Dear editor,

Thank you for your corrections and the helpful suggestions. We have carefully implemented all of them. The detailed changes are listed hereafter.

Philipp Mamot, Michael Krautblatter and co-authors

Response by the authors to comments of the editor

EC = Editor comment

AR = Author response and changes

Abstract, line 7:

EC: First sentence: This is a quite a strong and general statement ("have significantly increased"), which is not addressed or proven or referenced in your paper. It would be better to use the wording of your own Introduction (p1, l.28) as a more general introduction of your abstract.

AR: We reworded the first sentence into *"Instability and failure of high mountain rock slopes have significantly increased since the 1990s coincident to climatic warming and are expected to rise further."*

Further, we changed the third sentence in section 1 (lines 31-33 in the revised manuscript) by adding the above information and a new reference: *"The number of failure events has increased since the 1990s and coincident to climatic warming; those influenced by permafrost degradation are expected to respond to a warming climate by more frequent events (Gobiet et al., 2014; Huggel et al., 2012; Ravanel and Deline, 2011)."*

The new citation (Huggel et al., 2012) is included in the reference list in chapter 11.

Section 2.3, line 219:

EC: "ventilation prevented thermal...." and "...with two sensors was fixed..." - use the past tense consistently for your experiments (which you mostly did, but not always)

AR: Changed as suggested (line 219 in the revised manuscript)

Section 2.3, line 222:

EC: "shear velocity were adjusted..." (see comment above)

AR: Changed as suggested (line 222 in the revised manuscript)

Section 2.5, line 261:

EC: "The linear fitting...": which linear fitting is meant here? which variables? It seems like a too general statement here or I do not see the link to the preceding section.

AR: We shifted the introduction of the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (originally in section 3.4, lines 350-357) to section 2.4 "Developing a temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints" in order to set the "linear fitting" in a context with the development of the failure criterion. The former section 2.4, "AE monitoring", was changed to section 2.5.

The modified section 2.4 looks as follows (lines 250-273 in the revised manuscript):

2.4 Developing a temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints

To develop a shear strength description of ice-filled permafrost rock joints we used the linear and stress-dependent Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (a combination of Coulomb (1773) and Mohr (1900); a discussion of its limitations is given in Jaeger et al. (2007))

$$\tau = \sigma * tan(\varphi) + c \qquad\qquad (3)$$

which represents the shear stress at failure τ as a function of the normal stress σ, the cohesion c and the friction angle φ. The Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion has not only been suggested for rock mechanics but also for ice mechanics (Arenson and Springman, 2005b; Sanderson, 1988). Using this failure criterion is essential to include ice mechanics and rock mechanics in the same model.

The linear envelopes for the friction and the cohesion (as functions of the temperature) were quantified based on the shear stress at failure dependent on normal stress. The cohesion values were derived by taking the shear stress values at the intercepts of the regression lines with the abscissas for each tested temperature level. The friction values were determined by taking the slope values from the linear regression functions which correspond to the coefficient of friction μ. Values for the friction angle were derived by calculating the respective arctangents of μ. The cohesion and the friction of ice-filled rock joints as a function of temperature were calculated by linear fitting which is estimated by a linear regression model using the LinearModel class of MATLAB (Version R2017a). The predictor and response variable are arithmetic means, while only the predictor variable is weighted with the reciprocal value of its variance. Beside the correlation coefficient (r) and the coefficient of determination (R^2), the

MATLAB function returns the regression parameters "intercept" and "slope" with its standard error (MathWorks, 2017).

The equations for the cohesion and the friction were combined to a new shear strength description for ice-filled rock joints based on Mohr-Coulomb. A validation test was performed at the end comparing the measured peak shear stresses with the model and its uncertainties at each tested temperature level.

To introduce the calculation of cohesion and friction in section 3.3 we added the following sentence (line 351 in the revised manuscript):

"We utilised Fig. 6 to calculate the linear envelopes of cohesion and friction as functions of the temperature (Sect. 2.4)."

Figure 4, Lines 270-275:

EC: The symbol "sigma" has not been introduced so far as far I can see.

AR: We added the description "normal stress" in front of "σ" (line 290 in the revised manuscript).

EC: The stage "V" is not written in the caption although it is addressed as "post-peak strength" (I guess).

AR: We added the "V" to the caption (line 292 in the revised manuscript): *"I-V represent the typical stages of each experiment: Consolidation (I), sample fitting to shear rings (II), pre-peak shear deformation (III), shear failure (IV) and post-peak shear strength (V)."*

Section 3.4, line 362:

EC: "...between 0.12-0.40 for the coefficient of friction.": In fact, the subplot on the upper right-hand side of Figure S8(a) does not seem to show a linear relationship at all (so the low R2 values are not surprising). What does that mean for the validity of the criterion, which assumes a linear relationship? You do not address this uncertainty explicitly in the text, although it is implicitly treated afterwards in the validation plot. But a sentence at this point in the text which addresses the implications would be appropriate.

AR: We are not hundred percent sure if Fig. S8 (in the supplement) has been mixed up with Fig. 8 (in the manuscript) in the comment referring to line 362 (Please note that Fig. S8 has been changed to Fig. S6 and Fig. 8 has been changed to Fig. 7 in the revised manuscript).

(1) It is important to consider that the R^2-values in Fig. 8 are much higher than in Fig. S8 and are well explained by linear functions.

(2) Fig. 8 displays the cohesion and friction as a function of temperature for significant temperature levels with p-values ≤ 5 %. For the failure criterion, we only used the equations derived from Fig. 8 (and not from Fig. S8). This is explained in lines 355-359 in the revised manuscript.

(3) In order to compare our results with previous studies (for example, the rock-ice mechanical model after Krautblatter et al. (2013), Günzel (2008) or Davies et al. (2000)) we decided to use the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion with a linear function containing friction and cohesion. These are important parameters in rock mechanics and for the description of the shear strength along discontinuities. The Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion has also been suggested for ice mechanics by Sanderson (1988), Arenson and Springman (2005b) and other standard text books. Using the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion is essential to compare ice mechanics and rock mechanics in the same model.

We added two sentences to account for the third point (section 2.4, lines 257-260 in the revised manuscript; see also author response to line 261):

"The Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion has not only been suggested for rock mechanics but also for ice mechanics (Arenson and Springman, 2005b; Sanderson, 1988). Using this failure criterion is essential to include ice mechanics and rock mechanics in the same model."

Section 3.4, line 390:

EC: "Equation (4)" instead of "Equation (6)"?

AR: We changed the number of the equation as suggested (line 381 in the revised manuscript).

Section 3.4, line 394-398 incl. Eq. (5):

EC: See Figure S8 and comment above: the relationship is not linear in Figure S8: How are the rather accurate values and error levels given in l.396 and 398 are affected by this simplification? This discrepancy should be mentioned here.

AR: Equation (5) and the presented values of friction (lines 385-390 in the revised manuscript) refer to Fig. 8, not to Fig. S8 (respectively to Fig. 7, not to Fig. S6, in the revised manuscript). Please see also the author response to line 362 above.

Section 3.4, line 404:

EC: "Equation (5)" instead of "Equation (6)"?

AR: We changed the number of the equation as suggested (line 395 in the revised manuscript).

Section 4, line 418:

EC: "We used..." and later: "...for ice-filled permafrost rock joints (Equation (6))..." Otherwise it becomes not clear whether you mean Eq. (6) or another criterion to be discussed in the reminder of the manuscript.

AR: We modified the sentence as suggested by the editor (lines 427-428 in the revised manuscript): *"We used these data to develop a new brittle failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints (Eq. (6)) which is based on Mohr-Coulomb and shows.."*

Section 4.1, line 444:

EC: Remove semicolon after the last reference

AR: Changed as suggested (line 453 in the revised manuscript)

Section 4.1, line 456:

EC: "...approximately 0.5 times higher than..."

AR: Actually, "..0.5 higher than.." was meant to be the absolute difference between $\mu = 0.9$ for granite and $\mu = 0.4$ for glass and brass. Thus, we changed the wording into:

"...approximately 3-4 times higher than..." (line 465 in the revised manuscript)

Section 4.1, line 461:

EC: "...is less important for the following reasons:"

AR: Changed as suggested (line 470 in the revised manuscript)

Section 4.2, line 492:

EC: "Here, we have developed a failure..."

AR: Changed as suggested (line 501 in the revised manuscript)

Section 4.2, line 524:

EC: Remove comma after "...ice-filled rock joints"

AR: Changed as suggested (line 533 in the revised manuscript)

List of all further relevant changes made in the manuscript:

- We changed the order of the research questions (Sect. 1) to adjust it to the order of the sections in the methods, results and discussion.
- Correspondingly, we shifted some sections in the methods, results and discussion to the following new structure:

2. Methodology

2.1 Real-world setting to constrain laboratory tests

2.2 Sample preparation

2.3 Experimental setup

2.4 Developing a temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints

2.5 AE monitoring

3 Results

3.1 Typical behaviour of shear stress, shear deformation and acoustic signals

3.2 Shear stress at failure and its temperature-dependence

3.3 Developing a temperature-controlled brittle failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints

3.4 Cohesion and friction for normal stresses between 100 and 800 kPa

3.5 Failure type and its dependence on temperature and normal stress

4 Discussion

4.1 Real-world conditions of permafrost rock slope destabilisation simulated by the new failure criterion

4.2 Validation of the new failure criterion

4.3 Cohesion and friction for normal stresses including 800 kPa

4.4 AE activity as an indication for correlated damage, potentially preconditioning failure

4.5 Failure types

This reorganisation was meant to lead to a better understanding of the manuscript and a more comfortable reading

- The component "shear strain ε (mm)" in Eq. (2) was exchanged by "shear displacement Δx (mm)" as shear strain describes the deformation in percent (lines 243-245 in the revised manuscript).
- We added a sentence in caption of Fig. 10 to point to the different time scales for B1/C1 and B2/C2 (lines 530-531 in the revised manuscript).
- We changed the colours in Fig. 11 to take people with weak eyesight of red and green colours into account.

A temperature- and stress-controlled failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints

Philipp Mamot[1], Samuel Weber[2], Tanja Schröder[1], Michael Krautblatter[1]

[1]Chair of Landslide Research, Technical University of Munich, 80333 Munich, Germany
5 [2]Department of Geography, University of Zurich, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Philipp Mamot (philipp.mamot@tum.de)

Abstract. Instability and failure of ~~high mountain~~ permafrost-affected rock slopes have significantly increased since the 1990s coincident to ~~warming~~ climatic warming~~in the last decades~~ and are expected to rise further. Most of the observed failures in permafrost-affected rock walls are likely triggered by the mechanical destabilisation of warming bedrock permafrost including
10 ~~effects in~~ ice-filled joints. The failure of ice-filled rock joints has only been observed in a small number of experiments, often using concrete as a rock analogue. Here, we present a systematic study of the brittle shear failure of ice and rock-ice interfaces, simulating the accelerating phase of rock slope failure. For this, we performed 141 shear~~ing~~ experiments with rock-ice-rock "sandwich" samples at constant strain rates $(10^{-3}$ s$^{-1})$ provoking ice fracturing ~~(10^{-3} s^{-1})~~, under normal ~~relevant~~ stress conditions ranging from 100 to 800 kPa, representing~~i.e.~~ 4–30 m of rock overburden, and at temperatures from -10 to -0.5 °C, typical for
15 recent observed rock slope failures in alpine permafrost. To create close to natural but reproducible conditions, limestone sample surfaces were ground to international rock mechanical standard roughness. Acoustic emission (AE) was successfully applied to describe the fracturing behaviour, anticipating rock-ice failure as all failures are predated by an AE hit increase with peaks immediately prior to failure. We demonstrate that both, the warming and unloading (i.e. reduced overburden) of ice-filled rock joints lead to a significant drop in shear resistance. With a temperature increase from -10 °C to -0.5 °C, the shear
20 stress at failure reduces by 64–78 % for normal stresses of 100–400 kPa. At a given temperature, the shear resistance of rock-ice interfaces decreases with decreasing normal stress. This can lead to a self-enforced rock slope failure propagation: as soon as a first slab has detached, further slabs become unstable through progressive thermal propagation and possibly even faster by unloading. Here, we introduce a new Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints that is valid for joint surfaces which we assume similar for all rock types, and which applies to temperatures from -8 to -0.5 °C and normal stresses from 100
25 to 400 kPa. It contains a temperature-dependent friction and cohesion which decrease by 12 % /°C^{-1} and 10 % /°C^{-1} respectively due to warming and it applies to temperature and stress conditions of more than 90 % of the recently documented accelerating failure phases in permafrost rock walls.

1 Introduction

Rock slope failures in high mountain areas potentially endanger human lives, settlements and alpine infrastructure. The impact

30   of the climate-induced degradation of mountain permafrost on rock slope destabilisation has been inferred from numerous studies in the last two decades (Fischer et al., 2006; Gruber et al., 2004; Gruber and Haeberli, 2007; Ravanel and Deline, 2015). The number of failure events has increased since the 1990s coincident to climatic warming; those ~~Rock slope failures~~ influenced by permafrost degradation are expected to respond to a warming climate by more frequent events (Gobiet et al., 2014; Huggel et al., 2012; Ravanel and Deline, 2011). The majority of failures in permafrost-affected rock frequently expose

35   ice-filled joints as potential shear and detachment planes (Dramis et al., 1995; Gruber and Haeberli, 2007; Ravanel et al., 2010), as for example~~e.g.,~~ the recent 3 ~~4.15~~ * 10⁶ m³ rock slope failure at Pizzo Cengalo, Graubünden, CH, on 23~~3~~ August 2017 (Baer et al., 2017; Beniston et al., 2018; pers. comm. with Phillips, 2018~~7~~). Fractures and fractured zones in mountain bedrock permafrost usually contain ice to depths of several tens of metres (Deline et al., 2015; Gruber and Haeberli, 2007). Ice fillings can contribute to systematically higher shear strengths due to rock-ice-interlocking and adhesion, and thus increase

40   rock slope stability especially when the ice is at a low temperature. This bonding of ice-filled joints is reduced or even lost as the temperature increases (Davies et al., 2001; Gruber and Haeberli, 2007).

