The impact of model resolution on the simulated Holocene retreat of the Southwestern Greenland Ice Sheet using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM)

5 Joshua K. Cuzzone^{1,2}, Nicole-Jeanne Schlegel², Mathieu Morlighem¹, Eric Larour², Jason P. Briner³, Helene Serous<u>s</u>i², Lambert Caron²

¹University of California, Irvine, Department of Earth System Science, Croul Hall, Irvine, CA 92697-3100, USA

10 ²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive MS 300-323, Pasadena, CA 91109-8099, USA

³Department of Geology, University of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY USA

Correspondence to: Joshua K. Cuzzone (Joshua.K.Cuzzone@jpl.nasa.gov)

15 Abstract

Geologic archives constraining the variability of the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) during the Holocene provide targets for ice sheet models to test sensitivities to variations in past climate and model formulation. Even as data-model comparisons are becoming more common, many models simulating the behaviour of the GrIS during the past rely on meshes with coarse horizontal resolution (≥10 km). In this study, we explore the

- 20 impact of model resolution on the simulated nature of retreat across Southwestern Greenland during the Holocene. Four simulations are performed using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM); three which use a uniform mesh and horizontal mesh resolutions of 20 km, 10 km, and 5 km and <u>one using a non-uniform mesh</u> with resolution ranging from 2 km to 15 km. We find that the simulated retreat can vary significantly between models with different horizontal resolutions based on how well the bed topography is resolved. In areas of
- 25 low topographic relief, the horizontal resolution plays a negligible role in simulated differences in retreat, with each model instead responding similarly to surface mass balance (SMB) driven retreat. Conversely, in areas where the bed topography is complex and high in relief, such as fjords, the lower resolution models (10 km and 20 km) simulate retreat that occurs, as ice-surface lowering intersects bumps in the bed topography which would otherwise be resolved as troughs using the higher resolution grids. Our results highlight the
- 30 important role that high resolution grids play in simulating retreat in areas of complex bed topography, but also suggest that models using non-uniform grids can save computational resources through coarsening the mesh in areas of non-complex bed topography where the SMB predominantly drives retreat. Additionally,

Formatted: Font: Times

Deleted: one non-uniform mesh

Deleted: driven as

Deleted: unrealistic

these results emphasize that care must be taken with ice sheet models when tuning model parameters to match reconstructed margins, particularly for lower resolution models in regions where complex bed topography is poorly resolved.

5 1 Introduction

10

As the cryosphere community continues to make strides in understanding processes that govern variability of the present-day ice sheets, geologic proxies constraining past ice sheet change provide important clues as to how ice sheets may have responded to past climate change (Alley et al., 2010). Decades of research have led to the development of high-resolution geologic reconstructions that detail the spatial pattern and rate of retreat of the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) over the last deglaciation as it evolved towards its present-day geometry (Weidick, 1968; Bennike and Bjorck, 2002; Young and Briner, 2015).

Southwestern Greenland is an area that experienced a large reduction in ice sheet extent. The ice margin retreated on the order of 150 km inland from the present-day coastline in response to warming during the

- 15 early and middle Holocene (Briner et al., 2016). This landscape is punctuated by widely traceable moraine sequences (Weidick, 1968; Ten Brink and Weidick, 1974) that extend nearly 600 km throughout western Greenland and provide a constraint on the past retreat pattern of the GrIS in this region; the chronology of these moraines continues to be refined (Weidick, 2012; Young et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2015; Lesnek and Briner, 2018). This history provides a benchmark for ice sheet model-data comparisons that will further
 20 enhance our understanding of the processes that influenced GrIS variability during the past, while at the same
- time will help to highlight deficiencies in existing model frameworks.

Currently, ice sheet models simulating the evolution of the GrIS are focused either on long term spinups over a glacial cycle (Huybrechts, 2002; Applegate, 2012), or its evolution during the last deglaciation (Tarasov

- 25 and Peltier, 2002; Simpson et al., 2009; Lecavalier et al., 2014; Buizert et al., 2018, Lecavalier et al., 2017). While many of these studies were primarily concerned with capturing the overall mass changes of the GrIS, one lineage of studies incorporated datasets of past GrIS change to develop a data constrained model of its evolution over the last deglaciation. This was achieved by pairing an ice sheet model with a glacial isostatic adjustment and relative sea-level model (Tarasov and Peltier, 2002; Simpson et al., 2009; Lecavalier et al.,
- 30 2014; Lecavalier et al., 2017). By incorporating data constraining the location of the GrIS beyond the present-day coastline, its vertical extent through time (i.e. ice thinning records), and records of relative sea-level, the studies by Lecavalier et al. (2014, 2017) represent the most comprehensive model of GrIS change

during the last deglaciation, with the results recently being compared against geologic archives of ice margin change (Larsen et al., 2015; Young and Briner, 2015; Sinclair et al, 2016).

- While the models of Lecavalier et al. (2014, 2017) capture well the timing and retreat pattern associated withthe deglaciation in many locations, large mismatches occur, particularly in southwestern Greenland and in areas where fast flow may have dominated (Young and Briner, 2015; Sinclair et al., 2016). The climatology used to force ice sheet models through time remains a primary source for uncertainty, and great strides have been made to improve our understanding of the past climate history in Greenland through improved reconstructions of temperature (e.g., Kobashi et al., 2017; Lecavalier et al., 2017) and methods involving data assimilation of paleoclimate proxies with climate model output (Hakim et al., 2016; Buizert et al., 2018).
- Although recent experiments have investigated sensitivities to model formulation (Zekollari et al., 2017) and horizontal resolution over past climates (Zekollari et al., 2017; Seguinot et al. 2016; Golledge et al., 2012), testing the sensitivity of simulated ice retreat to the ice flow dynamics model (i.e. the level of complexity in its numerical approximations) and to model resolution, both in time and space still remains an important area of research.

With regards to model setup, the use of coarse model resolutions (≥ 10 km grid spacing) might explain some of the model-data discrepancy (Larsen et al., 2015; Young and Briner, 2015; Sinclair et al., 2016). Driven by how well models resolve subglacial topography, simulations for the present day GrIS have shown an

- 20 important dependence of model resolution <u>for accurately simulating ice flow across Greenland (Greve and</u> Herzfeld, 2013; Aschwanden et al., 2016). Dependence of model resolution also extends to modeling future ice mass loss, where higher-resolution models simulate more mass loss than models with lower resolutions (Greve and Herzfeld, 2013). Although some work has focused on model resolution and its impact on simulated mass flux from the GrIS for the present day and future, how model resolution affects simulated
- 25 retreat in paleo-ice-sheet-modeling studies is not well constrained. Prior work demonstrates that low resolution grids limit a model's ability to capture features such as ice streams and marine terminating outlet glaciers (Aschwanden et al., 2016), which might be on the order of a few kilometers in width. Additionally, in land terminating portions of an ice sheet, low model resolution may lead to large jumps in the snowline, which ultimately can lead to large advances or retreat in the ice margin on the order of the model resolution
- 30 (Young and Briner, 2015; Sinclair et al., 2016), and therefore limit the ability to capture smaller-scale ice marginal fluctuations (i.e. km scale).

(Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: Times

Formatted: Font: Times

Deleted: An area within paleoclimate ice sheet modeling that remains not well tested, however, is the sensitivity of simulated ice retreat to the ice flow dynamics model (i.e. the level of complexity in its numerical approximations) and to model resolution, both in time and space.

