Editor comments:

Dear Dr. Swanger,

Thank you for your revised manuscript. You and your team have done a good job in responding to the reviewers' comments. I only have a few editorial comments that need to be treated, but are easily dealt with and are detailed in markup below.

One question that I do have is whether or not you can say something in your discussion that addresses the comment from Reviewer 2 about the implications of your work with respect to a possible glacially-dammed lake at the mouth of the valley 5~10 ky ago? I'm not personally familiar with the literature for this part of the world, but it would seem to be an important point with respect to the broader significance of this paper. If you feel that you can say something substantive, then please add a sort statement to section 5.4. If this instead opens a can of worms, just leave it alone. It is up to you and your co-authors to decide how to proceed.

Best regards,

Peter

Author Reply:

Dr. Morse,

Thank you very much for all of your time and attention in overseeing our manuscript. We have made the edits that are outlined in this pdf.

Our study area occurs at 500–1000 m above sea level, well above the maximum level (~350 m asl) of the glacially-dammed Lake Washburn that filled much of Taylor Valley during the LGM. Our investigation does not have direct implications for Glacial Lake Washburn in terms of occurrence, extent, and/or timing. Therefore, we choose not to include a discussion of the lake in this manuscript.

Sincerely, Kate Swanger

Line by Line response to editor comments:

Page 3, line 9-10: We deleted "Loose" and replace cobble/boulders with "isolated clasts"

Page 3, line 21: deleted "This granitic bedrock cliff is ventifacted."

Page 3, line 30: rewrote the mummified seal section to read: "and the landscape is incised by a network of contraction cracks."

Page 4, line25: second "manually " deleted

Page 4, line 28: rewrote as "we sampled slush-ice from the pond center at 3–5 cm depth."

Page 5, line 8: deleted: "buried ice, ponds and glacier ice"

Page 5, line 9: In reply to the comment: "You show more species in your data tables for these ices so it should be mentioned here," the 14 ion species listed here are the same as those shown in the supplementary table with the complete dataset.

Page 5, lines 21–23: deleted both "approximately"

Page 6, lines 10–12: rewrote section as suggested.

Page 7, lines 24-25: We added this sentence because a reviewer asked us to. We agree that it is a discussion-related topic (and it is also stated in the Discussion), so we deleted it.

Page 8, lines 7-8: rewrote section as "...support a glacial origin for the rock glacier ice"

Page 8, lines 14–16: we made all requested edits.

Page 8, lines 21–24: we made all requested edits.

Page 8, line 28: data changed to "materials"

Page 9, line 10–14: we made all requested edits.

Page 9, lines 32–34: we made all requested edits.

Page 10, lines 2–3: In Figure 10, we added arrows to highlight the debris layers. These layers record periods of glacier retreat. We also added the following text to the figure caption: "Arrows point to reflection events interpreted to be internal debris layers, likely recording retreat of the source glacier."

Page 11, line 26: As stated above our study area occurs at 500-1000 m above sea level, well above the maximum level (~350 m asl) of the glacially-dammed lake(s) that filled much of Taylor Valley during the LGM. Therefore, our study does have direct implications for the lake(s) and we choose not to include a discussion of the lake(s) in the manuscript.

Page 17: Table 1. We changed the table as requested and it is much better. Thank you!

Page 18: Table 2. We changed the table as requested.

Page 19: Table 3. We changed the table as requested and it now includes the data for the seven major ions that are also shown in Figure 8. This is a much better table now. Thank you for the thoughtful suggestion.

Page 29: Figure 10. We added arrows to highlight the debris layers and rewrote the caption for clarity. We added text to the caption to highlight how the clean ice and debris layer structure likely records advance/retreat of the alpine glacier. We also changed the axis labels for consistency.

Page 30: Figure 11. We changed the axis labels for consistency.

Rock glacier characteristics serve as an indirect record of multiple alpine glacier advances in Taylor Valley, Antarctica

Kelsey Winsor^{1*}, Kate M. Swanger¹, Esther Babcock², Rachel D. Valletta^{3&}, James L. Dickson^{4#}

¹Department of Environmental, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, 01854,

5 United States of America

² Logic Geophysics & Analytics LLC, Anchorage, 99508, United States of America

³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 19104, United States of America

⁴Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, 02912, United States of America

*Now at: School of Earth and Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, 86005, United States of America &Now at: Franklin Institute, Philadelphia, PA, 19103, United States of America

"Now at: Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 91125, United States of America

15

10

Correspondence to: Kate M. Swanger (Kate_Swanger@uml.edu)

Abstract. The geomorphic record indicates that alpine glaciers in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of southern Victoria Land, Antarctica appear to advance during interglacial periods in response to ice-free conditions in the Ross Sea. Few records of these advances are preserved and/or subaerially exposed, complicating the interpretations of regional glacier response to

- 20 climate changes. Here, we present geophysical and geochemical analyses of a rock glacier that originates from icefalls fed by alpine Doran Glacier in central Taylor Valley. The rock glacier exhibits a trend of increased weathering of granitic clasts via ventifaction and grussification down-flow. Meltwater ponds on the rock glacier exhibit variable salinity that ranges from freshwater to higher than seawater, with the highest salinity pond near the rock glacier toe. Ground-penetrating radar analyses reveal the feature to possess a primarily clean ice interior, with layers of englacial debris. Stable isotopic data from
- 25 three ice cores support a glacial origin for the ice within the rock glacier. These data suggest that the current morphology of the rock glacier is the result of multiple events of increased ice contribution caused by advances of Doran Glacier, which is the main source of ice to the rock glacier. We therefore demonstrate the potential of ice-cored rock glaciers to record multiple advances and retreats of Dry Valley glaciers, permitting the interpretation of glacial responses to Pleistocene and Holocene climate change even where direct records are not present.

1 Introduction

Alpine glaciers in East Antarctica's McMurdo Dry Valleys (Fig. 1) are important components of the regional hydrologic cycle (Lyons et al., 1998). In some areas, recent warming has caused melting of both alpine glaciers and ground ice, suggesting that modern ice behavior in the Dry Valleys might reflect global temperature changes (Fountain et al., 2006; Hoffman et al., 2016). Partnered with an increase in both stream incision rates and lake levels throughout Taylor and surrounding valleys, this activity has suggested an invigoration of modern Dry Valley hydrology (Fountain et al., 2014; Guglielmin and Cannone, 2012; Levy et al., 2013; Macdonell et al., 2013). However, the geologic record of Dry Valley alpine glacier behavior previous to the Holocene is generally limited to isolated moraines and proglacial lake deposits that indicate prior glacial advances (e.g., Higgins et al., 2000; Swanger et al. 2017).

10

15

20

5

Here, we examine a Taylor Valley rock glacier that extends from an icefall fed by alpine Doran Glacier of the Kukri Hills (Figs. 1 and 2). Rock glaciers (flowing mixtures of ice, rock and sediments) are common throughout the Dry Valleys and other ice-free regions in Antarctica (Fukui et al., 2008; Hassinger and Mayewski, 1983), however their age, origin and geomorphic significance remains poorly constrained in many locations. In general, rock glaciers can form through periglacial processes (permafrost and ice-rich talus) and through glacial processes (burial of glacier ice by talus and alluvium) (Anderson et al., 2018; Clark et al., 1998; Hamilton and Whalley, 1995; Knight et al., 2019). Therefore, rock

At the study location in Taylor Valley, because ice is fed to the rock glacier from the lateral margin of Doran Glacier, intervals of rock glacier growth and advance are limited by the extent of Doran Glacier and its ability to advance to the top of a bedrock cliff (Fig. 3). To identify discrete episodes of Doran Glacier advance, we examine the internal structure using ground-penetrating radar (GPR), stable isotopic and major ion chemistry of buried ice and meltwater ponds, and surface weathering characteristics of the associated rock glacier. This rock glacier provides a unique chronological marker because it

records multiple generations of rock glacier advance, which in turn record multiple advances and retreats of its source

glaciers might provide information on past glacial fluctuations, permafrost development and degradation, and erosion rates.

25 glacier.

2 Geologic Setting

Taylor Valley is the most well studied area of the Dry Valleys, an arid region predominantly free of surface ice (Fig. 1). At the western end of the valley, Taylor Glacier flows from the Taylor Dome of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, and to the east, the valley is bounded by the Ross Sea. Taylor Glacier terminates ~35 km from the Ross Sea coast, into the west lobe of Lake

30 Bonney, a 40-m deep, stratified lake with a high salinity gradient (Poreda et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2010). South of this lake, a 15–20° slope rises ~200 m to a bedrock platform. Here, Sollas Glacier flows north for ~8 km from the Kukri Hills and spreads onto a low-angle bench, reaching a width of ~1400 m and terminating at ~440 m above sea level (m asl). To the east,

a steep-walled arête separates Sollas Glacier from the smaller Doran Glacier, which flows north, is ~3 km long and terminates at ~840 m asl (Figs. 1 and 2).

Along its lower eastern margin, the Sollas Glacier terminates against a small, ice-cored moraine that includes stratified, dipping sediments (Fig. 2). Adjacent to the eastern margin of Sollas Glacier lies a narrow gulley with unconsolidated debris
and isolated large boulders. The east side of the gulley is diamict-covered bedrock, which forms a steep slope that rises above the Sollas Glacier surface (Higgins et al., 2000). At the top of this slope is the rock glacier. Because the ice-cored debris and exposed icefalls are geomorphically connected, both are included in the mapped extent for the rock glacier (Fig. 2). The rock glacier extends ~1.2 km from ~1000 to 550 m asl, beginning at the Doran Glacier icefalls. The surface of the uppermost ~600 m of the rock glacier is currently exposed ice. Blocks of iLoose-ice, both with and without bubbles, and
cobbles/boulderscobble- to boulder-sized clasts_are present in this upper section of the rock glacier. The lowermost ~600 m

- of the rock glacier is debris covered (Fig. 2). The debris-covered section has a concentric lobate form with hummocks and multiple steps and risers, indicative of active flow. Surface debris cover is generally thin, ranging from several cm in the upper portion of the rock glacier to > 30 cm near the toe (sediment cover thickens down-flow). Contraction cracks occur throughout the feature, and in at least one location clean ice is exposed within a vertical crack, showing the sharp contact
- 15 between the buried ice and the overlying sediments. Along the eastern margin of the upper rock glacier, a steep-walled ice-cored ridge (similar in morphology to an ice-cored moraine) extends up-flow for ~300 m, buttressing the eastern margin of the modern exposed ice (Figs. 2 and 3b). The height of the rock glacier could be estimated along the western margin where it terminates on an exposed bedrock surface. At this location, the rock glacier margin is 10–12 m in height.
- 20 Nearly vertical bedrock cliffs (~100 m in height) divide Doran Glacier from the rock glacier, and are the main source of rockfall material to the landform. This granitic bedrock cliff is ventifacted. This region of Taylor Valley is dotted with extinct Plio-Pleistocene cinder cones 40 Ar/ 39 Ar dated by Wilch et al. (1993). These cinder cones also serve as source material for talus near Sollas and Doran glaciers. To the southwest of the rock glacier is a very steep cinder cone talus slope dated to 2.19 ± 0.04 Ma (Fig. 3a), which, while topographically isolated from the rock glacier at present, may have sourced mafic
- 25 cobbles to the rock glacier area in the past. A second cinder cone, dated to 3.57 ± 0.14 Ma, is located east of the rock glacier (Fig. 3a). North of the rock glacier, where the ground surface flattens to nearly horizontal, the ground cover is dominated by mafic cobbles. These cobbles are commonly polished, sculpted, and/or pitted and are often underlain by salt efflorescences that can reach over 5 mm in thickness. In addition to the cinder cones, several mafic dikes visibly cross-cut the granitic bedrock of the arête east of Doran Glacier (Fig. 3a). Just to the northeast of the rock glacier is a grussified granitic boulder
- 30 field with significant ventifaction, and where a mummified seal has been partially swallowed by athe landscape is incised by a network of contraction cracks.

2.1 Glacial History of Taylor Valley

Geomorphic evidence for Taylor Glacier advances occur on the Taylor Valley walls and floor. Recent advances of Dry Valley outlet and alpine glaciers are correlated with the presence of open water in the Ross Sea, which occurs during interglacial periods when the Ross Ice Shelf retreats (Naish et al., 2009). Thus, the outlet Taylor Glacier, in addition to the
smaller alpine glaciers appear to reach relative maxima during warm intervals due to higher precipitation and/or lower ablation rates (Higgins et al., 2000; Hoffman et al., 2016; Marchant et al., 1994; Swanger et al., 2017). Algal carbonates originating in proglacial lakes suggest that Taylor Glacier advanced during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5, 7, 9 and possibly 11 (Higgins et al., 2000). The silt- and clay-rich Bonney drift marks the Taylor Glacier margin maximum during the last interglacial, and is found up to ~300 m asl in central Taylor Valley (~140 m below the Sollas Glacier toe) (Higgins et al.,

10 2000). Using cosmogenic ³He exposure dating, Swanger et al. (2017) correlated the outermost moraine associated with Stocking Glacier (17 km west of Sollas Glacier) to an advance during MIS 11 (Fig. 1). High-elevation deposits of Taylor and Ferrar outlet glaciers have also been dated to approximately 3–4 Ma (Staiger et al., 2006; Swanger et al., 2011). Many other geologic records of local ice advance and retreat are restricted to the last 20,000 years and show Holocene advances, that in many cases, surpass glacial extents in MIS 2 (Christ and Bierman, 2019; Hall et al., 2000).

15 3 Methods

3.1 Ice Coring and Sampling

We extracted seven shallow ice cores of buried ice and frozen meltwater ponds along a 500-m long transect down the centerline of the rock glacier in early November 2015. Three of the cores (SLI-15-01, -04 and -05) were from buried ice and measured 1.5-2.3 m in length (Figs. 2, 4, and Table 1). The remaining four cores (SLI-15-02, -03, -06, and -07) were taken from two frozen ponds on the surface of the rock glacier, and each measured <1.5 m. Core -03 was a duplicate of -02, and 20 core -07 was a duplicate of -06. The depth of coring was limited by debris and equipment. Ice cores were taken with a 7.6cm diameter SIPRE hand auger. In addition to the seven ice cores, fifteen hand samples were gathered from the upper 15 cm of the buried ice, the surface ponds, and the exposed icefalls of the modern glaciers, Doran and Sollas. (Tables 1 and 2). Frozen hand samples were collected using a clean, stainless steel ice pick or a trowel covered in a clean Whirlpak bag. Buried ice hand samples were gathered from 5–15 cm depth after removing the upper 5 cm. All surface pond samples were 25 taken from 3-5 cm depth in the center of the pond ice, after manually removing the upper 3 cm of ice-manually. All ponds, except L3, were frozen at the time of sampling. Pond L3 was beginning to thaw and a ~10 cm layer of slush-ice topped solid ice below. Despite this early thaw, the ice was solid enough to walk on and we were able to sampled slush-ice from the pond center at 3-5 cm depth. All samples were packed frozen in sterile Whirlpak bags. From McMurdo Station, samples were shipped frozen to the University of Massachusetts Lowell (if sediment-rich) or the National Ice Core Laboratory (NICL) in 30

Lakewood, Colorado (if relatively clean). Subsamples for isotopic and elemental analyses were collected using the facilities at NICL, where laboratory storage freezers maintained sample temperatures below -20°C.

3.2 Major Ion Analyses

Samples were stored frozen in HDPE bottles in the field, during transit, and in the laboratory. When ready for processing, samples were thawed and two aliquots were removed—one for cation and one for anion analyses. The aliquot allocated for cation analyses was acidified with ~0.5% trace metal grade HCl. Major ion analyses were performed at the University of Colorado Boulder, using ion chromatography for anion samples and an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) for cation samples. Species analyzed in buried ice, ponds and glacier ice were Br', Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, F⁻, Fe, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn, Na⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, PO₄³⁻, Si, and SO₄²⁻.

