# 1 Supraglacial debris-cover changes in the Greater Caucasus from 1986 to 2014

- Levan G. Tielidze<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Tobias Bolch<sup>4</sup>, Roger D. Wheate<sup>5</sup>, Stanislav S. Kutuzov<sup>6,7</sup>, Ivan I. Lavrentiev<sup>6</sup>, Michael Zemp<sup>8</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Geomorphology, Vakhushti Bagrationi Institute of Geography, Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State
   University, 6 Tamarashvili st., 0177, Tbilisi, Georgia
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup>Antarctic Research Centre, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, 6140, Wellington, New Zealand
- <sup>3</sup>School of Geography Environment and Earth Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, 6140,
   Wellington, New Zealand
- <sup>4</sup>School of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, North Street, Irvine Building, St
- 12 Andrews, KY16 9AL, Scotland, UK
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>5</sup>Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, University of Northern British Columbia, 3333 University Way,
- 14 Prince George, V2N 4Z9, BC, Canada
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Glaciology, Institute of Geography of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 29 Staromonetniy Pereulok,
- 16 119017, Moscow, Russia
- <sup>17</sup> <sup>7</sup>National Research University Higher School of Economics, Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, 20, 101000, Moscow, Russia
- <sup>18</sup> <sup>8</sup>Department of Geography, University of Zurich, 190 Winterthurerstrasse, CH-8057, Zurich Switzerland
- 19

2 3

20 Correspondence to: Levan G. Tielidze (levan.tielidze@tsu.ge)

21

## 22 Abstract

23 Knowledge of supraglacial debris-cover and its changes remain incomplete in the Greater Caucasus, in spite of recent 24 glacier studies. Here we present data of supraglacial debris-cover for 659 glaciers across the Greater Caucasus based 25 on Landsat and SPOT images from the years 1986, 2000, and 2014. We combined semi-automated methods for mapping the clean-ice with manual digitization of debris-covered glacier parts and calculated supraglacial debris-26 cover area as the residual between these two maps. The accuracy of the results were assessed by using high resolution 27 28 Google Earth imagery and GPS data for selected glaciers. From 1986 to 2014, the total glacier area decreased from 29  $691.5\pm29.0 \text{ km}^2$  to  $590.0\pm25.8 \text{ km}^2$  ( $15.8\pm4.1\%$  or  $\sim0.52\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) while the clean-ice area reduced from  $643.2\pm25.9$  $\text{km}^2$  to 511.0±20.9  $\text{km}^2$  (20.1±4.0% or ~0.73% yr<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast supraglacial debris-cover increased from 7.0±6.4% 30 or  $48.3\pm3.1$  km<sup>2</sup> in 1986 to  $13.4\pm6.2\%$  (~0.22% yr<sup>-1</sup>) or 79.0±4.9 km<sup>2</sup> in 2014. Debris-free glaciers exhibited higher 31 area and length reductions than debris-covered glaciers. The distribution of the supraglacial debris-cover differs 32 33 between northern and southern and between western, central and eastern Greater Caucasus. The observed increase of 34 supraglacial debris-cover is significantly stronger in the northern slopes. Overall, we have observed up-glacier 35 average migration of supraglacial debris-cover from about 3015 m to 3130 m above sea level (asl) during the

- 36 investigated period.
- 37

## 38 **1 Introduction**

Supraglacial debris-cover affects surface melt with increasing ablation in cases of thin debris-cover (< a few cm), or decreasing ablation under continuous thick debris-cover (Östrem, 1959; Nicholson et al., 2018). Obtaining information about debris-cover is relevant not only with respect to its impact on glacier ablation but also because it is an important part of the sediment transport system (supraglacial, englacial, and subglacial) in cold and high mountains (Kellerer-Pirklbauer, 2008), which ultimately affect the overall dynamics, and mass balance of the glaciers. Several studies show an increase in debris-covered area with overall glacier shrinkage and mass loss (Deline, 2005; Stokes et al., 2007; Kirkbride and Deline; 2013; Glasser et al., 2016).

For regions where the local population is dependent on glacial meltwater supply, detailed knowledge of glacial 1 hydrology is important to ensure the sustainable use of water resources (Baraer et al., 2012). One difficulty of such 2 3 investigations is associated with limited knowledge of the large-scale extent, thickness, and properties of the 4 supraglacial debris-cover. Field measurements of debris layers have practical difficulties on a large scale, and methods 5 for estimating supraglacial debris thickness using remote sensing and modelling remain in development (Zhang et 6 al., 2016; Rounce et al., 2018). Several studies have also reported the role of debris-cover in promoting the formation 7 of supraglacial lakes (Thompson et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018), which are directly related to glacial hazards (Benn 8 et al., 2012). Therefore, it is necessary to take supraglacial debris-cover into account when assessing temporal change 9 of mountain glaciers.

10 Ice and snow melt in the Greater Caucasus are major sources of runoff for populated places in many parts of the 11 Caucasus region (Tielidze, 2017). Previous studies have also shown that in this region, supraglacial-debris cover is 12 an important control for ice ablation (Lambrecht et al., 2011), and a component in glacier mass balance (Popovnin 13 and Rozova, 2002). Thus, correct delineation of supraglacial debris-cover in the Greater Caucasus is vital to correctly 14 model future glacier development. A recent global study (Scherler et al., 2018) concluded that supraglacial debris-15 cover is abundant in the Caucasus and Middle East (more than 25% of glacier area) and that this region shows the 16 highest percent of supraglacial debris-cover worldwide. However, earlier studies indicated lower relative supraglacial 17 debris-cover than our study in the Greater Caucasus but are restricted to smaller regions (Stokes et al., 2007) or 18 individual glaciers (Lambrecht et al., 2011; Popovnin et al., 2015). Scherler et al. (2018) used the glacier outlines 19 from the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) v6 database (RGI consortium, 2017) with some geolocation errors and 20 nominal glaciers, representing glacier area by an ellipse and included in RGI to achieve global coverage in case no 21 other information were available (Pfeffer et al., 2014). Hence, there is a clear need to provide an improved estimate 22 of supraglacial debris-cover and its changes for this region.

Based on a recently published glacier inventory (Tielidze and Wheate, 2018), we present the first regional assessment of the spatial distribution of supraglacial debris-cover and related glacier changes between 1986, 2000 and 2014 for the Greater Caucasus.

### 27 2 Study area

26

28 The Greater Caucasus is one of the world's highest mountain systems, and the major mountain unit of the Caucasus 29 region containing about 96% of contemporary glacier area of the Caucasus and Middle East glacier region according 30 to RGI (Pfeffer et al., 2014). The range stretches for about 1300 km from west-northwest to east-southeast, between 31 the Taman Peninsula of the Black Sea and the Absheron Peninsula of the Caspian Sea. Using morphological and 32 morphometric characteristics, the Greater Caucasus can be divided into three parts - western, central and eastern. 33 Moreover, the Greater Caucasus can also be subdivided the northern and southern part according to the location 34 relative to the main crest of the range (Fig. 1). The central Greater Caucasus is the highest part of the main range 35 represented by the following summits exceeding 5000 m asl: Dykh-Tau - 5205 m, Shkhara - 5203 m, Jangha - 5058 36 m, and Pushkin Peak - 5034 m. The western and eastern sections are relatively lower with highest summits of Mt. 37 Dombai-ulgen (4046 m) and Mt. Bazardüzü (4466 m) respectively. Contemporary glaciers are concentrated mostly 38 along the main mountain range, as well as in the sub-ranges of the Greater Caucasus and separate massifs such as 39 Elbrus and Kazbegi-Jimara (Fig. 1). Elbrus is a separate dormant volcanic mountain on the border between western 40 and central Greater Caucasus about 10 km north from the main watershed divide. It is the highest summit of the 41 region with two peaks - western (5642 m) and eastern (5621 m).

