# Increased West Antarctic ice discharge and <u>unchanged</u> East Antarctic stability ice <u>discharge</u> over the last seven years

Alex S. Gardner<sup>1</sup>, Geir Moholdt<sup>2</sup>, Ted Scambos<sup>3</sup>, Mark Fahnstock<sup>4</sup>, Stefan Ligtenberg<sup>5</sup>, Michiel van den Broeke<sup>5</sup>, Johan Nilsson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena CA 91109
 <sup>2</sup> Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, 9296 Tromsø, Norway

<sup>3</sup>National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC), University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder CO 80303 USA

<sup>4</sup>Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks AK 99775 USA

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht (IMAU), Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Correspondence to: Alex S. Gardner (alex.s.gardner@jpl.nasa.gov)

### Abstract

5

Ice discharge from large ice sheets plays a direct role in determining rates of sea level rise. We map present-day Antarctic-

- wide surface velocities using Landsat 7 & 8 imagery spanning 2013-2015 and compare to earlier estimates derived from synthetic aperture radar, revealing heterogeneous changes in ice flow since ~2008. The new mapping provides complete coastal and inland coverage of ice velocity north of 82.4°S with a mean error of <10 m yr<sup>-1</sup>, resulting from multiple overlapping image pairs acquired during the daylit period. Using an optimized flux gate, ice discharge from Antarctica is 192932 ± 4038 Gigatons per year (Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 2015, an increase of 365 ± 15 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> from the time of the radar mapping. Flow accelerations across the grounding lines of West Antarctica's Amundsen Sea Embayment, Getz Ice Shelf and Marguerite Bay on the western Antarctic Peninsula, account for 89% of this increase. In contrast, glaciers draining the East Antarctic Ice Sheet have been remarkably stable constant over the period of observation. Including modeled rates of snow accumulation and basal melt, the Antarctic ice sheet lost ice at an average rate of 1836 ± 943 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> between 2008 and 2015. The modest increase in ice discharge over the past 7 years is contrasted by high rates of ice sheet mass loss and distinct spatial patters of
- 25 elevation lowering. The West Antarctic ice sheet is experiencing high rates of mass loss and displays distinct patterns of elevation lowering that point to a dynamic imbalance. We find modest increase in ice discharge over the past 7 years that suggest that the recent pattern of mass loss in Antarctica is part of a longer-term phase of enhanced glacier flow initiated in the decades leading up to the first continent-wide radar mapping of ice flow.

### 30 1. Introduction

The Antarctic Ice Sheet receives roughly 2000 Gt (~5.5 mm sea-level equivalent) of precipitation each year with >90% of this mass leaving as solid ice discharge to the ocean and the remaining <10% leaving in the form of sublimation, winddriven snow transport, meltwater runoff, and basal melt. Nearly all rRecent studies indicate significant mass loss from the Antarctic Ice Sheet that is likely accelerating (*Harig and Simons, 2015; Helm et al., 2014; Martín-Español et al., 2016;* 

- 35 *McMillan et al., 2014; Rignot et al., 2011b; Shepherd et al., 2012; Velicogna, 2009*). Understanding how this imbalance evolves is critical to provide meaningful projections of sea level change. A major hurdle for improved assessments of the attribution of mass change is the difficulty in resolving continent-wide ice discharge at high precision and accuracy for multiple epochs. This requires circum-Antarctic measurements of surface velocity at fine spatial scale and with sufficient accuracy (~10 m yr<sup>-1</sup>) to observe regionally coherent changes in flow.
- 40

45

Earlier circum-Antarctic mappings of surface velocity have been based on Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data with incomplete coverage for 1996-2000 (*Jezek et al.*, 2003; *Rignot*, 2006) and near-complete coverage for 2007-2009 (Rignot et al., 2011). Applications of optical imagery for surface velocity mapping have heretofore been limited to more local scales (e.g. *Bindschadler and Scambos*, 1991; *Scambos et al.*, 1992) due to limited sensor capabilities, cloudiness, and too few repeat-image acquisitions. Improvements in sensor technology (particularly in radiometric resolution) and far higher image acquisition rates for Landsat 8, launched in 2013, largely overcome these limitations (*Fahnestock et al.*, 2015; *Jeong and Howat*, 2015; *Mouginot et al.*, 2017) and provide the ability to generate near-complete annual-yearly mappings of surface velocity with high accuracy (~10 m yr<sup>-1</sup>).

- 50 Here we describe the application of two newly developed and independent feature tracking methodologies (JPL and NSIDC) that we applied to hundreds of thousands of Landsat image pairs covering the entire Antarctic Ice Sheet north of 82.4°S, producing six near-complete mappings of ice sheet surface velocities in both the 2013-14 and 2014-15 austral polar daylight periods. By differencing these velocity fields with the earlier SAR mapping (*Rignot et al.*, 2011a) we resolve changes in ice surface velocity for the 7-year period between circa-2008 and 2015. Velocity changes are then used to estimate ice discharge
- 55 at the basin scale and its change through time. For the determination of ice discharge we provide a novel approach to defining the cross-sectional area of ice flow (flux gate Section 2.2) that greatly reduces uncertainties in estimates of ice discharge. By differencing estimates of ice discharge and basal melt rates (*Van Liefferinge and Pattyn*, 2013) from published estimates of the surface mass balance (*van Wessem et al.*, 2016; *van Wessem et al.*, 2014) we are able to estimate the net mass balance of the ice sheet at the basin scale, revealing recent patters of ice sheet imbalance.

#### 60 2. Methods

### 2.1 Surface velocity

Glacier velocities were determined by feature tracking of matching path-row Landsat Collection 0 L1T and L1GT image pairs in the panchromatic Band 8 (15 m pixel size) using normalized cross correlation (NCC). To assess the sensitivity of our results to choices in Landsat processing -using methodology (e.g. search template size, spatial resolution, geolocation

- 65 offset correction, data filtering, image-pair date separation and compositing) we examine multiple velocity mosaics derived from two independent processing methodologies methodologies developed by JPL and NSIDC (Figure 1). Uncertainties in velocities were determined by comparing Landsat and SAR velocities measured at flux gate nodes for basins with minimal change in ice discharge (B1-19 & B27), i.e. where velocity differences are assumed to be indicative measurement uncertainty. Uncertainties in velocities can be as high as 20-30 m vr<sup>-1</sup> locally but are largely uncorrelated at basin scales 70
- (>1000 km, sSee Appendix A for validation of the velocity fields). All velocity mosaics are freely downloadable from the NSIDC. JPL and NSIDC processing chains share many of the same characteristics with main differences being in how the image-pair data is corrected for geolocation errors, how the imagery is searched for matching features, and the choice of search parameters such as template size and spacing.

The details of both methodologies are described here.

#### 75 2.1.1 JPL auto-RIFT

### *Image pair pixel offsets*

The autonomous Repeat Image Feature Tracking (auto-RIFT v0.1) processing scheme, developed by JPL (author ASG), was applied to all Landsat 7 and 8 Collection 0 LT1-images acquired over the Antarctic Ice Sheet between August 2013 and May 2016 with 80% cloud cover or less, as indicated in the image metadata. Landsat 7 and 8 panehromatic (Band 8) iImages (15 m pixel size) are were preprocessed using a 5 by 5 Wallis operator to normalize for local variability in image radiance caused 80 by shadows, topography and sun angle. All image pairs with less than 910-day separation were searched. Preprocessed image pairs were searched for matching features by finding local normalized cross correlation (NCC) maxima at subpixel resolution using Taylor refinement (Paragios et al., 2006) within a specified search distance. A sparse (1/16 of full search) NCC search was first used to determine areas of coherent correlation between image pairs (only same path row images used 85 in this study). Results from the sparse search guide a dense search with search centers spaced such that there is no overlap between adjacent template search chips (i.e. the distance between template centers is equal to the template size). Highest quality image pairs (< 20% cloud and < 1 year separation) were searched using this approach, with a large search distance centered at zero pixel offset with a 32 by 32 pixel template chip. Spatially resolved statistics (mean and standard deviation of x and y displacements) are then were-used to guide a dense image search of all imagery with 16 x 16 or 32 x 32 pixel template chips depending on expected gradients in surface velocities. Areas of unsuccessful retrievals were searched with

progressively increasing template chip sizes of 32, 64, and 128 that increase the signal to noise at the expense of spatial resolution.

