Impact of West Antarctic Ice Shelf melting on the Southern Ocean Hydrography

Yoshihiro Nakayama[1,2], Ralph Timmermann[2], and Hartmut H. Hellmer[2]

[1]Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, Japan
[2]Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany

Correspondence: Yoshihiro Nakayama (Yoshihiro.Nakayama@lowtem.hokudai.ac.jp)

Abstract. Previous studies show accelerations of West Antarctic glaciers, implying that basal melt rates of these glaciers were previously small and increased in the middle of the 20th century. This enhanced melting is a likely source of the observed Ross Sea (RS) freshening, but its long-term impact on the Southern Ocean hydrography has not been well investigated. Here, we conduct coupled sea-ice/ice-shelf/ocean simulations with different levels of ice shelf melting from West Antarctic glaciers. Freshening of RS shelf and bottom water is simulated with enhanced West Antarctic ice shelf melting, while no significant changes in shelf water properties are simulated when West Antarctic ice shelf melting is small. We further show that the freshening caused by glacial meltwater from ice shelves in the Amundsen and Bellingshausen seas can propagate further downstream along the East Antarctic coast into the Weddell Sea. The freshening signal propagates onto the RS continental shelf within a year of model simulation, while it takes roughly 5-10 years and 10-15 years to propagate into the region off Cape Darnley and into the Weddell Sea, respectively. This advection of freshening modulates the shelf water properties and possibly impacts the production of Antarctic Bottom Water if the enhanced melting of West Antarctic ice shelves continues for a longer period.

1 Introduction

Ice shelves in the Amundsen Sea (AS) and Bellingshausen Sea (BS) are melting and thinning rapidly, shown by satellite-based estimates of the last ∼20 years (Depoorter et al., 2013; Rignot et al., 2013; Paolo et al., 2015), contributing significantly to ongoing ocean freshening and sea level rise through a high discharge of grounded ice (Shepherd et al., 2012; Rignot et al., 2013). The main cause for high basal melt rates is the relatively warm Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW, about 0.5–1.5 °C, located below ∼300–500 m depth), which flows via submarine glacial troughs from the continental shelf break into the ice shelf cavities (e.g. Jacobs et al., 1996; Nakayama et al., 2013; Dutrieux et al., 2014; Webber et al., 2017; Jenkins et al., 2018). Against the backdrop of increased basal melt giving evidence of a sustained increase of ice discharge for most glaciers in the eastern AS since 1973 (e.g. Ferrigno et al., 1993; Lucchita and Rosanova, 1997; Rignot, 1998; Mouginot et al., 2014), there have been few studies implying that basal melt rates of these glaciers were previously small and started increasing from the middle of the 20th century (Hillenbrand et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2017).

In the Ross Sea (RS), shelf water is freshening, leading to a change in the Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) properties (Jacobs et al., 2002; Jacobs and Giulivi, 2010). Since the salinity decrease leads to a change in AABW characteristics formed in the RS (Jacobs et al., 2002; Aoki et al., 2005; Rintoul, 2007; Jacobs and Giulivi, 2010) and may influence the global thermohaline circulation, understanding the possible link between the melting of West Antarctic ice shelves and RS freshening is important for assessing long-term changes in the Southern Ocean.

Nakayama et al. (2014a) showed the spreading pathways of glacial meltwater from ice shelves in the AS and BS, which may end up on the RS continental shelf. However, due to the difficulties in a realistic representation of Southern Ocean hydrography as well as basal melt rates, their global model simulations were limited to 10 years. Using circum-Antarctic or global domains, a few studies (Kusahara and Hasumi, 2014; Dinniman et al., 2016) also showed pathways of glacial meltwater using passive tracers, confirming that glacial meltwater from the AS and BS ice shelves flows westwards onto the RS continental shelf. Kusahara and Hasumi (2013) also performed meltwater tracer experiments in idealized warming climates, showing that increased basal meltwater from the AS and BS causes the bottom water freshening in the RS. However, the impact of the glacial melt from ice shelves in the AS and BS on the Antarctic coastal ocean has not been investigated. Recently, many ocean simulations have been designed for studying oceanographic conditions in the Amundsen Sea, but they employ regional models with more of a focus on CDW intrusions onto the Amundsen Sea continental shelf (e.g. Thoma et al., 2008; Schodlok et al., 2012; Assmann et al., 2013; St-Laurent et al., 2015; Kimura et al., 2017; Nakayama et al., 2017, 2018; Webber et al., 2019). Using global models, the impact of enhanced ice shelf melting on the global deep water circulation has been investigated (Fogwill et al., 2015; Golledge et al., 2019; Lago and England, 2019), but their model resolutions are coarse and the impact of glacial meltwater propagation in the Antarctic marginal seas has not been investigated.

After the development of the global Finite-Element Sea-ice/ice-shelf/Ocean Model (FESOM; Timmermann et al. (2012); Nakayama et al. (2014a)) including model grid refinement in the Antarctic Peninsula region and adjustment of sea ice model parameters, we are now able to carry out longer integration of our simulation with more realistic hydrographic representations of the Antarctic coastal regions. In this study, we conduct 32-year simulations to analyze the impact of glacial meltwater on the Southern Ocean. We also conduct sensitivity experiments with different ice shelf melt rates in the AS and BS.