According to the recent inventory (Tielidze and Wheate, 2018), this mountain range contains over 2000 glaciers with a total area of about 1200 km<sup>2</sup>. The northern slopes of the Greater Caucasus contain more glaciers than the southern slopes. The altitude of the glacier equilibrium line (ELA), increases from 2500–2700 m in the west to 3700-3950 m in the eastern sector of the northern slope of the Greater Caucasus (Mikhalenko et al., 2015). The ELA was

- determined to range from ~3030 m in the west to ~3480 m in the eastern section of the southern slope of the Greater 1
- 2 Caucasus (Tielidze, 2016). The ELA is ~1000 m higher on the northern slopes of the Elbrus than the southern slopes
- 3 of the central Greater Caucasus (Mikhalenko et al., 2015).
- 4



5 6 Figure 1. a - Investigated area and selected glaciers by regions. b - Three Landsat 5 TM satellite scenes 1985-1986. c - Three Landsat 7 ETM+ satellite scenes from 2000. d - Three Landsat 8 OLI satellite scenes from 2013-2014 and one (smaller) SPOT satellite scene from 2016.

- 7 8
- 9

We used the Glacier Classification Guidance from the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) 10 initiative for remote sensing observations (Rau et al., 2005) to define debris-free and debris-covered glaciers. 11 12 According to this guideline we consider all glaciers with a debris-coverage of more than 10% as debris-covered and 13 otherwise as debris-free glaciers.

14 As the Greater Caucasus range forms the boundary between temperate and subtropical climatic zones, the 15 orientation and height of the range determines the contrasts between the northern and southern slopes. The mean 16 annual temperatures at the northern slopes are usually 1-2°C cooler than those in the south (Tielidze and Wheate, 17 2018). The average regional lapse rate is minimum in winter (-2.3°C per 1000 m) and maximum (-5.2°C per 1000 m) 18 in summer (Kozachek et al., 2016).

19 Annual precipitation varies between 2000-2500 mm in the west and declines to 800-1150 mm in the east on the 20 northern slope. The central section of the southern slope receives over 2000 mm of precipitation while in the east, the 21 annual total is 1000 mm. The south-western section of the region is humid with annual precipitation about 3200 mm 22 (Volodicheva, 2002; Mikhalenko et al., 2015). The south-western slopes of the Greater Caucasus experience heavy 23 snowfall and snow avalanches (from November to April). Snow cover may reach 5-7 meters in several regions of the 24 western part of the Greater Caucasus, such as the Upper Svaneti and northern Abkhazeti regions in Georgia (Sylvén 25 et al., 2008).

#### 1 **3** Data and methods

#### 2 **3.1 Datasets**

We selected 659 glaciers with a total area of 590.0±25.8 km<sup>2</sup> (based on the "Greater Caucasus Glacier Inventory" 3 4 and representing the year 2014 (Tielidze and Wheate, 2018)): 223 glaciers in the western Greater Caucasus (145 -5 northern slope, 78 - southern slope); 285 in the central Greater Caucasus (173/112); and 130 in the eastern Greater Caucasus (130/0). In addition, all 21 glaciers on Elbrus (5 - northern slope, 8 - southern slope, 5 - western slope, 3 -6 7 eastern slope) - the largest glacierised massif in the whole region - were selected (Fig. 1a). Overall, this equals 49.5% and 32.6% of the Greater Caucasus total glacier area and number respectively. The size of the selected glaciers varied 8 9 between 37.5  $\text{km}^2$  and 0.01  $\text{km}^2$ .

10 A total of nine Landsat images were used in this study (Fig. 1 b-d; Table 1), downloaded from the Earthexplorer 11 website (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/). We also used an orthorectified high resolution (spatial resolution 1.5 m) 12 SPOT-7 satellite image from 2016, and the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission Digital Elevation Model (SRTM DEM 13 30 m) (Table 1). The Landsat scenes served as a basis for supraglacial debris-cover assessment while the SPOT image was used for corrections of supraglacial debris-cover areas of Elbrus. All imagery was captured between 28<sup>th</sup> July and 14 15 12<sup>th</sup> September, when glacier tongues were mostly free of seasonal snow under cloud-free conditions.

The Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation 16 Model (GDEM, 30 m), version 2 (http://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/gdem.asp) was used to measure mean upper limit of 17 18 the supraglacial debris-cover, and to calculate supraglacial debris-cover by 500 m elevation bands. We used these elevation bands to intersect our digitized debris-covered areas for 1985/86 to 2013/14, with the total area per elevation 19 20 band. Further datasets used in this study include high resolution images from Google Earth, and GPS measurements.

21

Date	UTM zone	Sensor	Region/Section	Resolution	Scene ID				
10/08/1985	37N	Landsat 5 TM	Western Greater Caucasus	30 m	LT51720301985222XXX04				
06/08/1986	38N	Landsat 5 TM	Central Greater Caucasus	30 m	LT51710301986218XXX02				
31/08/1986	38N	Landsat 5 TM	Eastern Greater Caucasus	30 m	LT51700301986243XXX03				
12/09/2000	37N	Landsat 7 ETM+	Western Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LE71720302000256SGS00				
05/09/2000	38N	Landsat 7 ETM+	Central Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LE71710302000249SGS00				
28/07/2000	38N	Landsat 7 ETM+	Eastern Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LE17003020000728SGS00				
23/08/2013	37N	Landsat 8 OLI	Western Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LC81720302013235LGN00				
03/08/2014	38N	Landsat 8 OLI	Central Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LC81710302014215LGN00				
28/08/2014	38N	Landsat 8 OLI	Eastern Greater Caucasus	15/30 m	LC81700302014240LGN00				
20/08/2016	37N	SPOT-7	Elbrus	1.5 m	DS_SPOT7201608200751063				
17/11/2011	37N	ASTER GDEM	Western Greater Caucasus	30 m	ASTGTM2_N43E041/E040_DEM				
17/11/2011	38N	ASTER GDEM	Central Greater Caucasus	30 m	ASTGTM2_N43E042/E043_DEM				
17/11/2011	38N	ASTER GDEM	Eastern Greater Caucasus	30 m	ASTGTM2_N42E045/E046_DEM				
23/09/2014	37N	SRTM DEM	Elbrus	30 m	SRTM1N43E042V3_DEM				

22 Table 1. Satellite images and digital elevation models used in this study.

23

#### 24 **3.2 Methods**

25 The widely used band ratio segmentation method (RED/SWIR with a threshold of  $\geq 2.0$ ) was used as the first step in 26 delineating clean-ice outlines for 2014 (Bolch et al., 2010; Paul et al., 2013) followed by intensive manual 27 improvements (removing misclassified areas, e.g. due to snow and shadows). In the next step supraglacial debris-28 cover was classified as the residual between these semi-automatically derived clean-ice outlines and the existing 29 manually mapped outlines which include the debris-covered parts (cf. Tielidze and Wheate, 2018) (Fig. 2a). To assess 30 temporal change, we calculated the area of supraglacial debris-cover for individual glaciers in a similar way for the

31 earlier years 1986 and 2000.



