



1

The role of a mid-air collision in drifting snow

- 2 Shuming Jia^{1,2}, Zhengshi Wang^{1,2}, Shumin Li^{1,2}
- 3 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Aerodynamics, China Aerodynamic Research and Development
- 4 Center, Mianyang Sichuan 621000, China
- 5 ² Computational Aerodynamics Institute, China Aerodynamics Research and Development
- 6 Center, Mianyang, Sichuan 621000, China
- 7 Correspondence: Zhengshi Wang (<u>wangzs@cardc.cn</u>)





Abstract. Drifting snow, a common two-phase flow movement in high and cold areas, 8 contributes greatly to the mass and energy balance of glacier and ice sheets and 9 further affects the global climate system. Mid-air collisions occur frequently in 10 high-concentration snow flows; however, this mechanism is rarely considered in 11 12 current models of drifting snow. In this work, a three-dimensional model of drifting snow with consideration of inter-particle collisions is established; this model enables 13 14 the investigation of the role of a mid-air collision mechanism in openly drifting snow. It is found that the particle collision frequency increases with the particle 15 concentration and friction velocity, and the blown snow with a mid-air collision effect 16 produces more realistic transport fluxes since inter-particle collision can enhance the 17 particle activity under the same condition. However, the snow saltation mass flux 18 19 basically shows a cubic dependency with friction velocity, which distinguishes it from the quadratic dependence of blown sand movement. Moreover, the snow saltation flux 20 is found to be largely sensitive to the particle size distribution since the suspension 21 snow may restrain the saltation movement. This research could improve our 22 23 understanding of the role of the mid-air collision mechanism in natural drifting snow.





24 1 Introduction

25 As one of the most important indicators of global climate change, snow cover is widely distributed over high latitude regions (Mann et al., 2000;Gordon and Taylor, 26 2009;Huang and Shi, 2017). Drifting snow is an important natural phenomenon in 27 28 which air flow carries snow particles traveling near the surface, which not only profoundly changes the mass and energy balance of polar ice sheets (Déry and Yau, 29 30 2002;Gallée et al., 2013;Huang et al., 2016) but also may induce various natural 31 disasters, such as avalanches, landslides and mudslides (Christen et al., 32 2010;Schweizer et al., 2003;Sovilla et al., 2006). In-depth studies of the laws of snow particle motion and various influencing factors are essential for understanding this 33 complex phenomenon. 34

35 Numerical simulations have become one of the most effective ways of exploring the blown snow movement, and plenty of drifting snow models have been established 36 since the end of last century. Generally, drifting snow models can be divided into 37 Euler-Euler models (Bintanja, 2000;Déry and Yau, 1999;Lehning et al., 38 2008;Schneiderbauer and Prokop, 2011;Uematsu et al., 1991;Vionnet et al., 39 2013;Xiao et al., 2000) and Euler-Lagrange models (Huang et al., 2016;Huang and 40 Shi, 2017; Huang and Wang, 2015, 2016; Nemoto and Nishimura, 2004; Zhang and 41 Huang, 2008;Zwaaftink et al., 2014), in which snow particles are treated as one kind 42 43 of continuous medium and individual particles, respectively. However, mid-air collisions, an important mechanism that influences the transportation of snow 44 particles and the development of drifting snow, are hardly considered by current 45





48

- models. The Lagrange tracking model can capture the inter-particle collision process 46 more explicitly and directly, and thus is more suitable for establishing the mid-air 47 collision model.
- In this work, a trajectory-based mid-air collision model for drifting snow is 49 50 established on the basics of a three-dimensional drifting snow model in the turbulent boundary layer, and the effects of mid-air collision on the snow transportation and 51 52 particle motion are mainly explored. This paper is structured as follows: Sect. 2 briefly introduces the model and method, Sect. 3 presents the model validation and 53 54 simulation results, Sect. 4 discusses the results in detail, and Sect. 5 presents the conclusions. 55

Model and method 56 2

2.1 Turbulent boundary layer 57

The wind field is obtained from a large eddy simulation model of the Advanced 58 Regional Prediction System (ARPS, version 5.3.3) (Xue et al., 2001). Considering the 59 coupling effect between the snow particles and air flow, the fluid governing equations 60 61 can be written as (Dupont et al., 2013; Vinkovic et al., 2006):

