

Interactive comment on “Estimate of Greenland and Antarctic ice-sheet total discharge from multiple GRACE solutions” by Ida Russo et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 11 March 2019

The authors use four post-treatments of GRACE datasets and two modelled surface mass balance datasets for estimating monthly ice discharge and runoff for Greenland and Antarctic main basins. I regret I must reject this article, despite it is in the scope of The Cryosphere.

My major issues are the following:

- Most of the references are outdated.
- 4 GRACE datasets are used, but at the end 3 of the GRACE estimates give very similar results, while the last one ("GRGS") gives significantly different results. Consequently, presenting these 4 GRACE estimates as 4 separate "members" of an "ensemble" is wrong.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



[Interactive comment](#)

- Basins shown for the Greenland seem small compared to GRACE resolution. Basins for Antarctica are not shown.
- PGR correction seems crucial and is not detailed
- ERA-Interim precipitation pattern is known to be incorrect over ice sheets (e.g.<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00382-015-2512-6> and <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ffac/a3a11eb5025184dd51e21e132a3b1c144e38.pdf>). The RACMO version used here is outdated, with known biases that have been corrected since. So the ensemble is made of 4 GRACE members of which 3 are identical, times 2 incorrect SMB datasets. The fact that the ensemble looks like the InSAR data is not convincing at all, it very likely results of compensating biases.

Novelty is fair:

- The first method used (2.1) is known as the input-output method. The second method (2.2) proposed ended to be not valid.
- The added value compared to IMBIE estimates is not clear.

I join an annotated version of the manuscript, so that the authors can consider my remarks in view of a re-submission.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/tc-2019-16/tc-2019-16-RC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-16>, 2019.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