Figure 2. Mapping examples: a – supraglacial debris-cover (D) assessment with comparison of different methods: fully manual mapping (M) and semi-automated mapping (S) (ratio TM 3/5 threshold  $\geq 2.0$  followed by manual improvement). The Landsat image from 06/08/1986 is shown in the background. Examples of glacier outline accuracy assessment by GPS measurements: b - Adishi Glacier; c - Kirtisho Glacier. A Google Earth image from 19/09/2011 is shown in the background.

The buffer method (Granshaw and Fountain, 2006; Bolch et al., 2010) was used for uncertainty estimation for both clean-ice and debris-covered glacier parts. For clean-ice we used a 15 m (1/2 pixel) buffer (Bolch et al., 2010) and for debris-covered parts 60 m (two pixels) (Frey et al., 2012). We used the standard deviation of the uncertainty distribution for the estimate following Mölg et al. (2018) as a normal distribution can be assumed for this type of mapping error. This method is applied to glacier complexes excluding overlapping areas, as well as the boundary between clean- and debris-covered ice of the same glacier. The calculated uncertainty for the clean-ice/debris-covered 13 parts was on average 4.0%/6.4% for 1986, 4.1%/6.3% for 2000, and 4.1%/6.2% for 2014. The uncertainty estimates 14 for all Caucasus glaciers are described in previous studies (Tielidze, 2016; Tielidze and Wheate, 2018).

As an independent assessment of these uncertainty estimates, three randomly selected glacier outlines from 1 2 Landsat 8 (03/08/14) (including clean-ice and debris-covered parts) were imported into Google Earth and manually 3 adjusted using the available high-resolution Quickbird images (19/09/11) superimposed upon the SRTM3 topography 4 (Raup, et al., 2014). These glacier outlines were then compared with original outlines from Landsat 8 image 5 (03/08/14). The area differences between the two resulting sets of outlines were  $\pm 5.2\%$  for supraglacial debris-cover and ±3.4% for clean-ice which confirms our uncertainty estimate based on the buffer method. For Elbrus the high-6 7 resolution SPOT-7 imagery was used to estimate an extra uncertainty of the clean-ice and debris-covered area. The 8 area difference between SPOT-7 and Landsat 8 outlines was  $\pm 7.4\%$  for supraglacial debris-cover and  $\pm 4.1\%$  for clean-9 ice.

- An additional uncertainty assessment was performed using GPS (Garmin 62stc) measurements of glacier margins (>1200 points) obtained during field investigations in 2014. In total seven glaciers (Ushba, Chalaati, Lekhziri, Adishi, Shkhara, Zopkhito, Kirtisho) were surveyed. The horizontal accuracy of these measurements varied from  $\pm 4$  to  $\pm 10$ m, Fig. 2b-c shows the results of comparison between GPS measurements and Landsat based supraglacial debriscover/clean-ice outlines. The average accuracy based on all seven glacier measurements was  $\pm 30$  m for supraglacial debris cover and  $\pm 15$  m for clean-ice, hence again confirming the suitability of the selected buffer method.
- 16

### 17 **4 Results**

We found an absolute increase of supraglacial debris-cover for all investigated glaciers from  $48.3\pm3.1$  km<sup>2</sup> in 1986, to  $54.6\pm3.4$  km<sup>2</sup> in 2000 and  $79.0\pm4.9$  km<sup>2</sup> in 2014, in contrast to a reduction of the total glacier area. This equates to a total increase in the proportion of supraglacial debris-cover surface area from  $7.0\pm6.4\%$  in 1986, to  $9.1\pm6.3\%$  in 2000, and to  $13.4\pm6.2\%$  in 2014 (Table 2; Fig. 3). Supraglacial debris-cover was highest in the glacier area classes 1.0-5.0 km<sup>2</sup> and 5.0-10.0 km<sup>2</sup> for both northern and southern slopes (Fig. S1). The number of debris-covered glaciers (i.e. with an areal percentage of debris larger than 10% - see methods) also increased from 122 in 1986, to 143 in 2000, and to 172 in 2014.

For all regions investigated in the Greater Caucasus the rate of increase in supraglacial debris-cover varied between northern and southern slopes, and between the western, central and eastern sections of the mountain range (Table 2; Fig. 3, 4). The western Greater Caucasus experienced a supraglacial debris-cover area increase from  $4.1\pm6.8\%$  in 1986, to  $22.4\pm6.4\%$  in 2014, while the increase was significantly lower in the central Greater Caucasus over the last 30 years - from  $7.4\pm6.3\%$  to  $10.1\pm6.2\%$  (Table 2). The eastern Greater Caucasus with fewer glaciers almost doubled in supraglacial debris cover over the last 30 years from  $27.9\pm6.2\%$  to  $49.2\pm5.7\%$ .

Supraglacial debris-covered area increased from  $13.5\pm6.3\%$  to  $29.3\pm6.0\%$  for all three sections (western, central and eastern) on the northern slope (not including Elbrus), and from  $4.0\pm6.8\%$  to  $9.2\pm6.9\%$  on the southern slope of the Greater Caucasus between 1986 and 2014.

The Elbrus Massif contained the smallest percentage of supraglacial debris-cover in all our study regions, but it more than doubled between 1986 and 2014 (from 1.8±6.9% to 4.6±6.6%). Supraglacial debris-cover percentage increase and total glacier area decrease were both highest on the eastern slope of the Elbrus between 1986 and 2014, and lowest on the western slope (Fig. 5a-c).

Hypsometric profiles show that supraglacial debris-cover is most commonly found in the 2500-3000 m zone for Elbrus and the 1900-2500 m zone for the other regions (Fig. 6). The supraglacial debris-cover doubled from 6.4% to 12.2% (0.21% yr<sup>-1</sup>) in the 3000-3500 m zone for all selected glaciers in 1986-2014 (Fig. 6d) and increased in the 3500-4000 m zone for all regions and selected glaciers during the investigated period.

## 1 **Table 2.** Supraglacial debris-cover and clean-ice in the Greater Caucasus for 1985/86, 2000 and 2013/14 by regions and slopes. The error values are derived by a

2 buffer approach.