-Successful matches were identified using a novel Normalized Displacement Coherence (NDC) filter. In this approach filtering is applied on search-normalized displacements, i.e. displacements divided by the NCC search distance. Normalized displacements are accepted if 7 or more of the values within a 5 by 5 pixel centered window are within ¼ of a search distance for both x and y displacement components. This acceptance criterion is iterated on 3 times. Finally an iterative (2 times) filter is applied to remove the few number of displacements that are retained by random agreement with neighbors. For this filter, displacements are compared to the centered 5 by 5 window median. Only values that agree within 4 times the

100 <u>centered 5 by 5 window mean absolute deviation are retained. The NDC filtering approach is highly generic and very</u> effective at removing random image-pair matches but not at removing match blunders that can result in spatially coherent errors. Remaining blunders are filtered during the merging process using information from all image pairs.

Image-pair pixel displacements were calculated from georeferenced images that are in Antarctic Polar Stereographic (EPSG 3031) projection. This introduces scale distortions that increase with distance from the latitude of origin (71° S). We corrected for this scale distortion when converting from pixel displacement to velocity following the equations presented in Snyder, 1987. Velocities and template chip sizes were combined on a common 240 x 240 m grid in Antarctic Polar Stereographic projection (EPSG 3031) with the displacement value taken as the valid displacement determined with the smallest search chip size.

110

115

Image geometry between image pairs is highly stable, but images suffer from large x and y geolocation errors (~15 m). This resulted in good gradients in velocity, but poor absolute velocity. Displacement fields were also contaminated by match blunders (e.g. matching along shadow edges or of surfaces obscured by cloud in one of the two images). Therefore, displacement fields required heavy post-processing to isolate the geophysical signal. This was done by stacking all time-normalized displacements (displacement-velocities)fields, co-registering them over stable-stationary/slow flowing surfaces (time invariant), and filtering based on the interquartile range (IQR) determined for each pixel of the displacement stack. All x and y displacements that fell outside of the range  $Q_1 - T \times IQR$  to  $Q_3 + T \times IQR$  were culled from the dataset, where  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  are the first and third quartile, respectively, and T is a scalar that defines the acceptance threshold.

### 120 *Reference velocity*

A reference velocity ( $Vx_0$ ,  $Vy_0$ ) field was generated from all individual image-pair velocities. As a first step, gross outliers were removed from the unregistered data by setting *T* equal to 3. Stacked displacement fields were then coregistered by iteratively correcting for the median *x* and *y* velocity difference between individual image-pair velocities and static reference velocity fields ( $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$ ) over stationary/slow flowing surfacesstable surfaces, stopping after five iterations. For each 125 iteration, coregistered displacements were filtered setting *T* equal to 1.5, and the effective template chip size (resolution of the velocity field) was coarsened for low velocity gradients ( $<10 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  between adjacent search chips) to minimize high frequency noise while retaining spatial gradients.

Initial  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$  were defined as all grounded ice pixels with median (M) velocities < 10 m yr<sup>-1</sup> and with >100 valid

- 130 retrievals. Where these conditions were not met,  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$  were supplemented with Rignot et al. (2011a) velocities < 10 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, all pixels containing exposed rock were initially assigned a  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$  of 0 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. Exposed rock was identified using the SCAR Antarctic Digital Database (*Thomson and Cooper*, 1993, Figure 2). The initial template chip size was set to the minimum chip size for which 40% of the valid displacements in the stack were determined using a chip of that size or smaller. After each coregistration of the data,  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$  were set equal to the error-weighted velocity for 135 those pixels that have velocities < 50 m yr<sup>-1</sup> and a Vx and Vy IQR < 40 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. All pixels containing exposed rock are reassigned a  $Vx_{ref}$  of 0 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. The uncertainty of each image-pair velocity field was determined as the standard
- deviation of the residuals to  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$ . When there were fewer than 320 coregistration pixels within an image pair, the uncertainty was set to the RSS of the pointing uncertainty of each image.
- 140 JPL auto-RIFT Annual fields

All image-pair velocities for a given year Y (center date of image-pair > July 15, Y-1 and < July 15, Y) were coregistered using the reference velocity field ( $Vx_0$ ,  $Vy_0$ ) where  $Vx_{ref}$  and  $Vy_{ref}$  were set equal to the error-weighted velocity ( $Vx_0$ ,  $Vy_0$ ) for those pixels that have velocities < 50 m yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $Vx_0$  and  $Vy_0 IQR < 40$  m yr<sup>-1</sup>. Annual error weighted averages-and quartile median velocities -were first calculated setting the filter limits based on the quartile ranges of  $Vx_0$  and  $Vy_0$  and setting T = 3.

145 Annual weighted averages and quartile vV elocities were further refined by setting the filter limits based on the quartile ranges of initial annual values and using a more stringent acceptance threshold of T = 1.5.

Using this approach we calculated four nearly complete Landsat 8 velocity maps: Median (M) and <u>error</u> weighted average (W) velocities for years 2014 and 2015. The 2014 and 2015 velocities were derived from ~100,000 and ~200,000 unique image pairs, respectively (Figure 1).

### 2.1.2 NSIDC LISA

150

NSIDC's Landsat Ice Speed, Antarctica processing (LISA v1.0) –used the Python image Correlation, PyCorr v1.10, developed for rapid processing on a unix/Macintosh system. The processing algorithm is described in detail by Fahnestock et al. (2015). PyCorr was applied to , and is based on image processing schemes described in Seambos et al. (1992).

155 Accuracy of the displacement determination using 20 x 20 pixel reference image subscenes is approximately 0.15 pixels. For the Landsat Ice Speed, Antarctica processing (LISA v1.0), we used repeat path row acquisitions of Landsat 8 panchromatic band (Band 8) data separated by 16 to 400 days (16 day repeat orbit multiples), spanning September 26, 2013 to April 1, 2015 <u>using a</u>— reference template size of 300 x 300 m with 300m spacing between search templates. Images were <u>A total of</u> 38,159 image pairs in this timespan were processed. In addition to using a 70% USGS estimated cloud cover as a criterion

160 for inclusion, we further reduced the number of images processed by manually selecting based on the proportion of cloudfree surface coverage from the group of images with less than 70% cloud coverthose with a high proportion of cloud free surface coverage. We applied a<u>A</u> high-pass filter to the images of approximately 250 m spatial scale was applied to the images to enhance surface detail and suppress dynamically supported topography, which is generally fixed in relation to bedrock structures topographic shading.

165

170

We then processed the image pairs through the image chip correlation algorithm contained in PyCorr. PyCorr outputs a set of processed files, which we have named vx (x direction velocity), vy (y direction velocity), vv (scalar speed), corr (normalized correlation index of the highest correlation match between the image chips), and delcorr (the difference between the peak match and the second-highest match outside of a 3x3 cell area around the peak). For the LISA v1.0 data set, we used a reference chip size of 300 x 300 m, producing individual velocity mappings with grid spacing of 300 m and spatial resolution of the velocity field also approximately 300m.

