

## **Long-range terrestrial laser scanning measurements of summer and annual mass balances for Urumqi Glacier No.1, eastern Tien Shan, China**

Chunhai Xu et al.

Dear Editor,

We have carefully revised the manuscript according to the comments from referee #1 and #2. The most important comment is that many sections are redundant and the new information provided in the manuscript does not meet the high quality standards of TC. We have given some careful explanations in our reply; please see the detailed point-by-point responses below. The corresponding changes have been made in the revised paper, track changes was used in order to be easily identified. Marked-up manuscript was given at the end of the replies. We hope the revised manuscript is suitable for the journal.

Best regards,

Chunhai Xu

### **Reply to comments from anonymous referee #1**

Chunhai Xu and colleagues present a detailed reanalysis of annual and seasonal glaciological and geodetic balances at Urumqi Glacier No. 1, eastern Tien Shan, China, obtained between 2015 and 2017. This study puts a terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) dataset with high spatial and temporal resolution over the period of record at its value. The comparisons of geodetic results with the glaciological balances from an in-situ network are carried out in a thorough way and include an error assessment according to international practises.

The authors mentioned two publications using similar methods (Xu, C., Li, Z., Wang, F., Li, H., Wang, W., & Wang, L. (2017), doi:10.1017/jog.2017.45 and Xu, C., Li, Z., Wang, P., Anjum, MN., Li, H., & Wang, F. (2018), doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2018.08.006), which can be seen as preliminary studies to the proposed manuscript. Hence, the discussion paper has been cross-read with the mentioned publications in terms of basic quality issues concerning significance, originality and novelty of the study.

Reading the papers, I had the impression that many sections are redundant. Besides the Introduction and Study site sections, the “Data and Methods” and “Uncertainty” chapters also seem to be similar, show no new insights and could at least be omitted by referencing. Furthermore, the Conclusions have redundant elements to the other two studies. Working through the manuscript new information is only provided by i) altering the temporal scale, ii) introducing an approach of density conversion and iii) the consideration of internal processes when comparing the two methods. Although the authors state that they implement a detailed comparison between glaciological and geodetic mass balances at seasonal and annual scales

and assess the potential of a novel long-range TLS to monitor glacier mass balance, the obvious redundancy puts the manuscript on the fringe of acceptance.

Weighing up these points, I think that the new information provided in this Discussion paper is not sufficient or suitable for publication.

**Reply: Thanks for the careful reading! As a matter of fact, the scientific achievements of three publications are totally different.**

**The achievements of the first published paper (2017 in Journal of Glaciology) are to evaluate accuracy and precision of glacier surface elevation changes retrieved from long-range terrestrial laser scanner (TLS), and to test applicability of such TLS to monitor the mass balance of Urumqi Glacier No.1. Whether agreement between the glaciological and TLS-derived glacier-wide mass balance was pending, potential of such technology applied in seasonal and annual glacier mass-balance measurements in western China had not been assessed.**

**The second publication (2018 in Cold Regions Science and Technology) presents a comparison between cumulative direct glaciological and geodetic mass balance data from 1981 to 2015 for Urumqi Glacier No. 1, so the achievements of the paper are to reanalyze the glaciological mass balance series. In order to achieve the achievements, we try to define the source of the observed uncertainties in glaciological and geodetic methods, and the extent of the mass balances influenced by the different DEMs used, the existing snow cover, the reference area and processes of internal accumulation and ablation.**

**At present, comparison of glaciological and geodetic mass balances mainly focuses on sub-decadal to decadal scales as the available DEMs usually limit the spatiotemporal resolution of geodetic mass-balance measurements, while seasonal and annual scales have received little attention. This paper uses a long-range TLS to monitor the summer and annual mass balance of Urumqi Glacier No.1 (UG1) as well as delineating accurate glacier boundaries for two consecutive years (2015-17), and discusses the potential of such technology in glaciological applications. Hence, the scientific achievements (aims) of the present study are: (1) to describe the original use of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS-derived DEMs to calculate summer and annual geodetic mass balances of UG1 for two consecutive years (2015-17); (2) to consider three-dimensional (3-D) changes of ice and firn/snow bodies and density conversion from in situ measured snow/firn densities is applied to make these calculations. Firn compaction and metamorphosis can be**

therefore captured to some extent; (3) to compare the geodetic results to glaciological glacier-wide mass balances through a detailed uncertainty assessment of the glaciological and geodetic methods; (4) to discuss how to achieve good quality of point cloud data and DEM differencing and to analyze the possible cause of the difference between the two methods; and (5) to take UG1 as a case to assess the potential of such long-range TLS to measure seasonal and annual mass balance of glaciers in western China and put forward some main considerations for a broader application of the TLS.

We agree that some chapters of the three publications seem to be similar, especially for “Study site” and “Data and methodology”. However, the introduction of the presented study is substantially different from the two others as the different aims of each paper; we have clarified in the revised version. In the section of Data and methodology, the descriptions of TLS and its data processing (subsection 3.1) as well as Uncertainty assessments (section 4) are more detailed and perfect than past studies, so it is difficult for us to simply cited the two published papers. The methods of glaciological and geodetic mass balance calculations have been widely used for many publications, especially for a conceptual framework proposed by Zemp et al (2013). Hence we directly referred the conceptual framework.

Besides introducing an approach of density conversion at seasonal and annual scales, the present study also describes the delineation of accurate glacier boundary of Urumqi Glacier No.1, which updates and corrects previous published boundary (e.g. Wang et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017). In addition, we implement a detailed comparison between direct glaciological and TLS-derived geodetic mass balance, including glacier-wide mass balances, spatial distributed mass balance and mass balance elevation distributions derived from the two methods. In section 6, the discussion of data quality and DEM differencing is more in-depth than the first published paper. In the revised manuscript, we added new information to discuss the potential of the long-range TLS in mass-balance measurements, including: 1) advantages and disadvantages between the long-range TLS and other technologies; 2) how to deal with data voids in future application of such TLS; 3) how to reduce the uncertainty of seasonal and annual density; and 4) application of TLS-derived geodetic results to validate the distributed mass-balance model. We hope the revised manuscript is suitable for publication.

## **Reply to comments from anonymous referee #2**

### **General comments:**

This study describes the use of a novel long-range terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) dataset to calculate annual and summer mass balances and delineate accurate glacier boundary of Urumqi Glacier No.1 in eastern Tien Shan over two consecutive years (2015-17). After introduction of the data used and methodology applied, the authors showed TLS-derived surface elevation and geodetic mass changes. They then compared these results with the conventional glaciological method following the framework proposed by Zemp et al (2013) to validate the accuracy and relevance of the TLS to monitor glacier mass balance. At the end, they give a discussion about the quality of TLS data and DEM differencing, explain the possible causes about differences between the two methods and evaluate the potential of such long-range TLS to measure seasonal and annual glacier mass balance. The paper employs advanced instrument of glacier mass balance monitoring and can be seen as a deep-going study of a published paper (Xu et al., 2017, J. Glaciol., doi:10.1017/jog.2017.45). China contains the largest number of glaciers outside the polar regions, very few glaciers have discontinuous glaciological mass balance records, so we need alternative approaches that could complement glaciological method. The presented study is very interesting, which I think to be a valuable contribution to The Cryosphere. However, there are some comments and issues that the authors should be addressed.

1) The discussion about the potential of the long-range TLS to measure glacier mass balance is very weak, which undermines the paper. Please see detailed comments in “Specific”

**Reply: The very weak discussion has been enriched as suggested; please see the revised version of our manuscript.**

2) Uncertainty assessment of the glaciological methods: you have quantified various errors according to other similar studies, but I firmly believe that these values are really different, especially for errors in spatial extrapolation over the entire glacier. Just as you say relative smaller area and accompanying higher density of point measurements of UG1 than other glaciers decide the uncertainty is smaller. Could you compare specific net mass balance with in situ measured stake datasets of UG1 to determine the error of spatial interpolation?

**Reply: Thanks for the good comments. We have compared glacier-wide mass balance with individual sites. We find that the differences between specific net mass balance at individual sites and in situ measured point mass balance at corresponding sites were in the range of 0-0.042 m w.e. with an average value of 0.01 m w.e., namely, the error of spatial interpolation in the measured area is small. Therefore the error mainly originates from unmeasured areas (e.g. accumulation areas), however, the lack of measured data in the accumulation areas limits us to quantify the error. We conservatively cite an empirical value from similar literature.**

Now the paragraph was revised as:

The class (ii) errors originate from extrapolating observed values to unmeasured areas, insufficient spatial distribution of measured sites and the interpolation method. Hock and Jensen (1999) evaluated the error of the interpolation method at about  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> for mean specific mass balances. Huss et al. (2009) computed and compared mean specific net balance with randomly reduced annual stake datasets and found that the error was  $\pm 0.12$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>. For UG1, we find that the differences between specific net mass balance at individual sites and in situ measured point mass balance at corresponding sites were in the range of 0-0.04 m w.e. with an average value of 0.01 m w.e., namely, the error of spatial interpolation in the measured area is small. The firn basin and glacier tongue terrain of the WB are very steep and the upper eastern elevation of the EB is also precipitous, resulting in no in situ measurements are available in these inaccessible areas. Therefore the error mainly originates from unmeasured areas (e.g. accumulation areas), however, the lack of measured data in the accumulation areas limits us to quantify the error. We conservatively assume that the corresponding uncertainty  $\sigma_{\text{extra}}$  was  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> (cf. Andreassen et al., 2016).

3) Some sentences should be written more clearly and precisely, including P2, L4; P2, L34; - P6, L26

**Reply: Relevant sentences have been rewritten as suggested.**

4) Figures: Figures need some improvements in terms of visibility of their content.

**Reply: Done.**

#### **Specific comments:**

0 Abstract

- P1, L10: Delete “typically”. To date the glaciological method is commonly used to measure seasonal and annual surface mass balance. So it is not necessary to emphasize the method using “typically”.

**Reply: We fully agree and delete accordingly.**

- P1, L10: Rephrase “seasonal surface mass balance”

**Reply: Done.**

- P1, L11: Replace “measuring networks” with “field networks”

**Reply: Done.**

- P1, L15: “scanner” instead of “scanning”

**Reply: Done.**

1 Introduction

- P2, L4: Add “are sparse and discontinuous”. Please rephrase to be more accurate.

**Reply: Now added accordingly.**

- P2, L7: I would rather delete “entire”. It is not always possible to cover the entire glacier, such as ICESat.

**Reply: We agree! Now deleted as suggested.**

- P2, L12: Replace “spatiotemporal” by “time”. I know some images have high spatial resolution at present, e.g. Cartosat-1 (2.5 m), Pléiades (0.5 m), QuickBird(0.61 m), GeoEye(0.41-1.65 m) etc.

**Reply: We agree and rephrase as suggested.**

- P2, L28-29: Rephrase “...central and bottom elevations were detected due to the glacier area is relatively small.”

**Reply: Here I think the glacier size is big, so the sentence was rewritten as “...only the central and bottom elevations were detected due to the glacier area is relatively big.”**

- P2, L34: What the meaning of “best-monitored glacier”? I guess you mean Urumqi Glacier No.1 has the longest and most detailed surface mass balance measurements in China. Please rephrase the sentence to be clear.

**Reply: Now this sentence is changed accordingly. “Urumqi Glacier No.1 (hereafter known as UG1) has the most detailed annual and seasonal surface mass balance measurements in China.”**

- P2, L38-40: Rephrase “To date, comparison of glaciological and geodetic mass balances ...for the period 1981-2009 at intervals of several years, geodetic reanalysis of seasonal and annual glaciological mass balance...”

**Reply: Now replaced accordingly.**

- P2, L40: You already have a publication about the reanalysis of glaciological and geodetic mass balances of UG1 (Xu et al., 2018, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., doi: 10.1016/j.coldregions.2018.08.006), please write here.

**Reply: We have written as suggested.**

2 Study site

- P3, L23: Add “...a northeast-orientated small...”

**Reply: Done.**

- P3, L24: Replace “Fig” by “Figs”

**Reply: Done.**

- P3, L24 “and consists of two independent small glaciers: the east branch (EB) and the west branch (WB)” would be better at the end of this paragraph and then delete “and consists of two independent small glaciers”

**Reply: We have changed accordingly.**

- P3, L27 “long-term measurements”? I think you may mean something like glacier mass balance?

**Reply: Yes! Now revised as “...long-term glaciological mass-balance measurements.”**

- P3, L27 Correct “Over the past 50 years” and give a specific time period.

**Reply: We have referred the literature and give a specific time period from 1959-2008.**

- P3, L31-37 I suggest that related literatures should be cited here.

**Reply: Now cited related literatures as follows:**

#### **References:**

Li, Z., Li, H., and Chen, Y.: Mechanisms and simulation of accelerated shrinkage of continental glaciers: a case study of Urumqi Glacier No. 1 in Eastern Tianshan, central Asia. *J. Earth Sci.*, 22, 423–430. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12583-011-0194-5>, 2011.

Liu, C., and Han, T.: Relation between recent glacier variations and climate in the Tien Shan mountains, Central Asia. *Ann. Glaciol.* 16, 11–16, 1992.

Han, T., Ding, Y., Ye, B., Liu, S., and Jiao, K.: Mass-balance characteristics of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tien Shan, China. *Ann. Glaciol.* 43, 323–328, 2006.

Huintjes, E., Li, H., Sauter, T., Li, Z., and Schneider, C.: Degree-Day Modelling of the Surface Mass Balance of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tian Shan, China. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 4, 207–232, 2010.

- P4, L4-7 This paragraph would be better in section 3.3 as it already mentions methodology

**Reply: Now removed this paragraph and changed section 3.3 accordingly.**

3 Data and methodology

- P4, L25: Replace “Fig” by “Figs”

**Reply: Replaced.**

- P4, L26: Everywhere else in the manuscript, please replace “GPS” by “GNSS”

**Reply: Replaced.**

- P5, L1: Add “...in the range of...”

**Reply: Done.**

- P6, L9: It seems the authors mixed the triangle ( $\hat{U}_s$ ) and capital Greek letter delta ( $\Delta$ ), and whole manuscript: please replace “ $\hat{U}_s$ ” by “ $\Delta$ ”.

**Reply: Replaced accordingly.**

- P6, L26: Please rephrase “volume changes are considerable” to be more precise.

**Reply: Now revised as “volume changes significantly different from zero”**

- P6, L36: Figs

**Reply: Done.**

- P7, L7: Add “...the glacier and evenly distributed...”

**Reply: I think here is L12, now added.**

- P7, L18: I know what you meaning of “the specific mass balance is calculated from the product of the level change between readings and the ice density” as I have calculated glaciological surface mass balance, but it is not easy to understand for wide readers. Please rewrite the sentence to be clearer.

**Reply: Subsection 3.3.1 is about glaciological measurements, so we have removed the sentence into subsection 3.3.1, and then the sentence was revised as:**

Glaciological mass balance includes point and glacier-wide mass balances. The rate of mass gain and loss per unit time is accumulation rate  $\dot{c}$  and ablation rate  $\dot{a}$ , respectively,  $\dot{c}$  minus  $\dot{a}$  equals mass-balance rate  $\dot{b}$ . Integrating  $\dot{b}$  over the time span from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$  gives point mass balance  $\Delta b$

- P7, L29: Which energy-balance model? Please give a brief introduction and refer corresponding literatures.

**Reply: We revised the sentence as “together with simulated values obtained using a simple energy-balance model (the energy divide into shortwave radiation and temperature dependent energy budget) in areas with no measurements (Oerlemans, 2010; WGMS, 2017)”**

**In the revised manuscript we refer corresponding literature as follows:**

**Reference:**

Oerlemans., J.: The Microclimate of Valley Glaciers, Igitur, Utrecht Publishing and Archiving Services, Universiteitsbibliotheek Utrecht, Utrecht, 2010.