Section and river basin	Selected glacier number	Landsat 5 TM, 1985/86				Landsat 7 ETM+, 2000				Landsat 8 OLI, 2013/14. SPOT-7, 2016						
		Total glacier area km <sup>2</sup>	Clean ice	Debris-covered glacie		glacier	Total glacier	ior Clean ion	Debris-covered glacier		Total glasier	Clean ice	Debris-covered gl		glacier	
			area km <sup>2</sup>	Glacier number	Area km <sup>2</sup>	%*	area km <sup>2</sup>	area km <sup>2</sup>	Glacier number	Area km <sup>2</sup>	%*	area km <sup>2</sup>	area km <sup>2</sup>	Glacier number	Area km <sup>2</sup>	%*
Western Caucasus																
Northern slope	145	017+34	87 1+3 1	15	4.6±0.31	5 0+6 7	87 2+3 4	80.8+3.0	21	$6.2\pm0.41$	7 1+6 6	78 3+3 /	57.0±2.1	33	20 4+1 3	26 1+6 4
(Kuban)	145	91./±3.4	07.1±3.1	15	4.0±0.31	5.0±0.7	07.2±3.4	80.8±3.0	21	0.2±0.41	7.1±0.0	78.3±3.4	51.9±2.1	33	20.4±1.5	20.1±0.4
Southern slope	79	35 5+1 7	34 8+1 6	1	07+005	2 0+7 1	32 8+1 6	31 7+1 5	1	1 1+0 078	3 5+7 1	26 1+1 3	23 1+1 1	3	3.0+0.21	11 5+7 1
(Kodori)	78	55.5±1.7	54.0±1.0	1	0.7±0.05	2.0±7.1	52.8±1.0	51.7±1.5	1	1.1±0.076	5.5±7.1	20.1±1.5	23.1±1.1	3	5.0±0.21	11.5±7.1
Sum	223	127.2±5.1	121.9±4.7	16	5.3±0.36	4.1±6.8	119.8±5.0	112.5±4.5	22	7.3±0.48	6.1±6.6	104.4±4.4	81.0±3.2	36	23.4±1.5	22.4±6.4
Central Caucasus																
Northern slope																
(Baksan, Chegem,	173	211.0±8.6	194.7±7.6	28	16.3±1.0	7.7±6.1	203.2±8.6	184.5±7.5	37	18.7±1.1	9.2±6.1	185.3±8.3	161.9±6.9	42	23.4±1.4	12.6±6.0
Cherek)																
Southern slope	112	178 8+7 4	168 1+6 7	15	10.7+0.69	6.0+6.5	171 3+7 3	160 5+6 6	15	10.8+0.60	63+64	1/10 8+6 6	130 /+5 0	17	10/1+0/70	69+67
(Enguri)	112	1/0.0±/.4	100.1±0.7	15	10.7±0.07	0.0±0.5	1/1.5±7.5	100.5±0.0	15	10.0±0.07	0.5±0.4	147.8±0.0	137.4±3.7	17	10.4±0.70	0.7±0.7
Sum	285	389.8±15.0	362.8±14.4	43	27.0±1.7	7.4±6.3	374.5±15.9	345.0±14.1	52	29.5±1.8	7.9±6.2	335.1±14.9	301.3±12.8	59	33.8±2.1	10.1±6.2
Eastern Caucasus																
Northern slope (Tergi																
headwaters, Sunja	130	49 1+2 5	35 4+1 7	54	13 7+0 84	27 9+6 2	41 3+2 5	27 2+1 6	56	14 1+0 86	34 1+6 1	32 1+2 0	16 3+1 1	59	15 8+0 90	49 2+5 7
Right tributaries,	150	4).1±2.3	JJ.7±1.7	5-	13.7 ±0.04	27.7±0.2	71.314.3	<i>21.2</i> 1.0		14.1±0.00	54.11.0.1	32.1±2.0	10.5±1.1		15.0±0.70	<b>4</b> 7.4±3.7
Sulak)																
Elbrus Massif	21	125.4±5.3	123.1±5.1	9	2.3±0.16	1.8±6.9	120.9±4.6	117.2±4.3	13	3.7±0.25	3.1±6.8	118.4±4.2	112.4±3.8	18	6.0±0.4	4.6±6.6
All selected glaciers	659	691.5±29.0	643.2±25.9	122	48.3±3.1	7.0±6.4	656.5±27.9	601.9±24.5	143	54.6±3.4	9.1±6.3	590.0±25.8	511.0±20.9	172	79.0±4.9	13.4±6.2

3 \* % of the total glacier area



Figure 3. Percentage of supraglacial debris-cover in the Greater Caucasus for 1986, 2000 and 2014 by regions (glaciers are nonexistent on southern slopes of the eastern Greater Caucasus).

5 Supraglacial debris-cover area for (the largest) Bezingi Glacier in the Greater Caucasus increased from 4.4±0.3  $km^2$  or 11.0±5.9% to 7.5±0.4  $km^2$  or 20.0±6.0% (~0.32% yr<sup>-1</sup>) between 1986 and 2014 in contrast with a reduction 6 of the total glacier area from 40.0 $\pm$ 0.9 km<sup>2</sup> to 37.5 $\pm$ 0.9 km<sup>2</sup> (6.3 $\pm$ 2.2% or ~0.22% yr<sup>-1</sup>) during the same period with a 7 8 terminus retreat of ~374 m. Comparison with the debris-free Karaugom Glacier (third largest glacier of the Greater 9 Caucasus), located in the same region (northern slope of central Greater Caucasus), shows that the percentage area 10 reduction was almost three times greater than for the debris-covered Bezingi Glacier: from  $29.2\pm0.6$  km<sup>2</sup> to  $24.0\pm0.4$ 11  $(17.8\pm2.1\% \text{ or } \sim 0.63 \text{ yr}^{-1})$  with a terminus retreat of  $\sim 1366 \text{ m}$  (Fig. S2).

12 The mean upper limit of the supraglacial debris-cover migrated from ~3015 m to ~3130 m between 1986 and 2014 13 for all selected glaciers of the Greater Caucasus. The highest mean upper limit lies on the northern slopes of eastern 14 Greater Caucasus (~3626 m), while the lowest limit is on the southern slopes of western Greater Caucasus (~2850 15 m). On the Elbrus Massif the mean upper limit of the supraglacial debris-cover migrated from ~3345 m to ~3520 m between 1986 and 2014 (Fig. 7, Table S1). 16

#### 18 **5** Discussion

#### 19 5.1 Comparison with previous investigations

20 Our results are in agreement with studies assessing changes in supraglacial debris-cover for smaller areas in the 21 Greater Caucasus. For example, Stokes et al. (2007) calculated that supraglacial debris-cover increased by 3%-6% 22 (~0.20% yr<sup>-1</sup>) between 1985 and 2000 on several glaciers in the central Greater Caucasus. On individual glaciers, supraglacial debris-cover increased by 25%-30% (e.g. Shkhelda) in the same period. We found an increase of 23 24 supraglacial debris-cover from  $21.3\pm6.0\%$  to  $30\pm5.8\%$  (~0.65% yr<sup>-1</sup>) for Shkhelda Glacier between 1986 and 2000. Popovnin et al. (2015), reported a supraglacial debris-cover increase from 2% to 13% (~0.23% yr<sup>-1</sup>) between 1968-25 26 2010, based on direct field monitoring for the Djankuat Glacier (northern slope of the central Greater Caucasus). This 27 compares with our result of an area increase of supraglacial debris-cover for Djankuat Glacier from 2.6±6.9% to 28 9.8±6.1% (~0.25% yr<sup>-1</sup>) between 1986 and 2014. This difference can be explained in that the detailed field 29 measurement would have picked out smaller spots of debris-cover which were beyond the resolution of the satellite 30 imagery. Lambrecht et al. (2011) estimated that the supraglacial debris-cover distribution remained nearly constant 31 at ~16% between 1971 and 1991 in the Adyl-su River basin (northern slope of the central Greater Caucasus). Between 1991 and 2006, the supraglacial debris-cover started to increase noticeably reaching 23% (~0.46% yr<sup>-1</sup>) within 15 32 years. For the Zopkhito and Laboda glaciers (southern slope of the central Greater Caucasus), supraglacial debris-33

17



cover increase was lower in the same period (from 6.2% to 8.1% or ~0.12% yr<sup>-1</sup>). We measured the supraglacial

debris-cover increase in the same glaciers from  $4.9\pm6.5\%$  to  $6.1\pm6.4\%$  or ~0.08% yr<sup>-1</sup> between 1986 and 2000. 