In assembling the mosaic, we reduced noise and eliminated isolated untrustworthy velocity vectors through a series of comparisons with adjacent vectors. Individual velocity grids are then adjusted for geolocation errors by shifting them to eliminate observed motion over stationary features (e.g. rock outcrops) and slow moving areas near ice divides. We then composited the data and resampled the stacked data. We used fairly strong noise and suspect data filtering because there were a large number of velocity grids available, providing good coverage and high repeat coverage in the final map, even with a conservative selection of vector grids...

180 PyCorr outputs a quality metric *delcorr*, which is the difference between the regression-coefficient of the peak match and the second-highest match outside of a 3x3 cell area around the peak. We firstAll displacement values with a *delcorr* value less than 0.15 were eliminated all vectors with a *delcorr* value less than 0.15, since a characteristic of noise values or an uncertain velocity pick is that the main peak correlation index is not significantly higher than other peaks within the search chip grid. Velocities are further filtered For the remaining unmasked velocities, weby examininged the difference between 185 the velocities  $\gamma\gamma\gamma$  at the assessed pixel with the eight surrounding values speed values in the speed output grid ( $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ ). These surrounding grid locations may or may not have a velocity value (given our noise filtering, above). Velocities with If a grid location had no output velocity neighbors were, it was masked (i.e. not included in the final composite). Velocities with If only one of the eight neighbor neighbor locations populated, we were -masked the center pixel if the absolute difference between the two prevalues was greater than 365 m/yr1-m/day. Velocities with With two neighbors neighbours were masked if 190 they exceeded, we required that the center vv value be within three standard deviations of the mean of its neighbors, or it was Finally Once the above masking operation was complete, the standard deviation of each 3x3 region was masked.

computed, and the center pixel of each region was masked if the corresponding standard deviation is greater than  $\frac{365 \text{ m/yr}}{1000 \text{ m/day}}$ .

195 Image-pair In compositing the masked velocity, we also sought to adjust false offsets of ice motion due to geolocation errors in the image pairs. This is particularly problematic for closely-spaced pairs (16 and 32 day separations), which in fact were the bulk of the image pairings in our compilation. To correct the effects of imprecise ggeolocation errors were corrected using , we computed three sets of x-y velocity offsets and a corresponding percent coverage associated with that velocity image and that correction algorithm. Each set of offsets and coverages arewere computed using one of three mask images: a "over rock (www.add.scar.org)k" mask (*vrmsk*) and, a "near-zero ice velocity(<20 m yr<sup>-1</sup>), " mask (*vzmsk*) and a "low ice velocity (<40 and >20 m yr<sup>-1</sup>) areas" mask (*vlmsk*) ac.-cording to Rignot et al. (2011aThe rock mask is derived from Antarctic Digital Database (www.add.scar.org, Figure 2) data. The near zero velocity mask (areas of <0.055 m d<sup>+1</sup> or <40 and >20 m yr<sup>-1</sup>) area of <0.055 m d<sup>+1</sup> or <40 and >20 m yr<sup>-1</sup>) area of <0.011 and >0.055 m d<sup>+1</sup> or <40 and >20 m yr<sup>-1</sup>) area both derived from the Rignot et al. (2011a) mapping. Comparison of observed 'velocity' (consisting of true ice velocity and the effect of geolocation offset) with the values in the three mask data sets produces three sets of offset corrections: "rock" offset (*vr*), "near zero velocity" offsets (*vr*) offset (*vr*), "near zero velocity"

210 We compared the mean speed derived from our PyCorr image pair mappings in the three mask regions (areas near rock, areas within near-zero velocity in Rignot et al., 2011a, and areas within low-velocity regions in Rignot et al., 2011a). For the *vr* and *vz* offsets, we took the mean offset vector of our mapping, given the assumption of zero movement of the rock outcrops in the reference projection. For *vl* we determined the mean *vx* and *vy* magnitudes in the *vlmask* area within the PyCorr image pair mapping and the derived ice motion mean *vx* and *vy* in the same area, and adjusted the measured vectors

215 by the mean mean vx and vy difference.

image-pair results.-

Once the rock, near-zero-velocity, and low-velocity corrections were applied, the <u>R</u>resulting <u>300</u> m/cell velocities for each <u>Landsat sceneimage-pair</u> were bilinearly resampled to the target grid spacing of either 750 m/cell or 125 m\_cell. These grids were then composited into the LISA v1.0 mosaie using a weighting scheme that <u>favorsfavours</u> the more accurate long-interval velocity determinations (16-day pairs, 0.3 weighting; 32-day pairs, 0.6; 48-day pairs, 0.9; >48-day pairs, 1.0). Additionally, a weighting factor was applied to each cell based on the mean <u>NCC correlation corr</u> and <u>delcorr</u> values, <u>favoring the highest measurement quality velocity determinations</u>. <u>Mosaics were then corrected for projection scale distortion</u> The velocity grids were then stacked and combined in a weighted average scheme. The number of image pairs in the LISA v1.0 grid ranges from ~10 to over 200 (Figure 1).

### 2.2 Flux gates

Estimation of ice flux from measurements of surface velocity requires knowledge of the vertical density profile, flow crosssectional area (flux-gate), and an assumption of the relationship between surface and depth-averaged velocity. The most accurate estimates of ice thickness come from radio-echo sounding (RES) measurements, but RES data only exist for about

- 230 19% of the ice sheet grounding line. For the calculation of discharge, we choose to compromise proximity to the grounding line for inclusion of more upstream RES data and for avoiding glacier shear-zones with poorly constrained velocities. We do so by modifying the best-known grounding line to go inland of major shear zones and to follow nearby RES flightlines from which valid ice thickness data can be extracted. We prioritize the nearest and most recent RES data available from seven freely available data sets (Figure 3 and Table 1). For flux gates with no RES data within 1 km distance, ice thickness values
- are extracted by bilinear interpolation from the ice-thickness grid of Huss and Farinotti (2014) over the Antarctic Peninsula and Bedmap-2 (*Fretwell et al.*, 2013) for the rest of Antarctica. We generate three alternative flux gates: a grounding-line flux gate (GL0), based on a synthesis of mappings of the grounding line; a grounded-ice set of flux gates near upstream of the grounding line improved by following RES profiles (FG1); and a flux gate outline based solely on RES profiles in favourable positions (FG2).
- 240

*GL0* is a best-assessment grounding line position from a synthesis of incomplete data first(53%, Rignot et al., 2011a), a Landsat based grounding line aided by flexure data from ICESat laser altimetry (41%, Bindschadler et al., 2011) and two MODIS based grounding line products from 2003 and 2009 (2%, Haran et al., 2014; Scambos et al., 2007). Various other data sources were also employed (4%) and are described in more detail bypresented in Deporter et al. (2013) that. Since then, it has been updated here by more recent grounding line mappings in the Amundsen Sea region (Rignot et al., 2014; Rignot et al., 2011b) and for the Totten Glacier in East Antarctica (*Li et al., 2015; Rignot et al., 2013*); two highly dynamic regions with considerable ice fluxes and changes in grounding line position. Ice thickness was mainly extracted from the gridded products of Bedmap-2 (67%) and the Antarctic Peninsula (9%), but also a considerable amount of RES data that were within 1 km (applied threshold) of the grounding line (19%). For that, we also considered grid cells in Bedmap-2 that have been derived directly from RES data (7%), as indicated in a data coverage mask. These thickness values have a much lower uncertainty (mean 68 m) than the interpolated thicknesses in areas not covered by RES (mean 168 m). Due to this high uncertainty in interpolated areas, we only used *GL0* for definition of the grounding line and for comparative purposes.

