

Interactive comment on “Apparent discrepancy of Tibetan ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records may be attributed to misinterpretation of chronology” by Shugui Hou et al.

Shugui Hou et al.

shugui@nju.edu.cn

Received and published: 6 June 2019

Just remind that a GRL paper is online, with the following Key Points:

(1) Radiometric ^{81}Kr dating of bottom ice from the Guliya ice cap. (2) ^{81}Kr data yield upper age limits in the range of 15–74 ka. (3) ^{81}Kr results difficult to reconcile with ages previously obtained for the Guliya ice core based on ^{36}Cl and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.

These Points, at least partly, confirms our results.

For the convenience of the readers, I attached a copy of this GRL paper in the Supplement.

C1

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<https://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/tc-2018-295/tc-2018-295-AC3-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2018-295>, 2019.

C2