

Interactive comment on “A key factor initiating surface ablation of Arctic sea ice: Earlier and increasing liquid precipitation” by Tingfeng Dou et al.

Tingfeng Dou et al.

doutf@ucas.ac.cn

Received and published: 6 February 2019

We thank the reviewer for a comprehensive and helpful review. The reviewer's comments have guided further improvement in the problem statement and data interpretation. We have also reviewed the relevant literature to further support our central hypothesis and expanded the discussion of study's results. A detailed response follows below.

Major Comments 1) While the topic of the manuscript falls with the preview of the Cryosphere, the ideas are not novel; however, the approach to quantifying, or at the least qualifying, the impacts of increasing rain on snow events in the late fall and early

C1

winter seasons, as well as earlier rain on snow events in the late winter and early spring season is important. This manuscript serves as a simplified starting point for further exploration. However, there are several large gaps in that have escaped consideration, or even mention, in this work. The greatest being the presence of brine in snow over first-year sea ice. Per the extensive literature, brine is known to impact the thermodynamics of snow covers as it allows for melt and the presence of liquid water at temperatures below 0 C, and through the constant phase and volume change in brine pockets. This is not addressed or even noted as a consideration in this manuscript, and warrants major revisions to define and discuss, at the very least, the theoretical impacts of brine (assuming it wasn't actually measured as a variable in this experiment) and brine volume throughout each step of the analysis, and any and all potential impacts to the conclusions derived from this work.

Response: Thank you for your suggestion about the impacts of the physical characteristics of the snow itself on the ablation process, especially mentioning brine-wetted snow which we did not consider before. We have included a discussion about this and depth hoar in the revised MS. From the formation mechanism of the brine, it precipitated from the ice to the snow-ice interface, and then rises in the snow layer by capillary action and reaches a certain height (usually a few centimeters). Brine-wetted snow is found at the base of the snow cover on first-year sea ice. The focus of this paper is how liquid precipitation affects the melting of the snow surface, and the lower part of snow layer are not the focus of this article. However, as the reviewer mentioned, due to high salinity, this phenomenon allows liquid water to exist in the lower part of snow-pack at relatively low temperatures. We do not rule out that the presence of brine will accelerate the process of saturation of liquid water in the snow layer, if that is the case. That said, the existence of brine could also accelerate the ripening phase in theory. However, this requires further observations to confirm that. We give a discussion in the revised manuscript, which is also shown as below:

P9-L366-382 (revised MS)“In addition to the contribution of surface ablation in re-

C2

ducing snow depth, the physical properties of the snow itself will affect the decrease in snow depth to a certain extent when ablation begins. For example, brine may collect at the surface of the sea ice cover as a result of expulsion through surface cracks (Tucker et al., 1992), and will wick into the bottom layers of the snow pack through capillary action. Consequently, the base of the snow pack can consist of such brine-wetted snow (Martin, 1979), with liquid water present even at low temperatures due to the high salinity of the brine (Geldsetzer et al., 2009). Previous work in the Arctic, including at the location studied here, has established that for Arctic snowpack (in contrast with the Antarctic) typically only the lowermost centimetres of the snowpack exhibit higher salt content (Domine et al., 2004; Douglas et al., 2012). Therefore the presence of brine-wetted snow may accelerate the transition of the lowermost snow layers into the ripening phase during ablation, but does not impact the onset of melt in the surface layers of the snowpack.

In addition, in our field work, we found depth hoar to be commonly present at the bottom of the snowpack. Depth hoar is a typical stratigraphic element of the basal layers of the Barrow snowpack during spring season, widely confirmed in previous studies (e.g., Hall et al., 1986; Domine et al., 2012). Depth hoar is conducive to discharge of melt water and subsidence of the snow cover surface, thereby promoting rapid reduction in snow depth. In theory, the presence of both depth hoar and brine-wetted snow supports the rapid reduction of snow depth through the process outlined in this study, though further observations are required to establish the relative importance of this process.”

References: Domine, F., Gallet, J. C., Bock, J. and Morin, S.: Structure, specific surface area and thermal conductivity of the snowpack around Barrow, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D00R14, 2012. Domine, F., Sparapani, R., Ianniello, A. and Beine, H.J., 2004. The origin of sea salt in snow on Arctic sea ice and in coastal regions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 4(9/10), pp.2259-2271. Douglas, T. A., et al. (2012), Frost flowers growing in the Arctic ocean-atmosphere-sea ice-snow interface: 1. Chemical composition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D00R09, doi:10.1029/2011JD016460.

C3

Geldsetzer, T., Langlois, A. and Yackel, J. J.: Dielectric properties of brine-wetted snow on first-year sea ice, *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 58, 47-56, 2009. Hall, D. K., Chang, A. T. C. and Foster, J. L.: Detection of the depth-hoar layer in the snow-pack of the Arctic coastal plain of Alaska, U.S.A, using satellite data, *Journal of Glaciology*, 32, 110, 87-94, 1986. Martin, S.: A field study of brine drainage and oil entrapment in first-year sea ice, *Journal of Glaciology*, 22, 88, 473-502, 1979. Tucker III, W. B., Perovich, D. K., Gow, A. J., Weeks, W. F. and Drinkwater, M.R.: Physical properties of sea ice relevant to remote sensing. In: Carsey, F. (Ed.), *Microwave Remote Sensing of Sea Ice*. Geophysical Monograph. American Geophysical Union, pp. 9-28. Chapter 2, 1992.