Deleted: on

In this study, we present results from regional ice sheet modeling experiments in Southwestern Greenland over the Holocene using the three-dimensional thermomechanical Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM). We build on earlier efforts that focused on this ice sheet sector (e.g., Van Tatenhove et al., 1995). Ice model resolution is the primary target for assessment here, with four separate simulations being run, each with its own horizontal resolution ranging from 20 km to 2 km. Since model resolution is a constraint that is typically not explored when studying the past due to the computational cost, in this study, we aim to determine whether increased model resolution is worth the computation time for simulating past ice sheet retreat.

2 Model description and setup

10 2.1 Ice sheet model

5

We use the Ice Sheet System Model_v4.13 (ISSM; Larour et al., 2012), a finite element, thermomechanical ice sheet model. We choose the higher-order approximation of Blatter (1995) and Pattyn (2003), herein referred to as BP, to solve the momentum balance equations. Although recent work has used the higher order approximation in simulations over past time periods (Zekollari et al., 2017), this ice flow approximation still
remains not commonly used when simulating over paleoclimate timescales. We use this approximation, however, as our choice is based upon representing the past dynamics of the ice sheet history as best as possible even though computational time is increased over conventional paleoclimate ice sheet models using the more common shallow ice approximation (SIA; Hutter, 1983).

- 20 The model domain for this study (Figure 1) focuses on the southwestern region of Greenland where geologic proxies detail Holocene ice retreat from the present-day coastline (Weidick, 1968; Ten Brink and Weidick, 1974). By constraining our domain to southwestern Greenland, the number of mesh elements within the model can be minimized when compared to modeling the entire GrIS, therefore reducing computational load. The model domain extends from the present-day coastline to the ice sheet divide. The southern and northern
- 25 borders of the domain coincide with areas of minimal north-to-south across-boundary flow based upon present-day ice-surface velocities from Rignot and Mouginot (2012). The associated boundary conditions used to drive the model are discussed in section 2.6.

2.2 Domain discretization

30 Typically, prior paleoclimate ice sheet modeling efforts across Greenland have used uniform meshes with a horizontal resolution of 20 km (Simpson 2009; Lecavalier, 2014); a more recent model used a 10 km horizontal mesh resolution (Buizert et al., 2018). For the following experiments, the first three models are generated using a uniform triangular grid, with horizontal resolutions of 20 km, 10 km, and 5 km. The fourth

Deleted: Although this

Deleted: is typically not used to model ice sheets over paleoclimate timescales, **Deleted:** o **Deleted:** on

Deleted: Because many of the geologic archives constraining past margin behavior reside in areas of complex topography, coarse-resolution models do a poor job of capturing the complexities of the underlying topography, which is one condition important for capturing contemporary ice flow (Aschwanden et al., 2016).

4

The

model (herein referred to as *non-uniform*) relies on anisotropic mesh adaptation, whereby the element size varies as a function of the bed topography (see Figure S1 for visualization of mesh resolutions). The maximum horizontal mesh resolution is 15 km where gradients in the bed topography are smooth (primarily over the interior of the domain) and becomes progressively finer in areas of high relief, with a minimum

- 5 horizontal resolution of 2 km (mainly in fjord regions). The bed topography for each model is taken from BedMachine Greenland v3 (Morlighem et al, 2017) and is initialized with present-day ice surface elevation from the GIMP DEM of Howat et al. (2014). In Figure 2, the corresponding bed height is shown for each model detailing the associated differences based on horizontal grid resolution. Generally, the bed topography is captured better using the higher resolution mesh, with the non-uniform mesh (Figure 2A) being able to
- 10 best resolve valleys along the present-day coastline. The 5 km mesh captures the same general topographic features as the non-uniform mesh albeit with less detail. At 10 km, individual valleys become unresolved, particularly around Nuuk and Jakobshavn Isbræ (see Figure 1 for locations). The 20 km model fails to capture any topographic features that would hold glacier outlets.

15 2.3 SMB (SMB)

et al., 1993) as follows:

20

We use the positive degree day method outlined in Tarasov and Peltier (1999) to construct the necessary accumulation and ablation history used to drive our ice sheet model during the past from monthly mean temperature and precipitation fields. The spatial monthly mean surface air temperature and precipitation climatology spanning the period 1980-2010 is taken from Box et al. (2013). The surface air temperatures are then scaled based upon isotopic variations in the Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP) δ^{18} O record (Dansgaard

- $\Delta T(t) = d(\delta^{48}O(t) + 34.83)$
- 25 where d = 2.4°C‰⁻¹ (Huybrechts, 2002). Anomalies from equation 1 are applied to the present-day climatology to create a temperature forcing back through time. <u>Precipitation rate changes 7.3% for every</u> 1°C of temperature change derived in equation 1 <u>(Huybrechts, 2002)</u>. For the positive degree day scheme, snow melts first (0.006 m °C⁻¹ day⁻¹) followed by bare ice (0.0083 m °C⁻¹ day⁻¹), with allocation for the formation of superimposed ice included (see supplemental information in Le Morzadec et al., 2015). The temperature forcing is adjusted throughout the run using a lapse rate correction of 5°C km⁻¹ (Abe-Ouchi et al., 2007) to account for changes in ice surface height throughout the simulation, while elevation-dependent

desertification is included (Budd and Smith, 1981) to ensure reduction in precipitation by a factor of 2 for

(Formatted: Font: Bold Deleted: in areas of smooth bed topography

Deleted: M

Deleted: Deviations in the

Deleted: p

Deleted: climatology arise from a purely thermodynamic relationship as precipitation

(1)

every kilometer change in ice sheet surface elevation. Further details regarding the positive degree day and accumulation scheme implemented within ISSM can be found in Le Morzadec et al. (2015).

2.4 Thermal model and basal drag

20

- 5 The thermal evolution of the ice is captured using an enthalpy formulation described in Aschwanden et al., (2012), which includes formulations for both temperate and cold ice. <u>Transient surface air temperatures are</u> imposed at the ice surface, while geothermal heat flux (from Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2004) is applied at the base. The model contains 5 vertical layers, with spacing between layers decreasing modestly towards the base. To simulate the vertical distribution of temperature within the ice sheet, we rely on quadratic finite
- 10 elements (i.e. P1xP2) along the z-axis as a means for our vertical interpolation. Details of the implementation and description of these higher-order vertical finite elements can be found in Cuzzone et al. (2018). Through using higher-order finite elements as a means for vertical interpolation, this method allows the ice sheet model to capture sharp thermal vertical gradients particularly at the bed, which is an improvement over conventional methods using a linear vertical interpolation, despite having fewer vertical layers. This ultimately limits the necessity for a large number of vertical layers in our ice model and therefore decreases
- computational load.

To capture spatial variations in sliding, the spatially varying basal drag coefficient (k) in equation 2 is derived using inverse methods (Morlighem et al., 2010; Larour et al., 2012), providing the best match between modeled and InSAR surface velocities (Rignot and Mouginot, 2012).

