



# The surface energy balance in a cold-arid permafrost environment,

# Ladakh Himalaya, India

John Mohd Wani<sup>1</sup>, Renoj J. Thayyen<sup>2\*</sup>, Chandra Shekhar Prasad Ojha<sup>1</sup>, and Stephan Gruber<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Roorkee, India, <sup>2</sup>Water Resources System Division, National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, India (renoj.nihr@gov.in; renojthayyen@gmail.com), <sup>3</sup>Department of Geography & Environmental Studies, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

**Abstract:** Cryosphere of the cold-arid trans-Himalayan region is unique with its significant permafrost cover. While the information on the permafrost characteristics and its extent started emerging, the governing energy regimes of this cryosphere region is of particular interest. This paper present the results of Surface Energy Balance (SEB) studies carried out in the upper

- 5 Ganglass catchment in the Ladakh region of India, which feed directly to the River Indus. The point SEB is estimated using the one-dimensional mode of GEOtop model from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017 at 4727 m a.s.l elevation. The model is evaluated using field monitored radiation components, snow depth variations and one-year near-surface ground temperatures and showed good agreement with the respective simulated values. The study site has an air
- 10 temperature range of 23.7 to 18.1 °C with a mean annual average temperature (MAAT) of -2.5 and ground surface temperature range of -9.8 to 19.1 °C. For the study period, the surface energy balance characteristics of the cold-arid site show that the net radiation was the major component with mean value of 28.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>, followed by sensible heat flux (13.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and latent heat flux (12.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>), and the ground heat flux was equal to 0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The partitioning
- 15 of energy balance during the study period shows that 47% of R<sub>n</sub> was converted into H, 44% into LE, 1% into G and 7% for melting of seasonal snow. Both the study years experienced distinctly different, low and high snow regime. Key differences due to this snow regime change in surface energy balance characteristics were observed during peak summer (July-August).





The latent heat flux was higher (lower) during this period with 39 W m<sup>-2</sup> (11 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during high (low) snow years. The study also shows that the sensible heat flux during the early summer season (May, June) of the high (low) snow was much smaller (higher) -3.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> (36.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>). During the study period, snow cover builds up in the catchment initiated by the last week of December facilitating the ground cooling by almost three months (October to December) of sub-zero temperatures up to -20 °C providing a favourable environment for permafrost. It is observed that the Ladakh region have a very low relative humidity in the range of 43% as

- compared to, e.g.,  $\sim$ 70% in the Alps facilitating lower incoming longwave radiation and strongly negative net longwave radiation averaging  $\sim$  -90 W m<sup>-2</sup> compared to -40 W m<sup>-2</sup> in the Alps. Hence, the high elevation cold-arid region land surfaces could be overall colder than the locations with more RH such as the Alps. Further, it is apprehended that high incoming
- 30 shortwave radiation in the region may be facilitating enhanced cooling of wet valley bottom surfaces as a result of stronger evaporation.

Keywords: Cold-arid, Cryosphere, GEOtop, Himalaya, Leh, Surface Energy Balance, Permafrost

#### **1** Introduction

- 35 The Himalayan cryosphere is important for sustaining the flows in the major rivers originating from the region (Bolch et al., 2012; Immerzeel et al., 2012; Kaser et al., 2010; Lutz et al., 2014; Pritchard, 2019). These rivers flow through the most populated regions of the world and insight on the processes driving the change is critical for evaluating the future trajectory of water resources of the region, ranging from small headwater catchments to large river systems. It is
- 40 hard to propose a uniform framework for the downstream response of these rivers as they originate and flow through various glacio-hydrological regimes of the Himalaya (Thayyen and Gergan, 2010). Lack of understanding of various processes driving the cryospheric response of the region is limiting our ability to anticipate the ensuing changes and their impacts correctly.



50



This has been highlighted by the recent studies which suggested the occurrence of higher precipitation in the accumulation zones of the glaciers than previously known (Immerzeel et al., 2015).

The role of permafrost is another key unknown variable in the Himalaya, especially in the Indus basin. Recent studies have signalled significant permafrost cover in the cold-arid upper Indus basin areas covering Ladakh (Wani et al., 2020). This study suggests permafrost cover in a small (15.4 km<sup>2</sup>) catchment in the Ladakh region is 22 times of the glacier area. More coarse assessment in the Hindu Kush Himalaya (HKH) region suggests that the permafrost area

- extends up to 1 million km<sup>2</sup>, which roughly translate into 14 times the area of glacier cover of the region (Gruber et al., 2017). Except for Bhutan, the expected permafrost areas in all other countries is larger than the glacier area. With two-thirds of the HKH underlain by permafrost,
- 55 China has by far the largest share (906x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) followed by India (40.1x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>), Pakistan (26.6x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>), Afghanistan (17.5x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>), Nepal (11.1x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>), Bhutan (1.2x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) and Myanmar (0.1x10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) (cf. Table 1, Gruber et al., 2017). The mapping of rock glaciers using remote sensing suggested that the discontinuous permafrost in the HKH region can be found between 3500 m a.s.l. in Northern Afghanistan to 5500 m a.s.l. on the Tibetan Plateau (Schmid
- 60 et al., 2015). Recently, Pandey (2019) published the first remote sensing based rock glacier inventory of Himachal Himalaya, which falls in the Indian Himalayan Region (IHR). The inventory reports that the discontinuous permafrost can be found within an elevation range of 3052–5503 m a.s.l. Another rock glacier inventory for Uttarakhand State, India suggests that the higher elevation regions above 4600 m a.s.l. are suitable for the occurrence of permafrost
- (Baral et al., 2019). Similarly, an initial localised estimate of 420 km<sup>2</sup> of permafrost is suggested in the Kullu district of Himachal Pradesh, India (Allen et al., 2016).
  The cold-arid region of Ladakh has reported sporadic occurrence of permafrost and associated landforms (Gruber et al., 2017; Wani et al., 2020) with the sorted patterned ground and other





periglacial landforms such as ice-cored moraines. Previous studies of permafrost in the Ladakh

70 region are from the Tso Kar basin (Rastogi and Narayan, 1999; Wünnemann et al., 2008), and a recent one from the Changla region, where the depth of permafrost table was found to be ~110 cm (Ali et al., 2018). Field observations suggest that ground-ice melt may be a critical water source in dry summer years in the cold-arid regions of Ladakh (Thayyen, 2015).

The energy balance at the earth's surface drives the Spatio-temporal variability of ground

- 75 temperature (Westermann et al., 2009). It is linked to the atmospheric boundary layer, and location-dependent transfer mechanisms between land and the overlying atmosphere (Endrizzi, 2007; Martin and Lejeune, 1998; McBean and Miyake, 1972). The surface energy balance (SEB) in cold regions additionally depends on the seasonal snow cover, vegetation and moisture availability in the soil (Lunardini, 1981) and (semi-) arid areas exhibit their typical
- 80 characteristics (Xia, 2010). The SEB characteristics of different permafrost regions have been studied, e.g., the North American Arctic (Eugster et al., 2000; Lynch et al., 1999; Ohmura, 1982, 1984), European Arctic (Lloyd et al., 2001; Westermann et al., 2009), Tibetan Plateau (Gu et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2011), European Alps (Mittaz et al., 2000) or Siberia (Boike et al., 2008; Kodama et al., 2007; Langer et al., 2011a, 2011b). However, SEB studies of IHR is very
- 85 limited (Azam et al., 2014). This highlight that the knowledge of frozen ground and associated energy regimes are a key knowledge gap in our understanding of the Himalayan cryospheric systems, especially in the Upper Indus Basin.

This study presents a SEB analysis of a permafrost environment in the cold-arid trans-Himalaya, where a recent study has identified significant permafrost cover (Wani et al., 2020).

90 With this, we aim to provide a foundation for better understanding the micro-climatological drivers affecting permafrost distribution and temperature regimes in the area, to build hypotheses about similarities and major differences with other, better-investigated permafrost areas. This is important to guide the application of models calibrated (Boeckli et al., 2012) or





tested (Cao et al., 2019; Fiddes et al., 2015) elsewhere for further investigations in the Ladakh

95 region, where only little data on ground temperatures and permafrost are currently available. It will also help to interpret differences in the relationships of air and shallow ground temperatures (surface offset) observed in Ladakh (Wani et al., 2020) and other permafrost areas (Boeckli et al., 2012; Hasler et al., 2015; PERMOS, 2019).

The specific objectives of this study are to (a) quantify the point Surface Energy Balance (SEB)

- 100 and its components in a cold-arid Himalayan permafrost environment, (b) evaluate the quality of SEB assessment by modelling snow depth and near-surface ground temperature variations and compare with the field observations (c) understand the role of winter snowpack characteristics (timing, thickness and duration) and its effect on ground surface temperature, and (d) compare the SEB regime of cold-arid Himalaya with other better-investigated
- 105 permafrost regions of the world.

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study area

The present study is carried out at South-Pullu (34.25°N, 77.62°E, 4727 m a.s.l.) in the upper Ganglass catchment (34.25°N to 34.30°N and 77.50°E to 77.65°E), Leh, Ladakh (Figure 1).

- 110 Ladakh is a Union territory of India and has a unique climate, hydrology and landforms. Leh is the district headquarter, where long-term climate data is available (Bhutiyani et al., 2007). Long-term mean precipitation of Leh (1908–2017, 3526 m a.s.l.) is 115 mm (Lone et al., 2019; Thayyen et al., 2013) and the daily minimum and maximum temperatures during the period (2010 to 2012) range between -23.4 to 33.8 °C (Thayyen and Dimri, 2014). The spatial area of
- 115 the catchment is 15.4 km<sup>2</sup> and extends from 4700 m to 5700 m a.s.l. A small circue glacier called as Phuche glacier with an area of 0.62 km<sup>2</sup> occupies the higher elevations of the catchment. A single stream flows through the valley of the catchment originating from Phuche glacier. This stream flows intermittently with most of the flow from May to October.







120 Figure 1 Location of the study site in the upper Ganglass catchment. (Base image layer sources on the right panel: © Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographic's, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community).

The catchment lies in the Ladakh mountain range and is part of the main Indus river basin.
Geologically, the study catchment is part of the Ladakh batholith. It mainly comprises of quartz-bearing rocks such as quartz diorite, quartz-monzodiorite, granodiorite, monzonite and granite with occasional masses of diorite, gabbro, pyroxenite and anorthosite (Priya et al., 2016; Trivedi et al., 1982). Based on the SiO<sub>2</sub> and total alkalis content of these rock types, they are classified as sub-alkaline granites (Upadhyay et al., 2008). The granites of the Ladakh batholite

130 are generally calc-alkaline and belong to type I (Jowhar, 2001; Sharma and Choubey, 1983). In the Leh-Khardung La section, hornblende-biotite granites and granodiorites are the dominant rock types (Jowhar, 2001). The edges of Ladakh batholith comprise of xenoliths of





basic (metavolcanics, diorite and amphibolite) and metamorphic (quartzite, mica schist and marble) rocks (Sharma and Choubey, 1983; Thakur, 1990).

### 135 2.2 Meteorological data used

The automatic weather station (AWS) in the catchment is located at an elevation of 4727 m a.s.l. at South-Pullu (Figure 1). It is located in the wide deglaciated valley trending southeast. The site has a local slope angle of 15°, and the soil is sparsely vegetated. Weather data has been collected by a Sutron automatic weather station from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017.

- The study years 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2016 and 1 September 2016 to 31 August 2017 hereafter in the text will be designated as 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. The variables measured include air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction, incoming and outgoing shortwave and longwave radiation and snow depth (Table 1). The snow depth is measured using a Campbell SR50 sonic ranging sensor with a nominal accuracy of ±1
- 145 cm (Table 1). The analysis of data was performed using R (R Core Team, 2016; Wickham, 2016, 2017; Wickham and Francois, 2016; Wilke, 2019). To reduce the noise of the measured snow depth, a six-hour moving average is applied. Near-surface ground temperature (GST) is measured at a depth of 0.1 m near the AWS using miniature temperature data logger (MTD) manufactured by GeoPrecision GmbH, Germany. GST data was available only from 1
- September 2016 to 31 August 2017 and is used for model evaluation, only. All the four solar radiation components, i.e., incoming shortwave (SW<sub>in</sub>), outgoing shortwave (SW<sub>out</sub>), incoming longwave (LW<sub>in</sub>) and outgoing longwave (LW<sub>out</sub>) radiation were measured. Before using these data in the SEB calculations, necessary corrections were applied (Nicholson et al., 2013; Oerlemans and Klok, 2002): (a) all the values of SW<sub>in</sub> < 5 Wm<sup>-2</sup> are set to zero, (b) when SW<sub>out</sub>
  SW<sub>in</sub> (3 % of data understudy), it indicates that the upward-looking sensor was covered with
- snow (Oerlemans and Klok, 2002). The SW<sub>out</sub> can be higher than SW<sub>in</sub> at high elevation sites such as this one due to high solar zenith angle during the morning and evening hours (Nicholson



160



et al., 2013). In such cases,  $SW_{in}$  was corrected by  $SW_{out}$  divided by the accumulated albedo, calculated by the ratio of measured  $SW_{out}$  and measured  $SW_{in}$  for a 24h period (van den Broeke et al., 2004).