10 3.3 Stable Isotope Analyses

All ice samples were kept frozen until melted in airtight containers and then stored in 4 mL HDPE bottles. All bottles were pre-rinsed in a 10% ACS grade nitric acid bath and triple rinsed with deionized water before packing, when the samples were sealed and refrozen until analyzed. Stable isotopic analyses (n=78) were conducted using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the Boston University Stable Isotope Laboratory. Analyses for δ^{18} O were performed via CO₂ equilibration,

15 and deuterium analyses were performed via pyrolysis using a GVI ChromeHD[™] system. Analytical precision for both measurements is typically ± 0.1‰. Isotope values are presented as per mil (‰) relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

3.4 Ground-penetrating Radar

3.4.1 Data Collection

20 We used a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) ground-penetrating radar (GPR) system with a SIR-3000 controller to image the subsurface at the field site. We surveyed one longitudinal transect (approximately-500 m), composed of multiple lines, down the rock glacier from the highest ice-core site to the lowest (Fig. 2). We also collected one west-east transverse GPR line (approximately 120 m) at the boundary between debris-covered ice and exposed ice. The line extends across ~20 m of debris-covered ice and then onto the modern icefalls, stopping at the base of the east-lateral ice-cored ridge (Fig. 2). We collected data with 200-MHz and 400-Mz shielded antenna units, which are both configured in a single housing unit. For 200 MHz antenna, we took 2048 samples per trace, with a time window of 350–700 ns, and trace spacing of 0.05 m. The same sample parameters for the 400 MHz antenna were 4096 samples per trace, 150–500 ns window, and 0.1 m trace

spacing. For both antennae, stacking was four and trace gain was -20 dB.

Typical surface roughness of rock glaciers prevents effective ground-coupling of the radar system. This reduced coupling degrades the depth of the signal penetration and the quality of the radargram. To combat these problems, before each line collection we removed surface clasts $>0.1 \text{ m}^3$ from the GPR travel path and smoothed the surface sediment where possible. However, in places where clasts were frozen to the surface or too large to move, data quality was unavoidably degraded. We

5 surveyed the start and end point of each GPR line with a handheld GPS receiver. We also marked the locations in the data files that corresponded to coring sites. Finally, after each line collection, we surveyed the slope along the line with a Brunton Pocket Transit and replaced all boulders.

3.4.2 Data Processing

Initial data processing steps were: (i) dewow to remove very low-frequency components from the recorded data (Annan, 2005), (ii) distance normalization to correct for odometer errors (Shean and Marchant, 2010), and (iii) time-zero correction to the first peak of the direct arrival (Yelf and Yelf, 2007). We also then filtered the data with a bandpass frequency filter (200 MHz: 50-100-400-800 MHz; 400 MHz: 100-200-1000-2000 MHz), which was followed by and then a background subtraction to enhance signal-to-noise ratio. Finally, wWe gained the data to enhance deeper reflections and cut the data to the useful time window. After these steps, analysis of diffraction hyperbolas provided radar-wave velocity estimates (Shean

15 and Marchant, 2010). We used this velocity to apply topographic migration and topographic corrections to each line using surface profiles from the Brunton measurements. All data processing occurred with Reflex-2D processing software (Sandmeier, 2008).

4 Results

4.1 Rock Weathering Trends

20 The most abundant lithology on the rock glacier surface is granite (Figs. 5 and 6). In the upper half of the rock glacier, granitic clasts are primarily cobble- to boulder-size, subangular to very angular, and generally lacking in evidence of ventifaction (Figs. 5a, 5b, and 6a). Occasional large boulders exhibit ventifact pitting, although these may be relict features inherited from *in situ* weathering while exposed along the cliff below Doran Glacier (e.g., Fig. 3b). In some areas, packed, angular pebbles are present between larger clasts (Fig. 5b). On the distal sector of the rock glacier, the character of surface clasts changes markedly. Granitic boulders are rounded and in some cases ventifaction and/or salt weathering has caused the development of surface pitting (Fig. 6b). The ground cover is grus, dominated by gravels and coarse sands (Figs. 5d, 5h and

6c). Where snowdrifts are protected by boulders, salt efflorescences and crusts can be found. Although cinder cone clasts are rare on the upper rock glacier, the lower rock glacier hosts patches of this material (Figs. 5i and 6c), particularly in depressions that hold small ponds or appear to have held them in the past (evidenced by salt deposits). Pebble- to cobble-

sized cinder cone clasts cover these depressions in a loose, poorly developed desert pavement. Occasional boulders of cinder cone material are also present on the lower rock glacier (Figs. 5g).

4.2 Major Ion Concentrations

Based on repeat aerial photography from 1956 to the present, all of the surface ponds on the rock glacier vary in size, generally reaching minima in the 1970s and 1980s. Five of the ponds (KWL-15-03, SLI-15-02, -06, -17, and -18) are persistent features in the aerial photographs, probably due to their relatively large sizes (Figs. 5c, f, g, and h). The remaining sampled ponds are more ephemeral (KWL-15-01, -02, SLI-15-10, -16, and -19). Meltwater pond major ion concentrations range from those of freshwater to higher than those of seawater (Fig. 7 and Table 3). These results are compared to the average ion concentrations of seawater as described in Holland (1984). Highest major ion concentrations are found in the

- 10 KWL-15-02, -03, and SLI-15-16 ponds, whereas the lowest concentrations are present in SLI-15-02, -18, and -19 (Fig. 8, Table S1). Ratios of Ca²⁺:Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺:(K⁺ + Na⁺), Ca²⁺:Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻:Cl⁻ decrease with increasing major ion concentrations (Fig. 7). The three ponds with the highest concentrations of Cl⁻ also exhibit low ratios of (K⁺ + Na⁺):Cl⁻ relative to the other ponds. The concentrations of Cl⁻ and Na⁺ in the most saline pond, KWL-15-03, are similar to that of seawater (Fig. 7a). Major ion concentrations are not correlated with pond size. The three most saline ponds are located in the lower rock glacier
- 15 region, however, low salinity ponds also occur in the lower rock glacier. Major ions from samples collected from the lower margins of Doran Glacier and Sollas Glacier show low total ion concentrations (Table 3).

4.3 Stable Isotopes

Stable isotopic analyses were conducted on 54 samples from the buried ice (49 from the ice cores and five from the hand samples), 15 samples from surface ponds, five samples from the exposed icefalls, and four samples from Sollas and Doran glaciers (Table S2). Most of the ice samples fall near or slightly below the local meteoric water line (LMWL) defined by Gooseff et al. (2006) (Fig. 9). The local alpine glaciers (Doran and Sollas) yield δ¹⁸O values from -30 and -31‰, falling near the LMWL. Stable isotopic results from the three buried ice cores vary compared to the four glacier ice samples, but they are within the values observed from alpine glaciers in Taylor Valley (Gooseff et al., 2006). When plotted on a graph of δ¹⁸O vs. δD, all 49 samples from the three buried ice cores (SLI-15-01, -04, and -05) fall on a slope of 7.7 with an R² value of 0.99.
25 Samples from meltwater ponds display a large isotopic variance, with δ¹⁸O values ranging from -31 to -22‰. The pond

samples foll below the LMWL on a slope of 6.0, with an R² value of 0.94. This is likely due to evaporation fractionation in the pond samples.

4.4 GPR

5

30

Mean radar-wave velocity derived from analyses of diffraction hyperbolas throughout the data sets was approximately 0.15– 0.16 m ns⁻¹. Migrations using this velocity successfully collapsed diffractions without introducing data artifacts (Figs. 10 and 11). However, the overlying debris layer of typically less than 0.2 m thickness likely has lower velocity. This layered velocity structure is a source of error in our processing and subsequent analysis. In spite of the problems encountered with ground-coupling due to surface roughness, effective depth of penetration after migration was 10–20 m in the 400-MHz data and >15 m in the 200-MHz data. The processed data exhibited coherent reflection events within 0.1–0.2 m of the surface and below (Fig. 10). These reflection events generally appear as flat-lying and dipping reflectors separating portions of the radargrams with comparatively low signal content (Fig. 10).

10 5 Discussion

5.1 Stable Isotopes and Buried Ice Origin

Stable isotopic data from the buried ice, pond, and alpine glaciers support a glacial origin for the <u>rock glacier</u> ice that cores the rock glacier, with some meltwater infiltration and reworking. Doran and Sollas Glaciers are isotopically similar and follow the general trend of Taylor Valley (more depleted isotopic values for inland glaciers compared to glaciers near the coast) (Gooseff et al., 2006). The modern glacier ice also falls around the median isotopic values for all samples from the

- 15 coast) (Gooseff et al., 2006). The modern glacier ice also falls around the median isotopic values for all samples from the rock glacier region. The pond ice is isotopically heavy with respect to oxygen and plots well below the LMWL, indicating that it has experienced greater isotopic fractionation due to evaporation compared to the buried ice and glacier ice.
- At-two sites at the boundary between the icefalls and the debris-covered ice, <u>SLI-15-08 and -09 (Fig. 8)</u>, the sampled exposed ice (<u>SLI-15-08 and -09) iwas a mixturemélange</u> of bubble-rich ice clasthunks in a clear-ice matrix (<u>Fig. 8</u>). At these locations, the bubble-rich ice <u>samples</u> falls on the LMWL isotopically, whereas <u>samples from the the-</u>clear-ice matrices fall below (Fig. 9). The bubble-rich samples are likely preserved glacial ice. This interpretation is supported by the presence of fine spherical gas inclusions and snow accumulation stratigraphy. Conversely, the clear-ice matrices appear to be refrozen meltwater that has experienced minor evaporation fractionation.

25 5.2 Rock Glacier Subsurface Structure

Ground-penetrating radar along the rock glacier (Fig. 2) shows clear subsurface structure and clean ice (selected radargrams shown in Figs. 10 and 11). The presence of clean ice wasAs verified via field excavations_a: <u>athe</u> contact between clean buried ice and overlying sediments <u>iwas</u> commonly encountered at < 30 cm depth. This interface between overlying unconsolidated sediments and the underlying clean ice containing scattered debris corresponds with the shallowest reflection.

8

event in the radar data. The shallowest reflection event in the radar data corresponds to the interface between overlying

unconsolidated sediment and the underlying clean ice containing scattered debris, as confirmed by excavation. Where the longitudinal GPR transect crossed ice-coring locations, we were able to correlate low GPR signal, or radar transparent regions (Brown et al., 2009), in the radargrams to the clean ice observed in the cores (Fig. 11). In the west-east (transverse) line across the boundary between the exposed icefalls and the buried ice (Figs. 2 and 10), we observe the same characteristically low-signal areas in the radargram interrupted by a prominent internal reflection event. This internal reflection event highlights the signal quality and radar transparency of the materialsdata above and below it. Additionally, the mean radar-wave velocity throughout our data set, obtained from diffraction-pattern analyses, is within 6% of that of clean, freshwater ice (0.167 m ns⁻¹). Finally, the depth of penetration for both frequencies employed is triple or greater than that normally seen when using these frequencies for rock or soil materials. This increased penetration is likely due to the low 10 attenuation typically exhibited by radar waves traveling through cold ice (Arcone et al., 1995). Combined, these observations support our GPR-derived interpretation that the rock glacier is primarily ice-cored. Near the boundary between the exposed icefalls and the debris-covered ice, ice thickness is > 6 m (Fig. 10), and near the toe of the rock glacier, ice thickness is > 10m in some locations (Fig. 11).

5

- The prominent reflection events in the survey across the exposed icefalls are interpreted to be internal debris layers. On the 15 transverse line (Fig. 10), the primary reflection event from the surface debris layer extends into the exposed icefalls and then dips upward toward the surface of the bounding ice-cored ridge (on the eastern rock glacier margin). The reflector maintains coherency especially in the first meter of descent away from the debris-covered ice (line positions from 20 to -30 m), supporting our interpretation that it represents continuous internal debris. Thereafter, the layer maintains a consistent polarity indicative of the ice-debris-ice interface, although it becomes less coherent. At ~the approximately 50 m line position along 20 the line, another internal reflection event separates from the prominent debris layer and follows a similar (though less steep) dip, joining the primary upward-dipping debris layer at thearound ~105 m line position. Below these prominent debris layers, more interpreted clean ice extends to at least the depth of signal penetration. Our observations also provide clear supporting evidence for a supraglacial source of entrained debris that then travels englacially with rock-glacier flow. This
- 25 pattern of repetitive internal and upward dipping reflectors is evocative of previous work on Dry Valley debris-covered glaciers, Mullins and Friedmann (Mackay et al., 2014).

Throughout the longitudinal transect, we observed similar internal reflectors and patterns, interpreted to be clean subsurface ice with entrained debris bands (arrows, Fig. 11). Dominant dip of englacial debris layers is toward the toe of the rock 30 glacier, corresponding to our interpretation of passive entrainment and subsequent redistribution of supraglacial debris. Where the longitudinal transect crosses surface ponds on the rock glacier, internal reflectors extend from the near-surface (~0.1 m) downward to form the base of the pond and presumably the interface between the melt ice and underlying bubblerich glacier-derived ice (Shean and Marchant, 2010). We were unable to core below the ponds' basal layers. In pond SLI-15-06 (Fig. 11b), two distinct debris layers bounding the pond run parallel to each other, while a third dips upward more abruptly. The lack of signal in the rest of the radargram near this location indicates the presence of clean ice below the surface debris layer, with a few areas having some entrained debris. Down rock glacier from the pond, it appears that this basal pond debris layer becomes further entrained and migrates down-flow.

- 5 The GPR data support multiple advances and retreats of the source for the rock glacier ice, Doran Glacier. In the transverse line (Fig. 10), the exposed ice <u>at line positions</u> from 30 to -115 m line position records the modern advance of Doran Glacier and the associated calving of ice from its lateral margin. The prominent subsurface debris layers below the modern ice likely record previous period(s) of Doran Glacier retreat. During <u>glacier</u> retreat; the rock glacier loses its source for ice, <u>allowing</u> and a debris layer to<u>could</u> accumulate at the rock-glacier surface via ablation and rockfall. Below the prominent debris layer, 10 the low-signal zone (interpreted to be more ice) likely records older advance(s) of Doran Glacier. Based on this
- interpretation, the transverse radargram records at least two recent advances of Doran Glacier (Fig. 10).

5.3 Surface Trends in Relative Age

The accumulation of major ions in meltwater ponds should be driven by two primary processes: snowfall and chemical weathering (Sun et al., 2015). Snowfall in the Dry Valleys contains aerosols of marine origin, which are a significant source 15 of Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ to the regional soils (Witherow et al., 2006). Although the surface sediments of the rock glacier do experience summer thaw, the thaw season is generally short in this region (~34 degree days per year along Lake Bonney in the valley floor (Doran et al., 2002)). Compared to the coastal Dry Valleys, weathering is therefore less of a contributor to soil and meltwater major ions (Bao et al., 2008).

20 Based on the shape and position of meltwater pond KWL-15-02, it evolved from a snowbank (Fig. 5e), which supports the interpretation that snowfall is a dominant contributor to the ponds. Some authors have reported increasing concentrations of the more soluble binary ions in downslope meltwater ponds due to the freeze-thaw-driven migration of those ions from upslope ponds (Healy et al., 2006; Lyons et al., 2012). However, we see a relative increase in the less soluble Mg²⁺ in the lower meltwater ponds (Figs. 7b and 8), suggesting that the freeze-thaw process does not control the major ion concentration trend. Additionally, the hummocky, irregular surface topography of the rock glacier likely restricts connectivity between the upper and lower rock glacier (Figs. 2 and 8).