62
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho \overline{u_i}) = 0$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial \rho u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_i u_j}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}^*}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + S_i$$
(2)

64 where ρ is the air density, t is time, x_i and u_i are the position coordinate and 65 instantaneous wind velocity component, respectively, along three directions,





66 $p^* = p' - \alpha \nabla(\rho \mathbf{u})$ includes the pressure perturbation and damping term (α is the 67 damping coefficient), τ_{ij} is the sub-grid stress that is modeled by the Lagrangain 68 dynamic closure model of Meneveau et al. (1996), and S_i is the source term that 69 comes from the reaction force of the snow particles (Yamamoto et al., 2001):

$$S_i = -\frac{1}{\rho V_{grid}} \sum_{s=1}^{N_p} F_D$$
(3)

71 where V_{grid} and N_p are the volume and the number of particles in the grid cell, 72 respectively. $F_D = m_p V_r f(Re_p)/T_p$ is the fluid drag force, where m_p is the mass of 73 snow particle and V_r represents the relative speed between the snow particle and 74 wind field, $T_p = \rho_p d_p^2/18\rho v$ is the particle relaxation time, and ρ_p is the density of the 75 snow particle. $Re_p = d_p V_r / v$ is the particle Reynolds number, where d_p is the particle 76 diameter and V is the kinematic viscosity of air. $f(Re_p)$ can be expressed as (Clift 77 et al., 1978):

78
$$f(Re_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & (Re_p < 1) \\ 1 + 0.15 \operatorname{Re}_p^{0.687} & (Re_p \ge 1) \end{cases}$$
(4)

79 2.2 Mid-air collision model

The Lagrange particle tracking method is used to calculate the trajectory of each snow
particle. Considering the fluid drag force and gravity, the governing equation of
particle motion can be read as (Anderson and Haff, 1988;Lopes et al., 2013):

$$\frac{dx_{pi}}{dt} = u_{pi} \tag{5}$$

84
$$\frac{du_{pi}}{dt} = F_{di} + g_i (1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_p})$$
(6)





85 where x_p and u_p are the position and velocity of snow particle, respectively, and g

86 is the gravitational acceleration.

During the process of particle motion, the judgment criterion for a mid-air collision is $l < (d_A + d_B)/2$, in which $l = \sqrt{\sum (x_{Ai} - x_{Bi})^2}$ is the center distance of particle *A* and *B*. If particles *A* and *B* contact each other within a time step $(t, t + \Delta t)$, there must exists a root δt $(0 < \delta t < \Delta t)$ that satisfies the following relation:

91
$$\frac{d_A + d_B}{2} = \sqrt{\sum \left((x_{Ai} - u_{Ai}\delta t) - (x_{Bi} - u_{Bi}\delta t) \right)^2}$$
(7)

92 in which the smaller root will be used if two roots exist. Thus, the collision time of 93 particles A and B is $t + \delta t$. To avoid repetition, there is the limitation condition of 94 $x_{Al} < x_{Bl}$.

To obtain particle information after the collision, the original coordinate system (X, Y, Z) is rotated to a new coordinate system (X_r, Y_r, Z_r) , as shown in Fig. 1, in which the X_r axis points from the center of particle A to that of B. In this condition, only the particle velocity component along the X_r axis is changed after the collision.



99

100 Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the rotation of the coordinate system.





101 Then, the particle velocity components $(u_{Aci} \text{ and } u_{Bci})$ in the new coordinate 102 system can be calculated by the coordinate transformation algorithm. In addition, the 103 particle velocity along the X_r axis after the collision in the new coordinate system 104 can be expressed as:

105
$$\begin{cases} u'_{Ac1} = u_{Ac1} - \gamma d^3_B (u_{Ac1} - u_{Bc1}) \\ u'_{Bc1} = u_{Bc1} + \gamma d^3_A (u_{Ac1} - u_{Bc1}) \end{cases}$$
(8)

106 where $\lambda = (1+e)/(d_A^3 + d_B^3)$ and $e = 0.51v_n^{-1.4}$ is the recovery coefficient of ice 107 (Supulver et al., 1995), in which v_n is the normal relative velocity of particle *A* and 108 *B*.

