

Interactive comment on “Snow depth estimation by time-lapse photography: Finnish and Italian case studies” by Marco Bongio et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 5 March 2020

General comments

This study presents application of FMIPROT tool to estimate snow depth from images of snow stakes at selected sites in Finland and Italy.

In my opinion, topic is interesting and within the scope of the journal. However, the manuscript is, in my opinion, not ready for publication in its current form. The main reasons are not clearly formulated and demonstrated the novel scientific contributions of the study. The Introduction section is rather general and does not clearly present what the current status of the snow depth retrieval by digital camera is and what the recent research gaps are. The list of innovative aspects of the works then include large number of points, but the novelty of many of them is rather low or not clear (these are not clearly connected with the results of previous studies). So I would suggest to make

the Introduction section and formulation of the aims and novelty much more targeted and specific.

TCD

Interactive comment

If the main objectives are to evaluate the tool, then I would suggest to present methodology/tools first and then describe the dataset used in this study. The description of the sites can be shortened and information which is relevant for all tested sites can be mentioned only once (for example information that in the late afternoon the images are very dark is mentioned for different sites, but it will be enough to indicate that once for all sites and specific times for specific sites can be indicated for example in a table). The Method section reads like a manual to the tool, but this needs to be clearly linked with the main objectives of the paper and formulation/demonstration of the novelty. So please consider to revise this part and describe methodological steps/approaches which are considered here as a novel contribution. The same applies for Results. Please present here more clearly some story and take home message for the readers, i.e. what the new findings are. Finally I missed a discussion section which can link (and compare) the new findings of this study with previous research. This part can also include some lessons learned part and implications of this study for the future investigations.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-193>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