Our major ion concentrations and cation ratios are dissimilar to those reported in meltwater ponds surrounding Marr Glacier, ~3 km northwest of the rock glacier (Fig. 1) (Lyons et al., 2012). This is likely due to the direct input of glacial meltwater to 30 the Marr ponds, which possesses low concentrations of major ions as well as ratios driven strongly by snowfall. The rock glacier ponds are more isolated and subject to evaporative concentration of ions. We suggest that the ponds in the upper glacier (SLI-15-02, -10 and KWL-15-01) have low total ion concentrations due to their relative youth and potential meltwater contribution from the Doran Glacier icefalls (Fig. 8). Ponds SLI-15-18 and -19 contain some of the lowest ion concentrations we measured, but occur near the rock glacier toe where the landform is older. However, at this location, the surface morphology is distinct from the rest of the rock glacier: (1) the hummocks are lower relief and (2) the arcuate transverse ridges are incised by fluvial channels that are oriented longitudinally to rock glacier flow. We were also unable to

- 5 find clean subsurface ice in this lowest section of the rock glacier (based on five field excavations). Pond -19 is a small, ephemeral feature (Fig. 5i). Pond -18 is larger and long-lived, but in a shallow depression with a northern bounding bank that was < 50 cm above the modern pond surface. This configuration, along with the evidence for fluvial channeling, might allow pond water to flow out during high stands, thus limiting long-term concentration of ions in the depressions. Conversely, pond KWL-15-03, which exhibits significantly higher ion concentrations than any of the other analyzed ponds, sits in one of</p>
- 10 the deepest depressions on the entire rock glacier, 2–5 m deep on all sides. At this location, the rock glacier contains > 10 m of clean buried ice (Fig. 11b), but sediment cover over the buried ice is > 30 cm thick (Fig. 4a), allowing for little to no melting of the buried ice as a source for pond water.

High salinity of surface ponds, such as KWL-15-03, imply a long surface exposure history for the lower rock glacier.

- 15 Concentrations of Cl⁻ are likely controlled by aerosol inputs from snow and ice melt, as well as dissolution and subsequent aeolian and near-surface groundwater transport from nearby sediments, with a small contribution from weathering of cinder cone clasts (Keys and Williams, 1981; Witherow et al., 2006; Toner et al., 2013). If the measured Cl⁻ concentration of KWL-15-03 is representative of the pond in general, and the Cl⁻ was sourced solely from aerosol deposition across the entire ~600 m² depression that surrounds the ~12 m² pond, then the accumulation of Cl⁻ to the pond would take longer than the Holocene
- 20 (~40 kyr). This estimate is based on the modern Cl⁻ flux of ~150 μmol m⁻² yr⁻¹ to four snow pits on Sollas Glacier (Witherow et al., 2006) and the measured Cl⁻ concentration of ~31,400 ppm applied to the entire volume (~4,000 liters) of the pond (Table S1). This calculated age would be an overestimation if (a) the surface ice is higher salinity than the lower pond ice, (b) there is a significant *in situ* weathering source of Cl⁻, and/or (c) wind-blown snow and wind-blown ions preferentially accumulate in the depression. Conversely, the calculated age would be an underestimation if (a) the surface ice is lower
- 25 salinity than the bottom ice due to top down freezing and brine expulsion at the bottom of the pond, (b) there is aeolian removal of ions from the pond depression during dessication events, and/or (c) some Cl⁻ is retained in surrounding sediments rather than being transported to the pond. Additionally, long-term variations in snowfall, the size of the depression, and fluvial/subsurface water flow would affect Cl⁻ transport to the pond. Due to all of these factors and uncertainties, an absolute surface age for the lower rock glacier cannot be calculated based simply on the pond salinity. The antiquity of the lower rock
- 30 glacier is evidenced by the grussification of granites, the rounding of surface clasts, the accumulation of relatively thick (> 30 cm) sediment cover over the buried ice, and the GPR record of multiple advances and retreats of the source glacier. When coupled with this relative chronology of surface weathering and buried ice accumulation and flow, the high Cl⁻ concentrations measured in KWL-15-03 might suggest a pre-Holocene age for the lower rock glacier.

5.4 Implications for McMurdo Dry Valleys Glaciers

Meltwater pond salinity and observations of surface weathering suggest that portions of the rock glacier could have survived since before the Holocene. Distinct weathering regimes along the rock glacier surface support an episodic nature of rock glacier development, as do the dispersal of englacial debris and the arcuate surface ridges. If the rock glacier surface near pond KWL-15-03 is pre-Holocene, it is possible that the rock glacier preserves evidence of advances from older interglacial intervals. Regardless of the exact age, the rock glacier preserves, in a single feature, a record of multiple alpine glacier advances for which the direct geomorphic evidence (such as moraines beyond the toe of Doran Glacier) is unavailable.

Taylor Valley glacial geomorphology shows evidence for advances of both outlet and alpine glaciers during MIS 5, 7, 9 and 10 11 (Higgins et al., 2000; Swanger et al., 2017). Even though Dry Valley glaciers appear to have advanced during the Holocene relative to the Last Glacial Maximum, the timing and details of Holocene advance dynamics are unresolved (Hall and Denton, 2000). Therefore, if rock glaciers can preserve a record of Holocene (or older) glacier advance and retreat, these landforms can be used to constrain regional glacial fluctuations, especially where moraines are absent. In addition to the stated implications for the McMurdo Dry Valleys, our results have wider significance. Buried ice and rock glaciers are 15 common throughout the ice-free regions of Antarctica (Fukui et al., 2008; Hassinger and Mayewski, 1983; Bibby et al., 2016). Based on our results, it is likely that many ice-cored rock glaciers elsewhere in Antarctica record past glacial

fluctuations, and can therefore be used to study climatic history during the Holocene and Pleistocene.

6 Conclusions

- We use a combination of ground-penetrating radar, field observations, stable isotope and major ion analyses to characterize a rock glacier fed by the Doran Glacier icefalls in Taylor Valley. The rock glacier subsurface is primarily clean ice that is at least 10 m thick, with englacial debris bands that in places outcrop at the surface. Stable isotope values that are consistent with those of glacial ice, along with the presence of spherical gas inclusions, confirm that the buried ice within the rock glacier is glacial in origin. Surface weathering trends including the development of ventifacts, grus and minor desert pavement on the lower rock glacier suggest that the rock glacier toe is significantly older than the upper rock glacier. Major
- 25 ion concentrations in meltwater ponds are variable, and consistent with aerosol deposition acting as the primary source for major ions. Our data support episodic advances of the rock glacier, which likely record Doran Glacier margin fluctuations during late Pleistocene and Holocene time. This demonstrates the potential utility of Antarctic rock glaciers in preserving glacial behavior despite non-deposition of moraines and/or the destruction of older glacial landforms by younger advances.

Data availability. All geochemical data are provided in the Supplement.

Author contributions. All authors participated in field observations, GPR surveying, and ice core collection. Swanger organized and led the field campaign. Winsor and Swanger collected soil and ice hand samples. Babcock directed GPR surveying and performed all GPR data processing. Winsor processed samples for major ion analyses. Winsor and Swanger processed samples for stable isotope analyses. Winsor, Swanger, and Babcock prepared the manuscript, created figures, and

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the McMurdo Station support staff, especially those at the Berg Field Center, Petroleum Helicopters International, and the Crary Laboratory, for assistance during the field season. Geoff
Hargreaves and crew were of great assistance in subsampling ice cores at the National Ice Core Laboratory. Fred Luiszer (U Colorado–Boulder) analyzed samples for major ions and Robert Michener (Boston U) analyzed samples for stable isotopes. Useful discussions were had with Maciej Obryk.

Financial support. We acknowledge the following funding source for support of this research: US National Science Foundation Office of Polar Programs grant 1341284 to K.M. Swanger.

15

5

made tables.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Peter Morse and reviewed by two anonymous reviewers.

References

Anderson, R.S., Anderson, L.S., Armstrong, W.H., Rossi, M.W., and Crump, S.E.: Glaciation of alpine valleys: The glacier – debris-covered glacier – rock glacier continuum, Geomorphology, 311, 127–142,

20 doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.03.015, 2018.

- Annan, A. P.: GPR methods for hydrogeological studies, in Hydrogeophysics, edited by Y. Rubin and S. S. Hubbard, pp. 185–213, Springer, Netherlands., 2005.
- Arcone, S. A., Lawson, D. E. and Delaney, A. J.: Short-pulse radar wavelet recovery and resolution of dielectric contrasts within englacial and basal ice Matanuska Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A., J. Glaciol., 41, 68–86, doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000017779, 1995.
 - Bao, H., Barnes, J. D., Sharp, Z. D. and Marchant, D. R.: Two chloride sources in soils of the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, 1–10, doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008703, 2008.
 - Bibby, T., Putkonen, J., Morgan, D., Balco, G. and Shuster, D.L.: Million year old ice found under meter thick debris layer in Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 43(13), 6995–7001. doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069889, 2016.

- Brown, J., Harper, J. and Bradford, J.: A radar transparent layer in a temperate valley glacier: Bench Glacier, Alaska, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 34, 1497–1506, doi.org/10.1002/esp.1835, 2009.
- Christ, A.J. and Bierman, P.R: The local Last Glacial Maximum in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica: Implications for ice-sheet behavior in the Ross Sea Embayment, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., doi.org/10.1130/B35139.1, 2019.
- 5 Clark, D.H., Steig, E.J., Potter, Jr., N. and Gillespie, A.R.: Genetic variability of rock glaciers. Geogr. Ann. A, 80(3-4), 175-182, doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.1998.00035.x, 1998.
 - Doran, P. T., Mckay, C. P., Clow, G. D., Dana, G. L., Fountain, A. G., Nylen, T. and Lyons, W. B.: Valley floor climate observations from the McMurdo dry valleys, Antarctica, 1986-2000, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 1–12, doi.org/10.1029/2001JD002045, 2002.
- 10 Fountain, A. G., Nylen, T. H., MacClune, K. L. and Dana, G. L.: Glacier mass balances (1993-2001), Taylor Valley, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, J. Glaciol., 52(178), 451–462, doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828511, 2006.

Fountain, A. G., Levy, J. S., Gooseff, M. N. and Van Horn, D.: The McMurdo Dry Valleys: A landscape on the threshold of change, Geomorphology, 225, 25–35, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.044, 2014.

Fukui, K., Sone, T., Strelin, J.A., Torielli, C.A., Mori, J. and Fujii, Y.: Dynamics and GPR stratigraphy of a polar rock
glacier on James Ross Island, Antarctic Peninsula. J. Glaciol., 54(186), 445–451. doi.org.10.3189/002214308785836940,

2008.

- Gooseff, M. N., Lyons, W. B., McKnight, D. M., Vaughn, B. H., Fountain, A. G. and Dowling, C.: A Stable Isotopic Investigation of a Polar Desert Hydrologic System, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res., 38(1), 60–71, doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)038[0060:ASIIOA]2.0.CO;2, 2006.
- 20 Guglielmin, M. and Cannone, N.: A permafrost warming in a cooling Antarctica?, Clim. Change, 111(2), 177–195, doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0137-2, 2012.

Hall, B.L. and Denton, G.H.: Radiocarbon chronology of Ross Sea drift, eastern Taylor Valley, Antarctica: Evidence for a grounded ice sheet in the Ross Sea at the last glacial maximum. Geogr. Ann. A, 82(2-3), 305–336. doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.2000.00127.x, 2000.

25 Hall, B. L., Denton, G. H. and Hendy, C. H.: Evidence from Taylor Valley for a Grounded Ice Sheet in the Ross Sea, Antarctica, Geogr. Ann. A., 82(2/3), 275–303, doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.2000.00126.x, 2000.

Hamilton, S.J. and Whalley, W.B.: Rock glacier nomenclature: a re-assessment. Geomorphology, 14(1), 73-80, doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X(95)00036-5, 1995.

Hassinger, J.M. and Mayewski, P.A.: Morphology and dynamics of the rock glaciers in southern Victoria Land,
 Antarctica. Arctic Alpine Res., 15(3), 351–368. doi.org/10.2307/1550831, 1983.

Healy, M., Webster-Brown, J. G., Brown, K. L. and Lane, V.: Chemistry and stratification of Antarctic meltwater ponds II: Inland ponds in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Victoria Land, Antarct. Sci., 18(4), 525, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102006000575, 2006.

- Higgins, S. M., Hendy, C. H. and Denton, G. H.: Geochronology of Bonney drift, Taylor Valley, Antarctica: evidence for interglacial expansions of Taylor Glacier, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A Phys. Geogr., 82, 391–409, doi.org/10.1111/1468-0459.00130, 2000.
- Hoffman, M. J., Fountain, A. G. and Liston, G. E.: Distributed modeling of ablation (1996–2011) and climate sensitivity on
 the glaciers of Taylor Valley, Antarctica, J. Glaciol., FirstView, 1–15, doi.org/10.1017/jog.2015.2, 2016.
- Holland, Heinrich D. The chemical evolution of the atmosphere and oceans. Princeton University Press, 1984.
- Keys, J. R. and Williams, K.: Origin of crystalline, cold desert salts in the McMurdo region, Antarctica, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 45, 2299–2309, doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2013.04.005, 1981.

Knight, J., Harrison, S., Jones, D.B: Rock glaciers and the geomorphological evolution of deglacierizing mountains,
 Geomorphology, 324, 14–24, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.09.020, 2018.

- Levy, J.S., Fountain, A.G., O'Connor, J.E., Welch, K.A. and Lyons, W.B.: Garwood valley, Antarctica: a new record of last glacial maximum to Holocene glaciofluvial processes in the McMurdo dry valleys, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 125(9-10), 1484–1502, doi.org/10.1130/B30783.1, 2013.
- Lyons, W. B., Tyler, S. W., Wharton, R. a., McKnight, D. M. and Vaughn, B. H.: A Late Holocene desiccation of Lake
 Hoare and Lake Fryxell, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 10(3), 247–256, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102098000340, 1998.
 - Lyons, W. B., Welch, K. A., Gardner, C. B., Jaros, C., Moorhead, D. L., Knoepfle, J. L. and Doran, P. T.: The geochemistry of upland ponds, Taylor Valley, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 24(1), 3–14, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102011000617, 2012.

Macdonell, S. A., Fitzsimons, S. J. and Mölg, T.: Seasonal sediment fluxes forcing supraglacial melting on the Wright
 Lower Glacier, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Hydrol. Process., 27(22), 3192–3207, doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9444, 2013.

- Mackay, S. L., Marchant, D. R., Lamp, J. L. and Head, J. W.: Cold-based debris-covered glaciers: evaluating the potneital as climate archives through studies of ground-penetraing radar and surface morphology, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 119, 2505–2540, doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003178. Received, 2014.
- Marchant, D., Denton, G., Bockheim, J.G., Wilson, S.C., and Kerr, A.R.: Quaternary changes in level of the upper Taylor
 Glacier, Antarctica: implications for paleoclimate and East Antarctic Ice Sheet dynamics, Boreas, 23, 29–43, doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1994.tb00583.x, 1994.
 - Naish, T., Powell, R., Levy, R., Wilson, G., Scherer, R., Talarico, F., Krissek, L., Niessen, F., Pompilio, M., Wilson, T., Carter, L., Deconto, R., Huybers, P., Mckay, R., Pollard, D., Ross, J., Winter, D. and Barrett, P.: Obliquity-paced Pliocene West Antarctic ice sheet oscillations, Nature, 458(March), 322–329, doi.org/10.1038/nature07867, 2009.
- 30 Poreda, R. J., Hunt, A. G., Lyons, W. B. and Welch, K. A.: The Helium Isotopic Chemistry of Lake Bonney, Taylor Valley, Antarctica: Timing of Late Holocene Climate Change in Antarctica, Aquat. Geochemistry, 10, 353–371, doi.org/10.1007/s10498-004-2265-z, 2004.
 - Sandmeier, K.J., 2008. *ReflexW Version 5.0. Windows 9x* (pp. 1-476). NT/2000/XP-program for the processing of seismic, acoustic or electromagnetic reflection, refraction and transmission data.