Finally, the new coordinate system is rotated to the original location, and the particle velocity after the collision u'_{pi} can be obtained. The particle position after the collision can also be updated through $x'_{pi} = x_{pi} - u_{pi}\delta t + u'_{pi}(\Delta t - \delta t)$.

112 2.3 Simulation details

113 A computational domain of 2 m×1 m×1 m is adopted in this simulation. The grid 114 number is $100\times50\times50$, and grid stretch technology is used in the vertical direction 115 (the finest grid scale is 2 mm). The turbulence inflow boundary is used (Lund et al., 116 1998), and the outlet is an open radiation boundary condition. The periodic boundary 117 conditions are adopted along the spanwise direction. The inlet flow obeys the 118 logarithmic wind profile with a boundary layer depth of 0.5 m and a roughness height 119 of 3.0×10^{-5} m (Nemoto and Nishimura, 2004, 2001).

The aerodynamic entrainment scheme of (Zwaaftink et al., 2014) is used to induce a drifting snow in the turbulent boundary layer. In addition, the splash function for snow (Sugiura and Maeno, 2000) is used to describe the grain-bed interaction.





- 123 Furthermore, the fracturing of the snow particle is not considered during particle
- 124 collision, and the rotation of the particle is also neglected because the duration time of
- 125 inter-particle collision is very short.
- 126 **3 Results**
- 127 **3.1** Snow transport flux
- 128 The inter-particle collision within the drifting snow changes the trajectories of
- 129 saltating particles, and further affect the structure and transport flux of the snow flow.
- 130 Thus, the established drifting snow model is first verified by comparing the predicted
- 131 snow transport flux with the measurements and other models.



132

Figure 2. Particle size distribution in the simulation.

The particle size distribution of the snow sample is similar to that adopted by Nemoto and Nishimura (2004), as shown in Fig. 2, which follows the gamma function with a mean diameter of 250 μm . This distribution is also basically consistent with the experimental snow samples of Sugiura et al. (1998). Snow transport fluxes with and without a mid-air collision mechanism are shown in Fig. 3. From this figure, it





- 139 can be seen that without mid-air collisions, the snow transport flux at various friction
- velocities are consistent with the simulation results of Nemoto and Nishimura (2004),
- 141 mainly because the same splash function is adopted.



142

143 Figure 3. Snow transport flux versus friction velocity.

However, the snow transport flux is obviously enhanced with inter-particle 144 145 collisions than without mid-air collisions, and the snow transport flux with mid-air 146 collisions is obviously closer to the measurements (Okaze et al., 2012;Sugiura et al., 1998), which indicates that mid-air collisions are not negligible within drifting snow. 147 At the same time, the enhanced proportion increases with increasing friction velocity. 148 149 For example, at approximately the critical friction velocity, the snow transport flux with and without mid-air collisions is almost equal. However, when the friction 150 velocity reaches 0.489 ms⁻¹, the enhanced proportion by mid-air collisions is up to 151 38.97%. The reason could be that frequent collisions between higher and lower 152 153 particles in the snow flow, on the one hand, increase the momentum of the impacting particles, and on the other hand, send the falling particles back to high altitude to 154





155 obtain more energy.

156 **3.2** Collision frequency

The collision frequency under various friction velocities is shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the collision frequency is directly related to the particle concentration. When the particle concentration is below 1.0e6 m⁻³, inter-particle collisions rarely occur. However, with the further increment in the particle concentration, the frequency of the inter-particle collision event increases rapidly, and one particle may experience over 10 collisions per second when the particle concentration is 1.0×10^8 m⁻³.





Figure 4. Inter-particle collision frequency versus particle concentration under
various friction velocities (inset: mean particle momentum of saltating snow particle
in drifting snow).

167 In addition, the collision frequency also increases with the friction velocity at the 168 same particle concentration. The reason could be that particles are more active with





- 169 larger friction velocity, and as shown in the inset, the mean particle momentum tends
- 170 to increase with friction velocity, which is also consistent with the experimental
- 171 measurements (Nishimura et al., 2015;Nishimura and Hunt, 2000).
- From the above analysis, drifting snow generally exists at a critical height, i.e., mid-air collisions frequently occur below this height, while there are few collision events above this height. As shown in Fig. 5, the critical height h_c basically increases linearly with the friction velocity when the critical particle concentration of 1.0e6 m⁻³ is adopted, and the function of $h_c = 1.42 + 20.8u_*$ properly describes the
- 177 tendency.