Table 1 Technical parameters of different sensors at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) in the upper Ganglass catchment, Leh. (MF: model forcing, ME: model evaluation).

Variable	Units	Sensor	Stated accuracy	Height (m)	Use
Air temperature	(°C)	Rotronics-5600-0316-1	±0.2 °C	2.2	MF
Relative humidity	(%)	Rotronics-5600-0316-1	±1.5%	2.2	MF
Wind speed	$(m \ s^{-1})$	RM Young 05103-45	$\pm 0.3 \ {\rm ms}^{-1}$	10	MF
Wind direction	(°)	RM Young 05103-45	±0.3°	10	MF
In/out shortwave radiation	$(W m^{-2})$	Kipp and Zonen (CMP6) (285 to 2800nm)	±10%	4.6	MF/ME
In/out longwave radiation	(W m <sup>-2</sup> )	Kipp and Zonen (CGR3) (4500 to 42000nm)	±10%	4.3	ME
Snow depth	(m)	Campbell SR-50	±1cm	3.44	ME
Data platform	-	Sutron 9210-0000-2B	-	-	-
Near-surface ground temperature	(°C)	PT1000 in stainless steel cap (by GEOPRECISION GmbH, Germany)	±0.1 °C	-0.1	ME

### 2.3 Estimation of precipitation from snow height (ESOLIP approach)

- 165 In high elevation and remote sites, the snowfall measurement is a difficult task with an under catch of 20–50% (Rasmussen et al., 2012). At the South Pullu station, daily precipitation including snow was measured using a non-recording rain gauge. It is a known fact that the snow water equivalent measurements in the mountainous region using collectors have significant errors due to under catch (Yang et al., 1999). In this high elevation area, an under
- 170 catch of 23% of snowfall was reported earlier (Thayyen et al., 2015) [Unpublished work]. To improve the data quality and match the temporal resolution of precipitation data with other meteorological forcing's, we adopted the method proposed by Mair et al. (2016), called Estimating SOlid and Liquid Precipitation (ESOLIP). This method makes use of snow depth





and meteorological observations to estimate the winter precipitation. In this method, the sub-175 daily solid precipitation is estimated in terms of snow water equivalent (SWE). To estimate the SWE of single snowfall events from snow depth measurements, an identification of the snow height increments of the single snowfall events and an accurate estimate of the snow density are necessary. The fresh snow density was estimated based on air temperature and wind speed as below (Jordan et al., 1999):

$$\rho = 500 * [1 - 0.951 * exp(-1.4 * (278.15 - T_a)^{-1.15} - 0.008U_{10}^{1.7})], \quad (1)$$

180

$$\rho = 500 * [1 - 0.904 * \exp(-0.008U_{10}^{1.7})], \tag{2}$$

For Ta ≤ 260.15 K

#### 2.4 Modelling point surface energy balance

- In this study, the open-source GEOtop 2.0 version (hereafter GEOtop) (Endrizzi et al., 2014) was used for the modelling of point surface energy balance. GEOtop is a physically-based fully distributed model for modelling of water and energy balances at and below the soil surface. It represents the combined ground heat and water balance, the exchange of energy with the atmosphere by taking into consideration the radiative and turbulent heat fluxes. The model also
- 190 has a multi-layer snowpack and solves the energy and water balance of the snow cover. Furthermore, the temporal evolution of snow depth and its effect on soil temperature are simulated. The GEOtop also simulates the highly non-linear interactions between the water and energy balance during soil freezing and thawing (Dall'Amico et al., 2011; Endrizzi et al., 2014). The model solves Richard's equation in three or one dimensions, and the heat equation
- 195 in one dimension (1D) (Endrizzi et al., 2014). It can be applied in high mountain regions with complex terrain and makes it possible to account for topographical and other environmental





variability (Fiddes et al., 2015; Gubler et al., 2013). The model takes into account the effects of complex topography in the estimation of radiation components (Endrizzi et al., 2014), such as (i) the incoming solar radiation is partitioned into direct and diffuse components according

200 to Erbs et al. (1982), (ii) taking into account the solar incidence angle and shadowing of direct incoming solar radiation by topography, (iii) the effects of topography on diffuse radiation coming on the surrounding terrain (Iqbal, 1983).

The model can be operated in two configurations, either in pointwise (1D) or distributed mode (2D) and the processes of interest can be controlled through parameters (Endrizzi et al., 2014).

- 205 Previous studies have successfully applied GEOtop in mountains regions, e.g., simulating snow depth and ground temperature (Endrizzi et al., 2014), snow cover mapping (Dall'Amico et al., 2018; Dall'Amico et al., 2011; Zanotti et al., 2004), ecohydrological processes (Bertoldi et al., 2010), modelling of processes in complex topography (Fiddes and Gruber, 2012), permafrost distribution (Fiddes et al., 2015) or modelling ground temperatures (Gubler et al., 2013). In this
- 210 study, only the energy fluxes over the snow cover and the ground surface in one-dimensional (1D) mode of GEOtop are used.

Generally, the surface energy balance (SEB) (Eq. 3) is written as a combination of net radiation  $(R_n)$ , sensible (H) and latent heat (LE) flux and heat conduction into the ground or to the snow (G) and must balance at all times (Oke, 2002; Williams and Smith, 1989):

215

$$R_n - H - LE - G = F_{surf} \tag{3}$$

where  $F_{surf}$  is the resulting energy flux at the surface. During the summertime when the melting conditions prevail, the  $F_{surf}$  is positive and is the energy available for melting snow; otherwise,  $F_{surf}$  is equal to zero.

220 But in the GEOtop (Endrizzi et al., 2014) the equations of SEB are described separately. The equations and the key elements of GEOtop are explained in Endrizzi et al. (2014), and here,



225



only a brief description of the equations that are of interest in this study is given. In GEOtop, the surface heat flux  $(Q_s)$  is the energy available for exchange and is given by the sum of net shortwave  $(SW_n)$  and net longwave  $(LW_n)$  radiations and turbulent heat fluxes i.e. sensible (H) and latent heat flux (LE). The surface heat flux equation (Eq. 4) used in GEOtop is given below:

$$Q_s(T_s) = SW_n + LW_n(T_s) - H(T_s) - LE(T_s, \theta_w)$$
(4)

where  $T_s$ , the temperature of the surface, is an unknown in the equation. The  $Q_s$  is a function of the temperature at the surface  $(T_s)$ , which is an unknown in the equation. Other terms in Eq.

4 which are a function of T<sub>s</sub> include LW<sub>n</sub>, H and LE. In addition, the LE also depends on the soil moisture at the surface (θ<sub>w</sub>), linking the SEB and water balance equations. In Eq. 4, the sum of SW<sub>n</sub> and LW<sub>n</sub> is equal to the net radiation (R<sub>n</sub>) (Oke, 2002). The sign convention adopted is as energy is considered as a gain for the surface or system if R<sub>n</sub> is positive and negative for H and LE. Conversely, energy is considered as loss for the surface or system, if
R<sub>n</sub> is negative and positive for H and LE (Endrizzi, 2007; Oke, 2002).

The  $SW_n$  term in Eq. 4 is equal to the difference between the incoming solar radiation  $(SW_{in})$ coming from the atmosphere and the reflected shortwave radiation  $(SW_{out})$  (Oke, 2002). The  $SW_{out}$  is given by  $SW_{in}$  multiplied by the broadband albedo. The  $SW_{in}$  (Eq. 5) on a flat ground surface is the product of shortwave radiation at the top of the atmosphere  $(SW_{toa})$ , atmospheric transmissivity  $(\tau_a)$  and cloud transmissivity  $(\tau_c)$  as follows:

$$SW_{in} = SW_{toa}.\,\tau_a.\,\tau_c \tag{5}$$

The albedo in GEOtop (Endrizzi et al., 2014) is considered as per the ground surface conditions such as, for the snow-free ground, the albedo varies linearly with the water content of the





245 topsoil layer, and for snow-covered surfaces, the albedo is estimated according to the Biosphere Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (BATS) (Dickinson et al., 1993).

Also,  $LW_n$  in Eq. 4 is equal to the difference between the incoming longwave radiation  $(LW_{in})$  coming from the atmosphere and the outgoing longwave radiation  $(LW_{out})$  radiated by the surface (Oke, 2002).  $LW_{in}$  received at the surface is the combined result of the radiations

- 250 radiated at different heights in the atmosphere with different temperatures and gas concentrations. The clear sky incoming longwave radiation  $(LW_{in,clear})$  is calculated with one of the nine parameterizations present in the model (see Endrizzi et al., 2014). Generally, these parameterisations apply the Stefan-Boltzmann law (Eq. 6), which depends on near-surface air temperature (T<sub>a</sub>) in Kelvin, effective atmosphere emissivity  $\in_a$  (-) a function of T<sub>a</sub> (K) and
- 255 water vapour pressure  $e_a$  (bar), as below:

$$LW_{in,clear} = \in_a (T_a, e_a). \sigma. T_a^4$$
(6)

where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant equal to 5.67x10<sup>8</sup> Wm<sup>-2</sup> K<sup>-4</sup>. The parameterisations in GEOtop mainly differ in the value of effective atmosphere emissivity (€a). In GEOtop
(Endrizzi et al., 2014), the cloudy conditions are treated according to the formulation of (Crawford and Duchon, 1999) as below (Eq. 7):

$$\epsilon_c = \tau_c + (1 - \tau_c). \epsilon_a \tag{7}$$

where  $\in_c$  is the cloudy sky atmosphere emissivity and  $\tau_c$  is the shortwave radiation cloud 265 transmissivity. The *LW<sub>out</sub>* radiated by the surface is also estimated using the Stefan-Boltzmann law (Eq. 8), as below:

$$LW_{out} = \epsilon_s. \sigma. T_s^4 \tag{8}$$





where  $T_s$  is the surface temperature (K) and  $\in_s$  is the surface emissivity.

270 The turbulent fluxes (H and LE) are driven by the gradients of temperature and specific humidity between the air and the surface, and due to turbulence caused by winds as main transfer mechanism in the boundary layer (Endrizzi, 2007). GEOtop estimates the turbulent heat fluxes H (Eq. 9) and LE (Eq. 10) using the flux-gradient relationship (Brutsaert, 1975; Garratt, 1994) as below:

$$H = \rho_a c_p w_s \frac{T_a - T_s}{r_a} \tag{9}$$

275

$$LE = \beta_{YP} L_e \rho_a c_p w_s \frac{Q_a - \alpha_{YP} Q_s^*}{r_a}$$
(10)

where  $\rho_a$  is the air density (kg m<sup>-3</sup>),  $w_s$  is the wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $c_p$  the specific heat at constant pressure (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>),  $L_e$  the specific heat of vaporisation (J kg<sup>-1</sup>),  $Q_a$  and  $Q_s^*$  are the specific humidity of the air (kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and saturated specific humidity at the surface (kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) respectively, and  $r_a$  is the aerodynamic resistance (-). The  $\beta_{YP}$  and  $\alpha_{YP}$  are the coefficients that take into account the soil resistance to evaporation, and only depend on the liquid water pressure close to the soil surface. They are calculated according to the parameterisation of Ye and Pielke (1993), which considers evaporation as the sum of the proper evaporation from the

285 resistance is obtained applying the Monin–Obukhov similarity theory (Monin and Obukhov, 1954), which requires that values of wind speed, air temperature and specific humidity are available at least at two different heights above the surface.

surface and diffusion of water vapour in soil pores at greater depths. The aerodynamical

The input meteorological data required for running the 1D GEOtop model include time series of precipitation, air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction and solar radiation components and the description of the topography (slope angle, elevation, aspect angle, and sky view factor) for the simulation point. Also, the latitude and longitude of the





study area have to be defined to allow the model to calculate the solar zenith angle, which is important for shadowing estimations.

# 2.4.1 Heat equation

295 The equation (Endrizzi et al., 2014) representing the energy balance in a soil volume subject to phase change is given below (Eq. 11):

$$\frac{\partial U^{\rm ph}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G} + S_{en} - \rho_w [L_f + c_w (T - T_{ref})] S_w = 0$$
(11)

where  $U^{\text{ph}}$  is the volumetric internal energy of soil (J m<sup>-3</sup>) subject to phase change, t(s) time,  $\nabla$ · the divergence operator, G the heat conduction flux (W m<sup>-2</sup>),  $S_{en}$  is the energy sink term

300 (W m<sup>-3</sup>),  $S_w$  is the mass sink term (s<sup>-1</sup>),  $L_f$  (J kg<sup>-1</sup>) the latent heat of fusion,  $\rho_w$  the density of liquid water in soil (kg m<sup>-3</sup>),  $c_w$  is the specific thermal capacity of water (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>), T (°C) the soil temperature and  $T_{ref}$  (°C) the reference temperature at which the internal energy is calculated. The detailed description of the heat conduction equation used in GEOtop can be found in Endrizzi et al. (2014).