- Shean, D. E. and Marchant, D. R.: Seismic and GPR surveys of Mullins Glacier, mcmurdo dry valleys, Antarctica: Ice thickness, internal structure and implications for surface ridge formation, J. Glaciol., 56(195), 48–64, doi.org/10.3189/002214310791190901, 2010.
- Staiger, J. W., Marchant, D. R., Schaefer, J. M., Oberholzer, P., Johnson, J. V., Lewis, A. R. and Swanger, K. M.: Plio-
- 5 Pleistocene history of Ferrar Glacier, Antarctica: Implications for climate and ice sheet stability, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 243, 489–503, doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.01.037, 2006.
 - Sun, T., Socki, R. A., Bish, D. L., Harvey, R. P., Bao, H., Niles, P. B., Cavicchioli, R. and Tonui, E.: Lost cold Antarctic deserts inferred from unusual sulfate formation and isotope signatures., Nat. Commun., 6(May), 7579, doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8579, 2015.
- 10 Swanger, K. M., Marchant, D. R., Schaefer, J. M., Winckler, G. and Head, J. W.: Elevated East Antarctic outlet glaciers during warmer-than-present climates in southern Victoria Land, Glob. Planet. Change, 79(1–2), 61–72, doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.07.012, 2011.

Swanger, K. M., Lamp, J. L., Winckler, G., Schaefer, J. M. and Marchant, D. R.: Glacier advance during Marine Isotope Stage 11 in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica, Sci. Rep., 1–9, doi.org/10.1038/srep41433, 2017.

15 Toner, J.D., Sletten, R.S., Prentice, M.L.: Soluble salt accumulations in Taylor Valley, Antarctica: implications for paleolakes and Ross Sea Ice Sheet dynamics, J. Geophys. Res. – Earth, 118(1), 198–215, doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002467, 2013.

Wagner, B., Ortlepp, S., Kenig, F., Doran, P. T. and Melles, M.: Palaeoenvironmental implications derived from a piston core from east lobe Bonney, Taylor Valley, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 22(5), 522–530, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102010000556, 2010.

Wilch, T.I., Denton, G.H., Lux, D.R. and McIntosh, W.C.: Limited Pliocene glacier extent and surface uplift in middle Taylor Valley, Antarctica. Geogr. Ann. A, 75(4), 331–351, doi.org/10.2307/521206, 1993.

Witherow, R. A., Lyons, W. B., Bertler, N. A. N., Welch, K. A., Mayewski, P. A., Sneed, S. B., Nylen, T., Handley, M. J. and Fountain, A.: The aeolian flux of calcium, chloride and nitrate to the McMurdo Dry Valleys landscape: evidence from snow pit analysis, Antarct. Sci., 18(4), 497–505, doi.org/10.1017/S095410200600054X, 2006.

Yelf, R., and Yelf, D.: Where Is True Time Zero? Electromagnetic Phenomena 7 (1), 158–63, doi.org/10.1016/j.sjgs.2014.07.006, 2007.

30

20

Sample	Latitude (S)	Longitude (E)	Elevation (m asl) ¹	Site description ²	Ice core / sample description ³		
<u>SLI-15-01</u>	77.71722	<u>162.63182</u>	740	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh granitic clasts, angular, little to no fines.	lce core. 151 cm long. Clean ice, gas inclusions.	-	
<u>SLI-15-04</u>	<u>77.71628</u>	<u>162.62518</u>	<u>670</u>	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and weathered granite, angular and rounded clasts, sand-rich matrix.	Ice core. 156 cm long. Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris band at 130– 135 cm depth.		
<u>SLI-15-05</u>	<u>77.71537</u>	<u>162.61561</u>	<u>610</u>	Debris ~30 cm thick, fresh and weathered granite, rounded clasts, sand-rich matrix.	<u>Ice core. 228 cm long.</u> <u>Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris bands at 12,</u> 70, 170, 190 cm depth.		
<u>SLI-15-08</u>	<u>77.71836</u>	<u>162.63216</u>	<u>780</u>	Exposed ice at the boundary between icefalls and buried ice.	<u>Hand sample. 5 cm depth</u> <u>A: clean ice, gas inclusions</u> <u>B and C: clean ice, no gas inclusions</u>		
<u>SLI-15-09</u>	<u>77.71760</u>	<u>162.63239</u>	<u>760</u>	Exposed ice at the boundary between icefalls and buried ice.	Hand sample. <u>A: clean ice, gas inclusions</u> B: clean ice, no gas inclusions	•	Formatted Table
<u>SLI-15-11</u>	<u>77.71759</u>	<u>162.62913</u>	<u>730</u>	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and weathered granitic clasts, sand matrix	Hand sample from an exposed contraction crack. Clean ice. 15 cm depth below ice- sediment boundary.		
<u>SLI-15-12</u>	<u>77.71699</u>	<u>162.62979</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh</u> angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice ~60 cm up-flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.		
<u>SLI-15-13</u>	<u>77.71694</u>	<u>162.62891</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh angular</u> granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice ~2 m down-flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.		
<u>SLI-15-14</u>	<u>77.71689</u>	<u>162.62882</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh</u> angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice ~10 m down-flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.	•	Formatted Table
<u>SLI-15-15</u>	<u>77.71676</u>	<u>162.62831</u>	<u>700</u>	Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh and weathered angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.		
<u>Doran</u> <u>Glacier</u>	<u>77.71481</u>	<u>162.65637</u>	<u>880</u>	Left lateral terminus.	Hand sample from glacier terminus. Clean ice.		
<u>Sollas</u> <u>Glacier</u>	<u>77.71402</u>	<u>162.60377</u>	<u>530</u>	Right lateral terminus.	Hand sample from glacier terminus. Clean ice.		
² Thickness a ³ Length of ice	e core. Presend	gy of overlying on the second	ns and debris	bands in buried ice. Depth of sampling.			
Table 1. R Sample		ouried ice co a. Longitude.	re and sam Site desc	ole descriptions.	core / sample description ³		

SLI-15-01	77.71722 S,	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh granitic	Ice core. 151 cm long.
	162.63182 E, 740 m asl	clasts, angular, little to no fines.	Clean ice, gas inclusions.
SLI-15-04	77.71628 S,	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and	Ice core. 156 cm long.
	162.62518 E,	weathered granite, angular and	Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris band at
	670 m asl	rounded clasts, sand-rich matrix.	130-135 cm depth.
SLI-15-05	77.71537 S,	Debris ~30 cm thick, fresh and	Ice core. 228 cm long.
	162.61561 E,	weathered granite, rounded clasts,	Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris bands at
	610 m asl	sand-rich matrix.	12, 70, 170, 190 cm depth.
SLI-15-08	77.71836 S,	Exposed ice at the boundary between	Hand sample. 5 cm depth
	162.63216 E,	icefalls and buried ice.	A: clean ice, gas inclusions
	780 m asl		B and C: clean ice, no gas inclusions
SLI-15-09	77.71760 S,	Exposed ice at the boundary between	Hand sample.
	162.63239 E,	icefalls and buried ice.	A: clean ice, gas inclusions
	760 m asl		B: clean ice, no gas inclusions
SLI-15-11	77.71759 S,	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and	Hand sample from an exposed contraction
	162.62913 E,	weathered granitic clasts, sand matrix	crack. Clean ice. 15 cm depth below ice-
	730 m asl		sediment boundary.
SLI-15-12	77.71699 S.	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh angular	Hand sample from buried ice ~60 cm up-flow
	162.62979 E,	granitic clasts, sands.	from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.
	720 m asl		
SLI-15-13	77.71694 S.	Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh angular	Hand sample from buried ice ~2 m down-flow
	162.62891 E,	granitic clasts, sands.	from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.
	720 m asl		
SLI-15-14	77.71689 S,	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh angular	Hand sample from buried ice ~10 m down-
	162.62882 E,	granitic clasts, sands.	flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm
	720 m asl	.	depth.
SLI-15-15	77.71676 S.	Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh and	Hand sample from buried ice. Clean ice. 5
	162.62831 E,	weathered angular granitic clasts,	cm depth.
	700 m asl	sands.	

⁴ m asl = meters above sea level.
⁹ Thickness and sedimentology of overlying debris.
³ Length of ice core. Presence of gas inclusions and debris bands in buried ice. Depth of sampling.

Table 2. Location and description of sampled meltwater ponds								
Lake ID ¹	Maximum Lake	Latitude (S)	Longitude (E)	Elevation	Ground surface character			
	Dimension <u>(m)</u>			(m asl) ²				

SLI-15-10	2 -m	77.71750	162.63167	720	Fresh sands to boulders
SLI-15-02	16 -m	77.71697	162.62921	715	Fresh and weathered boulders
KWL-15-01	4-m	77.71660	162.62654	650	Weathered boulders
SLI-15-16	1 -m	77.71623	162.62037	610	Weathered boulders and grus
KWL-15-02	2 -m	77.71606	162.61954	595	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-17	25 -m	77.71611	162.61694	590	Fresh boulders and grus
SLI-15-06	13 -m	77.71512	162.61662	610	Weathered boulders and grus
KWL-15-03	8 -m	77.71559	162.61745	585	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-18	7 .m	77.71514	162.61486	565	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-19	3 -m	77.71510	162.61375	560	Weathered boulders, grus and basalt cobbles
1 Lot as Pate d for a	and the second form	and a second s			

¹ Lakes listed from south to north (upper rock glacier to terminus) ² meters above sea level

Table 3. Majo	or ion results		
Sample ID ⁴	Latitude	Longitude	Total major
-	(S)	(E)	ions (ppm)
Buried ice			
SLI-15-01	77.71722	162.63182	

			32,921
<u> </u>			
75 cm			<u> </u>
114 cm			
_143 cm			<u> </u>
SLI-15-04	77.71628	162.62518	
<u>15 cm</u>			<u>— 18.150</u>
-137 cm			23.692
-210 cm			25.951
SLI-15-05	77,71537	162,61561	
<u>15 cm</u>			26.068
<u>- 65 cm</u>			27.216
-149 cm			
SI I-15-08A	77 71836	162.63216	9.726
SLI-15-08B	11.11050	102.03210	6.292
SLI-15-08C			4.754
SLI-15-09A	77,71760	162.63239	26.254
SLI-15-09B	11.11100	102.00200	10.743
SLI-15-096	77.71759	162.62913	34.068
SLI-15-11 SLI-15-12	77.71699	162.62979	<u> </u>
SLI-15-13	77.71694	162.62891	38.297
SLI-15-14	77.71689	162.62882	<u> </u>
SLI-15-15	77.71676	162.62831	34.666
SLI-15-15 Ponds		162.62831	<u> </u>
	77.71676 77.71697	162.62831 162.62921	34.666
Ponds			<u> </u>
Ponds SLI-15-02			
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0 cm			
Ponds SLI-15-02 			<u> </u>
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0 cm — 21 cm — 53 cm — 74 cm			<u> </u>
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0 cm — 21 cm — 53 cm	77.71697	162.62921	<u> </u>
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0 cm — 21 cm — 53 cm — 74 cm SLI-15-06	77.71697	162.62921	
Ponds SLI-15-02 -0 cm -21 cm -53 cm -74 cm SLI-15-06 -0 cm -10 cm	77.71697 77.71512	462.62921 462.61662	
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0-cm — 21-cm — 53-cm — 74-cm SLI-15-06 — 0-cm — 10-cm KWL-15-01	77.71697 77.71512 77.71660	162.62921 162.61662 162.62654	
Ponds SLI-15-02 -0 cm -21 cm -53 cm -74 cm SLI-15-06 -0 cm -10 cm	77.71697 77.71512 77.71600 77.71600	162.62921 162.61662 162.62654 162.61954	
Ponds SLI-15-02 — 0 cm — 21 cm — 53 cm — 74 cm SLI-15-06 — 0 cm — 10 cm KWL-15-01 KWL-15-02	77.71697 77.71512 77.71660	162.62921 162.61662 162.62654 162.61954 162.61745	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71512 77.71512 77.71600 77.71600 77.71559 77.71750	162.62921 162.61662 162.62654 162.61954 162.61954 162.63167	
Ponds SLI-15-02 0-cm -21-cm -53-cm -74-cm SLI-15-06 -0-cm -10-cm KWL-15-01 KWL-15-03	77.71697 77.71512 77.71512 77.71600 77.71600 77.71509 77.71750	162.62921 162.61662 162.62654 162.61954 162.61954 162.63167 162.63167 162.63067	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71512 77.71560 77.71600 77.71659 77.71559 77.71659 77.71623 77.71623	162.62921 162.61662 162.61662 162.61654 162.61745 162.61745 162.62037 162.62037	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71697 77.71600 77.71600 77.71600 77.71609 77.71659 77.71659 77.71659 77.71653	162.62921 162.62654 162.62654 162.61954 162.61745 162.63167 162.63167 162.63167 162.63167 162.61694	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71512 77.71560 77.71600 77.71659 77.71559 77.71659 77.71623 77.71623	162.62921 162.61662 162.61662 162.61654 162.61745 162.61745 162.62037 162.62037	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71512 77.71512 77.71606 77.71606 77.71659 77.71659 77.71631 77.71611 77.71614 77.71510	162.62921 162.61662 162.61662 162.61954 162.61745 162.61745 162.62037 162.62037 162.61694 162.61486 162.61486 162.61375	
Ponds SLI-15-02 	77.71697 77.71697 77.71600 77.71600 77.71600 77.71609 77.71659 77.71659 77.71659 77.71653	162.62921 162.62654 162.62654 162.61954 162.61745 162.63167 162.63167 162.63167 162.63167 162.61694	

<u>Table 3. Major ion results</u> Sample ID<u>'</u> Ca (ppm) <u>CI (ppm) K (ppm)</u> Mg

10010 0.100	Joi Ion result	3						
Sample ID ¹	<u>Ca (ppm)</u>	CI (ppm)	K (ppm)	Mg	<u>Na (ppm)</u>	NO ₃	SO ₄	Total major
				<u>(ppm)</u>		<u>(ppm)</u>	<u>(ppm)</u>	ions (ppm)
Buried ice								
SLI-15-01								
<u>0 cm</u>	3.232	15.883	0.461	1.581	6.324	0.567	3.918	32.921
75 cm	3.665	30.808	0.429	2.908	7.897	1.074	4.894	52.346
<u>114 cm</u>	2.357	30.654	1.643	3.725	8.427	1.156	1.607	50.586
<u>143 cm</u>	7.285	47.558	1.471	7.140	11.066	1.994	5.903	84.277
<u>SLI-15-04</u>								
<u>15 cm</u>	4.151	7.673	0.624	0.609	4.187	0.229	7.271	26.068
<u>65 cm</u>	5.044	11.027	0.427	1.123	5.595	0.284	13.205	37.216
149 cm	8.043	21.213	1.068	3.050	6.856	0.979	17.487	61.489
<u>SLI-15-05</u>								
<u>15 cm</u>	0.651	9.592		0.140	5.810	0.499	1.044	18.150
<u>137 cm</u>	0.874	12.582	0.430	0.170	7.395	0.483	1.251	23.691
<u>210 cm</u>	0.907	14.379		0.219	8.109	0.459	1.514	25.951
<u>SLI-15-08A</u>	0.608	3.183	0.564	0.479	2.012	0.206	0.503	9.727
<u>SLI-15-08B</u>	0.622	1.876		0.439	1.067	0.227	0.373	6.293

Formatted Table

4

Formatted: Left

SLI-15-08C	0.284	1.951		0.200	1.205	0.163	0.230	4.754
SLI-15-09A	2.145	13.737	0.390	1.321	5.475	0.407	2.181	26.255
SLI-15-09B	0.452	5.756	0.326	1.229	1.451	0.628	0.378	10.842
SLI-15-11	6,109	9.607	0.650	1.067	5.764	0.481	5.772	33,950
SLI-15-12	5.074	9.656	1.029	2.505	5.363	0.866	3.222	29.463
SLI-15-13	4.701	17.819	0.435	2.406	5.499	1.078	5.607	38.311
SLI-15-14	2.627	5.147	0.444	0.743	3.391	0.333	1.756	17.221
SLI-15-15	2.659	17.882	0.751	2.426	3.843	1,494	3.383	34.652
Ponds								
SLI-15-02								
0 cm	0.100	0.320		0.023	0.121	0.136	0.085	1.043
21 cm	0.166	0.105		0.020	0.066	0.350	0.057	1.071
53 cm	0.081	0.061		0.011	0.068	0.051	0.039	0.530
74 cm	0.105	0.375		0.021	0.109	0.154	0.117	1.110
SLI-15-06								
<u>0 cm</u>	0.431	1.569		0.153	0.687	0.285	0.973	4.335
<u>10 cm</u>	0.270	0.806		0.083	0.391	0.248	0.491	2.618
KWL-15-01	3.139	3.260	0.943	0.721	2.334	0.238	2.186	14.387
KWL-15-02	10.226	80.281	3.960	11.920	26.572	1.903	14.030	150.938
KWL-15-03	2675.000	<u>31378.090</u>	<u>704.412</u>	8826.545	10673.190	209.167	<u>465.176</u>	<u>54979.690</u>
SLI-15-10	2.354	5.571	0.352	1.291	2.005	0.350	1.426	13.769
SLI-15-16	17.600	193.816	5.295	32.458	57.004	4.166	23.134	334.064
SLI-15-17	2.459	4.833	0.977	1.345	3.449	0.329	3.236	17.198
SLI-15-18	0.122	0.301		0.071	0.219	0.123	0.235	1.546
SLI-15-19	0.338	1.217		0.213	0.456	0.272	0.281	3.791
Glaciers								
Doran Gl.	0.422	2.09		0.590	0.762	0.348	0.48	5.898
Sollas Gl.	1.564	1.93	0.525	0.913	1.138	0.340	8.747	18.059
								n buried ice or por
Closier complex	are from margi	ns Where conc	entrations fall	helow detection	on limits cells a	e left hlank	Reported dete	ection limits (in pp

were: Ca (0.027), CI (0.02), K (0.134), Mg (0.042), Na (0.027), NO measured species (see supplementary data). ⁴-Depth below ice surface is listed in centimeters for ice cores. All other samples were gathered from 0. 10 cm depth in buried ice or pond ice. Glacier samples taken from margins.