FG1 is a modified version of GL0 that follows RES flightlines (Figure 3) or Bedmap-2 data cells that are in the vicinity of the grounding line. Whether or not to divert from the grounding line in favor of RES profiles was determined ad hoc rather applying a strict distance threshold. Long, continuous RES profiles further apart were more likely to be followed than short, scattered RES data closer to the grounding line. In general, the modified parts of FG1 are within a few tens of kilometers from the GL0, and even less so in the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Sea coasts and the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf regions where RES flightlines are often aligned with the grounding line. Almost all of these important regions are covered by RES 260 data in FGI, and for Antarctica as a whole the RES coverage is 42% (Table 1). We found that FGI was the most suitable flux gate line for estimating changes in ice discharge due to its close proximity to the grounding line and high coverage of RES data.

FG2 is a further modified version of FG1 that further prioritizes RES flightlines over proximity to the grounding line around 265 the entire continent. Only slight modifications were made in regions like the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Sea coasts, the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf and Dronning Maud Land for which many near-grounding line RES data exist, but for parts of East Antarctica and along the Transantarctic Mountains, the modification can be several hundred kilometers (Figure 3). The total coverage of RES data along FG2 is 96% (Table 1). We used this flux gate line to estimate absolute discharge for the ice sheet, but not for assessing temporal changes in discharge, because they are often most pronounced near the grounding line

270 that is better sampled by FG1.

The average point spacing along the three flux gate lines is 198-265 m, with a maximum spacing of 400 m to ensure sufficiently dense sampling of ice thickness and surface velocity for ice flux calculations (see Appendix A for a detailed discussion of resolution dependent errors in flux calculations). This makes a total of between 132,300 and 177,600 flux 275 nodes for each of the three flux gate lines and a total length of between 26,200 km (FG2) and 45,500 km (GL0) around the continent.-Flux gate points without RES data and within the rock mask of the SCAR Antarctic Digital Database (<4%, Thomson and Cooper, 1993, Figure 2) were assigned a zero ice thickness. Since the thickness data were provided as physical ice thicknesses, we subtracted modelled average (1979-2015) Firn Air Content (FAC - see Section 2.5) to obtain ice equivalent thicknesses, assuming ice has a density of 917 kg m<sup>-3</sup>, relevant for ice flux calculations.

280

For further analyses, we also extracted point attributes for source data and year, surface elevation, firn air content and all available thickness data. Histograms of ice thickness, uncertainties in ice thickness, date of thickness measurement, Firn Air Content, uncertainty in Firn Air Content, surface velocity, ice thickness change rate, and uncertainty ice thickness change rate for all three flux gates are shown in Figure 4. Flux gates and extracted ancillary data are provided as SI.

285

### 2.3 Ice Discharge

We calculate ice flux (F) by multiplying the x and y velocity component (Vx/y) by the width of the flux gate projected in the x and y coordinates (-Wx/y) and ice-equivalent thickness (H) at each flux node (i) and summing:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{nn} (Vx_i W x_i + Vy_i W y_i) H_i \frac{V_t W_t H_t}{V_t W_t}$$

where nn is the number of nodes at which ice flux is calculated. Here we defined the flux gate following polygon convention with the upstream side of the flux gate being defined as to the right hand side of the polygon gate vector as one moves from node *n* to node *n*+1. In this convention Wx is negative when  $y_{n+1} > y_n$  and Wy is negative when  $x_{n+1} < x_n$ . Ice discharge (D) at the grounding line of the ice sheet corresponds to F for the GL0 flux gate. Applying mass conserving

principles (Morlighem et al., 2011), D is equal to -and to  $F + SMB + dV_{dyn}/dt$  for the FG1 and FG2 flux gates. SMB is the 295 unmeasured flux due to a positive surface mass budget of the area between the flux gate and the grounding line and is estimated from RACMO2.3 climatology (1979-2015, see Section 2.4). SMB is corrected (reduced) for basal melt occurring between the flux gate and the grounding line which does not contribute to solid ice discharge (Van Liefferinge and Pattyn,

2013).  $dV_{dyn}/dt$  is the unmeasured flux due to ice flow convergence/divergence between the flux gate and the grounding line,

- 300
- which we refer to as the dynamic volume change. This is accounted for by assuming that firn corrected CryoSat-2 elevation change rates (Section 2.6) measured over ice moving at  $>200 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  that lies between the flux-gate and the grounding line can be attributed to dynamic volume change. Rates of volume change in 2008 and 2015 were extrapolated using the measured acceleration in the rate of elevation change over the period of CryoSat-2 data (2011-2015). Measured dynamic volume loss is considered to increase total discharge and visa versa. Uncertainty in the dynamic volume change can not be rigorously quantified and are therefore conservatively is taken assumed to be 0.1 m yr<sup>-1</sup> times the area between the grounding 305
  - line and the flux gate having a surface velocity  $>200 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  or 30% of the magnitude of the estimated dynamic volume change, whichever is larger. A velocity cut off 200 m yr<sup>-1</sup> was selected to separate volume changes resulting from changes surface mass balance and those resulting from changes in dynamics. This threshold is arbitrary. Even so, the dynamic volume change correction is very small and insensitive to the selected cut off velocity.

310

315

320

Calculation of discharge is highly sensitive to the definition of the flux gate and to any vertical gradient in the ice flow (Chuter et al., 2017; Mouginot et al., 2014; Rignot, 2006; Rignot and Thomas, 2002). When calculating ice flux, we assume that there are no vertical gradients in ice velocity. This assumption introduces a small positive bias (< 0.4%) but is negligible relative to other sources of error. See Appendix A for the calculation of the expected vertical gradient in ice velocity. One known issue is the systematic underestimation of ice flux with the coarsening of the resolution of the basal topography and/or the surface velocity (Figure 5). This happens because fast-moving ice is concentrated in basal troughs: higher velocities multiplied by larger ice thickness, and lower velocities multiplied by smaller ice thickness, do not equate to average thickness multiplied by average velocity. FG2, which follows high-resolution RES profiles around almost the entire continent at the expense of proximity to the grounding line, provides the cross-sectional area with the lowest uncertainty and is most appropriate for estimating the total discharge, even after having to account for additional mass input between the gate and the grounding line. FGI strikes a balance between proximity to the grounding line (GL0) and the distance from ice

thickness observations. This gate is best suited for estimating changes in ice discharge. Our best estimate of total discharge is computed using the 2015 erro weighted average autoRIFT velocities, FG2 and estimated additional mass flux between FG2 and GL0. We then compute the change in discharge between the 2015 and 2008 period at FG1 and subtract this form 325 <u>our best estimate of total discharge, accounting for dynamic volume change and changes in ice thickness between periods.</u> This multi flux gate approach greatly reduces errors in estimates of ice discharge.

For areas south of the Landsat observation limit, we first calculate the total flux across gates located >82.4°S using the 1997 and 2009 SAR velocity mappings *of Scheuchl et al.* (2012). To determine a representative 2015 flux rate we extrapolate the 2009 estimate assuming the same rate of change in discharge as observed for the 1997-2009 period.

330

Changes in flux (*dF*) were calculated at all flux gate nodes (*i*) where both velocity mappings were valid and assumed to be unchanged elsewhere. In our analysis of velocities we found that there were some geocoding issues between the SAR (Rignot et al. 2011) and Landsat velocities, that are most likely due to errors in the elevation model used to convert from
 radar slant range coordinates to a location on the Earth surface. We also found the SAR velocities unreliable for most of the northwest Antarctic Peninsula, where velocities near the grounding lines of narrow outlet glaciers were unrealistically low and likely the results of interpolation to areas of missing data. To minimize the impact of these artifacts in our flux change analyses, we prescribed areas of zero change in flux along shear margins where changes are expected to be small, and for much of the northwest Antarctic Peninsula (Figure 2). Any residual geocoding errors are Weexpected to introduce noise into our analysis but are unlikely to significant biases our estimates of flux or flux change as errors will somewhat cancel when integrated across the entire glacier cross section (errors are typically of similar magnitude but opposite sign along right and left flow margins). See refer to Appendix A for a comprehensive discussion of the uncertainty quantification.