- P8, L3: Correct “Figs”

**Reply: Corrected.**

4 Uncertainty assessments

- P8, L19: Add “windless weather conditions”

**Reply: Added.**

- P8, L31: Can you give absolute values of the proportions of the two artefacts over the entire glacier and then quantify the errors related to unscanned areas.



**Reply: Good comments! We had delineated unscanned regions and the corresponding proportions of the two artefacts over the glacier surface were in the range of 3.1-4.6%. The lack of dense measured 3-D coordinates of the two artefacts limits us to assess terrain-induced errors quantitatively. The artefacts were not taken into account in calculating the mass balance in order to be precise, but the errors related to unscanned areas should be very small because the proportions of the two artefacts were minor. This did not influence a direct comparison between glaciological and geodetic mass balances.**

**Now the paragraph was revised as:**

**For precision, the artefacts were not taken into account in calculating the mass balance, but the errors related to unscanned areas should be very small because the relative proportions of the artefacts over the entire glacier surface were minor (3.1% for summer 2015, 3.2% for 2015-16, 3.6% for summer 2016, and 4.6% for 2016-17, Fig. 5).**

- P9, L13: The given errors can be listed with 2 decimal places to reflect appropriate level of certainty.

**Reply: Agree! Now mass balance and uncertainty values with 2 positions after decimal were written.**

- P9, L35: You should write clearly here that the value you cited indicates point mass balance.

**Reply: Now revised as “...found an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.2$  m w.e.  $a^{-1}$  for point mass balance. Beedle et al. (2014) suggested an error of point mass balance to be about  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e.  $a^{-1}$  for accumulation-area measurements.”**

- P10, L4: What the meaning of sampling sites? Please rephrase to be more specific

**Reply: Here sampling sites mean ablation stakes and snow pits (if firn exists). Now the sentence was revised as “...the number of ablation stakes and snow pits (if firn exists)...”**

- P10, L4: You mean something was given in Table 4? Add some sentences to be clear.

**Reply: Now revised as “Resulting values of  $\sigma_{glac}$  are listed in Table 4.”**

5 Results

- P10, L23: Replace “clear” by “clearer”

**Reply: Done.**

- P10, L29: Correct “Figs”

**Reply: Done.**

- P10, L29-30: I know debris cover on a glacier may alleviate ablation when the debris thickness exceeds a certainty value. But your argument explaining the phenomena is quite speculative. Please support your opinion by some semi-quantitative or quantitative data.

**Reply: Thanks for the constructive comments. We agree that debris cover on a glacier may alleviate ablation when the debris thickness exceeds a certainty value. Actually, the relative proportion of debris-covered area is very small from our field observation and does not influence the calculation of glaciological mass balance. Therefore, we have not measured surface ablation of debris-cover area and can only give some qualitative explanation.**

- P10, L33: Use “with” instead of “by”

**Reply: Done.**

- P10, L33: Please correct “Figs”

**Reply: Corrected.**

- P11, L2: Again: please correct “Figs”

**Reply: Corrected.**

- P11, L7: Rephrase “...all of the four investigated periods”

**Reply: Done.**

- P11, L9: It makes no sense that the value is rounded to three decimal places, please change everywhere else in the manuscript.

**Reply: We have changed accordingly.**

- P11, L11: Add “compared to the corresponding values of EB” after “...more negative”

**Reply: Now added as suggested.**

- P11, L16: Fig. 5 instead of Fig 7?

**Reply: Yes! We have changed accordingly.**

- P11, L17: Replace “sites” with “ablation stakes”

**Reply: Done.**

6 Discussion

- P12, L30: Add “of each scan positions”

**Reply: Done.**

- P12, L30: Fig. 4 instead of Fig. 3?

**Reply: Yes! We have changed accordingly.**

- P12, L32: Replace “are” with “is”

**Reply: Replaced**

- P13, L9: I know the number and location of ablation stakes vary from year to year as stakes melt out and sink. Please give a specific period for the average value.

**Reply: Now the sentence was revised as “the average density is about 28 stakes km<sup>-2</sup> from 2015 to 2017”.**

- P13, L11: Delete “in”

**Reply: Done.**

- P13, L13; How did you decide the annual discharge? I guess you use the mean value here, can you calculate the internal and basal ablation using the measured data of each year?

**Reply: Here  $Q_m$  is mean annual discharge of glacier melting, which was determined by using the cumulative measured surface ablation over the two years. Now we checked the measured glacier surface ablation and estimated the value of  $Q_m$  to be about  $1.4 \times 10^9$ . And then internal ablation was recalculated.**

**Now the paragraph was revised as:**

Thus the TLS device yields accurate geodetic results and the quality of the glaciological mass balances is also very good. Nonetheless, the glaciological method cannot measure internal and basal mass balances, but these processes are implicitly captured by the repeated geodetic surveys. We need to provide a rough estimate of internal and basal mass balances of UG1 to detect their contributions to the differences between glaciological and geodetic mass balances. UG1 is a cold glacier, and its internal ablation ( $B_{pe}$ ) is weak (Huang, 1999; Albrecht et al., 2000), mainly because of the released potential energy of descending water:

$$B_{pe} = \frac{Q_m g}{L_f \bar{s} \rho_{water}} \cdot \frac{\bar{h}_{ELA} - h_{term}}{2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $Q_m$  denotes annual discharge of flowing water,  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration,  $L_f$  is the latent heat of fusion,  $\bar{h}_{ELA}$  and  $h_{term}$  are average equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) (4152 m) and the altitude of the glacier terminus (3775 m), respectively,  $\bar{s}$  is the average glacier area between 2015 and 2017. The cumulative measured glacier surface ablation over the two years was used to determine annual discharge and the value of  $Q_m$  was estimated to be about  $1.4 \times 10^9$  kg a<sup>-1</sup>. A calculation of  $B_{pe} = -0.005$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> is made.

Basal ablation from geothermal heating ( $B_{gt}$ ) was evaluated using

$$B_{gt} = \frac{qt}{L_f \rho_{water}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $q = 0.059 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  is the geothermal heat flux (Huang, 1999),  $t$  is the mass-balance period; here we primarily consider annual scale and basal ablation was estimated to be about  $0.005 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ . The calculated internal and basal ablation totaled  $-0.01 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ .

We assessed internal accumulation dominated by refreezing percolating water in the cold interior of the glacier as well as the freezing of water in cold snow and firn following Zemp et al. (2010), who assumed that internal accumulation was 4% of the winter mass balance, and the resulting value was about  $0.01 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$  in this study. Finally the total value of internal and basal mass balances was closed to zero, which is far less than the difference ( $\Delta B$ ) between the two methods. This suggests that the contribution of annual internal and basal processes is negligible and does not affect the differences between the two methods.

- P13, L32: I would delete the first sentence in this paragraph as it had appeared in the introduction.

**Reply: Done.**

- P14, L7-9: Can you quantify the influences of unscanned areas?

**Reply: Thanks for the good comments; we have explained there-in-before. The errors related to unscanned areas should be very small because the relative proportions of the artefacts over the entire glacier surface were minor (3.1% for summer 2015, 3.2% for 2015-16, 3.6% for summer 2016, and 4.6% for 2016-17).**

- P14, L10: Rephrase “a discrepancy in mass balance elevation distributions of WB was observed at...”

**Reply: Done.**

- P14, L11: Replace “takes” with “take”

**Reply: Done.**

- P14, L33: The discussion about the potential of the long-range TLS to measure glacier mass balance is very weak and not really satisfying, but I believe that there is more to say. e.g. Comparison with other technologies, such as unmanned aerial systems, terrestrial photogrammetry, and then you can discuss the advantages/disadvantages of each technology. We see some data voids; can you say something about future application of such TLS to monitor glacier evolution. I am firmly sure that artefacts will also exist for other glaciological applications. The data voids can be avoided when combining with other approaches? Density conversion is still a challenge at annual and seasonal scales, which assuredly influences the wide application. What do you advise as reduction of the density conversion? I don't really think the majority of glaciers can be measured using the TLS as some of them lie at remote locations. I would rather suggest you to select some representative glaciers (evenly distributed

at different mountains, different types and areas, etc.) with easily accessible locations for the geodetic mass balance monitoring. Can you discuss something about application of TLS to monitor the representative glaciers; it would be very interesting and relevant to know additional information of those glaciers for future studies. Can you give more information about TLS-derived geodetic results to validate the distributed glacier mass-balance models; I think it is very important for future glaciological studies since its high spatiotemporal resolution and the shortage of in situ measurements.

**Reply: Thanks for the constructive comments; we have added new information to discuss the potential of the long-range TLS. Please see subsection 6.4 in the revised manuscript.**

This study presents the application of multi-temporal Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS point clouds in mass balance monitoring of UG1. The long-range TLS can provide high-temporal-spatial-resolution and -accuracy DEMs to allow more detailed insight into glacier evolution (e.g. Gabbud et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2016). To take advantage of this and provide more-precise glacier surface elevation changes, it is worth remembering that fixed scan positions are highly important between consecutive scans when using our approach. We should also note that not all glaciers in China are as easily accessible as UG1. For many large glaciers, it is not always easy to fix scan positions using reinforced concrete with a standard GNSS-leveling point, but we can mark stable bedrock outcrop as a scan site. Another advantage of this type of TLS is the long scanning range, and such an instrument could allow most of the glacier surface to be scanned from one or several scan positions, especially for remote and inaccessible glacier areas (e.g. crevasses, steep ice, debris cover, etc.). Therefore the instrument provides a quantitative evolution in spatial coverage compared to glaciological in situ measurements, which can be seen as a beneficial complement to glaciological mass balance, particularly for calibrating inaccessible areas. TLS surveys can provide updated glacier boundary and surface DEMs, and the location of stakes may also be identified based on high-quality point clouds; all of these parameters are favorable for glaciological mass-balance calculations. A combination of glaciological and TLS observations may yield optimum results. Besides, TLS-derived geodetic results can validate the distributed glacier mass-balance models as the TLS can provide high spatial and temporal resolution measurements, especially in the strong ablation season, the instrument can be used to investigate daily or sub-daily ablation, which can completely meet the requirements of time resolution for glacier mass-balance models.

One drawback of the TLS surveys is the presence of data voids (unscanned areas), even for very small glaciers (e.g. Fischer et al., 2016). This is due to limited scanning angle and complex glacial terrain. An emerging low-cost Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) has the

potential to avoid data voids in glaciological monitoring since the good surveying angle of UAV. Immerzeel et al. (2014) showed that UAV combined with a Structure from Motion (SFM) workflow provide a powerful tool for monitoring mass balance and surface velocity of a Himalayan glacier with high spatial accuracy. From our field experiment at UG1, rarefied air and frequent blustery wind around glaciers usually induce the power of UAV were nondurable, and rock outcrops results in difficult operations of such instrument. Hence we mainly consider using UAV to survey unscanned area, integrating of UAV- and TLS-acquired data can provide the whole glacier surface terrain of interest. Other technology such as terrestrial photogrammetry also has the ability to estimate mass balance, and the quality of photogrammetric estimation is similar to the quality of TLS (e.g. Piermattei et al., 2015; Fugazza et al., 2018). However, the reliable of UAV and terrestrial photogrammetry in glacial environments is more dependent on the natural features (i.e. characteristic image objects) of the surveyed surfaces compared with TLS. The cost of TLS is higher than UAV and ground-based photogrammetric surveys.

Now the TLS has been successfully applied to monitor mass balance of UG1. From our experience, the monitoring tool is potentially applicable to other glaciers provided that these glaciers have small to medium size and relative steep terrain. High Mountain Asia (HMA) contains the largest number of glaciers outside the polar regions (Pfeffer et al., 2014). China is the main region of HMA, most glaciers (~83% of the total number) in western China have an area smaller than 1 km<sup>2</sup> and only ~3% with an area larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup>, and the mean glacier surface slope of GIC-2 is 19.9° according to the second Chinese glacier inventory (CGI-2) (Guo et al., 2015), which is very close to corresponding value of UG1 (23.4°) (Fig. 10a). Therefore, the majority of glaciers can be measured using the TLS. Furthermore, if we assume that glaciers with an area of ≤ 1.555 km<sup>2</sup> (area of UG1) and a surface slope greater than 23.4° have a good visibility to be monitored using the TLS, the number of theoretical appropriate glaciers is ~58.5% of the total and these glaciers are evenly distributed at different mountains. Note that it is not always easy for us to monitor all of the appropriate glaciers as some of them locate in remote areas (i.e. far away from road). We can select some benchmark glaciers with easily accessible locations for future application of TLS measurements, such as Kanas Glacier, Muztaw Glacier, Qingbingtan Glacier No.72, Haxilegen Glacier No. 51, Yushugou Glacier, Laohugou Glacier No.12, Qiyi Glacier, Xiao Dongkemadi Glacier, Parlung No.94 Glacier and Baishui Glacier No.1, etc. All of these selected glaciers have a relatively high ratio of visibility (most part of the benchmark glaciers surface can be scanned from several possible scan positions) according to our field observations. What's more, these glaciers possess different areas (small, medium and large size), different types (cold and temperate) and evenly locate at different regions of western China. Measuring mass

balance of different sized, typed, and located glaciers is relevant for us to understand them to past, present, and future climate changes. So the TLS system has huge application potential for glacier mass-balance monitoring in China.

Nevertheless, TLS measurements and point cloud data post-processing are challenges for a broader application. One disadvantage of the TLS is that it requires specific knowledge, skills and experience for its use and data processing. Other limitations of this TLS are related to suitable scan positions for obtaining good visual angles of the glacier surface and stable scan positions for multi-temporal registration of repeated scans for change detection. In addition, the uncertainties of density conversion still remain at seasonal and annual scales as in situ measured densities of all benchmark sites are difficult to obtain (very sparse glaciers in China have such detailed observations as UG1). The day when relatively smaller amount of snow on the accumulation area and the absence of snow on the ablation area (i.e. snow line is clearly distinguished) should be chosen to perform TLS measurements. We may use a built-in camera of the TLS to create high resolution panorama images of a glacier (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a), then firn/snow and bare ice areas (i.e. snow line) can be determined (e.g. Barandun et al., 2018). We can use the area-weighting method to estimate a density due to the lack of in situ measured densities makes volume-weighting approach difficult to extensively use. For longer time intervals ( $\geq 5$  years), a density assumption based on physical models is also important since most glaciers in northwest China are cold and multi-thermal.

#### **References:**

Immerzeel, W. W., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Shea, J. M., Shrestha, A. B., Pellicciotti, F., Bierkens, M. F. P., and de Jong, S. M.: High-resolution monitoring of Himalayan glacier dynamics using unmanned aerial vehicles, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 150, 93-103, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.025>, 2014.

Fugazza, D., Scaioni, M., Corti, M., D'Agata, C., Azzoni, R. S., Cernuschi, M., Smiraglia, C., and Diolaiuti, G. A.: Combination of UAV and terrestrial photogrammetry to assess rapid glacier evolution and map glacier hazards, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1055-1071, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-1055-2018>, 2018.

Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E., Moholdt, G., M $\ddot{u}$ g, N., Paul, F., Radić, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B., Rich, J., Sharp, M. J., and The Randolph Consortium: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, 60, 537-552,

doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J176, 2014.

- P15, L9: I think microwave remote sensing is not an effective technology as the limited time and space resolution.

**Reply: We have deleted corresponding sentences.**

#### 7 Conclusions

Cloud need to be a bit change after taking account the comments mentioned above.

