

Dear Editor:

We have accounted for all your minor comments (see the marked manuscript). The terms of the form $\lambda^{\{m\}}$ in fraction numerators are showing up correctly now.

Best wishes,

Alexander Kokhanovsky

Editor Decision: Publish subject to minor revisions (review by editor) (03 Jul 2018) by Benjamin Smith
Comments to the Author:

The authors have addressed the comments from the referees, and the manuscript seems to be in good shape, except that the new text in the appendices needs some quick editing. I would also ask the authors to look carefully at how the equations have rendered in the PDF version of the article. The terms of the form $\lambda^{\{m\}}$ in fraction numerators are not showing up correctly, which may require either modification to the authors' source files or consultation with the publication department at TC.

338: should be "the a priori"

369: should be "one would conclude" or "one should conclude"

370, delete comma after 'cases'

372: "with the accuracy of" should be "with accuracies of "

373: add comma after 'respectively'

375: add comma after EAL, "in case" should be "in the case of"

386: the term "module" is not familiar to me. Do you mean "modulus"? That doesn't entirely make sense to me. Maybe magnitude?

414: "use the measurements" should be "use measurements"

432: "determination of concentration" should be "determination of the concentration"