Figure 1. Satellite image of the McMurdo Dry Valleys (MDV), with inset indicating location in Antarctica. HG = Hughes Glacier, SG = Sollas Glacier, DG = Doran Glacier, and MG = Marr Glacier. Red rectangle shows location of rock glacier (Fig. 2). Public domain Landsat7 imagery courtesy of NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and U.S. Geological Survey.



5

Figure 2. Geomorphic map of the modern rock glacier (outlined in the large black dashed line) and surrounding terrain. Contour interval: 50 m. Ice cores SLI-15-01, -04 and -05 are from buried ice (labelled 1, 4 and 5). SLI-15-02 and -03, and SLI-15-06 and -07 are duplicate cores taken from two large frozen ponds (only 2 and 6 labelled). All gathered ground penetrating radar (GPR) lines are shown in green, but only two representative sections of the longitudinal line are discussed in the text.




Figure 3. Icefall originating from Doran Glacier and accumulating to form the rock glacier. a) View from base of Sollas Glacier, with rock glacier visible within yellow dashed line. Note presence of cinder cone talus slopes. b) View from boundary between debris-covered ice and the modern exposed icefalls.




Figure 4. Buried ice core SLI-15-05. a) Excavation of ~30 cm of sand- and boulder-rich sediments above buried ice, exposing sharp contact between sediments and ice surface. b) Buried ice core pictured in the field, 36–88 cm depth below ice surface; up core is to the left (shown with arrow). c) Buried ice core (53–67 cm depth) after being cut vertically at NICL. At this depth, buried ice contained many gas inclusions and no sediments.



Figure 5. Field images of the ten sampled meltwater ponds along a longitudinal transect down the rock glacier. a) SLI-15-10 b) KWL-15-01, c) SLI-15-02, d) SLI-15-16, e) KWL-15-02, f) SLI-15-17, g) SLI-15-06 (on left) and KWL-15-03 (on right), h) SLI-15-18, i) SLI-15-19. Pond SLI-15-17 occurs between the rock glacier margin and Sollas Glacier. Note the fresh appearance of granites surrounding KWL-5-01 and SLI-15-10, and the grussified ground surface surrounding KWL-15-02 and SLI-15-16 and -18.




Figure 6. Surface lithology and weathering trend going down the rock glacier. a) Upper rock glacier, showing fresh, angular granitic boulders and cobbles. b) Central rock glacier, showing rounded and ventifacted granitic boulders and a granitic grus ground cover. c)
 Lower rock glacier, showing smaller clast sizes and poorly developed desert pavement in a small depression with mixed granitic and basalt
 ground cover.




Figure 7. a) Scatter plot of Na⁺ versus Cl⁻ in ten meltwater ponds. Ternary diagrams of b) Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and K⁺ + Na⁺ and c) Cl⁻, SO4²⁻, and Ca²⁺ in meltwater ponds shown as percent by equivalent.




Figure 8. Aerial photo of the rock glacier with pond samples and buried ice samples. Cinder cone talus and the eastern margin of Sollas Glacier are both visible to the west of the rock glacier. Bar charts show major ion concentrations from ten meltwater ponds (shown with yellow circles). All samples are labelled with their numbers only, except where the same sample numbers correspond to different samples (SLI-15-01 and -02 vs. KWL-15-01 and -02), labelled as SLI- and KWL-. Public domain aerial photograph from U.S. Geological Survey,

TMA3084-V0132 taken in 1993.




Figure 9. Plot of δ¹⁸O vs. δD of 59 samples from the rock glacier. Cores 01, 04 and 05 were gathered from buried ice along a longitudinal 5 transect down the central flowline of the rock glacier. Buried ice transect refers to additional hand samples SLI-15-11 to -15 (see Fig. 8 for locations). Exposed icefalls were five samples from two locations (SLI-15-08 and -09; Fig. 8). Samples were also gathered from seven ponds along the rock glacier surface (SLI-15-02, -06, -10, -16, -17, -18 and -19; Fig. 8) and two local alpine glaciers, Sollas and Doran. The local meteoric water line is from Gooseff et al. (2006).





Figure 10. Processed ground-penetrating radar data for the transverse line (west to east) from the debris-covered rock glacier across the icefalls fed by Doran Glacier and ending at the east-lateral ice-cored ridge. Image after migration and topographic correction using a constant velocity of 0.16 m ns⁻¹. TNote that the prominent internal reflection event starts as a near-surface reflection event at the beginning of the profile. [At ~20 m line position, this primary interpreted as an interface between surface_debris and /internal_-ice-interface, the debris layer-and dips down-below the exposed ice at ~20 m line position before emerging at the ice-cored ridge (right side of profile). Arrows point to reflection events interpreted to be internal debris layers, likely recording periods of retreat of the source glacier.










Figure 11. Processed 400 MHz ground-penetrating radar data from the transect down the rock glacier. a) Mid-rock-glacier line showing interpreted internal debris and a mid-rock-glacier pond (~50–70 m; site of ice core sample SLI-15-02 and -03). b) Part of the transect crossing the frozen pond (sample site SLI-15-06 and -07) near the lowest buried ice-core site (at 110 m; SLI-15-05). Arrows point to reflection events interpreted to correlate with internal debris layers.

Operation of the serve as an indirect record of multiple alpine glacier advances in Taylor Valley, Antarctica

Kelsey Winsor^{1*}, Kate M. Swanger¹, Esther Babcock², Rachel D. Valletta^{3&}, James L. Dickson^{4#}

¹Department of Environmental, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, 01854,

5 United States of America

² Logic Geophysics & Analytics LLC, Anchorage, 99508, United States of America

³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 19104, United States of America

⁴Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, 02912, United States of America

*Now at: School of Earth and Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, 86005, United States of America &Now at: Franklin Institute, Philadelphia, PA, 19103, United States of America

[#]Now at: Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 91125, United States of America

15

10

Correspondence to: Kate M. Swanger (Kate_Swanger@uml.edu)

Abstract. The geomorphic record indicates that alpine glaciers in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of southern Victoria Land, Antarctica appear to advance during interglacial periods in response to ice-free conditions in the Ross Sea. Few records of these advances are preserved and/or subaerially exposed, complicating the interpretations of regional glacier response to

- 20 climate changes. Here, we present geophysical and geochemical analyses of a rock glacier that originates from icefalls fed by alpine Doran Glacier in central Taylor Valley. The rock glacier exhibits a trend of increased weathering of granitic clasts via ventifaction and grussification down-flow. Meltwater ponds on the rock glacier exhibit variable salinity that ranges from freshwater to higher than seawater, with the highest salinity pond near the rock glacier toe. Ground-penetrating radar analyses reveal the feature to possess a primarily clean ice interior, with layers of englacial debris. Stable isotopic data from
- 25 three ice cores support a glacial origin for the ice within the rock glacier. These data suggest that the current morphology of the rock glacier is the result of multiple events of increased ice contribution caused by advances of Doran Glacier, which is the main source of ice to the rock glacier. We therefore demonstrate the potential of ice-cored rock glaciers to record multiple advances and retreats of Dry Valley glaciers, permitting the interpretation of glacial responses to Pleistocene and Holocene climate change even where direct records are not present.

1 Introduction

Alpine glaciers in East Antarctica's McMurdo Dry Valleys (Fig. 1) are important components of the regional hydrologic cycle (Lyons et al., 1998). In some areas, recent warming has caused melting of both alpine glaciers and ground ice, suggesting that modern ice behavior in the Dry Valleys might reflect global temperature changes (Fountain et al., 2006;

5 Hoffman et al., 2016). Partnered with an increase in both stream incision rates and lake levels throughout Taylor and surrounding valleys, this activity has suggested an invigoration of modern Dry Valley hydrology (Fountain et al., 2014; Guglielmin and Cannone, 2012; Levy et al., 2013; Macdonell et al., 2013). However, the geologic record of Dry Valley alpine glacier behavior previous to the Holocene is generally limited to isolated moraines and proglacial lake deposits that indicate prior glacial advances (e.g., Higgins et al., 2000; Swanger et al. 2017).

10

15

Here, we examine a Taylor Valley rock glacier that extends from an icefall fed by alpine Doran Glacier of the Kukri Hills (Figs. 1 and 2). Rock glaciers (flowing mixtures of ice, rock and sediments) are common throughout the Dry Valleys and other ice-free regions in Antarctica (Fukui et al., 2008; Hassinger and Mayewski, 1983), however their age, origin and geomorphic significance remains poorly constrained in many locations. In general, rock glaciers can form through periglacial processes (permafrost and ice-rich talus) and through glacial processes (burial of glacier ice by talus and alluvium) (Anderson et al., 2018; Clark et al., 1998; Hamilton and Whalley, 1995; Knight et al., 2019). Therefore, rock glaciers might provide information on past glacial fluctuations, permafrost development and degradation, and erosion rates.

At the study location in Taylor Valley, because ice is fed to the rock glacier from the lateral margin of Doran Glacier, 20 intervals of rock glacier growth and advance are limited by the extent of Doran Glacier and its ability to advance to the top of a bedrock cliff (Fig. 3). To identify discrete episodes of Doran Glacier advance, we examine the internal structure using ground-penetrating radar (GPR), stable isotopic and major ion chemistry of buried ice and meltwater ponds, and surface weathering characteristics of the associated rock glacier. This rock glacier provides a unique chronological marker because it records multiple generations of rock glacier advance, which in turn record multiple advances and retreats of its source 25 glacier.

2 Geologic Setting

Taylor Valley is the most well studied area of the Dry Valleys, an arid region predominantly free of surface ice (Fig. 1). At the western end of the valley, Taylor Glacier flows from the Taylor Dome of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet, and to the east, the valley is bounded by the Ross Sea. Taylor Glacier terminates ~35 km from the Ross Sea coast, into the west lobe of Lake

30 Bonney, a 40-m deep, stratified lake with a high salinity gradient (Poreda et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2010). South of this lake, a 15–20° slope rises ~200 m to a bedrock platform. Here, Sollas Glacier flows north for ~8 km from the Kukri Hills and spreads onto a low-angle bench, reaching a width of ~1400 m and terminating at ~440 m above sea level (m asl). To the east,

a steep-walled arête separates Sollas Glacier from the smaller Doran Glacier, which flows north, is ~3 km long and terminates at ~840 m asl (Figs. 1 and 2).

Along its lower eastern margin, the Sollas Glacier terminates against a small, ice-cored moraine that includes stratified, dipping sediments (Fig. 2). Adjacent to the eastern margin of Sollas Glacier lies a narrow gulley with unconsolidated debris

- 5 and isolated large boulders. The east side of the gulley is diamict-covered bedrock, which forms a steep slope that rises above the Sollas Glacier surface (Higgins et al., 2000). At the top of this slope is the rock glacier. Because the ice-cored debris and exposed icefalls are geomorphically connected, both are included in the mapped extent for the rock glacier (Fig. 2). The rock glacier extends ~1.2 km from ~1000 to 550 m asl, beginning at the Doran Glacier icefalls. The surface of the uppermost ~600 m of the rock glacier is currently exposed ice. See ice, both with and without bubbles, and
- 10 cobbles/boulders are present in this upper section of the rock glacier. The lowermost ~600 m of the rock glacier is debris covered (Fig. 2). The debris-covered section has a concentric lobate form with hummocks and multiple steps and risers, indicative of active flow. Surface debris cover is generally thin, ranging from several cm in the upper portion of the rock glacier to > 30 cm near the toe (sediment cover thickens down-flow). Contraction cracks occur throughout the feature, and in at least one location clean ice is exposed within a vertical crack, showing the sharp contact between the buried ice and the
- 15 overlying sediments. Along the eastern margin of the upper rock glacier, a steep-walled ice-cored ridge (similar in morphology to an ice-cored moraine) extends up-flow for ~300 m, buttressing the eastern margin of the modern exposed ice (Figs. 2 and 3b). The height of the rock glacier could be estimated along the western margin where it terminates on an exposed bedrock surface. At this location, the rock glacier margin is 10–12 m in height.
- 20 Nearly vertical bedrock cliffs (~100 m in height) divide Doran Glacier from the rock glacier, and are the main source of rockfall material to the landform. This granitic bedrock cliff is ventifacted. This region of Taylor Valley is dotted with extinct Plio-Pleistocene cinder cones ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dated by Wilch et al. (1993). These cinder cones also serve as source material for talus near Sollas and Doran glaciers. To the southwest of the rock glacier is a very steep cinder cone talus slope dated to 2.19 ± 0.04 Ma (Fig. 3a), which, while topographically isolated from the rock glacier at present, may have sourced mafic cobbles to the rock glacier area in the past. A second cinder cone, dated to 3.57 ± 0.14 Ma, is located east of the rock glacier (Fig. 3a). North of the rock glacier, where the ground surface flattens to nearly horizontal, the ground cover is dominated by mafic cobbles. These cobbles are commonly polished, sculpted, and/or pitted and are often underlain by salt efflorescences that can reach over 5 mm in thickness. In addition to the cinder cones, several mafic dikes visibly cross-cut the granitic bedrock of the arête east of Doran Glacier (Fig. 3a). Just to the northeast of the rock glacier is a grussified granitic boulder
- 30 field with significant ventifaction, where a mummified seal has been partially swallowed by a contraction crack.

2.1 Glacial History of Taylor Valley

Geomorphic evidence for Taylor Glacier advances occur on the Taylor Valley walls and floor. Recent advances of Dry Valley outlet and alpine glaciers are correlated with the presence of open water in the Ross Sea, which occurs during interglacial periods when the Ross Ice Shelf retreats (Naish et al., 2009). Thus, the outlet Taylor Glacier, in addition to the

- 5 smaller alpine glaciers appear to reach relative maxima during warm intervals due to higher precipitation and/or lower ablation rates (Higgins et al., 2000; Hoffman et al., 2016; Marchant et al., 1994; Swanger et al., 2017). Algal carbonates originating in proglacial lakes suggest that Taylor Glacier advanced during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5, 7, 9 and possibly 11 (Higgins et al., 2000). The silt- and clay-rich Bonney drift marks the Taylor Glacier margin maximum during the last interglacial, and is found up to ~300 m asl in central Taylor Valley (~140 m below the Sollas Glacier toe) (Higgins et al.,
- 10 2000). Using cosmogenic ³He exposure dating, Swanger et al. (2017) correlated the outermost moraine associated with Stocking Glacier (17 km west of Sollas Glacier) to an advance during MIS 11 (Fig. 1). High-elevation deposits of Taylor and Ferrar outlet glaciers have also been dated to approximately 3–4 Ma (Staiger et al., 2006; Swanger et al., 2011). Many other geologic records of local ice advance and retreat are restricted to the last 20,000 years and show Holocene advances, that in many cases, surpass glacial extents in MIS 2 (Christ and Bierman, 2019; Hall et al., 2000).