Major Comments 2) Additionally, there is no representation at all showing the composition, stratigraphy (layering, intra-pack ice layers), or distribution of either the character (density, etc), or the depth of the snow on first-year sea ice...all of which potentially impact the effect of rain on snow thermodynamics through runoff, percolation, and drainage. There is not even a representation of the character of an "average" snow pack during the case study where only photos of grains are presented. Major revisions are required to address these impacts, or at the very least, acknowledge the theoretical impacts and how they may affect the results and conclusions drawn by this work. Response: Some basic snow characteristics were actually shown in the manuscript, including snow depth and density for the case study (Fig. 5). We did not record the detailed stratigraphic characteristics, but the snow grain macrophotographs (Fig. 4) reflect essential information in this regard as well.

We observed depth hoar distributed at the bottom layer of the snowpack during the field measurement. Earlier studies also confirm ubiquitous occurrence of depth hoar at the base of the snow cover on first-year ice during comparable study periods (e.g., Crocker, 1992; Sturm et al., 2002; Langlois et al., 2007). In depth hoar, the shape of the pore space may increase the contact angle and reduce capillary rise of the liquid phase (Jordan et al., 1999). Basal depth hoar is usually associated with a relatively thin brine-

C4

wetted snow layer (Crocker, 1992), because the height of capillary rise may be limited by low volumes of brine available for wicking, especially at low temperatures. Therefore, in theory, depth hoar can accelerate the decrease of the snow depth during the ablation process and the outputting of the melt water. We have included a discussion of this part in the revised draft, which can also be seen in the response to your first general comment.

Reference: Crocker, G., 1992. Observations of the snow cover on sea ice in the Gulf of Bothnia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 3 (13), 2433–2445. Sturm, M., Perovich, D.K., Holmgren, J., 2002. Thermal conductivity and heat transfer through the snow on the ice of the Beaufort Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107 (C10) SHE 19-1. Langlois, A., Mundy, C.J., Barber, D.G., 2007. On the winter evolution of snow thermophysical properties over land-fast first-year sea ice. *Hydrological Processes*, 21 (6), 705–716. Jordan, R. E., Hardy, J. P., Perron, F. E., Fisk, D. J., 1999. Air permeability and capillary rise as measures of the pore structure of snow: an experimental and theoretical study. *Hydrological Processes*, 13, 1733–1753.

Minor Comments
1. Line 390-391: Plot/represent synoptic events in Figure 1 and 2 to better visualize with the rainfall/snow depth/air temp trends. Response: In this figure, in order to emphasize the corresponding changes in snow depth when the rainfall occurs, we only show the precipitation or weather events associated with the snow melting process. The trends of precipitation and temperature have specially been shown in Fig.7 and Fig.8.

2. Line 399: Units for water content (ie. %) should be indicated in the text and in Table 1. Response: The units of water content of snow is “cm³ water/100 cm³ snow”, it has been included in the MS. Please see details in caption in the revised Table 1.

3. Line 418: Show a full temperature profile/gradient of the snowpack over time with “average” temperature of -0.7°C. Response: The profile of snow temperature is shown as below, while in the manuscript, we made a brief statement (P7, L316-318 in the

C5

revised MS): “The first rainfall in 2017 was recorded as starting at 10:00am on May 24th (the average snow cover temperature was -0.7°C). The observed snow temperature showed that the upper layers of the snow pack (16cm) became isothermal after two hours.” This is clear enough to describe the state of snow temperature from “cold state” to the “isothermal state”.

Snow-ice interface -16cm -8cm -2cm Snow surface 5/24/2017 10:00 -1.650 -0.740 -0.430 -0.410 -0.394 5/24/2017 11:00 -1.590 -0.620 -0.410 -0.280 -0.096 5/24/2017 12:00 -1.300 -0.002 0.009 0.010 0.050

4. Line 434: Show full temperature profile/gradient of the snowpack over time. Response: The profile of snow temperature is shown as below, while in the manuscript, we made a brief statement (P7, L329-330 in the revised MS): “From the night of May 24th to the morning of May 25th, the snow temperature fell below the freezing point (-1.0°C), and then rainfall occurred at 4:00 am on May 25th. The snow temperature observations demonstrated that the snow layer reached an isothermal state after five hours.”

Snow-ice interface -16cm -8cm -2cm Snow surface 5/25/2017 4:00 -1.230 -0.670 -0.620 -0.530 -0.490 5/25/2017 5:00 -1.230 -0.590 -0.130 -0.490 -0.520 5/25/2017 6:00 -1.260 -0.430 -0.450 -0.450 -0.430 5/25/2017 7:00 -1.230 -0.420 -0.030 -0.120 0.070 5/25/2017 8:00 -1.150 0.001 0.090 0.090 0.150

5. Introduction: “Here we investigate: : :” There should be specific quantitative questions driving this investigation. What are they? Answer these quantitative questions in the Discussion/Conclusion section of the manuscript? Asking very specific (quantitative/qualitative) questions in the introduction should lead to clearer quantitative/qualitative answers and inferences in the discussion section of this work. Response: Thank you for your comments. We have improved the logical connections and statements here (P3, L66-67 in the revised MS). “In order to determine how liquid precipitation affects the surface ablation of sea ice and assess its quantitative

C6

contribution to the reduction in snow depth over sea ice, here, . . .”

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/tc-2018-239/tc-2018-239-AC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2018-239>, 2018.