	$\tau_b = -k^2 N \mathcal{Y}_b \; , \qquad \qquad$	(2)	(Deleted: $N^r V_b ^{s-1}$	
	where the τ_b represents the basal stress, N represents effective pressure, p_b represents magnitude of	of the basal	(Deleted: V _b	
25	velocity, Since the drag coefficient (k) derived using this methodology is constrained to modern day, we	e adopt an		Deleted: , and r and s are friction law exponents, set equal to 1 for these experiments	
	approach based upon Hindmarsh and LeMeur (2001) and Greve (2005) to construct a spatial	lly varying			
	temperature dependent scaling parameter (λ) as a function of time.				
30	$\lambda_t = e^{(T_{b(modern)} - T_{b(t)})/\alpha},$	(3)			
	where, $T_{b(modern)}$ is the basal temperature relative to pressure melting derived from a thermal st	teady-state			
	computation for modern day (Seroussi et al., 2013), $T_{b(t)}$ is the basal temperature relative to pressu	are melting		Deleted: 4	

6

Deleted: S

Deleted: transiently

at time *t*, and α is a constant scaling factor (°C) often referred to as the sub-melt parameter (Hindmarsh and Le Meur, 2001). For these simulations α is set equal to 5. This number was chosen as it allows for a Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) GrIS simulated ice volume that is consistent with other ice sheet models that restrict ice extent only to present day land (Applegate et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2011). It is noted that values for this parameter lack a theoretical basis (Hindmarsh and Le Meur, 2001), and are often set to a value that prevents numerical instabilities from arising. Lastly, we scale the spatially varying basal drag coefficient (*k*), as a function of λ with maximum values capped at 300 to limit numerical instabilities that may arise

15

$10 \quad \tau_b = -\lambda_t \min(300, k^2) N v_b$

through time.

from unreasonably large numbers:

For this approach, the basal stress (τ_b) increases as the basal temperatures decrease relative to present day, with virtually no sliding occurring for high values of k. Conversely, the basal stress τ_b decreases as basal temperatures increase, with high sliding for low values of k. Lastly, the ice hardness, B, is temperature dependent following the rate factors given in Cuffey and Paterson (2010, p. 75). We initialize B by solving for a present-day thermal steady state (Cuzzone et al., 2018), while during forward runs, B evolves transiently

2.5 Experimental setup and boundary conditions for regional domain

- At the ice front, we impose a free-flux boundary condition, and Dirichlet boundary conditions for the southern, northern and ice divide boundaries. To create the necessary transient boundary conditions (ice thickness, temperature, and velocity), we perform a continental-scale GrIS simulation from the last glacial maximum (21,500 years ago) to present day. This continental-scale simulation uses the BP ice flow approximation and is performed on a 5-layer non-uniform mesh ranging in horizontal resolution from 3 km in areas of high present-day surface velocities to 20 km over the ice interior. It is performed using forcings
- and parameterizations similar to the regional model, as described in sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5.

For the regional model, we initialize the model with present day geometry and run a relaxation centered at 12,000 years ago, applying the appropriate interior ice boundary conditions of ice thickness, ice temperature,
and the x and y component of ice velocity from the continental-scale GrIS simulation. This time period is chosen as the ice margin over southwestern Greenland was near or at the present-day coastline with the margin remaining stable during this interval (Young and Briner, 2015). The relaxation runs 20,000 years until the ice volume is in equilibrium. From here, the models are run transiently to present day. Since ISSM

currently does not have capabilities to model solid earth viscoelastic deformation transiently, we include an offline time-dependent forcing that accounts for changes in relative sea level from glacial isostatic adjustment (Caron et al., 2018), which modifies the land area available for glaciation and impacts the presence of floating ice. While grounding line migration is simulated in these experiments, calving and submarine melting of floating ice is not included.

2.6 Present day thermal steady state ice surface velocities

The thermal steady state ice surface velocities for present day are shown for each individual model (Figure 10 3). Generally, representation of faster ice flow along the coast improves with increasing resolution (i.e. increasing RMSE for lower resolution models compared to Rignot and Mouginot (2012)). This is primarily attributed to an improved representation of subglacial topography and ice thickness in the more highly resolved models (Aschwanden et al., 2016). As many of the outlet glaciers along this margin have troughs that are on the order of a few kilometers in width, the lower resolution models (10 km and 20 km) do not

- 15 fully resolve the fast-flowing ice streams of Jakobshavn Isbrae and outlets to its north. Outlet glaciers in the southern portion of the domain near Kangiata Nunâta Sermia (KNS) are also less well resolved in the lower resolution models, although the general swath of higher velocities is captured well for most fast-flowing areas of the ice sheet when compared to the observations (Rignot and Mouginot, 2012). It is noted that the nonuniform mesh represents these faster flow features best when compared to observations in most regions due
- to its high resolution. Accordingly, the associated mass flux at the ice margin is representative of these 20 differences in model resolution, with the 10 km and 20 km models having approximately a 25% increase in mass flux (GT/yr) compared to the observations, while the 5 km and non-uniform mesh have approximately 5 to 9% increase in mass flux compared to observations.

25

5

3 Results

3.1 Relaxed state at 12 ka

30

The models are relaxed for 20,000 years using a constant climate corresponding to 12 ka (Figure 4 \underline{A}). The four models simulate decreasing ice volume with decreasing model resolution; the 20 km model simulates approximately 6% less total ice volume than the non-uniform model. Ice surface velocities for the relaxed states (Figure 5) depict the role of model horizontal resolution in capturing fjords and narrow outlets close to the model domain edge (i.e. present-day coastline). Generally, the two higher-resolution models (nonuniform and 5 km) capture narrow, fast flow in these outlets, whereas the lower resolution models simulate

Deleted: increasing Deleted: decreasing a more diffuse pattern in the ice surface velocities. This is primarily the case in areas where the bed topography is better resolved in the higher-resolution models, and therefore confines the flow to narrow outlets. Ice velocities are reduced in the higher-resolution models for areas where the low bed topography that channels ice flow is interrupted by bumps and depressions in the bed. These features become less resolved in the lower resolution models, with the 20 km model simulating much higher ice surface velocities in the Nuuk and Jakobshavn areas. Consistently, ice mass flux (in GT/yr) along the ice front (at the present-day coastline) is 34% and 14% higher than the non-uniform model for the 20 km and 10 km model,

respectively, which is the primary driver for lower simulated ice volumes for the relaxed 12 ka state.

5

15

10 3.2 Simulated ice volume (12 ka to present day)

The ice volume evolution for each model is shown in **Figure <u>4B</u>**, Generally, the 20 km and 10 km models simulate the lowest present-day ice volumes, but they also begin at 12 ka with lower ice volumes than the higher-resolution models. Each model follows a similar trend, with ice volume loss occurring between 12 ka and 1 ka, followed by an increase in ice volume to present day.

3.3 Large scale simulated retreat

Figure 6 shows the simulated extent at 11.2 ka, 10.5 ka, and 9.5 ka for each model (middle and bottom row). All four models generally show ice retreat from the coastline occurring between 11.5 ka and 11.2 ka in the northern portion of the model domain, whereas the ice margin experiences little to no retreat farther south.

- 20 Despite differences in horizontal resolution, all models show a similar magnitude and pattern of retreat, with higher-resolution models depicting details in the ice margin similar in scale and sinuosity to the mapped pattern of moraines (see Figure 6 top row for mapped moraines). Similarity of the magnitude and pattern of retreat also occurs at 10.5 ka and 9.5 ka amongst all models. In contrast to the northern portion of the model domain, the southern portion features a simulated retreat that varies widely based upon model resolution. For
- 25 example, at 10.5 ka, the higher-resolution models (5 km and non-uniform) exhibit little retreat from the coastline, whereas the 10 km and 20 km models show upwards of 50-60 km of retreat. Differences in retreat between the higher- and lower-resolution models are further seen at 9.5 ka. Over land-terminating portions in the southern area, the modeled ice margin retreats similarly within all models; however, in the fjord regions (e.g. inland of Nuuk), only the 10 km and 20 km models show ice margin retreat (of ~50-70 km) whereas the
- 30 higher-resolution models exhibit no ice margin retreat.