**Reply: Now revised and adapted accordingly.**

#### 8 Figures and tables

- Figure 2: In the caption, please add some scientific content to illustrate the figure.

**Reply: Now added as suggested.**

- Figure 3: Please improve the figure to obtain clear content.

**Reply: Now improved accordingly.**

- Figure 4: Please again improve the figure to obtain clear content.

**Reply: Now improved accordingly.**

- Figure 5: Please again improve the figure to obtain clear content.

**Reply: Now improved accordingly.**

- Figure 6: Please again improve the figure to obtain clear content.

**Reply: Now improved accordingly.**

- Table 3: Please hold two decimal places.

**Reply: Now values with 2 positions after decimal were written.**

- Table 4: Please again hold two decimal places.

**Reply: Now values with 2 positions after decimal were written.**

#### 9 References

Please check the reference, both in the text and at the end, to meet the requirements of the journal. e.g.: - P1, L29: Correct “Liu and Liu, 2016” - P2, L2: Correct “Xie and Liu, 1991” - P18, L19: Lichti et al., 2005 in references not in text -P19, L12: Rolstad after RIEGL - etc.

**Reply: Thanks for the warm prompt. We have checked and standardized all the references to meet the requirements of the journal, both in the text and at the end. “Liu and Liu, 2016”, “Xie and Liu, 1991” were corrected. “Lichti et al., 2005” was added in text. Rolstad was moved after RIEGL**



# Long-range terrestrial laser scanning measurements of summer and annual mass balances for Urumqi Glacier No.1, eastern Tien Shan, China

5 Chunhai Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhongqin Li<sup>1\*</sup>, Huilin Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Feiteng Wang<sup>1</sup>, and Ping Zhou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science/Tien Shan Glaciological Station, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

<sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

*Correspondence to:* Zhongqin Li (lizq@lzb.ac.cn)

10 **Abstract.** The direct glaciological method ~~typically~~ provides in situ observations of annual or seasonal surface mass balance, but  
can only be implemented through a succession of intensive in situ measurements of ~~field measuring~~ networks of stakes and snow  
pits. This has contributed to glacier surface mass-balance measurements being sparse and often discontinuous in the Tien Shan.  
Nevertheless, long-term glacier mass-balance measurements are the basis for understanding climate–glacier interactions and  
projecting future water availability for glacierized catchments in the Tien Shan. Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 long-range terrestrial laser  
15 ~~scanning scanner~~ (TLS), typically using class 3B laser beams, is exceptionally well suited for measuring snowy and icy terrain in  
repeated glacier mapping, and subsequently annual and seasonal geodetic mass balance can be determined. This paper introduces  
the applied TLS for monitoring summer and annual surface elevation and geodetic mass changes of Urumqi Glacier No.1 (UG1) as  
well as delineating accurate glacier boundaries for two consecutive years (2015-17), and discusses the potential of such technology  
in glaciological applications. Three-dimensional changes of ice and firn/snow bodies and the corresponding densities were  
20 considered for the volume-to-mass conversion. UG1 showed pronounced thinning and mass loss for the four investigated periods;  
glacier-wide geodetic mass balance in the mass-balance year 2015-16 was slightly more negative than in 2016-17. The majority of  
TLS-derived geodetic elevation changes at individual stakes were slightly positive, but showed a close correlation with the  
glaciological elevation changes (changes in exposed stake height) of individual stakes ( $R^2 \geq 0.90$ ). Statistical comparison shows  
that agreement between the glaciological and geodetic mass balances can be considered satisfying, indicating that the TLS system  
25 yields accurate results and has the potential to monitor remote and inaccessible glacier areas where no glaciological measurements  
are available.

## 1. Introduction

Glacier meltwater is a crucial freshwater resource for populations and hydro-economies in arid and semi-arid regions (e.g. Sorg et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016). The function known as ‘solid reservoirs’ is well represented in the Tien Shan, where most glaciers  
30 have experienced substantial mass loss over recent decades (Farinotti et al., 2015; Pieczonka et al., 2015; Liu ~~and liuet al.~~, 2016; Sakai et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Hence, a better understanding of the relationship between Tien Shan glacier wastage and changing climate is important for projecting water availability in the near future. Glacier mass balance provides important information on the gain or loss in glacier mass and is a direct and immediate indicator of climate evolution (Kaser et al., 2006; Haeberli et al., 2007).

35

Ongoing mass-balance observations are fundamental to understand climate–glacier interactions (Zemp et al., 2015). Annual and sometimes seasonal surface mass balance of individual glaciers can be measured using the direct glaciological method. Stakes are drilled into the ice, allowing the monitoring of ablation, and snow pits are dug in the area where snow has accumulated to provide net accumulation (Østrem and Brugman, 1991; Xie ~~and Liu et al.~~, 1991; Cogley et al., 2011). However, the shortage of long-term financial and human resources and the inaccessibility of remote regions and natural hazards means that ongoing in situ glacier mass-balance measurements are sparse and discontinuous in the Tien Shan, so that only Tuyuksu glacier (northern Tien Shan, Kazakhstan) and Urumqi Glacier No.1 (eastern Tien Shan, China) have long glaciological mass balance series (Hoelzle et al., 2017). In contrast to the extensive in situ measurement networks required for glaciological observations, the geodetic method provides mass balance by repeated surveys of the ~~entire~~-glacier surface terrain, in which two digital elevation models (DEMs) are subtracted to calculate the volume changes and then convert them to mass balance using a density conversion (Zemp et al., 2013; Huss, 2013; Andreassen et al., 2016). The method measures all processes that induce the surface, internal and basal mass balances (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010; Sold et al., 2016) but the geodetic mass balances are assumed to be accurate since the topographic surveys are of high quality (Thibert et al., 2008; Huss et al., 2009; Joerg et al., 2012). The available DEMs, derived from aerial photography and traditional remote sensing imagery, usually limit the accuracy and time spatiotemporal-resolution of geodetic mass-balance measurements (e.g. Cox and March et al., 2004; Cogley, 2009; Fischer, 2011).

In recent years, burgeoning earth observation technologies (e.g. airborne (ALS) and terrestrial laser scanning (TLS)), which allow the derivation of high-resolution DEMs with vertical and horizontal errors on the order of a few centimeters, have increasingly been used to calculate glacier volume and geodetic mass changes (e.g. Kerr et al., 2009; Abermann et al., 2010; Fischer, 2011; Joerg et al., 2012; Gabbud et al., 2015; Andreassen et al., 2016; Fischer et al., 2016; López-Moreno et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017; Klug et al., 2018). ALS is advantageous for rapidly mapping wide areas, but the high costs, the difficulty of studying small-scale processes, and the presence of rock outcrops limit the capacity of observations by aircraft and require ground-based surveys (Young et al., 2010; Piermattei et al., 2015). The TLS system is usually simpler, more economical and more flexible than ALS, and has become a well-established tool for monitoring annual and sometimes seasonal evolutions of individual glaciers (e.g. Gabbud et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2016; López-Moreno et al., 2016), reference glaciers in particular. The new high-speed and -resolution Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 terrestrial laser scanner offers a long measurement range of more than 6 km and a wide field of 60° vertical and 360° horizontal for topographic (static) applications (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a). Being the Laser Class 3B, it is, due to its laser wavelength in the near-infrared (~1064 nm), exceptionally well suited for measuring snow- and ice-covered terrains in repeated glacier mapping. Some recent studies have covered the novel use of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS to measure surface melt for a temperate Alpine valley glacier at the seasonal and hourly scales (Gabbud et al., 2015); however, only the central and bottom ~~portions elevations of the glacier~~ were detected due to the glacier area is relatively big. Others present the performance of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 in monitoring the mass balance of five glaciers in the European Alps; the surface terrain of each glacier can be almost entirely detected using one scan position since these glaciers are very small and their terrains are steep (Fischer et al., 2016). For medium-sized and large reference glaciers with flat terrain, however, a single scan position cannot capture the whole glacier surface.

Urumqi Glacier No.1 (hereafter known as UG1) has the most detailed annual and seasonal surface mass balance measurements in China ~~is the best monitored glacier in China~~. It is also one of the reference glaciers in the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS) network due to its long-term data series, important location and significant local water supply (Li et al., 2011; Zemp et al., 2009). Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS surveys of UG1 were initiated on 25 April 2015 for four scan positions (Fig. 1a), and the subsequent

measurements were nearly coincident with days of glaciological [mass-balance](#) measurements. Multi-temporal high-resolution and -precision TLS-derived DEMs are therefore available. To date, ~~a geodetic reanalysis of seasonal and annual glaciological mass balance of UG1 has not received attention. Comparison~~ [comparison](#) of glaciological and geodetic mass balances of UG1 was ~~only~~ reported for the period 1981-2009 at intervals of several years (Wang et al., 2014) ~~and for the period 1981-2015 (Xu et al., 2018),~~ [but these studies used a series of low-quality topographic maps to calculate sub-decadal and decadal geodetic results, accurate reanalysis of seasonal and annual glaciological mass balance of UG1 using high-resolution and -precision DEMs has not received attention.](#) Our previous studies have used the TLS to monitor the net mass balance of UG1 at the monthly scale (25 April-28 May 2015), whereas we simply compared glaciological and TLS-derived geodetic elevation changes of individual stakes, whether agreement between the glaciological and TLS-derived glacier-wide mass balance was pending, potential of such technology applied in seasonal and annual glacier mass-balance measurements in western China had not been discussed; besides we only considered snow/firn densities in the geodetic mass balance calculations as an abundance of fresh snow covered the entire glacier surface at the time of the TLS surveys (Xu et al., 2017). In fact, a density conversion of volume changes to mass balance becomes more challenging over short time periods because of meteorological influences on the elevation changes (Huss, 2013). Some studies use [an volumearea](#)-weighting method to calculate the annual [density](#) conversion ~~density~~ by classifying a glacier surface into bare ice and firn (e.g. Fischer et al., 2016; Klug et al., 2018). However, the volume changes in ice and firn/snow usually take place at the same vertical layer for summer-accumulation-type glaciers (accumulation and ablation take part simultaneously in summer months) according to our field observations, so we cannot classify ice and firn using remotely sensed methods in this study. Besides, compaction and metamorphosis imply a shift in the vertical firn profile as well as changes in firn thickness and density (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010; Ligtenberg et al., 2011).

Hence, the aims of the present study are: (1) to describe the original use of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS-derived DEMs to calculate summer and annual geodetic mass balances of UG1 for two consecutive years (2015-17); (2) to consider three-dimensional (3-D) changes of ice and firn/snow bodies and density conversion from in situ measured snow/firn densities is applied to make these calculations. Firn compaction and metamorphosis can be therefore captured to some extent; (3) to compare the geodetic results to glaciological glacier-wide mass balances through a detailed uncertainty assessment of the glaciological and geodetic methods; (4) to discuss how to achieve good quality of point cloud data and DEM differencing and to analyze the possible cause of the difference between the two methods; and (5) to take UG1 as a case to assess the potential of such long-range TLS to measure ~~glacier seasonal and annual~~ [mass balance of glaciers in western China](#) ~~at the seasonal and annual scales~~ and put forward some main considerations for a broader application of the TLS.

## 2. Study site

UG1 is a northeast-orientated [small](#) valley glacier, situated on the northern slope of Tianger Summit II (4848 m a.s.l.) in the eastern Tien Shan (43°06'N, 86°49'E, [Figs. 1a and b](#)), ~~and consists of two independent small glaciers: the east branch (EB) and the west branch (WB).~~ UG1 covered a total area of 1.555 km<sup>2</sup> on 2 September 2015, from TLS-derived high-resolution DEMs. Intensive glaciological investigations of UG1 were implemented in 1959 and then a monitoring station (Tien Shan Glaciological Station) was set up for long-term [glaciological mass-balance](#) measurements. ~~Over the past 50 years~~ [During the period 1959-2008](#), UG1 had experienced two accelerated recessions, commencing in 1985 and 1996 respectively (Li et al., 2011). The glacier was separated into two branches in 1993 due to enhanced melting (Li et al., 2011): [the east branch \(EB\) and the west branch \(WB\).](#)

UG1 is a typical summer-accumulation-type glacier in a continental climate setting (Liu and Han, 1992; Li et al., 2011). The westerly circulation is influenced by the dynamic action of the Tibetan Plateau in the winter months, causing a cold climate with little precipitation in the study site (Han et al., 2006; Huintjes et al., 2010). During the summer month, the Tibetan Plateau becomes a thermal depression and forms a plateau monsoon, which carries warm and humid air from the India Ocean, producing abundant precipitation surrounding the Plateau (Huintjes et al., 2010). These climatic conditions were confirmed by the annual climate records (1959-2015) of Daxigou Meteorological Station (DMS), located about 3 km southeast of UG1 at 3539 m a.s.l.; the annual average air temperature was about -5.0 °C, and the annual average precipitation was 460 mm. 78% of the annual total precipitation amount occurs from May to August (summer), dominated by solid precipitation (Yue et al., 2017). The climatic conditions mean that the glacier is dominated by weak accumulation from October to March (winter) and the accumulation rate is quicker from April to May; both strong ablation and accumulation mainly take place between June and September (Liu et al., 1997).

~~The mass balance of UG1 has been observed by measuring stakes or snow pits, beginning in 1959 (Xie and Liu, 2010). Glaciological measurements broke off during the period 1967-79 and the glaciological data series during this period were reconstructed from correlations with climatic data observed at the DMS. The program was re-established using glaciological methods in 1980.~~

### 3. Data and methodology

#### 3.1. Terrestrial laser scanning

##### 3.1.1. Principles and key features of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS

Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS is an active laser imaging technique that calculates the distance between the object and the laser transmitter based on time-of-flight measurement with echo digitization and online waveform processing, and consequently the position of the point of interest to be computed (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2013). The scan mechanism includes a fast-rotating (60-120° from zenith) and more slowly rotating optical head (0-360°). The mirror deflects the laser beam in different directions, thus forming a scan line from consecutive measurements. Meanwhile, the optical head rotates and this scan movement is called a frame scan. A line scan and frame scan generate a view scan using this technique; data collection occurs at a rate of 23 000-222 000 points per second and generates point clouds (Table 1; RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a).

The high-accuracy and -precision ranging is based on its unique V-line technology of echo digitization and online waveform processing, which allows Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS to operate even in poor visibility and in demanding multi-target situations caused by dust, haze, rain, snow, etc. (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a).

##### 3.1.2. Terrestrial laser scanning surveys

Multi-temporal terrestrial laser scanning data of UG1 were collected from four scan positions to achieve maximum coverage, and each scan location was selected from the directions where most glacier surface point clouds would be achieved (i.e. the best possible visibility to glacier surface terrain) (Figs. 1c, d). To avoid ground motion and to obtain accurate coordinates of point clouds, each scan position was fixed using reinforced concrete with a standard GNSS-leveling point. 3-D coordinates of the four scan positions were then surveyed using the real-time kinematic (RTK) global positioning system (GNSS, Unistrong E650

instrument) to facilitate the most accurate direct georeferencing and registration. The 3-D coordinates were acquired in the UTM 45N coordinate system in the WGS84 datum. The accuracy of this type of RTK surveys has been reported to be within  $\pm 1$  cm horizontally and  $\pm 2$  cm vertically, according to previous studies on UG1 (e.g. Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017).