# 305 2.4.2 Modelling of snow depth

The snow cover buffers the energy exchange between the soil and atmosphere and critically influences the soil thermal regime. In GEOtop, the equations for snow modelling are similar to the ones used for the soil matrix (Endrizzi et al., 2014). The snow processes are solved in a particular order such as (i) solving the heat equation for snow, (ii) metamorphism of the

310 snowpack, (iii) water percolation in the snow and (iv) accumulation due to snow precipitation (Endrizzi et al., 2014).

### 2.4.3 Model setup and forcing

The 1D GEOtop simulation was carried out at South-Pullu (Figure 1). The soil column is discretised into 19 layers, with thickness increasing from the surface to the deeper layers. The

315 top 8 layers close to the ground surface were resolved with thicknesses ranging from 0.1 to 1





m, because of the higher temperature and water pressure gradients near the surface (Endrizzi et al., 2014), while the lowest layer is 4.0 m thick.

The snowpack is discretised in 10 layers, which are finer at the top with the atmosphere and at the bottom with the soil, than in the middle. At the top and bottom regions of the snowpack,

320 the vertical gradients are high because of the interactions with the atmosphere at top and the soil at the bottom (Endrizzi et al., 2014).

The model was initialised at a uniform temperature of -0.5 °C. The soil column in the model is 10 m deep. The model is initialised by repeatedly modelling the soil temperature down to 1 m (2 years\*25 times = 50 years), then using the modelled soil temperatures as an initial condition

- 325 to repeatedly simulate soil temperature down to 10 m (50 years) and finally simulating soil temperatures down to 10 m depth. This initialisation technique has been successfully applied in earlier work (Fiddes et al., 2015; Gubler et al., 2013; Pogliotti, 2011). Preliminary tests show that the minimum number of repetitions required to bring the soil column to equilibrium was 25 (Figure *S1*).
- 330 In this study, the data recorded by the AWS was used as model forcing, and the forcing data consist of hourly air temperature, wind speed and direction, and global incoming shortwave radiation. The ESOLIP estimated hourly precipitation was also used as forcing to the model. The model runs at an hourly time step corresponding to the measurement time step of the meteorological data.

# 335 2.5 Model performance evaluation

340

The evaluation of point SEB was done based on three variables such as radiation components, snow depth and the GST. These variables were chosen because they represent different physical processes influencing the surface energy balance at the ground. For example, (a) the radiation components are the main input driving the surface energy balance, (b) the melt-out date of the snow depth is a good indicator showing how good the surface energy balance is





simulated and (c) the GST is the result of all the processes occurring at the ground surface such as radiation, turbulence, latent and sensible heat fluxes (Gubler, 2013). Model performance is evaluated based on the measured and the simulated time series (Gubler et al., 2012). Typically, a variety of statistical measures are used to evaluate the model performance because no single

345 measure enclose all aspects of interest. In this study also, different model evaluation statistics were used for (a) radiation components, and (b) GST and the snow depth as described below.

#### 2.5.1 Performance statistics for evaluation of radiation components

For the evaluation of radiation components, we prefer the statistics mean bias difference (MBD) and the root mean square difference (RMSD) (Badescu et al., 2012; Gubler et al., 2012;

350 Gueymard, 2012). These statistics indicate model prediction accuracy (Stow et al., 2003). The MBD (Eq. 12) is a simple and familiar measure that neglects the magnitude of the errors (i.e. positive errors can compensate for negative ones) (Gubler et al., 2012):

$$MBD = \frac{1}{\overline{y^*}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - y_t^*)}{n}$$
(12)

Here,  $y_t$  is the modelled output variable, and  $y_t^*$  is the corresponding measured variable. The MBD ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ . The perfect model is the one with an MBD value equal to 0. The RMSD (Eq. 13) is calculated as (Gubler et al., 2012):

$$RMSD = \frac{1}{\overline{y^*}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - y_t^*)^2}$$
(13)

The RMSD takes into account the average magnitude of the errors and puts weight on larger errors, but neglects the direction of the errors (Gubler et al., 2012). The RMSD ranges from 0 to ∞. The perfect model is the one with an RMSD value equal to 0. The formulae (Eq. 12 and 13) used for estimation of MBD and RMSD respectively provide dimensionless quantities





since their right-hand side has been divided by the mean of the measured variable (Badescu et al., 2012). Hence, they are expressed in per cent throughout the manuscript for clarity. Also,
we use the coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>, Eq. 14) defined as the squared value of the coefficient of correlation which indicates the amount of variation in the modelled variable predictable from the measured variable:

$$R^{2} = \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_{t} - \bar{y}) (y_{t}^{*} - \bar{y}^{*})}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_{t} - \bar{y})^{2} (y_{t}^{*} - \bar{y}^{*})^{2}}}\right)^{2}$$
(14)

#### 2.5.2 Performance statistics for evaluation of snow depth and near-surface ground

#### 370 temperature

375

For the evaluation of near-surface ground temperature and snow depth apart from the coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$ , different statistical measures have been used such as mean bias (MB), and root means square error (RMSE).

The MB (Eq. 15) provides a good indication of the mean over or underestimate of predictions (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). MB is in the same units as the variables being considered.

$$MB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y_i^*)$$
(15)

The optimal value of MB is equal to zero. The positive and negative MB values indicate model over-estimation and under-estimation bias, respectively.

380 The RMSE (Moriasi et al., 2007) is a commonly used statistic that provides a good overall measure of how close modelled values are to predicted values and is given below (Eq. 16):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - y_t^*)^2}$$
(16)





# 3 Results

### 385 3.1 Meteorological characteristics

A summary of the meteorological conditions measured at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) study site is given in Table 2 to provide an overview of the prevailing weather in the study region. The daily mean air temperature (T<sub>a</sub>) throughout the study period varies between -19.5 to 13.1 °C with a mean annual average temperature (MAAT) of -2.5 °C (Figure 2A). The T<sub>a</sub> shows significant seasonal variations and instantaneous hourly temperature at the study site range between -23.7 °C in January and 18.1 °C in July. During the two year study period, sub-zero mean monthly temperature prevailed for seven months from October to April in both the years (2015-16 and 2016-17). The monthly mean T<sub>a</sub> during pre-winter months (September to December) of 2015-16 and 2016-17 was -4.6 and -2.7 °C respectively. During the core winter

- 395 months (January to February) of 2015-16 and 2016-17, the respective monthly mean T<sub>a</sub> was -13.1 and -13.7 °C, for post-winter months (March and April), mean monthly T<sub>a</sub> was -5.8 and -8 °C, respectively and for summer months (May to August), the respective monthly mean T<sub>a</sub> was 6.6 and 5.5 °C. A sudden change in the mean monthly T<sub>a</sub> characterises the onset of a new season, and the most evident inter-season change was found between the winter and summer
- with a difference of about 16 °C during both the years.
  The mean daily GST recorded by the logger near the AWS available for one year (1 September 2016 to 31 August 2017) is also plotted along with air temperature (Figure 2A). The mean daily GST ranges from -9.1 to 15.1 °C with mean annual GST of 2.1 °C. The instantaneous hourly GST at the study site range between -9.8 °C in December and 19.1 °C in July. The GST
- followed the pattern of air temperature, but during winter, the snow cover dampened the pattern. The GST was higher than the T<sub>a</sub> except for a short period during snowmelt.
   Mean relative humidity (RH) was equal to 43% during the study period (Figure 2B). The instantaneous hourly values of RH at the study site range between 3% (1 October 2016) and





100% (22 September 2015). The daily mean RH greater than 50% and 80% was recorded on 224 and 18 days respectively. The average RH during the pre-winter months (September to December) of the 2015-16 year was greater (39%) in comparison to RH (28%) recorded during pre-winter months of the 2016-17 year. However, during core winter months (January to February) of the 2015-16 year was smaller (42%) in comparison to the RH (53%) recorded during core winter months of the 2016-17 year. The average RH during the summer months

415 (May to August) of the 2015-16 year was smaller (45%) in comparison to RH (51%) recorded during summer months of the 2016-17 year. Furthermore, for the low snow year (2015-16), the annual mean RH was 42.7%, and for the high snow year (2016-17) the annual mean RH was 44%.

The daily average wind speed (u) ranges between 0.6 (29 January 2017) to 7.1 m s<sup>-1</sup> (6 April

- 420 2017) with a mean wind speed of 3.1 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2C). The hourly maximum instantaneous value of *u* recorded was 11.1 m s<sup>-1</sup> (4 February 2017). The annual average *u* was 3.2 and 2.9 m s<sup>-1</sup> during the years 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. The instantaneous hourly *u* was plotted as a function of wind direction (WD) (Figure *S2*) for the study period which shows that there is a persistent dominance of katabatic and anabatic winds at the study site, which is typical of
- 425 a mountain environment. The average WD during the study period was southeast (148°) (Figure 2D).







Figure 2 Daily mean values of observed (A) air temperature (Ta, blue) and one-year GST (red)
(T °C); (B) relative humidity (RH %) with dashed line as mean RH; (C) wind speed (*u ms*<sup>-1</sup>);
and (D) wind direction (WD °); at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) in the upper Ganglass catchment,
Leh from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017.

The daily measured total precipitation at the study site equals 97.8 and 153.4 mm w.e. during the years 2015–16 and 2016–17 respectively. After adding 23% under catch (Thayyen et al.,

435 2015) [unpublished work] to the total snow measurements, the total precipitation amount equal to 120.3 and 190.6 mm w.e. for the years 2015–16 and 2016–17 respectively. During the study period, the observed highest single-day precipitation was 20 mm w.e. recorded on 23 September 2015 and the total number of precipitation days were limited to 63. The snowfall





occurs mostly during the winter period (December to March) with some years witnessing extended intermittent snowfall till mid-June, as experienced in this study during the year 2016-

17.

440

The precipitation estimated by the ESOLIP approach at the study site equals 92.2 and 292.5 mm w.e. during the years 2015–16 and 2016–17 respectively. The comparison between observed precipitation (mm w.e.) and the one estimated by the ESOLIP approach is given in

# 445 (Table *S1*).

# 3.2 Observed radiation components and snow depth

The observed daily mean variability of different components of radiation, albedo and snow depth from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017 at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) is shown in Figure 3. Daily mean SW<sub>in</sub> varies between 24 and 378 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 2). Highest hourly

- 450 instantaneous short wave radiation recorded during the study period was 1358 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Such high values of SW<sub>in</sub> are typical of a high elevation arid-catchment (e.g., MacDonell et al., 2013). Persistent snow cover during the peak winter period for both the years extending from January to March resulted in a strong reflection of SW<sub>in</sub> radiation (Figure 3A). During most of the non-free period, mean daily SW<sub>out</sub> radiation (Figure 3A) remain more or less stable below
- 455 100 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Daily mean SW<sub>out</sub> varies between 2.4 and 262.6 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 83.3 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 2). The daily mean LW<sub>in</sub> shows high variations and ranges between 109 and 345 W m<sup>-2</sup> with an average of 220 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3B, Table 2). Whereas LW<sub>out</sub> was relatively stable and varied between 211 and 400 W m<sup>-2</sup> with an average of 308 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3B, Table 2). The LW<sub>out</sub> shows higher daily fluctuations during the summer months as compared to the core
- 460 winter months. The daily mean  $SW_n$  during the study period ranges between 2.5 and 319 W m<sup>-2</sup> <sup>2</sup> with a mean value of 127 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The  $SW_n$  follows the pattern of  $SW_{in}$  with higher values during summertime and low values and relatively stable during winter (Figure 3C). The daily mean  $LW_n$  varies between -163 and 17 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The  $LW_n$  does not show any seasonality and





remain more or less constant with a mean value of -88 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3C). The mean daily observed R<sub>n</sub> ranges from -80.5 to 227.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean of 39.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 2). During both the years 2015–16 and 2016–17, the R<sub>n</sub> was high in summer and autumn but low in winter and spring. When the surface was covered with the thick snow during January to early April in 2015–16 and during January to early May in 2016–17, the R<sub>n</sub> rapidly declined to low, or even became negative values (Figure 3D). Albedo ( $\alpha$ ) is calculated as the ratio of SW<sub>out</sub> to SW<sub>in</sub> and

- 470 is of particular importance in the SEB and in the Earth's radiation balance that dictates the rate of heating of the land surface under different environmental conditions (Strugnell and Lucht, 2001). The daily mean observed  $\alpha$  at the study site ranges from 0.1 to 0.48, with a mean value of 0.2 (Table 2). The daily mean  $\alpha$  was low in summer and high in winter and increased significantly when the ground surface was covered with snow (Figure 3E).
- 475 Both the years (2015–16 and 2016–17) experienced contrasting snow cover characteristics during the study period (Figure 3F). The year 2015-16 experienced low snow as compared to 2016-17. During the 2015-16 year, the snowpack had a maximum depth of 258 mm on 30 January 2016, whereas during the 2016-17 year, the maximum was 991 mm on 07 April 2017. The snow cover duration was 120 days during low snow year (2015-16) and 142 days during
- 480 the high snow year (2016–17). The site became snow-free on 27 April in 2016 and on 23 May in 2017. Higher elevations of the catchment become snow-free around 15 July in 2016 while the snow cover at glacier elevations persisted till 22 August in 2017. For both the year's snow cover at lower elevations initiated by the end of December and the catchment experienced subzero mean monthly temperatures since October.