15 3 Methods

3.1 Ice Coring and Sampling

We extracted seven shallow ice cores of buried ice and frozen meltwater ponds along a 500-m long transect down the centerline of the rock glacier in early November 2015. Three of the cores (SLI-15-01, -04 and -05) were from buried ice and measured 1.5–2.3 m in length (Figs. 2, 4, and Table 1). The remaining four cores (SLI-15-02, -03, -06, and -07) were taken 20 from two frozen ponds on the surface of the rock glacier, and each measured <1.5 m. Core -03 was a duplicate of -02, and core -07 was a duplicate of -06. The depth of coring was limited by debris and equipment. Ice cores were taken with a 7.6cm diameter SIPRE hand auger. In addition to the seven ice cores, fifteen hand samples were gathered from the upper 15 cm of the buried ice, the surface ponds, and the exposed icefalls of the modern glaciers, Doran and Sollas. (Tables 1 and 2). Frozen hand samples were collected using a clean, stainless steel ice pick or a trowel covered in a clean Whirlpak bag. Buried ice hand samples were gathered from 5–15 cm depth after removing the upper 5 cm. All surface pond samples were 25 taken from 3–5 cm depth in the center of the pond ice, after manually removing the upper 3 cm of ice-manually. All ponds, except L3, were frozen at the time of sampling. Pond L3 was beginning to thaw and a ~10 cm layer of slush-ice topped solid ice below. Despite this early thaw, the ice was solid enough to walk on and we were able to ple from the pond center at 3-5 cm depth. All samples were packed frozen in sterile Whirlpak bags. From McMurdo Station, samples were shipped 30 frozen to the University of Massachusetts Lowell (if sediment-rich) or the National Ice Core Laboratory (NICL) in Lakewood, Colorado (if relatively clean). Subsamples for isotopic and elemental analyses were collected using the facilities at NICL, where laboratory storage freezers maintained sample temperatures below -20°C.

3.2 Major Ion Analyses

Samples were stored frozen in HDPE bottles in the field, during transit, and in the laboratory. When ready for processing,

5 samples were thawed and two aliquots were removed—one for cation and one for anion analyses. The aliquot allocated for cation analyses was acidified with ~0.5% trace metal grade HCl. Major ion analyses were performed at the University of Colorado Boulder, using ion chromatography for anion samples and an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) for cation samples. Species analyzed in buried ice, ponds and glacier ice, were Br⁻, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, F⁻, Fe, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn, Na⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, PO₄³⁻, Si, and SO₄²

10 3.3 Stable Isotope Analyses

All ice samples were kept frozen until melted in airtight containers and then stored in 4 mL HDPE bottles. All bottles were pre-rinsed in a 10% ACS grade nitric acid bath and triple rinsed with deionized water before packing, when the samples were sealed and refrozen until analyzed. Stable isotopic analyses (n=78) were conducted using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the Boston University Stable Isotope Laboratory. Analyses for δ^{18} O were performed via CO₂ equilibration,

15 and deuterium analyses were performed via pyrolysis using a GVI ChromeHD[™] system. Analytical precision for both measurements is typically ± 0.1‰. Isotope values are presented as per mil (‰) relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

3.4 Ground-penetrating Radar

3.4.1 Data Collection

- 20 We used a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. (GSSI) ground-penetrating radar (GPR) system with a SIR-3000 controller to image the subsurface at the field site. We surveyed one longitudinal transect (approximately 500 m), composed of multiple lines, down the rock glacier from the highest ice-core site to the lowest (Fig. 2). We also collected one west-east transverse GPR line (approximately 120 m) at the boundary between debris-covered ice and exposed ice. The line extends across ~20 m of debris-covered ice and then onto the modern icefalls, stopping at the base of the east-lateral ice-cored ridge (Fig. 2). We
- 25 collected data with 200-MHz and 400-Mz shielded antenna units, which are both configured in a single housing unit. For 200 MHz antenna, we took 2048 samples per trace, with a time window of 350–700 ns, and trace spacing of 0.05 m. The same sample parameters for the 400 MHz antenna were 4096 samples per trace, 150–500 ns window, and 0.1 m trace spacing. For both antennae, stacking was four and trace gain was -20 dB.

Typical surface roughness of rock glaciers prevents effective ground-coupling of the radar system. This reduced coupling degrades the depth of the signal penetration and the quality of the radargram. To combat these problems, before each line collection we removed surface clasts $>0.1 \text{ m}^3$ from the GPR travel path and smoothed the surface sediment where possible. However, in places where clasts were frozen to the surface or too large to move, data quality was unavoidably degraded. We

5 surveyed the start and end point of each GPR line with a handheld GPS receiver. We also marked the locations in the data files that corresponded to coring sites. Finally, after each line collection, we surveyed the slope along the line with a Brunton Pocket Transit and replaced all boulders.

3.4.2 Data Processing

Initial data processing steps were dewow to remove very low-frequency components from the recorded data (Annan, 2005),

- 10 distance normalization to correct for odometer errors (Shean and Marchant, 2010), and time-zero correction to the first peak of the direct arrival (Yelf and Yelf, 2007). We also filtered the data with a bandpass frequency filter (200 MHz: 50-100-400-800 MHz; 400 MHz: 100-200-1000-2000 MHz) and there packground subtraction to enhance signal-to-noise ratio. We gained the data to enhance deeper reflections and cut the data to the useful time window. After these steps, analysis of diffraction hyperbolas provided radar-wave velocity estimates (Shean and Marchant, 2010). We used this velocity to apply
- 15 topographic migration and topographic corrections to each line using surface profiles from the Brunton measurements. All data processing occurred with Reflex-2D processing software (Sandmeier, 2008).

4 Results

4.1 Rock Weathering Trends

The most abundant lithology on the rock glacier surface is granite (Figs. 5 and 6). In the upper half of the rock glacier, 20 granitic clasts are primarily cobble- to boulder-size, subangular to very angular, and generally lacking in evidence of ventifaction (Figs. 5a, 5b, and 6a). Occasional large boulders exhibit ventifact pitting, although these may be relict features inherited from *in situ* weathering while exposed along the cliff below Doran Glacier (e.g., Fig. 3b). In some areas, packed, angular pebbles are present between larger clasts (Fig. 5b). On the distal sector of the rock glacier, the character of surface clasts changes markedly. Granitic boulders are rounded and in some cases ventifaction and/or salt weathering has caused the

- 25 development of surface pitting (Fig. 6b). The ground cover is grus, dominated by gravels and coarse sands (Figs. 5d, 5h and 6c). Where snowdrifts are protected by boulders, salt efflorescences and crusts can be found. Although cinder cone clasts are rare on the upper rock glacier, the lower rock glacier hosts patches of this material (Figs. 5i and 6c), particularly in depressions that hold small ponds or appear to have held them in the past (evidenced by salt deposits). Pebble- to cobble-sized cinder cone clasts cover these depressions in a loose, poorly developed desert pavement. Occasional boulders of cinder
- 30 cone material are also present on the lower rock glacier (Figs. 5g).

4.2 Major Ion Concentrations

Based on repeat aerial photography from 1956 to the present, all of the surface ponds on the rock glacier vary in size, generally reaching minima in the 1970s and 1980s. Five of the ponds (KWL-15-03, SLI-15-02, -06, -17, and -18) are persistent features in the aerial photographs, probably due to their relatively large sizes (Figs. 5c, f, g, and h). The remaining sampled ponds are more ephemeral (KWL-15-01, -02, SLI-15-10, -16, and -19). Meltwater pond major ion concentrations range from those of freshwater to higher than those of seawater (Fig. 7 and Table 3). These results are compared to the average ion concentrations of seawater as described in Holland (1984). Highest major ion concentrations are found in the KWL-15-02, -03, and SLI-15-16 ponds, whereas the lowest concentrations are present in SLI-15-02, -18, and -19 (Fig. 8, Table S1). Ratios of Ca²⁺:Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺:(K⁺ + Na⁺), Ca²⁺:Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻:Cl⁻ decrease with increasing major ion concentrations (Fig. 7). The three ponds with the highest concentrations of Cl⁻ also exhibit low ratios of (K⁺ + Na⁺):Cl⁻ relative to the other

10 (Fig. 7). The three ponds with the highest concentrations of Cl⁻ also exhibit low ratios of (K⁺ + Na⁺):Cl⁻ relative to the other ponds. The concentrations of Cl⁻ and Na⁺ in the most saline pond, KWL-15-03, are similar to that of seawater (Fig. 7a). Major ion concentrations are not correlated with pond size. The three most saline ponds are located in the lower rock glacier region, however, low salinity ponds also occur in the lower rock glacier. Major ions from samples collected from the lower margins of Doran Glacier and Sollas Glacier show low total ion concentrations (Table 3).

15 4.3 Stable Isotopes

5

Stable isotopic analyses were conducted on 54 samples from the buried ice (49 from the ice cores and five from the hand samples), 15 samples from surface ponds, five samples from the exposed icefalls, and four samples from Sollas and Doran glaciers (Table S2). Most of the ice samples fall near or slightly below the local meteoric water line (LMWL) defined by Gooseff et al. (2006) (Fig. 9). The local alpine glaciers (Doran and Sollas) yield δ^{18} O values from -30 and -31‰, falling near the LMWL. Stable isotopic results from the three buried ice cores vary compared to the four glacier ice samples, but they are within the values observed from alpine glaciers in Taylor Valley (Gooseff et al., 2006). When plotted on a graph of δ^{18} O vs. δ D, all 49 samples from the three buried ice cores (SLI-15-01, -04, and -05) fall on a slope of 7.7 with an R² value of 0.99. Samples from meltwater ponds display a large isotopic variance, with δ^{18} O values ranging from -31 to -22‰. The pond ples fall below the LMWL on a slope of 6.0, with an R² value of 0.94. This is likely due to evaporation fractionation in the pond samples.

4.4 GPR

20

25

30

Mean radar-wave velocity derived from analyses of diffraction hyperbolas throughout the data sets was approximately 0.15–0.16 m ns⁻¹. Migrations using this velocity successfully collapsed diffractions without introducing data artifacts (Figs. 10 and 11). However, the overlying debris layer of typically less than 0.2 m thickness likely has lower velocity. This layered velocity structure is a source of error in our processing and subsequent analysis. In spite of the problems encountered with

ground-coupling due to surface roughness, effective depth of penetration after migration was 10-20 m in the 400-MHz data and >15 m in the 200-MHz data. The processed data exhibited coherent reflection events within 0.1–0.2 m of the surface and below (Fig. 10). These reflection events generally appear as flat-lying and dipping reflectors separating portions of the radargrams with comparatively low signal content (Fig. 10).

5 5 Discussion

10

5.1 Stable Isotopes and Buried Ice Origin

Stable isotopic data from the buried ice, pond, and alpine glaciers support a glacial origin for the ice that cores the rock glacier, with some meltwater infiltration and reworking. Doran and Sollas Glaciers are isotopically similar and follow the general trend of Taylor Valley (more depleted isotopic values for inland glaciers compared to glaciers near the coast) (Gooseff et al., 2006). The modern glacier ice also falls around the median isotopic values for all samples from the rock glacier region. The pond ice is isotopically heavy with respect to oxygen and plots well below the LMWL, indicating that it has experienced greater isotopic fractionation due to evaporation compared to the buried ice and glacier ice.

At two sites at the boundary_between the icefalls and the debris-covered ice, SLI-15-08 and -09-(Fig. 8), the sampled exposed ice was a mélange of bubble-rich ice the clear-ice matrix. At these locations, the bubble-rich ice falls on the LMWL isotopically, whereas the clear-ice matrices fall below (Fig. 9). The bubble-rich samples are likely preserved glacial ice. This interpretation is supported by the presence of fine spherical gas inclusions and snow accumulation stratigraphy. Conversely, the clear-ice matrices appear to be refrozen meltwater that has experienced minor evaporation fractionation.

5.2 Rock Glacier Subsurface Structure

- 20 Ground-penetrating radar along the rock glacier (Fig. 2) shows clear subsurface structure and clean ice (selected radargrams shown in Figs. 10 and 11). The presence of clean ice was verified via field excavations; the contact between clean buried ice and overlying sediments was commonly encountered at < 30 cm depth. The shallowest reflection event in the radar data corresponds to the interface between overlying unconsolidated sediment and the underlying clean ice containing scattered debris, as confirmed by excavation. Where the longitudinal GPR transect crossed ice-coring locations, we were able to
- 25 correlate low GPR signal, or radar transparent regions (Brown et al., 2009), in the radargrams to the clean ice observed in the cores (Fig. 11). In the west-east (transverse) line across the boundary between the exposed icefalls and the buried ice (Figs. 2 and 10), we observe the same characteristically low-signal areas in the radargram interrupted by a prominent internal reflection event. This internal reflection event highlights the signal quality and radar transparency of the data above and below it. Additionally, the mean radar-wave velocity throughout our data set, obtained from diffraction-pattern analyses, is
- 30 within 6% of that of clean, freshwater ice (0.167 m ns⁻¹). Finally, the depth of penetration for both frequencies employed is

triple or greater than that normally seen when using these frequencies for rock or soil materials. This increased penetration is likely due to the low attenuation typically exhibited by radar waves traveling through cold ice (Arcone et al., 1995). Combined, these observations support our GPR-derived interpretation that the rock glacier is primarily ice-cored. Near the boundary between the exposed icefalls and the debris-covered ice, ice thickness is > 6 m (Fig. 10), and near the toe of the

5 rock glacier, ice thickness is > 10 m in some locations (Fig. 11).

The prominent reflection events in the survey across the exposed icefalls are interpreted to be internal debris layers. On the transverse line (Fig. 10), the primary reflection event from the surface debris layer extends into the exposed icefalls and then dips upward toward the surface of the bounding ice-cored ridge (on the eastern rock glacier margin). The reflector maintains coherency especially in the first meter of descent away from the debris-covered ice (line position 20–30 m), supporting our interpretation that it represents continuous internal debris. Thereafter, the layer maintains a consistent polarity indicative of the ice-debris-ice interface, although it becomes less coherent. At the approximately 50 m line position, another internal reflection event separates from the prominent debris layer and follows a similar (though less steep) dip, joining the primary upward-dipping debris layer around ~105 m line position. Below these prominent debris layers, more interpreted clean ice

- 15 extends to at least the depth of signal penetration. Our observations also provide clear supporting evidence for a supraglacial source of entrained debris that then travels englacially with rock-glacier flow. This pattern of repetitive internal and upward dipping reflectors is evocative of previous work on Dry Valley debris-covered glaciers, Mullins and Friedmann (Mackay et al., 2014).
- 20 Throughout the longitudinal transect, we observed similar internal reflectors and patterns, interpreted to be clean subsurface ice with entrained debris bands (arrows, Fig. 11). Dominant dip of englacial debris layers is toward the toe of the rock glacier, corresponding to our interpretation of passive entrainment and subsequent redistribution of supraglacial debris. Where the longitudinal transect crosses surface ponds on the rock glacier, internal reflectors extend from the near-surface (~0.1 m) downward to form the base of the pond and presumably the interface between the melt ice and underlying bubble-
- 25 rich glacier-derived ice (Shean and Marchant, 2010). We were unable to core below the ponds' basal layers. In pond SLI-15-06 (Fig. 11b), two distinct debris layers bounding the pond run parallel to each other, while a third dips upward more abruptly. The lack of signal in the rest of the radargram near this location indicates the presence of clean ice below the surface debris layer, with a few areas having some entrained debris. Down rock glacier from the pond, it appears that this basal pond debris layer becomes further entrained and migrates down-flow.
- 30

The GPR data support multiple advances and retreats of the source for the rock glacier ice, Doran Glacier. In the transverse line (Fig. 10), the exposed ice from 30–115 m line position records the modern advance of Doran Glacier and the associated calving of ice from its lateral margin. The prominent subsurface debris layers below the modern ice likely record previous period(s) of Doran Glacier retreat. During retreat, the rock glacier loses its source for ice and a debris layer could accumulate

at the rock-glacier surface via ablation and rockfall. Below the prominent debris layer, the low-signal zone (interpreted to be e ice) likely records older advance(s) of Doran Glacier. Based on this interpretation, the transverse radargram records at least two recent advances of Doran Glacier (Fig. 10).