5 After the measurements of 3-D coordinates, the Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 was mounted on a tripod placed in the scan position to survey the glacier surface terrain. The scan parameters and atmospheric conditions are of crucial importance, directly determining point cloud data quality (point density and coverage) and acquisition time (Table 1 Xu et al., 2017). As to UG1, the laser pulse repetition rate was first set to 50 kHz, then line resolution and frame angle measurement resolution were set to  $0.2^\circ$  to allow a view scan with vertical and horizontal angles were in the range of  $60\text{--}120^\circ$  from zenith and  $0\text{--}360^\circ$ , respectively. A fine scan is a rectangular field-  
 10 of-view scan, and the selected field should always cover the entire glacier to guarantee the overlap percentage of four scans was no less than 30% (CH/Z 3017-2015, 2015). With each scan, the laser pulse repetition rate was reset to 30 kHz, and the corresponding line and frame resolution were configured as  $0.02^\circ$  to ensure dense points of the glacier surface, except for the scan campaign on 2 September 2015 (Table 2). All scans are performed on sunny days (dry and windless atmosphere) to avoid the influence of precipitation and fog, which can absorb laser pulse and reduce the possible survey distance. Details of the survey parameters are  
 15 listed in Table 2.

### 3.1.3. Terrestrial laser scanning data processing

Raw point cloud data were post-processed with RiSCAN PRO<sup>®</sup> v 1.81 software; this includes direct georeferencing, data registration, vacuation and filtering (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014b). For all five scan campaigns, four scan positions were used (Table 1). In the first phase (i.e. direct georeferencing), the TLS data from the different scan positions had to be  
 20 transformed from the Scanner's Own Coordinate System (SOCS) into a Global Coordinate System (GLCS). According to Figure 2, the transformation of a point from SOCS into the GLCS can be expressed by the vector equation

$$\vec{r}_g = \vec{r}_0 + R(k)\vec{r}_s, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{r}_s$  is the vector of a target in the SOCS;  $\vec{r}_g$  is the vector of the georeferenced target in the GLCS;  $\vec{r}_0$  is the vector of SOCS origin in the GLCS,  $k$  is the derived azimuth from the scan position to the backsight station and

$$R(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos k & \sin k & 0 \\ \sin k & \cos k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Hence the direct method of georeferencing uses the 3-D coordinates of the scan positions to realize its functions (Lichti et al., 2005; Mukupa et al., 2016; Fey and Wichmann, 2017). The accuracy of the method depends on the quality of the measured coordinates. Previous studies stated that the direct georeferencing technique in TLS using global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) is advantageous (e.g. Mohamed and Wilkinson, 2009; Paffenholz et al., 2010).  
 30

The location of each scan was fixed in the GLCS after direct georeferencing; but the point clouds of the overlapped areas cannot coincide completely due to the influence of orientation. In the second step, multi-station adjustment (MSA) was used for the data registration of each scan position according to the iterative closest point (ICP) algorithm (Besl and McKay, 1992; Zhang, 1992). When we used MSA, the location of each scan was locked and the orientation of each scan was constantly adjusted in several  
 35 iterations to compute the best overall fit for them based on least-squares minimization of residuals.

Afterwards we combined the overlapped scans in one layer. An octree algorithm was used to the merged layer to produce points with equal spacing to realize point cloud data vacuation (Schnabel and Klein, 2006; Perroy et al., 2010). A terrain filter was then applied to filter out noise and non-ground data due to atmospheric reflections such as dust or moisture, which still occurred despite scanning on fine days (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014b). Visual interpretation was also performed to check the data and remove clear visual outliers. Finally, glacier surface point clouds with one layer were produced.

## 3.2. Geodetic mass balance

### 3.2.1. Geodetic mass balance calculations

As the orientation of each scan was continually adjusted to compute the best fit, the attitude angles of each scan campaign are different. Multi-temporal registration, also called relative registration, set the processed layer of 2 September 2015 as a reference; alignment of other scan campaigns onto the reference layer was finished with ICP (MSA) algorithms to determine the spatial bias of the multi-temporal scans and extract accurate elevation changes (Revuelto et al., 2014; Gabbud et al., 2015). The relative registered layers were then exported into LAS data format for further processing. Multi-temporal registration of two consecutive campaigns is a crucial step and determines the reliability of TLS-derived surface elevation changes (Revuelto et al., 2014; López-Moreno et al., 2016; Fey and Wichmann, 2017).

15

After the relative registration procedure, interpolation of the processed point cloud data calculated high-resolution DEMs of the study site. The surface elevation change  $\Delta h_k$  at the individual pixel  $r$  was calculated by differencing the TLS-derived multi-temporal DEMs with ArcMAP 10.2 software. The total volume change  $\Delta V$  was determined by summing the elevation change  $\Delta h_k$  of different time periods, and is expressed as

20

$$\Delta V = r^2 \sum_{k=1}^K \Delta h_k, \quad (3)$$

where  $K$  is the number of total pixels covering the maximum extent of UG1, and  $r$  is the pixel size (1 m  $\times$  1 m).

The calculated volume change is converted to geodetic mass balance (m w.e.) following:

$$B_{\text{geod}} = \frac{\Delta V}{\bar{S}} \cdot \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{water}}} = \frac{\Delta V}{1/2 \cdot (S_{t0} + S_{t1})} \cdot \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{water}}}, \quad (4)$$

25

where  $\bar{S}$  is the mean glacier area of the two acquisition dates  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ , thinking a linear change over time,  $\rho_{\text{water}}$  is the density of water and  $\rho$  is the average bulk density (density conversion) of glacier volume change (Thibert et al., 2008; Zemp et al., 2013).

### 3.2.2. Density conversion

As described above, the geodetic mass balance is calculated based on volume changes, which require a density conversion. However, the density is difficult to determine; in most studies, it is estimated and not measured. Some researches assume that no change occurs in the vertical firn density profile over time in the accumulation area and use glacier ice density for the conversion (Bader, 1954). Actually, the firn line, firn thickness and firn density all vary, and using the ice density causes an overestimate of mass balance. Huss (2013) recommended a density conversion of  $850 \pm 60 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  for the volume-to-mass conversion based on an empirical firn densification model with idealized surface mass balance forcing. But the recommendation is appropriate in the case of a geodetic observation span longer than 5 years; with stable mass balance gradients, [volume changes significantly different from](#) ~~zero volume changes are considerable~~ and a firn area exists. Therefore, several recent studies classify the glacier surface into firn and bare-ice zones and use the volume-weighting method to calculate the annual conversion (e.g. Fischer et al., 2016; Klug et al., 2018). However, UG1 is a summer-accumulation-type glacier; mass balance processes primarily occur in summer and the glacier is

35

dominated by weak accumulation in winter (Liu et al., 1997). Glacier volume changes in ice and firn usually occur at the same vertical profile according to long-term observations; the surface classification is not applicable in this study. Here we use in situ measured thickness and densities of firn/snow ( $\rho_{\text{firn}}$ ) and ablation stake data (change in ice thickness) to calculate single-point density conversion:

$$\rho_i = \frac{\Delta h_{\text{ice}} \cdot \rho_{\text{ice}} + \Delta h_{\text{firn}} \cdot \rho_{\text{firn}}}{\Delta h_{\text{ice}} + \Delta h_{\text{firn}}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\rho_{\text{ice}} = 900 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  is glacier ice density, and  $\Delta h_{\text{ice}}$  and  $\Delta h_{\text{firn}}$  are the changes in ice and firn/snow thickness, determined from glaciological [mass-balance](#) measurements. We extrapolated single-point values to the glacier-wide densities to calculate average bulk density ( $\rho$ ) using the interpolation method (Table 43), and the distributed density conversions of the total glacier were then generated (Figs. 6a, c, e, g).

It is generally true that the density conversion relies on measurements of changes in the 3-D firn body, thickness and density of each firn layer being continuous from the top to the bottom of the snow pit, and a stratigraphic description of the firn layers is completed by experienced investigators. Major change processes in the snowpack (e.g. from crystals to grains, free water content and ice layers, etc.) can be considered in this case (Kaser et al., 2003), and firn compaction assumed to be negligible. Glacier dynamics are probably insignificant for UG1 since the measured surface velocity is on the order of a few meters per year (Wang et al., 2017). Here we use the weighted average method (the weights are the thickness changes of each firn layer and glacier ice) to calculate the firn density ( $\rho_{\text{firn}}$ ) of each snow pit.

### 3.3. Glaciological mass balance

#### 3.3.1. In situ glaciological measurements of UG1

[The mass balance of UG1 has been observed by measuring stakes or snow pits, beginning in 1959 \(Xie and Liu, 2010\). Glaciological measurements broke off during the period 1967-79 and the glaciological data series during this period were reconstructed from correlations with climatic data observed at the DMS \(Li et al., 2011\). The program was re-established using glaciological methods in 1980.](#)~~The mass balance monitoring program of UG1 has been implemented by measuring stakes and snow pits (Xie and Liu, 1991).~~ No less than 40 ablation stakes were drilled into the glacier [and](#) evenly distributed at different elevation bands using a stream drill, despite the fact that the number of stakes has varied from year to year, and snow pits were dug where snow has accumulated (Fig. 1a). The mass-balance year of UG1 is defined from previous September 1 to next August 31 (Liu et al., 1997). Usually, from the beginning of May to early September each year, a spatial distribution of single-point ablation ([mass loss](#)) or accumulation ([mass gain](#)), and snow density (if there is snow cover) were measured by stakes and snow pits at monthly intervals. [The net accumulation is measured by digging snow pits at each of the stakes in the area of the glacier where snow has accumulated during the period of investigation; stakes are drilled into the glacier and change in an exposed stake height plus change in snow depth \(if snow exists\) at two successive dates gives the net ice ablation at this point \(Kaser et al., 2003; Xie and Liu, 2010\).](#) Hence the measured items include the stake vertical height over the glacier surface, thickness of superimposed ice, and the thickness and density of each snow/firn layer at individual snow pits. Note that fresh snow covered the entire glacier at the beginning of the ablation season, so snow pits must also be dug at each of the stakes. ~~The specific mass balance is calculated from the product of the level change between readings and the ice density. This study involves the glaciological measured data over the period 2015-17.~~

### 3.3.2 Glaciological surface mass-balance determination of UG1

Glaciological mass balance includes point and glacier-wide mass balances. The rate of mass gain and loss per unit time is accumulation rate  $\dot{c}$  and ablation rate  $\dot{a}$ , respectively.  $\dot{c}$  minus  $\dot{a}$  equals mass-balance rate  $\dot{b}$ . Integrating  $\dot{b}$  over the time span from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$  gives point mass balance  $\Delta b$ . ~~mass balance  $\Delta b$  over the time span from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$  is related to the mass-balance rate  $\dot{b}$~~  (Cogley et al., 2011):

$$\Delta b = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{b}(t) dt = b(t_1) - b(t_0). \quad (6)$$

Point values can be extrapolated to glacier-wide mass balance using the contour-line or profile method (Østrem and Brugman, 1991; Kaser et al., 2003). Here the time span is often a year or a season, and a seasonal mass balance is classically a winter balance or a summer balance (Cogley et al., 2011). Here  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  are the same as the  $t$  defined by Eq. (4). Point values can be extrapolated to glacier-wide specific mass balance using the contour-line or profile method (Østrem and Brugman, 1991; Kaser et al., 2003). For UG1, contour-line and isoline methods had successfully been used to calculate seasonal and annual glacier-wide mass balance (Xie and Liu, 1991), together with simulated values obtained using a simple energy-balance model (the energy divide into shortwave radiation and temperature dependent energy budget) in areas with no measurements (Xie and Liu, 1991; Oerlemans, 2010; WGMS, 2017). This study involves the glaciological measured data over the period 2015-17.

### 3.4. Glacier boundary delineation

Accurate glacier area was important for both geodetic and glaciological mass balance calculations (Zemp et al., 2013). Fresh snow cover probably led to an overestimate of glacier extent; to reduce the influence of snow cover and to extract accurate glacier outlines, we mainly considered glacier extents at the end of the ablation season. Glacier boundary delineation was performed following Abermann et al. (2010). Firstly three shade reliefs at the end of hydrological years 2015, 2016 and 2017 with an azimuth angle for illumination ( $300^\circ$ ) were calculated based on multi-temporal high-resolution DEMs to show optimal visualization of contrasts in different aspects. We then delineated the glacier boundary directly by manually digitizing the strongest roughness in the shade reliefs (Fig. 3). The area of UG1 was 1.555, 1.550 and 1.542 km<sup>2</sup> in 2015, 2016 and 2017 respectively. Glacier area reduction was primarily attributed to terminus retreat (Figs. 3b, c).

## 4. Uncertainty assessments

### 4.1. Uncertainties of geodetic mass balance

After multi-temporal registration, errors related to the spatial bias of the multi-temporal DEMs may be negligible. Besides density conversion for converting TLS-derived glacier surface elevation changes to mass balance, uncertainties in the geodetic mass balances derived from TLS may be related to (1) errors in point cloud data acquisition, including surface terrain and atmospheric conditions (moisture and wind) (Revuelto et al., 2014; Fischer et al., 2016); and (2) errors in data processing and DEM generation, e.g. registration (multi-station adjustment), point cloud vacuation and filtering (smoothing terrain information) (Wheaton et al., 2010; Gabbud et al., 2015; Hartzell et al., 2015).

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1.2, dry and windless days were selected to finish the five scan campaigns. Instability of the TLS influences the registration of single scan positions from each data acquisition campaign, which includes small displacements of



scan positions and the vibration of TLS. Each scan position was stabilized on stable rock surfaces using reinforced concrete (the average drilling depth was greater than 80 cm) with a standardized GNSS-leveling point to avoid ground motion. In fieldwork, TLS is mounted using a tribrach on a tripod to level the instrument (Xu et al., 2017). Revuelto et al. (2014) found that the vibration of TLS can introduce considerable errors in measurements performed over large scales. In our experience, this issue is mainly relevant to wind, so windless weather conditions are important. Because the registration error cannot be distinguished from the positional uncertainties and the surface, it is difficult to assess registration-induced uncertainty; the error statistics are usually used to evaluate the registration error (Fey and Wichmann, 2017). RiSCAN PRO<sup>®</sup> v 1.81 software reports error statistics of the MSA results (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014b). The standard deviation of errors ( $\sigma_{\text{MSA}}$ ) from the set of residuals obtained from registering the point cloud can be considered as an indication of registration quality (Gabbud et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2016).  $\sigma_{\text{MSA}}$  values for the four periods over stable terrain surrounding UG1 are listed in Table 32. Registration quality was higher at seasonal than at annual scales; the higher quality may be attributable to fresh snow cover, which makes the stable terrain smooth.

Despite four scan positions placed at the terminus of UG1, two artefacts (two green polygons in Fig. 5) were due to flat terrain and relatively higher elevation of WB surface (Fig. 1a). We filled these regions using the spatial interpolation method, which can induce potential errors in DEM creations. The lack of dense measured 3-D coordinates of the terrain limits us to assessing terrain-induced errors quantitatively. Xu et al. (2017) compared the interpolated and measured surface elevation changes at corresponding ablation stakes and confirmed that the comparative results were encouraging. For precision, the artefacts were not taken into account in calculating the mass balance, but the errors related to unscanned areas should be very small because the relative proportions of the artefacts over the entire glacier surface were minor (3.1% for summer 2015, 3.2% for 2015-16, 3.6% for summer 2016, and 4.6% for 2016-17, Fig. 5). Furthermore, supraglacial river developed widely at the strong ablation season due to glacier melting (Figs. 1c and d) and its terrain characteristics are depicted by TLS. We observe the presence of outliers in these regions (Fig. 5). In order to preserve terrain information as much as possible, the octree algorithm built the topological relationship of scattered points to realize the vacuation of the point cloud. Point cloud filtering is also a significant post-processing step because of the dense ablation stake network, which is actually scanned by the device. Fortunately, fine scan generates high-density points of the glacier surface terrain.