	Table 2 Summary of observed daily mean radiation components (SW $_{in}$ , SW $_{out}$ , LW $_{in}$ and
	$LW_{out}$ ), net radiation (R <sub>n</sub> ), surface albedo ( $\alpha$ ), air temperature (Ta), precipitation (P), relative
490	humidity (RH), wind speed (u), wind direction (WD) and snow depth (h) for the study period
	(1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.).

Variable	Units	Min.	Max.	Mean
SWin	W m <sup>-2</sup>	24.1	377.8	210.4
SW <sub>out</sub>	W m <sup>-2</sup>	2.4	262.6	-83.4
α	-	0.1	0.5	0.2
LWin	W m <sup>-2</sup>	109.0	344.7	220.4
LW <sub>out</sub>	W m <sup>-2</sup>	211.3	400.0	-308.0
SWn	W m <sup>-2</sup>	2.5	318.7	127.0
LWn	W m <sup>-2</sup>	-163	17.1	-87.6
R <sub>n</sub>	W m <sup>-2</sup>	-80.5	227.1	39.4
Ta	°C	-19.5	13.1	-2.5
u	m s <sup>-1</sup>	0.6	7.1	3.1
WD	[°]	28	245	148
RH	%	8	98	43.3
Р	mm w.e	0	24.6	3.0
h	mm	0	991	102







Figure 3 Observed daily mean values of (A) incoming (SW<sub>in</sub>) and outgoing (SW<sub>out</sub>) shortwave
radiation, (B) incoming (LW<sub>in</sub>) and outgoing longwave (LW<sub>out</sub>) radiation, (C) net shortwave
(SW<sub>n</sub>) and longwave radiation (LW<sub>n</sub>), and (D) net radiation (R<sub>n</sub>), (E) surface albedo and (F)
snow depth (h, mm) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017.





## 3.3 Modelled surface energy balance

The mean daily variability of modelled surface energy balance (SEB) components is shown in

- 500 Figure 4. The sign convention adopted is as energy is considered as a gain for the surface or system if  $R_n$  is positive and negative for H and LE. Conversely, energy is considered as loss for the surface or system, if  $R_n$  is negative and positive for H and LE (Endrizzi, 2007; Oke, 2002). The average daily simulated  $R_n$  ranges between -35.4 to 136.9 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 28.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The  $R_n$  shows the seasonal variability and decreases as the ground surface gets
- 505 covered by seasonal snow cover during wintertime, and increases as the ground surface become snow-free (Figure 4A). From December to March of both the years (2015-16 and 2016-17), R<sub>n</sub> decreases and is negative during snow accumulation and remains close to zero during the melting time. For the rest of the time, R<sub>n</sub> remains positive. The daily mean H ranges between -34.6 to 70.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 13.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The H is negative from mid-January to
- 510 April (2015-16) and January to June (2016-17) due to the presence of seasonal snow cover (Figure 4B). Rest of the period H remain positive and larger (~30 W m<sup>-2</sup>) for most of the time. The seasonal variation in H points to a larger temperature gradient in summer than in winter. The daily mean LE ranges between -7.2 to 71 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 12.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>. During the snow-free freezing period (October to December) of both the years, the LE decreases (from
- 515 positive to zero) due to the freezing of moisture content in the soil and also fluctuates close to zero. Furthermore, when the seasonal snow is on the ground, the LE is positive, indicating sublimation and keeps increasing (more positive) after snowmelt indicating evaporation is taking place.

The heat conduction into the ground G remains relatively a smaller component in the SEB 520 (Figure 4C). The mean daily G ranges between -34.5 to 67.2 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The sign of the G, which shifted from positive during summer to negative during winter, is a function of the annual energy cycle. The heat flux available at the surface for melting (F<sub>surf</sub>)



525



ranges between -21.8 to 77.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> with a mean value of 2.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 3). During the summer, when snow melting conditions were prevailing, the  $F_{surf}$  turns positive as a result of energy available for melt (Figure 4C). Otherwise, the  $F_{surf}$  become zero.



Figure 4 GEOtop simulated daily mean values of surface energy balance components (A) net radiation (Rn), (B) sensible (H) and latent (LE) heat flux, (C) ground heat flux (G) and surface heat flux (F<sub>surf</sub>) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017.





Variable	Min.	Max.	Mean
R <sub>n</sub>	-35.4	136.9	28.9
Н	-34.6	70.4	13.5
LE	-7.2	71.0	12.8
G	-34.5	67.2	0.4
F <sub>surf</sub>	-21.8	77.1	2.1

Table 3 Mean daily range of GEOtop simulated SEB (W m<sup>-2</sup>) components for the study period

(1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.).

535

The diurnal variation of modelled SEB components ( $R_n$ , LE, H and G) for the 2015–16 and 2016–17 years are shown in Supplementary Figures *S3* and *S4*. Clear sky days like 14 December 2015 (pre-winter), 18 February 2016 (winter), 30 April 2016 (post-winter), and 31 August 2016 (summer) were selected for the 2015–16 year (Figure *S3*). Similarly, for the 2016–

540 17 year, the following representative days were selected: 14 December 2016, 23 February 2017, 28 April 2017, and 17 August 2017 (Figure S4). During the representative days of both the years, the significant difference was the changing amplitude of the energy fluxes. In the 2015–16 year (Figure S3), the amplitude of Rn and the G during pre-winter, post-winter

and summer season were the largest and smallest in winter. The G peaks earlier than those of

- 545 the LE and H during the pre-winter, post-winter and summer season. The LE and H show strong seasonal characteristics such as (a) during pre-winter season, the magnitude of diurnal variation of H was greater than LE depicting lesser soil moisture content because of freezing conditions at that time, (b) during winter season, the amplitude of LE was slightly greater (sublimation process) than H, (c) during post-winter of low snow year, the amplitude of LE and H was more
- 550 or less equal and (d) during summer season, the amplitude of LE was greater (higher soil moisture content and evaporation rate) and comparable to H, which is opposite to that of the pattern seen during pre-winter season. However, during winter season the G was close to zero.





The  $R_n$  and G increased rapidly after the sunrise and changed the direction from negative to positive during pre-winter, post-winter and summer seasons. After sunset, the  $R_n$  and G again

- 555 became negative rapidly, but the LE and H gradually decreased to lower values. The LE and H in the morning increased 1 to 2 hours after the R<sub>n</sub> during pre-, post-winter and summer season. In the 2016–17 year (Figure S4), the pre-winter, winter and summer were the same as that of the 2015–16 year. However, during the post-winter season of the 2016–17 year (28 April 2017) the main difference in diurnal changes were found because of the extended snow cover till May
- during that year. The amplitude of Rn was slightly larger. However, all other components (LE, H and G) were of almost zero amplitude.

### 3.4 Comparison of seasonal distinction of SEB during low and high snow years

The seasonal distinction of modelled SEB components (SW<sub>n</sub>, LW<sub>n</sub>, R<sub>n</sub>, LE, H, G and  $F_{surf}$ ) for the low and high snow years of the study period is analysed. The seasons were defined as winter

565 (Sep-April) and summer (May-Aug) (Table 4). These seasons were further divided into two sub-seasons each such as winter but without snow (Sep, Oct, Nov and Dec) and winter with snow (Jan, Feb, Mar and Apr). Similarly, the summer season was divided into two sub-seasons called early summer (May and June; some years with extended snow) and peak summer (July and August).

		20	15-16		2016-17						
SEB Components [W m <sup>-2</sup> ]	Wii (Sen t	nter 9 Apr)	Sumi (May to	mer	Wii (Sen t	nter (Apr)	Summer (Mey to Aug)				
	Sep to Dec (Non-Snow)	Jan to Apr (Snow)	May to Jun (Non-Snow)	Jul-Aug (Peak Summer)	Sep to Dec (Non-Snow)	Jan to Apr (Snow)	May to Jun (Extended Snow)	Jul-Aug (Peak Summer)			
SWn	131.5	65.3	184.1	170.7	134.4	50.8	109.6	176.5			
LWn	-107.9	-70.2	-104.0	-102.6	-111.3	-61.2	-61.7	-91.6			
R <sub>n</sub>	23.6	-4.9	80.1	68.1	23.1	-10.4	47.9	84.9			
LE	2.6	15.0	23.6	11.0	1.8	8.9	23.4	39.0			
Н	27.9	-12.4	36.1	42.1	27.1	-13.6	-3.4	26.8			
G	-7.1	-9.4	20.4	14.9	-5.7	-6.9	9.3	19.1			
F <sub>surf</sub>	0.2	1.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.2	18.6	0.0			

570 Table 4 Mean seasonal values of modelled surface energy balance components.





The mean seasonal variability of modelled SEB during the summer and winter seasons is reported in Table 4. The SEB results show that the mean seasonal  $SW_n$  was highly variable as expected. Both the years observed comparable  $SW_n$  during the snow-free winter period.

- 575 However, the SW<sub>n</sub> was comparatively smaller (50.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during the snow sub-season of 2016-17 as compared to 2015-16 (65.3 W m<sup>-2</sup>). Similarly, comparable SW<sub>n</sub> was observed during the peak summer sub-season of both the years. The key difference is seen during the early summer season (May-June) of 2016 and 2017. 2015-16 was a low snow year with early snowmelt out, and 2016-17 was a high snow year with extended snow cover and late snowmelt
- 580 out. The SW<sub>n</sub> of early summer period was correspondingly smaller (109.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during 2017 as compared to 2016. The LW<sub>n</sub> shows less seasonal variability. Both the years observed comparable LW<sub>n</sub> during the winter season. However, the LW<sub>n</sub> was comparatively smaller during the extended snow (-61.7 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and peak summer (-91.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) sub-season of 2016-17 as compared to the May-June (-104.0 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and peak summer (-102.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) sub-seasons
- of 2015-16. The  $R_n$  (given by the sum of  $SW_n$  and  $LW_n$ ) followed the pattern of  $SW_n$ . Both the years observed comparable  $R_n$  during the snow-free winter period. However, the  $R_n$  was comparatively smaller (-10.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during the snow sub-season of 2016-17 as compared to 2015-16 (-4.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The key difference of  $R_n$  is observed during early summer (May-June) and peak summer (Jul-Aug) of 2016 and 2017, respectively. The  $R_n$  of the early summer period
- 590 was correspondingly larger (80.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during 2016 as compared to 2017 (47.9). However, an opposite pattern was observed during the peak summer sub-season.
  The LE flux shows seasonal variability for both the years. Both the years observed comparable LE during the winter season and May-June sub-season. The key difference in LE is observed during peak summer sub-season (Jul-Aug) of 2016 and 2017. In the peak summer sub-season
- 595 of 2016-17, the LE was higher (39 W m<sup>-2</sup>) as compared to the 2015-16 (11 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The reason behind this is due to the lesser amount of soil water content availability for evaporation during





2015-16 in comparison to high snow year 2016-17. The larger LE during the snow sub-season of both the years shows that sublimation is taking place. The H flux also shows the seasonal variability. The H was comparable during the winter season of both the years. During the

- summer peak summer sub-season of the 2015-16 year, the H was slightly larger (4.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>) as compared to 2016-17 (26.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The key difference in H was observed during the extended snow sub-season of the 2016-17 year when H was much smaller (-3.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to 2015-16 (36.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The reason is due to the extended snow cover during the 2016-17 year.
- 605 During the winter season of both the years, the G was negative and changed the sign to positive during the summer season. The G was comparatively a smaller component. The mean seasonal  $F_{surf}$  was almost equal to zero during all the seasons except during the snow sub-season of both the years and extended snow sub-season of the 2016-17 year. The  $F_{surf}$  (heat flux available for melt) was much higher (18.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during the extended snow sub-season of the 2016-17 year.
- From the inter-year comparison, it was found that the extended snow sub-season of the 2016-17 (high snow year) showed the major differences in energy fluxes between the years.