5.3 Surface Trends in Relative Age

- 5 The accumulation of major ions in meltwater ponds should be driven by two primary processes: snowfall and chemical weathering (Sun et al., 2015). Snowfall in the Dry Valleys contains aerosols of marine origin, which are a significant source of Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ to the regional soils (Witherow et al., 2006). Although the surface sediments of the rock glacier do experience summer thaw, the thaw season is generally short in this region (~34 degree days per year along Lake Bonney in the valley floor (Doran et al., 2002)). Compared to the coastal Dry Valleys, weathering is therefore less of a contributor to soil and meltwater major ions (Bao et al., 2008).
- Based on the shape and position of meltwater pond KWL-15-02, it evolved from a snowbank (Fig. 5e), which supports the interpretation that snowfall is a dominant contributor to the ponds. Some authors have reported increasing concentrations of the more soluble binary ions in downslope meltwater ponds due to the freeze-thaw-driven migration of those ions from upslope ponds (Healy et al., 2006; Lyons et al., 2012). However, we see a relative increase in the less soluble Mg²⁺ in the lower meltwater ponds (Figs. 7b and 8), suggesting that the freeze-thaw process does not control the major ion concentration trend. Additionally, the hummocky, irregular surface topography of the rock glacier likely restricts connectivity between the upper and lower rock glacier (Figs. 2 and 8).
- Our major ion concentrations and cation ratios are dissimilar to those reported in meltwater ponds surrounding Marr Glacier, ~3 km northwest of the rock glacier (Fig. 1) (Lyons et al., 2012). This is likely due to the direct input of glacial meltwater to the Marr ponds, which possesses low concentrations of major ions as well as ratios driven strongly by snowfall. The rock glacier ponds are more isolated and subject to evaporative concentration of ions. We suggest that the ponds in the upper glacier (SLI-15-02, -10 and KWL-15-01) have low total ion concentrations due to their relative youth and potential meltwater contribution from the Doran Glacier icefalls (Fig. 8). Ponds SLI-15-18 and -19 contain some of the lowest ion concentrations we measured, but occur near the rock glacier toe where the landform is older. However, at this location, the surface morphology is distinct from the rest of the rock glacier: (1) the hummocks are lower relief and (2) the arcuate transverse ridges are incised by fluvial channels that are oriented longitudinally to rock glacier flow. We were also unable to find clean subsurface ice in this lowest section of the rock glacier (based on five field excavations). Pond -19 is a small,
- 30 ephemeral feature (Fig. 5i). Pond -18 is larger and long-lived, but in a shallow depression with a northern bounding bank that was < 50 cm above the modern pond surface. This configuration, along with the evidence for fluvial channeling, might allow pond water to flow out during high stands, thus limiting long-term concentration of ions in the depressions. Conversely,

pond KWL-15-03, which exhibits significantly higher ion concentrations than any of the other analyzed ponds, sits in one of the deepest depressions on the entire rock glacier, 2-5 m deep on all sides. At this location, the rock glacier contains > 10 m of clean buried ice (Fig. 11b), but sediment cover over the buried ice is > 30 cm thick (Fig. 4a), allowing for little to no melting of the buried ice as a source for pond water.

5

High salinity of surface ponds, such as KWL-15-03, imply a long surface exposure history for the lower rock glacier. Concentrations of Cl⁻ are likely controlled by aerosol inputs from snow and ice melt, as well as dissolution and subsequent aeolian and near-surface groundwater transport from nearby sediments, with a small contribution from weathering of cinder cone clasts (Keys and Williams, 1981; Witherow et al., 2006; Toner et al., 2013). If the measured Cl⁻ concentration of KWL-15-03 is representative of the pond in general, and the Cl⁻ was sourced solely from aerosol deposition across the entire ~600 m² depression that surrounds the ~12 m² pond, then the accumulation of Cl⁻ to the pond would take longer than the Holocene (~40 kyr). This estimate is based on the modern Cl⁻ flux of ~150 µmol m⁻² yr⁻¹ to four snow pits on Sollas Glacier (Witherow et al., 2006) and the measured Cl⁻ concentration of ~31,400 ppm applied to the entire volume (~4,000 liters) of the pond (Table S1). This calculated age would be an overestimation if (a) the surface ice is higher salinity than the lower pond ice,

- (b) there is a significant *in situ* weathering source of Cl⁻, and/or (c) wind-blown snow and wind-blown ions preferentially accumulate in the depression. Conversely, the calculated age would be an underestimation if (a) the surface ice is lower salinity than the bottom ice due to top down freezing and brine expulsion at the bottom of the pond, (b) there is aeolian removal of ions from the pond depression during dessication events, and/or (c) some Cl⁻ is retained in surrounding sediments rather than being transported to the pond. Additionally, long-term variations in snowfall, the size of the depression, and
- 20 fluvial/subsurface water flow would affect Cl⁻ transport to the pond. Due to all of these factors and uncertainties, an absolute surface age for the lower rock glacier cannot be calculated based simply on the pond salinity. The antiquity of the lower rock glacier is evidenced by the grussification of granites, the rounding of surface clasts, the accumulation of relatively thick (> 30 cm) sediment cover over the buried ice, and the GPR record of multiple advances and retreats of the source glacier. When coupled with this relative chronology of surface weathering and buried ice accumulation and flow, the high Cl⁻
- 25 concentrations measured in KWL-15-03 might suggest a pre-Holocene age for the lower rock glacier.

5.4 plications for McMurdo Dry Valleys Glaciers

Meltwater pond salinity and observations of surface weathering suggest that portions of the rock glacier could have survived since before the Holocene. Distinct weathering regimes along the rock glacier surface support an episodic nature of rock glacier development, as do the dispersal of englacial debris and the arcuate surface ridges. If the rock glacier surface near

30 pond KWL-15-03 is pre-Holocene, it is possible that the rock glacier preserves evidence of advances from older interglacial intervals. Regardless of the exact age, the rock glacier preserves, in a single feature, a record of multiple alpine glacier advances for which the direct geomorphic evidence (such as moraines beyond the toe of Doran Glacier) is unavailable.

Taylor Valley glacial geomorphology shows evidence for advances of both outlet and alpine glaciers during MIS 5, 7, 9 and 11 (Higgins et al., 2000; Swanger et al., 2017). Even though Dry Valley glaciers appear to have advanced during the Holocene relative to the Last Glacial Maximum, the timing and details of Holocene advance dynamics are unresolved (Hall

5 and Denton, 2000). Therefore, if rock glaciers can preserve a record of Holocene (or older) glacier advance and retreat, these landforms can be used to constrain regional glacial fluctuations, especially where moraines are absent. In addition to the stated implications for the McMurdo Dry Valleys, our results have wider significance. Buried ice and rock glaciers are common throughout the ice-free regions of Antarctica (Fukui et al., 2008; Hassinger and Mayewski, 1983; Bibby et al., 2016). Based on our results, it is likely that many ice-cored rock glaciers elsewhere in Antarctica record past glacial fluctuations, and can therefore be used to study climatic history during the Holocene and Pleistocene.

10

6 Conclusions

15

20

We use a combination of ground-penetrating radar, field observations, stable isotope and major ion analyses to characterize a rock glacier fed by the Doran Glacier icefalls in Taylor Valley. The rock glacier subsurface is primarily clean ice that is at least 10 m thick, with englacial debris bands that in places outcrop at the surface. Stable isotope values that are consistent with those of glacial ice, along with the presence of spherical gas inclusions, confirm that the buried ice within the rock glacier is glacial in origin. Surface weathering trends including the development of ventifacts, grus and minor desert pavement on the lower rock glacier suggest that the rock glacier toe is significantly older than the upper rock glacier. Major ion concentrations in meltwater ponds are variable, and consistent with aerosol deposition acting as the primary source for major ions. Our data support episodic advances of the rock glacier, which likely record Doran Glacier margin fluctuations during late Pleistocene and Holocene time. This demonstrates the potential utility of Antarctic rock glaciers in preserving glacial behavior despite non-deposition of moraines and/or the destruction of older glacial landforms by younger advances.

Data availability. All geochemical data are provided in the Supplement.

Author contributions. All authors participated in field observations, GPR surveying, and ice core collection. Swanger 25 organized and led the field campaign. Winsor and Swanger collected soil and ice hand samples. Babcock directed GPR surveying and performed all GPR data processing. Winsor processed samples for major ion analyses. Winsor and Swanger processed samples for stable isotope analyses. Winsor, Swanger, and Babcock prepared the manuscript, created figures, and made tables.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the McMurdo Station support staff, especially those at the Berg Field Center, Petroleum Helicopters International, and the Crary Laboratory, for assistance during the field season. Geoff Hargreaves and crew were of great assistance in subsampling ice cores at the National Ice Core Laboratory. Fred Luiszer (U Colorado–Boulder) analyzed samples for major ions and Robert Michener (Boston U) analyzed samples for stable isotopes.

5 Useful discussions were had with Maciej Obryk.

Financial support. We acknowledge the following funding source for support of this research: US National Science Foundation Office of Polar Programs grant 1341284 to K.M. Swanger.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Peter Morse and reviewed by two anonymous reviewers.

Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, 1–10, doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008703, 2008.

10 References

15

20

Anderson, R.S., Anderson, L.S., Armstrong, W.H., Rossi, M.W., and Crump, S.E.: Glaciation of alpine valleys: The glacier
debris-covered glacier – rock glacier continuum, Geomorphology, 311, 127–142, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.03.015, 2018.

Annan, A. P.: GPR methods for hydrogeological studies, in Hydrogeophysics, edited by Y. Rubin and S. S. Hubbard, pp. 185–213, Springer, Netherlands., 2005.

Arcone, S. A., Lawson, D. E. and Delaney, A. J.: Short-pulse radar wavelet recovery and resolution of dielectric contrasts within englacial and basal ice Matanuska Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A., J. Glaciol., 41, 68–86, doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000017779, 1995.

Bao, H., Barnes, J. D., Sharp, Z. D. and Marchant, D. R.: Two chloride sources in soils of the McMurdo Dry Valleys,

- Bibby, T., Putkonen, J., Morgan, D., Balco, G. and Shuster, D.L.: Million year old ice found under meter thick debris layer in Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 43(13), 6995–7001. doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069889, 2016.
 - Brown, J., Harper, J. and Bradford, J.: A radar transparent layer in a temperate valley glacier: Bench Glacier, Alaska, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 34, 1497–1506, doi.org/10.1002/esp.1835, 2009.
- 25 Christ, A.J. and Bierman, P.R: The local Last Glacial Maximum in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica: Implications for ice-sheet behavior in the Ross Sea Embayment, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., doi.org/10.1130/B35139.1, 2019.
 - Clark, D.H., Steig, E.J., Potter, Jr., N. and Gillespie, A.R.: Genetic variability of rock glaciers. Geogr. Ann. A, 80(3-4), 175-182, doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.1998.00035.x, 1998.
 - Doran, P. T., Mckay, C. P., Clow, G. D., Dana, G. L., Fountain, A. G., Nylen, T. and Lyons, W. B.: Valley floor climate
- 30 observations from the McMurdo dry valleys, Antarctica, 1986-2000, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 1–12, doi.org/10.1029/2001JD002045, 2002.

- Fountain, A. G., Nylen, T. H., MacClune, K. L. and Dana, G. L.: Glacier mass balances (1993-2001), Taylor Valley, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, J. Glaciol., 52(178), 451–462, doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828511, 2006.
- Fountain, A. G., Levy, J. S., Gooseff, M. N. and Van Horn, D.: The McMurdo Dry Valleys: A landscape on the threshold of change, Geomorphology, 225, 25–35, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.044, 2014.
- 5 Fukui, K., Sone, T., Strelin, J.A., Torielli, C.A., Mori, J. and Fujii, Y.: Dynamics and GPR stratigraphy of a polar rock glacier on James Ross Island, Antarctic Peninsula. J. Glaciol., 54(186), 445–451. doi.org.10.3189/002214308785836940, 2008.

Gooseff, M. N., Lyons, W. B., McKnight, D. M., Vaughn, B. H., Fountain, A. G. and Dowling, C.: A Stable Isotopic Investigation of a Polar Desert Hydrologic System, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res., 38(1),

- 10 60–71, doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)038[0060:ASIIOA]2.0.CO;2, 2006.
 - Guglielmin, M. and Cannone, N.: A permafrost warming in a cooling Antarctica?, Clim. Change, 111(2), 177–195, doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0137-2, 2012.
 - Hall, B.L. and Denton, G.H.: Radiocarbon chronology of Ross Sea drift, eastern Taylor Valley, Antarctica: Evidence for a grounded ice sheet in the Ross Sea at the last glacial maximum. Geogr. Ann. A, 82(2-3), 305–336. doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-

15 3676.2000.00127.x, 2000.

- Hall, B. L., Denton, G. H. and Hendy, C. H.: Evidence from Taylor Valley for a Grounded Ice Sheet in the Ross Sea, Antarctica, Geogr. Ann. A., 82(2/3), 275–303, doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.2000.00126.x, 2000.
- Hamilton, S.J. and Whalley, W.B.: Rock glacier nomenclature: a re-assessment. Geomorphology, 14(1), 73-80, doi.org/10.1016/0169-555X(95)00036-5, 1995.
- 20 Hassinger, J.M. and Mayewski, P.A.: Morphology and dynamics of the rock glaciers in southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. Arctic Alpine Res., 15(3), 351–368. doi.org/10.2307/1550831, 1983.
 - Healy, M., Webster-Brown, J. G., Brown, K. L. and Lane, V.: Chemistry and stratification of Antarctic meltwater ponds II:
 Inland ponds in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Victoria Land, Antarct. Sci., 18(4), 525, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102006000575, 2006.
- 25 Higgins, S. M., Hendy, C. H. and Denton, G. H.: Geochronology of Bonney drift, Taylor Valley, Antarctica: evidence for interglacial expansions of Taylor Glacier, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A Phys. Geogr., 82, 391–409, doi.org/10.1111/1468-0459.00130, 2000.
 - Hoffman, M. J., Fountain, A. G. and Liston, G. E.: Distributed modeling of ablation (1996–2011) and climate sensitivity on the glaciers of Taylor Valley, Antarctica, J. Glaciol., FirstView, 1–15, doi.org/10.1017/jog.2015.2, 2016.
- Holland, Heinrich D. *The chemical evolution of the atmosphere and oceans*. Princeton University Press, 1984.
 Keys, J. R. and Williams, K.: Origin of crystalline, cold desert salts in the McMurdo region, Antarctica, Geochim.
 - Cosmochim. Acta, 45, 2299–2309, doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2013.04.005, 1981.
 - Knight, J., Harrison, S., Jones, D.B: Rock glaciers and the geomorphological evolution of deglacierizing mountains, Geomorphology, 324, 14–24, doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.09.020, 2018.