Rock joints that are located within bedrock permafrost (ground that remains permanently frozen for at least two consecutive years; Harris et al., 1988) are referred to as "permafrost rock joints" in this paper. Permafrost conditions are ~~fulfilled~~ below the maximum ~~active layer~~ thaw depth which typically ranges between 2–8 m in ~~Alpine~~ alpine environments (Böckli et al.,

45   2011; Delaloye et al., 2016) and apply to ice-filled rock fractures, rock pores and microfractures (Gruber and Haeberli, 2007). In this manuscript, both, joints and fractures are used to substitute the more~~as~~ general term~~s for~~ "rock discontinuities".

To anticipate failure in a warming climate, we need to improve the understanding of how rock-ice mechanical components control rock slope destabilisation and failure between -10 and 0 °C. Generally, warming of permafrost in rock slopes affects the shear stress along sliding planes in terms of (i) ~~the~~ fracture toughness of rock bridges, (ii) ~~the~~ friction of rock-rock contacts,

50   (iii) ~~the~~ ductile creep behaviour of ice and (iv) ~~the~~ brittle failure of ice infillings (Krautblatter et al., 2013). Whereas the mechanics of frozen rock (~~Dwivedi et al., 2000;~~ Glamheden and Lindblom, 2002; Kodama et al., 2013; ~~Krautblatter et al., 2013;~~ Mellor, 1973), the mechanics of frozen rock joints without fillings (Dwivedi et al., 2000; Krautblatter et al., 2013) and the ductile temperature- and stress-dependent creep of ice and ice-rich soils (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Sanderson, 1988; Yamamoto and Springman, 2014) have been investigated in a number of studies, the brittle failure of ice infillings is still

55   poorly understood. We hypothesise that the brittle failure of ice infillings (i.e. either along rock-ice interfaces or inside the ice) is a common final failure mechanism, which is documented by numerous exposed ice-filled joint surfaces subsequent to failure. It is mechanically dependent on fast deformations (i.e. strain rates) and, thus, is likely to control the final failure of many recent events. This study aims at developing the first comprehensive temperature- and stress-dependent brittle failure criterion for ice-filled joints based on shear tests~~141 mechanical tests~~ on rock-ice-rock samples.

60   Fracturing of ice and rock-ice-contacts mainly occur~~s~~ below a rock overburden ≤ 20 m and become~~s~~ less important at greater depths, where rock mechanical components take over at greater stresses (Krautblatter et al., 2013). Here, higher confinement suppresses brittle failure and may favour creep deformation of ice (Renshaw and Schulson, 2001; Sanderson, 1988). Shear

tests on concrete-ice and concrete-ice-concrete "sandwich" samples under constant stress show that fracturing along rock-ice interfaces is the dominant failure process that occurs at a simulated rock overburden of 5–25 m, i.e. 135–630 kPa ~~(Günzel, 2008; Krautblatter et al., 2013)~~. Constant strain experiments show that with rising normal stress levels (from 207 to 562 kPa, i.e. approximately 8–21 m rock overburden), fracturing along rock-ice interfaces is replaced by creep deformation of the ice (Günzel, 2008; Krautblatter et al., 2013). In addition, higher normal stresses increasingly cause ice fillings to be squeezed away from "abutments" and preferentially lead to stress concentrations along rock-rock contacts (Krautblatter et al., 2013). Various inventories of rock slope failures in the Mont Blanc Massif show that virtually all of the failures displayed a plate-like shape with an area ranging from 25 m² to 33.800 m² (average of 1.570 m²) and scar depths of 1–20 m (average of 4 m) (Ravanel et al., 2010; Ravanel and Deline, 2008; Ravanel and Deline, 2011). Most of the events have been linked to the degradation of bedrock permafrost and ice-filled joints. 97 % of them had volumes $\leq 3 * 10^4$~~0.000~~ m³ which correspond to the relevant size of dominantly ice-fracturing-controlled rock slope failures (considering the approx. ≤ 20 m rock overburden and the average area of the reported failure planes). 94 % of the failures had volumes $\leq 2.3 * 10^4$~~3.000~~ m³ which also refer~~s~~ to mainly ice-fracturing-controlled rock slope failures (considering the tested 15 m rock overburden in this study and the average area of the documented failures), as will be shown in this paper. However, even larger volumes will be partially influenced by rock-ice fracturing phenomena.

Warming of permafrost in rock slopes reduces the shear resistance along rock joints in a chronological order by (i) reducing the fracture toughness of cohesive rock bridges, (ii) by lowering friction along rock-rock contacts, (iii) by altering the creep of ice infillings and (iv) finally reducing the fracture toughness of the ice itself and of rock-ice contacts (Krautblatter et al., 2013)~~.~~. Cyclic thermal expansion or contraction in the shallow bedrock occurs due to warming or cooling as a result of daily or seasonal variations in temperature. Variations of high frequency and magnitude can cause thermal gradients in the rock which leads to alterations in the stress field. This can induce thermal fatigue which, over long timescales, reduces the shear resistance along weakened discontinuities (Draebing et al., 2017; Gischig et al., 2011; Hall and Thorn, 2014; Jia et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2017).

When ice becomes mechanically stressed, it can deform by (i) elastic or ductile creep without fracture or by (ii) brittle or ductile-brittle fracture including crack formation and propagation (Sanderson, 1988). The deformation and failure behaviour of ice changes from ductile creep to brittle fracture with strain rates $> 10^{-4}$ and 10^{-3} s^{-1} (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Fellin, 2013; Sanderson, 1988; Schulson and Duval, 2009). Thus, the accelerating final failure along predefined slip surfaces is increasingly likely to be governed by the brittle failure of ice (Krautblatter et al., 2013). When the ice ceases to be able to bear a certain applied load, it fails and then brittle fracture occurs. In this case, the applied load at fracture can be described as "strength" (Sanderson, 1988), an analogue to the strength at fracture in rock mechanics. In this paper, we use the term "strength" when talking about ice-mechanical behaviour as we simulate accelerating final rock slope failure conditions with strain rates that provoke ice fracturing (10^{-3} s^{-1}).

The temperature-dependence of mechanical properties of ice has been studied in numerous publications up to now (Arakawa and Maeno, 1997; Barnes et al., 1971; Fish and Zaretzky, 1997; Gagnon and Gammon, 1995; Jones and Glen, 1968; Sanderson,

1988; Schulson and Duval, 2009). The unconfined compressive strength of polycrystalline ice decreases by 82 % (from approx. 17 to approx. 3 MPa) with increasing temperature from -50 to 0 °C <u>(at strain rates of 10^{-3} and 10^{-1} s^{-1};</u> ~~(~~Schulson and Duval, 2009). A warming of <u>artific</u>~~commerc~~ial ice from -10 to 0 °C results in a decrease in the unconfined compressive strength by 50 % (from ca. 4 to ca. 2 MPa) and in tensile strength by 13.3 % (from 1.5 to 1.3 MPa) (Butkovich, 1954b in Hobbs, 1974). ~~The fracture toughness of polycrystalline ice decreases by 27 % (from approx. 110 to approx. 80 kPa/m$^{-0.5}$) when warming from -50 to -2 °C (Schulson and Duval, 2009).~~ The behaviour of sediment-ice mixtures has been investigated in detail by Arenson and Springman (2005a). According to them, the strain rates of frozen soil increase with rising temperature and higher volumetric ice content. The peak shear strength of ice-rich soil (ice content > 60 %) decreases with increasing temperature from -5 to -1 °C.

Laboratory tests on the shear strength of ice-filled rock joints reveal a decreasing shear stress at failure with decreasing normal stress and increasing temperature towards 0 °C (Davies et al., 2000; Davies et al., 2001; Günzel, 2008; Krautblatter et al., 2013). These studies rely on approx. 40 constant stress and 50 constant strain shear experiments at temperatures from -5 to 0 °C, where the joints were composed of concrete and ice (Davies et al., 2000; Günzel, 2008). However, the applied strain rates in constant strain tests were too low to enforce fracturing of ice. Constant stress tests delivered significantly higher strain rates (Günzel, 2008; Krautblatter et al., 2013), but they are difficult to interpret in terms of fracturing initiation due to the rapidly changing strain rate conditions. In these tests, the shear strength of laboratory ice-filled rock joints consisting of concrete and ice <u>decreases by 144 kPa °C^{-1} between -0.5 and -5 °C (Krautblatter et al., 2013).</u> ~~could be approximated as~~

~~$$\tau_f = 144 \times T_c + \tau_0 \qquad (1)$$~~

~~$$\tau_0 = 0.42 \times \sigma' + 41.3 \qquad (2)$$~~

~~where σ' is the effective normal stress, T_C (°C) is the temperature of the ice at failure, τ_f is the shear stress at failure and τ_0 is the shear strength at 0 °C (Krautblatter et al., 2013). Equation (1) exhibits a shear strength decrease of 144 kPa/°C between -0.5 and -5 °C.~~ Experiments by Davies et al. (2000) demonstrate a reduction in shear strength by 16 or 18 % <u>°C^{-1}</u>~~/°C~~ (i.e. 124 or 69 kPa <u>/</u>°C<u>$^{-1}$</u> respectively depending on the normal stress level) due to warming from -5 to -0.5 °C. A similar relation is shown for sandwich samples composed of ice and polished steel plates whose shear strength decreases by 10 % <u>/</u>°C<u>$^{-1}$</u> (i.e. 113 kPa <u>°C^{-1}</u>~~/°C~~) with increasing temperature from -10 to -2 °C (Jellinek, 1959).

We measured acoustic emission (AE) in this study to monitor the strength reduction due to creep or brittle failure of ice and rock-ice contacts and to document the progressive evolution of damage. AE refers to the generation of transient elastic waves generated by a sudden release of energy in a material (Hardy, 2003). AE events can indicate damage increase, i.e. microcrack generation and coalescence, <u>initiation and propagation of fractures or shearing and failure along fractures</u> ~~or the shearing of existing fractures~~ (Cox and Meredith, 1993; Scholz, 1968~~;~~). AE technology has been used extensively on ~~the laboratory~~ rock sample<u>s</u> <u>in the laboratory</u>~~scale~~ (Lockner, 1993; Nechad et al., 2005<u>; Yamamoto and Springman, 2014</u>). Scaling properties of

130   fracturing dynamics in the domains of size, space and time have usually been observed during mechanical loading (Alava et al., 2006). In the time domain, the seismic events induced by damage processes display a power-law distribution

$$N(s) \sim s^{-b} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (1)$$

135   where N is the probability distribution function of the event size estimation s (e.g. the maximum amplitude of the AE signal or its energy) and b is a constant (Amitrano et al., 2012). The exponent b, originally defined in seismology, describes the slope of the magnitude distribution and provides information on the states of fractures (Scholz, 1968). Larger b exponents indicate the more predominant occurrence of microscopic fractures, while smaller b exponents indicate the occurrence of macro-fractures. An improved b exponent approach, defining the number of AE either by accumulation from the starting data or per

140   time unit, enables the application in the acoustic (Shiotani et al., 1994) with an appropriate number of AE data between 50 and 100 (Shiotani et al., 2001).

In this study, we performed 141 shear tests, using limestone-ice-limestone samples with realistic ISRM (International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering) rock surface roughness and predefined strain rates provoking fracturing. The onset of fracturing was controlled using the AE technique. To simulate the real-world fracturing of ice and rock-ice-contacts along

145   discontinuities in alpine permafrost rock slopes, our tests were performed in a temperature-controlled, cooled laboratory shear box with samples collected in the field. Our experiments focus on the impact of temperature and normal stress on the fracturing behaviour of ice filled rock joints. Temperatures between -10 and -0.5 °C were tested to represent recently observed daily and annual mean temperatures of mountain bedrock permafrost (Böckli et al., 2011; Delaloye et al., 2016). Normal stresses of 100, 200 and 400 kPa (corresponding to rock overburdens of approximately. 4, 8 and 15 m or more) were chosen to reconstruct

150   relevant stress conditions for ice- and rock-ice-fracturing processes in permafrost rock joints mostly below the annual maximum thaw active layer thawdepth. Additional tests at 800 kPa (i.e. 30 m rock overburden) were performed to study a potential stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep at stress levels above 400 kPa (Krautblatter et al., 2013; Sanderson, 1988).

So far the failure of ice-filled permafrost rock joints has been studied using concrete as a rock analogue (Davies et al., 2000;

155   Günzel, 2008). For the first time, we use rock to closely reproduce real conditions along rock joints. Synthetic materials possibly deviate from shear strength values representative for rock joints in the field. For instance, ice sliding on granite shows a friction coefficient μ approx. 0.5 higher than ice sliding on glass or metals, all having a similar surface asperity roughness. The higher friction of the granite-ice interfaces is due to a higher effective adhesion (Barnes et al., 1971). To use rock instead of other materials probably has a greater effect on the shear strength than different rock types.We assume that the shear strength

160   of rock-ice interfaces is mostly affected by temperature, normal stress and joint surface roughness while the rock type is less important. Further, the standardised preparation of a uniform joint surface roughness for all rock samples in this study prohibits any potential effect of differing rock types. Consequently, we use limestone as a representation for all rock types. The thermal conductivity of rocks varies in a small range of 0.5–7 W m^{-1} K^{-1} (Clauser and Huenges, 1993). As such, we do not expect any

This paper addresses the following questions:

1) How does the shear strength of ice-filled rock joints respond to permafrost warming (-10° C to -0.5° C) and sudden changes in rock/ice overburden (i.e. normal stress)?