178

179 **Figure 5.** Critical height for the mid-air collision in drifting snow.

180 4 Discussions

In steady-state drifting snow, part of the downward horizontal momentum flux in the saltation layer is carried by saltating snow particles, and thus the total downward momentum flux τ equals the sum of the horizontal momentum fluxes due to particles





184 τ_p and the fluid τ_f , that is, $\tau = \tau_p + \tau_f$ (Kok et al., 2012;Raupach, 1991). The 185 residual fluid shear stress τ_f , also called the impact threshold, represents the 186 threshold of the fluid shear stress that retains the particle splash process and is 187 commonly treated as a constant in the steady-state saltation (Bagnold, 1941;Owen, 188 1964).

However, several recent physically based numerical saltation models indicate 189 190 that τ_f in fact decreases with the friction velocity mainly because the larger wind 191 speed higher in the saltation layer should be compensated by a decrease in the wind 192 speed lower in the saltation layer (Kok et al., 2012). This is also true for drifting snow, as shown in Fig. 6(a). In this simulation, coarse snow particles are adopted since pure 193 saltation with least suspended snow is wanted, the particle size distribution is shown 194 in Fig. 6(b). It can be seen that the particle size is larger than 100 µm because the 195 196 diameter of the suspension snow is basically smaller than 100 µm (Gordon and Taylor, 2009;Huang and Wang, 2015;Nemoto and Nishimura, 2004;Nishimura and Hunt, 197





198

2000).

Figure 6. (a) Variation in the fluid stress versus friction velocity, and (b) particle size

201 distribution for the pure saltation simulation.





Additionally, the presence of mid-air collisions further decreases the impact threshold to a great extent. As shown in Fig. 6(a), under the same friction velocity condition, the residual fluid shear stress τ_f with the mid-air collision effect is smaller than that without mid-air collisions, mainly because frequent inter-particle collisions can produce many high energy particles under the actions of momentum transfer among the particles and thus enhances saltation.

It is known that the saltation mass flux can be derived from the momentum balance in the saltation layer as (Kok et al., 2012;Sørensen, 2004):

210
$$Q = \rho \left(u_*^2 - u_{*f}^2 \right) L / \Delta \overline{V}$$
(9)

where u_{*f} is the critical impact friction velocity, L is the mean saltation length, and 211 $\Delta \overline{V}$ is the mean velocity difference of the impact and lift-off particles. Many 212 213 numerical and experimental investigations present the scaling of the saltation mass flux Q with u_*^3 (Bagnold, 1941;Clifton et al., 2006;Nishimura and Hunt, 2000;Owen, 214 1964; Vionnet et al., 2013) by assuming that the particle speeds can be linearly scaled 215 216 with the friction velocity u_* , and u_{*f} is commonly approximate with the critical fluid friction velocity u_{*t} . Whereas the critical impact friction velocity u_{*t} may be 217 218 larger or smaller than the critical fluid friction velocity u_{*t} as a matter of fact, as shown in Fig. 6(a). 219

For wind-blown sand movement, recent studies have proved that the saltation mass flux actually shows a quadratic dependency with the friction velocity since the mean particle speed in the saltation layer is independent of the friction velocity (Durán et al., 2011;Ho et al., 2011;Kok et al., 2012). For drifting snow, however, the





mean particle speed at the near surface is essentially proportional to the friction 224 225 velocity (Nishimura and Hunt, 2000; Nishimura et al., 2015) probably due to the smaller response time of the snow particle, which supports the fact that the snow 226 saltation flux typically shows a cubic dependency. 227

228 Interestingly, Nemoto and Nishimura (2004) reported an increasing tendency of 229 the fluid stress τ_f with the friction velocity when suspension snow is included, as 230 shown in Fig. 6(a). This increase may be because suspended snow reduces the wind 231 speed higher in the air, which in turn need a larger wind speed lower in the saltation 232 layer to replenish the particle momentum. Thus, the suspension snow may restrain the saltation movement. The measurements of Nishimura and Hunt (2000) with various 233 snow grain sizes also support this point. 234