2 Model

Here, we investigate ocean states using FESOM (Timmermann et al., 2012; Nakayama et al., 2014a), which includes dynamic/thermodynamic sea-ice (Timmermann et al., 2009) and thermodynamic ice shelf (Timmermann et al., 2012) capabilities. Ice shelf draft, cavity geometry, and global ocean bathymetry are derived from the RTopo-1 dataset (Timmermann et al., 2010). We use a tetrahedral mesh with a horizontal spacing of ∼100 km along non-Antarctic coasts, refined to ∼20 km along the Antarctic coast, 10-20 km under the large ice shelves in the RS and Weddell Sea (WS), and ∼5 km in the central AS and BS (Fig. 1). We apply a hybrid vertical coordinate system with 46 layers and a z-level discretization in the mid- and low-latitude ocean basins. The top 21 layers along the Antarctic coast are terrain-following (sigma coordinate) for depths shallower than 650 m. In the z-coordinate region, bottom nodes are allowed to deviate from their nominal layer depth to allow for a correct

representation of bottom topography, similar to the shaved-cell approach in finite-difference models. A Gaussian function with a width depending on the model's horizontal resolution is applied to smooth ice shelf draft and sea-floor topography in the sigma-coordinate region. Ocean bathymetry south of 55°S of the global model is shown in Fig. 1. Unlike the previous study (Nakayama et al., 2014a), no restoring is applied to any region of our model domain. The model parameters used in this study are summarized in Table 1. We assume a steady state for ice shelf thickness and cavity geometry and compute the ice shelf basal mass loss using the three-equation approach (Hellmer and Olbers, 1989) with the parametrization suggested in Holland and Jenkins (1999).

We carry out four simulations for 32 years using the ERA-Interim reanalysis product (1979-2010) (Dee et al., 2011) by changing the heat and salt transfer coefficients at the interface between ocean and those ice shelves fringing the AS and BS. For the LMELT case, these coefficients are calculated following (Holland and Jenkins, 1999) but the drag coefficient at the ice shelf base is set to 0.0025. The coefficients are set to values three-times larger for the present (PRS) case, as the simulated ice shelf melt rates are close to present observations (Table 2). We regard the LMELT case as our reference simulation. We conduct a model spin-up of 10 years (1979-1988) using the LMELT set up. We note that ice-shelf basal mass loss for most ice shelves stabilizes within the first 5 years of model integration (Timmermann et al., 2012). The PRS case represents the transient response of the ocean to a step change of AS and BS ice shelf melting. We further conduct two other sensitivity experiments (MMELT and HMELT), which are discussed in section 3.2. To track the basal meltwater, we use a virtual passive tracer, which is released at the same rate as the glacial melt only from ice shelves in the AS and BS.

3 Results

3.1 Model Evaluation

Both LMELT and PRS produce many features of ocean circulation, water mass properties, and sea-ice distribution in good agreement with observations. The integrated transport of the Ross Gyre is $\sim$30 Sv (1Sv=10^6 m^3 s^{-1}) and the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) carries $\sim$160 Sv through Drake Passage. Based on oceanographic observations, the estimates of ACC transports through Drake Passage are 145.0 $\pm$8.8 and 137.9$\pm$10.5 Sv for two different vertical sections (Renault et al., 2011). Using ocean state estimate, Mazloff et al. (2010) estimated the transport of the ACC to be 153$\pm$5.0 Sv. The simulated austral winter (September) sea-ice extent is similar to observations, slightly overestimated by 0.2 million km^2 (Cavalieri et al., 2006; Spreen et al., 2008), while the austral summer (March) sea-ice extent is underestimated by 1.2 million km^2 (Fig. S1). The time series of sea ice extent also show similar variability compared to observations (Fig. S1c). The bottom temperature on the continental shelf is mostly close to the freezing point except for regions with CDW intrusions onto the continental shelves (Fig. 2). Bottom salinity shows local salinity maxima towards the western WS and RS, and a zonal-shelf gradient with higher salinity at the eastern side in the AS and BS (Fig. 2). These features are present in both the observations (Figs. 1A-B in Schmidtko et al. (2014) and Fig. 2 in Jenkins et al. (2016)) and the model results. Despite general hydrographic features being well reproduced, on-shelf CDW temperature is underestimated in the AS and the BS roughly by 0.5 °C and the salinity gradients in AS and BS seem to be more pronounced in observations.

90   As a result of the different heat and salt transfer coefficients, the total ice shelf basal mass losses are 192 Gt yr^{-1} and 336 Gt yr^{-1} in the AS, and 155 Gt yr^{-1} and 260 Gt yr^{-1} in the BS for LMELT and PRS, respectively (Table 2). For the AS and BS, the PRS loss rates are slightly lower than satellite-based estimates between 2003-2009 (Table 2; Depoorter et al. (2013); Rignot et al. (2013)). The total LMELT loss rate of all AS and BS ice shelves is 347 Gt yr^{-1}, which is ∼110 Gt yr^{-1} smaller than the steady state melt rates (assuming zero thickening) of 461 Gt yr^{-1} estimated based on 2006-2007 ice shelf configurations

95 (Supplementary Table in Rignot et al. (2013)). However, LMELT may represent better the melt rates in the middle of the last century, considering the fact that ice shelf cavity geometry should have evolved significantly since then (Jenkins et al., 2010a; Smith et al., 2017) and West Antarctic glaciers should have flowed much slower at the time (Mouginot et al., 2014). Time series of integrated basal melt flux of all ice shelves in the AS and BS show some variability for both LMELT and PRS. For LMELT, melt flux decreases from 1979 to 2000, takes the minimum value of ∼240 Gt yr^{-1} in 2001, and increases until the

100 end of the simulation (Fig. 3a). This temporal variability is similar to the PRS case. Thus, the total basal melt flux difference between LMELT and PRS does not show large temporal variability and remains similar at the value of 250 Gt yr^{-1} (Fig. 3b).