2) Can we derive a comprehensive stress- and temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints?

3) Which real-world conditions of permafrost rock slope destabilisation can be simulated by the new failure criterion?

2) Can acoustic emission be applied to decipher precursors of breaking ice and rock-ice interfaces within fractures?

3)1) Can we derive a comprehensive stress- and temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints? Which real-world conditions of permafrost rock slope destabilisation can be simulated by the new failure criterion?

5)4)

2 Methodology

2.1 Real-world setting to constrain laboratory tests

The design of the presented laboratory test setup is inspired by a benchmark example of shallow, ice-supported rock instability prior to failure exemplified by an approx. 10^4 m³ large rockslide at the summit crestline (2885 m a.s.l.) of Germany´s highest peak, the Zugspitze (2962 m a.s.l.; Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b). Since 2007, the rock mass creeps slowly at an average of 3.75 mm /year^{-1}. From July to October it moves with more than five times faster than higher deformation rates in July to October in comparison to the remaining months.

(a)

Berlin.

Zugspitze

(b)

N

Zugspitze summit
(2960 m a.s.l.)

S

2900 m a.s.l.

~ 60 m

— Shear plane

▫▫▫ Topography of
summit crest at
shear zone

~ 90 m

(c)

2880 m asl

Cave structure
(thermo karst
hollow) exposes
main shear plane

310-320° NW

29°

Outcrop of
main shear plane

35°-45°

Cave structure

Cave structure
allows profile view
of shear zone with
ice filled joints

?

Proposed shear
plane dileneating a
mass of ~10^4 m^2

Outcrop of
main shear plane

?

0

2

10m

7

Figure 1: (a) The Zugspitze summit area with Germany's highest peak (2962 m a.s.l.). (b) Profile view of the Zugspitze summit crest with dimensions of the rockslide. The supposed shear plane is indicated by the red line. (c) Mechanical situation and geometry of the ice-supported rockslide that is used as a real-world exemplification of the simulated temperature and stress-controlled fracturing along rock-ice interfaces in the laboratory.

The ice-supported rockslide acts as a real-world exemplification of the simulated temperature and stress-controlled fracturing along rock-ice interfaces in our laboratory, and as such, it is a benchmark analogue to constrain temperature and stress conditions for the performed tests:

(i) (i) The depth of the main shear plane is assessed to a maximum of 10–15 m due to field mapping (Fig. 1c). The corresponding normal stresses on this shear plane (mostly ≤ 400 kPa) lie within the range of the tested stress levels (Fig. 1c).

(ii) The occurrence of permafrost is confirmed by permanent ice-filled caves and fractures along the main shear zone (Fig. 1c).

8

(iii) The Zugspitze summit area is located at the lower permafrost extension limit. Current borehole temperatures at the peak of the Zugspitze average -1.3 °C within the permafrost core area (approx. 24 m away from the south face and 21 m away from the north face) and approach minima of -6 °C at the margins (ca. 5 m away from the north face) (Böckli et al., 2011; Gallemann et al., 2017; Krautblatter et al., 2010; Noetzli et al., 2010). These temperatures lie well within the range of the tested temperature levels in this study.

(iv) A climatic warming in the last century and an even stronger temperature increase since the late 1980s can be observed at the Zugspitze, i.e. the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) in 1991–2007 was 0.8–1.1 °C warmer than in the three prior 30 year 20th century reference periods between 1901 and 1990 (Gallemann et al., 2017; Krautblatter et al., 2010). An ongoing warming may potentially cause the degradation of permafrost in the summit crest leading to an accelerated movement in the future and thus to the fracturing of ice and rock-ice contacts, among other processes.

2.2 Sample preparation

The tested rock samples were collected at the Zugspitze summit crest (Fig. 1a), the same site which acts as a real world exemplification of our laboratory tests. The rock samples consist of Triassic Wetterstein limestone, which builds up the upper part of the Zugspitze (Jerz and Poschinger, 1995). The limestone is fine-grained, dolomised, has a porosity of approximately 4.4 % and shows little heterogeneity in terms of its lithological properties (Krautblatter et al., 2010). Seven pairs of rock cylinders with a diameter of 148±1 mm and a height of 82±3 mm were prepared. Following the the ISRM (International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering) recommendations ISRM recommendations for standardised tests (Ulusay, 2015) and Coulson (1979, in Cruden and Hu, 1988) for standardised tests, , the roughness of the specimen's surfaces was produced using abrasive grinding powder with a grit of 80 grains per inch (FEPA, Federation of European Producers of Abrasives Standard) leading to a roughness amplitude equivalent to the mean diameter of the abrasive grains of 0.185 mm. Consequently, the rock surfaces are reproducible and close to the conditions of fractures in the field. The uniform joint surface roughness prohibits any potential effect of differing rock types on the shear strength results. Rock cylinders were saturated in a water baths in under atmospheric pressure for at least 72 h.

Figure 2: Sandwich sample before (a) and after (b) shearing.

225

The rock-ice-rock sandwich samples were produced by freezing two cylinders of Wetterstein limestone with a gap filled with tap water, using matchsticks as spacers. The samples were subjected to a number of pre-tests to guarantee uniform conditions. Pre-tests showed that simultaneous freezing of the interlocking rock surface and the ice surface is necessary to generate a firm contact. The gap was wrapped with tape and transparent film to prevent water draining~~flowing out~~ (Fig. 2a). The 3±1 mm-thin

230   ice layer resembles the thin nature of most encountered infillings and provokes fracturing, as thicker ice infill produces more creep camouflaging the fracturing behaviour. Our experiments (including the pre-tests) have shown that unavoidable thickness variations of ±1 mm yield no significant differences in fracture toughness (Fig. S1a). In other shear tests with constant stress~~experiments~~, the shear strength of sandwich samples with a 1 mm thick ice layer did also not differ significantly from the results of concrete-ice specimens representing a 25 mm thick ice infilling (Günzel, 2008). All samples were frozen for at

235   least 14 h at -14 °C to ensure that the ice layer was entirely frozen and firmly attached to the rock surfaces. During the freezing process, the shear planes and the gap for the ice layer were oriented vertically to make sure that no air bubbles could form in the ice. A hole was drilled into the lower rock cylinder (Fig. 2b) to monitor the rock temperature during the shear test with a 0.03 °C precision Pt100 sensor (Greisinger GMH 3750).

240

2.3 Experimental setup

After freezing, the sandwich specimens were fixed within the upper and lower ring of the RDS-100 direct rock shear machine from GCTS (Geotechnical Consulting & Testing Systems; Fig. 3a). The ice layer position then corresponded to the open gap between the upper and the lower shear ring (Fig. 3b). Consequently, failure within the ice layer or along the ice-rock-interface is provoked. During the whole test series, we did not change the constellation of specimen pairs and always placed them identically oriented into the shear frame to prevent effects of variations in the surfaces of the shear planes.

11

Figure 3: Experimental setup showing the laboratory shear machine, acoustic emission monitoring system, the cooling device and the cooling box. RS = rock sample. T = Rock temperature sensor.

~~During the whole test campaign, we did not change the constellation of specimen pairs and always placed them identically oriented into the shear frame to prevent effects of variations in the surfaces of the shear planes.~~ The machine is embedded in an isolated box in which temperature can be hold constantly at a specified level between -10 °C and -0.5 °C by a custom-designed FRYKA cooling device. The cooling operation is controlled by a Pt 100 sensor placed inside the rock sample with 0.1 °C accuracy (Fig. 3b). The experiments were performed at temperatures -0.5, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -8 and -10 °C. Ventilation prevent~~s~~ed thermal layering inside the cooling box. An AE monitoring system with two sensors wa~~i~~s fixed at the top of the upper specimen ring (Fig. 3b) to record the elastic waves generated during fracturing events. Due to the external hydraulic control, AE can be better recorded than in servo-controlled devices.

12

The hydraulically driven normal load and shear velocity ~~we~~are adjusted by the rock shear machine and were held at constant levels during the shear tests. Normal stress levels of 100, 200 and 400 kPa were applied for the whole temperature range. Tests at 800 kPa were performed to study a potential gradual stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep. This transition is observed with increasing confining pressure in triaxial tests (Sanderson, 1988) and with increasing normal stress in uniaxial shear tests (Günzel, 2008) and is expected under the similarly tested normal stress conditions above 400 kPa. High rock overburden (i.e. 800 kPa) was simulated for temperatures from -4 to -0.5 °C, representing most of the recently measured annual mean temperatures of alpine and Arctic bedrock permafrost (Delaloye et al., 2016; Gallemann et al., 2017; Harris et al., 2003). ~~Normal stress levels of 100, 200, 400 and 800 kPa were applied. Tests at 800 kPa were performed to study a potential gradual stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep at stress levels above 400 kPa. This transition is observed with increasing confining pressure in triaxial tests (Sanderson, 1988) and with increasing normal stress in uniaxial shear tests (Günzel, 2008) and is expected under the similarly tested normal stress conditions above 400 kPa.~~ In steep rock faces shear planes are rather inclined than horizontal. With increasing inclination of a shear plane at a certain depth, the normal stress acting on it will decrease and downhill forces will increase. Correspondingly, a shear plane with a normal stress of 200 kPa but a dip > 0 ° will also exist in depths greater than 4 m. The mean horizontal displacement rate was 0.7 ± 0.1 mm $/$min^{-1}, corresponding to a mean strain rate of 4.8~~0.7~~ ± 1~~0.4~~$2 * 10^{-3}$ s^{-1}. The minimum test strain rate was $1.58 * 10^{-3}$ s^{-1}, which combines the minimum accounted deformation speed (0.005 mm s^{-1}) and the maximum ice layer thickness of 4 mm. The shear rate was hereby calculated as the shear velocity (mm s^{-1}) divided by the height of the ice layer (mm). This strain rate guaranteed the dominant deformation mode to be ice fracturing instead of creep, as 10^{-3} s^{-1} is the strain rate threshold for ice fracturing~~provokes brittle failure inside the ice or along rock-ice contacts and corresponds to predefined sliding planes at the accelerating failure stage of rockslides~~ (Arenson and Springman, 2005a~~; Krautblatter et al., 2013~~; Sanderson, 1988). As the shear and compressive strength of pure and dirty ice increase with the strain rate (Arenson et al., 2007; Sanderson, 1988; Schulson and Duval, 2009; Yasufuku et al., 2003), variations in the shear rate were kept as low as possible (with ± 0.1 mm $/$min^{-1}) and had no measureable influence on the shear strength at failure (Fig. S1b). These conditions were applied to all tested stress and temperature conditions.

During the shear tests, normal load, shear load, normal deformation and shear deformation were recorded and used to calculate normal and shear stress considering the changing area of contact $A_{contact}$ (Fig. S2):

$$A_{contact} = 2 \left(r^2 \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\Delta x \varepsilon}{2r}\right) - \frac{\Delta x \varepsilon}{4}(4r^2 - \Delta x \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} \right) \tag{24}$$

with specimen radius r (mm) and shear displacement ~~strain~~ Δx~~ε~~ (mm).

Between one and six experiments were performed for each test condition characterised by a specific temperature and normal stress level (Table S1). The total number of tests was 141, significantly more than the cumulative number of the tests in all previously published studies on rock-ice failure.

295 ~~Normal stress levels of 100, 200 and 400 kPa were applied for the whole temperature range whereas 800 kPa was tested for temperatures from -4 to -0.5 °C.~~

~~**2.4 AE monitoring**~~

~~For measuring the acoustic activity, a two-channel high-frequency acquisition system composed of two USB Acoustic Emission Nodes (Mistras, Physical Acoustics) employing a single channel AE digital signal processor with 16-bit resolution~~
300 ~~and 10 V maximum amplitude, was used. The AE sensors were coupled to the specimen holder using silicone-free lubricating grease (Glisseal HV, Borer Chemie). The AE piezo-electrical sensors (PK6I) provided an operating frequency range of 40-70 kHz with a resonant frequency of 55 kHz. They included a pre-amplifier of 26 dB and were connected to the USB AE node with coaxial cables. The system was controlled by AEwin (Mistras, Physical Acoustics), a real-time data acquisition software. The system sampled continuously with 1 MSPS (mega samples per second), while events over a fix threshold of 70 dB were~~
305 ~~recorded. The signal characteristics (timestamp, rise-time, amplitude, pulse-count, energy and length) was parameterised according to (Girard et al., 2012, Fig. 1).~~

2.5 Developing a temperature-dependent failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints ~~Uncertainty analysis of shear strength at failure, cohesion and friction~~

To develop a shear strength description of ice-filled permafrost rock joints we used the linear and stress-dependent Mohr-
310 Coulomb failure criterion (a combination of Coulomb (1773) and Mohr (1900); a discussion of its limitations is given in Jaeger et al. (2007))

$$\tau = \sigma * \tan(\varphi) + c \hspace{6cm} (3)$$

315 which represents the shear stress at failure τ as a function of the normal stress σ, the cohesion c and the friction angle φ. The Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion has not only been suggested for rock mechanics but also for ice mechanics (Arenson and Springman, 2005b; Sanderson, 1988). Using this failure criterion is essential to include ice mechanics and rock mechanics in the same model.
The linear envelopes for the friction and the cohesion (as functions of the temperature) were quantified based on the shear
320 stress at failure dependent on normal stress. The cohesion values were derived by taking the shear stress values at the intercepts of the regression lines with the abscissas for each tested temperature level. The friction values were determined by taking the slope values from the linear regression functions which correspond to the coefficient of friction μ. Values for the friction angle were derived by calculating the respective arctangents of μ. The cohesion and the friction of ice-filled rock joints as a function of temperature were calculated by linear fitting ~~The linear fitting~~ which is estimated by a linear regression model using the
325 LinearModel class of MATLAB (Version R2017a). The predictor and response variable are arithmetic means, while only the predictor variable is weighted with the reciprocal value of its variance. Beside the correlation coefficient (r) and the coefficient

15

of determination (R^2), the MATLAB function returns the regression parameters "intercept" and "slope" with its standard error (MathWorks, 2017).