Figure 4. Supraglacial debris-cover increase (yellow) and glacier area decrease (green) rates in the Greater Caucasus by slopes, sections and mountain massifs in 1986–2000 (a), 2000–2014 (b) and 1986–2014 (c).



Figure 5. Percentage of supraglacial debris-cover (SDC) for the Elbrus slopes in 1986 and 2014 (a). Total glacier area (km<sup>2</sup>) and supraglacial debris-cover area changes (km<sup>2</sup>) in 1986 (b) and 2014 (c) for the Elbrus slopes.



Figure 6. Hypsometry of supraglacial debris-cover, clean-ice and total glacier area, of the four study regions in 1986 and 2014. a – Elbrus, b – Northern Slope of the Greater Caucasus, c – Southern Slope, d – All selected glaciers. Supraglacial debris-cover percentage is given according to the different elevation zones in 1986 (brown digits) and 2014 (black digits).

We extracted both supraglacial debris-cover and clean-ice outlines from Scherler et al. (2018) for our glacier sample from 2014 to compare these results of our regional study with those from the global study. We found that a large portion of these glaciers in the Greater Caucasus are covered by supraglacial debris-cover. While for two regions the results match within the uncertainty (western Greater Caucasus: 22.4±6.4% vs. 23.7% and Elbrus 4.6±6.6% vs. 7.7%), our values are lower than the results of Scherler et al. (2018) for the other two regions ( $10.1\pm6.2\%$  vs. 30.8%

for central, 49.2±5.7% vs. 84.9% for eastern) (Fig. S3). These differences can mostly be explained by i) the RGI v6 1 used by Scherler et al. (2018), is characterized by some inconsistent co-registration for the Greater Caucasus region 2 3 which stems from the use of inadequately orthorectified satellite imagery to generate the inventory in contrast to the improved orthorectification of the Landsat L1T data (Fig. S4a); and ii) the RGI v6 contains nominal glaciers (i.e. 4 5 ellipses around glacier label points) for the Greater Caucasus region which originate from the use of the world glacier 6 inventory (WGI, Haeberli et al., 1989) to fill gaps with no data for earlier versions of the RGI (Pfeffer et al., 2014). 7 According to Scherler et al. (2018), all nominal glaciers were classified as debris-covered (Fig. S4b). We note that the scope of the study by Scherler et al. (2018) was an automatized global assessment of supraglacial debris-cover 8 9 from optical satellite data, without correcting any outlines in the RGI.

10



11 12 **Figure 7.** Mean

Figure 7. Mean upper limit (m asl) of the supraglacial debris-cover for 1986 and 2014 by different regions (glaciers are nonexistent on southern slopes of the eastern Greater Caucasus).

14

## 15 **5.2 Possible reasons for supraglacial debris-cover changes**

16 We observed a clear increase in supraglacial debris-cover in all investigated regions, which became more pronounced after 2000. Based on our investigation, the upper limit of supraglacial debris-cover migrated up-glacier (Fig. 7, 8; 17 18 Table S2) as a response to glacier retreat and reduced mass flux, as described by Stokes et al. (2007) and defined as 19 'backwasting' by Benn and Evans (1998). A similar pattern of up-glacier migration has also been described on Tasman 20 Glacier, New Zealand (Kirkbride and Warren, 1999), and on Zmuttgletscher Glacier, Swiss Alps (Mölg et al., 2019). 21 The results presented in this study indicate that the clean-ice area for all selected glaciers decreased from about 22 93% to 87% between 1986 and 2014 (Table 2). This reduction was caused by both glacier retreat and an increase in 23 total supraglacial debris-cover (Table 2, Fig. 3-6, S5). This finding is also consistent with field measurements on 24 Djankuat Glacier (Popovnin et al., 2015).

Glacier thinning and a warming atmosphere can lead to permafrost thawing and slope instability at higher altitudes (Deline et al., 2015). Rock avalanches after 2000 on some glaciers in the Greater Caucasus (particularly in the eastern section) might be one of the reasons why the increase rate was higher during our second time period (2000-2014). For example, a rock–ice avalanche onto the Devdoraki Glacier on 17 May 2014 (Tielidze et al., 2019) caused an area increase of supraglacial debris-cover from  $5.9\pm6.0\%$  to  $19.1\pm5.6\%$  or about 0.95% yr<sup>-1</sup> and a landslide after 2000 onto the Suatisi Glacier produced supraglacial debris-cover area increase from  $2.1\pm6.1\%$  to  $17.6\pm5.7\%$  or about 1.10% yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S6).



1 2

Figure 8. An example of the supraglacial debris-cover up-glacier migration onto the Shkhara Glacier: a - 1986, b - 2014 and Khalde Glacier: c - 1986, d - 2014.

5 Our investigation shows also that the supraglacial debris-cover increases more quickly on the northern slopes of the Greater Caucasus than on the southern, where higher solar radiation input commonly results in smaller glaciers 6 7 than on the northern slopes. Furthermore, smaller glaciers on the southern slope exist in high circues with much 8 steeper surface. Glaciers on the northern slopes are on average less steep than on the south mainly because most 9 valley glacier tongues in the north are longer and reach lower altitudes than the south-facing glaciers. This conclusion 10 is supported by Lambrecht et al. (2011) who observed a more rapid increase of supraglacial debris-cover on the 11 northern slopes than the southern.

12 The highest mean upper limit of the supraglacial debris-cover in the eastern Greater Caucasus can mostly be found 13 at small cirque and simply-valley type glaciers preserved at high altitudes and surrounded by rock walls.

14 The variation of supraglacial debris-cover area in the eastern, central and western Greater Caucasus could be 15 mostly caused by climate, lithology and morphological peculiarities of the relief. Some river basins in the eastern 16 Greater Caucasus are built on Jurassic sedimentary rocks, which are characterized by relatively high denudation rates 17 (Gobejishvili et al., 2011; Bochud, 2011) supporting supraglacial debris-cover formation. The relief of the central 18 Greater Caucasus is mainly constructed from Proterozoic and Lower Paleozoic plagiogranites, plagiogneisses, quartz 19 diorites and crystalline slates, which are more resistant and are less prone to the formation of rock avalanches. In

addition, the central Greater Caucasus is the highest section of the main range and glacier tongues are relatively 1 2 steeper and hence, less favourable for debris-cover accumulation. The western Greater Caucasus is hypsometrically 3 lower with less steep glaciers. This section is characterised by the highest glacier retreat after the eastern Greater 4 Caucasus. It is therefore possible that glaciers are also rapidly thinning favouring debris-covered over the ablation 5 area (Benn et al., 2012; Pratap et al., 2015). The dome of the anticlinorium of the western Greater Caucasus (crest of 6 the main water divide) is built on Proterozoic and Paleozoic plagiogneisses, granites, amphibolites, and crystalline 7 slates. This provides the framework for overall denudation of the high mountainous relief (over 3000 m) (Gobejishvili et al., 2019). Furthermore, this area is characterized by active tectonic and ongoing mountain building (uplifting) 8 9 processes (Tsereteli et al., 2016), which might be a further reason for increasing supraglacial debris-cover. We note 10 that all these reasons need confirmation by detailed field measurements and could be part of a separate investigation 11 since there is no accurate geographical pattern which otherwise explains the clear differences of the increase in 12 supraglacial debris cover.