235 In this way, the saltation mass flux (or residual fluid stress) of drifting snow 236 largely depends on the particle size. For pure saltation movement as in above simulation (e.g., coarse grain size), τ_f decreases with the friction velocity and 237 results in a larger saltation flux. Whereas for drifting snow with considerable 238 239 suspended snow particles, τ_f may increase with friction velocity, and thus reduce the saltation mass flux. That is, snow samples with different grain sizes may have 240 different saltation mass fluxes under the same wind condition. The particle borne 241 242 stress τ_p from the above simulation is approximately 4.5 times that predicted by the model of Nemoto and Nishimura (2004) when the friction velocity is 0.39 ms⁻¹, and 243 thus, the snow saltation flux may be considerably different. 244

245

Most previous drifting snow models adopted by the mass balance studies of





- glaciers of ice caps consider the saltation and suspension processes independently
 (Gallée et al., 2001;Lehning et al., 2008;Vionnet et al., 2013). From above analysis,
 this may increase the uncertainty of prediction with varying grain sizes. A coupling
 model that includes the interactions between saltation and suspension snows is
 necessary to model the drifting snow process more exactly.
- 251 **5** Conclusions
- In this work, a three-dimensional drifting snow model in the turbulent boundary layer with consideration of a mid-air collision mechanism is established based on tracking the trajectory of each snow particle; this model enables the exploration of the mid-air collision mechanism on the drifting snow process exactly.
- In the traveling snow flow, mid-air collisions play an important role in enhancing the snow transport flux. In addition, there exists a critical particle concentration in which inter-particle collisions rarely occur below this value. However, above the critical concentration, the collision frequency as well as the role of inter-particle collisions is found to increase with the friction velocity.

Furthermore, mid-air collisions also enhances the particle activity, and thus further reduces the residual fluid stress during drifting snow conditions. The snow saltation flux is also found to be sensitive to particle size distribution of the snow samples because suspension snow may restrain saltation movement to a great extent, and the snow saltation flux may vary several times for different particle size distribution.

267 Acknowledgements





- 268 This work is supported by National key research and development program
- 269 (2016YFC0500901), Forestry industry research special funds for key public welfare
- 270 projects (201404306), National Natural Science Foundation of China (11772143) and
- 271 111 Project, B14044.
- 272 **References**
- 273 Anderson, R. S., and Haff, P. K.: Simulation of Eolian Saltation, Science, 241,
- 274 820-823, 1988.
- 275 Bagnold, R. A.: The Physics of Wind Blown Sand and Desert Dunes, Methuen,
- 276 London, 1941.
- 277 Bintanja, R.: Snowdrift suspension and atmospheric turbulence. Part I: Theoretical
- 278 background and model description, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 95, 343-368, 2000.
- 279 Christen, M., Kowalski, J., and Bartelt, P.: RAMMS: Numerical simulation of dense
- snow avalanches in three-dimensional terrain, Cold Regions Science & Technology,
- 281 63, 1-14, 2010.
- 282 Clift, R., Grace, J. R., and Weber, M. E.: Bubbles, drops, and particles, 263-264, 1978.
- 283 Clifton, A., Rüedi, J. D., and Lehning, M.: Snow saltation threshold measurements in
- a drifting-snow wind tunnel, Journal of Glaciology, 52, 585-596, 2006.
- Déry, S. J., and Yau, M. K.: A Bulk Blowing Snow Model, Boundary-Layer
 Meteorology, 93, 237-251, 1999.
- 287 Déry, S. J., and Yau, M. K.: Large scale mass balance effects of blowing snow and
- surface sublimation, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 107, 8-17, 2002.
- 289 Dupont, S., Bergametti, G., Marticorena, B., and Simoëns, S.: Modeling saltation