The equations for the cohesion and the friction were combined to a new shear strength description for ice-filled rock joints based on Mohr-Coulomb. A validation test was performed at the end comparing the measured peak shear stresses with the model and its uncertainties at each tested temperature level.

2.54 AE monitoring

For measuring the acoustic activity, a two-channel high-frequency acquisition system composed of two USB Acoustic Emission Nodes (Mistras, Physical Acoustics) employing a single channel AE digital signal processor with 16-bit resolution and 10 V maximum amplitude, was used. The AE sensors were coupled to the specimen holder using silicone-free lubricating grease (Glisseal HV, Borer Chemie). The AE piezo-electrical sensors (PK6I) provided an operating frequency range of 40–70 kHz with a resonant frequency of 55 kHz. They included a pre-amplifier of 26 dB and were connected to the USB AE node with coaxial cables. The system was controlled by AEwin (Mistras, Physical Acoustics), a real-time data acquisition software. The system sampled continuously with 1 MSPS (mega samples per second), while events over a fix threshold of 70 dB were recorded. The signal characteristics (timestamp, rise time, amplitude, pulse count, energy and length) was parameterised according to (Girard et al., 2012, Fig. 1).

3 Results

3.1 Typical behaviour of shear stress, shear deformation and acoustic signals

A representative time series for shear stress, shear deformation and AE activity is shown in Fig. 4. A selection of additional time series is displayed in Fig. S3.

16

Figure 4: Typical curves of shear stress at failure, shear straindeformation and acoustic activity for a shear test at T = -3 °C and normal stress σ = 200 kPa. I-V represent the typical stages of each experiment: Consolidation (I), sample fitting to shear rings (II), pre-peak shear deformation (III), shear failure (IV) and post-peak shear strength (V). Rupture is clearly detectable by the strong decrease in shear stress. An increase in the evolution of the cumulative AE hits can be observed before rupture.

In Stage I, the experiment starts with a consolidation of approximately 5–6five minutes applying the specified normal load. After initial AE activity during initial consolidation (starting after 2–3 minutes, Fig. 4), the AE hit rate decreases again to

17

almost zero (after five minutes, Fig. 4) before entering Stage II. Shearing starts, the shear rings gain tight fit to the sample and then start to apply the stress. In Stage III, shear stress increases without significant changes in AE hit rate corresponding to elastic and ductile ice deformation. In Stage IV, a pronounced peak shear stress is observed in all experiments after a few

360 minutes coinciding to a pronounced rise in the AE hit rate that indicates brittle failuredeformation. Correspondingly, the ice infill between the rock cylinders fails and shear deformation reaches one of its maximum values. The moment of failure can also be identified by a clearly audible cracking. In Stage V, just after failure, the shear stress suddenly drops to a minimum, then rises again and quickly reaches a plateau of residual shear stress. In a few experiments (such as in Fig. 4), the post-failure shear stress exhibits several small peaks, which are often accompanied by a minorn increase in AE hits, and audible cracking

365 and a pronounced rise in shear strain. The peaks in shear stress may refer to healed ice that breaks when stresses exceed a certain threshold. Further, more than 90 % of the samples with observed post-failure-peaks also failed within the ice or both in the ice and along the rock-ice interface. Hence, these peaks may also be caused by ice-ice interlocking leading to the observed decrease in shear strain (Fig. 4). Subsequent failure occurs when the shear stresses overcome the ice strength. (ice healing).

370

18

Figure 5: Time series of (a) cumulative AE hits and (c) normalised cumulative AE hits with 400 kPa normal stress and at -4° C. Time zero corresponds to the shear start of the experiments (spec1-4). Curves starting at x > 0 represent tests where first AE signals were recorded a certain time after shear start. T~~Further, t~~he temperature-dependent time between onset of AE increase and rupture is displayed in seconds (b) and normalised (d). The black lines indicate the overall mean while the dashed lines indicate the standard deviation range. Tests at 800 kPa were not considered in (b) and (d) because they were only conducted at temperatures between -0.5 and -4 °C.

The number of AE hits usually increases very suddenly and sharply just before ~~rupture~~ failure (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5c). The average offset between the onset of AE hit increase and fracturing (i.e. Stage IV) is 107 ± 98 sec (Fig. 5b). In all the experiments, the onset of AE hit increase occurs when 65 ± 31 % (mean value with standard deviation) of the time between shear start and failure has passed (Fig. 5d). However, in some experiments at temperatures between -4 and -0.5 °C, the AE hit increase starts even earlier than within the standard deviation of 31 % (outliers < 34 %). The lag in absolute and relative time shows a weak correlation to temperature, though it is not dependent on normal stress.

To analyse the scaling properties of the AE energy, we calculated the distribution for each experiment condition, combining the data of all experiments with the same condition to enlarge the number of events. The probability density functions (PDFs) of event energy show a power-law behaviour spanning 3–5 orders of magnitude (Fig. S4). The exponent b is between 1.6 and 1.9 for the different conditions, but it does not show any relation to temperature or normal load. As a result, it does not indicate any stress- or temperature dependence of the size of fracturing events.

3.23 Shear stress at failure and its temperature-dependence

The shear stress at failure decreases with increasing temperature aAt all tested classes of normal stress levels(100–800 kPa) the shear stress at failure decreases with increasing temperature (Fig. S56). Table 1 shows tThe decrease of shear stress at failure with increasing temperaturehen warming is shown in Table 1 for each tested normal stress condition. The calculated total decrease at stresses 100–400 kPa ranges between 63.5 and 78.1 % and refers to a warming from -10 to -0.5 °C. The

20

maximum decrease at 800 kPa measures 60.1 % and refers to temperatures from -4 to -0.5 °C. ~~The coefficients of~~
415 ~~determination R² range from 0.44 to 0.75.~~

Table 1

3.~~3~~4 Developing a temperature-controlled brittle failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints

420 Shear stress at failure versus normal stress was plotted for all temperature levels ~~s from -10 to -0.5 °C~~ and normal stresses from
100 to 400 kPa (blue regression lines; Fig. 6) ~~(Fig. 7a)~~ and additionally for temperatures from -4 to -0.5 °C and normal stresses
from 100 to 800 kPa (orange regression lines; ~~(~~Fig. 6~~7~~b). Here, the peak shear stresses generally increase with increasing
normal stresses at all tested temperatures.

425 ~~Tests at 800 kPa were performed to study a potential stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep at stress levels~~
~~above 400 kPa. For this purpose, a smaller temperature range from -4 to -0.5 °C was tested representing most of the recently~~
~~measured annual mean temperatures of Alpine and Arctic bedrock permafrost (Delaloye et al., 2016; Gallemann et al., 2017;~~
~~Harris et al., 2003). In Fig. 7, the peak shear stresses generally increase with increasing normal stresses at all tested~~
~~temperatures. The low values of R² are partially an effect of the tests clustering around the four predefined stress levels.~~

430

Figure 67: Measured ~~peak~~ shear stress at failure as a function of normal stress for temperatures between -10 and -0.5 °C. The temperature values are ~~corrected by~~ the means (including standard deviation) of the measured rock sample temperatures (close to the moment of failure) of all experiments belonging to a specific ~~the respective~~ temperature level. ~~(a)~~ Normal stress levels of 100, 200 and 400 kPa were applied for the whole temperature range ~~(blue circles)~~. ~~(b)~~ 800 kPa was additionally tested between -4 and -0.5 °C ~~(orange circles)~~. Blue solid lines = ~~The~~ calculated regression lines for normal stresses 100-400 kPa. Orange dashed lines = calculated regression lines for 100-800 kPa. ~~were used to derive temperature-specific cohesion and friction values.~~ p = Probability of rejecting the null hypothesis (H₀) although it is true.

23

445 ~~To develop a shear strength description of ice-filled permafrost rock joints we used the linear and stress-dependent Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion~~

~~τ = σ´ * tan(φ) + c~~　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　~~(5)~~

~~which represents the shear stress at failure τ as a function of the effective normal stress σ´, the cohesion c and the friction angle~~
450 ~~φ. W~~For the cohesion, we utilised Fig. 6~~7~~ to <u>calculate the linear envelopes of cohesion and friction as functions of the temperature (Sect. 2.4).</u> ~~derive the shear stress values at the intercepts of the regression lines with the abscissas for each temperature level. To determine the friction, we took the slope values from the linear regression functions which correspond to the coefficient of friction μ. Values for the friction angle were derived by calculating the respective arctangents of μ.~~

A temperature-dependent cohesion and friction for all test temperatures is displayed in Fig. S8~~7~~. Here, R^2-values range between
455 0.61–0.92 for the cohesion and between 0.12–0.40 for the coefficient of friction. P-values measure $\leq$ 0~~–~~12 % for the cohesion and 7–57 % for the coefficient of friction. The ranges depend on the included stress levels and the temperature range tested. Due to these high uncertainties, we used only specific temperature levels with a statistical significance level of $p \leq 5$ % for the elaboration of the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion. The p-values had been calculated earlier for the relation between peak shear stress and normal stress at the tested temperature levels (Fig. 6~~7~~). The temperature levels with p-values > 5 % were excluded
460 from further steps of the model development, as the corresponding peak shear stresses are considered to be not significantly dependent on the normal stress. Further, only the shear experiments from 100 to 400 kPa were utilised for the development of the failure criterion, as they cover the whole range of tested temperatures. This leads to a greater valid temperature range for the model and to a more robust correlation between cohesion or friction and temperature.

Cohesion and coefficient of friction across temperature for significant test temperature levels

(a)

σ = 100-400 kPa

$c = 49.4\pm39.1 - 83.5\pm32.9 * T$
$R^2=0.87$ $p=0.24$

$R^2=0.96$ $p<0.01$
$c = 53.3\pm20.6 - 73.5\pm8.7 * T$

$\tan(\phi) = 0.28\pm0.08 - 0.38\pm0.07 * T$
$R^2=0.97$ $p=0.11$

$R^2=0.78$ $p=0.05$
$\tan(\phi) = 0.42\pm0.15 - 0.21\pm0.06 * T$

(b)

σ = 100-800 kPa

$c = 104.5\pm59.7 - 143.8\pm42.5 * T$
$R^2=0.85$ $p=0.08$

$\tan(\phi) = 0.19\pm0.02 - 0.10\pm0.02 * T$
$R^2=0.95$ $p=0.02$

465

Cohesion and coefficient of friction across temperature for significant test temperature levels

(a)

σ = 100-400 kPa

$c = 49.4\pm39.1 - 83.5\pm32.9 * T$
$R^2=0.87$ $p=0.24$

$R^2=0.96$ $p<0.01$
$c = 53.3\pm20.6 - 73.5\pm8.7 * T$

$\tan(\phi) = 0.28\pm0.08 - 0.38\pm0.07 * T$
$R^2=0.97$ $p=0.11$

$R^2=0.78$ $p=0.05$
$\tan(\phi) = 0.42\pm0.15 - 0.21\pm0.06 * T$

(b)

σ = 100-800 kPa

$c = 104.5\pm59.7 - 143.8\pm42.5 * T$
$R^2=0.85$ $p=0.08$

$\tan(\phi) = 0.19\pm0.02 - 0.10\pm0.02 * T$
$R^2=0.95$ $p=0.02$

25

Fig. 78 shows the temperature-dependent cohesion and friction of ice-filled rock joints for temperature levels with p ≤ 5 % (Fig. 67). Here, the temperature-dependent loss of cohesion of ice-filled rock joints is described by

$$c\,[\text{kPa}] = 53.3 - 73.5 * T \tag{46}$$

where T (°C) is the temperature of the ice-filled joint at failure, valid for temperatures from -8±0.1 °C to -0.5±0.1 °C and normal stresses from 100 to 400 kPa (Fig. 78a).

Table 2

When approaching the melting point, the cohesion decreases by 86 % from -8 °C to -0.5 °C (Table 2). Equation (46) exhibits a decrease in cohesion of 74 kPa /°C⁻¹ due to warming, which refers to a reduction by 12 % °C⁻¹/°C. The temperature-dependent friction coefficient can be expressed by

$$\mu = 0.42 - 0.21 * T \tag{57}$$

where T (°C) is the temperature of the ice-filled fracture at failure, valid for temperatures between -8±0.1 °C to -0.5±0.1 °C and normal stresses from 100 to 400 kPa (Fig. 78a). The coefficient of friction falls by 75 % with increasing temperature from -8 °C to -0.5 °C (Table 2). The corresponding friction angle decreases by 60 % (64.5–27.7°). This equation shows a warming-dependent loss of friction of 0.21 /°C⁻¹ corresponding to a reduction by 10 % /°C⁻¹.

We did not perform tests at temperatures warmer than -0.5 °C because we assume the ice to melt or be squeezed out of the rock cylinders which leads to shearing along rock-rock contacts. Cohesion will be absent at the melting point and shear strength values will rise. This is shown by the tests of Davies et al. (2001) where the shear strengths of ice-concrete samples closely approach the concrete-concrete sample line.

