HighRes minus LowResControl

West coast

(a)   (b)   (c)

South-east coast

(d)   (e)   (f)

Figure 3. This figure shows the Eddy Kinetic Energy at three regions along Greenland. Regions identified in Fig. 1. The EKE here is the average EKE for the period of 2004 to 2016. The thick dashed lines mark the bathymetry at 250 m and the thin dashed line marks the 500 m depth. In each row is a different region started from west, south-east, and north-east. The first two column are a different experiments, HighRes, and LowResControl, and the last column is HighRes minus the LowResControl. Colours indicated the magnitude of EKE in m^2 s^{-2}

(a) Melville Bay Section

(b) Disko Bay Section

(c) Helheim Section

(d) Kangerlussuaq Section

(e) Scoresby Sund Section

(f) 79NG Section

Figure 4. This figure shows the ocean heat exchange (flux) with respect to topography (in grey) within the time series of 2004 to the end of 2016 with the HighRes model output. These hovmoller plots show the monthly average heat flux coming on or off shelf in TW, (into or out of the page respectively), through a section (sections drawn in light purple on the map inset 1). Model bathymetry is in grey and the section runs north to south on the x-axis starting at the left hand side of the figure indicated by the zero kilometre marker. Along the y-axis is the depth for the bathymetry and then time for the 2004 to 2016 period. Colours indicate direction and magnitude of the on or off shelf heat flux (into and out of the page).

(a) Melville Bay Trough

(b) Disko Bay Trough

(c) Helheim Trough

(d) Kangerdlussuaq Trough

(e) ScoresbySund Trough

(f) Norske Trough

Figure 5. This figure shows the evolution and seasonality of the heat flux through a trough. The six locations are MVBCT, DBT, HGT2, KT, SBST, and NT (indicated in Fig. 1). The months are on the x–axis and the values of heat flux in TW, on the y–axis. The moving 25 day average heat flux for 2004 to 2016 (calculated using (Eq. 1)) is shown in black with one standard deviation window in grey. The years are indicated by colour, with earlier years starting in blue to later years in red.

(a) MVBCT Mean

(b) MVBCT Fluctuation

(c) DBT Mean

(d) DBT Fluctuation

(e) HGT Mean

(f) HGT Fluctuation

(g) KT Mean

(h) KT Fluctuation

(i) SBST Mean

(j) SBST Fluctuation

Figure 6. This series of plots shows the heat flux comparison of three configurations, LowResDoubleMelt (mean in blue, fluctuation in light blue), LowResControl (mean in red, fluctuation in light red) and HighRes (mean in black, fluctuation in grey). Mean flow on the left column and fluctuation of the flow on the right column. Plotted for the whole time series 2004 to 2016. Each row is for a different trough.

Figure 7. These figures show the temperature along two sections in the north-west of Greenland, Melville Bay Section and Disko Bay Section, for location the sections were drawn, see Fig. 1. This shows the average temperature from the period of 2004 to 2016, with the model bathymetry in white (m) and the colours indicate the temperature of the water in $°C$. Left column shows the results for LowResControl, and the right column shows the results for LowResDoubleMelt. First row shows the section Melville Bay and the second row shows the section for Disko Bay.

Figure 8. This figure shows how filtering the atmospheric forcing in LowResNoStorms, affects the turbluent areas. (a) shows the Eddy Kinetic Energy at the south-east region of Greenland. The EKE here is the average EKE for the period of 2004 to 2016. The thick dashed lines mark the bathymetry at 250 m and the thin dashed line marks the 500 m depth. (b) shows the mean heat flux and (c) shows the fluctuation heat flux through Helheim Trough (HT) (location identified in Fig. 1). The LowResNoStorms configuration in black solid lines (grey lines), LowResControl configuration in red solid lines (light red lines). Plotted for the whole time series 2004 to 2016.

Figure 9. This figure shows where the source of warm water comes from. The selected regions are shown in cyan in Fig. 1. The backward probability of five year transport of warm water with virtual particles (~ 5000). Initial positions are homogeneously distributed in space and time in each of the six regions in the HighRes configuration. Plots here show virtual particles released below 30 metres of the water column. Values here correspond to the percentage out of all particles and grid cells that virtual particles can be found in a given grid cell.

Simulation	Resolution	Runoff	Atmospheric forcing
LowResControl	1/4°	50 % Greenland FWF	CGRF
HighRes	**1/12°**	50 % Greenland FWF	CGRF
LowResDoubleMelt	1/4°	**100 %** Greenland FWF	CGRF
LowResNoStorms	1/4°	50 % Greenland FWF	CGRF **Filtered** winds and temperature

Table 1. ANHA-NEMO simulations used in this study. All experiments include interannual river discharge from Dai et al. (2009) except the Greenland region, which is obtained by the Greenland Freshwater Flux (FWF) provides by Bamber et al. (2012). All simulations use the same atmospheric forcing, CGRF (Smith et al., 2014), but with the winds and temperature filtered in the LowResNoStorms.

Troughs along the GrIS	Changes in onshore heat (%)
West Coast	
HighRes vs LowResControl	96%
LowResDoubleMelt vs LowResControl	37%
South-east Coast	
HighRes vs LowResControl	4%
LowResDoubleMelt vs LowResControl	-5%
North-east Coast	
HighRes vs LowResControl	9%
LowResDoubleMelt vs LowResControl	9%

Table 2. This table shows the percentage of the difference of the onshore sum of yearly heat fluxes from three configurations, HighRes, LowResControl, LowResDoubleMelt from 2004 to 2016. West coast includes Melville Bay Central Trough (MVBCT) and Disko Bay Trough (DBT), south-east coast sector includes Helheim Trough (HGT) and Kangerdlussuaq Trough (KT), and north-east coast includes Scoresby Sund Trough (SBST) and Norske Trough (NT). These troughs can be identified in Fig. 1.