### 3.5 Model evaluation

In this section, the capability of the 1D GEOtop model to reproduce the point-scale SEB is evaluated. The model was evaluated based on observed radiation components, snow depth and

615 one-year GST. In this study, the simulation results are based on the standard model parameters obtained from the literature (Table 2 and 3, Gubler et al., 2013) and were not improved by trial and error and the same simulation results are used for model evaluation.

### 3.5.1 Evaluation of radiation components

The first step in our model evaluation was to test the radiation components estimated by the 620 model. The comparison of two-year hourly simulated radiations components SW<sub>in</sub>, SW<sub>out</sub>, LW<sub>in</sub> and LW<sub>out</sub> against the field observation are shown in Figure 5. The observed and GEOtop





625

630



Figure 5 Scatter plots of hourly observed and simulated (A) incoming shortwave radiation (SW<sub>in</sub>), (B) outgoing shortwave radiation (SW<sub>out</sub>), (C) incoming longwave radiation (LW<sub>in</sub>) and (D) outgoing longwave radiation (LW<sub>out</sub>) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017. The solid red lines are the 1:1 lines.

estimated SW<sub>in</sub> showed a strong linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.95$ ) and was slightly underestimated (MBD = -5 %) with a high RMSD value of 37 % (Figure 5A). The GEOtop simulated SW<sub>in</sub> fulfils the criteria of  $-5\% \le MBD \le 5\%$  set by Badescu et al. (2012) for estimation of global SW<sub>in</sub> for the Iqbal (1983) model, but the criteria of RMSD  $\le 15\%$  is not fulfilled. The SW<sub>out</sub>



635



also shows good linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.84$ ) but it is slightly underestimated (MBD = -1 %) with high RMSD value of 76 % (Figure 5B). The LW<sub>in</sub> does not show a good linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.67$ ) and was slightly overestimated (MBD = 3 %) with RMSD value of 15 % (Figure 5C). The LW<sub>out</sub> shows a good linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.91$ ) but the GEOtop slightly overestimates the LW<sub>out</sub> (MBD = 2 %) with RMSD value of 8 % (Figure 5D).

#### 3.5.2 Evaluation of snowpack

The main objective of the evaluation of snowpack build up and melt out is to assess the robustness of the modelling exercise. Snow depth variations simulated by GEOtop are compared with observations from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017 (Figure 6). The model

- captures the peaks, start and melt-out dates of the snowpack, as well as overall fluctuations ( $R^2 = 0.93$ ). The maximum h simulated by the GEOtop was 1023.7 mm in comparison to the 1020 mm measured in the field. In the low snow year, the maximum simulated h was 290 mm in comparison to the 280 mm measured in the field. During the melting period of the low and high snow years, the snow depth was slightly under-estimated. However, during the
- 645 accumulation period of high snow year (2016-17), the h was rather overestimated. Furthermore, the simulated melt-out date during the 2016-17 year was slightly delayed by a few days. Overall during the study period, the h was slightly overestimated with MB value of 6 mm. The RMSE value between observed and simulated h was 55 mm. The scatter plot showing the comparison between the simulated and measured h is given in supplementary
- 650 material (Figure *S5*).

655

Furthermore, the performance of the ESOLIP estimated precipitation was evaluated by running the GEOtop model twice, (a) first model run was made with precipitation data measured in the field, and (b) second model run was made with the ESOLIP estimated precipitation as input. The difference in the simulation of snow melt-out date shows the overall quality of the precipitation data. In comparison to the observed precipitation data as model input, the timing,



665



evolution of snowpack and its melt-out are very well reproduced by the GEOtop model when ESOLIP estimated precipitation was used as input (Figure 6). Hence, we can say that the ESOLIP is a good approach for precipitation estimation, where snow depth and basic meteorological measurements are available.



Figure 6 Comparison of hourly observed and GEOtop simulated snow depth (h, mm) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017. The black line denotes the snow depth measured in the field by SR50 sensor. The red (Snow depth\_ESOLIP) and green (Snow depth\_field) lines in the plot denote the GEOtop simulated snow depth based on ESOLIP estimated precipitation and precipitation measured in the field respectively.

#### 3.5.3 Evaluation of near-surface ground temperatures (GST)

After evaluating the SEB and the snow cover, we evaluated the simulated GST at the study site using GEOtop. GST is simulated (GST\_sim) on an hourly basis and compared with the observed values (GST\_obs) near the AWS, available from 1 September 2016 to 31 August

670 2017 (Figure 7). The results show a fairly good linear agreement between the simulated and observed GSTs (Figure *S6*,  $R^2 = 0.95$ ). The GST was slightly underestimated with the MB value of -0.76 °C. The high RMSE value (1.8 °C) shows large outliers in the estimation of



685



GST. However, the thermal influence of the winter snowpack was simulated reasonably well by the 1D GEOtop. For example, the start of dampening of temperature fluctuations by the

675 snowpack was reasonably well estimated by the model; however, towards the melt-out of the snowpack, the simulated zero-curtain was little longer as compared to the observation. The possible reason for this behaviour might be due to the estimated precipitation used as input to the model.

In conclusion, given that the GEOtop can simulate the point snow depth and the GST properly,

680 hence, we believe that the model is reliable enough to make robust calculations of SEB in complex topographies.



Figure 7 Comparison of hourly observed (GST\_obs) and GEOtop simulated near-surface ground temperature (GST\_sim, °C) at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) from 1 September 2016 to 31 August 2017.





# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 A distinction of SEB variations during low and high snow years

Realistic reproduction of seasonal and inter-annual variations in snow depth during the low (2015–16) and high snow (2016–17) years points towards the credible simulation of the SEB during the study period. We further investigated the response of SEB components during these years with contrasting snow cover for a better understanding of the critical periods of meteorological forcing and its characteristics.

To understand the critical periods of meteorological forcing and its effect on modelled SEB fluxes, we will discuss the diurnal variation of modelled SEB only for one season, i.e., post-

- 695 winter, which shows major differences in the amplitude of energy fluxes (Figure 8). During the pre-winter, winter and summer seasons (Figure S3, S4), the diurnal variations of the SEB fluxes on representative, clear days for the 2015-16 year were similar compared to 2016-17. However, during the post-winter season of both the years (Figure 8), the SEB fluxes show different diurnal characteristics. During the post-winter season of 2016–17 year (28 April
- 2017) the main difference in diurnal changes were found because of the extended snow cover till May during that year. For the 2016–17 year, the amplitude of  $R_n$  was slightly larger, whereas, all other components (LE, H and G) were of almost zero amplitude (Figure 8B). The smaller amplitude of LE, H and G is due to the smaller input (solar radiation) and the extended seasonal snow on the ground. Therefore, we can say that the different SEB characteristics
- during these two years' is a reaction to the forcing of precipitation via snowfall.
  During the study period, the proportional contribution shows that the net radiation component dominates (80%) the SEB followed by H (9%) and LE fluxes (5%). The G was limited to 5% of the total flux, and 1% was consumed for melting the seasonal snow. The percentages of the energy fluxes were calculated by following the approach of Zhang et al. (2013).







710

Figure 8 The diurnal change of GEOtop modelled surface energy fluxes on (A) 30 April 2016, and (B) 28 April 2017 representing post-winter season for the 2015-16 and 2016–17 years respectively at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.), in the upper Ganglass catchment, Leh.

The comparison of percentages of modelled SEB components during low (2015-16) and high

- (2016-17) snow years show that net radiation component was equal to 81 and 80% for 2015-16 and 2016-17, respectively. However, the LE was lower (5%) during a low snow year in comparison to 6% modelled during the high snow year. The H was 9 and 8% during the low and high snow years, respectively. The G was found to be 4.7 and 4.5% for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 years, respectively. During the low and high snow years, the energy utilised for
- 720 melting seasonal snow was 0.4 and 1.7%, respectively. The mean monthly modelled SEB components for both the years are given in Table *S2*.

Furthermore, during the study period, the partitioning of energy balance show that 47% (13.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>) of  $R_n$  (28.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was converted into H, 44% (12.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>) into LE, 1% (0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) into G and 7% (2.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>) for melting of seasonal snow. During the 2015-16 year, the 58%





- (18.2 W m<sup>-2</sup>) of  $R_n$  (31.3 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was consumed by H, 37% (11.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) by LE, 1% (0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) by G and 3% (1.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was used for melting seasonal snow, whereas during the 2016-17 year, 31% (8.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) of  $R_n$  (26.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was consumed by H, 52% (14.0 W m<sup>-2</sup>) by LE, 2% (0.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>) by G and 15% (4.0 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was used for melting seasonal snow. However, a distinct variation of energy flux is observed during the month of May-June, when one of the years
- 730 (2016-17) experienced extended snow. The mean monthly comparison of modelled SEB fluxes during the 2015–16 and 2016–17 is shown in (Figure 9). In the early winter (September to December), the mean monthly R<sub>n</sub> and LE were, respectively, the same for both the years. The main difference can be seen from March to May (Figure 9A) for Rn, and LE from February to May (Figure 9B) when the R<sub>n</sub> and LE during low snow year were larger than the high snow
- 735 year. During both the years, the mean monthly H was more or less equal from September to April (Figure 9C), but during the low snow year, the H was higher for rest of the months. However, the mean monthly G does not show a clear pattern (Figure 9D) but the modelled G during both the years follows the pattern of R<sub>n</sub>. We found that April, May and June are the most critical months by experiencing higher R<sub>n</sub>, LE, and H during low snow year as compared
- to high snow years (Figure 9). Also, we found that the mean annual R<sub>n</sub>, LE, H and G were larger during low snow years as compared to high snow years.
  In both low and high snow years (Figure 9E, F), the mean monthly H and LE heat fluxes show a seasonal characteristic, such as the H was higher than the LE from September to December for both the years. But for the low snow year, the H was lower than the LE from January to
- April and after that from May to August again the H was higher than LE. In the high snow year, the LE was higher than the H from January to July and was positive pointing towards the sublimation (January to May) and evaporation processes (June to August).







Figure 9 Comparison of estimated mean monthly surface energy balance components (W m<sup>-2</sup>)
(A) R<sub>n</sub>, (B) LE, (C) H, and (D) G for the low (2015-16) and high (2016-17) snow years, and
(E) and (F) represent the mean monthly surface H and LE (W m-2) for low (2015-16) and high (2016-17) snow years, respectively, at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.).

In early October, the LE began to weaken up to the December for both the years as the seasonally frozen ground began to freeze. Therefore, the seasonal freezing/thawing of the ground affect the LE causing its rapid decrease/increase. Early in October/November, when the seasonally frozen ground began to freeze, the H did not show any significant variability.





However, during summer (from April onwards in 2015–16 and from May onwards in 2016– 17), after the snowmelt, the H increases significantly. Similar kind of variability in the LE and

760 H is also reported from the seasonally frozen ground and permafrost regions of the Tibetan plateau (Gu et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2011).

### 4.2 Influence of snow cover on near-surface ground temperature

Snow cover affects the ground thermal regime by altering the surface energy balance due to its unique characteristics such as, (a) high albedo, (b) high absorptivity, (c) low thermal conductivity, and (d) high latent heat due to snowmelt that is a heat sink (Goodrich, 1982; Gruber, 2005; Zhang, 2005). In comparison to other natural ground surface materials, the low thermal conductivity allows the snow cover to act as an insulator between the atmosphere and the ground. To analyse the effects of snow cover on GST, we plotted the relationship between observed snow depth and GST during the seasonal snow period from 1 January to 23 April

- 770 2017 at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) (Figure 10). For the shallow snow depth, the GST was smaller, and as the depth of snowpack starts increasing, the GST also starts increasing towards 0 °C. The GST varied from -10 °C to about -2 °C under 40 and 900 mm of snow, respectively. During the low snow year, the modelled snow depth show no or small insulating effect on GST (Figure *S7*). However, during the high snow year, the variations of GST are dampened with
- 775 increasing snow depth. Furthermore, during the high snow year, only the modelled snow depth greater than 350 mm shows an insulating effect on GST.

The timing of snow cover start and its duration has a non-linear influence on the ground surface temperatures (Bartlett et al., 2004). In the early winter, a thin snow cover can cool the ground, whereas a thick snow cover insulates the ground from cold air temperature variations (Keller

780 and Gubler, 1993). During both the years, the snowfall in the catchment occurred by the last week of December facilitating the ground cooling by almost three months (October to December) of sub-zero temperatures up to -20 °C. This could be a key factor in controlling the





thermal regime of permafrost in the area. Extended snow cover during the high snow year insulates the ground from warmer temperature during May. Therefore, the observed snow
cover processes in the study area during these two years have a positive influence on permafrost at the study site. However, long-term studies and larger number of direct snow depth measurements at different locations are required for a better understanding of these processes.



Figure 10 Relationship between daily mean observed snow depth (mm) and near-surface
ground temperature (° C) from 1 January to 23 April 2017 at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.). The vertical dashed line shows the peak of the snow accumulation, and after that, snowpack starts melting.