3.4 Simulated retreat (12 ka to present day) - along flowline

Deleted: 6

Deleted: A along with the Min-Max normalized ice volume shown in Figure 6B

Deleted: During ~10 ka and 5 ka the relative rate of ice mass loss increases for the higher-resolution models (**Figure 6B**), which is likely related to better resolved ice flow, particularly in the outlets near Nuuk (see results in section **3.4**).

Deleted: 7

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: 6 top row

To better illustrate simulated ice margin behavior through time, we analyze ice retreat along five specific flow lines (A through E) across the domain (**Figure 7**). In **Figure 7**, ice retreat along flowline **A** is shown for each model. All models show a similar trend with the highest retreat rate (upwards of 50 -100 m/yr) occurring between approximately 11.5 ka and 10 ka. Between ~10 ka and the present, all simulated ice margins generally reside within 10 km of the present-day ice margin. The retreat history simulated by the 10 km and 20 km models exhibits a relatively stable ice margin for much of this period, whereas the higher-resolution models (i.e. non-uniform and 5 km) depict an ice margin that characterized by higher-frequency variability on the order of 5-8 km. The retreat history along flowlines **B** and **C** is consistent in timing and pattern to flowline A (shown in **Figure S2** and **S3**).

10

Differences in the bed topography between the four models reflect model resolution, with higher-resolution models capturing topography closer to reality (**Figure 7**). Nevertheless, the bed topography along the flowline **A** is similar among the different models owing to the low relief topography in this region. The low-angle ice surface responds to surface melt similarly among the four models along flowline A (See

- 15 supplemental Figure S2 for surface temperature and SMB along flowlines) and is likely why the retreat history is similar. Along flowline B (Figure S3), bed topography in all models exhibits increasing elevation into the ice sheet interior. Whereas the non-uniform and 5 km models capture a trough between 30 km to 120 km along the flowline, this feature is subtle in the 10 km model and nonexistent in the 20 km model. Similar to flowline A, however, the simulated retreat in flowline B is similar amongst the different models both in 20 rate and magnitude of retreat. Generally, the ice margins exhibit retreat forced primarily through surface lowering in response to negative SMB (Figure S2) because the ice margin retreats similarly through areas of varying bed topography. At approximately 150 km along the flowline, the ice retreats into an area with higher bed topography, and the ice surface profiles become steeper, thereby raising the ELA and stabilizing the ice margin. Along flowline C (Figure S4), the bed topography for the non-uniform and 5 km model is 25 characterized by low elevations at the beginning and end of the flowline, with a peak in elevation in the middle, while the 10 km and 20 km models exhibit a more consistent bed elevation along the flowline. The upward slope of the bed for the non-uniform and 5 km model tends to slow the retreat of the ice margin along flowline C during the early Holocene, although differences between the 10 km and 20 km models are not dramatic. After 8 ka, ice retreat stabilizes in all models, similar to flowlines A and B, although the non-
- 30 uniform and 5 km models exhibit ice marginal fluctuations on the order of 5-8 km.

In the southern portion of the domain, the fjords within the Nuuk region dominate the landscape. The ice margin in flowline **D** (Figure §) remains fixed for the entire simulation in the non-uniform and 5 km model

Deleted: 8,

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 1

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 1

Deleted: 9

	simulations. In contrast, both the 10 km and 20 km models depict retreat at ~9.5 ka, after which the 10 km	
	model quickly stabilizes and the 20 km model exhibits variability up to present day. All models fail to	
1	simulate a present-day ice margin that comes close to today's observed ice margin (Figure 8). The lower-	Deleted: 9
	resolution models simulate retreat in this region on the order of 30 to 50 km, which is controlled primarily	
5	by the bed topography. In reality, a trough extends much of the distance along this flowline, which is captured	
	well by both the non-uniform and 5 km mesh, where depths reach ~500 m below sea level. Consequently,	
	the non-uniform and 5 km models are better able to capture the stress balance and mass transport as they	
	simulate more realistic ice flow and delivery of ice mass to the margin in this region. In the 10 km model,	
	surface lowering intersects a bed bump that is above sea level at approximately 40 km along flowline D.	
10	Inland of this, the 10 km model bed contains a shallow trough, which is capable of sustaining the ice margin	
	throughout the remainder of the simulation. The 20 km model lacks any clear trough and instead captures a	
	significant rise in the bed topography at 70 km along the flowline, where the other models resolve a trough.	
	As the ice surface lowers along flowline D , it becomes increasingly influenced by this bed feature. Due to	
	the upward slope and horizontal top of this bed feature, the margin varies in response to the high-frequency	
15	climate variability during the Holocene (Figure S2).	Deleted: 1
I		
	Flowline E (Figure S5) follows a narrow and shallow trough south of flowline D. This shallow trough is	Deleted: 4
	only captured completely in the non-uniform model, although the 5 km model captures low topography along	
	the ice margin. In the 10 km and 20 km models, there is no indication of a trough and instead the bed	
20	topography is high and generally flat. Similar to flowline D, downwasting via negative SMB (Figure S2)	Deleted: 1
	drives ice retreat in the 10 km and 20 km models. Because the non-uniform mesh captures a trough along	
	flowline E, delivery of ice mass to the margin continues through the Holocene, stabilizing the ice margin	
	position despite surface lowering through negative SMB.	
25	4 Discussion	
	We find that model resolution plays a negligible role in the simulated ice margin history in the northern	Deleted: 4.1 Geologic context of simulated retreat
	portion of our domain along flowlines A, B, and C. In the southern domain along flowlines D and E,	
	however, model resolution plays a large role in the simulated ice margin history.	Deleted: 9
30	Υ	Deleted: 9
	<u>4.1 Retreat within the Northern domain</u>	

In the northern portion of our model domain, geologic archives indicate retreat from the coast occurred between 12 ka and 11 ka (Kelly et al., 2015; Young and Briner, 2015; Figure 6 top row), which is generally consistent with our simulations regardless of resolution. The subsequent retreat in all models towards the present-day ice margin is also generally consistent with the geologic reconstructions of ice margin retreat in

- 5 this region (Figure 6 middle and bottom row). van Tatenhove et al. (1996) provide one of the earliest ice sheet model data comparisons for this region (around flowline C) during the last deglaciation. van Tatenhove et al. (1996) compared three different ice sheet models ranging in resolution from 20 km to 40 km to ice margin reconstructions constrained by radiocarbon ages from the region, and indicated that model resolution played little role in the inter-model retreat differences. van Tatenhove et al. (1996) pointed to the
- 10 strong governing role of SMB in this region with little influence from ice streams. Likewise, simulations from the 20-km-resolution model of Lecavalier et al. (2014) show reasonable agreement in the retreat across this region when compared to geologic reconstructions. Our results indicate that the bed topography in this region is well represented among the different models, despite their differences in resolution, and thus simulated ice margin history faithfully responds to SMB forcing and is not complicated by ice flow
- 15 adjustments to underlying topography. However, one feature that stands out in the higher-resolution models (5 km and non-uniform) is the presence of high frequency ice marginal fluctuations on the order of 5-8 km (Figures 7, S3, S4). The geologic record indicates that small-scale marginal fluctuations are likely responsible for the moraine record and seem to be related to high-frequency variability in temperature (e.g., Young et al., 2013). Thus, models capable of capturing small scale fluctuations in the ice margin history are
- 20 valuable for comparing with geologic constraints of past ice sheet change. The inability of lower-resolution models to capture these features has been highlighted in previous work (van Tatenhove et al., 1996; Larsen et al., 2015), and hampers data-model comparisons.