13



14 15

16

**Figure 9.** Supraglacial debris-cover (SDC) increase on the Elbrus Massif from 1986 to 2014. SPOT-7 image 20/08/2016 is used as the background. Blue color shows retreat of clean-ice parts. Clean-ice in 1986 consists of the clean-ice 2014 (light blue, transparent) plus clean-ice area that retreated between 1986 and 2014 (dark blue).

Our results indicate more than doubling of supraglacial debris-cover area for Elbrus glaciers from 1986 to 2014 1 with the highest increase rate between 2000 and 2014 (Fig. 4, 9), although the total estimated uncertainty is 2 3 comparable to the obtained relative changes. Glaciers in the western slope of Elbrus are affected by avalanches and thus are partially debris-covered (Kutuzov et al., 2019). Glaciers on the eastern slope are characterized by high rates 4 5 of retreat and great expansion in proglacial lake number and area (Petrakov et al., 2007). The most significant increase 6 of supraglacial debris-cover occurred on the eastern oriented glaciers of Elbrus, where glaciers are characterized by 7 the highest thinning rates in recent years (Kutuzov et al., 2019). Detailed Ground-Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey helps to more accurately identify supraglacial debris-cover extent in this area (e.g. GPR measurements by Kutuzov 8 9 et al. (2019) showed that  $\sim$ 30 m of ice may be present under the previously considered ice-free area on the eastern 10 slope of Elbrus).

11 The glaciers in the Greater Caucasus have retreated continuously since 1960 (Tielidze and Wheate, 2018), 12 suggesting that the shielding effect of increased supraglacial debris-cover at the glacier surface may only partly offset 13 the retreat trend. This is in line with detailed observations of the evolution of Zmuttgletscher, in the Swiss Alps (Mölg 14 et al., 2019) and that mass changes of debris-covered and debris-free glaciers in the Himalaya are similar (e.g. Brun 15 et al., 2019; King et al., 2019). Direct field measurements show that thermal resistance of the < 20 cm supraglacial 16 debris-cover for some glaciers (e.g. Djankuat and Zpkhito) in the Greater Caucasus is relatively higher (0.07-0.15°C and 0.05-0.08°C m<sup>2</sup>/W) than in other glacierised regions of the world (e.g. Baltoro, Karakoram 0.02-0.07°C and Maliy 17 Aktru, Altay 0.02-0.09°C m<sup>2</sup>/W) (Lambrecht et al., 2011), preventing a more rapid retreat. This process is consistent 18 19 with our observations of the largest debris-covered (Bezingi) and debris-free (Karaugom) glaciers of the Greater 20 Caucasus, where the latter is characterized with higher area shrinkage and terminus retreat. Numerous authors have 21 found similar model results in the Himalaya (e.g. Scherler et al., 2011; Rowan et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2018). 22

### 23 6 Conclusions

We have presented supraglacial debris-cover change over the last 30 years in the Greater Caucasus region. Manual and semi-automated digitization from satellite imagery (Landsat, SPOT, Google Earth) were used to distinguish the supraglacial debris-cover area in 1986, 2000 and 2014. We expect that this study will significantly improve existing knowledge for this region.

28 The main conclusions of this research are summarized as follows:

i) An overall glacier shrinkage by 15.8±4.1% in the Greater Caucasus was accompanied by an increase of
 supraglacial debris-cover from 7.0±6.4% to 13.4±6.2% between 1986 and 2014. Clean-ice area reduced by
 20.1±4.0% during the same time.

32 ii) Supraglacial debris-cover increase rate was relatively lower between 1986 and 2000 ( $\sim 0.15\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>), while it 33 doubled in the second investigated period ( $\sim 0.30\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) partly explained by rock avalanches after 2000 on some 34 glaciers (particularly in the eastern section).

iii) The number of debris-covered glaciers (percentage of debris cover larger than 10%) increased from 122 in
1986, to 143 in 2000, and to 172 in 2014.

iv) Increase of supraglacial debris-cover was observed more rapidly on the northern slopes than on the southernones during the investigated periods.

v) The eastern Greater Caucasus represented the largest percentage of supraglacial debris-cover (49.2±5.7%) for
 2014, while the Elbrus Massif contained the lowest percentage of supraglacial debris-cover (4.6±6.6%) in all our
 study regions.

study regions.
Given the increasing degree of supraglacial debris-cover in the Greater Caucasus region, monitoring should be
continued, as it constitutes an important control on glacier response to climate change. The recent significant increase
of the supraglacial debris-cover area in this region may alter the glacier mass balance in different ways depending on

debris thickness and properties. Such feedbacks can affect future glacier evolution and should be considered in glacier
 modeling.

3 Future work should focus on using high resolution aerial/satellite imagery and more detailed field measurements,

e.g. glaciological mass balance measurements, characteristics of debris and debris thickness measurement by GroundPenetrating Radar, or incoming and reflected solar radiation, long-wave terrestrial and returned radiation. This will

- reduce uncertainties connected with supraglacial debris-cover assessment and glacier mapping accuracy in this
   region.
- 8

## 9 Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia (SRNSFG) [YS17\_12]. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the editor, Etienne Berthier, and two reviewers, Dirk Scherler and Sam Herreid, for useful suggestions and detailed comments which clearly enhanced the quality of the paper. We thank Shaun Eaves for cooperation during the preparation of the paper.

14

## 15 Information about the Supplement

16 The supplement includes glacier size classes with debris-covered and debris-free glaciers distributions for northern 17 and southern slopes (Fig. S1); Comparison of Debris-covered (Bezingi) and Debris-free (Karaugom) glaciers retreat between 1986 and 2014 (Fig. S2); Mean up-glacier migration of the upper limit of the supraglacial debris-cover for 18 19 1986 and 2014 by regions (Table S1); Relative supraglacial debris-cover for the western, central, and eastern Greater 20 Caucasus as well as for Elbrus based on the current study and in comparison to Scherler et al. (2018) (Figs. S3-S4); 21 Increased supraglacial debris-cover area for Devdoraki and Suatisi glaciers before and after rock-ice avalanches (Fig. 22 S5); A comparison of supraglacial debris-cover and clean-ice area distribution in 1986 and 2014 for south and north 23 facing glaciers (Fig. S6). Total glacier area and supraglacial debris-cover change on the Elbrus Massif from 1986 to

- 24 2014 (animation map).
- 25

## 26 Data availability

The new supraglacial debris-cover database created during the investigated period has been submitted to GLIMS, and can be used as a basis dataset for future studies.

