

Interactive comment on “Parameter sensitivity analysis of dynamic ice sheet models-Numerical computations” by Gong Cheng and Per Lötstedt

Alexander Robinson (Referee)

robinson@ucm.es

Received and published: 4 December 2019

I find this manuscript very relevant to TC. It presents interesting results from a new inversion comparing Full Stokes and SSA models. Nonetheless, I believe the manuscript requires significant revision before publication.

Motivation: The manuscript does not give the reader a sense of why this study is necessary or important. This is particularly true for the Introduction, where it should be made clear why this exercise is undertaken and what can be expected as an advance compared to previous work.

Language: There are not so many grammatical mistakes, but nonetheless, phrasing choices used here make it somewhat difficult to follow the text. I suggest the authors

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ask an independent reviewer to help improve this aspect of the manuscript.

Conclusions (and Discussion): I would like to see somewhat more general extractions from the work to make it more broadly applicable. How would this method work on a more realistic domain? What would it take to make the approach work for real data? Do you believe the last conclusion (ranking of the conditioning of transfers) is general for any problem?

Specific comments:

Abstract: Please add a sentence or two specifically reporting the important results found from this exercise.

Paragraph starting P1L20: This review needs revising. Aside from the references, as mentioned by reviewer 1, this paragraph does not leave the reader with a clear idea of which study addressed which issue, and why. Please carefully improving phrasing.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-151>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