- One known limitation of our analysis is that the SAR velocity mosaic (Rignot et al., 2011a) that we difference our Landsat velocities with is derived from data spanning the period 1996-2009 with no information provided on the effective date of the 345 data. We assume that the SAR mosaic has a representative date of circa-2008 as most data used in the mosaic was collected between 2007 and 2009. This data has been used previously to estimate total Antarctic discharge in *Rignot et al.*, 2013 with a reference date of 2007 to 2008 and in Depoorter et al., 2013 with a reference date of 2007 to 2009. Individual year composites of the data used in older mosaic were recently made available (Mouginot et al., 2017). These new data come 350 with more precise time stamps but at the expense of reduced horizontal resolution (1 km vs. 450 m), reduced spatial coverage and larger uncertainties. To ensure that our stated time period of cira-2008 is appropriate we resample (linear interpolation) the original SAR velocity mosaic to 1 km and compare to the error averaged 2007 2008 and 2008 2009 velocities from the new dataset. Differences in flux across the FGI are less than 2 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for all basins except for Basins 12, 13, 14, and 24 that differ by -4, -5, -6 and 4 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Some of the difference can be attributed to real differences 355 in flow but also from differences in uncertainties between products (the original SAR mosaic having lower errors, particularly for the East Antarctic) and from differences in horizontal resolution. From this analysis we concluded that the best estimate of flux for the ~2008 period is produced by the earlier mosaic that has higher spatial resolution and the lower
  - 11

uncertainty, which is derived from the same underlying data contained in the annual mosaics. We also determine the period "circa-2008" well characterizes the effective date of the earlier MEASURES mosaic.

### 360 2.4 Surface Mass Budget (SMB)

Here we estimate SMB for the 2008-2015 period from Regional Atmospheric Climate Model version 2.3 (RACMO2.3) output at a horizontal resolution of 5.5 km for the Antarctic Peninsula (*van Wessem et al.*, 2016) and 27 km elsewhere (*van Wessem et al.*, 2014). In RACMO2.3, SMB is calculated as the total precipitation (from snow and rain) minus total sublimation (directly from the surface and from drifting snow), wind-driven snow erosion, and meltwater runoff. For the six Antarctic Peninsula basins (B1, B23-27), entirely or partially covered by the high-resolution model, we use the 27 km model output for the missing years of 2014 and 2015. For these basins, the 27 km model output was scaled to better agree with the 5.5 km output using the delta scaling approach. Uncertainty in SMB is taken to be 20% and is treated as uncorrelated between basins. The reader is referred to the works of van Wessem and others (2014 and 2016) for a thorough discussion of the model setup, model validation and SMB uncertainties.

370

365

### 2.5 Firn Air Content (FAC)

To convert volume fluxes to mass fluxes, the depth-averaged ice-sheet density is needed. FAC is a measure of the residual column that would remain if the firn column was compressed to the density of glacier ice, assumed to be 917 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. We estimate FAC using the firn densification model IMAU-FDM (*Ligtenberg et al.*, 2011; *Ligtenberg et al.*, 2014). IMAU-

- 375 FDM simulates firn densification by dry compaction and through meltwater processes (percolation, retention and refreezing) and is forced at the surface by three-hourly resolution output of RACMO2.3 (*van Wessem et al.*, 2016; *van Wessem et al.*, 2014): surface temperature, 10-m wind speed, precipitation (solid and liquid), sublimation, wind-driven snow erosion/deposition, and surface melt. The simulation over the entire Antarctic continent (at 27 km grid resolution) covers 1979-2015, while the Antarctic Peninsula simulation (at 5.5 km grid resolution) only covers 1979-2013. Both simulations
- 380 output FAC at 2-day temporal resolution. The IMAU-FDM is calibrated using 48 depth-density observations from across Antarctica (*Ligtenberg et al.*, 2011), and results have been successfully used to convert satellite altimetry (e.g. *Gardner et al.*, 2013; *Scambos et al.*, 2014; *Shepherd et al.*, 2012) and ice thickness measurements (e.g. *Depoorter et al.*, 2013; *Fretwell et al.*, 2013) into estimates of ice mass change and ice equivalent thickness. Although time-evolving FAC is simulated throughout 1979-2015, we use the climatological average FAC as the most robust correction of our flux-gate thicknesses
- that are based on source data from many different times, sometimes unknown.

Uncertainties in the simulated FAC originate from either the observations used in the IMAU-FDM calibration process, or the RACMO2.3 forcing data. This has been quantified at 10% (SI of *Depoorter et al.*, 2013), composed of measurements errors in the observations of the pinning points in a depth-density profile: surface density, depth of 550 kg m<sup>-3</sup>-level, and

390 depth of 830 kg m<sup>-3</sup>-level. The RACMO2.3 uncertainty is primarily caused by the assumption used for model initialization;

to initialize the IMAU-FDM, it is assumed that the climate over the past 100-1000 years was equal to the 1979-2013/15 average climate (Ligtenberg et al., 2011). Therefore, errors in the climatic forcing during the initialization period have a direct effect on the simulated firn density profile and subsequent FAC. Using sensitivity simulations, it was found that a 1% perturbation in accumulation during the initialization period causes a 0.75% error in FAC. Similarly, a 1% perturbation in

395

the melt/accumulation ratio results in a 0.27 m error in FAC. The melt/accumulation ratio was used instead of the total melt, as the amount of annual snow that melts away in summer (i.e., the ratio between annual melt and annual accumulation) mainly determines how much firn pore space remains rather than the total amount of melt.

Along the ice-sheet grounding-line the mean and standard deviation of FAC are  $16.3 \pm 6.1$  m with associated uncertainties 400 of  $3.7 \pm 1.0$  m. The combined uncertainties of the firm observations and the RACMO2.3 forcing of accumulation and surface melt showed the highest uncertainties on the western side of the Antarctic Peninsula, where high accumulation is combined with high melt. In areas where the modelled FAC uncertainty was higher than the actual FAC, the uncertainty was re-set to the same value as the FAC.

#### 405 2.6 Surface elevation and elevation change

To account for thickness changes between the times of discharge calculation (2008 and 2015) and to correct for dynamic volume change between the flux gate and the grounding line, we use surface elevation rates estimated from CryoSat-2 radar altimetry between January 2011 and January 2015 (Figure 6). CryoSat-2 elevations were derived from the ESA L1c product using the methodology by Nilsson et al. (2016) for the time period of January 2011 to January 2015 over the Antarctic Ice

410 Sheet. For each CryoSat-2 observation mode (LARM and SARIn), the derived surface elevations were separated into grounded and floating ice using the grounded and floating ice definitions from Depoorter et al. (2013) gridded to a 240 m in stereographic (EPSG: 3031) projection. Geophysical range corrections were applied to all data according to Bouzinac (2015). For floating ice, the tidal corrections (ocean tide and ocean loading) were replaced with values generated from the CATS2008 tidal model (Padman et al., 2008).

415

Surface elevation changes and rates of acceleration were generated using the surface fit method, described in Nilsson et al. (2016), onto a 1 km polar-stereographic grid (EPSG: 3031) for each mode. The derived elevation change distribution was edited to remove solutions with a magnitude larger than  $\pm 15$  m yr<sup>-1</sup>, similar to the approach taken by Wouters et al. (2015). The edited data was then interpolated onto a 1 km grid using the weighted average of the 16 closest grid points, weighted by

420 their standard error from the least squares solution and distance. The standard error of the rate of change is assumed to be indicative of the formal error of each measurement. No correction for potential trends in FAC and glacial isostatic adjustment are applied, which may cause surface elevation rates to deviate from ice-equivalent thickness rates.