There are no better ways to evaluate the uncertainty of DEMs without precise and well-distributed stable points (Bolch et al., 2017). The standard error ( $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}$ ) of elevation changes over stable terrain can be considered as a criterion of the uncertainty of the entire glacier (Rolstad et al., 2009; Zemp et al., 2013). The standard deviation ( $\sigma_{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}$ ) of the stable terrain elevation changes is suitable for estimating the uncertainty of the DEM differences at the individual pixel scale (Fig. 4); in this case the standard error is defined as the standard deviation. However, the spatial auto-correlation must be considered when we calculate the uncertainty of the glacier-wide elevation changes. Thereby, the uncertainty of TLS-derived glacier-wide elevation changes ( $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}$ ) for individual glaciers were quantified using the geostatistical analysis methods of Rolstad et al. (2009) and written as

$$\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}^2 = \sigma_{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{S_{\text{cor}}}{S}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\sigma_{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}$  denotes the standard deviation of TLS-derived elevation changes over stable terrain.  $S_{\text{cor}}$  is spatially correlated area. Given the high density ( $> 1 \text{ point m}^{-2}$ ) of the TLS data, we can probably assume that the number of independent items is about the number of glacier pixels (cf. Joerg et al., 2012, ALS point clouds). Here we therefore assume  $S_{\text{cor}} = S$ . This leads to calculated values of  $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}$  range from  $\pm 0.16$  to  $\pm 0.25$  m (Table 32).

Uncertainties related to the density conversion for a single point ( $\sigma_{\rho_i}$ ) were calculated as

$$\sigma_{\rho_i} = \frac{\Delta h_{ice} \cdot \sigma_{\rho_{ice}} + \Delta h_{firn} \cdot \sigma_{\rho_{firn}}}{\Delta h_{ice} + \Delta h_{firn}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma_{\rho_{ice}}$  and  $\sigma_{\rho_{firn}}$  are uncertainties of ice and firn densities, which were assumed to be  $\pm 17$  and  $\pm 50$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, following Klug et al. (2018). We then extrapolated single-point values to glacier-wide uncertainties ( $\sigma_{\rho}$ ) using the interpolation method on the ArcMAP 10.2 platform (Table 43). According to Huss et al. (2009), the uncertainties of the geodetic mass balance ( $\sigma_{geod}$ ) can be estimated using

$$\sigma_{geod} = \pm \sqrt{(\overline{\Delta h_{TLS}} \cdot \sigma_{\rho})^2 + (\rho \cdot \sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{TLS}}})^2}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\overline{\Delta h_{TLS}}$  is the average of TLS-derived glacier-wide elevation changes and the related uncertainty relies on the accuracy of the used DEMs.

#### 4.2. Uncertainties of glaciological measurements

Many difficulties, including the harsh climate, create problems and lead to additional sources of errors for glaciological measurements, which in turn cause uncertainties in glaciological mass balance that are not easy to quantify (Dyrgerov, 2002). Uncertainties in glaciological measurements were classified into three groups: (i) errors in field observations, (ii) errors related to spatial extrapolation over the entire glacier and (iii) errors due to non-updated glacier area. Note that the class (iii) uncertainties appeared to be negligible due to the short time intervals (two consecutive years) in our study.

15

Point measurement uncertainties are prone to errors in stake readings and snow/firn density measurements (Jansson and Pettersson, 2007; Thibert et al., 2008; Huss et al., 2009), sinking or melting-out of stakes and misidentification of the firn layer surface at the end of the last hydrological year (Zemp et al., 2010). Some studies have demonstrated errors of  $\pm 0.1$  and  $\pm 0.3$  m w.e. for reading stakes in the ablation and accumulation areas, respectively (Huss et al., 2009). Zemp et al. (2010) determined an overall stochastic uncertainty at  $\pm 0.2$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> for field measurements. Zemp et al. (2013) reanalyzed the mass balance of Hintereisferner, Austria, from 1953 to 2006 (six time intervals) and found an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.2$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> [for point mass balance](#). Beedle et al. (2014) suggested an error of [point mass balance to be about](#)  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> for accumulation-area measurements. For Nigardsbreen (Norway) glaciers, Andreassen et al. (2016) calculated a point measurement of  $\pm 0.21$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> by summing false determination of the summer surface ( $\pm 0.2$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>), subsidence of stakes (0.20 m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>) and errors in density measurements (0.05 m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>).

20

25

Following Thibert et al. (2008), here errors of ablation measured in ice ( $\sigma_a^{ice}$ ) and firn ( $\sigma_a^{firn}$ ) are calculated using  $0.14/\sqrt{N_a^{ice}}$  and  $0.27/\sqrt{N_a^{firn}}$ , respectively, where  $N_a^{ice}$  and  $N_a^{firn}$  denote the number of [ablation stakes and snow pits \(if firn exists\)](#) **sampling sites**, respectively; errors in accumulation measurements ( $\sigma_c$ ) are determined based on  $0.21/\sqrt{N_c}$ , where  $N_c$  is the number of snow pits.

30

The class (ii) errors originate from extrapolating observed values to unmeasured areas, insufficient spatial distribution of measured sites and the interpolation method. Hock and Jensen (1999) evaluated the error of the interpolation method at about  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> for mean specific mass balances. Huss et al. (2009) computed and compared mean specific net balance with randomly reduced annual stake datasets and found that the error was  $\pm 0.12$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>. [For UG1, we find that the differences between specific net mass balance at individual sites and in situ measured point mass balance at corresponding sites were in the range of 0-0.04 m w.e. with an average value of 0.01 m w.e., namely, the error of spatial interpolation in the measured area is small. Firn basin and glacier tongue terrain of the WB are very steep and the upper eastern elevation of the EB is also precipitous, resulting in no in situ measurements are available in these inaccessible areas. Therefore the error mainly originates from unmeasured areas \(e.g.](#)

35

accumulation areas) but the lack of measured data in the accumulation areas limits us to quantify relevant uncertainties. We conservatively assume that the corresponding uncertainty  $\sigma_{\text{extra}}$  was  $\pm 0.1$  m w.e.  $\text{a}^{-1}$  (cf. Andreassen et al., 2016).

Taking into account the above-mentioned factors, the uncertainty of the glaciological mass balance  $\sigma_{\text{glac}}$  is calculated as:

$$\sigma_{\text{glac}} = \sqrt{(\sigma_{\text{a}}^{\text{ice}})^2 + (\sigma_{\text{a}}^{\text{firm}})^2 + \sigma_{\text{c}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{extra}}^2} . \quad (10)$$

Resulting values of  $\sigma_{\text{glac}}$  are listed in Table 3.

## 5. Results

### 5.1. Spatial patterns in TLS-derived surface elevation changes

The high-accuracy and -resolution DEMs allowed a detailed insight into the glacier surface elevation changes. TLS-derived distributed elevation changes of both branches are generally similar in their spatial patterns. Strong mass losses visibly occurred across the ablation area, with smaller lowering to slight thickening in the upper-elevation parts (Figs. 5a, c, e); this altitudinal changing pattern is in good agreement with the long-term glaciological measurements. But in the mass-balance year 2016-17, the altitudinal changing pattern of WB was not pronounced (Fig. 5g). Compared to the mass-balance year 2015-16, areas of clearer increase were observed in the upper eastern parts of EB in the second mass-balance year. In addition, ice losses in the lower parts of WB and glacier thickening in the upper reaches of WB were greater and surface lowering of EB was more pronounced near the glacier tongue (Fig. 5g). During summer 2015, surface lowering mainly occurred in the ablation areas of EB (Fig. 5a). Glacier surface elevation changes were significantly more negative in summer 2016 than in the first summer; clear surface lowering of EB was observed (Fig. 5e). There are some curves of pronounced surface lowering in the ablation areas, especially in summer, which are related to supraglacial river (Figs. 1c, d). In addition, a slight thinning area is detected at the lower (northerly) edge of EB, which may be associated with debris cover (Fig. 1c).

### 5.2. Glacier-wide elevation and geodetic mass changes

TLS-derived glacier-wide mass balances (Table 43) and their spatial distributions (Figs. 6b, d, f, h) were calculated by multiplying the spatially distributed glacier surface elevation changes (Figs. 5a, c, e, g) with the corresponding distributed density conversion (Figs. 6a, c, e, g). The annual density conversions were generally higher than the summer ones (Table 43), because the thicker snow and firn covered most parts of the glacier surface at the beginning of the hydrological year, and the ablation area was bare ice or covered a thin snow at the end of the ablation season. Thus the summer geodetic mass balance was highly affected by the snow and firn, and the magnitude of the altitudinal variability in the summer mass balance significantly changed when compared to elevation changes (Figs. 5a, c), whereas the spatial distributed patterns between elevation changes and mass balances showed good performances. These suggest that the density conversions vary for all of the studied periods and a constant value used as the conversion is clearly inappropriate. Here in situ measured densities from snow pits improved the accuracy of TLS-derived geodetic mass-balance calculations and therefore provided exceptional level of detail on glacier-wide mass balance.

UG1 experienced negative surface elevation changes and mass balances for all of the four investigated periods (Table 43). Summer elevation lowering and mass loss were slightly greater than annual decreases, which may be related to the climatic conditions observed at DMS (see Sect. 2). In the mass-balance year 2015-16, calculated glacier-wide geodetic mass balance was  $-0.72 \pm 0.17$

m w.e., which was slightly more negative than in the second mass-balance year. Summer and annual mass balances of WB were more negative [compared to the corresponding values of EB](#), except for summer 2016 when the mass loss of EB was greatest.

### 5.3. Comparison to in situ glaciological measurements

5 TLS-derived geodetic elevation changes at individual stakes closely matched the glaciological elevation change (changes in stake height) of individual stakes from in situ measurements [and the difference \( \$\Delta h\_{\text{TLS}} - \Delta h\_{\text{glac}}\$ \) in surface elevation changes were close to zero for most of the point measurements](#) (Figs. 5a, c, e, g). The correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) between the glaciological elevation change at the ablation stakes and TLS-derived geodetic elevation change at corresponding points were more than 0.90 (Figs. 5b, d, f, h). Note that the location and number of [ablation stakes](#) varied slightly over time due to stake melt-out, in order to objectively  
10 assess the accuracy of the geodetic elevation changes, we selected the best-monitored single-point results. The majority of the point elevation changes from TLS measurements were slightly positive compared to the glaciological ones, except for summer 2016. During summer 2016 and mass-balance years 2015-16 and 2016-17, the mean values of  $\Delta h_{\text{TLS}} - \Delta h_{\text{glac}}$  were 0.18, 0.25 and 0.14 m, respectively, which ~~is~~ [are](#) systematically less than the corresponding uncertainties ( $\sigma_{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}$ ) of the glacier-wide elevation differences.

15 The varying tendencies of the glaciological mass balances coincided with the geodetic ones (Table 43). In 2016-17, the difference ( $\Delta B = B_{\text{glac}} - B_{\text{geod}}$ ) in glacier-wide mass balances of UG1 between the two methods was close to zero. Remarkable differences were detected in summer 2015 for UG1 and EB, with  $\Delta B = -0.24$  m w.e. and  $\Delta B = -0.27$  m w.e., respectively. In other three periods, the differences between the two methods were much less the uncertainties of  $\Delta B$ , which were calculated based on the law of error propagation ( $\pm \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{geod}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{glac}}^2}$ ). Overall, the differences of UG1 were slightly smaller than those of the two branches (Fig. 7). In  
20 order to calculate the statistical significance between the two methods and validate the geodetic against the glaciological mass balance, the reduced discrepancy ( $\delta$ ) between the two methods was calculated following Zemp et al. (2013, Eqs. 19-21); the results of  $\delta$  range from -1.14 to 0.58. As  $\delta$  falls within the 95% ( $|\delta| < 1.96$ ) and 90% ( $|\delta| < 1.64$ ) confidence interval, good agreement between the glaciological and geodetic methods can be considered as satisfying.

25 [Spatially distributed differences between glacier-wide glaciological and geodetic mass balances were calculated to know the spatial deviations. Over most parts of the glacier surface, especially for the areas near the best-monitored points, deviations were small, indicating both methods showed very close spatial results. Pronounced differences mainly occurred at the steep elevations where in situ measurements were missing](#) (Figs. 8a, d, g, j). The mass balance elevation distribution derived from the two methods remained similar despite the presence of differences in magnitude, i.e. mass balance increased with rising altitude (Figs. 8b, c, e, f, h, i, k, m).  
30 The geodetic results were more positive in lower-elevation regions and more negative in the higher glacier parts in general; this phenomenon was probably related to glacier dynamics. [However the glacier dynamic thickening and thinning were tiny since the observed annual vertical ice velocity was small \(cf. Beedle et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2017\), indicating that the TLS-derived surface-elevation changes captured most of the major changing patterns from the glaciological measurements.](#) The dotted (glaciological) and solid (geodetic) lines met where the glacier mass balances were close to zero; this meant that the equilibrium-line altitudes  
35 (ELAs) derived from the two methods matched closely, especially in mass-balance year 2015-16 and summer 2016 (Figs. 8e, f, h, i), but the biggest shift between the two methods was detected in summer 2015 for EB, which may be related to the reasons mentioned in Sect. 6.3. This reflects that the TLS can be therefore considered as an effective tool to calculate ELA.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1. The quality of point cloud data and DEM differencing

The important factors for scanning high-quality point cloud data are visual angles of the scan positions and atmospheric conditions. A dry and windless atmosphere is a prerequisite for high-quality data acquisition. Good visual angles can easily be achieved for very small cirque glaciers. Generally, the area and length of reference glaciers are greater, with a huge variation in altitude. The maximum working distance (6 km) of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 is specified for flat targets with size in excess of the laser beam diameter, perpendicular angle of incidence, and atmospheric visibility in excess of 23 km. In bright sunlight the operational range may be considerably shorter than under an overcast sky (Table 1; RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a). However, glaciers generally have complicated surface terrain and the requirement of perpendicular angle of incidence is not always met, so the unscanned regions usually have flatness or alcove terrains (Fig. 1d). It is very difficult for us to get a dry and windless atmosphere under an overcast sky around a glacier. In these situations, more than one scan position must be set in order to scan as much of the glacier surface area as possible. However, this, in turn, can create errors in data registration. The average error originating in MSA ( $\sigma_{MSA}$ ) of the investigated periods was  $\pm 0.16$  m (Table 23). Actually,  $\sigma_{MSA}$  is highly dependent on the overlap percentage of point clouds from each scan position of the same survey data and the accuracy of global 3-D coordinates of each scan position. In our fieldwork, the overlap percentage of point clouds from the four scan positions was more than 30%, which met the requirements of data registration (CH/Z 3017-2015, 2015). Note that we do not find that the more scan positions the better since more scan positions will probably decrease the quality of MSA. We should find the best visual angles to obtain the maximum scan range with the fewest scan positions. Higher elevation favors better angles, but it is not always easy for us to access higher parts and place the instrument. For the ideal distribution, scan positions should locate in different elevation bands and directions (Fig. 1a). In addition we can also mount a steadying bar on a tripod to raise the altitude of the TLS.

Systematic shifts of DEMs in the horizontal and vertical directions can also increase the uncertainty of DEM differencing (Nuth and Kääb, 2011), so multi-temporal registration of two consecutive scan campaigns is predicated on the TLS-derived geodetic elevation changes being accurate. The mean uncertainty of elevation changes was  $\pm 0.22$  m (Table 32), which was slightly smaller than in the TLS datasets used for other glacier thinning measurements (e.g. López-Moreno et al., 2016). This may be attributable to the use of accurate global 3-D coordinates of each scan positions and a sufficient number of stable terrains (Fig. 4). In addition, fixed scan positions also reduce multi-temporal registration error and enhance the accuracy of glacier-wide elevation changes. So the quality of point cloud data and DEM differencing is encouraging.

