

Interactive comment on "Apparent discrepancy of Tibetan ice core δ^{18} O records may be attributed to misinterpretation of chronology" by Shugui Hou et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 11 March 2019

The manuscript entitled "Apparent discrepancy of Tibetan ice core δ 180 records may be attributed to misinterpretation of chronology " by Hou et al. presents a new highresolution δ 180 record from the Chongce ice core from the Tibetan Plateau (TP) on the basis of the previously published timescale (Hou et al., 2018). The record covers the middle and late Holocene (the past ~7 kyr). Although the Chongce ice core is very close to the Guliya ice core (~30 km away), the Holocene pattern in the Chongce δ 180 record is clearly different from the original Guliya δ 180 record (Thompson et al., 1997). As such, the authors attributed the observed discrepancy between the Holocene δ 180 records of the Guliya and the Chongce ice cores to a misinterpretation of the Guliya ice core chronology. Given the fact that the Guliya record (covering the

C1

past ~130 kyr based on its original timescale) has been widely used as an important climate reconstruction/benchmark (cited nearly 1000 times), even after its chronology was questioned by Cheng et al. (2012), the new observational data obtained near Guliya and the new insights about Guliya chronology are fascinating and thus deserve to be published. However, I have a few suggestions for improvement pending on which I recommend acceptance of this paper. âĂć The authors imply that they could not get the original dataset of the Guliya and other Tibetan ice core records that were used in several published papers. Please contact the authors of the original papers again to get the original datasets, instead of using digitizer software or other approximate approaches. $\hat{a}A\dot{c}$ The interpretation of Tibetan ice core $\delta 180$ data solely as a temperature proxy needs to be further validated. The apparent positive relation observed between ice core δ 18O and local temperature from instrumental records cannot be mechanically extrapolated to explain the relation on much longer timescales, for example, the Holocene (e.g., Liu et al., 2015; Shao et al., 2017). This claim is crucial to Tibetan ice core researches, including this paper, and should be more rigorously backed up with empirical data and/or model simulations. âAć In the past decade, more and more evidences demonstrate that the temporal pattern of the precipitation δ 180 changes on orbital-scale, including the Holocene, broadly follows Northern Hemisphere summer insolation (NHSI) inversely in the westerlies (e.g., Bar-Matthews et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2012a, 2016a; Cai et al., 2017; Mehterian et al. 2017), Indian Monsoon (e.g., Zhang et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2012b; Cai et al., 2015; Kathayat et al., 2016; Han et al., 2017), East Asian Monsoon (e.g., Cheng et al., 2016b) climatic regimes, as well as within the Tibetan Plateau (e.g., Cai et al., 2010, 2012; Zhang et al., 2011). Cheng et al. (2012) proposed two possibilities: (1) Both the Guliya and Kesang relationships (nearly opposite on orbital-scale) could be valid, with differences related to the different elevations and localities of the sites. (2) Alternately, differences could be reconciled if the low excursions in Guliya δ 180 were, instead, correlated to high excursions in CH4 (or higher NHSI). Notably, all aforementioned precipitation δ 180 records show a consistent inverse δ 18O–NHSI relationship on orbital (possibly millennial) timescale with rather similar amplitudes, in line with the latter possibility. As such, the authors should take the above observations into consideration. In other words, a detail comparison of the Guliya ice core record with the NHSI or a large number of precipitation δ 180 records remains one of valid (or better) approaches to establish a more reliable Guliya ice core chronology. Additionally, the new dates from the bottom of the Guliya ice cap indeed show some last glacial ages (Thompson et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; as well as the data in Figure S2), which are consistent with the chronology of the 'Guliya-Cheng' (rather than the 'Guliya-New') reconstructed on the basis of a comparison with other precipitation δ 180 records from both Westerlies and Asian Monsoon climatic domains. $\hat{a}Ac$ Broadly, the amplitude of δ 18O variations on orbital (or glacial-interglacial) scale is about ~8 ‰ in the Westerlies (e.g., Bar-Matthews et al., 2003; Cheng et al., 2012a, 2016a; Mehterian et al., 2017) and Indian Monsoon (e.g., Cai et al., 2010, 2012, 2015; Kathayat et al., 2016) domains, and \sim 4 ‰ in the East Asian Monsoon domain (e.g., Cheng et al., 2016b). In addition, the climate during the interglacial time periods, including the Holocene, is fairly stable as inferred by a wide range of proxy records, including various precipitation δ 180 records. Provide the 'Gyliya-New' chronology was factual, the prominent multi-millennial changes around the mid-Holocene as characterized by \sim 10 ‰ δ 18O change (larger than the large regional glacial-interglacial amplitude) would be an unconceivable anomaly (Figure 4), which requires a proper explanation.

References Bar-Matthews et al. 2003. Sea-land oxygen isotopic relationships from planktonic foraminifera and speleothems in the eastern Mediterranean region and their implication for paleorainfall during interglacial intervals. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 67, 3181–3199. Cai et al. 2017. Holocene moisture changes in western China, Central Asia, inferred from stalagmites. Quat. Sci. Rev. 158, 15–28. Cai et al. 2010. Large variations of oxygen isotopes in precipitation over south-central Tibet during Marine Isotope Stage. Geology 38, 243–246. Cai et al. 2012. The Holocene Indian monsoon variability over the southern Tibetan Plateau and its teleconnections. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 335-336, 135–144. Cai et al. 2015. Variability of stalagmite-inferred

C3

Indian monsoon precipitation over the past 252,000 y. PNAS 12, 2954-2959. Cheng et al. 2012a. The climatic cyclicity in semiarid-arid central Asia over the past 500,000 years, Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L01705, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl050202. Cheng et al. 2012b. The Global Paleomonsoon as seen through speleothem records from Asia and the Americas. Clim Dyn 39, 1045-1062. Cheng et al. 2016a. Climate variations of Central Asia on orbital to millennial timescales. Sci. Rep. 6:36975 | DOI: 10.1038/srep36975. Cheng et al. 2016b. The Asian monsoon over the past 640,000 years and ice age terminations. Nature 534, 640-646. Han et al. 2017. Climate Change since 7ka BP Revealed by a High-Resolution Stalagmite δ 18O and δ 13C Record from Benle Cave in Chamdo, Tibet. Acta Geologica Sinica 91, 2545-2556. Kathayat et al., 2016. Indian monsoon variability on millennial-orbital timescales. Sci. Rep. 6:24374 | DOI: 10.1038/srep24374. Liu et al. 2015. Variations in the oxygen isotopic composition of precipitation in the Tianshan Mountains region and their significance for the Westerly circulation. Jour. Geograph. Sci. 25, 801-816. Mehterian et al. 2017. Speleothem records of glacial/interglacial climate from Iran forewarn of future Water Availability in the interior of the Middle East. Quat. Sci. Rev. 164, 187–198. Shao et al. 2017. Driver of the interannual variations of isotope in ice core from the middle of Tibetan Plateau. Atmospheric Research 188, 48-54. Thompson et al. 1997. Tropical climate instability: the last glacial cycle from a Qinghai-Tibetan ice core. Science 276, 1821-1825. Thompson et al. 2018. Ice core records of climate variability on the Third Pole with emphasis on the Guliya ice cap, western Kunlun Mountains. Quat. Sci. Rev. 188, 1–14. Zhang et al. 2011. Holocene monsoon climate documented by oxygen and carbon isotopes from lake sediments and peat bogs in China: a review and synthesis. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30, 1973–1987. Zhong et al. 2018. Clean low-biomass procedures and their application to ancient ice core microorganisms, Front. Microbiol. 9, 1–15.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2018-295, 2019.