4.2 Retreat within the Southern domain

In the southern portion of the model domain, fjord systems provide a different bed setting than in the north, presenting a significant challenge for modeling ice margin change. Deep and narrow troughs up to 500 m below sea level and 3-5 km wide seemingly played an important role in governing ice margin retreat. Many geologic archives that constrain past ice margin variability in this region (Sinclair et al., 2016), reveal rapid deglaciation from the present-day coastline to near the present-day margin at ~10 ka (e.g., Larsen et al., 2014;
 Figure 6 top row). None of our experiments match the geologic observations.

Deleted: 8 Deleted: 2 Deleted: 3

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted:

Our simulated ice retreat is highly dependent on model resolution in this area because the different models represent the bed topography quite differently. For example, only the non-uniform and 5 km models capture the deep fjords, whereas the 10 km and 20 km models have unrealistic bed features that end up driving retreat (**Figures &** and **S5**). Our simulations do not include calving or submarine melting, and therefore, each model's

5 simulated ice surface responds similarly to negative SMB. However, the ability of the high-resolution models to <u>resolve</u> the narrow and deep fjords allows the ice margin to persist as the stress balance and mass transport is well captured. Since the fjords are not well represented in the low-resolution models, there is lower delivery of ice mass to the margin, and the simulated retreat is driven as the ice surface lowers and intersects elevated bump artifacts in the bed topography. While none of the models capture the timing or amount of retreat accurately, the high-resolution models in this case perform the worst, capturing negligible retreat. The rapid ice margin recession recorded by the geologic reconstructions in this marine-dominated region probably highlight the influence of calving and enhanced submarine melting of floating ice, neither of which are included in our model simulations. The lack of submarine melting, in particular, may lead to the model-data mismatch; available evidence (e.g., Dyke et al., 2014) supports the influence of the warm Irminger Current during the early Holocene, which likely penetrated fjords up to the ice margin.

Deleted: 9

Deleted: capture

4.3 Different drivers of retreat

20

There are stark differences in processes affecting retreat of the land-dominated ice margin (i.e. SMB in the northern section of the model domain) and the marine-dominated ice margin (i.e. calving and submarine melt in the southern portion of the domain). These different drivers of ice margin change also affect different sectors of <u>the</u> contemporary GrIS (Sole et al., 2008; Straneo and Heimbach, 2013). Our results highlight that in areas of simple, low-relief bed topography, SMB drives the simulated retreat with little differences existing between models of varying spatial resolution. <u>Therefore</u>, efforts that attempt to match geologic reconstructions will be better served by focusing on representing SMB as accurately as possible. Conversely,

- 25 in areas with complex, high relief bed topography, such as in fjord settings, models that are unable to capture the deep and narrow troughs may unreasonably simulate retreat (cf. Åkesson et al., 2018). Anisotropic mesh capabilities play an important role in allowing a model to adjust its resolution spatially while using computer time efficiently.
- 30 For low-resolution models, care must be taken when attempting to capture the reconstructed retreat in areas of complex bed topography. For example, in order to satisfy relative sea level records used to constrain an ice sheet model of the GrIS, Lecavalier at al. (2014) artificially increased middle Holocene temperatures used to drive the ice sheet model. Although this resulted in a simulated ice margin history consistent with available

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted:

Deleted:

We find that a high-resolution mesh is not needed to capture margin retreat in areas where the bed topography is not complex, and efforts to match geologic reconstructions will be better served by focusing on representing SMB as accurately as possible. On the other hand, comparing simulated retreat to geologic reconstructions in areas of complex bed topography requires model resolution capable of capturing km-scale features (i.e. narrow troughs), and highlights the need for high resolution bed maps within fjord regions.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: in these regions

geologic records, it is noted that such external forcings may drive unphysical retreat in areas of complex bed topography that may otherwise have been driven by ice dynamics. Another consideration is the regional setting presented here. Since these experiments are focused on the southwestern GrIS, an area that may be relatively topographically uniform, we expect the results of the marine-influenced region in the southern part of our domain to be most relevant for other portions of the GrIS, in particular eastern Greenland where fjords

5

unaffected.

30

dominate the landscape (Morlighem et al., 2017).

1	4.4. Model limitations	Deleted: 2
	When simulating the retreat of the southwestern GrIS, our choice of climate forcing, using the GRIP δ^{18} O	Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines
10	record (Dansgaard et al., 1993), follows what has been a cornerstone in forcing Greenland ice sheet modeling	
	over the paleoclimate record (Huybrechts, 2002; Greve, 2011; Applegate et al, 2012). This approach has been	
	adjusted in Tarasov and Peltier (2002), Simpson et al. (2009) and Lecavalier et al. (2014) by synthetically	
1	increasing Holocene temperatures, with more recent simulations of the deglaciation of Greenland making	
	use of more recent proxy-temperature reconstructions that are better constrained throughout Greenland	
15	(Lecavalier et al., 2017; Buizert et al., 2018). Nevertheless, using a single, scaled paleoclimate record from	
	Summit ignores the more likely history of a spatio-temporally variable climate history spanning the Holocene	
	around Greenland (cf. Vinther et al., 2009). In any case, since the traditional approach (i.e. the GRIP $\delta^{18}O$	
	scaling) assumes that the spatial variability in temperature and seasonality remains fixed to modern day, our	
	results cannot fully reconcile how changes in the magnitude of warming and spatial variation of that warming	
20	affects our results. Additionally, the scaling of the basal friction coefficient introduces some uncertainties	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
	particularly when considering the temperature forcing throughout the simulation. Our method for scaling the	
	basal friction coefficient through time follows a common approach used in many modeling studies over	
	paleoclimate timescales (Hindmarsh and LeMeur, 2001; Greve ,2005). For these simulations, the evolution	
	of the basal temperatures through time depends on the surface temperature forcing which is derived from the	
25	GRIP δ ¹⁸ O scaling. Therefore, changes to the surface temperature forcing can impact the evolution of the	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
	basal temperatures over time, which ultimately affects the ice sliding following this approach. This model	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
	limitation falls within the bounds of current ice sheet modeling efforts whereby a lack of physically based	

<u>Although these simulations have</u> no reasonable representation of calving, the results do indicate that models+ with a resolution of 10 km or greater would be likely unable to address calving processes in fjords, as typical

basal sliding parameterizations exist. Despite this limitation, the conclusions presented here remain

Deleted: Although

Deleted: 🐧

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers Deleted: our fjord width is ≤ 10 km. Often calving in ice sheet models relates to water depth, considering past changes in eustatic and relative sea-level (Huybrechts, 2002; Simpson et al., 2009; Lecavalier et al., 2014). Although the high-resolution models presented here do capture the narrow fjords, implementation of a calving scheme currently would be computationally intensive. One possibility for future work would be to force the model

- 5 with high submarine basal melt rates as a proxy for calving, as done in Åkesson et al. (2018). Submarine melt has been shown to be an important mechanism driving both contemporary ice mass loss (Rignot, 2010) and past GrIS variability on glacial/interglacial timescales (Bradley et al., 2018; <u>Tabone et al., 2018</u>). Although few constraints do exist detailing past variations in ocean temperature for the Labrador Sea (Gibb et al., 2015) and Disko Bugt (Jennings et al., 2006), applying submarine melt rates to marine termini throughout our model
- 10 domain would not be possible without significant uncertainty. <u>Additionally, in applying basal melting to</u> floating ice, it is uncertain whether 2 km resolution would be sufficient to accurately capture grounding line migration as recent research (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018) suggests that resolutions of 1 km or higher are often necessary to match present day fluctuations,

15 5 Conclusion

We investigated how ice sheet model resolution influences the simulated Holocene retreat of the southwestern GrIS using ISSM. Our focus on the southwestern GrIS is driven by two factors: First, the regional approach allowed for modeling at a high resolution (for the non-uniform and 5 km mesh) while minimizing computational costs that would increase significantly while running a GrIS-wide simulation. Second, the southwestern GrIS is an area where geologic archives indicate the ice sheet underwent large-

scale and relatively well-known retreat during the Holocene.