### 6.2. Accuracy of geodetic and glaciological mass balances

It is obvious that the quality of TLS-derived geodetic mass balances relies on the accuracy of glacier surface elevation changes and density conversion of volume to mass changes. With regard to density conversion, our approaches account for the 3-D changes of ice and firn/snow bodies as well as the corresponding densities to calculate more accurate values of density (Table 43). The annual  $\rho$  values were in the range of 763-865 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, which is in line with the average density of  $850 \pm 60$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> recommended by Huss (2013), whereas,  $\rho$  of WB declined to 763 kg m<sup>-3</sup> in the mass-balance year 2015-16, largely because of the presence of fresh snow cover at the time of the glaciological measurements (see Sect. 6.3). For the same reason, the summer values concurred with the recommended density. Calculated uncertainties in the geodetic mass balances ranged from  $\pm 0.13$  to  $\pm 0.20$  m w.e., with an average value of  $\pm 0.16$  m w.e. for the investigated periods (Table 43) and were slightly greater than other geodetic mass balance measured

base on the same TLS device (e.g. Fischer et al., 2016), which may be related to multiple scan positions and a larger scanning range, but smaller than those derived from remote sensing imagery (e.g. Holzer et al., 2015; Barandun et al., 2018).

Dense spatially measured sites cover the glacier surface (the average density is about 28 stakes km<sup>-2</sup> from 2015 to 2017), except for the inaccessible areas (Fig. 1a), to measure the glaciological mass balance. The mean uncertainty of  $\sigma_{\text{glac}}$  was  $\pm 0.12$  m w.e. and mainly originated from spatial extrapolation of point measurements. This value is smaller than ~~in~~ most recent studies (e.g. Andreassen et al., 2016; Thomson et al., 2017; Klug et al., 2018). This is probably due to relative smaller area and accompanying higher density of point measurements of UG1 than aforementioned glaciers (Fig. 1a). Thus the TLS device yields accurate geodetic results and the quality of the glaciological mass balances is also very good. Nonetheless, uncertainties in field measurements and interpolation can potentially contribute the deviations between glaciological and geodetic mass balances. The obvious deviations in the spatial distributed differences were found at the firm basin of WB and the upper right edge elevations of EB, two artefacts also present big deviations (Figs. 8a, d, g, j). Remarkable differences of EB in summer 2015 induce poorest match between glaciological and geodetic mass balance elevation distribution (Fig. 8c), this can be explained by the lack of well-measured point data (Fig. 8a).

### 6.3. The influence of internal and basal mass balances

~~Thus the TLS device yields accurate geodetic results and the quality of the glaciological mass balances is also very good. Nonetheless,~~ The glaciological method cannot measure internal and basal mass balances, but these processes are implicitly captured by the repeated geodetic surveys. We need to provide a rough estimate of internal and basal mass balances of UG1 to detect their contributions to the differences between glaciological and geodetic mass balances. UG1 is a cold glacier, and its internal ablation ( $B_{\text{pe}}$ ) is weak (Huang, 1999; Albrecht et al., 2000), mainly because of the released potential energy of descending water:

$$B_{\text{pe}} = \frac{Q_m g}{L_f \rho_{\text{water}}} \cdot \frac{\bar{h}_{\text{ELA}} - h_{\text{term}}}{2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $Q_m$  denotes annual discharge of flowing water,  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration,  $L_f$  is the latent heat of fusion,  $\bar{h}_{\text{ELA}}$  and  $h_{\text{term}}$  are average equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) (4152 m) and the altitude of the glacier terminus (3775 m), respectively,  $\bar{s}$  is the average glacier area between 2015 and 2017. The cumulative measured glacier surface ablation over the two years was used to determine annual discharge and the value of  $Q_m$  was estimated to be about  $1.4 \times 10^9$  kg a<sup>-1</sup>. A calculation of  $B_{\text{pe}} = -0.005$  m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> is made.

Basal ablation from geothermal heating ( $B_{\text{gt}}$ ) was evaluated using

$$B_{\text{gt}} = \frac{qt}{L_f \rho_{\text{water}}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $q = 0.059$  W m<sup>-2</sup> is the geothermal heat flux (Huang, 1999),  ~~$L_f$  is the latent heat of fusion and~~  $t$  is the mass-balance period; here we primarily consider annual scale and basal ablation was estimated to be about 0.005 m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>. The calculated internal and basal ablation totaled -0.01 m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup>.

We assessed internal accumulation dominated by refreezing percolating water in the cold interior of the glacier as well as the freezing of water in cold snow and firm following Zemp et al. (2010), who assumed that internal accumulation was 4% of the winter mass balance, and the resulting value was about 0.01 m w.e. a<sup>-1</sup> in this study. Finally ~~we estimated~~ the total value ~~for of~~ internal and basal mass balances was close to zero, which is far less than the difference ( $\Delta B$ ) between the two methods. This

suggests that the contribution of annual internal and basal processes is negligible and does not affect the differences between the two methods.

#### 6.4. Meteorological and glacier surface terrain considerations

~~Glacier mass balance is the direct and undelayed respond to meteorological conditions (Zemp et al., 2009).~~ Figure 9 shows daily meteorological records provided by DMS from 25 April 2015 to 28 August 2017. Positive temperature and more than 75% of the annual total precipitation amount occurred simultaneously during the summer months; this probably resulted in summer mass balances that were slightly more negative than annual ones (Table 43). Although the observed discrepancies are relatively small, the above-mentioned differences in summer 2015 had not been statistically identified. One possible reason is the presence of fresh snow cover at the time of TLS surveys according to field observation. The glaciological measurements of EB were performed five days before the TLS surveys (28 August-2 September 2015). The total precipitation was 67 mm and daily mean temperatures were close to zero (Fig. 9), besides the daily minimum temperatures were all below 0 °C during the five days. The climatic conditions may be responsible for the larger mass-balance differences of EB. In addition, light snow occurred on the eve of the latest TLS surveys (28 August 2017), possibly resulting in the noticeable increase in the upper eastern parts of EB (Fig. 6h).

The differences in mass balance between the two methods were possibly related to the effect of glacier surface terrain. The presence of two minimal artefacts in TLS surveys is due to the flat terrain of WB surface (two green polygons in Fig. 5). The geodetic mass-balance calculations did not include these artefacts; this cloud potentially increased the difference between the two methods. Furthermore, these undetected regions located in the ablation area and higher wastage than the surroundings were observed according to glaciological measurements. This may imply that the geodetic mass balances of WB were more positive than the glaciological ones (Table 43) and a discrepancy in mass balance elevation distributions of WB were observed at 4000-4150 m a.s.l. Nevertheless, the geodetic method is able to cover the majority of the glacier surface and takes take the terrain characteristics into account, whereas the glaciological measurements cannot capture all the topographic features despite a dense spatial coverage of in situ observations being applied, and what's more, in situ observations are missing in the firn basin and glacier tongue terrain of WB and eastern elevations of EB because of the presence of precipitous terrains in these inaccessible regions (green color in Fig. 10a). The eastern elevations of EB were dominated by the northwest aspect, and the firn basin had aspects from north to northwest (Fig. 10b), aspects that were likely to influence the glacier surface albedo and thereby control the surface change patterns (cf. Yue et al., 2017).

#### 6.5. Potential of the long-range TLS applied in glacier mass balance monitoring

This study presents the application of multi-temporal Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS point clouds in mass balance monitoring of UG1. The long-range TLS can provide high-temporal-spatial-resolution and -accuracy DEMs to allow more detailed insight into glacier evolution (e.g. Gabbud et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2016). To take advantage of this and provide more-precise glacier surface elevation changes, it is worth remembering that fixed scan positions are highly important between consecutive scans when using our approach. We should also note that not all glaciers in China are as easily accessible as UG1. For many large glaciers, it is not always easy to fix scan positions using reinforced concrete with a standard GNSS-leveling point, but we can mark stable bedrock outcrop as a scan site. Another advantage of this type of TLS is the long scanning range, and such an instrument could allow most of the glacier surface to be scanned from one or several scan positions, especially for remote and inaccessible glacier areas (e.g. crevasses, steep ice, debris cover, etc.). Therefore the instrument provides a quantitative evolution in spatial coverage compared to

glaciological in situ measurements, which can be seen as a beneficial complement to glaciological mass balance, particularly for calibrating inaccessible areas. TLS surveys can [also](#) provide updated glacier boundary and surface DEMs, and the location of stakes may also be identified based on high-quality point clouds; all of these parameters are favorable for glaciological mass-balance calculations. A combination of glaciological and TLS observations may yield optimum results. Besides, TLS-derived geodetic results can validate the distributed glacier mass-balance models [as the TLS can provide high spatial and temporal resolution measurements, especially in the strong ablation season, the instrument can be used to investigate daily or sub-daily ablation, which can completely meet the requirements of time resolution for glacier mass-balance models.](#)

[One drawback of the TLS surveys is the presence of data voids \(unscanned areas\), even for very small glaciers \(e.g. Fischer et al., 2016\). This is due to limited scanning angle and complex glacial terrain. An emerging low-cost Unmanned Aerial Vehicles \(UAV\) has the potential to avoid data voids in glaciological monitoring since the good surveying angle of UAV. Immerzeel et al. \(2014\) showed that UAV combined with a Structure from Motion \(SfM\) workflow provide a powerful tool for monitoring mass balance and surface velocity of a Himalayan glacier with high spatial accuracy. From our field experiment at UG1, rarefied air and frequent blustery wind around glaciers usually induce the power of UAV were nondurable, and rock outcrops results in difficult operations of such instrument. Hence we mainly consider using UAV to survey unscanned area., integrating of UAV- and TLS-acquired data can provide the whole glacier surface terrain of interest. Other technology such as terrestrial photogrammetry also has the ability to estimate mass balance, and the quality of photogrammetric estimation is similar to the quality of TLS \(e.g. Piermattei et al., 2015; Fugazza et al., 2018\). However, the reliable of UAV and terrestrial photogrammetry in glacial environments is more dependent on the natural features \(i.e. characteristic image objects\) of the surveyed surfaces compared with TLS. The cost of TLS is higher than UAV and ground-based photogrammetric surveys.](#)

Now the TLS has been successfully applied to monitor mass balance of UG1. From our experience, the monitoring tool is potentially applicable to other glaciers provided that these glaciers have small to medium size and relative steep terrain. [High Mountain Asia \(HMA\) contains the largest number of glaciers outside the polar regions \(Pfeffer et al., 2014\). China is the main region of HMA. According to the second Chinese glacier inventory \(CGI-2\),](#) most glaciers (~83% of the total number) in western China have an area smaller than 1 km<sup>2</sup> and only ~3% [of glaciers have with](#) an area larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup>, and the mean glacier surface slope of GIC-2 is 19.9° [according to the second Chinese glacier inventory \(CGI-2\)](#) (Guo et al., 2015), which is very close to corresponding value of UG1 (23.4°) (Fig. 10a). Therefore, the majority of glaciers can be measured using the TLS. Furthermore, if we assume that glaciers with an area of ≤ 1.555 km<sup>2</sup> (area of UG1) and a surface slope greater than 23.4° have a good visibility to be monitored using the TLS, the number of [theoretical](#) appropriate glaciers is ~58.5% of the total [and these glaciers are evenly distributed at different mountains \(Fig. 11\). We note that it is not always easy for us to monitor all of the appropriate glaciers as some of them locate in remote areas \(i.e. far away from road\). We can select some benchmark glaciers with easily accessible locations for future application of TLS measurements, such as Kanas Glacier, Muztaw Glacier, Qingbingtan Glacier No.72, Haxilegen Glacier No. 51, Yushugou Glacier, Laohugou Glacier No.12, Qiyi Glacier, Xiao Dongkemadi Glacier, Parlung No.94 Glacier and Baishui Glacier No.1, etc. All of these benchmark glaciers have a relatively high ratio of visibility \(most part of the benchmark glaciers surface can be scanned from several possible scan positions\) according to our previous filed observations. What's more, these glaciers have different areas \(small, medium and large size\), different types \(cold and temperature; summer-accumulation-type and spring-accumulation-type\) and evenly locate at different regions of western China. Measuring mass balance of different sized, typed, and located glaciers is relevant for us to understand them to past, present, and future climate changes.](#) So the TLS system has huge application potential for glacier mass-balance monitoring in China.



Nevertheless, ~~note that we use the in situ measured densities of UG1 to calculate density conversion, which requires extensive field observations. Density conversion over short time periods~~ TLS measurements and point cloud data post-processing are challenges ~~that need to be met~~ for a broader application ~~of the long-range TLS~~. One disadvantage of the TLS is that it ~~TLS~~ requires specific knowledge, skills and experience for its use and data processing. Other ~~The~~ limitations of ~~this the~~ TLS are related to suitable scan positions for obtaining good visual angles of the glacier surface and stable scan positions for multi-temporal registration of repeated scans for change detection. In addition, the uncertainties of density conversion still remain at seasonal and annual scales as in situ measured densities of all benchmark sites are difficult to obtain (very sparse glaciers in China have such detailed observations as UG1). The day when relatively smaller amount of snow on the accumulation area and the absence of snow on the ablation area (i.e. snow line is clearly distinguished) should be chosen to perform TLS measurements. We may use a built-in camera of the TLS to create high resolution panorama images of a glacier (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a), then firn/snow and bare ice areas (i.e. snow line) can be determined (e.g. Barandun et al., 2018). Area-weighting approach can be used to estimate a density because the lack of in situ measured densities makes volume-weighting approach difficult to extensively use. For density, we may consider using unmanned aerial systems and microwave remote sensing to measure snow depth and density of remotely located glaciers in future studies (see e.g. Bühler et al., 2016; Lemmetyinen et al., 2016). For longer time intervals ( $\geq 5$  years), a density assumption based on physical models is also important since most glaciers in northwest China are cold and multi-thermal.

## 7. Conclusions

UG1 is one of the reference glaciers in the WGMS network, a representative glacier in Central Asia and the best-monitored glacier in China. Here, for the first time, we have presented the potential of a novel long-range TLS to monitor summer and annual geodetic mass balances of UG1. The Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS has long scan range up to 6 km and is exceptionally well suited for measuring snowy and icy terrains in glacier mapping. We use TLS-derived DEMs to calculate summer and annual surface elevation changes and geodetic mass balances of the glacier for two consecutive years (2015-17) as well as to delineate accurate glacier boundaries.

Our analysis suggests that UG1 has experienced pronounced thinning and mass loss for the four investigated periods. Glacier surface elevation lowering and mass loss during the summer were slightly greater than annual values. Glacier-wide geodetic mass balance in the mass-balance year 2015-16 was  $-0.72 \pm 0.19$  m w.e., which is slightly more negative than in the second mass-balance year. The majority of TLS-derived geodetic elevation changes at individual stakes were slightly positive, but insignificant compared to the glaciological elevation change (changes in exposed stake height) of individual stakes ( $R^2 \geq 0.90$ ). The difference in glacier-wide mass balances of UG1 between the two methods was close to zero in 2016-17 but relatively larger differences were detected in summer 2015 for UG1 and EB, which were related to the presence of fresh snow at the time of TLS surveys. Statistical analysis shows that agreement between the glaciological and geodetic methods can be considered as satisfying. Pronounced differences in spatial distributed mass balance mainly occurred at the steep elevations where in situ measurements were missing, which potentially induce the deviations in mass balance elevation distribution.