#### 4.3 Comparison with other environments

In this section, the SEB components from our cold-arid catchment in Ladakh, India are compared with other cryospheric systems, globally (Table 5). Although aiming to represent differing permafrost environments, this comparison also includes SEB studies on glaciers for lack of other data. In most of the studies referred here, the radiation components are measured, and the turbulent (H and LE) and ground (G) heat fluxes are modelled.





The mean SW<sub>in</sub> measured (210 W m<sup>-2</sup>) in this study site was comparable with values reported from the Tibetan Plateau (200 W m<sup>-2</sup>) but lower than the Andes (239 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and significantly higher than the values reported from other studies such as the Alps (136 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The different surface albedo (α) values help to distinguish the surface characteristics. The mean α for all the sites where radiation balance is measured either on bedrock or tundra vegetation was smaller than those measured over firn or ice during summer with few exceptions. Albedo ranges for glacier ice from 0.49 to 0.69 and for tundra/bedrock from 0.25 to 0.54. The mean LW<sub>in</sub> (220.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) observed at Leh station is comparable with values observed at Tibetan Plateau (221 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and smaller than the other studies except for Antarctica (184.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>). In the present study, the mean SW<sub>n</sub> is the largest source of energy gain (127 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and LW<sub>n</sub> the largest energy loss and strongly negative (-87.6 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and both were higher than in other studies.

- However, the SW<sub>n</sub> (127 W m<sup>-2</sup>) observed at Leh station was comparable with the values observed in the tropical Andes (123 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The mean measured RH (43 %), and the mean annual precipitation are both smaller in this study than in the other areas compared.
  Based on the comparison of measured radiation and meteorological variables with other, better-investigated regions of the world (Table 5), it was observed that our study area have a very low
- 815 RH (40% compared to ~70% in the Alps) and cloudiness, leading to (a) Reduced LW<sub>in</sub> and strongly negative LW<sub>n</sub> (~90 W m<sup>-2</sup> on average, much more than in the Alps). This will lead to surfaces being overall colder than at a similar location with more RH, (b) Increased SW<sub>in</sub>. This will mean that sun-exposed slopes will receive more radiation and shaded ones less (less diffuse radiation) than in comparable areas, and (c) Increased cooling by stronger evaporation in wet
- 820 places such as meadows. Where there is enough water, you can cool the ground significantly.



825



Table 5 Comparison of mean annual radiation and SEB components and meteorological variables with other regions of the world. (SW<sub>in</sub> = Incoming shortwave radiation, SW<sub>out</sub> = Outgoing shortwave radiation, albedo =  $\alpha$ , LW<sub>in</sub> = Incoming longwave radiation, LW<sub>out</sub> = Outgoing longwave radiation, SW<sub>n</sub> = Net shortwave radiation, LW<sub>n</sub> = Net longwave radiation, RH = Relative humidity, R<sub>n</sub> = Net radiation, LE = Latent heat flux, H = Sensible heat flux, G = Ground heat flux, SEB = energy available at surface, MAAT = Mean annual air temperature, P = Precipitation, NA = Not available). The LE, H, and G are the modelled values. All the radiation components and heat fluxes are in units of W m<sup>-2</sup>.

Variable	Leh	Tibetan Plateau		Swiss Alps	Tropical Andes	New Zealand (Alps)	Canada	Sub-Arctic	Greenland	High Arctic (Norway)					Antarctic
SWin	210.4	230	136	149	239	140	136	101.3	110	79.5	122	78	108	124	94.2
SWout	-83.4	-157	-72	-74	-116	-93	-94	-25.7	-70	-39.5	-38	-42	-70	-79.7	-52.0
α (-)	0.40	0.68	0.53	0.5	0.49	0.66	0.69	0.25	0.64	0.50	0.31	0.54	0.65	0.64	0.55
LWin	220.4	221	NA	260	272	278	248	310	246	263.7	261	254	272	NA	184.1
LWout	-308.0	-277	NA	-308	-311	-305	-278	-349.8	-281	-299.0	-300	-286	-292	NA	-233.2
SWn	127.0	73	64	75	123	48	42	75.6	40	40.0	84	36	38	44.3	42.2
LWn	-87.6	-56	-36	-48	-39	-27	-30	-39.8	-36	-35.3	-39	-32	-20	-49.2	-49.1
RH (%)	43.3	59	64	59	81	78	71	~75	75	74.8	83	74	77.9	50.8	69.4
R <sub>n</sub>	39.4	17	28	27	84	21	12	37.1	4	4.78	45	4	18	-4.9	-6.9





LE	12.8	-11	6	-1	-27	1	-15	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.8	1	-62.1	-5.0
н	13.5	13	36	-3	21	30	-5	2.9	NA	NA	- 34.2	-6.9	15	28	12.1
G	0.4	2	3	-2	NA	2	0.5	1.9	NA	NA	-3.5	~0.5	3	-0.12	0.2
MAAT (°C)	-2.5	-6.3	2.1	-1.1	0.3	1.2	-4.2	6	-5.45	-2.86	-3.4	-5.4	-1.9	-10.2	-18.8
P (mm)	114	1250	NA	NA	970	NA	NA	369	NA	581.2	800	NA	NA	NA	NA
Time period	Sep 2015 to Aug 2017	Aug 2010 to Jul 2012	Jan to Dec 2000	Feb 1997 to Jan 1998	Mar 2002 to Mar 2003	Oct 2010 to Sep 2012	2002-2013	Jan to Dec 2013	Aug 2003 to Aug 2007	Jan 2015 to Dec 2015	Jan to Dec 2000	Mar 2008 to Mar 2009	Sep 2001 to Sep 2006	Mar 2007 to Jan 2013	Apr 1988 to Mar 1989
Surface type	Bedrock/debris	Glacier ice	Glacier ice	Bedrock/debris	Glacier ice	Glacier ice	Glacier ice	Peatland	Glacier ice	Tundra vegetation	Bedrock/debris	Tundra vegetation	Glacier ice	Ice sheet	Ice sheet
Location	Cold-arid, Ladakh	Zhadang Glacier, Tibetan Plateau	Morteratschgletsche glacier, Switzerland	Murtèl- Corvatsch rock glacier, Switzerland	Antizana glacier 15, Ecuador	Brewster Glacier, New Zealand	Haig Glacier, Canadian rocky mountains	Peatland complex Stordalen, Sweden	west Greenland ice sheet	Bayelva, Spitsbergen, Norway	Juvvasshøe, southern Norway	Svalbard, Norway	Storbreen glacier, Norway	Schirmacher Oasis, Antarctica	Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica
Elevation (m)	4727	5665	2100	2700	4890	1760	2665	380	490	25	1894	25	1570	142	1150





Latitude	34.255° N	30.476° N	46.400° N	46.433° N	0.467° S	44.084° S	50.717° N	68.349° N	67.100° N	78.551° N	61.676° N	78.917° N	61.600° N	70.733° S	74.481° S
Source	This Study	(Zhu et al., 2015)	(Oerlemans and Klok, 2002)	(Stocker-Mittaz, 2002)	(Favier, 2004)	(Cullen and Conway, 2015)	(Marshall, 2014)	(Stiegler et al., 2016)	(van den Broeke et al., 2008)	(Boike et al., 2018)	(Isaksen et al., 2003)	(Westermann et al., 2009)	(Giesen et al., 2009)	(Ganju and Gusain, 2017)	(Bintanja et al., 1997)





# 5 Conclusion

In the high-elevation, cold-arid regions of Ladakh significant areas of permafrost occurrence are highly likely (Wani et al., 2020) and large areas experience deep seasonal freeze-thaw. The 830 present study is aimed at providing first insight on the surface energy balance characteristics of this permafrost environment. The one-dimensional mode of GEOtop model was used to estimate the surface energy balance at South-Pullu (4727 m a.s.l.) in the upper Ganglass catchment from 1 September 2015 to 31 August 2017 using in-situ meteorological data. The model performance was evaluated using measured radiation components, snow depth 835 variations and one-year near-surface ground temperatures which shows good agreement. For the period under study, the surface energy balance characteristics of the cold-arid site in the Indian Himalayan region show that the net radiation was the major component with a daily mean value of 28.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>, followed by sensible heat flux (13.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and latent heat flux (12.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>), and the daily mean ground heat flux was equal to 0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>. During the study 840 period, the partitioning of surface energy balance show that 47% (13.5 W m<sup>-2</sup>) of  $R_n$  (28.9 W m<sup>-2</sup>) was converted into H, 44% (12.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>) into LE, 1% (0.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) into G and 7% (2.1 W

m<sup>-2</sup>) for melting of seasonal snow. Among the two observation years, one was low snow year (maximum snow depth of 258 mm and duration of 120 days) and the another was high (maximum snow depth of 991 mm and duration of 142 days). During these low and high snow

- 845 years, the energy utilised for melting seasonal snow was 3% and 15%, respectively. Key differences in surface energy balance characteristics were observed during early (May-June) and peak (July-August) summer season of the high snow year. For example, the latent heat flux was higher (39 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during the peak summer of high snow year compared to the low snow year (11 W m<sup>-2</sup>). However, the sensible heat flux during the early summer season of the high
- snow year was much smaller (-3.4 W m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to the low snow year (36.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>). The diurnal variation of surface energy balance components shows that the extended snowfall





during the high snow year affects surface energy balance characteristics at the study site. The air temperature throughout the study period varies between -23.7 to 18.1 °C with a mean annual average temperature of -2.5 °C, whereas, the near-surface ground temperature ranges from -

- 9.8 to 19.1 °C with a mean annual value of 2.1 °C. During both the low and high years, the snowfall in the catchment occurred by the last week of December facilitating the ground cooling by almost three months (October to December) of sub-zero temperatures up to -20 °C. The extended snow cover during the high snow year also insulates the ground from warmer temperature until May. Therefore, the late occurrence of snow and extended snow cover could
- 860 be the key factors in controlling the thermal regime of permafrost in the area. A comparison of observed radiation and meteorological variables with other regions of the world show that the study site/region at Ladakh have a very low relative humidity (RH) in the range of 43% compared to, e.g. ~70% in the Alps. Therefore, rarefied and dry atmosphere of the cold-arid Himalaya could be impacting the energy regime in multiple ways. (a) This results in the
- 865 reduced amount of incoming longwave radiation and strongly negative net longwave radiation, in the range of -90 W m<sup>-2</sup> compared to -40 W m<sup>-2</sup> in the Alps and therefore, leading to colder land surfaces as compared to the other mountain environment with higher RH. (b) Higher global shortwave radiation leads to more radiation received by sun-exposed slopes than shaded ones in comparable areas and wet places such as meadows, etc. experience increased cooling
- 870 as a result of stronger evaporation. However, sun-exposed dry areas could be warmer, leading to significant spatial inhomogeneity in permafrost distribution. The current study gives a firstorder overview of the surface energy balance from the cold-arid Himalaya in the context of permafrost, and we hope this will encourage similar studies at other locations in the region, which would greatly improve the understanding of the climate from the region.

875





## Acknowledgements

John Mohd Wani acknowledges the Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD) Government of India (GOI) fellowship for carrying out his PhD work. Renoj J. Thayyen thanks the National Institute of Hydrology (NIH) Roorkee and SERB (Project No. EMR/2015/000887) for funding the instrumentation in the Ganglass catchment. The first

EMR/2015/000887) for funding the instrumentation in the Ganglass catchment. The first insight into the use of GEOtop permafrost spin up scheme by Joel Fiddes is highly acknowledged. We acknowledge the developers of GEOtop, for keeping the software opensource and free. The source code of the GEOtop model 2.0 (Endrizzi et al., 2014) used is freely available at <a href="https://github.com/geotopmodel/geotop/tree/se27xx">https://github.com/geotopmodel/geotop/tree/se27xx</a>.

## 885 Conflicts of interest

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest.

#### **Author contributions**

JMW participated in data collection in the field, carried out the data analysis and processing, run the GEOtop model and prepared the manuscript. RJT arranged field instruments, organised

890 fieldwork for instrumentation and data collection, contributed to the data analysis and manuscript preparation. CSPO assisted in data analysis and manuscript preparation. SG assisted in setting up GEOtop model, analysis of results and manuscript preparation.



895



# References

1997.

920

Ali, S. N., Quamar, M. F., Phartiyal, B. and Sharma, A.: Need for Permafrost Researches in Indian Himalaya, J. Clim. Chang., 4(1), 33–36, doi:10.3233/jcc-180004, 2018.