29

30 Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/.....

31

32 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

33

## 34 **References**

- Baraer, M., Mark, B. G., McKenzie, J. M., Condom, T., Bury, J., Huh, K. I., Portocarrero, C., Gomez, J., and Rathay,
   S.: Glacier recession and water resources in Peru's Cordillera Blanca. Journal of Glaciology, 58, 134-150, 2012.
- 37 Benn, D. I., and Evans, D. J. A.: Glaciers and Glaciation, Arnold, London, 1998.
- Benn, D., Bolch, T., Hands, K., Gulley, J., Luckman, A., Nicholson, L., Quincey, D., Thompson, S., Toumi, R., and
  Wiseman, S.: Response of debris-covered glaciers in the Mount Everest region to recent warming, and
  implications for outburst flood hazards. Earth-Science Reviews, 114, 156-174, 2012.
- Bochud, M.: Tectonics of the Eastern Greater Caucasus in Azerbaijan. PhD Thesis, Faculty of Sciences of the
   University of Fribourg (Switzerland), 2011.
- Bolch, T., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R.: Landsat-based inventory of glaciers in western Canada, 1985–2005,
  Remote Sens. Environ., 114, 127–137, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.015, 2010.

- Brun, F., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Jomelli, V., Maharjan, S. B., Shrestha, F. and Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A.:
   Heterogeneous Influence of Glacier Morphology on the Mass Balance Variability in High Mountain Asia, Journal
   of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 124(6), 1331–1345, doi:10.1029/2018JF004838, 2019.
- Deline, P.: Change in surface debris cover on Mont Blanc massif glaciers after the 'Little Ice Age' termination. The
   Holocene, 15(2), 302–309. https://doi.org/10.1191/0959683605hl809rr, 2005.
- Deline, P., Gruber, S., Delaloye, R., Fischer, L., Geertsema, M., Giardino, M., Hasler, A., Kirkbride, M., Krautblatter,
   M., Magnin, F., McColl, S., Ravanel, L., and Schoeneich, P.: Chapter 15 Ice Loss and Slope Stability in High Mountain Regions. In W. Haeberli, Colin Whiteman, John, F. Shroder (Eds.): Snow and Ice-Related Hazards,
   Risks and Disasters. Boston: Academic Press, pp. 521–561, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394849-6.00015-
- 10

9, 2015.

- Frey, H., Paul, F., and Strozzi, T.: Compilation of a glacier inventory for the western Himalayas from satellite data:
   methods, challenges, and results, Remote Sens. Environ., 124, 832–843, 2012.
- Gobejishvili, R., Lomidze, N., and Tielidze, L.: Late Pleistocene (Wurmian) glaciations of the Caucasus, in:
   Quaternary Glaciations: Extent and Chronology, edited by: Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P. L., and Hughes, P. D., Elsevier,
   Amsterdam, 141–147, doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53447-7.00012-X, 2011.
- Gobejishvili R., Tielidze L., Astakhov N.: Western Greater Caucasus. In: Tielidze L. (eds) Geomorphology of
   Georgia. Geography of the Physical Environment. Springer, Cham. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77764-1\_8, 2019.
- Glasser, N., Holt, T. O., Evans, Z. D., Davies, B. J., Pelto, M., and Harrison, S.: Recent spatial and temporal variations
   in debris cover on Patagonian glaciers. Geomorphology 273, 202-216. doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.07.036,
   2016.
- Granshaw, F., D. and Fountain, A. G.: Glacier change (1958–1998) in the North Cascades National Park Complex,
   Washington, USA. J. Glaciol., 52(177), 251–256, doi: 10.3189/172756506781828782, 2006.
- Haeberli, W., Bösch, H., Scherler, K., Østrem, G. and Wallén, C. C. (Eds.): World glacier inventory status 1988.
   IAHS(ICSI)/UNEP/UNESCO, Nairobi. 1989.
- Jiang, S., Nie, Y., Liu, Q., Wang, J., Liu, L., Hassan, J., Liu, X., and Xu, X.: Glacier Change, Supraglacial Debris
   Expansion and Glacial Lake Evolution in the Gyirong River Basin, Central Himalayas, between 1988 and 2015.
   Remote Sens. 10, 986. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10070986. 2018.
- Kellerer-Pirklbauer, A.: The Supraglacial Debris System at the Pasterze Glacier, Austria: Spatial Distribution,
   Characteristics and Transport of Debris. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie, Supplementary 52 (1), 3–25. doi:
   10.1127/0372-8854/2008/0052S1-0003. 2008.
- King, O., Bhattacharya, A., Bhambri, R., Bolch, T.: Glacial lakes exacerbate Himalayan glacier mass loss. Scientific
   Reports 9 (1), 18145. 10.1038/s41598-019-53733-x. 2019.
- Kirkbride, M. P. and C. R. Warren.: Tasman Glacier, New Zealand: 20th-century thinning and predicted calving
   retreat. Global Planet. Change, 22 (1–4), 11–28, 1999.
- Kirkbride, M. P., Deline, P.: The formation of supraglacial debris covers by primary dispersal from transverse
   englacial debris bands. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 38, 1779–1792. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3416. 2013.
- Kozachek, A., Mikhalenko, V., Masson-Delmotte, V., Ekaykin, A., Ginot, P., Kutuzov, S., Legrand, M., Lipenkov,
   V., and Preunkert, S.: Large-scale drivers of Caucasus climate variability in meteorological records and Mt
   El'brus ice cores, Clim. Past, 13, 473–489, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-13-473-2017, 2017.
- Kutuzov S., Lavrentiev I., Smirnov A., Nosenko G. and Petrakov D.: Volume changes of Elbrus glaciers from 1997
  to 2017, Front. Earth Sci. 7:153. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00153.
- 42 Lambrecht, A., Mayer, C., Hagg, W., Popovnin, V., Rezepkin, A., Lomidze, N., and Svanadze, D.: A comparison of
- 43 glacier melt on debris-covered glaciers in the northern and southern Caucasus, The Cryosphere, 5, 525-538,
  44 doi:10.5194/tc-5-525-2011, 2011.
  - 16