Combining Eq. (46) and (57) in a Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Eq. (35)), we can describe a temperature- and normal stress-dependent shear stress at failure τ (kPa) for ice-filled rock joints

26

$$\tau \, [\text{kPa}] = \sigma' * (0.42 \pm 0.15 - 0.21 \pm 0.06 * T) + (53.3 \pm 20.6 - 73.5 \pm 8.8 * T)$$

$$(68)$$

where the friction angle is the arctangent of μ while both friction $(0.42 - 0.21 * T)$ and cohesion $(53.3 - 73.5 * T)$ respond to a temperature increase. This formula is valid for normal stresses between 100 to 400 kPa and temperatures between -8±0.1 °C to -0.5±0.1 °C.

3.45 Cohesion and friction for normal stresses between 100 and 800 kPa

When comparing the loss of friction and cohesion for normal stresses 100–400 kPa with the loss for 100–800 kPa, both in the same temperature range of -4 and -0.5 °C, the following can be observed (Fig. 78, Table 2): (i) The absolute reduction in cohesion is more pronounced for tests including all normal stress levels. (ii) The absolute decrease in friction is stronger for tests excluding 800 kPa. (iii) Percentage decreases are nearly the same for both groups of tests.

3.5 Failure type and its dependence on temperature and normal stress

Three types of failure could be observed during the shearing tests: i) Fracture along the rock-ice interfaces (Fig. S7a), ii) fracture within the ice layer and iii) a composite fracture type of i) and ii) (Fig. S7b). In the first type, the entire ice infill stuck to either the upper or the lower rock cylinder, whereas in the second case the rock surfaces were unaffected by failure. The last fracture type refers to specimens whose ice layer broke transversely and was separated so that the rear portion remained at the lower cylinder and the front part stuck to the upper one.


Figure 8: Proportions and absolute numbers of fracture types plotted at temperatures from -10 °C to -0.5 °C (for tests at 100–800 kPa). Failures inside the ice dominate at low temperatures whereas failure along rock-ice interfaces become more important close to 0 °C.

27

With temperatures rising from -8 to -0.5 °C, the percentage of tests with fracture along the rock-ice interface increase from 8 to 100 % (Fig. 8). At -0.5 °C it constitutes the only failure type. However, the lower number of tests at this temperature level has to be considered. Vice versa, the proportion of fracturing within the ice infillings increases with decreasing temperature from 6 % at -1 °C to 79 % at -10 °C. At -8 and -10 °C, it is the dominating failure type. The composite failure type shows no clear trend, either with decreasing or with increasing temperature. A clear relationship between failure type and normal stress could not be identified (Fig. S8).

4 Discussion

Our experimental results generally show decreasing shear strength of ice-filled rock joints with increasing temperature and decreasing normal stress. We used these data to develop a new brittle failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints (Eq. (6)) which is based on Mohr-Coulomb and shows that both, the cohesion and the friction angle, are temperature-dependent and decrease with increasing temperature ($R^2 = 0.96$ for the cohesion and $R^2 = 0.78$ for the friction). Similar tendencies have been indicated by previous studies of the shear strength of ice-filled rock joints (Davies et al., 2000; Davies et al., 2001; Günzel, 2008; Krautblatter et al., 2013). However, all of these studies were performed with a much smaller number of experiments and with concrete as rock analogue; further, their results have not yet been combined to a comprehensive failure criterion.

4.1 Real-world conditions of permafrost rock slope destabilisation simulated by the new failure criterion

The experiments presented apply to real-world rock-ice fracturing in rock slope failures (i) with ice-filled failure planes in a depth of 4 to 15 m, i.e. mainly below the active layer and shallower than the ice fracturing suppression in favour of creep deformation of ice, (ii) with virtually all realistic aAlpine and Arctic permafrost bedrock temperatures between -0.5 °C and -10 °C and (iii) with fast displacements (0.7 ± 0.1 mm /min^{-1}) coinciding with the final accelerating failure stage. Rock-ice fracturing certainly dominates rock failure volumes of $\leq 2.3 * 10.000^4$ m^3 where all ice-filled failure planes are ≤ 15 m deep (Sect. 1), but it might also play an important role for larger failures, where just a certain proportion of ice-filled failure planes is ≤ 15 m deep.

If we leave the range of considered boundary conditions in terms of (i) higher normal stresses, (ii) lower temperatures or (iii) lower strain rates, fracturing along ice-filled permafrost rock joints will presumably not occur: (i) A potential gradual stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep is expected at the tested normal stresses above 400 kPa. In our experiments, all sandwich shear samples, including those at 800 kPa normal stress, only failed by fracturing, presumably due to the elevated strain rate of 10^{-3} s^{-1} leading to fracture. It appears that the transition from shear fracturing to creep is not only stress-dependent but also strain-rate-dependent within a certain transition level of normal stress (Sanderson, 1988). Other reasons for the

unexceptional fracture-dominated failures are that the simulated rock overburden of 30 m may be still within the transition

555 depth and seems to favour fracturing at elevated strain rates. (ii) Tests at temperatures below -10 °C were not performed because measured daily and annual mean temperatures at various alpine and Arctic permafrost bedrock sites do not drop below -10 °C at depth (Böckli et al., 2011; Delaloye et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2003). (iii) The samples were sheared with high strain rates of 10^{-3} s^{-1} to provoke brittle failure of ice and rock-ice contacts. At lower strain rates, stress concentrations along the shear zone can be relaxed and the mechanical behaviour changes to ductile creep deformation without fracturing (Arenson and

560 Springman, 2005a; Arenson et al., 2007; Krautblatter et al., 2013; Renshaw and Schulson, 2001; Sanderson, 1988). As we assume that the shear strength along ice-filled rock joints is independent of the rock type, the tests presented simulate rock-ice fracturing along joints of all rock types. Anyhow, a potential shear strength dependence on different rock types has to be tested in additional experiments.

Our experiments simulate the final accelerating stage of rock slope failure where the structure of the ice infillings is deformed

565 by intense shear displacement. The ice infill at the start of the shear tests reproduces an ice-filled joint that has already been loaded and deformed by uniaxial compression. This is somehow similar to polycrystalline ice in natural fractures as ice has a high capacity to perform self-healing and thus deletes previous deformation-induced imperfections. At strain relaxation, the ice-bonding heals itself within hours and days due to refreezing and causes a strengthening of the sample (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Sanderson, 1988); thus a sample with a pre-set normal stress is similar to an ice-filled fracture under similar

570 conditions irrespective of the deformation history. In this study, the bonding of the rock-ice interface is mostly established by adhesion whereas rock-ice interlocking is less important due to the small surface roughness of the rock samples.

So far the failure of ice-filled permafrost rock joints has been studied using concrete as a rock analogue (Davies et al., 2000; Günzel, 2008). For the first time, we use rock to closely reproduce real conditions along rock joints. Synthetic materials possibly deviate from shear strength values representative for rock joints in the field. For instance, ice sliding on granite shows

575 a friction coefficient μ approximately 3–4 times higher than ice sliding on glass or metals, all having a similar surface asperity roughness. The higher friction of the granite-ice interfaces is due to a higher effective adhesion (Barnes et al., 1971). We assume that the shear strength of rock-ice interfaces is mostly affected by temperature, normal stress, strain rate and joint surface roughness. However, the applied constant strain rate as well as the standardised preparation of a uniform joint surface roughness prohibit any potential effects on the shear strength. We postulate that the influence of the rock type on the shear

580 strength is less important for the following reasons:

(i) The thermal conductivity of the rock may affect the shear strength by facilitated melting along heat-insulating surfaces causing a decrease in friction (Barnes et al., 1971). The thermal conductivity of rocks varies in a range of 0.3–5.4 W m^{-1} K^{-1} (Clauser and Huenges, 1993; Schön, 2015). A metal like brass, with a much higher thermal conductivity of 100 W m^{-1} K^{-1}, would lead to a warming at the interface 14.5 °C lower than granite (Barnes et al., 1971). Due to the relatively small range of

585 thermal conductivities for different rock types we do not expect a rock type-dependent effect on the shear strength.

(ii) The porosity of the rock and the type of constitutive minerals may play a role for the growth of ice crystals along the rock-ice interface which in turn affects the shear strength. The strain rate and the compressive strength of ice crystals are significantly

higher for those oriented parallel to the applied stress than for those oriented randomly (Hobbs, 1974; Paterson, 1994). However, we assume any potential rock type-dependent orientations of ice crystals to be deleted before shearing starts due to the applied uniaxial compression during initial consolidation.

(iii) The strength of the constitutive minerals of the rock surfaces may control the friction of the rock-ice contact. However, we assume the strength of minerals to play a minor role for the shear strength, because at the rock-ice interface the ice will fail before the rock material and ice strength will control the failure process. In our tests we could not observe particles breaking off the rock surfaces. Elastic moduli of most rock minerals (bulk modulus k: 17–176 GPa; shear modulus μ: 9–95 GPa) are 2–20 times higher than of ice (k: 8.9 GPa; μ: 3.5 GPa) (Schulson and Duval, 2009; Schön, 2015). The small applied roughness of the shear surfaces additionally prevented any relevant impact of differing mineral strengths.

(iv) Rock minerals heat up differently and can generate thermal stresses (Gómez-Heras et al., 2006) in the intact rock and along discontinuities causing thermal fatigue and a reduction in the shear resistance (Dräbing et al., 2017). However, we do not expect an impact on the shear strength as repetitive temperature cycles with high magnitude and frequency are required for thermal stress fatigue (Hall and Thorn, 2014). During our tests, temperatures were kept constant.

Thus, the tests using limestone represent rock-ice fracturing along joints of all rock types. To use rock instead of other materials probably has a greater effect on the shear strength than different rock types. Still, a potential shear strength dependence on different rock types has to be proven in additional experiments. Further, i

If we leave the range of considered boundary conditions in terms of (i) lower temperatures, (ii) lower strain rates or (iii) higher normal stresses, fracturing along ice-filled permafrost rock joints will presumably not occur: (i) Tests at temperatures below -10 °C were not performed because measured daily and annual mean temperatures at various Alpine and Arctic permafrost bedrock sites do not drop below -10 °C at depth (Böckli et al., 2011; Delaloye et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2003). (ii) The samples were sheared with high strain rates of 10^{-3}·s^{-1} to provoke brittle failure of ice and rock-ice contacts. At lower strain rates, stress concentrations along the shear zone can be relaxed and the mechanical behaviour changes to ductile creep deformation without fracturing (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Arenson et al., 2007; Krautblatter et al., 2013; Renshaw and Schulson, 2001; Sanderson, 1988;). (iii) A potential gradual stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep, which is observed with increasing confining pressure in triaxial tests (Sanderson, 1988) and with increasing normal stress in uniaxial shear tests (Günzel, 2008), is expected under the similarly tested normal stress conditions above 400 kPa. In our experiments, all sandwich shear samples, including those at 800 kPa normal stress, only failed by fracturing, presumably due to the elevated strain rate of 10^{-3}·s^{-1} leading to fracture. It appears that the transition from shear fracturing to creep is not only stress-dependent but also strain-rate dependent within a certain transition level of normal stress (Sanderson, 1988). Other reasons for the unexceptional fracture-dominated failures are that the simulated rock overburden of 30 m may be still within the transition depth and seems to favour fracturing at elevated strain rates. It is certainly interesting to systematically analyse in other studies the strain-rate dependent brittle fracture-creep transition beyond 800 kPa normal stress or the influence of the joint surface roughness on the shear strength and the failure behaviour in detail in an additional study, but this is beyond the scope of this study.

~~The joint surface roughness and ice layer thickness may be varied in further studies to assess their influence on the shear strength of ice-filled rock joints and the failure behaviour.~~

4.2 Validation of the new failure criterion ~~for temperature- and stress-dependent fracturing along ice-filled rock joints~~

The new derived failure criterion (Eq. (~~6~~8)) describes the shear strength of ice-filled fractures in all types of rock and combines

625   a temperature-dependent cohesion and friction angle. A temperature-dependence of both, the cohesion and friction angle, has not been demonstrated yet, but for pure ice (Fish and Zaretzky, 1997). However, other publications have either postulated a temperature-dependence of the cohesion of ice-rich soils (Arenson and Springman, 2005b; Arenson et al., 2007) or a temperature-dependence of the friction coefficient of granite-ice interfaces (Barnes et al., 1971). Here, we have developed a failure criterion for rock-ice interfaces that contains both a temperature-dependent coefficient of friction and an even stronger

630   temperature-dependent cohesion.

~~Figure 9 depicts the new Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Eq. (8)) with the range of uncertainty for the different temperature levels tested and corrected by their true means (including standard deviation). The means of the measured peak shear stress values, represented by the intersections of the error bars of normal stress and peak shear stress, mostly correlate well with the~~

635   ~~calculated failure criterion and the respective error ranges. Statistical dispersion measures of the measured peak shear stress values around the failure criterion are shown in Table S2. Best accordance is achieved for the shear stress means used for model calibration (correspond to significant temperature levels with p-values ≤ 5 %). The mean absolute deviation (MAD) and coefficient of variation (CV) range between 40-146 kPa and 8.8-24.6 % respectively. The experiments at temperatures -1, -3, -6 and -10 °C (with p-values > 5 %), which had been excluded from the elaboration of the failure criterion, were used for~~

640   ~~validation. Their shear stress means show higher deviations from the failure criterion, i.e. the MAD and the CV range from 98 to 252 kPa and from 15 to 43.5 % respectively. For normal stresses 100-400 kPa, the model seems to show a robust fit even with values not included in the initial model development data set. The means of peak shear stress at 800 kPa mostly lie within the calculated error margins, but at their lower boundaries. This demonstrates the mechanical parameters controlling the failure behaviour start to change at higher normal stresses (800 kPa) and temperatures close to the melting point.~~

645

31

$$\tau\,[\text{kPa}] = \sigma \cdot (0.42 \pm 0.15 - 0.21 \pm 0.06 \cdot T) + (53.3 \pm 20.57 - 73.49 \pm 8.75 \cdot T)$$

Figure 9: Validation test for the new Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion for ice-filled rock joints (Eq. (6~~8~~)). The black and grey crosses represent means and standard deviations of normal stress and peak shear stress, grouped by the tested normal stress classes 100, 200, 400 and 800 kPa. C = Calibration temperature level that was used for the model as $p \leq 5\,\%$ (black crosses). V = Validation temperature level that was excluded from the elaboration of the failure criterion because $p > 5\,\%$ (grey crosses). As tests at 800 kPa were excluded from the development of the failure criterion, they also serve as validation (grey crosses). Solid blue lines and dark blue areas represent the calculated failure criterion and respective error ranges within the valid normal stress range. Dotted blue lines and light blue areas are extrapolations of the failure criterion and error margins beyond the valid normal stress range.