I

25

20

The results presented here indicate that model resolution has a selective influence in the simulated retreat over southwestern Greenland during the Holocene. In areas where the bed topography is relatively simple, low relief and free from marine influence, model resolution plays an insignificant role in influencing the pattern and rate of retreat. <u>Here</u>, models with different resolutions respond similarly to surface-mass-balance-driven retreat. On the other hand, in areas with complex and high relief bed topography, such as deep troughs and fjords, the low-resolution models lead to unrealistic retreat. <u>As all models in these simulations only</u> respond to surface melt and therefore ice surface lowering (and no mass loss via calving or submarine melt),

30

the low-resolution models (10 and 20 km) simulate ice retreat driven purely as a consequence of incorrectly capturing the bed geometry. As one example, ice-surface lowering in these models intersects bed bumps that would otherwise be resolved as a trough in higher-resolution models.

Deleted: Making these simple parameterizations is not always possible in fjord regions where these types of data are missing.

ام	of adv	Tar	hone
Jei	letea:	таг	bone

Deleted:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times

(Formatted: Font: (Default) Times

Deleted: In particular, simulated retreat can vary significantly between models of different resolution based on how well the bed topography is resolved.

Deleted: In these areas

Deleted: As all

Our results imply that computational resources can be saved when modeling certain portions of the GrIS. Conversely, the results also highlight the importance of model resolution in areas of complex topography. Ice sheet models using a non-uniform mesh can adapt grids to fit these constraints while using computation time efficiently, but for models using uniform fine-scale meshing, resolving such features becomes computationally difficult, especially over long paleoclimate timescales. As ice sheet models sometimes rely on the geologic record for validation, care must be taken in evaluating model-data misfits. In areas of complex topography, over tuning of model parameters or climatology may occur in low-resolution models

that seek to match the reconstructed margin. We suggest that increased model resolution is critical in regions

dominated by fjords (e.g. southeastern Greenland).

10

15

5

Future work with ISSM will focus on using a model that has lower resolution in areas driven mainly by SMB and higher resolution in areas influenced by ice dynamical processes using non-uniform mesh capabilities. Future work will also seek to evaluate the sensitivity of using improved climate forcings (Hakim et al., 2016;

Buizert et al., 2018), better representations of ice dynamics (calving and submarine melt), and more Formatted: Font: Times quantitative comparisons to improved ice margin reconstructions.

	Ι	(Deleted: ¶
	References	(Formatted: Font: Times
	Abe-Ouchi, A., T. Segawa, and F. Saito. Climatic conditions for modelling the northern hemisphere ice sheets throughout the ice age cycle, Climate of the Past, 3 (3), 423–438, doi:10.5194/cp-3-423-2007, 2007.		Deleted: 9
20	Åkesson, H, Morlighem, M, Nisancioglu, K.H., Svendsen, J.J., Mangerud, J. Atmosphere-driven ice sheet mass loss paced by topography: Insights from modelling the south-western Scandinavian Ice Sheet, Quaternary Science Reviews, 195, 32-47. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.07.004, 2018.		
25	Alley, R.B., J.T. Andrews, G.H.Miller, J.W.C White, J. Brigham-Grette, G.K.C Clarke, K.M. Cuffey, J.J. Fitzpatrick, D.R. Muhs, S. Funder, S.J. Marshall, J.X. Mitrovica, B.L. Otto-Bliesner, and L. Polyak. History of the Greenland Ice Sheet: Paleoclimatic insights. Quaternary Science Reviews, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.02.007, 2010.		
	Applegate, P. J., Kirchner, N., Stone, E. J., Keller, K., and Greve, R. An assessment of key model parametric uncertainties in projections of Greenland Ice Sheet behavior. The Cryosphere, 6, 589-606, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-589-2012, 2012.		Field Code Changed
30	Aschwanden A, Bueler E, Khroulev C and Blatter H. An enthalpy formulation for glaciers and ice sheets. J. Glaciol., 58(209), 441-457, doi: 10.3189/2012JoG11J088, 2012.		
25	Aschwanden, A, Fahnestock, M.A, and Truffer, M. Complex Greenland outlet glacier flow captured. Nature communications. 7, 10524 EP, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10524, 2016.	(Field Code Changed
	Bennike, O., Bj€orck, S., Chronology of the last recession of the Greenland ice sheet. J. Quat. Sci. 17, 211-219, 2002.	(Formatted: Font: Times
40	Blatter, H. Velocity and stress-fields in grounded glaciers: A simple algorithm for including deviatoric stress gradients. J. Glaciol., 41, 333–344 https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300001621X, 1995.	(Field Code Changed
40	Box, J. E.: Greenland ice sheet mass balance reconstruction. Part II: Surface mass balance (1840–2010). J. Climate, 26, 6974–6989, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00518.1, 2013.		Formatted: Font: Times

Bradley, S., Reerink, T., van de Wal., R.S.W., Helsen, M. Simulation of the Greenland Ice Sheet over two glacial-interglacial cycles: investigating a sub-ice-shelf melt parameterization and relative sea level forcing in an ice-sheet-ice-shelf model. Climates of the Past. 14, 619-635. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-619-2018, 2018,

- 5 Briner, J. P.; McKay, N.; Axford, Y.; Bennike, O.; Bradley, R. S.; de Vernal, A.; Fisher, D. A.; Francus, P.; Fréchette, B.; Gajew ski, K. J.; Jennings, A. E.; Kaufman, D. S.; Miller, G. H.; Rouston, C.; Wagner, B. Holocene climate change in Artic Canada and Greenland. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 147. 340-364, 2016.
- Budd, W. F. and Smith, I. The growth and retreat of ice sheets in response to orbital radiation changes, Sea Level, Ice, and Climatic Change, 369–409, 1981.

Buizert, C., Kiesling, B.A., Box, J.E., He, F., Carlson, A.E., Sinclair, G., DeConto, R.M. Greenland-Wide Seasonal Temperatures During the Last Deglaciation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45, 1905-1914. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075601, 2018.

15 Caron,L., Ivins, E.R., Larour, E., Adhikari, S., Nilsson, J., Blewitt, G. GIA model statistics for GRACE hydrology, cryosphere and ocean science, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, doi:10.1002/2017GL076644, 2018.

20

55

Cuzzone, J., Morlighem, M., Larour, E., Schlegel, N., Seroussi, H. Implementation of higher-order vertical finite elements in ISSM v4.13 for imporved ice sheet flow modeling over paleoclimate timescales. Geoscientific Model Development. 11, 1683-1694. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-1683-2018, 2018.

Dansgaard, W., Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gunderstrup, N. S., Hammer, C. U., Steffensen, J. P., Sveinbjörnsdottir, A., Jouzel, J., and Bond. G.: Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record, Nature, 364, 218–220, 1993.