    For the LMELT case, the impact of freshening is small as no significant changes in shelf water properties occur during the model integration, e.g., RS shelf salinity at the bottom remains stable (Fig. 4). Comparing PRS and LMELT, near-bottom CDW potential temperature and salinity in the AS and BS are higher by ∼0.3 °C and ∼0.02 in PRS than in LMELT (Figs. S2 and 4),

105 respectively, and salinity is lower mostly elsewhere for model year 32 (Fig. S2). Both LMELT and PRS show glacial meltwater spreading downstream onto the the RS continental shelf and then further along the East Antarctic coast as well as eastward to the northwestern WS within the ACC (Fig. 5; Nakayama et al. (2014a); Dinniman et al. (2016)). In response to enhanced ice shelf melting, PRS shows glacial meltwater spreading further downstream (Fig. 5b). The simulated bottom salinity difference between LMELT and PRS shows a freshening along the western AS coast (year 5, Fig. 4c), which spreads further onto the RS

110 continental shelf (year 10-32, Fig. 4). This freshening extends down to the bottom of the RS as a result of the formation and descent of dense shelf water. We note that the RS is the only location where a large amount of glacial melt from the AS and BS reaches the deep ocean (Fig. 6). For PRS, 19% and 36% of the total glacial meltwater tracer from ice shelves in the AS and BS descend to depths of 700-1600m and 1600m-bottom, respectively, most of which are found in the deep RS after 32 years of simulation (Fig. 6).

115   We now compare the PRS results with recent observations. Fifty years of observations of RS dense shelf water show a salinity decline of 0.03 g kg^{-1} per decade (Jacobs et al., 2002; Jacobs and Giulivi, 2010). Warming and freshening of Ross Sea Bottom Water (Purkey and Johnson, 2013) extend further westward off the Adélie Land (Aoki et al., 2005; Rintoul, 2007) and Ross Sea Bottom Water experiences a ∼0.1°C warming and a ∼0.01 g kg^{-1} freshening between 1992-2011 at 180°E along the S04P section (Purkey and Johnson, 2013). Despite that we simulate the response of the Southern Ocean to an instantaneous

120 jump in meltwater production in the AS and BS, these features are reproduced in PRS, as the RS dense shelf water freshens by ∼0.045 g kg^{-1} over 20 years (Fig. 4i, Table 3). This dense shelf water descends to the deep ocean causing a simulated Ross Sea Bottom Water warming and freshening of ∼0.02°C and ∼0.005 g kg^{-1}, respectively, over 32 years (see the black arrow in Fig. S3). This Ross Sea Bottom Water warming occurs along with the warming of the Antarctic Slope Current by 0.1-0.2°C (Fig. S3; ∼1000-2000 m depth).

3.2 Spreading of glacial meltwater from West Antarctic ice shelves

We conduct two additional sensitivity experiments and investigate the impact of enhanced ice shelf melting in the AS and BS focusing on both 200 meters depth and the seafloor. Medium and high rates of ice shelf melting (referred to MMELT and HMELT, respectively) are introduced with heat and salt transfer coefficients being set to 2-times and 30-times larger values, respectively. The total ice shelf basal mass losses are 280 Gt yr^{-1} and 592 Gt yr^{-1} in the AS, and 218 Gt yr^{-1} and 445 Gt yr^{-1} in the BS for MMELT and HMELT, respectively (Table 2).

We subtract the LMELT results from MMELT, PRS, and HMELT and use the last-2-year temporally averaged fields to investigate the impact of enhanced ice shelf melting. We calculate spatial averages for the regions indicated in Fig. 1 but using regions shallower than 1000 m and deeper than 2500 m for on-shelf 200-m and bottom spatially averaged salinity, respectively (Table 3). For MMELT-LMELT, the salinity decrease is confined mostly to the AS, BS, and RS continental shelves with a freshening of 0.025 g kg^{-1} and 0.0030 g kg^{-1} for the RS continental shelf and deep RS, respectively (Table 3). Freshening in other regions is small at 200-m depth amounting to 0.0038 g kg^{-1} and 0.0003 g kg^{-1} for the continental shelf off Cape Darnley (CD) and the WS continental shelf, respectively (Table 3). For the PRS-LMELT case, the freshwater signal extends along the East Antarctic coast to the WS with values of 0.045 g kg^{-1}, 0.0048 g kg^{-1}, 0.0078 g kg^{-1}, and 0.0035 g kg^{-1} for the RS shelf, deep RS, off CD, and WS shelf regions, respectively (Fig. 7, Table 3). For the HMELT-LMELT case, the spatial freshening pattern remains similar to the PRS case amounting to 0.14 g kg^{-1}, 0.0015 g kg^{-1}, 0.035 g kg^{-1}, and 0.016 g kg^{-1} for the RS shelf, deep RS, off CD, and WS shelf regions, respectively (Fig. 7, Table 3).

Our experiments clearly show the timescales for the freshening signal to reach other regions around the Antarctic continent. For all cases, the freshening signal propagates onto the RS continental shelf within a year of model simulation (Fig. 8). It takes roughly 5 more years for this freshening signal to become visible in the deeper part of the RS (Fig. 8). Another branch of the freshening signal further propagates near the surface along the East Antarctic coast taking roughly 5-10 years and 10-15 years to propagate into the region off CD and into the WS, respectively. Since the salinity decrease continues even after model year 32 in these regions (Figs. 8 c and d), it seems to take a long time (over 32 years) for the Southern Ocean to adjust to the new state of enhanced ice shelf melting in the AS and BS.

Previous studies show that sea ice surrounding Antarctica expanded before 2016 and this expansion has been attributed to atmosphere changes (e.g., Turner et al. (2009)) as well as accelerated basal melting of Antarctic ice shelves (Bintanja et al., 2013). Follow-up studies, however, demonstrated that the amount of freshwater required for such water column stabilization to expand sea ice extent is at least an order of magnitude greater than ice shelf melt over the observational period (Swart and Fyfe, 2013; Pauling et al., 2016). We also note that the simulated impact of glacial meltwater spreading on sea ice is small. For example, austral winter (September) sea-ice extent difference between LMELT and HMELT is less than 0.1 million km^2 for the last year of our model simulations.