### 2.7 Mass Budget

- 425 To assess the net ice sheet mass budget during the 2008-2015 period, we combine our new estimates of discharge (Section 2.3) with estimates of surface mass budget (Section 2.4) and basal melt rates (*Pattvn*, 2010; *Van Liefferinge and Pattvn*, 2013). Discharge and surface mass budget for the northern Antarctic Peninsula (B25-26) are highly uncertain and only included for reference in Table 2. The complex basal topography, narrow glacial valleys, and highly crevassed ice, make interpretation of the bed reflection in radar data difficult in this region. Estimating the surface mass budget is equally 430 challenging with large inter-annual variability and steep spatial gradients in both precipitation and melt due to extreme
- surface topography over a large latitudinal range. For B25-26, we therefore rely on net mass budgets determined from glacier elevation changes within the 2003-2011 period that we update with estimated discharge changes for 2008-2015 (Scambos et al., 2014; Berthier et al., 2012; Shuman et al., 2011). A full discussion of the updated Antarctic Peninsula mass budget estimate is provided in Appendix B.

#### 435 **3 Results**

### 3.1 Changes in surface velocity and ice discharge

By combining uncertainties of ice velocity and its relation to depth-averaged velocity, ice thickness, dynamic volume change and SMB for each flux-gate configuration, we estimate a total discharge uncertainty of 5.6% for GL0, 4.54% for FGI, and 2.10% for FG2. The lower uncertainty for FG2 is due to the extensive use of RES data for ice thickness along the 440 flux gate (Figure 4). Hence, we use FG2 in combination with the Landsat velocity field to estimate total discharge. Obtaining continent-wide discharge for ~2008 using the SAR-based velocity field (Rignot et al., 2011a) at the FG2 flux gate is not possible due to data gaps inland of the grounding line. Instead, we estimate discharge change between the 2008 and Landsat mappings at FGI, and then subtract that from the Landsat estimate of discharge to obtain a total estimate for 2008. This approach reduces the impact of ice thickness errors at FGI since they get scaled by velocity differences rather than by 445 velocity magnitudes that are typically much larger. Thickness changes at FGI and changes in the rate of dynamic volume change between FG1 and the grounding line 2008 and Landsat mappings were accounted for in the estimates of discharge change using the derived CryoSat-2 elevation change rates for 2011-2015 (see Section 2.6). Rates of volume change in 2008 and 2015 were extrapolated using the measured acceleration over the 2011-2015 period. Calculating flux in this way reduced the uncertainty in the total flux estimate generated from SAR velocities from 9990 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> when calculating total discharge only at the grounding line to 401 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, a 60% reduction in uncertainty, when applying this combined approach  $\frac{1}{-a}$  54% 450

reduction in uncertainty.

Comparing differences in discharge estimates between 6 Landsat velocity mappings (Figure 7, 4 auto-RIFT v0.1, 2 LISA v1.0) shows good agreement despite differences in feature tracking methodologies, template chip size, horizontal resolution, and time periods. The standard deviations between flux change estimates are below the stated uncertainty in discharge listed

in Table 2 for all 27 basins. Differences that do exist can be attributed to product errors, auto-RIFT W15 has the lowest

uncertainties, followed by auto-RIFT M15 then auto-RIFT W14 and M14 with the LISA 125 and 750 m products having the highest uncertainties (See Figure A2). auto-RIFT uncertainties are lowest for the 2015 mapping simply due to a much larger number of available image-pairs. The reason for higher uncertainties of the LISA products is not entirely known but is likely

460

due to differences in geolocation offset correction and merging procedures. Some difference between mappings can also be expected due to real changes in ice flow between effective dates. This good agreement between products gives us confidence that our results are not sensitive to the Landsat processing methodology. *From here forward we only present results generated using auto-RIFT W15* that provides the lowest uncertainties and longest period over which change in discharge is calculated.

### 465 3.1.1 Amundsen Sea

For the B21 and B22 catchments, containing Pine Island, Thwaites, Haynes, Pope, Smith, and Kohler glaciers (Figure 8Figure 7), we find a 6% increase in ice discharge or 17 ± 4 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2Table 2). This implies an average discharge increase of 2.4 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup> for 2008-2015 that is considerably lower than the 6.5 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup> previously estimated for 1994-2008 (*Mouginot et al.*, 2014)<sub>-5</sub> This indicating a recent slowing in the rate of acceleration is in excellent agreement with the previously published temporally dense history of ice discharge that gave a rate of discharge increase for this region of 2.3 Gt yr<sup>-2</sup> for overlapping but shorter period of 2010-2013 period (*Mouginot et al.*, 2014). Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers both show clear signs of persistent dynamic drawdown, with velocities increasing by >100 m yr<sup>-1</sup> up to 80-100 km inland from the grounding line (Figure 9Figure 8). Figure 9 shows a peak in Pine Island velocity change at 50 km and a secondary peak at 110 km upstream of the grounding line. We see no such peak when comparing between Landsat products, which makes

- 475 us confident that the secondary peak is not an artifact of the Landsat processing. One possible non-geophysical explanation is that the radar mosaic includes data from a period significantly earlier than 2008 for area of the second peak. East Kohler and Smith glaciers also show extensive speedups throughout their length, with increases of >100 m yr-<sup>1</sup> reaching more than 40 km inland likely driven by increased ocean melt rates and subsequent grounding line retreat (*Khazendar et al.*, 2016; *Scheuchl et al.*, 2016). Patterns of velocity change for Pope and Kohler glaciers are more complex, with slowing of up to
- 100 m yr<sup>-1</sup> near the grounding line and increased speed by ~50 m yr<sup>-1</sup> upstream reaching 40-80 km inland. This pattern of change is suggestive of an earlier period of dynamic drawdown that is slowly propagating inland contrasted by more recent deceleration near the grounding line. Glaciers feeding the Getz and Sulzberger ice shelves (B20; including Berry, Hull, and Land Glaciers) increased in speed by 10 to 100 m yr<sup>-1</sup> at their grounding lines, increasing discharge by 6% (<u>Table 2Table 2</u>). This result is in broad agreement with Chuter et al. (2017) that observed increases in ice velocity during the 2007-2013
- 485 period alongside 2010-2013 dynamic thinning rates of 0.7 m yr<sup>-1</sup> for the glaciers feeding the Abbot and Getz ice shelves.<del>2017</del>

### 3.1.2 Bellingshausen Coast

490

Localized accelerations of 50-200 m yr<sup>-1</sup> are observed near grounding lines for several of the major glaciers along the Bellingshausen Coast (B23 and B24) including the Ferrigno, Fox, and Alison ice streams and glaciers feeding into the southern George VI Ice Shelf. Despite some areas of flow acceleration, increases in discharge are highly localized. For many glaciers, the flux gate cross-section is decreasing from regional thinning, resulting in negligible changes in discharge. This result is unexpected, but with high <u>certaintyconfidence</u>, as this region has experienced high rates of ice shelf thinning (*Paolo et al.*, 2015) and grounding line retreat (*Christie et al.*, 2016), both of which were inferred to have resulted in accelerated dynamic thinning that contributed to a 56  $\pm$  8 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> increase in the rate of mass loss that began around 2009 and persisted

495 until at least April 2014 (*Wouters et al.*, 2015). From our analysis we conclude that any changes in discharge contributing to observed rates of thinning must have occurred prior to the SAR mapping of ice velocities. This result agrees with a recent investigation of longer-term (1995-2016) changes in ice discharge for this region (*Hogg et al.*, 2017) that found that the region's glacier experienced an increase in ice discharge between 1995 and 2008 and almost no change in discharge between 2008 and 2016.