- Dyke, L.M., Hughes, A.L.C., Murray, T., Hiemstra, J.F., Andresen, C.S., Rodes, A. Evidence for the asynchronous retreat of large outlet glaciers in southeast Greenland at the end of the last glaciation. Quat. Sci. Rev. 99, 244e259. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.06.001, 2014.
- 30 Gibb, O.T, Steinhauer, S., Fréchette, B., de Vernal, A., Hillaire-Marcel, C. Diachronous evolution of sea surface conditions in the Labrador Sea and Baffin Bay since the last deglaciation. The Holocene. 25, 1882-1897. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0959683615591352. 2015.
- Golledge, N.R., Fogwill, C.J., Mackintosh, A.N., Buckley, K.M. Dynamics of the last glacial maximum Antarctic ice-sheet and its response to ocean forcing. PNAS. 109 (40), 16052-16056. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205385109

Greve, R. Relation of measured basal temperatures and the spatial distribution of the geothermal heat flux for the Greenland ice sheet. Ann. Glaciol., 42, 424-432, 2005.

40 Greve, R., Saito, F., and Abe-Ouchi. A. Initial results of the SeaRISE numerical experiments with the models SICOPOLIS and IcIES for the Greenland Ice Sheet, Ann. Glaciol., 52, 23–30, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756411797252068, 2011.

Greve, R., Herzfeld, U.C. Resolution if ice streams and outlet glaciers in large-scale simulations of the Greenland ice sheet. Annals of Glaciology. 55, 209-220. doi:10.3189/2013AoG63A085, 2013

Hakim, G. J., J. Emile-Geay, E. J. Steig, D. Noone, D. M. Anderson, R. Tardif, N. J. Steiger, and W. A. Perkins. The Last Millennium Climate Reanalysis Project: Framework and first results. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, DOI: 10.1002/2016JD024751, 2016.

50 Hindmarsh, R. C. A. and E. Le Meur. Dynamical processes involved in the retreat of marine ice sheets. J. Glaciol., 47 (157), 271– 282, 2001.

Howat, I. M., Negrete, A., & Smith, B. E. The Greenland Ice Mapping Project (GIMP) land classification and surface elevation datasets. Cryosphere, 8(4), 1509–1518. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1509-2014, 2014.

Huybrechts, P. Sea-level changes at the LGM from ice-dynamic reconstructions of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the glacial cycles. Quat. Sci. Rev. 21, 203-231, 2002.

Hutter, K. Theoretical Glaciology: Material Science of Ice and the Mechanics of Glaciers and Ice Sheets. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 60 Netherlands, 1983.

Formatted: Font: Times	
Field Code Changed	
Formatted: Font: Times	
Formatted: Font: Times	

Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
Formatted: Font: 10 pt
Formatted: highwire-cite-metadata-doi, Font: (Default) Times, 10 pt, Font color: Dark Gray
Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
Formatted: Font: Times
Formatted: Font: Times
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Formatted: Font: Times
-

Formatted: Font: Times

~	Jennings, A.E., Walton, M.E., O Cofaigh, C., Kilfeather, A., Andrews, J.T., Ortiz, J.D., De Vernal, A., Dowdeswell, J.A. Paleoenvironments during the younger dryas-early Holocene retreat of the Greenland ice sheet from outer Disko trough, central west Greenland. J. Quat. Sci. 29, 27-40, 2014.		Formatted: Font: Times
5	Kelley, S. E., J. P. Briner, and S. R. Zimmerman. The influence of ice marginal setting on early Holocene retreat rates in central West Greenland. Journal of Quaternary Science. 30 (3):271–80. doi:10.1002/jqs.2778. 2015.		
10	Kobashi, T., Menviel, L., Jeltsch -Thömmes, Vinther, B.M., Box, J.E., Muscheler, R. Nakaegawa, T., Pfister, P.L., Döring , M., Leuenberger, M., Wanner, H., Ohmura, A. Volcanic influence on centennial to millennial Holocene Greenland temperature change. Scientific Reports. 7, 1441, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01451-7. 2017.	(Field Code Changed
15	Larour E, Seroussi H, Morlighem M and Rignot E. Continental scale, high order, high spatial resolution, ice sheet modeling using the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM). J. Geophys. Res., 117(F1), F01022, doi: 10.1029/2011JF002140, 2012.		Formatted: Font: Times
15	Larsen, N.K., Kjær, K.H., Lecavalier, B., Bjørk, A.A., Colding, S., Huybrechts, P., Jakobsen, K.E., Kjeldsen, K.K., Knudsen, K L., Odgaard, B.V., Olsen, J. The response of the southern Greenland ice sheet to the Holocene thermal maximum. Geology 43, 291-294, 2015.		To matter, Fond Times
20	Lecavalier, B. S., Fisher, D. A., Milne, G. A., Vinther, B. M., Tarasov, L., Huybrechts, P., Lacelle, D., Main, B., Zheng, J., Bourgeois, J., and Dyke, A. S. High Arctic Holocene temperature record from the Agassiz ice cap and Greenland ice sheet evolution. PNAS. pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1616287114, 2017.		
25	Lecavalier B.S, Milne G.A, Simpson M.J.R, Wake L, Huybrechts P, Tarasov L, Kjeldsen K.K, Funder S, Long A.J, Woodroffe S, Dyke AS, and Larsen N.K. A model of Greenland ice sheet deglaciation constrained by observations of relative sea level and ice extent. Onat Sci Rev. 102:54–84. doi:10.1016/j.uascirev.2014.07.018.2014		Field Code Changed
			Field Code Changed
30	Le Morzadec, K., Tarasov, L., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H. A new sub-grid surface mass balance and flux model for continental- scale ice sheet modelling: testing and last glacial cycle. Geoscientific Model Development. 8, 3199-3213. doi:10.5194/gmd-8- 3199-2015, 2015.	(Formatted: Font: Times
	Lesnek, A, J., Briner, J. Response of a land-terminating sector of the western Greenland Ice Sheet to early Holocene climate change: Evidence from ¹⁰ Be dating in the Søndre Isortoq region. <i>Quat. Sci. Rev.</i> 180, 145-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.11.028, 2018.	(Field Code Changed
35	Morlighem, M., E. Rignot, H. Seroussi, E. Larour, H. Ben Dhia, and D. Aubry. Spatial patterns of basal drag inferred using control methods from a full-Stokes and simpler models for Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L14502, doi:10.1029/2010GL043853, 2010.		
40	Morlighem, M., C. N. Williams, E. Rignot, L. An, J. E. Arndt, J. L. Bamber, G. Catania, N. Chauché, J. A. Dowdeswell, B. Dorschel, I. Fenty, K. Hogan, I. Howat, A. Hubbard, M. Jakobsson, T. M. Jordan, K. K. Kjeldsen, R. Millan, L. Mayer, J. Mouginot, B. P. Y. Noël, C. Ó Cofaigh, S. Palmer, S. Rysgaard, H. Seroussi, M. J. Siegert, P. Slabon, F. Straneo, M. R. van den Broeke, W. Weinrebe, M. Wood and K. B. Zinglersen, BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from multi-beam echo sounding combined with mass conservation. Geophys. Res. Lett., doi:10.1002/2017GL074954,		
45	2017.		
	Pattyn, F. A new three-dimensional higher-order thermomechanical ice sheet model: Basic sensitivity, ice stream development, and ice flow across subglacial lakes. J. Geophys. Res., 108(B8), 2382, doi:10.1029/2002JB002329, 2003.		
50	Rignot, E. and Mouginot, J. Ice flow in Greenland for the international polar year 2008-2009. Geophysical Research Letters, 39, ,L11501. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051634, 2012.		Field Code Changed
55	Robinson, A., Calov, R., Ganopolski, A. Greenland ice sheet model parameters constrained using simulations of the Eemian Interglacial. Climates of the past. 7, 381-396, doi:10.5194/cp-7-381-2011, 2011.		Formatted: Font: Times
55	A Dog G. Modeling or graphic precipitation over ice sheets: an assessment over Greenland I. Glaciclogy, 48, 70, 80, 2002	(Formatted: Font: Times
	Roc, G. Modering orographic precipitation over ice sneets, an assessment over Oreeniand. J. Graciology, 46, 70-80, 2002.		
	Seguinot, J., Rogozhina, I., Stroeven, A.P., Margold, M., Kleman, J. Numerical simulations of the Cordilleran ice sheet through	1	Field Code Changed
	the last glacial cycle. The Cryosphere. 10, 639-664. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-639-2016. 2016.		Formattad: Humarlink Font: Times 10 at Font color: Arts
			Potmatten: Typerink, Font. Times, To pt, Font Color: Auto, Pattern: Clear