- Allen, S. K., Fiddes, J., Linsbauer, A., Randhawa, S. S., Saklani, B. and Salzmann, N.: Permafrost Studies in Kullu District, Himachal Pradesh, Curr. Sci., 111(3), 550–553, doi:10.18520/cs/v111/i3/550-553, 2016.
- Azam, M. F., Wagnon, P., Vincent, C., Ramanathan, A. L., Favier, V., Mandal, A. and
  Pottakkal, J. G.: Processes governing the mass balance of Chhota Shigri Glacier (western Himalaya, India) assessed by point-scale surface energy balance measurements, Cryosph., 8(6), 2195–2217, doi:10.5194/tc-8-2195-2014, 2014.
  Badescu, V., Gueymard, C. A., Cheval, S., Oprea, C., Baciu, M., Dumitrescu, A., Iacobescu,
- F., Milos, I. and Rada, C.: Computing global and diffuse solar hourly irradiation on clear sky.
  Review and testing of 54 models, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 16(3), 1636–1656, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.010, 2012.
  Baral, P., Haq, M. A. and Yaragal, S.: Assessment of rock glaciers and permafrost distribution in Uttarakhand, India, Permafr. Periglac. Process., (April 2018), 1–26, doi:10.1002/ppp.2008, 2019.
- Bartlett, M. G., Chapman, D. S. and Harris, R. N.: Snow and the ground temperature record of climate change, J. Geophys. Res., 109(F4), 1–14, doi:10.1029/2004JF000224, 2004.
  Bertoldi, G., Notarnicola, C., Leitinger, G., Endrizzi, S., Zebisch, M., Della Chiesa, S. and Tappeiner, U.: Topographical and ecohydrological controls on land surface temperature in an alpine catchment, Ecohydrology, 3(2), 189–204, doi:10.1002/eco.129, 2010.
- Bhutiyani, M. R., Kale, V. S. and Pawar, N. J.: Long-term trends in maximum, minimum and mean annual air temperatures across the Northwestern Himalaya during the twentieth century, Clim. Change, 85(1–2), 159–177, doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9196-1, 2007.
  Bintanja, R., Jonsson, S. and Knap, W. H.: The annual cycle of the surface energy balance of Antarctic blue ice, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 102(D2), 1867–1881, doi:10.1029/96JD01801,
  - Boeckli, L., Brenning, A., Gruber, S. and Noetzli, J.: A statistical approach to modelling permafrost distribution in the European Alps or similar mountain ranges, Cryosph., 6(1), 125–140, doi:10.5194/tc-6-125-2012, 2012.
- Boike, J., Wille, C. and Abnizova, A.: Climatology and summer energy and water balance of
  polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 113(G3), 1–15,
  doi:10.1029/2007JG000540, 2008.





Boike, J., Juszak, I., Lange, S., Chadburn, S., Burke, E., Overduin, P. P., Roth, K., Ippisch, O., Bornemann, N., Stern, L., Gouttevin, I., Hauber, E. and Westermann, S.: A 20-year record (1998-2017) of permafrost, active layer and meteorological conditions at a high Arctic

930 permafrost research site (Bayelva, Spitsbergen), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10(1), 355–390, doi:10.5194/essd-10-355-2018, 2018.

Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J. G., Frey, H., Kargel, J. S., Fujita, K., Scheel, M. and others: The state and fate of Himalayan glaciers, Science (80-. )., 336(6079), 310–314, doi:10.1126/science.1215828, 2012.

- van den Broeke, M., van As, D., Reijmer, C. and van de Wal, R.: Assessing and Improving the Quality of Unattended Radiation Observations in Antarctica, J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 21(9), 1417–1431, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2004)021<1417:AAITQO>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
  van den Broeke, M., Smeets, P., Ettema, J. and Munneke, P. K.: Surface radiation balance in the ablation zone of the west Greenland ice sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D13), 1–14,
- doi:10.1029/2007JD009283, 2008.
  Brutsaert, W.: A theory for local evaporation (or heat transfer) from rough and smooth surfaces at ground level, Water Resour. Res., 11(4), 543–550, doi:10.1029/WR011i004p00543, 1975.
  Cao, B., Quan, X., Brown, N., Stewart-Jones, E. and Gruber, S.: GlobSim (v1.0): Deriving meteorological time series for point locations from multiple global reanalyses, Geosci. Model
- Dev. Discuss., (July), 1–29, doi:10.5194/gmd-2019-157, 2019.
  Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: openair An R package for air quality data analysis, Environ.
  Model. Softw., 27–28, 52–61, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.09.008, 2012.
  Crawford, T. M. and Duchon, C. E.: An Improved Parameterization for Estimating Effective
  Atmospheric Emissivity for Use in Calculating Daytime Downwelling Longwave Radiation,
- 950 J. Appl. Meteorol., 38(4), 474–480, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1999)038<0474:AIPFEE>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
   Cullen, N. J. and Conway, J. P.: A 22 month record of surface meteorology and energy balance from the ablation zone of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, J. Glaciol., 61(229), 931–946, doi:10.3189/2015JoG15J004, 2015.
- 955 Dall'Amico, M., Endrizzi, S., Gruber, S. and Rigon, R.: A robust and energy-conserving model of freezing variably-saturated soil, Cryosph., 5(2), 469–484, doi:10.5194/tc-5-469-2011, 2011a.

Dall'Amico, M., Endrizzi, S. and Rigon, R.: Snow mapping of an alpine catchment through the hydrological model GEOtop, in Proceedings Conference Eaux en montagne, Lyon, pp. 16–

960 17., 2011b.



965



Dall'Amico, M., Endrizzi, S. and Tasin, S.: MYSNOWMAPS: OPERATIVE HIGH-RESOLUTION REAL-TIME SNOW MAPPING, in Proceedings, International Snow Science Workshop, pp. 328–332, Innsbruck, Austria., 2018.

Dickinson, R. E., Henderson-Sellers, A. and Kennedy, P. J.: Biosphere-atmosphere transfer scheme (BATS) version 1e as coupled to the NCAR community climate model., 1993.

Endrizzi, S.: Snow cover modelling at a local and distributed scale over complex terrain, University of Trento., 2007.

Endrizzi, S., Gruber, S., Dall'Amico, M. and Rigon, R.: GEOtop 2.0: simulating the combined energy and water balance at and below the land surface accounting for soil freezing, snow

- cover and terrain effects, Geosci. Model Dev., 7(6), 2831–2857, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2831-2014, 2014.
  Erbs, D. G., Klein, S. A. and Duffie, J. A.: Estimation of the diffuse radiation fraction for hourly, daily and monthly-average global radiation, Sol. Energy, 28(4), 293–302, doi:10.1016/0038-092X(82)90302-4, 1982.
- 975 Eugster, W., Rouse, W. R., Pielke Sr, R. A., Mcfadden, J. P., Baldocchi, D. D., Kittel, T. G. F., Chapin, F. S., Liston, G. E., Vidale, P. L., Vaganov, E. and Chambers, S.: Land-atmosphere energy exchange in Arctic tundra and boreal forest: available data and feedbacks to climate, Glob. Chang. Biol., 6(S1), 84–115, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.06015.x, 2000.

Favier, V.: One-year measurements of surface heat budget on the ablation zone of Antizana

Glacier 15, Ecuadorian Andes, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D18), 1–15, doi:10.1029/2003JD004359, 2004.

Fiddes, J. and Gruber, S.: TopoSUB: a tool for efficient large area numerical modelling in complex topography at sub-grid scales, Geosci. Model Dev., 5(5), 1245–1257, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1245-2012, 2012.

- Fiddes, J., Endrizzi, S. and Gruber, S.: Large-area land surface simulations in heterogeneous terrain driven by global data sets: application to mountain permafrost, Cryosph., 9(1), 411–426, doi:10.5194/tc-9-411-2015, 2015.
  Ganju, A. and Gusain, H. S.: Six Years Observations ond Analysis of Radiation Parameters and Surface Energy Fluxes on Ice Sheet Near 'Maitri'Research Station, East Antarctica, Proc.
- Indian Natl. Sci. Acad., 83(2), 449–460, 2017.
  Garratt, J. R.: The atmospheric boundary layer. Cambridge atmospheric and space science series, Cambridge University Press., 1994.
  Giesen, R. H., Andreassen, L. M., van den Broeke, M. R. and Oerlemans, J.: Comparison of

the meteorology and surface energy balance at Storbreen and Midtdalsbreen, two glaciers in



1020



- southern Norway, Cryosph., 3(1), 57–74, doi:10.5194/tc-3-57-2009, 2009.
  Goodrich, L. E.: The influence of snow cover on the ground thermal regime, Can. Geotech. J., 19(4), 421–432, doi:10.1139/t82-047, 1982.
  Gruber, S.: Mountain permafrost: transient spatial modelling, model verification and the use of remote sensing, University of Zurich., 2005.
- Gruber, S., Fleiner, R., Guegan, E., Panday, P., Schmid, M. O., Stumm, D., Wester, P., Zhang, Y. and Zhao, L.: Review article: Inferring permafrost and permafrost thaw in the mountains of the Hindu Kush Himalaya region, Cryosph., 11(1), 81–99, doi:10.5194/tc-11-81-2017, 2017.
  Gu, L., Yao, J., Hu, Z. and Zhao, L.: Comparison of the surface energy budget between regions of seasonally frozen ground and permafrost on the Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res., 153, 553–
- 564, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.10.012, 2015.
  Gubler, S.: Measurement Variability and Model Uncertainty in Mountain Permafrost Research, University of Zurich., 2013.
  Gubler, S., Gruber, S. and Purves, R. S.: Uncertainties of parameterized surface downward clear-sky shortwave and all-sky longwave radiation., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(11), 5077–5098,
- doi:10.5194/acp-12-5077-2012, 2012.
   Gubler, S., Endrizzi, S., Gruber, S. and Purves, R. S.: Sensitivities and uncertainties of modeled ground temperatures in mountain environments, Geosci. Model Dev., 6(4), 1319–1336, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1319-2013, 2013.

Gueymard, C. A.: Clear-sky irradiance predictions for solar resource mapping and large-scale

1015 applications: Improved validation methodology and detailed performance analysis of 18 broadband radiative models, Sol. Energy, 86(8), 2145–2169, doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.11.011, 2012.

Hasler, A., Geertsema, M., Foord, V., Gruber, S. and Noetzli, J.: The influence of surface characteristics, topography and continentality on mountain permafrost in British Columbia, Cryosph., 9(3), 1025–1038, doi:10.5194/tc-9-1025-2015, 2015.

- Immerzeel, W. W., van Beek, L. P. H., Konz, M., Shrestha, A. B. and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Hydrological response to climate change in a glacierized catchment in the Himalayas, Clim. Change, 110(3–4), 721–736, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0143-4, 2012. Immerzeel, W. W., Wanders, N., Lutz, A. F., Shea, J. M. and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Reconciling
- high-altitude precipitation in the upper Indus basin with glacier mass balances and runoff, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19(11), 4673–4687, doi:10.5194/hess-19-4673-2015, 2015.
  Iqbal, M.: An Introduction to Solar Radiation, Elsevier., 1983.
  Isaksen, K., Heggem, E. S. F., Bakkehøi, S., Ødegård, R. S., Eiken, T., Etzelmüller, B. and





Sollid, J. L.: Mountain permafrost and energy balance on Juvvasshøe, southern Norway, in
Eight International Conference on Permafrost, vol. 1, edited by M. Phillips, S. Springman, and
L. Arenson, pp. 467–472, Swets & Zeitlinger, Lisse, Zurich, Switzerland., 2003.
Jordan, R. E., Andreas, E. L. and Makshtas, A. P.: Heat budget of snow-covered sea ice at
North Pole 4, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 104(C4), 7785–7806, doi:10.1029/1999JC900011, 1999.

1035 Jowhar, T. N.: Geobarometric constraints on the depth of emplacement of granite from the Ladakh batholith, Northwest Himalaya, India., J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci., 96(6), 256–264, doi:10.2465/jmps.96.256, 2001.

Kaser, G., Grosshauser, M. and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different climate regimes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107(47), 20223–20227,

- doi:10.1073/pnas.1008162107, 2010.
   Keller, F. and Gubler, H.: Interaction between snow cover and high mountain permafrost, Murtèl-Corvatsch, Swiss Alps, in Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Permafrost, Bejing, China, pp. 332–337, South China University of Thechnology Press, Beijing, China., 1993.
- Kodama, Y., Sato, N., Yabuki, H., Ishii, Y., Nomura, M. and Ohata, T.: Wind direction dependency of water and energy fluxes and synoptic conditions over a tundra near Tiksi, Siberia, Hydrol. Process., 21(15), 2028–2037, doi:10.1002/hyp.6712, 2007.
  Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K. and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia Part 2: Winter, Cryosphere, 5(2), 509–524,
- doi:10.5194/tc-5-509-2011, 2011a.
  Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K. and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia Part 1: Spring to fall, Cryosph., 5(1), 151–171, doi:10.5194/tc-5-151-2011, 2011b.

Lloyd, C. R., Harding, R. J., Friborg, T. and Aurela, M.: Surface fluxes of heat and water
vapour from sites in the European Arctic, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 70(1–4), 19–33, doi:10.1007/s007040170003, 2001.

