

## ***Interactive comment on “Past ice sheet-seabed interactions in the northeastern Weddell Sea Embayment, Antarctica” by Jan Erik Arndt et al.***

**Chris Clark (Referee)**

c.clark@sheffield.ac.uk

Received and published: 30 January 2020

**Overview** The paper is exceptionally well written and presented and shows some well-known landform types on the seafloor that are used to build a reconstruction of the ice stream and ice shelf and their flow pattern and retreat. Some dating control is presented to anchor the reconstruction in time. This part of the paper forms a useful and important contribution to the growing field of glacial history surrounding Antarctica. The paper also shows some landform systems that appear to differ and are therefore thought of as a new type. Using the modern context of an ice shelf with icebergs glued together by sea ice, they build a conceptual interpretation suggesting how these might have been formed. This aspect is more speculative, but interesting and is worth presenting, although I nudge at it with an alternative view in case the authors think it is

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



worth noting. I make a suggestion for a further figure, which would help the impact of the paper.

Abstract and introduction do a good and comprehensive job of setting up the context from the literature and the geographic and glaciological backgrounds.

Section 4.2. The interpretation here is supported by the observations and presumably sensibly influenced by the modern observations of icebergs in a melange of sea ice. This is neat. In this story I suppose icebergs calve off and rotate and once buoyant produce angular keels that can accomplish ploughing some grooves. Upstream of these parallel grooves however (in fig 6) are ridge-grooves that you call MSGL. It is striking that they look similar in orientation and scale, with MSGL possibly leading into iceberg grooves (maybe you could check in your data). I wonder if worth considering if the keels transited the whole system and that is not necessary for individual icebergs to make the grooves; ie keels made the MSGL and the class B ploughmarks. This is something I noted in the Norwegian Channel ice stream making the link that the keels produced both landforms across a transition from grounded ice to lightly grounded to floating ice (Clark et al' 2003 J- Glacial see fig 11 which looks similar to me). This is not critical to your reconstruction but I suggest worth asking if the grooves can actually be traced from one type to the other. This interpretation might also be relevant for your class H ramps – and class E grooves (fig 4) in section 4.4 rather than basal crevasses and the shearing. Perhaps all the lineations (C, D, B, and E) are the same thing but with different degrees of grounding. Not all of you E landforms are sinuous and in fact look very similar to MSGL in places. In this interpretation, you class H ramps and its smeared ridges are the same thing as GZW with grooved MSGL leading to iceberg grooves. The only difference being orientation of ice flow and perhaps ice velocity. I mention all this as an alternate interpretation in case you want to consider it.

Section 5.2.3. The reconstruction of ice stream, ice shelf, glued icebergs and their extent and geometry is described and I could visualise with reference to the map in Fig 2. I would consider making this easier for the reader by providing a new figure

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

showing the reconstructed cartoon-map of palaeo geography that you describe. This could make the paper more accessible to those interested in the glacial history and increase its impact.

Minor points In abstract it is stated that S- W Trough was main drainage for EAIS. Clarify if this is what you mean ie. whole ice sheet or if you mean in your studied sector.

Line 155 and many places elsewhere, probably better to call them landforms rather than bedforms. To many, the latter term has a more restricted use such as MSGL, drumlins etc.

Para 415 stresses that - space needed 470 Fig 96 not 8 a

Chris Clark (30 Jan)

---

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-271>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