	Seroussi, H, and M. Morlighem, Representation of basal melting at the grounding line in ice flow models. The Cryosphere, 12,		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	<u>3085-3096, doi:10.5194/tc-12-3085-2018, 2018</u>	\sum	Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	Seroussi, H., M. Morlighem, E. Rignot, A. Khazendar, F. Larour, and J. Mouginot, Dependence of greenland ice sheet	(Y	Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	projections on its thermal regime, J. Glaciol., 59(218), doi:10.3189/2013JoG13J054. 2013,		Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, No bullets or numbering, Pattern: Clear
5	Shapiro, N. M, and Ritzwoller M. H. Inferring surface heat flux distribution guided by a global seismic model: particular		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	application to Antarctica. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 223(1–2), 213–224, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2004.04.011, 2004.		Formatted: Font: (Default) Times, 10 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(106,106,106)), Pattern: Clear (White)
	Simpson, M.J.R., Milne, G.A., Huybrechts, P., and Long, A.J. Calibrating a glaciological model of the Greenland ice sheet from the Last Glacial Maximum to present-day using field observations of relative sea level and ice extent. Ouat. Sci. Rev. 28, 1631-		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
10	1657, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.03.004, 2009.		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	Sinclair, G. A.E. Carlson, A.C. Mix, B.S. Lecavalier, G. Milne, A. Mathias, C. Buizert, R. Deconto, Diachronous retreat of the		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	Greenland ice sheet during the last deglaciation. Quaternary Science Reviews, 145, 243-258. doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.05.040,		Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
15	2016.	11	Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
15	Sole, A., Pavne, T., Bamber, J., Nienow, P., Krabill, W. Testing hypotheses of the causes of peripheral thinning of the Greenland	1	Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt
	Ice Sheet: is land-terminating ice thinning at anomalously high rates? The Cryosphere. 2, 205-218, 2008.	l	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 9 pt, Font color: Black
20	Straneo, F., Heimbach, P. North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's outlet glaciers. Nature, 504, 36-43., 2013		
20	Tarasov, L. and Peltier, W. Impact of thermomechanical ice sheet coupling on a model of the 100 kyr ice age cycle. J. Geophys.		Formatted: Font: Times
	ResAtmos., 104, 9517–9545, 1999.		
25	Tarasov, L. and Richard Peltier, W. Greenland glacial history and local geodynamic consequences. Geophysical Journal International, 150: 198–229. doi:10.1046/j.1365-246X.2002.01702, 2002.		
	Tabone, I., Blasco, J., Robinson, R., Alvarez-Solas, J., Montoya, M. The sensitivity of the Greenland Ice Sheet to glacial- interglacial oceanic forcing. Climates of the Past. 14, 455-472. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-455-2018, 2018.	(Deleted: r
30	Ten Brink, N.W. and Weidick, A. Greenland Ice Sheet History Since the Last Glaciation. Quaternary Research, 4, 429-440. 1974.		
	Van Tatenhove, F.G.M, van der Meer, J.J.M, Huybrecths, P. Glacial-Geological/Geomorphological Research in West Greenland Used to Test an Ice-Sheet Model. Quaternary Research. 44, 317-327. 1995.		
35	Van Tatenhove, F.G.M., van der Meer, J.J., Koster, E.A. Implications for deglaciation chronology from new AMS age determinations in central West Greenland. Quat. Res. 45, 245-253, 1996.		Formatted: Font: Times
40	Young, N.E., Briner, J.P., Rood, D.H., Finkel, R.C., Corbett, L.B., Bierman, P.R. Age of the Fjord Stade moraines in the Disko Bugt region, western Greenland, and the 9.3 and 8.2 ka cooling events. Quat. Sci. Rev. 60, 76-90, 2013b.		
140	Weidick, A., Kelly, M., Bennike, O. Late Quaternary development of the southern sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet, with particular reference to the Qassimiut lobe. Boreas 33, 284-299, 2004.		
45	Weidick, A., Bennike, O., Citterio, M., Norgaard-Pedersen, N. Neoglacial and historical glacier changes around Kangersuneq fjord in southern West Greenland. Geol. Surv. Den. Greenl. 27, 1-68, 2012.		Formatted: Font: Times
	Winsor, K., Carlson, A.E., Klinkhammer, G.P., Stoner, J.S., Hatfield, R.G. Evolution of the northeast Labrador Sea during the last interglaciation. Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems 13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012GC004263, 2012.		
50	Young, N.E., Briner, J.P. Holocene evolution of the western Greenland Ice Sheet: assessing geophysical ice-sheet models with geological reconstructions of ice-margin change. Quat. Sci. Rev. 114, 1-17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.01.018, 2015.		Field Code Changed
	Zekollari, H., Lecavalier, B.S., Huybrechts, P. Holocene evolution of Hans Tausen Iskappe (Greenland) and implications for the		
	paleoclimatic evolution of the high Arctic. Quat. Sci. Rev. 182-193. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.05.010		Formatted: Font: Times
55	2	,	
1	*		Deleted: 1

Formatted: Font: Times



Figure 1. Present day InSar ice surface velocities from Rignot and Mouginot (2012) for the Greenland Ice Sheet. The regional model domain is highlighted in black. Marked locations correspond to: 1. Jakobshavn Isbræ 2. Kangiata Nunâta Sermia (KNS) 3. Nuuk.



Figure 2. Associated bed topography maps for the non-uniform high-resolution mesh (A), uniform 5 km mesh (B), uniform 10 km mesh (C), and uniform 20 km mesh (D).



I

Figure 3. Present day steady state ice surface velocities for each individual model, and differences from observations (Rignot and Mouginot, 2012, shown in Figure 1),.

Deleted: Observed ice surface velocity (Rignot and Mouginot, 2012), Deleted: p



Figure 4. <u>A.</u> Ice volume evolution for the 12 ka constant climate relaxation. <u>B. Transient ice</u> volume evolution for the simulations from 12 ka to present day.



Figure 5. Relaxed ice surface velocities at 12 ka for each model, and differences from the non-uniform model.

Deleted: <object><object>



Figure 6. Top row: Mapped moraines and the existing chronology of ice retreat over the Northern and Sourthern portion of our domain. The mapped moraines and corresponding ages of retreat were taken from Lesnek and Briner (2018). Middle and bottom row: Simulated ice sheet margin for the different model resolutions shown over locations in the northern (A) and southern (B) domain. The present-day ice thickness is shown, derived from Morlighem et al. (2017) and Howat et al. (2014).

eleted: S





Figure 7, a) Simulated retreat along flowline (A) for each model. b) Ice surface profiles shown at 500year intervals (Blue = older, Green = younger; Red line indicates the simulated present-day ice surface profile), with the underlying bed topography (black fill). The red tick mark on the x-axis denotes the present-day ice margin (Howat et al., 2014). Readers should refer to this figure for locations of flowlines used in this study.

I

Deleted: 8