### 500 3.1.3 Northern Antarctic Peninsula

Along the west coast of the North Antarctic Peninsula (B25) glaciers feeding into Marguerite Bay (Seller and Prospect) sped up by 400-800 m yr<sup>-1</sup> at their grounding lines, the largest speedup of all Antarctic glaciers, with an increase of >100 m yr<sup>-1</sup> reaching 10-15 km upstream. This speedup was recently attributed increased ocean melt rates resulting from SOI/ENSO driven ocean warming (*Walker and Gardner*, 2017). The majority of the west-coast glaciers to the north of Marguerite Bay are not sufficiently sampled in the earlier SAR mapping and are assumed to be unchanged between 2008 and 2015 (Figure 2). Along the east coast of the northern Antarctic Peninsula (B26) most glaciers feeding into the former Larsen A and B ice shelves that collapsed in 1995 and 2002, respectively, have either not changed significantly or show signs of slowing near their grounding lines (Wuite et al., 2015), with the exception of Leppard and Flask Glaciers. These two glaciers have sped up by 50-100 m yr<sup>-1</sup> at their grounding lines, likely in response to reduced ice shelf buttressing and a resulting -200 m yr<sup>+1</sup> speedup of the abutting Scar Inlet Ice Shelf<del>, in agreement with the findings of</del> (Khazendar et al. (2015). Overall, this region shows a modest increase in ice discharge of <u>65</u> ± 6 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, most of which comes from the glaciers flowing into Marguerite Bay. Small changes in rates of discharge between periods are in good agreement with constant rates of RACMO-derived surface mass budget and mass changes derived from GRACE data (Appendix B).

### 3.1.4 Ice Streams feeding large ice shelves

515 Our analysis suggests a 5-20 m yr<sup>-1</sup> slowdown of a broad region upstream of both Bindschadler and MacAyeal Ice Streams, which feed the Ross Ice Shelf. Ice streams feeding the Ronne-Filchner Ice Shelf show heterogeneous changes with slowing of 15 - 40 m yr<sup>-1</sup> upstream of the Rutford and Evans ice stream grounding lines and ~20 m yr<sup>-1</sup> speed up of the Slessor Ice

Stream. Slowing in the Rutford Ice Stream is consistent with the slowing observed between 1997 and 2009 (Scheuchl et al., 2012), but the apparent increase in velocity of the Slessor Ice Stream is of equal magnitude but of opposite sign to the

changes observed between 1997 and 2009 (Scheuchl et al., 2012). Further to the east, the Stancomb-Wills Glacier increased 520 in speed by 20-40 m yr<sup>-1</sup>, just upstream of the grounding line, with glaciers feeding the Riiser-Larsen, Fimbul and Amery ice shelves showing little change. Overall, changes in surface velocity along groundingling lines of ice streams and glaciers feeding the major ice shelves of East and West Antarctica have not been large enough to significantly impact the net ice discharge for their respective basins (Table 2<del>Table 2</del>).

#### 525 3.1.5 East Antarctic glaciers

Ice discharge has remained remarkably stable steady for the East Antarctic glaciers, particularly along the coasts of Dronning Maud Land and Enderby Land. These basins (B5-B8) showed very little change in ice discharge. The region to the west of Law Dome, including Underwood and Bond glaciers, shows evidence of some increased flow speed and ice discharge, though the signal is near the limit of detection. However, the much larger Totten Glacier and the tributaries of the

Moscow University Ice Shelf (B13) that drain a large fraction of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet show localized areas of ice 530 speed variations but little change in discharge (Figure 1). This result is consistent with recent findings of Li et al. (2016) showing that the Totten Glacier increased in velocity between 2001 and 2007, likely in response to elevated ocean temperature, but has been relatively stable unchanged since.

### **3.1.6 Antarctic Discharge**

In total we estimate that between the SAR and Landsat auto-RIFT W15 velocity mappings, the Antarctic Ice Sheet increased 535 its solid ice discharge to the ocean from 1897 ± 41 yr<sup>-1</sup> to 1932 ± 38 yr<sup>-1</sup>. This represents a  $365 \pm 15$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> increase in total discharge between 2008 and 2015. 79% of the increases in discharge concentrated to glaciers flowing into the Amundsen Sea and another 11% coming from glaciers flowing into Marguerite Bay. Breaking it down to the main ice-sheet regions, the discharge of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (B1, B18-23) increased by  $3029 \pm 8$  Gt vr<sup>-1</sup> and the Antarctic Peninsula (B24-27) by  $7 \pm 6$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, representing a 4% and 3% increase in discharge, respectively. The discharge of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet 540 (B2–17) was remarkably stable-unchanged with a total discharge of  $956 \pm 310$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $9525 \pm 298$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2008 and 2015, respectively.

Our estimate of 2008 total Antarctic ice discharge (1894  $\pm$  43 yr<sup>-1</sup>) is smaller than earlier estimates of 2048  $\pm$  146 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $2049 \pm 86$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> by Rignot et al. (2013) and Depoorter et al. (2013), respectively. Both earlier studies use the same 545 SAR velocity mosaic as used here (*Rignot et al.*, 2011a). Our estimate agrees with that of Rignot et al. (2013) within stated errors but not with that of Depoorter et al. (2013). Rignot et al. used Operation Ice Bridge and BEDMAP-2 ice thickness data at InSAR derived grounding lines to determine a total Antarctic discharge, with upscaling accounting for 352 Gt vr<sup>-1</sup> of the total discharge. The most obvious reason for the difference in the central estimates is the definition of the flux gates.

- 550 Rignot et al. (2013) mostly rely on BEDMAP-2 data while our study draws almost entirely from flight data. Another possible reason for the difference is the upscaling of results for unmeasured basins. For these basins the total discharge is assumed to be the modeled climatological average surface mass balance integrated over the upstream basin. Such estimates have not been adjusted for losses due to basal melt, are sensitive to errors in the modeled SMB and to the delineation of the contributing basin area over which SMB is integrated. Upscaling for unmeasured areas by Depoorter et al. (2013) accounted
- 555 for 476 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> of their estimated discharge. The Depoorter et al. (2013) study uses a different definition of groundling but otherwise uses the same data as used in Rignot et al., 2013. Again, much of the difference between estimates can be attributed to the definition of ice thickness and upscaling to unmeasured basins. It should also be noted that Depoorter et al. (2013) and Rignot et al. (2013) both used output from an earlier version of RACMO that produced larger total SMB than the version of the model used in our study. Since SMB is used to upscale discharge, this likely contributes some to the larger

560 discharge estimates. Similar conclusions were made for updated Greenland Ice Sheet discharge estimates that were lower than previous estimates (Enderlin et al., 2014).

For the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, the 2008-2015 net mass budgets were negative for all but two basins (B1 & B18) (Figure

### 3.2 Changes in net mass balance

Figure 810), summing to a total imbalance of  $-2143 \pm 51$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> with largest rates of loss collocated with increased glacier 565 velocities along the Amundsen Sea Embayment (B21 and B22) and Getz Ice Shelf (B20). The mass large loss for the Getz Ice Shelf region is in contrast to the near balance conditions recently reported by Chuter et al. (2017) for the 2006-2008 period but is in agreement with the 2010-2013 estimate of net mass change by Martín-Español et al. (2016). The East Antarctic Ice Sheet is found to have increased slightly in mass at a rate of  $6158 \pm 73$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> with largest gains in Dronning Maud (B6) and Enderby Land (B7 and B8) that can be partially attributed to increase in precipitation rate (+28 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> 570 relative to 1979-2007 mean) during the study period, which is consistent with earlier findings (Boening et al., 2012; King et al., 2012; Shepherd et al., 2012). For the whole of Antarctica, we estimate an average mass budget of  $-1836 \pm 943$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for the 2008-2015 period. Other recent estimates of Antarctic mass change include those derived from CryoSat-2 altimetry of - $159 \pm 48$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for the period 2010-2013 (*McMillan et al.*, 2014) and -116 \pm 76 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for the period 2011-2014 (*Helm et al.*, 2014) al., 2014, assuming density of ice) and a recent estimate from the joint inversion of gravity, altimetry and GPS data of -159

± 22 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for the period 2010-2013 (Martin-Español et al., 2016). All three studies show near balance to slightly positive 575 mass changes for the East Antarctic Ice Sheet and large losses for the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and the Antarctic Peninsula, all of which agree well with the results presented here when considering uncertainties and differences in study periods.