Figure 9 depicts the new Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Eq. (6)) with the range of uncertainty for the different temperature levels tested and corrected by their true means (including standard deviation). The means of the measured peak shear stress values, represented by the intersections of the error bars of normal stress and peak shear stress, mostly correlate well with the calculated failure criterion and the respective error ranges. Statistical dispersion measures of the measured peak shear stress values around the failure criterion are shown in Table S2. Best accordance is achieved for the shear stress means used for

32

model calibration (correspond to significant temperature levels with p-values ≤ 5 %). The mean absolute deviation (MAD) and coefficient of variation (CV) range between 40–146 kPa and 8.8–24.6 % respectively. The experiments at temperatures -1, -3, -6 and -10 °C (with p-values > 5 %), which had been excluded from the elaboration of the failure criterion, were used for validation. Their shear stress means show higher deviations from the failure criterion, i.e. the MAD and the CV range from 98 to 252 kPa and from 15 to 43.5 % respectively. For normal stresses 100–400 kPa, the model seems to show a robust fit even with values not included in the initial model development data set. The means of peak shear stress at 800 kPa mostly lie within the calculated error margins, but at their lower boundaries. This demonstrates the mechanical parameters controlling the failure behaviour start to change at higher normal stresses (800 kPa) and temperatures close to the melting point.

A — detachment of block 1

B1 — $\sigma_{jB1} \ll \sigma_{j0}$ — sudden and significant decrease in σ

B2 — $\sigma_{jB2} \ll \sigma_{j0}$, $T_{jB2} > T_{j0}$ — progressive degradation of permafrost

C1 — detachment of block 2

C2 — detachment of block 2

Time

= ice filled sliding plane
= bedrock permafrost

T_j = temperature at joint surface
σ_j = normal stress at joint surface
0 = before detachment of block 1

33

Figure 10: Progressive failure in a warming permafrost rock slope displaying thermal and normal stress conditions before and after detachment of a first slab. Both <u>can initiate failure:</u> (B2) ~~P~~progressive thermal warming (i.e. permafrost degradation) <u>occurs within years,</u>~~,~~ but ~~even faster~~ (B1) sudden unloading ~~can initiate failure~~ <u>develops.</u>~~.~~ <u>even faster within days.</u>

675

<u>This study shows that both warming and unloading of ice-filled rock joints lead to a significant drop in shear resistance, which may cause a self-enforced rock slope failure propagation. The progressive degradation of bedrock permafrost and ice in rock joints may control the cohesion and friction angle of the joints. However, as soon as a first slab has detached from the rock slope (A in Fig. 10), further slabs below can become unstable and finally detach as the shear strength along the ice-filled failure</u>

680　<u>plane is affected by progressive warming (i.e. permafrost degradation, B2 in Fig. 10), but even faster by sudden unloading (B1 in Fig. 10). The latter is represented by a significant drop in normal stress in the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Fig. 11).</u>

34

Temperature class [°C]	Coefficient of friction [μ]	Cohesion [kPa]	Normal stress-dependent friction at $\sigma_1 =$			
			100 kPa	200 kPa	400 kPa	800 kPa
$\lvert\tau\rvert \;=\; \sigma \;*\; (0.42 - 0.21 * T) \;+\; (53.3 - 73.5 * T)$						
			Unloading reduces stability of underlying frozen rock mass		Critical stability loss of underlying frozen rock mass	
-10	2.52	788	252	504	1008	2016
-8	2.10	641	210	420	840	1680
-6	1.68	494	168	336	672	1344
-5	1.47	421	147	294	588	1176
-4	1.26	347	126	252	504	1008
-3	1.05	274	105	210	420	840
			Unloading increases stability of underlying frozen rock mass			
-2	0.84	200	84	168	336	672
-1	0.63	127	63	126	252	504
-0.5	0.53	90	53	105	210	420

Figure 11: Three scenarios of rock slope stability after the sudden unloading of an underlying frozen rock mass with 45 ° fractures. Blue boxes: Increasing stability of underlying frozen rock mass. Unloading increases shear resistance relative to shear forces in 45 ° fractures. Yellow boxes: Reducing stability. Unloading reduces shear resistance (friction) relative to shear stress in 45 ° fractures. Red boxes: Critical stability loss. Friction loss along underlying 45 ° fractures even exceeds ice cohesion.

We consider three scenarios of rock slope stability depending on the reduction in normal stress and its relationship to cohesion along a specific shear plane with an inclination of 45 °. Correspondingly, all changes in shear forces equal the changes in the shear resistance $\Delta\tau = \Delta\sigma$. However, instability may increase when the loss in friction surpasses the loss of applied shear forces: $\Delta\sigma * tan\,\varphi > \Delta\tau$. This may happen in an underlying frozen rock mass upon unloading when μ or $(0.42 - 0.21 * T) > 1$. The cohesion may possibly compensate the decrease in friction when $c > \Delta\sigma * tan\,\varphi$. In this case the shear plane could become more unstable without complete failure. This is valid for temperatures between -10 and -3 °C and normal stresses 100–200 kPa (yellow boxes in Fig. 11). When the loss of friction in an underlying frozen rock mass upon unloading is much bigger than the reduction in the shear forces $\Delta\sigma * tan\,\varphi \gg \Delta\tau$ and even exceeds the ice cohesion $c < \Delta\sigma * tan\,\varphi$, then failure along the shear plane is strongly promoted. This is valid for temperatures between -10 and -0.5 °C and normal stresses 400–800 kPa (red boxes in Fig. 11). Rock slope stability in an underlying frozen rock mass upon unloading is only weakly affected if the reduction in friction is smaller than the reduction in the shear force: $\Delta\sigma * tan\,\varphi + c < \Delta\tau$. This is valid for temperatures between -2 and -0.5 °C and normal stresses 100–200 kPa (blue boxes in Fig. 11). In summary, the red scenario in Fig. 11

indicates a high likelihood of subsequent failures by unloading the underlying frozen rock mass, the yellow scenario exposes a moderate likelihood and the blue scenario shows a small likelihood. Unloading may lead to instability or even failure when the shear planes (i) are affected by a high reduction in normal stress exceeding the ice cohesion and/or (ii) are below -2 °C due to a friction coefficient higher than 1.

705 **4.3 Cohesion and friction for normal stresses including 800 kPa**

Combining the 100–400 kPa with the 800 kPa tests results in a higher (absolute) reduction of cohesion and a lower (absolute) loss in friction with increasing temperature (Fig. 7). The relatively low peak shear stress values at 800 kPa normal stress flatten the linear regression curves and raise their intercepts with the abscissas (Fig. 6). This behaviour can presumably be explained due to an enhanced pressure melting effect at higher normal stresses and temperatures close to 0 °C leading to liquid formation

710 along the rock-ice interface and decreasing the friction (see also Sect. 4.5; Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Barnes and Tabor, 1966; Hobbs, 1974). This hypothesis is supported by two findings: (i) Failures along the rock-ice contact dominate at higher temperatures between -0.5 and -5 °C (Fig. 8). (ii) The proportion of rock-ice failures to all failure types is 42 % for normal stresses 100–400 kPa and temperatures from -0.5 to -4 °C. The corresponding proportion for the same temperature range and normal stresses 100–800 kPa is 51 %. When tests at 800 kPa are added, particularly the rock-ice failures increase in numbers

715 whereas failures within the ice are not affected. At normal stresses of 800 kPa and temperatures below -4 °C, the enhanced pressure melting effect may reduce and shear stresses are expected to rise significantly. ~~This study shows that both warming and unloading of ice filled rock joints, lead to a significant drop in shear resistance, which may cause a self enforced rock slope failure propagation. The progressive degradation of bedrock permafrost and ice in rock joints may control the cohesion and friction angle of the joints. However, as soon as a first slab has detached from the rock slope (A in Fig. 10), further slabs~~

720 ~~below can become unstable and finally detach as the shear strength along the ice-filled failure plane is affected by (B2 in Fig. 10) progressive thermal warming (i.e. permafrost degradation), but even faster by (B1 in Fig. 10) sudden unloading. The latter is represented by a significant drop in normal stress in the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion.~~

~~A-C1, A-C2 or a combination of both may also be possible scenarios for the observed rockslide at the Zugspitze summit crest, once the movement of the unstable rock mass accelerates.~~

725 **~~4.3 Failure types~~**

~~Three types of failure were identified: Fracture along the rock-ice interfaces, fracture within the ice layer and a composite type. From a practical point of view, Eq. (8) has to include all types of failure due to five main reasons: (i) We cannot observe what types occur in natural systems. (ii) A specific stress, strain or temperature condition could not be assigned explicitly to one of the failure types. (iii) Along spatially extensive rock joints, all these failure types coexist and coincide. (iv) All three types~~

730 ~~together fit in a failure criterion, showing that they converge to a range of values under given temperature and stress conditions. (v) It is physically impossible to constrain the exact fracturing plane in a sub-millimetre range away from the rock-ice interface.~~

In this study we observe a tendency of temperature-dependence of the distinct failure types: when comparing fractures in the ice with fractures along the rock-ice contact, tests with the first failure type rather dominate at cold temperatures between -10 to -6 °C, while the proportion of tests with fracture along the rock-ice interface dominate at higher temperatures between -5 to -0.5 °C. This behaviour is similar to the pattern observed by Jellinek (1959), albeit at lower temperatures, showing "cohesive breaks" (comparable with fracture within the ice infilling) of ice-steel interfaces at temperatures colder than -13 °C and "adhesive breaks" (equal to fracture of rock-ice interfaces) at temperatures warmer than -13 °C. Previous publications highlight three potential reasons for this pattern that explains the formation of liquids, which may support the failure of rock-ice-contacts at warmer conditions: (i) Above -3 °C the deformation of ice is increasingly influenced by pressure melting (Hobbs, 1974), which can be pronounced in regions of stress concentration along the shear plane (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Arenson et al., 2007). (ii) In porous media, curvature-induced and interfacial pre-melting (caused by long-range intermolecular forces between different materials and phases) leads to a depressed equilibrium freezing temperature. An unfrozen liquid melt film, several nanometres thick, forms at the ice-solid interface at -1 °C, increasing its thickness when approaching 0 °C (Rempel et al., 2004). (iii) Grain boundary sliding occurs at temperatures above approximately -10 °C, which generates heat by friction (Hobbs, 1974) and may additionally support the formation of this liquid-like layer along the rock-ice interface.

4.4 Cohesion and friction for normal stresses including 800 kPa

Due to the fact that at higher stresses significantly exceeding 400 kPa brittle fracturing can be suppressed in favour of creep behavior, we also conducted experiments at 800 kPa. Combining the 100-400 kPa with the 800 kPa tests results in a higher (absolute) reduction of cohesion and a lower (absolute) loss in friction with increasing temperature (Fig. 8). The relatively low peak shear stress values at 800 kPa normal stress flatten the linear regression curves and raise their intercepts with the abscissas (Fig. 7b). This behaviour can presumably be explained due to an enhanced pressure melting effect at higher normal stresses and temperatures close to 0 °C leading to liquid formation along the rock-ice interface and decreasing the friction (see also Sect. 4.3; Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Barnes and Tabor, 1966; Hobbs, 1974). This hypothesis is supported by two findings: (i) Failures along the rock-ice contact dominate at higher temperatures between -0.5 and -5 °C (Fig. 6). (ii) The proportion of rock-ice failures to all failure types is 42 % for normal stresses 100-400 kPa and temperatures from -0.5 to -4 °C. The corresponding proportion for the same temperature range and normal stresses 100-800 kPa is 51 %. When tests at 800 kPa are added, particularly the rock-ice failures increase in numbers whereas failures within the ice are not affected. At normal stresses of 800 kPa and temperatures below -4 °C, the enhanced pressure melting effect may reduce and shear stresses are expected to rise significantly.

4.45 AE activity as an indication for correlated damage, potentially preconditioning failure

AE is generally well capable to anticipate rock-ice failure as (i) all failures are predated by an AE hit increase, (ii) the hit rate increases well before brittle failure starts and (iii) it AE hits peaks immediately prior to failure. This AE pattern coincides with observations in triaxial constant strain rate tests on frozen soil (Yamamoto and Springman, 2014). The experiments conducted

37

is manuscript clearly shows that precursors before failure can be observed by the AE technique providing complementary information to the displacement measurements. To exceed the AE trigger level, AE events have to be emitted from significantly large evolving microcracks and thus document microcrack generation and coalescence. It is interesting to consider that in ice, even secondary and tertiary creep are constituted by the generation and healing rate of microfractures (Paterson, 1994; Sanderson, 1988). The measured pre-failure increase in AE activity (Fig. S3) is, thus, an indication for damage increase, i.e. microcrack generation and coalescence (which can also be observed in the presented tests, Fig. S3) typical for cryospheric damage propagation (Murton et al., 2016; Yamamoto and Springman, 2014). The culmination of progressive damage involves complex interaction between multiple defects and growing microcracks (Eberhardt et al., 1999; Senfaute et al., 2009; Sornette, 2006). An increase in the AE hit rate prior to failure accompanies the evolution of the internal damage and can therefore be used as a precursor signal.