4 Discussion

4.1 Experiment design and its application

Some studies suggest that strong El Niño-Southern Oscillation in the 1940s induced anomalous on-shore CDW intrusions and triggered simultaneous groundline retreats of West Antarctic ice shelves (Steig et al., 2013; Jenkins et al., 2016; Hillenbrand et al., 2017). This likely enlarged ice shelf cavities, created stable sub-ice shelf circulations, and sustained high ice shelf melt rates in the AS until the present day. We aim to investigate the impact of enhanced ice shelf melting in the AS and BS on the Southern Ocean hydrography. However, it is difficult to design different ice shelf cavity geometry or grounding line locations to force our simulations with different levels of ice shelf melt rates. Previous studies adjusted their turbulent heat and salt exchange coefficients for the following reasons: (1) the model simulation has a bias in the water mass characteristics caused by processes not implemented or resolved in the model, e.g., ocean mixing and tidal forcing; (2) the basal melting parameterization includes uncertainty, e.g., treatment of mixed layer at the ice-ocean interface, bottom drag coefficient, etc. (Jenkins et al., 2010b); (3) the basal melt rate is sensitive to not well-known ice shelf cavity geometry including channels, grounding line location, and ocean mixing induced by a steep ice base near grounding lines. Thus, in this study, we adjust turbulent heat and salt exchange coefficients and force our model with a step-wise increase of ice shelf melting in the AS and BS. Although it may be oversimplified to assume a step-wise increase in basal melting, we emphasize that the focus of our model study is on the spreading of the AS and BS glacial melt and its impact on the downstream hydrography, which shows some similarities with observations.

We note that simulated ice shelf melt rates of the AS and BS regions in LMELT are lower than present-day estimates, although we use cavity geometry from present-day configurations. This is likely caused by the fact that (1) a horizontal resolutions of ~5 km and smoothed ice shelf drafts do not allow good representations of ice shelf cavities especially steep slopes near grounding lines, where ice shelf melting peaks with strong vertical velocity and mixing (e.g., Nakayama et al. (2019); Shean et al. (2019)) and (2) the FESOM simulation has a bias in water mass characteristics and simulates on-shelf CDW intrusions possibly weaker than observations (Nakayama et al., 2014b).

4.2 Response to the enhanced ice shelf melting

We show that magnitudes of freshening caused by glacial meltwater from ice shelves in the AS and BS represent linear and nonlinear behaviors. For the RS shelf and deep RS, magnitudes of freshening linearly increase as ice shelf melting in the AS and BS is enhanced (total melt rate difference in Table 3). For example, for MMELT, PRS, and HMELT basal melting increases by 150 Gt yr^{-1}, 250 Gt yr^{-1}, and 695 Gt yr^{-1} and simulated RS shelf region freshens by 0.025 g kg^{-1}, 0.045 g kg^{-1}, and 0.14 g kg^{-1}, respectively. Similarly, a linear relation can be found for the deep RS (Table 3). On-shelf freshening in the RS shelf extends along the East Antarctic coast and into the WS, similar to the idea presented by Beckmann and Timmermann (2001). Freshening off the CD and in the WS, however, responds differently. Weak (or almost no) freshening is simulated for MMELT and PRS, and enhanced freshening is only simulated in the HMELT case (Table. 3). This implies that the large-scale freshening becomes significant only when ice loss in the AS and BS region is high. Due to much stronger seasonal and

interannual variability in the near-surface layers and a slow response of 15-20 years (Figs. 8c and d), we are likely not able to extract the effect of enhanced ice shelf melting from the existing observations in these regions. However, considering the magnitude of the salinity decrease today, circum-Antarctic freshening could be underway.

Such response of Antarctic coastal regions can not be explained solely by an increase of ice shelf melt rates alone. Instead we consider the freshening to be a result of the strengthening of the westward flowing coastal current due to a strong density gradient (caused by shelf water salinity) across the Antarctic Slope Front (Nakayama et al. (2014a)). For the HMELT case, the development of strong density gradients is simulated in the BS, AS, and RS, along the East Antarctic coast, and in the WS (Fig. 9c). However, for other cases, strong density gradients are simulated only in the BS, AS, and RS (Figs. 9a-b) and in a limited region along the East Antarctic coast (Fig. 9).

We also note that enhanced ice shelf melting modifies the properties of RS shelf water (Figs. 7 and 8), possibly with consequences for the global thermohaline circulation. For example, Fogwill et al. (2015) show that enhanced ice shelf melting in the AS region may lead to a significant decrease in the rate of AABW formation. In HMELT, however, properties of Ross Sea surface and bottom water converge towards the end of the simulation with a freshening of $\sim$0.12 and $\sim$0.015, respectively, rather than showing a rapid drawdown (Fig. 8). Further investigations with longer model integration are required to investigate the impact of enhanced ice shelf melting on deep water properties.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we conduct four 32-year simulations with different levels of ice shelf melting in the AS and BS to investigate the impact of glacial meltwater on the Antarctic continental shelf hydrography. The total ice shelf mass losses from the AS and BS are 346 Gt yr^{-1}, 497 Gt yr^{-1}, 596 Gt yr^{-1}, and 1036 Gt yr^{-1} for LMELT, MMELT, PRS, and HMELT, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). We show that the LMELT result represents a quasi-steady state without significant change in RS shelf water salinity (Fig. 4), and the PRS result shows a RS continental shelf and deep ocean freshening with some similarities to recent observations (Fig. 4). In addition, we show that glacial meltwater from the AS and BS can propagate further downstream along the East Antarctic coast leading to salinity decreases off CD and in the WS (Figs. 7 and 8). The freshening signal propagates onto the RS continental shelf within a year of model simulation, while it takes roughly 5-10 years and 10-15 years to propagate into the region off Cape Darnley and into the Weddell Sea, respectively. This modulates the shelf water properties and may impact the production of AABW if the enhanced melting of West Antarctic ice shelves continues for a longer period. We also show that the amount of freshening observed in the Ross Sea surface and bottom waters increases linearly as the freshwater flux from ice shelf melting in the AS and BS increases (Table 3). However, for regions further downstream, off CD and in the WS, the impact of freshening can be detected only when ice loss in the AS and BS is high. Such response of the Antarctic coastal regions is likely related to the development of a strong cross-slope front density gradient caused by lower shelf water salinity, and thus a more vigorous westward flowing coastal current. Considering the spatial and temporal scales of AS and BS glacial meltwater spreading around Antarctica, further investigations and model developments are required for understanding the impact of West Antarctic ice shelf melting on the circum-Antarctic and global ocean.