Lone, S. A., Jeelani, G., Deshpande, R. D. and Mukherjee, A.: Stable isotope (δ18O and δD) dynamics of precipitation in a high altitude Himalayan cold desert and its surroundings in Indus river basin, Ladakh, Atmos. Res., 221(October 2018), 46–57, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.01.025, 2019.

Lunardini, V. J.: Heat transfer in cold climates, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company., 1981. Lutz, A. F., Immerzeel, W. W., Shrestha, A. B. and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Consistent increase in





High Asia's runoff due to increasing glacier melt and precipitation, Nat. Clim. Chang., 4(7), 587–592, doi:10.1038/nclimate2237, 2014.

- Lynch, A. H., Chapin, F. S., Hinzman, L. D., Wu, W., Lilly, E., Vourlitis, G. and Kim, E.: Surface Energy Balance on the Arctic Tundra: Measurements and Models, J. Clim., 12(8), 2585–2606, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<2585:SEBOTA>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
  MacDonell, S., Kinnard, C., Mölg, T., Nicholson, L. and Abermann, J.: Meteorological drivers of ablation processes on a cold glacier in the semi-arid Andes of Chile, Cryosph., 7(5), 1513–
- 1070 1526, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1513-2013, 2013.
  Mair, E., Leitinger, G., Della Chiesa, S., Niedrist, G., Tappeiner, U. and Bertoldi, G.: A simple method to combine snow height and meteorological observations to estimate winter precipitation at sub-daily resolution, Hydrol. Sci. J., 61(11), 2050–2060, doi:10.1080/02626667.2015.1081203, 2016.
- Marshall, S. J.: Meltwater run-off from Haig Glacier, Canadian Rocky Mountains, 2002–2013, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18(12), 5181–5200, doi:10.5194/hess-18-5181-2014, 2014.
   Martin, E. and Lejeune, Y.: Turbulent fluxes above the snow surface, Ann. Glaciol., 26(1),

179–183, doi:10.3189/1998AoG26-1-179-183, 1998.

- McBean, G. A. and Miyake, M.: Turbulent transfer mechanisms in the atmospheric surface layer, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 98(416), 383–398, doi:10.1002/qj.49709841610, 1972.
  Mittaz, C., Hoelzle, M. and Haeberli, W.: First results and interpretation of energy-flux measurements over Alpine permafrost, Ann. Glaciol., 31, 275–280, doi:10.3189/172756400781820363, 2000.
- Monin, A. S. and Obukhov, A. M.: Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the atmosphere near the ground, Tr. Akad. Nauk SSSR Geofiz. Inst, 24(151), 163–187, 1954.
  Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Liew, M. W. Van, Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D. and Veith, T. L.: Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations, Am. Soc. Agric. Biol. Eng., 50(3), 885–900 [online] Available from:
- https://pubag.nal.usda.gov/catalog/9298, 2007.
  Nicholson, L. I., Prinz, R., Mölg, T. and Kaser, G.: Micrometeorological conditions and surface mass and energy fluxes on Lewis Glacier, Mt Kenya, in relation to other tropical glaciers, Cryosph., 7(4), 1205–1225, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1205-2013, 2013.
  Oerlemans, J. and Klok, E. J.: Energy Balance of a Glacier Surface: Analysis of Automatic
- 1095 Weather Station Data from the Morteratschgletscher, Switzerland, Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res., 34(4), 477–485, doi:10.1080/15230430.2002.12003519, 2002.



1100



Ohmura, A.: Climate and energy balance on the arctic tundra, J. Climatol., 2(1), 65-84, doi:10.1002/joc.3370020106, 1982.

Ohmura, A.: Comparative energy balance study for arctic tundra, sea surface glaciers and boreal forests, GeoJournal, 8(3), 221–228, doi:10.1007/BF00446471, 1984.

Oke, T. R.: Boundary Layer Climates, Routledge., 2002.

Pandey, P.: Inventory of rock glaciers in Himachal Himalaya, India using high-resolution Google Earth imagery, Geomorphology, 340, 103–115, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.05.001, 2019.

- PERMOS: Permafrost in Switzerland 2014/2015 to 2017/2018. Noetzli, J., Pellet, C. and Staub,
   B. (eds.), Glaciological Report Permafrost No. 16-19 of the Cryospheric Commission of the
   Swiss Academy of Sciences, 104 pp., 2019.
   Pogliotti, P.: Influence of snow cover on MAGST over complex morphologies in mountain
   permafrost regions, University of Torino, Torino., 2011.
- Pritchard, H. D.: Asia's shrinking glaciers protect large populations from drought stress, Nature, 569(7758), 649–654, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1240-1, 2019.
  Priya, N., Thayyen, R. J., Ramanathan, A. and Singh, V. B.: Hydrochemistry and dissolved solute load of meltwater in a catchment of a cold-arid trans-Himalayan region of Ladakh over an entire melting period, Hydrol. Res., 47(6), 1224–1238, doi:10.2166/nh.2016.156, 2016.
- R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, [online] Available from: https://www.r-project.org/, 2016.
  Rasmussen, R., Baker, B., Kochendorfer, J., Meyers, T., Landolt, S., Fischer, A. P., Black, J., Thériault, J. M., Kucera, P., Gochis, D., Smith, C., Nitu, R., Hall, M., Ikeda, K. and Gutmann, E.: How Well Are We Measuring Snow: The NOAA/FAA/NCAR Winter Precipitation Test
- Bed, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 93(6), 811–829, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00052.1, 2012.
   Rastogi, S. P. and Narayan, S.: Permafrost areas in Tso Kar Basin, in Symposium for Snow, Ice and Glaciers, March 1999, pp. 315–319, Geological Survey of India Special Publication 53., 1999.

Schmid, M.-O., Baral, P., Gruber, S., Shahi, S., Shrestha, T., Stumm, D. and Wester, P.:

1125 Assessment of permafrost distribution maps in the Hindu Kush Himalayan region using rock glaciers mapped in Google Earth, Cryosph., 9(6), 2089–2099, doi:10.5194/tc-9-2089-2015, 2015.

Sharma, K. K. and Choubey, V. M.: Petrology, geochemistry and geochronology of the southern margin of the Ladakh Batholith between Upshi and Chumathang, Geol. Indus Suture

1130 Zo. Ladakh. Wadia Inst. Himal. Geol. Dehra Dun, India, 41–60, 1983.



1135



Stiegler, C., Johansson, M., Christensen, T. R., Mastepanov, M. and Lindroth, A.: Tundra permafrost thaw causes significant shifts in energy partitioning, Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 68(1), 1–11, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v68.30467, 2016.

Stocker-Mittaz, C.: Permafrost Distribution Modeling Based on Energy Balance Data, University of Zurich, Switzerland., 2002.

- Stow, C. A., Roessler, C., Borsuk, M. E., Bowen, J. D. and Reckhow, K. H.: Comparison of Estuarine Water Quality Models for Total Maximum Daily Load Development in Neuse River Estuary, J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag., 129(4), 307–314, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9496(2003)129:4(307), 2003.
- Strugnell, N. C. and Lucht, W.: An Algorithm to Infer Continental-Scale Albedo from AVHRR Data, Land Cover Class, and Field Observations of Typical BRDFs, J. Clim., 14(7), 1360– 1376, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1360:AATICS>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
  Thakur, V. C.: Indus tsangpo suture zone in ladakh-its tectonostratigraphy and tectonics, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. - Earth Planet. Sci., 99(2), 169–185, doi:10.1007/BF02839388, 1990.
- 1145 Thayyen, R. J.: Ground ice melt in the catchment runoff in the Himalayan cold-arid system, in IGS Symposium on Glaciology in High-Mountain Asia, Kathmandu, Nepal, 1-6 March 2015, Kathmandu, Nepal., 2015.

Thayyen, R. J. and Dimri, A. P.: Factors controlling Slope Environmental Lapse Rate (SELR) of temperature in the monsoon and cold-arid glacio-hydrological regimes of the Himalaya,

- Cryosph. Discuss., 8(6), 5645–5686, doi:10.5194/tcd-8-5645-2014, 2014.
  Thayyen, R. J. and Gergan, J. T.: Role of glaciers in watershed hydrology: a preliminary study of a "Himalayan catchment," Cryosph., 4(1), 115–128, doi:10.5194/tc-4-115-2010, 2010.
  Thayyen, R. J., Dimri, A. P., Kumar, P. and Agnihotri, G.: Study of cloudburst and flash floods around Leh, India, during August 4–6, 2010, Nat. Hazards, 65(3), 2175–2204,
- doi:10.1007/s11069-012-0464-2, 2013.
  Thayyen, R. J., Rai, S. P. and Goel, M. K.: Glaciological studies of Phuche glacier, Ladakh Range., 2015.
  Trivedi, J. R., Gopalan, K., Sharma, K. K., Gupta, K. R. and Choubey, V. M.: Rb–Sr age of Gaik granite, Ladakh batholith, northwest Himalaya, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Earth Planet.
- Sci., 91(1), 65–73, doi:10.1007/BF03028028, 1982.
  Upadhyay, R., Frisch, W. and Siebel, W.: Tectonic implications of new U–Pb zircon ages of the Ladakh batholith, Indus suture zone, northwest Himalaya, India, Terra Nov., 20(4), 309–317, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3121.2008.00822.x, 2008.
  W. i. L.M. Theorem B. L. Conker, Social and Structure Description of the structure of the

Wani, J. M., Thayyen, R. J., Gruber, S., Ojha, C. S. P. and Stumm, D.: Single-year thermal





- regime and inferred permafrost occurrence in the upper Ganglass catchment of the cold-arid Himalaya, Ladakh, India, Sci. Total Environ., 703, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134631, 2020.
   Westermann, S., Lüers, J., Langer, M., Piel, K. and Boike, J.: The annual surface energy budget of a high-arctic permafrost site on Svalbard, Norway, Cryosph., 3(2), 245–263, doi:10.5194/tc-3-245-2009, 2009.
- Wickham, H.: ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, [online] Available from: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org, 2016.
  Wickham, H.: tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the "Tidyverse"., [online] Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/package=tidyverse, 2017.
  Wickham, H. and Francois, R.: dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation, [online] Available
- from: https://cran.r-project.org/package=dplyr, 2016.
  Wilke, C. O.: cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2," [online] Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/package=cowplot, 2019.
  Williams, P. J. and Smith, M. W.: The Frozen Earth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., 1989.
- 1180 Wünnemann, B., Reinhardt, C., Kotlia, B. S. and Riedel, F.: Observations on the relationship between lake formation, permafrost activity and lithalsa development during the last 20 000 years in the Tso Kar basin, Ladakh, India, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 19(4), 341–358, doi:10.1002/ppp.631, 2008.

Xia, Z.: Simulation of the Bare Soil Surface Energy Balance at the Tongyu Reference Site in

- Semiarid Area of North China, Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lett., 3(6), 330–335, doi:10.1080/16742834.2010.11446892, 2010.
  Yang, D., Goodison, B. E., Metcalfe, J. R., Louie, P., Leavesley, G., Emerson, D., Hanson, C. L., Golubev, V. S., Elomaa, E., Gunther, T., Pangburn, T., Kang, E. and Milkovic, J.: Quantification of precipitation measurement discontinuity induced by wind shields on national
- gauges, Water Resour. Res., 35(2), 491–508, doi:10.1029/1998WR900042, 1999.
  Yao, J., Zhao, L., Gu, L., Qiao, Y. and Jiao, K.: The surface energy budget in the permafrost region of the Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res., 102(4), 394–407, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.09.001, 2011.
  Ye, Z. and Pielke, R. A.: Atmospheric Parameterization of Evaporation from Non-Plant-
- 1195 covered Surfaces, J. Appl. Meteorol., 32(7), 1248–1258, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1993)032<1248:APOEFN>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
  Zanotti, F., Endrizzi, S., Bertoldi, G. and Rigon, R.: The GEOTOP snow module, Hydrol. Process., 18(18), 3667–3679, doi:10.1002/hyp.5794, 2004.





Zhang, G., Kang, S., Fujita, K., Huintjes, E., Xu, J., Yamazaki, T., Haginoya, S., Wei, Y.,
Scherer, D., Schneider, C. and Yao, T.: Energy and mass balance of Zhadang glacier surface, central Tibetan Plateau, J. Glaciol., 59(213), 137–148, doi:10.3189/2013JoG12J152, 2013.
Zhang, T.: Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An overview, Rev. Geophys., 43(4), 1–23, doi:10.1029/2004RG000157, 2005.
Zhu, M., Yao, T., Yang, W., Maussion, F., Huintjes, E. and Li, S.: Energy- and mass-balance comparison between Zhadang and Parlung No. 4 glaciers on the Tibetan Plateau, J. Glaciol.,

61(227), 595-607, doi:10.3189/2015JoG14J206, 2015.