The power-law distribution of the AE event energy, which shows only small variations for all different temperature and loading conditions, indicates that strength heterogeneity, a main factor influencing this parameter (Amitrano et al., 2012), is similar for all tests at different conditions. Hence, neither a stress nor a temperature dependence of the size of fracturing events is expected in the tested conditions. A main challenge with AE monitoring is the absolute comparison of the event number and energy. The measured signal is strongly influenced by the coupling of the sensor with the medium. Additionally, the event triggering depends on the selection of an amplitude threshold. However, relative comparison (e.g. evolution of the hit rate) and statistical means (e.g. exponent b) are not very sensitive to above-mentioned challenges, as long as enough events are detected.

At temperatures above -4 °C, a higher number of samples displayed an earlier start in fracturing before failure occurred. This trend is visible in the higher number of outliers below the standard deviation range and at temperatures above -4 °C (Fig. 5d). It may be explained by the lower shear strength of samples at warmer temperatures. Accordingly, at colder temperatures failure is increasingly characterised by a later onset of AE events because of higher shear strengths. Challenges remaining for field application of the recorded offset between the onset of AE hit increase and shear failure are the positioning of the sensors and their density, the strength of the signal and the missing signal emission history prior to instrumentation.

4.5 Failure types

Three types of failure were identified: Fracture along the rock-ice interfaces, fracture within the ice layer and a composite type. From a practical point of view, Eq. (6) has to include all types of failure due to five main reasons:

(i) We cannot observe what types occur in natural systems.

(ii) A specific stress, strain or temperature condition could not be assigned explicitly to one of the failure types.

(iii) Along spatially extensive rock joints, all these failure types coexist and coincide.

(iv) All three types together fit in a failure criterion, showing that they converge to a range of values under given temperature and stress conditions.

(v) It is physically impossible to constrain the exact fracturing plane in a sub-millimetre range away from the rock-ice interface.

In this study we observe a tendency of temperature-dependence of the distinct failure types: when comparing fractures in the ice with fractures along the rock-ice contact, tests with the first failure type rather dominate at cold temperatures between -10 to -6 °C, while the proportion of tests with fracture along the rock-ice-interface dominate at higher temperatures between -5 to -0.5 °C. This behaviour is similar to the pattern observed by Jellinek (1959), albeit at lower temperatures, showing "cohesive breaks" (comparable with fracture within the ice infilling) of ice-steel interfaces at temperatures colder than -13 °C and "adhesive breaks" (equal to fracture of rock-ice interfaces) at temperatures warmer than -13 °C. Previous publications highlight three potential reasons for this pattern that explains the formation of liquids, which may support the failure of rock-ice-contacts at warmer conditions:

(i) Above -3 °C the deformation of ice is increasingly influenced by pressure melting (Hobbs, 1974), which can be pronounced in regions of stress concentration along the shear plane (Arenson and Springman, 2005a; Arenson et al., 2007).

(ii) In porous media, curvature-induced and interfacial pre-melting (caused by long-range intermolecular forces between different materials and phases) leads to a depressed equilibrium freezing temperature. An unfrozen liquid melt film, several nanometres thick, forms at the ice-solid-interface at -1 °C, increasing its thickness when approaching 0 °C (Rempel et al., 2004).

(iii) Grain boundary sliding occurs at temperatures above approximately -10 °C, which generates heat by friction (Hobbs, 1974) and may additionally support the formation of this liquid like layer along the rock-ice interface. ~~Challenges remaining for field application of the recorded offset between the onset of AE hit increase and shear failure are (i) the positioning of the sensors and their density, (ii) the strength of the signal and (iii) the missing signal emission history prior to instrumentation.~~

5 Conclusions

Most of the documented~~observed~~ failures in permafrost-~~affected~~ rock walls are likely triggered by the mechanical destabilisation of warming bedrock, discontinuities with rock bridges as well as joints with or without ice fillings. ~~permafrost including effects in ice filled joints, which~~ The latter may ~~evolve~~ evolve into~~into potential~~ shear ~~shear~~ planes-_supporting~~ing~~ destabilisation on a larger spatial scale and leading to failure. To anticipate failure in a warming climate, we need to better understand how rock-ice mechanical processes affect rock slope destabilisation ~~and failure~~ with temperatures increasing close to 0 °C.

This paper presents a systematic series of constant strain-rate shear tests on sandwich-like limestone-ice-limestone samples (i) to simulate the brittle failure of ice infillings and rock-ice interfaces along ice-filled shear planes of rockslides, ii) to study its dependence on temperature and normal stress and (iii) to develop a new brittle failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints. The setup and boundary conditions of our experiments are inspired by a 10^4 m³, ice-supported rockslide at the Zugspitze summit crest. ~~which potentially accelerates its movement in the future due to climate-induced permafrost degradation causing fracturing of ice and rock ice contacts, among other processes.~~ Our tests apply to ~~simulate the fracture of ice and rock ice~~

~~contacts that may occur during~~ failures of permafrost rock slopes (i) with volumes of ≤ 2.~~33.000~~ * 10^4 m³, (ii) with ice-filled shear planes in a depth of 4–15 m, (iii) with bedrock temperatures between -0.5 °C and -10 °C and (iv) with high~~fast~~ strain rates (4.8~~0.7~~ ± 1.4~~0.2~~ * 10^{-3} s^{-1}) coinciding with the accelerating final failure stage. Tests at a rock overburden of 30 m and temperatures from -4 to -0.5 °C were performed to study a potential stress-dependent transition from brittle fracture to creep. Of all the previous laboratory studies on the shear strength of ice-filled joints, the data set presented is the most extensive, containing 141 tests at nine temperature and four normal stress levels. For the first time, pre-conditioned rock from a permafrost-affected rock slope was used.

Monitoring of AE activity during the shear tests was successfully used to describe the fracturing behaviour of rock-ice contacts focusing on the precursors of failure. The onset of ~~an~~ AE hit increase occurred when 65 % of the time between shear start and failure had passed (107 ± 98 sec before failure).~~, A strong increase in the AE hit rate was measured shortly before failure (107 ± 98 sec in advance). The onset of an AE hit increase occurred when 65 % of the time between shear start and failure had passed.~~

~~The experimental results clearly show a decline in~~ The shear strength clearly declines with decreasing normal stress and increasing temperature. At rock overburdens of 4 to 15 m, warming from -10 to -0.5 °C causes a decrease in shear strength by 64 to 78 %. At a rock overburden of 30 m and warming from -4 to -0.5 °C, shear strength decreases by 60 %. Warming drastically reduces the shear resistance of ice-filled rock joints and is thus a key process contributing to permafrost rock slope failure. ~~PWe have developed a conceptual model for p~~rogressive failure ~~that~~ is initiated ~~as soon as~~when a first slab has detached from a rock slope. The underlying frozen rock mass is~~; further slabs below are~~ subsequently destabilised by progressive thermal warming and even more quickly by sudden unloading~~.~~. The latter may lead to instability or even failure when the shear planes (i) are affected by a high reduction in normal stress exceeding the ice cohesion and/or (ii) are below -2 °C due to a friction coefficient higher than 1.

For the first time, we have introduced a failure criterion for ice-filled permafrost rock joints that includes the fracturing of ice infillings, rock-ice interfaces and a combination of both. It is based on ~~–~~ a Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion, it refers to joint surfaces which we assume similar for all rock types and it is valid for normal stresses 100–400 kPa and temperatures from -8 to -0.5 °C. The failure criterion contains a temperature-dependent cohesion and coefficient of friction ~~which~~ decreas~~ing~~e by 12 % °C^{-1} and by 10 % °C^{-1} respectively with increasing sub-zero temperatures. ~~with increasing sub-zero temperatures. Per increase of one °C the cohesion reduces by 12 % and the coefficient of friction by 10 %.~~ The model fits well to the measured calibration means and even to the values excluded from the model development which mostly lie within or close to the calculated error margin. Further, we show that the failure type depends on the temperature and is also affected by higher normal stresses (i.e. 800 kPa) above -4 °C which can presumably be explained by an enhanced pressure melting effect along the rock-ice interface.

The new failure criterion can be applied in numerical modelling and enables scientists and engineers to anticipate more accurately the destabilisation of degrading permafrost rock slopes, as it reproduces better real shear strength conditions along

sliding planes. ~~In this way, it may also be used for the assessment of Mohr-Coulomb shear parameters integrated into rock wall stability modelling of the permafrost affected Zugspitze summit crest.~~

6 Data availability

865 All data concerning the tested samples, test conditions, time series of the shear experiments, acoustic emission and mechanical properties are available in the supplementary material as *.xlsx or *.pdf files.

7 Supplement link

8 Author contribution

Philipp Mamot, Samuel Weber and Michael Krautblatter designed the laboratory experiment. Philipp Mamot and Samuel
870 Weber prepared the experimental setup as well as the rock samples. The experiment execution was supervised by Philipp Mamot, Samuel Weber and Michael Krautblatter. The tests were mainly carried out by Tanja Schröder. Philipp Mamot and Samuel Weber performed the data analysis and prepared the manuscript, both with a substantial contribution from Michael Krautblatter.

9 Competing interests

875 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

10 Acknowledgments

880

11 References

Alava, M. J., Nukala, P. K. V. V. and Zapperi, S.: Statistical model of fracture, Adv. Phys., 55, 349–476, doi: 10.1080/00018730300741518, 2006.

Amitrano, D., Gruber, S. and Girard, L.: Evidence of frost-cracking inferred from acoustic emissions in a high-alpine rock-
885 wall, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 341-344, 86–93, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.06.014, 2012.

Arakawa, M. and Maeno, N.: Mechanical strength of polycrystalline ice under uniaxial compression, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 26, 215–229, 1997.

Arenson, L. U. and Springman, S. M.: Triaxial constant stress and constant strain rate tests on ice-rich permafrost samples, Can. Geotech. J., 42, 412–430, doi: 10.1139/t04-111, 2005a.

890 Arenson, L. U. and Springman, S. M.: Mathematical descriptions for the behaviour of ice-rich frozen soils at temperatures close to 0 °C, Can. Geotech. J., 42, 431–442, doi: 10.1139/t04-109, 2005b.

Arenson, L. U., Springman, S. M. and Sego, D. C.: The rheology of frozen soils, Appl. Rheol., 17, 12147, 1–14, doi: 10.3933/ApplRheol-17-12147, 2007.

Baer, P., Huggel, C., McArdell, B. W. and Frank, F.: Changing debris flow activity after sudden sediment input: a case study
895 from the Swiss Alps, Geology Today, 33, 216–223, doi: 10.1111/gto.12211, 2017.

Barnes, P. and Tabor, D.: Plastic flow and pressure melting in the deformation of ice I, Nature, 210, 878-&, doi:10.1038/210878a0, 1966.

Barnes, P., Tabor, D. and Walker, J. C. F.: The friction and creep of polycrystalline ice, P. R. Soc. London. Series A, 127–155, 1971.

900 Beniston, M., Farinotti, D., Stoffel, M., Andreassen, L. M., Coppola, E., Eckert, N., Fantini, A., Giacona, F., Hauck, C., Huss, M., Huwald, H., Lehning, M., López-Moreno, J.-I., Magnusson, J., Marty, C., Morán-Tejéda, E., Morin, S., Naaim, M., Provenzale, A., Rabatel, A., Six, D., Stötter, J., Strasser, U., Terzago, S. and Vincent, C.: The European mountain cryosphere. A review of its current state, trends, and future challenges, Cryosphere, 12, 759–794, doi: 10.5194/tc-12-759-2018, 2018.

Böckli, L., Nötzli, J. and Gruber, S.: PermaNET-BY: Untersuchung des Permafrosts in den Bayerischen Alpen. Teilprojekt
905 PermaNET (EU Alpine Space Interreg IVb), Zürich, 60 pp., 2011.

Clauser, C. and Huenges, E.: Thermal conductivity of rocks and minerals, in: Rock Physics & Phase Relations: A Handbook of Physical Constants, Ahrens, T. J. (Eds.), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., 105–126, 1995.

Coulomb, C. A.: Essai sur une application des regles de maximis et minimis a quelques problemes de statique, relatifs a l'architecture, Memoires de Mathematique & de Physique, 7, 343–382, 1773.

910 Cox, S. J. D. and Meredith, P. G.: Microcrack formation and material softening in rock measured by monitoring acoustic emissions, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 30, 11–24, doi: 10.1016/0148-9062(93)90172-A, 1993.

Cruden, D. M. and Hu, X. Q.: Basic friction angles of carbonate rocks from Kananaskis country, Canada, Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 38, 55–59, doi: 10.1007/BF02590448, 1988.

Davies, M. C.R., Hamza, O., Lumsden, B. W. and Harris, C.: Laboratory measurement of the shear strength of ice-filled rock joints, Ann. Glaciol., 31, 463–467, doi: 10.3189/172756400781819897, 2000.

Davies, M. C.R., Hamza, O. and Harris, C.: The effect of rise in mean annual temperature on the stability of rock slopes containing ice-filled discontinuities, Permafrost Periglac., 12, 137–144, doi: 10.1002/ppp.378, 2001.

Delaloye, R., Hilbich, C., Lüthi, R., Nötzli, J., Phillips, M. and Staub, B.: Permafrost in Switzerland 2010/2011 to 2013/2014, Glaciological Report (Permafrost) No. 12–15, PERMOS, Cryospheric Commission of the Swiss Academy of Sciences, Fribourg, 85 pp., 2016.