Despite uncertainties inherent in TLS-derived geodetic mass balances, our results show that the TLS device yields reliable results and is therefore well suited to the study of reference glaciers such as UG1, which provide accurate and detailed information on

glacier area and mass balance changes. Its temporal-spatial resolution allows more detailed insight into the glacier's evolution. The greatest strength of the TLS is the long-range scanning which allows most of the glacier surface to be measured, including areas that are inaccessible for in situ measurements. Use of the TLS-based geodetic method will be an important development since it is clearly a beneficial complement to direct glaciological mass balance, particularly for calibrating the unmeasured areas and validating the distributed glacier mass-balance models. A combination of glaciological and TLS observations may yield the optimum results. What's more, the TLS has application potential for glacier mass-balance monitoring in western China as most glaciers (~83% of the total number) have an area smaller than 1 km<sup>2</sup>. For a broader application of the long-range TLS, we can select some benchmark glaciers with easily accessible locations for TLS measurements, ~~we should consider~~ but the presence of data voids and snow is still an enormous challenge, the quality of point cloud and DEM differencing and density conversion over short time periods should be considered.

*Data availability.* Glaciological mass balance data related to this study are submitted to the WGMS and will be available at website: <http://wgms.ch/>. TLS point cloud data are available upon request by email to the corresponding author.

15 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that no competing interests are present.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (class A) (XDA2006020103), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41471058, 41721091 and 41771081), State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science (SKLCS-ZZ-2018), Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. QYZDB-SSW-SYS024) and the West Light Program for Talent Cultivation of Chinese Academy of Sciences. We thank the Tien Shan Glaciological Station for the continuous field observations.

## References

- Abermann, J., Fischer, A., Lambrecht, A., and Geist, T.: On the potential of very high-resolution repeat dems in glacial and periglacial environments, *The Cryosphere*, 4, 53–65, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-53-2010>, 2010.
- 25 Albrecht, O., Jansson, P., and Blatter, H.: Modelling glacier response to measured mass-balance forcing, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 31, 91–96, 2000.
- Andreassen, L. M., Elvehøy, H., Kjølmoen, B., and Engeset, R. V.: Reanalysis of long-term series of glaciological and geodetic mass balance for 10 Norwegian glaciers, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 535–552, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-535-2016>, 2016.
- Bader, H.: Sorge's law of densification of snow on high polar glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, 2, 319–323, 1954.
- 30 Barandun, M., Huss, M., Usabaliyev, R., Azisov, E., Berthier, E., Käbb, A., Bolch, T., and Hoelzle, M.: Multi-decadal mass balance series of three Kyrgyz glaciers inferred from modelling constrained with repeated snow line observations, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 1899–1919, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1899-2018>, 2018.
- Beedle, M. J., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R.: An evaluation of mass-balance methods applied to Castle creek Glacier, British Columbia, Canada, *J. Glaciol.*, 60, 262–276, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J091>, 2014.
- 35 Besl, P. and McKay, N.: A method for registration of 3-D shapes. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 14, 239–256, 1992.

- Bolch, T., Pieczonka, T., Mukherjee, K., and Shea, J.: Brief communication: Glaciers in the Hunza catchment (Karakoram) have been nearly in balance since the 1970s, *The Cryosphere*, 11, 531–539, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-531-2017>, 2017.
- Bühler, Y., Adams, M. S., Bösch, R., and Stoffel, A.: Mapping snow depth in alpine terrain with unmanned aerial systems (UAS): potential and limitations, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1075–1088, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1075-2016>, 2016.
- 5 CH/Z 3017-2015.: Technical specifications for three-dimensional laser scanning. Beijing: National Administration of Surveying, Mapping and Geo-information of the People’s Republic of China, p. 17, 2015.
- Chen, Y., Li, W., Deng, H., Fang, G., and Li Z.: Changes in Central Asia’s Water Tower: Past, Present and Future, *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 35458, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35458>, 2016.
- Cogley, J. G.: Geodetic and direct mass balance measurements: Comparison and joint analysis, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 50, 96–100, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756409787769744>, 2009.
- 10 Cogley, J. G., Hock, R., Rasmussen, L. A., Arendt, A. A., Bauder, A., Braithwaite, R. J., Jansson, P., Kaser, G., Möller, M., Nicholson, L., and Zemp, M.: Glossary of Glacier Mass Balance and Related Terms, IHP-VII Technical Documents in Hydrology No. 86, IACS Contribution No. 2, UNESCO-IHP, Paris, 2011.
- Cox, L. H. and March, R. S.: Comparison of geodetic and glaciological mass balance, Gulkana Glacier, Alaska, USA, *J. Glaciol.*, 15 50, 363–370, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756504781829855>, 2004.
- Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: *The Physics of Glaciers*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 4 edn., 2010.
- Dyrgerov, M. B.: Glacier mass balance and regime: data of measurements and analysis, Occasional Paper No. 55, Boulder, Colorado, available at: [http://instaar.colorado.edu/other/occ\\_papers.html](http://instaar.colorado.edu/other/occ_papers.html), access: 12 March 2011, 2002.
- Farinotti, D., Longuevergne, L., Moholdt, G., Duethmann, D., Mölg, T., Bolch, T., Vorogushyn, S., and Güntner, A.: Substantial 20 glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years, *Nature Geosci.*, 8, 716–723, <https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO2513>, 2015.
- Fey, C. and Wichmann, V.: Long-range terrestrial laser scanning for geomorphological change detection in alpine terrain – handling uncertainties, *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 42, 789–802, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4022>, 2017.
- Fischer, A.: Comparison of direct and geodetic mass balances on a multi-annual time scale, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 107–124, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-107-2011>, 2011.
- 25 Fischer, M., Huss, M., Kummert, M., and Hoelzle, M.: Application and validation of long-range terrestrial laser scanning to Monitor the Mass Balance of very small Glaciers in the Swiss Alps, *The Cryosphere*, 2016, 10, 1279–1295, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1279-2016>, 2016.
- [Fugazza, D., Scaioni, M., Corti, M., D’Agata, C., Azzoni, R. S., Cernuschi, M., Smiraglia, C., and Diolaiuti, G. A.: Combination of UAV and terrestrial photogrammetry to assess rapid glacier evolution and map glacier hazards, \*Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.\*, 18, 1055-1071, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-1055-2018>, 2018.](https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-1055-2018)
- 30 [1055-1071, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-1055-2018.](https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-1055-2018)
- Gabbud, C., Micheletti, N., and Lane, S. N.: Lidar measurement of surface melt for a temperate Alpine glacier at the seasonal and hourly scales, *J. Glaciol.*, 61, 963–974, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG14J226>, 2015.
- Guo, W., Liu, S., Xu, J., Wu, L., Shanguan, D., Yao, X., Wei, J., Bao, W., Yu, P., Liu, Q., and Jiang, Z.: The second Chinese glacier inventory: data, methods and results, *J. Glaciol.*, 61, 357–372, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG14J209>, 2015.
- 35 Hartzell, P. J., Gadowski, P. J., Glennie, C. L., Finnegan, D. C., and Deems, J. S.: Rigorous error propagation for terrestrial laser scanning with application to snow volume uncertainty, *J. Glaciol.*, 61, 1147–1158, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG15J031>, 2015.
- Haeberli, W., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., and Zemp, M.: Integrated monitoring of mountain glaciers as key indicators of global climate change: the European Alps, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 46, 150-160, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/172756407782871512>, 2007.
- 40 [Han, T., Ding, Y., Ye, B., Liu, S., and Jiao, K.: Mass-balance characteristics of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tien Shan. \*China. Ann. Glaciol.\*, 48, 1-10, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-017-0500-1>, 2017.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-017-0500-1)

[Glaciol. 43, 323–328, 2006.](#)

Hock, R., and Jensen, H.: Application of kriging interpolation for glacier mass balance computations, *Geogr. Ann. A.*, 81, 611–619, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1468-0459.00089>, 1999.

Hoelzle, M., Azisov, E., Barandun, Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Hagg, W., Kenzhebaev, R., Kronenberg, M., Machguth, H., Merkushkin, A., Moldobekov, B., Petrov, M., Saks, T., Salzmänn, N., Schöne, T., Tarasov, Y., Usub-aliev, R., Vorogushyn, S., Yakovlev, A., and Zemp, M.: Re-establishing glacier monitoring in Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, Central Asia, *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst.*, 6, 397–418, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-6-397-2017>, 2017.

Holzer, N., Vijay, S., Yao, T., Xu, B., Buchroithner, M., and Bolch, T.: Four decades of glacier variations at Muztagh Ata (eastern Pamir): a multi-sensor study including Hexagon KH-9 and Pléiades data, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 2071–2088, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-2071-2015>, 2015.

Huang, M.: Forty year's study of glacier temperature in China. *J. Glaciol. Geocryol.* 21, 193–199, 1999, (in Chinese with English summary).

[Huintjes, E., Li, H., Sauter, T., Li, Z., and Schneider, C.: Degree-Day Modelling of the Surface Mass Balance of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tian Shan, China. \*The Cryosphere Discussions\*, 4, 207–232, 2010.](#)

Huss, M., Bauder, A., and Funk, M.: Homogenization of long-term mass balance time series, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 50, 198–206, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756409787769627>, 2009.

Huss, M.: Density assumptions for converting geodetic glacier volume change to mass change, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 877–887, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-877-2013>, 2013.

[Immerzeel, W. W., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Shea, J. M., Shrestha, A. B., Pellicciotti, F., Bierkens, M. F. P., and de Jong, S. M.: High-resolution monitoring of Himalayan glacier dynamics using unmanned aerial vehicles, \*Remote Sens. Environ.\*, 150, 93–103, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.025>, 2014.](#)

Jansson, P. and Pettersson, P.: Spatial and temporal characteristics of a long mass balance record, Storglaciären, Sweden, *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, 39, 432–437, 2007.

Joerg, P. C., Morsdorf, F., and Zemp, M.: Uncertainty assessment of multi-temporal airborne laser scanning data: A case study on an Alpine glacier, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 127, 118–129, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.08.012>, 2012.

Kaser, G., Fountain, A., and Jansson, P.: A manual for monitoring the mass balance of mountain glaciers, IHP-VI Technical documents in Hydrology, p. 135, 2003.

Kaser, G., Cogley, J.G., Dyurgerov, M.B., Meier, M.F., and Ohmura, A.: Mass balance of glaciers and ice caps: consensus estimates for 1961–2004. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L19501, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027511>, 2006.

Kerr, T., Owens, I., Rack, W., and Gardner, R.: Using ground-based laser scanning to monitor surface change on the Rolleston glacier, New Zealand, *J. Hydrol. (NZ)*, 48(2), 59–72, 2009.

Klug, C., Bollmann, E., Galos, S. P., Nicholson, L., Prinz, R., Rieg, L., Sailer, R., Stötter, J., and Kaser, G.: Geodetic reanalysis of annual glaciological mass balances (2001–2011) of Hintereisferner, Austria, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 833–849, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-833-2018>, 2018.


Lemmetyinen, J., Schwank, M., Rautiainen, K., Kontu, A., Parkkinen, T., Mätzler, C., Wiesmann, A., Wegmüller, U., Derksen, C., Toose, P., Roy, A., and Pulliainen, J.: Snow density and ground permittivity retrieved from L-Band radiometry: application to experimental data, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.002>, 2016.

Li, J., Li, Z., Zhu, J., Li, X., Xu, B., Wang, Q., Huang, C., and Hu, J.: Early 21st century glacier thickness changes in the Central Tien Shan. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 192, 12–29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.02.003>, 2018.

- Li, Z., Li, H., and Chen Y.: Mechanisms and simulation of accelerated shrinkage of continental glaciers: a case study of Urumqi Glacier No. 1 in Eastern Tianshan, central Asia. *J. Earth Sci.*, 22, 423–430. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12583-011-0194-5>, 2011.
- Lichti, D.D., Gordon, S. J., and Tipdecho, T.: Error models and propagation in directly georeferenced terrestrial laser scanner networks, *J. Surv. Eng.*, 131, 135–142. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9453\(2005\)131:4\(135\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9453(2005)131:4(135)), 2005.
- 5 Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Helsen, M. M., and van den Broeke, M. R.: An improved semi-empirical model for the densification of Antarctic firn, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 809–819, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-809-2011>, 2011.
- [Liu, C., and Han, T.: Relation between recent glacier variations and climate in the Tien Shan mountains, Central Asia. \*Ann. Glaciol.\* 16, 11–16, 1992.](#)
- Liu, C., Xie, Z., and Wang, C.: A research on the mass balance process of Glacier No.1 at the headwaters of the Urumqi River, Tianshan Mountains, *J. Glaciol. Geocryol.*, 19, 17–24, 1997, (in Chinese with English summary).
- 10 Liu, Q. and Liu, S.: Response of glacier mass balance to climate change in the Tianshan Mountains during the second half of the twentieth century, *Clim. Dyn.*, 46, 303–316, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2585-2>, 2016.
- López-Moreno, J. I., Revuelto, J., Rico, I., Chueca-Cá, J., Julián, A., Serreta, A., Serrano, E., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Azorín-Molina, C., Alonso-González, E., and García-Ruiz, J. M.: Thinning of the Monte Perdido Glacier in the Spanish Pyrenees since 15 1981, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 681–694, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-681-2016>, 2016.
- Mukupá, W., Roberts, G. W., Hancock, C. M., and Almanasir, K.: A review of the use of terrestrial laser scanning application for change detection and deformation monitoring of structures, *Surv. Rev.*, 1-18, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00396265.2015.1133039>, 2016.
- Mohamed, A., and Wilkinson, B.: Direct georeferencing of stationary lidar, *Remote Sens.*, 1, 1321-1337, 20 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs1041321>, 2009.
- Nuth, C. and Kääb, A.: Co-registration and bias corrections of satellite elevation data sets for quantifying glacier thickness change, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 271–290, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-271-2011>, 2011.
- [Oerlemans, J.: \*The Microclimate of Valley Glaciers\*, Igitur, Utrecht Publishing and Archiving Services, Universiteitsbibliotheek Utrecht, Utrecht, 2010.](#)
- 25 Østrem, G. and Brugman, M.: Glacier mass balance measurements: a manual for field and office work. Saskatoon, SK, Environment Canada, National Hydrology Research Institute (NHRI Science Report 4.), 1991.
- Paffenholz, J. A., Alkhatib, H., and Kutterer, H.: Direct geo-referencing of a static terrestrial laser scanner, *J. Appl. Geod.*, 4, 115-126, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/JAG.2010.011>, 2010.
- Perroy, R. L., Bookhagen, B., Asner, G. P., and Chadwick, O. A.: Comparison of gully erosion estimates using airborne and 30 ground-based LiDAR on Santa Cruz Island, California, *Geomorphology*, 118, 288–300, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.01.009>, 2010.
- [Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E., Moholdt, G., Mølg, N., Paul, F., Radić, V., Rastner, P., Raup, B., Rich, J., Sharp, M. J., and The Randolph Consortium: \*The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers\*, \*J. Glaciol.\*, 60, 537–552, \[doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J176\]\(https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J176\), 35 2014.](#)
- Pieczonka, T. and Bolch, T.: Region-wide glacier mass budgets and area changes for the Central Tien Shan between ~ 1975 and 1999 using Hexagon KH-9 imagery, *Global Planet. Change*, 128, 1–13, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.014>, 2015.