Code availability. Model codes presented in this study are available in https://fesom.de.

Data availability. The model grid and output are provided in http://www.lowtem.hokudai.ac.jp/wwwod/nakayama/.

Author contributions. YN prepared the manuscript, conducted ocean simulations. RT and HH helped interpreting the results. All authors
225   commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. We thank Dmitry Sidorenko and Lukrecia Stulic for their numerical and technical support and Sunke Schmidtko for
sharing the observed data. Alex Gardner, Andrew Thompson, Dimitris Menemenlis, Eric Rignot, Surendra Adhikari, Stan Jacobs, and Shigeru
Aoki provided helpful comments and suggestions. Simulations were carried out at the Supercomputing Division, Information Technology
230   Center at University of Tokyo and at the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN). We acknowledge funding by the Helmholtz
Climate Initiative REKLIM (Regional Climate Change), a joint research project of the Helmholtz Association of German Research Centres
(HGF). This work was also supported by the fund from Grant in Aids for Scientific Research (19K23447) of the Japanese Ministry of
Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. The model code, processing tools, and raw model output are difficult to make publicly
available, and the authors recommend contacting the corresponding author for those interested in accessing the data.

References

Aoki, S., Rintoul, S. R., Ushio, S., Watanabe, S., and Bindoff, N. L.: Freshening of the Adélie Land Bottom water near 140 E, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 2005.

Assmann, K., Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Walker, D., Jacobs, S., and Nicholls, K.: Variability of Circumpolar Deep Water transport onto the Amundsen Sea continental shelf through a shelf break trough, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 6603–6620, 2013.

Beckmann, A. and Timmermann, R.: Circumpolar influences on the Weddell Sea: Indication of an Antarctic circumpolar coastal wave, Journal of Climate, 14, 3785–3792, 2001.

Bintanja, R., Van Oldenborgh, G., Drijfhout, S., Wouters, B., and Katsman, C.: Important role for ocean warming and increased ice-shelf melt in Antarctic sea-ice expansion, Nature Geoscience, 6, 376–379, 2013.

Cavalieri, D., Parkinson, C., Gloersen, P., and Zwally, H.: Sea ice concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I passive microwave data, January 1979-June 2006, 2006.

Dee, D., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., et al.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 553–597, 2011.

Depoorter, M., Bamber, J., Griggs, J., Lenaerts, J., Ligtenberg, S., van den Broeke, M., and Moholdt, G.: Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of Antarctic ice shelves, Nature, 502, 89–92, 2013.

Dinniman, M. S., Asay-Davis, X. S., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Holland, P. R., Jenkins, A., and Timmermann, R.: Modeling ice shelf/ocean interaction in Antarctica: A review, Oceanography, 29, 144–153, 2016.

Dutrieux, P., De Rydt, J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., Steig, E. J., Ding, Q., Abrahamsen, E. P., and Schröder, M.: Strong sensitivity of Pine Island ice-shelf melting to climatic variability, Science, 343, 174–178, 2014.

Ferrigno, J. G., Lucchitta, B. K., Mullins, K., Allison, A., Allen, R., and Gould, W.: Velocity measurements and changes in position of Thwaites Glacier/iceberg tongue from aerial photography, Landsat images and NOAA AVHRR data, Annals of Glaciology, 17, 239–244, 1993.

Fogwill, C., Phipps, S., Turney, C., and Golledge, N.: Sensitivity of the Southern Ocean to enhanced regional Antarctic ice sheet meltwater input, Earth's Future, 3, 317–329, 2015.

Golledge, N. R., Keller, E. D., Gomez, N., Naughten, K. A., Bernales, J., Trusel, L. D., and Edwards, T. L.: Global environmental consequences of twenty-first-century ice-sheet melt, Nature, 566, 65–72, 2019.

Hellmer, H. and Olbers, D.: A two-dimensional model for the thermohaline circulation under an ice shelf, Antarctic Science, 1, 325–336, 1989.

Hillenbrand, C.-D., Smith, J. A., Hodell, D. A., Greaves, M., Poole, C. R., Kender, S., Williams, M., Andersen, T. J., Jernas, P. E., Elderfield, H., et al.: West Antarctic Ice Sheet retreat driven by Holocene warm water incursions, Nature, 547, 43–48, 2017.

Holland, D. M. and Jenkins, A.: Modeling thermodynamic ice-ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29, 1787–1800, 1999.

Jacobs, S. S. and Giulivi, C. F.: Large multidecadal salinity trends near the Pacific-Antarctic continental margin, J. Clim., 23, 4508–4524, 2010.

Jacobs, S. S., Hellmer, H. H., and Jenkins, A.: Antarctic ice sheet melting in the Southeast Pacific, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 957–960, 1996.

Jacobs, S. S., Giulivi, C. F., and Mele, P. A.: Freshening of the Ross Sea during the late 20th century, Science, 297, 386–389, 2002.

Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S. S., McPhail, S. D., Perrett, J. R., Webb, A. T., and White, D.: Observations beneath Pine Island Glacier in West Antarctica and implications for its retreat, Nat. Geosci., 3, 468–472, 2010a.

Jenkins, A., Nicholls, K. W., and Corr, H. F.: Observation and parameterization of ablation at the base of Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica, Journal
275   of Physical Oceanography, 40, 2298–2312, 2010b.