- intermittency, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 118, 7109-7128, 2013.
- 291 Durán, O., Claudin, P., and Andreotti, B.: On aeolian transport: Grain-scale
- interactions, dynamical mechanisms and scaling laws, Aeolian Research, 3, 243-270,
- 293 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2011.07.006, 2011.
- 294 Gallée, H., Guyomarc'H, G., and Brun, E.: Impact Of Snow Drift On The Antarctic
- 295 Ice Sheet Surface Mass Balance: Possible Sensitivity To Snow-Surface Properties,
- Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 99, 1-19, 2001.
- 297 Gallée, H., Trouvilliez, A., Agosta, C., Genthon, C., Favier, V., and Naaim-Bouvet, F.:
- 298 Transport of Snow by the Wind: A Comparison Between Observations in Adélie Land,
- 299 Antarctica, and Simulations Made with the Regional Climate Model MAR,
- 300 Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 146, 133-147, 2013.
- 301 Gordon, M., and Taylor, P. A.: Measurements of blowing snow, Part I: Particle shape,
- 302 size distribution, velocity, and number flux at Churchill, Manitoba, Canada, Cold
- 303 Regions Science & Technology, 55, 63-74, 2009.
- 304 Ho, T. D., Valance, A., Dupont, P., and Ould, E. M. A.: Scaling laws in aeolian sand
- 305 transport, 2011, 1059-1062, 2011.
- 306 Huang, N., and Wang, Z. S.: A 3-D simulation of drifting snow in the turbulent
- 307 boundary layer, Cryosphere Discussions, 9, 301-331, 2015.
- 308 Huang, N., Dai, X., and Zhang, J.: The impacts of moisture transport on drifting snow
- sublimation in the saltation layer, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 16, 7523-7529,
- 310 2016.
- 311 Huang, N., and Wang, Z. S.: The formation of snow streamers in the turbulent





- atmosphere boundary layer, Aeolian Research, 23, 1-10, 2016.
- 313 Huang, N., and Shi, G.: The significance of vertical moisture diffusion on drifting
- Snow sublimation near snow surface, Cryosphere, 11, 3011-3021, 2017.
- 315 Kok, J. F., Parteli, E. J., Michaels, T. I., and Karam, D. B.: The physics of wind-blown
- sand and dust, Reports on Progress in Physics Physical Society, 75, 106901, 2012.
- 317 Lehning, M., Löwe, H., Ryser, M., and Raderschall, N.: Inhomogeneous precipitation
- 318 distribution and snow transport in steep terrain, Water Resources Research, 44,
- 319 278-284, 2008.
- 320 Lopes, A., M. G., Oliveira, L., A., Ferreira, Almerindo, D., Pinto, and J., P.: Numerical
- simulation of sand dune erosion, Environmental Fluid Mechanics, 13, 145-168, 2013.
- 322 Lund, T. S., Wu, X., and Squires, K. D.: Generation of Turbulent Inflow Data for
- 323 Spatially-Developing Boundary Layer Simulations, Journal of Computational Physics,
- 324 140, 233-258, 1998.
- 325 Mann, G. W., Anderson, P. S., and Mobbs, S. D.: Profile measurements of blowing
- snow at Halley, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 105,
- 327 24491-24508, 2000.
- Meneveau, C., Lund, T. S., and Cabot, W. H.: A Lagrangian dynamic subgrid-scale
 model of turbulence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 319, 353-385, 1996.
- 330 Nemoto, M., and Nishimura, K.: Direct Measurement Of Shear Stress During Snow
- 331 Saltation, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 100, 149-170, 2001.
- 332 Nemoto, M., and Nishimura, K.: Numerical simulation of snow saltation and
- 333 suspension in a turbulent boundary layer, Journal of Geophysical Research