Deline, P., Gruber, S., Delaloye, R., Fischer, L., Geertsema, M., Giardino, M., Hasler, A., Kirkbride, M., Krautblatter, M., Magnin, F., McColl, S., Ravanel, L. and Schoeneich, P.: Ice Loss and Slope Stability in High-Mountain Regions, in: Snow and Ice-Related Hazards, Risks and Disasters, Shroder, J. F., Haeberli, W., Whiteman, C. (Eds.), Academic Press, Boston, 521–561, 2015.

Draebing, D., Haberkorn, A., Krautblatter, M., Kenner, R. and Phillips, M.: Thermal and Mechanical Responses Resulting From Spatial and Temporal Snow Cover Variability in Permafrost Rock Slopes, Steintaelli, Swiss Alps, Permafrost Periglac., 28, 140–157, doi: 10.1002/ppp.1921, 2017.

Dramis, F., Govi, M., Guglielmin, M. and Mortara, G.: Mountain permafrost and slope instability in the Italian Alps: The Val Pola Landslide, Permafrost Periglac., 6, 73–81, doi: 10.1002/ppp.3430060108, 1995.

Dwivedi, R. D., Soni, A. K., Goel, R. K. and Dube, A. K.: Fracture toughness of rocks under sub-zero temperature conditions, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 37, 1267–1275, 2000.

Eberhardt, E., Stead, D. and Stimpson, B.: Quantifying progressive pre-peak brittle fracture damage in rock during uniaxial compression, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 36, 361–380, 1999.

Fellin, W.: Einführung in die Eis-, Schnee- und Lawinenmechanik, Springer Vieweg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

Fischer, L., Kääb, A., Huggel, C. and Noetzli, J.: Geology, glacier retreat and permafrost degradation as controlling factors of slope instabilities in a high-mountain rock wall. The Monte Rosa east face, Nat. Hazard. Earth Sys., 6, 761–772, 2006.

Fish, A. M. and Zaretzky, Y. K.: Ice strength as a function of hydrostatic pressure and temperature, in: CRREL Report, 97, 1–13, 1997.

Gagnon, R. E. and Gammon, P. H.: Triaxial experiments on iceberg and glacier ice, J. Glaciol., 41, 528–540, 1995.

Gallemann, T., Haas, U., Teipel, U., Poschinger, A. von, Wagner, B., Mahr, M. and Bäse, F.: Permafrost-Messstation am Zugspitzgipfel: Ergebnisse und Modellberechnungen, Geologica Bavarica, 115, 1–77, 2017.

Girard, L., Beutel, J., Gruber, S., Hunziker, J., Lim, R. and Weber, S.: A custom acoustic emission monitoring system for harsh environments. Application to freezing-induced damage in alpine rock walls, Geosci. Instrum. Meth., 1, 155–167, doi: 10.5194/gi-1-155-2012, 2012.

Gischig, V. S., Moore, J. R., Keith, F. E., Amann, F. and Loew, S.: Thermomechanical forcing of deep rock slope deformation: 2. The Randa rock slope instability, J. Geophys. Res., 116, F04011, doi: 10.1029/2011JF002007, 2011.

Glamheden, R. and Lindblom, U.: Thermal and mechanical behaviour or refrigerated caverns in hard rock, Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Tech., 17, 341–353, 2002.

Gobiet, A., Kotlarski, S., Beniston, M., Heinrich, G., Rajczak, J. and Stoffel, M.: 21st century climate change in the European Alps-A review, Sci. Total Environ., 493, 1138–1151, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.050, 2014.

Gómez-Heras, M., Smith, B. J. and Fort, R.: Surface temperature differences between minerals in crystalline rocks. Implications for granular disaggregation of granites through thermal fatigue, Geomorphology, 78, 236–249, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.12.013, 2006.

Gruber, S., Hoelzle, M. and Haeberli, W.: Permafrost thaw and destabilization of Alpine rock walls in the hot summer of 2003, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L13504, doi: 10.1029/2004GL020051, 2004.

Gruber, S. and Haeberli, W.: Permafrost in steep bedrock slopes and its temperature-related destabilization following climate change, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 1–10, doi: 10.1029/2006JF000547, 2007.

Günzel, F. K.: Shear strength of ice-filled rock joints, in: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Permafrost, Fairbanks, Alaska, 28 June–3 July 2008, 581–586, 2008.

Hall, K. and Thorn, C. E.: Thermal fatigue and thermal shock in bedrock: An attempt to unravel the geomorphic processes and products, Geomorphology, 206, 1–13, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.09.022, 2014.

Hardy, H. R.: Acoustic Emission/Microseismic Activity - Volume 1. Principles, Techniques and Geotechnical Applications, A.A. Balkema Publisher, a member of Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers, 2003.

Harris, C., Vonder Mühll, D., Isaksen, K., Haeberli, W., Sollid, J. L., King, L., Holmlund, P., Dramis, F., Guglielmin, M. and Palacios, D.: Warming permafrost in European mountains, Global Planet. Change, 39, 215–225, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2003.04.001, 2003.

Harris, S. A., French, H. M., Heginbottom, J. A., Johnston, G. H., Ladanyi, B., Sego, D. C. and van Erdingen, R. O.: Glossary of Permafrost and Related Ground-Ice Terms, Technical Memorandum No. 142, Permafrost-Subcommittee, Associate Committee on Geotechnical Research, National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, 159 pp., doi: 10.4224/20386561, 1988.

Hobbs, P. V.: Ice Physics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 837 pp., 1974.

Huggel, C., Allen, S., Deline, P., Fischer, L., Noetzli, J. and Ravanel, L.: Ice thawing, mountains falling - are alpine rock slope failures increasing? Geology Today, 28, 98–104, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2451.2012.00836.x, 2012.

Jaeger, J. C., Cook, N. G. and Zimmerman, R. W.: Fundamentals of rock mechanics, 4th Edition, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 475 pp., 2007.

Jellinek, H. H. G.: Adhesive properties of ice, J. Coll. Sci. Imp. U. Tok., 14, 268-280, 1959

Jerz, H. and Poschinger, A. von: Neuere Ergebnisse zum Bergsturz Eibsee-Grainau, Geologica Bavarica, 99, 383–398, 1995.

Jia, H., Xiang, W. and Krautblatter, M.: Quantifying rock fatigue and decreasing compressive and tensile strength after repeated freeze-thaw cycles, Permafrost Periglac., 26, 368–377, doi: 10.1002/ppp.1857, 2015.

980 Jones, S. J. and Glen, J. W.: The mechanical properties of single crystals of ice at low temperatures, International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publ., 79, 326–340, 1968.

Kodama, J., Goto, T., Fujii, Y. and Hagan P.: The effects of water content, temperature and loading rate on strength and failure process of frozen rocks, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 62, 1–13, 2013.

Krautblatter, M., Verleysdonk, S., Flores-Orozco, A. and Kemna, A.: Temperature-calibrated imaging of seasonal changes in
985 permafrost rock walls by quantitative electrical resistivity tomography (Zugspitze, German/Austrian Alps), J. Geophys. Res., 115, 1–15, 2010.

Krautblatter, M., Funk, D. and Günzel, F. K.: Why permafrost rocks become unstable: a rock-ice-mechanical model in time and space, Earth Surf. Proc. Land., 38, 876–887, 2013.

Lockner, D.: The role of acoustic emission in the study of rock, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min., 30(7), 883–899, 1993.

990 MathWorks: Statistics and machine learning toolbox, regression, model building and assessment, coefficient standard errors and confidence intervals (R2017a): https://ch.mathworks.com/help/stats/coefficient-standard-errors-and-confidence-intervals.html?searchHighlight=standard%20error%20estimate%20linearmodel&s_tid=doc_srchtitle

Mellor, M.: Mechanical properties of rocks at low temperatures, in: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Permafrost, Yakutsk, Siberia, 13-28 July 1973, 334–344, 1973.

995 Mohr, O.: Welche Umstände bedingen die Elastizitätsgrenze und den Bruch eines Materials? Zeitschrift des Vereins Deutscher Ingenieure, 44, 1524–1530, 1900.

Murton, J., Kuras, O., Krautblatter, M., Cane, T., Tschofen, D., Uhlemann, S., Schober, S. and Watson, P.: Monitoring rock freezing and thawing by novel geoelectrical and acoustic techniques, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 2309–2332, doi: 10.1002/2016JF003948, 2016.

1000 Nechad, H., Helmstetter, A., Guerjouma, R. El and Sornette, D.: Creep rupture in heterogeneous materials, Phys. Rev. Lett., 94, 45501, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.045501, 2005.

Noetzli, J., Gruber, S. and Poschinger, A. von: Modellierung und Messung von Permafrosttemperaturen im Gipfelgrat der Zugspitze, Deutschland, Geographica Helvetica, 65, 113–123, 2010.

Paterson, W. S. B.: The physics of glaciers, 3rd edition, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, 496 pp., 1994.

1005 Ravanel, L. and Deline, P.: La face ouest des Drus (massif du Mont-Blanc): évolution de l'instabilité d'une paroi rocheuse dans la haute montagne alpine depuis la fin du petit age glaciaire, Geomorphologie, 4, 261–272, 2008.

Ravanel, L., Allignol, F., Deline, P., Gruber, S. and Ravello, M.: Rock falls in the Mont Blanc Massif in 2007 and 2008, Landslides, 7, 493–501, 2010.

Ravanel, L. and Deline, P.: Climate influence on rockfalls in high-Alpine steep rockwalls. The north side of the Aiguilles de
1010 Chamonix (Mont Blanc massif) since the end of the 'Little Ice Age', Holocene, 21, 357–365, doi: 10.1177/0959683610374887, 2011.

Ravanel, L. and Deline, P.: Rockfall Hazard in the Mont Blanc Massif Increased by the Current Atmospheric Warming, in: Engineering Geology for Society and Territory - Volume 1: Climate Change and Engineering Geology, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 425–428, 2015.

1015 Rempel, A. W., Wettlaufer, J. S. and Worster, M. G.: Premelting dynamics in a continuum model of frost heave, J. Fluid Mech., 498, 227–244, 2004.

Renshaw, C. E. and Schulson, E. M.: Universal behaviour in compressive failure of brittle materials, Nature, 412, 897–900, doi: 10.1038/35091045, 2001.

Sanderson, T. J. O.: Ice Mechanics. Risks to offshore structures, Graham & Trotman, 253 pp., 1988.

1020 Scholz, C. H.: Microfracturing and the inelastic deformation of rock in compression, J. Geophys. Res., 73, 1417–1432, doi: 10.1029/JB073i004p01417, 1968.

Schön, J. H.: Physical properties of rocks. Fundamentals and principles of petrophysics, 2nd Edition, Developments on Petroleum Science, 65, Cubitt, J. and Wales, H. (Eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, Oxford, 512 pp., 2015.

Schulson, E. M. and Duval, P.: Creep and Fracture of Ice, Cambridge University Press, 401 pp., 2009.

1025 Senfaute, G., Duperret, A. and Lawrence, J. A.: Micro-seismic precursory cracks prior to rock-fall on coastal chalk cliffs. A case study at Mesnil-Val, Normandie, NW France, Nat. Hazard. Earth Sys., 9, 1625–1641, 2009.

Shiotani, T., Fujii, K., Aoki, T. and Amou, K.: Evaluation of progressive failure using AE sources and improved b-value on slope model tests, Progress in AE VII, 529–534, 1994.

Shiotani, T., Li, Z., Yuyama, S. and Ohtsu, M.: Application of the AE improved b-value to quantitative evaluation of fracture

1030 proces in concrete materials, Journal of AE, 19, 118–133, 2001.

Sornette, D.: Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

Ulusay, R.: The ISRM Suggested Methods for Rock Characterization, Testing and Monitoring: 2007-2014, Springer International Publishing, 2015.

Weber, S., Beutel, J., Faillettaz, J., Hasler, A., Krautblatter, M. and Vieli, A.: Quantifying irreversible movement in steep,

1035 fractured bedrock permafrost on Matterhorn (CH), Cryosphere, 11, 567–583, doi: 10.5194/tc-11-567-2017, 2017.

Yamamoto, Y. and Springman, S. M.: Axial compression stress path tests on artificial frozen soil samples in a triaxial device at temperatures just below 0 °C, Can. Geotech. J., 51, 1178–1195, doi: 10.1139/cgj-2013-0257, 2014.

Yasufuku, N., Springman, S. M., Arenson, L. U. and Ramholt, T.: Stress-dilatancy behaviour of frozen sand in direct shear, in: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Permafrost, Zurich, Switzerland, 21-25 July 2003, 1253–1258, 2003.

1040

Tables

Table 1: Calculated decrease in shear stress at failure with increasing temperature.

Normal stress class [kPa]	Temperature range used for calculation [°C]	Calculated percentage decrease due to warming [%]		R²	p-value
		Total	Per increase of 1 °C		
100	-10 to -0.5	70.2	7.4	0.47	< 0.01
200	-10 to -0.5	78.1	8.2	0.58	< 0.01
400	-10 to -0.5	63.5	6.7	0.44	< 0.01
800	-4 to -0.5	60.1	17.2	0.75	< 0.01

1045

Table 2: Calculated absolute and percentage decrease of cohesion and friction due to warming for various normal stress- and temperature ranges.

Valid normal stress range [kPa]	Valid temperature range [°C]	Mechanical parameter	Decrease due to warming				R²	p-value
			total		per increase of 1 °C			
			absolute	%	absolute	%		
100 to 400	-8 to -0.5	c [kPa]	551.3	86.0	73.5	11.5	0.96	0.00
		μ	1.58	75.0	0.21	10.0	0.78	0.05
	-4 to -0.5	c [kPa]	257.3	74.1	83.5	21.2	0.87	0.24
		μ	0.74	58.3	0.38	16.7	0.97	0.11
100 to 800	-4 to -0.5	c [kPa]	503.3	74.1	143.8	21.2	0.85	0.08
		μ	0.35	59.3	0.10	17.0	0.95	0.02

1050

47