Jenkins, A., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Steig, E. J., Gudmundsson, G. H., Smith, J., and Heywood, K. J.: Decadal ocean forcing and Antarctic Ice Sheet response: Lessons from the Amundsen Sea, Oceanography, 29, 106–117, 2016.

Jenkins, A., Shoosmith, D., Dutrieux, P., Jacobs, S., Kim, T. W., Lee, S. H., Ha, H. K., and Stammerjohn, S.: West AntarcticIce Sheet retreat in the Amundsen Sea driven by decadal oceanic variability, Nature Geoscience, 11, 733, 2018.

280 Kimura, S., Jenkins, A., Regan, H., Holland, P. R., Assmann, K. M., Whitt, D. B., Van Wessem, M., van de Berg, W. J., Reijmer, C. H., and Dutrieux, P.: Oceanographic Controls on the Variability of Ice-Shelf Basal Melting and Circulation of Glacial Meltwater in the Amundsen Sea Embayment, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 10 131–10 155, 2017.

Kusahara, K. and Hasumi, H.: Modeling Antarctic ice shelf responses to future climate changes and impacts on the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2454–2475, 2013.

285 Kusahara, K. and Hasumi, H.: Pathways of basal meltwater from Antarctic ice shelves: A model study, J. Geophys. Res. Lett., 2014.

Lago, V. and England, M. H.: Projected Slowdown of Antarctic Bottom Water Formation in Response to Amplified Meltwater Contributions, Journal of Climate, 32, 6319–6335, 2019.

Lucchita, B. K. and Rosanova, C. E.: Velocities of Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers, West Antarctica, from ERS-1 SAR images, 1997.

Mazloff, M. R., Heimbach, P., and Wunsch, C.: An eddy-permitting Southern Ocean state estimate, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 40,
290   880–899, 2010.

Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., and Scheuchl, B.: Sustained increase in ice discharge from the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica, from 1973 to 2013, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 1576–1584, 2014.

Nakayama, Y., Schröder, M., and Hellmer, H. H.: From Circumpolar Deep Water to the glacial meltwater plume on the eastern Amundsen Shelf, Deep Sea Res. I, 77, 50–62, 2013.

295 Nakayama, Y., Timmermann, R., Rodehacke, C. B., Schröder, M., and Hellmer, H. H.: Modeling the spreading of glacial meltwater from the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 7942–7949, 2014a.

Nakayama, Y., Timmermann, R., Schröder, M., and Hellmer, H.: On the difficulty of modeling Circumpolar Deep Water intrusions onto the Amundsen Sea continental shelf, Ocean Modelling, 84, 26–34, 2014b.

Nakayama, Y., Menemenlis, D., Schodlok, M., and Rignot, E.: Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas simulation with optimized ocean, sea
300   ice, and thermodynamic ice shelf model parameters, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 6180–6195, 2017.

Nakayama, Y., Menemenlis, D., Zhang, H., Schodlok, M., and Rignot, E.: Origin of Circumpolar Deep Water intruding onto the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Sea continental shelves, Nature communications, 9, 3403, 2018.

Nakayama, Y., Manucharyan, G., Zhang, H., Dutrieux, P., Torres, H. S., Klein, P., Seroussi, H., Schodlok, M., Rignot, E., and Menemenlis, D.: Pathways of ocean heat towards Pine Island and Thwaites grounding lines, Scientific reports, 9, 1–9, 2019.

305 Paolo, F. S., Fricker, H. A., and Padman, L.: Volume loss from Antarctic ice shelves is accelerating, Science, 348, 327–331, 2015.

Pauling, A. G., Bitz, C. M., Smith, I. J., and Langhorne, P. J.: The response of the Southern Ocean and Antarctic sea ice to freshwater from ice shelves in an Earth system model, Journal of Climate, 29, 1655–1672, 2016.

Purkey, S. G. and Johnson, G. C.: Antarctic Bottom Water warming and freshening: Contributions to sea level rise, ocean freshwater budgets, and global heat gain, Journal of Climate, 26, 6105–6122, 2013.

310 Renault, A., Provost, C., Sennéchael, N., Barré, N., and Kartavtseff, A.: Two full-depth velocity sections in the Drake Passage in 2006?Trans-
  port estimates, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58, 2572–2591, 2011.

Rignot, E., Jacobs, S. S., Mouginot, J., and Scheuchl, B.: Ice-shelf melting around Antarctica, Science Express, 341, 226–270, 2013.

Rignot, E. J.: Fast recession of a West Antarctic glacier, Science, 281, 549, 1998.

Rintoul, S. R.: Rapid freshening of Antarctic Bottom Water formed in the Indian and Pacific oceans, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L06 606, 2007.

315 Schmidtko, S., Heywood, K. J., Thompson, A. F., and Aoki, S.: Multidecadal warming of Antarctic waters, Science, 346, 1227–1231, 2014.

Schodlok, M. P., Menemenlis, D., Rignot, E., and Studinger, M.: Sensitivity of the ice shelf ocean system to the sub-ice shelf cavity shape
  measured by NASA IceBridge in Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, Ann. Glaciol., 53, 156–162, 2012.

Shean, D. E., Joughin, I. R., Dutrieux, P., Smith, B. E., and Berthier, E.: Ice shelf basal melt rates from a high-resolution digital elevation
  model (DEM) record for Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 13, 2633–2656, 2019.

320 Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Geruo, A., Barletta, V., Bentley, M., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K., Bromwich, D., Forsberg, R., Galin, N., et al.: A
  reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance, Science, 338, 1183–1189, 2012.

Smith, J., Andersen, T. J., Shortt, M., Gaffney, A., Truffer, M., Stanton, T., Bindschadler, R., Dutrieux, P., Jenkins, A., Hillenbrand, C.-D.,
  et al.: Sub-ice-shelf sediments record history of twentieth-century retreat of Pine Island Glacier, Nature, 541, 77–80, 2017.

Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., and Heygster, G.: Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels, Journal of Geophysical Research:
325 Oceans, 113, 2008.

St-Laurent, P., Klinck, J., and Dinniman, M.: Impact of local winter cooling on the melt of Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica, Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120, 6718–6732, 2015.

Steig, E. J., Ding, Q., White, J. W., Küttel, M., Rupper, S. B., Neumann, T. A., Neff, P. D., Gallant, A. J., Mayewski, P. A., Taylor, K. C.,
  et al.: Recent climate and ice-sheet changes in West Antarctica compared with the past 2,000 years, Nature Geoscience, 6, 372–375, 2013.

330 Swart, N. and Fyfe, J.: The influence of recent Antarctic ice sheet retreat on simulated sea ice area trends, Geophysical Research Letters, 40,
  4328–4332, 2013.

Thoma, M., Jenkins, A., Holland, D., and Jacobs, S.: Modelling Circumpolar Deep Water intrusions on the Amundsen Sea continental shelf,
  Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, 2008.

Timmermann, R., Danilov, S., Schröter, J., Böning, C., Sidorenko, D., and Rollenhagen, K.: Ocean circulation and sea ice distribution in a
335 finite element global sea ice–ocean model, Ocean Model., 27, 114–129, 2009.

Timmermann, R., Brocq, A. L., Deen, T., Domack, E., Dutrieux, P., Galton-Fenzi, B., Hellmer, H., Humbert, A., Jansen, D., Jenkins, A.,
  et al.: A consistent data set of Antarctic Ice Sheet topography, cavity geometry, and global bathymetry, Earth System Science Data, 2,
  261–273, 2010.

Timmermann, R., Wang, Q., and Hellmer, H.: Ice-shelf basal melting in a global finite-element sea-ice/ice-shelf/ocean model, Ann. Glaciol.,
340 53, 303–314, 2012.

Turner, J., Comiso, J. C., Marshall, G. J., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Bracegirdle, T., Maksym, T., Meredith, M. P., Wang, Z., and Orr, A.: Non-
  annular atmospheric circulation change induced by stratospheric ozone depletion and its role in the recent increase of Antarctic sea ice
  extent, Geophysical research letters, 36, 2009.

Webber, B. G., Heywood, K. J., Stevens, D. P., Dutrieux, P., Abrahamsen, E. P., Jenkins, A., Jacobs, S. S., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., and Kim,
345 T. W.: Mechanisms driving variability in the ocean forcing of Pine Island Glacier, Nature Communications, 8, 14 507, 2017.

Webber, B. G., Heywood, K. J., Stevens, D. P., and Assmann, K. M.: The impact of overturning and horizontal circulation in Pine Island
  Trough on ice shelf melt in the eastern Amundsen Sea, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49, 63–83, 2019.

Figure 1. (a) Horizontal grid (triangles) and model bathymetry (color) south of 55ºS in the global model. The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines. Locations of ice shelves are indicated by numbers summarized in Table 3. Basal melt rates are integrated for several ice shelves in the WS and East Antarctica, bordered by ellipses, for model-data comparison (Table 3). The regions enclosed by red lines represent the RS, deep RS, CD, and WS, in which spatially averaged water mass characteristics are calculated (Table 4 and Fig. 8). Close-ups for the Bellingshausen and Amundsen seas enclosed by the black boxes in (a) is shown in (b) and (c), respectively.

Figure 2. January mean bottom properties for (a) potential temperature and (b) absolute salinity for the LMELT case for model year 32. The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines.

Figure 3. Time series of area integrated annual mean melt rates for (a) Amundsen and Bellingshausen seas for LMELT, MMELT, PRS, and HMELT. (b) Difference of integrated annual mean melt rates for AS and BS ice shelves between HMELT and LMELT (blue), PRS and LMELT (orange), and MMELT and LMELT (red).

Figure 4. January mean bottom absolute salinity for LMELT (L) and PRS (P) and the differences (P-L) for years 5, 10, 20, and 32. The differences are shown for the region enclosed by the blue box in (a). The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines.

Figure 5. January mean vertically integrated tracer contents representing the glacial meltwater only from ice shelves in the AS and BS for (a) LMELT and (b) PRS cases for year 32. The letters P and L at the bottom left of each panel indicate PRS and LMELT, respectively. The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines. Values lower than 0.1 are indicated in gray.

Figure 6. January mean vertically integrated glacial meltwater content between (a) 0-700 m, (b) 700-1600 m, and (c) 1600 m to bottom of year 32 for PRS case (P). The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines. Values lower than 0.1 are indicated with gray.

Figure 7. January mean absolute salinity differences MMELT-LMELT (M-L) for year 32 at (a) 200-m depth and (d) bottom. Same for PRS-LMELT (P-L), and HMELT-LMELT (H-L) shown in (b, e) and (c, f), respectively. Bottom properties are only shown for regions deeper than 1500m. The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines. Positive values are indicated in gray.

Figure 8. Time series of spatially averaged salinity difference over (a) RS continental shelf at 200-m depth, (b) deep RS bottom, (c) continental shelf off CD at 200-m depth, and (d) WS continental shelf at 200-m depth. Spatial averages have been calculated for the regions indicated in Fig. 1 but using regions shallower than 1000 m and deeper than 2500 m for on-shelf 200-m spatially averaged and bottom spatially averaged salinity, respectively (Table 4). LMELT fields are subtracted from HMELT (blue), PRS (orange), and MMELT (red) fields to calculate the differences.

Figure 9. January mean potential density difference for (a) MMELT-LMELT (M-L), PRS-LMELT (P-L), and (c) HMELT-LMELT (H-L) for year 32 at 200-m depth. The bathymetry contours of 1000 m and 2500 m are shown as black lines.