- 334 Atmospheres, 109, D18206, 2004.
- 335 Nishimura, K., and Hunt, J. C. R.: Saltation and incipient suspension above a flat
- 336 particle bed below a turbulent boundary layer, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 417,
- 337 77-102, 2000.
- 338 Nishimura, K., Yokoyama, C., Ito, Y., Nemoto, M., Naaim Bouvet, F., Bellot, H.,
- 339 and Fujita, K.: Snow particle speeds in drifting snow, Journal of Geophysical
- 340 Research Atmospheres, 119, 9901-9913, 2015.
- 341 Okaze, T., Mochida, A., Tominaga, Y., Nemoto, M., Sato, T., Sasaki, Y., and Ichinohe,
- 342 K.: Wind tunnel investigation of drifting snow development in a boundary layer,
- Ournal of Wind Engineering & Industrial Aerodynamics, 104-106, 532-539, 2012.
- Owen, P.: Saltation of uniform grains in air, Plenum Press, 41, 344-353, 1964.
- 345 Raupach, M. R.: Saltation layers, vegetation canopies and roughness lengths, Springer
- 346 Vienna, 1, 83-96, 1991.
- 347 Sørensen, M.: On the rate of aeolian sand transport, Geomorphology, 59, 53-62,
- 348 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2003.09.005, 2004.
- 349 Schneiderbauer, S., and Prokop, A.: The atmospheric snow-transport model:
- SnowDrift3D, Journal of Glaciology, 57, 526-542, 2011.
- 351 Schweizer, J., Jamieson, J. B., and Schneebeli, M.: Snow avalanche formation,
- 352 Reviews of Geophysics, 41, 1-25, 2003.
- 353 Sovilla, B., Burlando, P., and Bartelt, P.: Field experiments and numerical modeling of
- mass entrainment in snow avalanches, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, F03007,
- 355 2006.





- 356 Sugiura, K., Nishimura, K., Maeno, N., and Kimura, T.: Measurements of snow mass
- 357 flux and transport rate at different particle diameters in drifting snow, Cold Regions
- 358 Science & Technology, 27, 83-89, 1998.
- 359 Sugiura, K., and Maeno, N.: Wind-Tunnel Measurements Of Restitution Coefficients
- 360 And Ejection Number Of Snow Particles In Drifting Snow: Determination Of Splash
- 361 Functions, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 95, 123-143, 2000.
- 362 Supulver, K. D., Bridges, F. G., and Lin, D. N. C.: The Coefficient of Restitution of
- 363 Ice Particles in Glancing Collisions: Experimental Results for Unfrosted Surfaces,
- 364 Icarus, 113, 188-199, 1995.
- 365 Uematsu, T., Nakata, T., Takeuchi, K., Arisawa, Y., and Kaneda, Y.:
- Three-dimensional numerical simulation of snowdrift, Cold Reg.sci.technol, 20,65-73, 1991.
- 368 Vinkovic, I., Aguirre, C., Ayrault, M., and Simoëns, S.: Large-eddy Simulation of the
- 369 Dispersion of Solid Particles in a Turbulent Boundary Layer, Boundary-Layer
 370 Meteorology, 121, 283, 2006.
- 371 Vionnet, V., Martin, E., Masson, V., Guyomarc'H, G., Naaimbouvet, F., Prokop, A.,
- Durand, Y., and Lac, C.: Simulation of wind-induced snow transport in alpine terrain
 using a fully coupled snowpack/atmosphere model, Cryosphere Discussions, 7,
 2191-2245, 2013.
- Xiao, J., Bintanja, R., Déry, S. J., Mann, G. W., and Taylor, P. A.: An Intercomparison
 Among Four Models Of Blowing Snow, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 97, 109-135,
 2000.





- 378 Xue, M., Droegemeier, K. K., Wong, V., Shapiro, A., Brewster, K., Carr, F., Weber, D.,
- 379 Liu, Y., and Wang, D.: The Advanced Regional Prediction System (ARPS) A
- 380 multi-scale nonhydrostatic atmospheric simulation and prediction tool. Part II: Model
- physics and applications, Meteorology & Atmospheric Physics, 76, 143-165, 2001.
- 382 Yamamoto, Y., Potthoff, M., Tanaka, T., Kajishima, T., and Tsuji, Y.: Large-eddy
- simulation of turbulent gas-particle flow in a vertical channel: effect of considering
- inter-particle collisions, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 442, 303-334, 2001.
- 385 Zhang, J., and Huang, N.: Simulation of Snow Drift and the Effects of Snow Particles
- on Wind, Modelling & Simulation in Engineering, 2008, 2008.
- 387 Zwaaftink, C. D. G., Diebold, M., Horender, S., Overney, J., Lieberherr, G., Parlange,
- 388 M. B., and Lehning, M.: Modelling Small-Scale Drifting Snow with a Lagrangian
- 389 Stochastic Model Based on Large-Eddy Simulations, Boundary-Layer Meteorology,
- 390 153, 117-139, 2014.