- Piermattei, L., Carturan, L., and Guarnieri, A.: Use of terrestrial photogrammetry based on structure from motion for mass balance estimation of a small glacier in the Italian alps, *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms.*, 40, 1791 – 1802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.3756>, 2015.
- Revuelto, J., Lópezmoreno, J. I., Azorinmolina, C., Zabalza, J., Arguedas, G., and Vicenteserrano, S. M.: Mapping the annual evolution of snow depth in a small catchment in the Pyrenees using the long-range terrestrial laser scanning, *J. Maps*, 10, 379-393, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2013.869268>, 2014.
- ~~Rolstad, C., Haug, T., and Denby, B.: Spatially integrated geodetic glacier mass balance and its uncertainty based on geostatistical analysis: Application to the western Svartisen ice cap, Norway, *J. Glaciol.*, 55, 666–680, <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309789470950>, 2009.~~
- RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems: Preliminary Data Sheet, 07.05.2013; Riegl VZ-6000 – 3D Ultra long range terrestrial laser scanner with online waveform processing, RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, Horn, Austria, 2013.
- RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems: 3D terrestrial laser scanner Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-4000/Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 General Description and Data Interfaces, RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, Horn, Austria, 2014a.
- RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems: RiSCAN PRO<sup>®</sup>–Version 1.8.1, Riegl Laser Measurement Systems, Horn, Austria, 2014b.
- [Rolstad, C., Haug, T., and Denby, B.: Spatially integrated geodetic glacier mass balance and its uncertainty based on geostatistical analysis: Application to the western Svartisen ice cap, Norway, \*J. Glaciol.\*, 55, 666–680, <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309789470950>, 2009.](https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309789470950)
- Sakai, A. and Fujita, K.: Contrasting glacier responses to recent climate change in high-mountain Asia. *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 13717, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14256-5>, 2017.
- Schnabel, R. and Klein, R.: Octree-based point-cloud compression. In Proceedings of Eurographics Symposium on Point Based Graphics, Boston, MA, USA, 111-120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2312/SPBG/SPBG06/111-120>, 2006.
- Sold, L., Huss, M., Machguth, H., Joerg, P. C., Leysinger-Vieli, G., Linsbauer, A., Salzmann, N., Zemp, M., and Hoelzle, M.: Mass Balance Re-analysis of Findelengletscher, Switzerland; Benefits of Extensive Snow Accumulation Measurements, *Front. Earth Sci.*, 4, 18, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2016.00018>, 2016.
- Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O., and Beniston, M.: Climate change impacts on glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia), *Nat. Clim. Change*, 2, 725–731, <https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE1592>, 2012.
- Thibert, E., Vincent, C., Blanc, R., and Eckert, N.: Glaciological and Volumetric Mass Balance Measurements: An error analysis over 51 years, Sarennes Glacier, French Alps, *J. Glaciol.*, 54, 522–532, 2008.
- Thomson, L. I., Zemp, M., Copland, L., Cogley, J. G., and Ecclestone, M. A.: Comparison of geodetic and glaciological mass budgets for White Glacier, Axel Heiberg Island, Canada, *J. Glaciol.*, 63, 55-66, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.112>, 2017.
- Wang, P., Li, Z., Li, H., Wang, W., and Yao, H.: Comparison of glaciological and geodetic mass balance at Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tian Shan, Central Asia. *Global Planet. Change*, 114, 14–22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.01.001>, 2014.
- Wang, P., Li, Z., Zhou, P., Li, H., Yu, G., Xu, C., and Wang, L.: Long-term change in ice velocity of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, Tian Shan, China, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 145, 177-184, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.10.008>, 2017.
- WGMS (World Glacier Monitoring Service): Global Glacier Change Bulletin No.2 (2014–2015), ICSU(WDS)/IUGG(IACS)/UNEP/UNESCO/WMO, World Glacier Monitoring Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 244 pp., publication based on database version, <https://doi.org/10.5904/wgms-fog-2017-10>, 2017.
- Wheaton, J.M., Brasington, J., Darby, S. E., and Sear, D. A.: Accounting for uncertainty in DEMs from repeat topographic surveys: Improved sediment budgets, *Earth. Surf. Proc. Land.*, 35, 136–156, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/esp.1886>, 2010.

- Xie, Z. and Liu, C.: Measurement method and main characteristics of the glacier mass balance in Asia. In: Application of Geographic Information Systems in Hydrology and Water Resources (ed. by K. Kovar & H. P. Nachtnabel) (Proc. HydroGIS 93 Conference, Vienna, April 1993), 453–459. IAHS Publ. no. 211, 1991.
- Xie, Z. and Liu, C.: Introduction to glaciology. Shanghai Popular Science Press, Shanghai, China, p. 490, 2010, (in Chinese).
- 5 Xu, C., Li, Z., Wang, F., Li, H., Wang, W., and Wang, L.: Using an ultra-long-range terrestrial laser scanner to monitor the net mass balance of Urumqi Glacier No. 1, eastern Tien Shan, China, at the monthly scale, *J. Glaciol.*, 63, 792-802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.45>, 2017.
- Young, A. P., Olsen, M. J., Driscoll, N., Flick, R. E., Gutierrez, R., Guza, R. T., Johnstone, E., and Kuester, F.: Comparison of airborne and terrestrial lidar estimates of seacliff erosion in Southern California, *Photogramm. Eng. Remote. Sens.* 76, 421–427, 10 <http://dx.doi.org/10.14358/PERS.76.4.421>, 2010.
- Yue, X., Zhao, J., Li, Z., Zhang, M., Fan, J., Wang, L., and Wang, P.: Spatial and temporal variations of the surface albedo and other factors influencing Urumqi Glacier No. 1 in Tien Shan, China, *J. Glaciol.*, 63, 899-911, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.57>, 2017.
- Zemp, M., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Six decades of glacier mass-balance observations: a review of the worldwide monitoring 15 network, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 50, 101–111, 2009.
- Zemp, M., Jansson, P., Holmlund, P., Gärtner-Roer, I., Koblet, T., Thee, P., and Haeberli, W.: Reanalysis of multi-temporal aerial images of Storglaciären, Sweden (1959–99) – Part 2: Comparison of glaciological and volumetric mass balances, *The Cryosphere*, 4, 345–357, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-345-2010>, 2010.
- Zemp, M., Thibert, E., Huss, M., Stumm, D., Rolstad Denby, C., Nuth, C., Nussbaumer, S. U., Moholdt, G., Mercer, A., Mayer, C., 20 Joerg, P. C., Jansson, P., Hynek, B., Fischer, A., Escher-Vetter, H., Elvehøy, H., and Andreassen, L. M.: Reanalysing glacier mass balance measurement series, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1227–1245, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1227-2013>, 2013.
- Zemp, M., Frey, H., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S. U., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Haeberli, W., Denzinger, F., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Anderson, B., Bajracharya, S., Baroni, C., Braun, L. N., Cáceres, B. E., Casassa, G., Cobos, G., Dàvila, L. R., Delgado Granados, H., Demuth, M. N., Espizua, L., Fischer, A., Fujita, K., Gadek, B., Ghazanfar, A., Hagen, J. O., Holmlund, P., Karimi, N., Li, Z., 25 Pelto, M., Pitte, P., Popovnin, V. V., Portocarrero, C. A., Prinz, R., Sangewar, C. V., Severskiy, I., Sigurdsson, O., Soruco, A., Usubaliev, R., and Vincent, C.: Historically unprecedented global glacier decline in the early 21st century, *J. Glaciol.*, 61, 745–762, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG15J017>, 2015.
- Zhang, Z.Y.: Iterative point matching for registration of free-form curves, *Int. J. Comput. Vision.*, 13, 119–152, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01427149>, 1992.




**Figure 1.** Overview of the study area. (a) The glaciological mass balance measuring network in 2016; glacier boundary delineated from TLS-derived DEM (1 September 2016). Also depicted are the locations of four scan positions. (b) Location map of UG1 in eastern Tien Shan. (c) Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS survey of EB at scan position S2 and (d) TLS survey of WB at scan position S1 (27 August 2017).






**Figure 2.** TLS observables and direct georeferencing (after Lichti et al., 2005).

5




10

**Figure 3.** (a) Shaded reliefs of UG1 margin calculated based on the TLS-derived DEM (on 1 September 2016) with the glacier boundary 2015 (blue), 2016 (red) and 2017 (green). Glacier terminus variations of WB (b) and EB (c) are also shown.




**Figure 4.** Statistics on annual surface elevation changes over stable terrain extracted by differencing of TLS-derived DEMs from two consecutive years. Spatial and corresponding frequency distributions of these changes for 2015-16 (a, b) and 2016-17 (c, d). The median ( $\mu$ ) and the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of the elevation differences, as well as the number of pixels ( $N$ ) off glacier are given.

5




**Figure 5.** Spatial distribution of TLS-derived glacier surface elevation changes (a, c, e and g); the numbers represent the differences (the unit is m) between the TLS-derived ( $\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}$ ) and glaciological in situ measured ( $\Delta h_{\text{glac}}$ ) elevation changes at corresponding ablation stakes ( $\Delta h_{\text{TLS}} - \Delta h_{\text{glac}}$ ). Scatter plots of glaciological elevation change against geodetic elevation change at corresponding ablation stakes are presented, and the quality of fittings in terms of  $R^2$  is also presented (b, d, f and h). Black lines are TLS-derived glacier boundary of UG1 and same as the corresponding boundary of Figure 3. White areas indicate outliers, which we have deleted. Two green polygons indicate artefacts that have not been detected by the TLS.


15



**Figure 6.** Distributed density conversions (a, c, e and g) and corresponding glacier-wide geodetic mass balance (b, d, f and h). Two green polygons indicate artefacts that have not been detected by the TLS. Black lines are same as the corresponding boundary of Figure 3.




**Figure 7.** Glaciological versus TLS-derived geodetic mass balances for Urumqi Glacier No.1 (UG1), the west branch (WB) and the east branch (EB), with errors bars for two independent methods.



**Figure 8.** Spatial distributed difference derived from TLS-derived geodetic mass balance minus glaciological mass balance (a, d, g and j), black dots represent the location of well-measured ablation stakes, which are same as Figure 5. The hypsometry (50 m altitudinal ranges) and the glaciological (dotted line) and geodetic (solid line) mass balance elevation distribution for the whole study period; both summer and annual mass balances are shown. Gray horizontal bars indicate the area-elevation distribution of UG1. Note that the spatial resolution of glacier-wide geodetic mass balance in summer 2015 and 2016 was down-scaled to 5 m, in mass-balance years 2015-16 and 2016-17 was down-scaled to 7 m to coincide with glaciological glacier-wide mass balance.


5




**Figure 9.** Daily precipitation and mean temperature observed at the DMS during 25 April 2015 - 28 August 2017.

5

10



**Figure 10.** Spatial distributed slope (a) and aspect (b) of UG1 extracted from TLS-derived DEM on 1 September 2016.



**Figure 11.** Spatial distribution of suitable glaciers in theory, those glaciers with an area of  $\leq 1.555 \text{ km}^2$  (area of UG1) and a surface slope greater than  $23.4^\circ$  (mean slope of UG1) have huge potential to be monitored using the TLS.

5

**Table 1.** Parameters and values of Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 terrestrial laser scanner (RIEGL Laser Measurement Systems, 2014a).

System parameter	Parameters and values (range performance)			
Laser pulse repetition rate (kHz)	30	50	150	300
Effective measurement rate (meas./sec)	23 000	37 000	113 000	222 000
Max. measurement range (m):				
–natural targets $\rho \geq 90\%$	6000	6000	4200	3300
–natural targets $\rho \geq 20\%$	3600	3600	2400	1800
Accuracy (mm)	15	15	15	15
Precision (mm)	10	10	10	10
Minimum range (m)	5			
Temperature range ( $^\circ\text{C}$ ):				
–operation	0 to 40			
–storage	-10 to 50			
Vertical and horizontal angle measurement resolution ( $^\circ$ )	better than 0.0005			

**Table 21.** Riegl VZ<sup>®</sup>-6000 TLS surveying parameters of UG1.

Date dd/mm/yyyy	Scanning range* (with overlap) m <sup>2</sup>	Number of points	Average point density points m <sup>-2</sup>	Vertical angle resolution °	horizontal angle resolution °	Total scan time min
25/04/2015	3 204 684	12 740 500	3.98	0.020	0.020	46
02/09/2015	4 707 863	65 500 749	13.91	0.019/0.046	0.019/0.046	103
02/05/2016	3 224 285	26 908 210	8.35	0.020	0.020	82
01/09/2016	3 316 262	42 354 299	12.77	0.020	0.020	101
27/08/2017	3 161 489	54 835 821	17.34	0.020	0.020	88

\*Scanning range is the total areas of four scan positions and does not include overlapped areas. The overlap percentage of the four scans on 25 April 2015 is smaller than other scan campaigns so that the average point density is relatively low.

5

10

**Table 32.** Error or StdDev ( $\sigma_{\text{MSA}}$ ) (in m) of Multi-Station Adjustment (MSA) and the number of points ( $n$ ) used for multi-temporal registration of two consecutive campaigns. The mean ( $\mu$ ) and the standard error ( $\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}$ ) are calculated based on the elevation changes over stable terrain (in m).

Period*	$\sigma_{\text{MSA}}$	$n$	$\mu$	$\sigma_{\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}}$
2015 (summer)	0.28	11 214 842	-0.01	0.25
2015-16	0.07	10 182 829	0.05	0.23
2016 (summer)	0.20	10 486 985	-0.01	0.22
2016-17	0.07	18 657 232	0.04	0.16

\* 2015 (summer) = 25 April – 2 September 2015; 2015-16 = 2 September 2015 – 1 September 2016; 2016 (summer) = 2 May – 1 September 2016; 2016-17 = 1 September 2016 – 27 August 2017.

20



**Table 43.** Glacier-wide mean of density conversion ( $\rho$ ) and its uncertainty ( $\sigma_\rho$ ) (in  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ) as well as TLS-derived glacier surface elevation changes ( $\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}$ ) (in m). TLS-derived geodetic ( $B_{\text{geod}}$ ) and in situ measured glaciological ( $B_{\text{glac}}$ ) net mass balance at winter and annual scales are listed (in m w.e.).

Period	$\rho$	$\sigma_\rho$	$\overline{\Delta h_{\text{TLS}}}$	$B_{\text{geod}}$	$B_{\text{glac}}$
2015 (summer)					
UG1	752	34	-0.991	<b>-0.75 ± 0.19</b>	<b>-0.99 ± 0.12</b>
WB	696	35	-1.014	<b>-0.68 ± 0.18</b>	<b>-0.80 ± 0.13</b>
EB	782	33	-0.952	<b>-0.82 ± 0.20</b>	<b>-1.09 ± 0.13</b>
2015-16					
UG1	810	21	-0.827	<b>-0.72 ± 0.19</b>	<b>-0.78 ± 0.11</b>
WB	763	24	-0.625	<b>-0.47 ± 0.18</b>	<b>-0.50 ± 0.12</b>
EB	837	20	-0.873	<b>-0.80 ± 0.19</b>	<b>-0.94 ± 0.11</b>
2016 (summer)					
UG1	622	32	-1.654	<b>-1.11 ± 0.15</b>	<b>-1.03 ± 0.11</b>
WB	579	34	-1.230	<b>-0.78 ± 0.13</b>	<b>-0.71 ± 0.12</b>
EB	647	31	-1.925	<b>-1.29 ± 0.15</b>	<b>-1.22 ± 0.11</b>
2016-17					
UG1	864	19	-0.746	<b>-0.68 ± 0.14</b>	<b>-0.68 ± 0.11</b>
WB	861	19	-0.844	<b>-0.75 ± 0.14</b>	<b>-0.77 ± 0.11</b>
EB	865	19	-0.729	<b>-0.62 ± 0.14</b>	<b>-0.52 ± 0.11</b>