Table 1. Model parameters used for the simulations in this study. Horizontal diffusivity and viscosity are scaled by area. For example, a grid element with an area of 5.8×10^9 m^2 or a 1.5° triangular grid yields a viscosity of 6.0×10^4 m^2 s^{-1} and a diffusivity of about 1.8×10^3 m^2 s^{-1}.

Parameter	
Horizontal diffusivity scaling factor (m^2 s^{-1})	1.8×10^3
Background horizontal viscosity scaling factor (m^2 s^{-1})	6.0×10^4
Scaling reference area (m^2)	5.8×10^9
Background vertical diffusivity (m^2 s^{-1})	5.0×10^{-5}
Background vertical viscosity (m^2 s^{-1})	1.0×10^{-3}
Bottom drag coefficient	2.5×10^{-3}
Air/sea ice drag coefficient	2.5×10^{-3}
Sea ice/ocean drag coefficient	5.0×10^{-3}
Sea ice salt concentration (g kg^{-1})	5.0
Drag coefficient at ice shelf base	2.5×10^{-3}
Lead closing (m)	0.1
Ice strength (N m-2)	1.5×10^4
Sea ice dry albedo	0.75
Sea ice wet albedo	0.68
Snow dry albedo	0.85
Snow wet albedo	0.77

Table 2. Antarctic ice shelf basal mass loss from LMELT, MMELT, PRS and HMELT and satellite-based estimates [Depoorter et al., 2013, Rignot et al., 2013]. Ice shelf locations are indicated by numbers in Fig. 1. For some ice shelf regions in the Weddell Sea and East Antarctica ((19)-(22) and (24)-(27), respectively), basal melt rates are accumulated for several ice shelves and compared to the satellite-based estimates as indicated in Fig. 1. Turbulent heat and salt exchange coefficients for ice shelves in AS and BS (bold) are increased for sensitivity experiments.

Name	LMELT (Gt yr^{-1})	MMELT (Gt yr^{-1})	PRS (Gt yr^{-1})	HMELT (Gt yr^{-1})	Satellite-based estimates (Gt yr^{-1})	References
(1) Ross	110.2	110.5	110.3	110.7	14-82	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(2) Withrow	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1-0.7	Rignot et al., 2013
(3) Swinburne-Salzberger	16.5	15.6	14.9	12.8	19-26	Rignot et al., 2013
(4) Nickerson-Land	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	5-11	Rignot et al., 2013
(5) Getz	93.3	139.4	168.3	309.4	117-159	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(6) Dotson	13.1	19.6	23.2	33.1	41-49	Rignot et al., 2013
(7) Crosson	3.5	4.7	5.3	5.8	35-43	Rignot et al., 2013
(8) Thwaites	15.2	22.2	27.0	48.3	91-105	Rignot et al., 2013
(9) Pine Island	28.3	42.2	52.6	103.4	81-109	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(10) Cosgrove	10.3	15.9	20.3	37.2	7-11	Rignot et al., 2013
(11) Abbot	28.5	35.5	39.4	54.5	33-97	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(12) Venable	2.4	3.6	4.2	7.3	17-21	Rignot et al., 2013
(13) Ferrigno	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.7	3-7	Rignot et al., 2013
(14) Stange	23.6	34.6	41.9	79,1	22-34	Rignot et al., 2013
(15) George VI	104.4	147.7	176.8	298.7	72-160	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(16) Bach	4.7	7.0	8.9	17.4	9-11	Rignot et al., 2013
(17) Wilkins	19.3	25.1	28.3	41.4	1-35	Rignot et al., 2013
(18) Wordie	0.1	0.3	0.4	1.7	4-10	Rignot et al., 2013
(19) Larsen B-G	36.4	36.6	36.0	35.3	-59-134	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(20) Filchner-Ronne	108.5	106.9	107.8	109.1	10-200	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(21) Brunt-Downer	101.3	101.2	101.0	100.1	40-162	Rignot et al., 2013
(22) Amery-Publication	64.8	64.3	63.8	62.1	12-62	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
(23) West	14.6	14.7	14.8	15.0	17-37	Rignot et al., 2013
(24) Shackleton-Glenzer	22.1	22.3	22.3	22.2	61-97	Rignot et al., 2013
(25) Vincennes	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	3-7	Rignot et al., 2013
(26) Totten-Moscow Univ.	9.7	9.6	9.6	9.7	83-99	Rignot et al., 2013
(27) Holmes-Drygalski	23.4	22.2	21.3	18.4	33-72	Rignot et al., 2013
Amundsen Sea (5-11)	192.2	280.0	336.0	591.7	405-573	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
Bellingshausen Sea (12-18)	154.6	218.1	260.3	444.7	128-278	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013
Antarctic total	837.4	976.3	1068.0	1480.1	1263-1737	Rignot et al., 2013, Depoorter et al., 2013

Table 3. Differences of mean Antarctic ice shelf melt rate and spatially averaged salinity for different regions for the last 2 years of model simulations. The LMELT field is subtracted from HMELT, PRS, and MMELT fields to calculate the differences. We calculate spatial averages for the regions indicated in Fig. 1 but using regions shallower than 1000 m and regions deeper than 2500 m for on-shelf 200-m spatially averaged and bottom spatially averaged salinity, respectively.

	HMELT-LMELT	PRS-LMELT	MMELT-LMELT
Total melt rate difference (Gt yr^{-1})	643	231	138
RS continental shelf salinity difference at 200-m depth (g kg^{-1})	-0.14	-0.045	-0.025
Deep RS salinity difference at bottom (g kg^{-1})	-0.015	-0.0048	-0.0030
Continental shelf region off Cape Darnley salinity difference at 200-m depth (g kg^{-1})	-0.035	-0.0078	-0.0038
Weddell Sea continental shelf salinity difference at 200-m depth (g kg^{-1})	-0.016	-0.0035	-0.0003