- Mikhalenko, V., Sokratov, S., Kutuzov, S., Ginot, P., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Lavrentiev, I., Kozachek, A.,
   Ekaykin, A., Faïn, X., Lim, S., Schotterer, U., Lipenkov, V., and Toropov, P.: Investigation of a deep ice core
   from the Elbrus western plateau, the Caucasus, Russia, The Cryosphere, 9, 2253-2270, doi:10.5194/tc-9-2253 2015, 2015.
- Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Rastner, P., Strozzi, T., and Paul, F.: A consistent glacier inventory for Karakoram and Pamir
  derived from Landsat data: distribution of debris cover and mapping challenges, Earth Syst. 30 Sci. Data, 10,
  1807-1827, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1807-2018, 2018.
- Mölg, N., Bolch, T., Walter, A., and Vieli, A.: Unravelling the evolution of Zmuttgletscher and its debris cover since
  the end of the Little Ice Age, The Cryosphere, 13, 1889-1909, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1889-2019, 2019.
- Nicholson, L. I., McCarthy, M., Pritchard, H. D., and Willis, I.: Supraglacial debris thickness variability: impact on
   ablation and relation to terrain properties, The Cryosphere, 12, 3719-3734, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3719 2018, 2018.
- Östrem, G.: Ice Melting under a Thin Layer of Moraine, and the Existence of Ice Cores in Moraine Ridges.
   Geografiska Annaler, 41(4), 228-230, 1959.
- Paul, F., Barrand, N., Baumann, S., Berthier, E., Bolch, T., Casey, K., Frey, H., Joshi, S., Konovalov, V., Le Bris, R.,
  Mölg, N., Nosenko, G., Nuth, C., Pope, A., Racoviteanu, A., Rastner, P., Raup, B., Scharrer, K., Steffen, S., and
  Winsvold, S.: On the accuracy of glacier outlines derived from remote-sensing data, Ann. Glaciol., 54, 171–182,
  doi:10.3189/2013AoG63A296, 2013.
- Petrakov, D. A., Krylenko, I. V., Chernomorets, S. S., Tutubalina, O. V., Krylenko, I. N., and Shakhmina, M. S.:
  Debris flow hazard of glacial lakes in the Central Caucasus. Debris-Flow Hazards Mitigation: Mechanics,
  Prediction, and Assessment, Chen & Major, eds. Millpress, Netherlands, 2007.
- Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J., Hock, R., Kaser, G.,
  Kienholz, C., Miles, E. S., Moholdt, G., Mölg, N., Paul, F., Radic V., Rastner, P., Raup, B. H., Rich, J., Sharp,
  M. J., and The Randolph Consortium: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers,
  J. Glaciol., 60, 537–552, doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J176, 2014.
- Popovnin, V. V., and Rozova, A.: Influence of sub-debris thawing on ablation and runoff of the Djankuat Glacier in
   the Caucasus. Nord. Hydrol., 33, 75–94., 2002.
- Popovnin, V. V., Rezepkin, A. A., and Tielidze, L. G.: Superficial moraine expansion on the Djankuat Glacier snout
  over the direct glaciological monitoring period, Earth Cryosphere, vol. XIX, No. 1, pp. 79–87, 2015.
- Pratap, B., Dobhal, D., Mehta, M., and Bhambri, R.: Influence of debris cover and altitude on glacier surface melting:
   A case study on Dokriani Glacier, central Himalaya, India. Annals of Glaciology, 56(70), 9-16.
   doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A971, 2015.
- Rau, F., Mauz, F., Vogt, S., Singh Khalsa, S. J., and Raup, B.: Illustrated GLIMS Glacier Classification Manual,
   Glacier Classification Guidance for the GLIMS Glacier Inventory. 2005. www.glims.org
- Raup, B. H., Khalsa, S. J. S., Armstrong, R. L., Sneed, W. A., Hamilton, G. S., Paul, F., Cawkwell, F., Beedle, M. J.,
  Menounos, B. P., Wheate, R. D., Rott, H., Shiyin, L., Xin, Li., Donghui, S., Guodong, C., Kargel, J. S., Larsen,
  C. F., Molnia, B. F., Kincaid, J. L., Klein, A., and Konovalov, V.: Quality in the GLIMS glacier database, in:
- Global Land Ice Measurements from Space, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 163–182, doi:10.1007/978-3-54079818 7 7, 2014.
- 40 RGI Consortium.: Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) A dataset of global glacier outlines: version 6.0, Technical
   41 Report. Global Land Ice Measurements from Space, Boulder, Colorado, USA. 2017.
- Rounce, D. R., King, O., McCarthy, M., Shean, D. E., Salerno, F.: Quantifying Debris Thickness of Debris-Covered
   Glaciers in the Everest Region of Nepal Through Inversion of a Subdebris Melt Model. J. Geophys. Res. 123 (5),
- 44 1094–1115. Doi: 10.1029/2017JF004395. 2018.

- Rowan, A. V., Quincey, D. J., Egholm, D. L., and Glasser, N. F.: Modelling the feedbacks between mass balance,
   ice flow and debris transport to predict the response to climate change of debris-covered glaciers in the
   Himalaya. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.430, 427–438. doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.09.00, 2015.
- Scherler, D., Bookhagen, B., and Strecker, M. R.: Spatially variable response of Himalayan glaciers to climate change
   affected by debris cover. Nature Geoscience vol. 4, pp. 156-159. https://doi. 10.1038/NGEO1068, 2011.
- Scherler, D., Wulf, H. and Gorelick, N.: Global assessment of supraglacial debris-cover extents. Geophysical
   Research Letters, 45. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080158, 2018.
- 8 Stokes, C. R., Popovnin, V. V., Aleynikov, A., and Shahgedanova, M.: Recent glacier retreat in the Caucasus
  9 Mountains, Russia, and associated changes in supraglacial debris cover and supra/proglacial lake development,
  10 Ann. Glaciol., 46, 196–203, 2007.
- Sylvén, M., Reinvang, R., and Andersone-Lilley, Ž.: Climate Change in Southern Caucasus: Impacts on nature,
   people and society (WWF overview report). WWF Norway WWF Caucasus Programme, 2008.
- Thompson, S., Benn, D., Mertes, J. And Luckman A.: Stagnation and mass loss on a Himalayan debris-covered
   glacier: processes, patterns and rates. Journal of Glaciology. Vol. 62, Issue 233. pp. 467-485,
   doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.37, 2016.
- Tielidze, L. G.: Glacier change over the last century, Caucasus Mountains, Georgia, observed from old topographical
   maps, Landsat and ASTER satellite imagery, The Cryosphere, 10, 713-725, doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-713-2016,
   2016.
- Tielidze L.: Introduction. In: Glaciers of Georgia. Geography of the Physical Environment. Springer, Cham,
   https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50571-8\_1, 2017.
- Tielidze, L. G. and Wheate, R. D.: The Greater Caucasus Glacier Inventory (Russia, Georgia and Azerbaijan), The
   Cryosphere, 12, 81-94, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-81-2018, 2018.
- Tielidze, L. G., Kumladze, R. M., Wheate, R. D., and Gamkrelidze, M.: The Devdoraki Glacier Catastrophes,
  Georgian Caucasus. Hungarian Geographical Bulletin, 68(1), 21-35. https://doi.org/10.15201/hungeobull.68.1.2,
  2019a.
- Tielidze L., Gobejishvili R., Javakhishvili A.: Eastern Greater Caucasus. In: Tielidze L. (eds) Geomorphology of
   Georgia. Geography of the Physical Environment. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77764 1\_10, 2019b.
- Tsereteli, N., Tibaldi, A., Alania, V., Gventsadse, A., Enukidze, O., Varazanashvili, O., and Müller, B. I. R.: Active
  tectonics of central-western Caucasus, Georgia. Tectonophysics, 691, 328-344.
  doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.10.025, 2016.
- Volodicheva, N.: The Caucasus, in: The Physical Geography of Northern Eurasia, edited by: Shahgedanova, M., 350–
   376, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- Zhang Y., Hirabayashi, Y., Fujita, K., Liu, S., and Liu, Q.: Heterogeneity in supraglacial debris thickness and its role
   in glacier mass changes of the Mount Gongga, Science China Earth Sciences 59, 170, doi:10.1007/s11430-015 5118-2, 2016.