- Levy, J.S., Fountain, A.G., O'Connor, J.E., Welch, K.A. and Lyons, W.B.: Garwood valley, Antarctica: a new record of last glacial maximum to Holocene glaciofluvial processes in the McMurdo dry valleys, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 125(9-10), 1484–1502, doi.org/10.1130/B30783.1, 2013.
- Lyons, W. B., Tyler, S. W., Wharton, R. a., McKnight, D. M. and Vaughn, B. H.: A Late Holocene desiccation of Lake
- 5 Hoare and Lake Fryxell, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 10(3), 247–256, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102098000340, 1998.
 - Lyons, W. B., Welch, K. A., Gardner, C. B., Jaros, C., Moorhead, D. L., Knoepfle, J. L. and Doran, P. T.: The geochemistry of upland ponds, Taylor Valley, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 24(1), 3–14, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102011000617, 2012.
- Macdonell, S. A., Fitzsimons, S. J. and Mölg, T.: Seasonal sediment fluxes forcing supraglacial melting on the Wright
 Lower Glacier, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Hydrol. Process., 27(22), 3192–3207, doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9444, 2013.
- Mackay, S. L., Marchant, D. R., Lamp, J. L. and Head, J. W.: Cold-based debris-covered glaciers: evaluating the potneital as climate archives through studies of ground-penetraing radar and surface morphology, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 119, 2505–2540, doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003178. Received, 2014.
 - Marchant, D., Denton, G., Bockheim, J.G., Wilson, S.C., and Kerr, A.R.: Quaternary changes in level of the upper Taylor
- 15 Glacier, Antarctica: implications for paleoclimate and East Antarctic Ice Sheet dynamics, Boreas, 23, 29–43, doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.1994.tb00583.x, 1994.
 - Naish, T., Powell, R., Levy, R., Wilson, G., Scherer, R., Talarico, F., Krissek, L., Niessen, F., Pompilio, M., Wilson, T., Carter, L., Deconto, R., Huybers, P., Mckay, R., Pollard, D., Ross, J., Winter, D. and Barrett, P.: Obliquity-paced Pliocene West Antarctic ice sheet oscillations, Nature, 458(March), 322–329, doi.org/10.1038/nature07867, 2009.
- 20 Poreda, R. J., Hunt, A. G., Lyons, W. B. and Welch, K. A.: The Helium Isotopic Chemistry of Lake Bonney, Taylor Valley, Antarctica: Timing of Late Holocene Climate Change in Antarctica, Aquat. Geochemistry, 10, 353–371, doi.org/10.1007/s10498-004-2265-z, 2004.
 - Sandmeier, K.J., 2008. *ReflexW Version 5.0. Windows 9x* (pp. 1-476). NT/2000/XP-program for the processing of seismic, acoustic or electromagnetic reflection, refraction and transmission data.
- 25 Shean, D. E. and Marchant, D. R.: Seismic and GPR surveys of Mullins Glacier, mcmurdo dry valleys, Antarctica: Ice thickness, internal structure and implications for surface ridge formation, J. Glaciol., 56(195), 48–64, doi.org/10.3189/002214310791190901, 2010.
 - Staiger, J. W., Marchant, D. R., Schaefer, J. M., Oberholzer, P., Johnson, J. V., Lewis, A. R. and Swanger, K. M.: Plio-Pleistocene history of Ferrar Glacier, Antarctica: Implications for climate and ice sheet stability, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,
- 30 243, 489–503, doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.01.037, 2006.
- Sun, T., Socki, R. A., Bish, D. L., Harvey, R. P., Bao, H., Niles, P. B., Cavicchioli, R. and Tonui, E.: Lost cold Antarctic deserts inferred from unusual sulfate formation and isotope signatures., Nat. Commun., 6(May), 7579, doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8579, 2015.

- Swanger, K. M., Marchant, D. R., Schaefer, J. M., Winckler, G. and Head, J. W.: Elevated East Antarctic outlet glaciers during warmer-than-present climates in southern Victoria Land, Glob. Planet. Change, 79(1–2), 61–72, doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.07.012, 2011.
- Swanger, K. M., Lamp, J. L., Winckler, G., Schaefer, J. M. and Marchant, D. R.: Glacier advance during Marine Isotope
 Stage 11 in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica, Sci. Rep., 1–9, doi.org/10.1038/srep41433, 2017.
- Toner, J.D., Sletten, R.S., Prentice, M.L.: Soluble salt accumulations in Taylor Valley, Antarctica: implications for paleolakes and Ross Sea Ice Sheet dynamics, J. Geophys. Res. – Earth, 118(1), 198–215, doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002467, 2013.
- Wagner, B., Ortlepp, S., Kenig, F., Doran, P. T. and Melles, M.: Palaeoenvironmental implications derived from a piston
- 10 core from east lobe Bonney, Taylor Valley, Antarctica, Antarct. Sci., 22(5), 522–530, doi.org/10.1017/S0954102010000556, 2010.
 - Wilch, T.I., Denton, G.H., Lux, D.R. and McIntosh, W.C.: Limited Pliocene glacier extent and surface uplift in middle Taylor Valley, Antarctica. Geogr. Ann. A, 75(4), 331–351, doi.org/10.2307/521206, 1993.
- Witherow, R. A., Lyons, W. B., Bertler, N. A. N., Welch, K. A., Mayewski, P. A., Sneed, S. B., Nylen, T., Handley, M. J.
 and Fountain, A.: The aeolian flux of calcium, chloride and nitrate to the McMurdo Dry Valleys landscape: evidence from
 - snow pit analysis, Antarct. Sci., 18(4), 497–505, doi.org/10.1017/S095410200600054X, 2006.
 - Yelf, R., and Yelf, D.: Where Is True Time Zero? Electromagnetic Phenomena 7 (1), 158–63, doi.org/10.1016/j.sjgs.2014.07.006, 2007.

25

Table 1. rok glacier buried ice core and sample descriptions.							
Sample	ude, Longitude, ation ¹	Site description ²	Ice core / sample description ³				
SLI-15-01	77.71722 S, 162.63182 E, 740 m asl	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh granitic clasts, angular, little to no fines.	Ice core. 151 cm long. Clean ice, gas inclusions.				
SLI-15-04	77.71628 S, 162.62518 E, 670 m asl	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and weathered granite, angular and rounded clasts, sand-rich matrix.	Ice core. 156 cm long. Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris band at 130–135 cm depth.				
SLI-15-05	77.71537 S, 162.61561 E, 610 m asl	Debris ~30 cm thick, fresh and weathered granite, rounded clasts, sand-rich matrix.	Ice core. 228 cm long. Clean ice, gas inclusions. Debris bands at 12, 70, 170, 190 cm depth.				
SLI-15-08	77.71836 S, 162.63216 E, 780 m asl	Exposed ice at the boundary between icefalls and buried ice.	Hand sample. 5 cm depth A: clean ice, gas inclusions B and C: clean ice, no gas inclusions				
SLI-15-09	77.71760 S, 162.63239 E, 760 m asl	Exposed ice at the boundary between icefalls and buried ice.	Hand sample. A: clean ice, gas inclusions B: clean ice, no gas inclusions				
SLI-15-11	77.71759 S, 162.62913 E, 730 m asl	Debris ~20 cm thick, fresh and weathered granitic clasts, sand matrix	Hand sample from an exposed contraction crack. Clean ice. 15 cm depth below ice- sediment boundary.				
SLI-15-12	77.71699 S, 162.62979 E, 720 m asl	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice ~60 cm up-flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.				
SLI-15-13	77.71694 S, 162.62891 E, 720 m asl	Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice \sim 2 m down-flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.				
SLI-15-14	77.71689 S, 162.62882 E, 720 m asl	Debris ~10 cm thick, fresh angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice ~10 m down- flow from pond SLI-15-02. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.				
SLI-15-15	77.71676 S, 162.62831 E, 700 m asl	Debris ~5 cm thick, fresh and weathered angular granitic clasts, sands.	Hand sample from buried ice. Clean ice. 5 cm depth.				

¹ m asl = meters above sea level.
 ² Thickness and sedimentology of overlying debris.
 ³ Length of ice core. Presence of gas inclusions and debris bands in buried ice. Depth of sampling.

Table 2. Location and description of sampled meltwater ponds

Lake ID ¹	limum	Latitude (S)	Longitude (E)	Elevation	Ground surface character
	ake			(m asl) ²	
	Dimension				
SLI-15-10	2 m	77.71750	162.63167	720	Fresh sands to boulders
SLI-15-02	16 m	77.71697	162.62921	715	Fresh and weathered boulders
KWL-15-01	4 m	77.71660	162.62654	650	Weathered boulders
SLI-15-16	1 m	77.71623	162.62037	610	Weathered boulders and grus
KWL-15-02	2 m	77.71606	162.61954	595	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-17	25 m	77.71611	162.61694	590	Fresh boulders and grus
SLI-15-06	13 m	77.71512	162.61662	610	Weathered boulders and grus
KWL-15-03	8 m	77.71559	162.61745	585	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-18	7 m	77.71514	162.61486	565	Weathered boulders and grus
SLI-15-19	3 m	77.71510	162.61375	560	Weathered boulders, grus and basalt cobbles

¹ Lakes listed from south to north (upper rock glacier to terminus) ² meters above sea level

Table 3. Major ion results							
Sample ID ¹	Ude	Longitude (E)	Total major ions (ppm)				
Buried ice			<u> </u>				
SLI-15-01	77.71722	162.63182					
0 cm			32.921				
75 cm			52.346				
114 cm			50.586				
143 cm			84.277				
SLI-15-04	77.71628	162.62518	01.277				
15 cm	11.11020	102.02010	18.150				
137 cm			23.692				
210 cm			25.951				
SLI-15-05	77.71537	162.61561	20.001				
15 cm	11.11001	102.01001	26.068				
65 cm			27.216				
149 cm			61.489				
SLI-15-08A	77.71836	162.63216	9.726				
SLI-15-08B	11.11000	102.002.10	6.292				
SLI-15-08C			4.754				
SLI-15-09A	77.71760	162.63239	26.254				
SLI-15-09B	11.11100	102.00200	10.743				
SLI-15-11	77.71759	162.62913	34.068				
SLI-15-12	77.71699	162.62979	29.410				
SLI-15-13	77.71694	162.62891	38.297				
SLI-15-14	77.71689	162.62882	17.197				
SLI-15-15	77.71676	162.62831	34.666				
	11.11070	102.02001	04.000				
15-02	77.71697	162.62921					
0 cm	11.11001	102.02021	1.043				
21 cm			1.071				
53 cm			0.530				
74 cm			1.110				
SLI-15-06	77.71512	162.61662					
0 cm	11.11012	102.01002	4.355				
10 cm			2.619				
KWL-15-01	77.71660	162.62654	11.585				
KWL-15-02	77.71606	162.61954	145.711				
KWL-15-03	77.71559	162.61745	47455.763				
SLI-15-10	77.71750	162.63167	13.769				
SLI-15-16	77.71623	162.62037	334.063				
SLI-15-17	77.71611	162.61694	17.199				
SLI-15-18	77.71514	162.61486	1.546				
SLI-15-19	77.71510	162.61375	3.791				
Glaciers							
Doran Gl.	77.71481	162.65637	5.90				
Sollas Gl.	77.71402	162.60377	18.06				
Denth below ice ourface is listed in contimeters for ice cores. All							

¹ Depth below ice surface is listed in certimeters for ice cores. All other samples were gathered from 0–10 cm depth in buried ice or pond ice. Glacier samples taken from margins.




Figure 1. Satellite image of the McMurdo Dry Valleys (MDV), with inset indicating location in Antarctica. HG = Hughes Glacier, SG = Sollas Glacier, DG = Doran Glacier, and MG = Marr Glacier. Red rectangle shows location of rock glacier (Fig. 2). Public domain Landsat7 imagery courtesy of NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and U.S. Geological Survey.




Figure 2. Geomorphic map of the modern rock glacier (outlined in the large black dashed line) and surrounding terrain. Contour interval: 50 m. Ice cores SLI-15-01, -04 and -05 are from buried ice (labelled 1, 4 and 5). SLI-15-02 and -03, and SLI-15-06 and -07 are duplicate cores taken from two large frozen ponds (only 2 and 6 labelled). All gathered ground penetrating radar (GPR) lines are shown in green, but only two representative sections of the longitudinal line are discussed in the text.




Figure 3. Icefall originating from Doran Glacier and accumulating to form the rock glacier. a) View from base of Sollas Glacier, with rock glacier visible within yellow dashed line. Note presence of cinder cone talus slopes. b) View from boundary between debris-covered ice and the modern exposed icefalls.




Figure 4. Buried ice core SLI-15-05. a) Excavation of ~30 cm of sand- and boulder-rich sediments above buried ice, exposing sharp contact between sediments and ice surface. b) Buried ice core pictured in the field, 36–88 cm depth below ice surface; up core is to the left (shown with arrow). c) Buried ice core (53–67 cm depth) after being cut vertically at NICL. At this depth, buried ice contained many gas

5 inclusions and no sediments.




Figure 5. Field images of the ten sampled meltwater ponds along a longitudinal transect down the rock glacier. a) SLI-15-10 b) KWL-15-01, c) SLI-15-02, d) SLI-15-16, e) KWL-15-02, f) SLI-15-17, g) SLI-15-06 (on left) and KWL-15-03 (on right), h) SLI-15-18, i) SLI-15-19. Pond SLI-15-17 occurs between the rock glacier margin and Sollas Glacier. Note the fresh appearance of granites surrounding KWL-15-01 and SLI-15-10, and the grussified ground surface surrounding KWL-15-02 and SLI-15-16 and -18.




Figure 6. Surface lithology and weathering trend going down the rock glacier. a) Upper rock glacier, showing fresh, angular granitic boulders and cobbles. b) Central rock glacier, showing rounded and ventifacted granitic boulders and a granitic grus ground cover. c) Lower rock glacier, showing smaller clast sizes and poorly developed desert pavement in a small depression with mixed granitic and basalt ground cover.




Figure 7. a) Scatter plot of Na⁺ versus Cl⁻ in ten meltwater ponds. Ternary diagrams of b) Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and K⁺ + Na⁺ and c) Cl⁻, SO4²⁻, and Ca²⁺ in meltwater ponds shown as percent by equivalent.




Figure 8. Aerial photo of the rock glacier with pond samples and buried ice samples. Cinder cone talus and the eastern margin of Sollas Glacier are both visible to the west of the rock glacier. Bar charts show major ion concentrations from ten meltwater ponds (shown with yellow circles). All samples are labelled with their numbers only, except where the same sample numbers correspond to different samples (SLI-15-01 and -02 vs. KWL-15-01 and -02), labelled as SLI- and KWL-. Public domain aerial photograph from U.S. Geological Survey, TMA3084-V0132 taken in 1993.




Figure 9. Plot of δ¹⁸O vs. δD of 59 samples from the rock glacier. Cores 01, 04 and 05 were gathered from buried ice along a longitudinal transect down the central flowline of the rock glacier. Buried ice transect refers to additional hand samples SLI-15-11 to -15 (see Fig. 8 for locations). Exposed icefalls were five samples from two locations (SLI-15-08 and -09; Fig. 8). Samples were also gathered from seven ponds along the rock glacier surface (SLI-15-02, -06, -10, -16, -17, -18 and -19; Fig. 8) and two local alpine glaciers, Sollas and Doran. The local meteoric water line is from Gooseff et al. (2006).




Figure 10. Processed ground-penetrating radar data for the transverse line (west to east) from the debris-covered rock glacier across the icefalls fed by Doran Glacier and ending at the east-lateral ice-cored ridge. Image after migration and topographic correction using a constant velocity of 0.16 m ns⁻¹. Note that the prominent internal reflection event starts as a near-surface reflection event at the beginning

5 of the profile. At ~20 m line position, this primary interpreted surface-debris/internal-ice interface and dips down below the exposed ice before emerging at the ice-cored ridge (right side of prime).




Figure 11. Processed 400 MHz ground-penetrating radar data from the transect down the rock glacier. a) Mid-rock-glacier line showing interpreted internal debris and a mid-rock-glacier pond (~50–70 m; site of ice core sample SLI-15-02 and -03). b) Part of the transect crossing the frozen pond (sample site SLI-15-06 and -07) near the lowest buried ice-core site (at 110 m; SLI-15-05). Arrows point to reflection events interpreted to correlate with internal debris layers.