### **4** Discussion

Areas of accelerated surface velocity (Figure 9) and increased ice discharge are in good agreement with basin-scale assessment of changes in ice flow and ice discharge (Li et al., 2016; Mouginot et al., 2014) and with patterns of ice sheet 580

thinning determined from laser and radar altimetry (*Flament and Rémy*, 2012; *Helm et al.*, 2014; *Pritchard et al.*, 2009). These show broad regions of surface lowering for glaciers feeding into the Amundsen Sea Embayment and Getz Ice Shelf, and rapid drawdown of smaller glacier systems in the Antarctic Peninsula. Glaciers and ice streams feeding major ice shelves were remarkably stable\_steady\_with small heterogeneous changes in velocity. Apparent upstream slowing of

585

Bindschadler and MacAyeal Ice Streams are at the limit of detectability and difficult to interpret. Recent assessments show varying changes in ice stream velocities for this region (*Hulbe et al.*, 2016; *Scheuchl et al.*, 2012), suggesting that measured trends may be influenced by rapid changes in the sub-ice-stream hydrology (*Hulbe et al.*, 2016).

Strongly negative net mass budgets are apparent for the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and are largely due to mean rates of ice discharge greatly exceeding rates of snow accumulation. The basin-averaged results (Figure 10) match remarkably well with patterns of pan-Antarctic multi-decadal (1994-2012) changes in ice shelf thickness (*Paolo et al.*, 2015): <u>H</u>igh rates of mass loss from glaciers feeding into the Amundsen Sea are collocated with high rates of ice shelf thinning; and near balance conditions for Wilkes Land glaciers and basins feeding the Filchner-Ronne, Ross and Amery Ice Shelves are collocated with ice shelves that have experienced little change in ice thickness over the past two decades. This result further supports the strong link between oceanic melting of ice shelves and ice sheet mass budget (*Pritchard et al.*, 2012).

The link between basin mass budget and change in discharge is less obvious. This is primarily due to differences in representative periods as mass budgets represent the cumulative imbalance away from equilibrium state while changes in discharge are only representative of change in discharge between two periods in time, e.g. a glacier can decelerate but still

- 600 be discharging ice at a rate that exceeds the surface mass budget minus basal melt. Increased ice discharge from the Amundsen Sea Embayment and subsequent partial re-stabilization have been attributed to changes in ice shelf buttressing (*Jacobs et al.*, 1996; *Macgregor et al.*, 2012) that resulted from increased ice shelf basal melt rates (*Jacobs et al.*, 2011; *Jenkins et al.*, 1997) and more recently to a decrease in ocean melting resulting from changes in the temperature of intermediate depth waters (*Dutrieux et al.*, 2014). Increased discharge from glaciers feeding into the Getz Ice Shelf is likely
- 605 in response to rapid thinning of the ice shelf due to changes in ocean circulation and the depth of warmer modified Circumpolar Deep Water (*Jacobs et al.*, 2013).

### 4 Conclusion

Applying novel feature tracking methods to hundreds of thousands of Landsat image pairs we are now able construct a detailed and comprehensive record of recent changes in Antarctic-wide ice flow. When combined with optimized flux gate

610 definitions and an earlier mapping of surface velocity (*Rignot et al.*, 2011a), such measurements allow for accurate reconstructions of ice discharge and changes in ice discharge through time. Applying these new capabilities, we determine that the Antarctic Ice Sheet discharged  $1897 \pm 41 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  of solid ice into the ocean in 2008 increasing to  $1932 \pm 38 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in 2015

with 79% of the increases in discharge concentrated to glaciers flowing into the Amundsen Sea and another 11% com<u>es</u> from glaciers flowing into Marguerite Bay. Glaciers and ice streams feeding major ice shelves were remarkably <u>steady</u> with

- 615 small heterogeneous changes in velocity. Strongly negative net mass budgets are apparent for the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and are largely due to mean rates of ice discharge greatly exceeding rates of snow accumulation. The East Antarctic Ice Sheet experienced near-balance conditions with modest gains in Dronning Maud and Enderby Land driven by increased rates of precipitation.
- 620 Over the last decade, it is evident that larger scale changes in discharge are relatively modest (<7% for all basins) compared to the fractional imbalance between discharge and surface mass budget (up to several tens of percent). This suggests that the recent pattern of mass loss in Antarctica, dominated by the Amundsen Sea sector, is likely a part of a longer-term phase of enhanced glacier flow initiated in the 1990s as indicated by satellite records (*Konrad et al.*, 2017; *Mouginot et al.*, 2014) or as early as the 1940s as proposed from sub-ice-shelf sediment records (*Smith et al.*, 2017).

625

Glaciology is rapidly transitioning from an observationally constrained environment to one with ample high quality, high volume satellite datasets suitable for mapping ice flow on continental scales (e.g. Landsat 8, Sentinel 2a/b, Sentinel 1a/b). This study provides a foundation for continued assessment of ice sheet flow and discharge that will allow researches to observe both large and subtle changes ice sheet flow that may indicate early signs of ice sheet instability with low latency. 630 Such a capability would help to diagnose unstable flow behavior and, in conjunction with high accuracy measurements of ice sheet elevation and mass change, would lead to improved assessment ice sheet surface mass balance and ice shelf melt rates. Low latency monitoring of ice flow and discharge would also allow field programs, flight planning and satellite tasking to coordinate the collection complimentary observations in areas of changing ice behavior. These advances will ultimately lead to a deeper understanding of the causal mechanisms resulting in observed and future ice sheet instabilities. 635 Any substantial improvement in our assessment of ice sheet discharge will require more detailed knowledge of ice thickness just upstream of the grounding line, particularly for areas of complex flow such as the Antarctic Peninsula and Victoria Land. Errors in discharge estimates can be greatly reduced if thickness profiles are acquired perpendicular to ice flow. Improved estimates of net mass change calculated using the mass budget approach will come from continued refinement of regional climate models and better estimates of basal melt.

### 640 Data availability

All velocity mosaics are available from NSIDC. Grounding lines, flux gates and ancillary data are provided as Supplementary Data.

### Author contributions

A.G. devised the study, developed the JPL auto-RIFT software, did all calculations, and wrote the paper. G.M. was responsible for updating the grounding line location and defining the flux gates, he also spent considerable time revising the manuscript after the lead author broke his wrist while snowboarding. T.S. & M.F. produced the LISA velocity fields; S.L. & M.v.d.B. provided modeled FAC and SMB output and J.N. produced surface elevation change rates from CryoSat-2 data. All authors discussed and commented on the manuscript at all stages.

### **Competing interests**

650 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

We thank B. Van Liefferinge and F. Pattyn for kindly sharing their modeled estimates of basal melt rates, B. Wouters for helpful discussions regarding Bellingshausen Sea glacier mass changes, A. Khazendar for helping to provide context for observed changes in glacier velocity, I. Joughin for helpful discussion regarding SAR velocities and sharing of data not used

- 655 in this study, P. Fretwell for providing information on Bedmap-2, and E. Rignot, J. Mouginot, and B. Scheuchl for making their SAR velocities publically available, without which this study would not have been possible. T.S. is deeply appreciative of T. Haran and M. Klinger for all of their hard work creating the NSIDC velocity maps. This work was supported by funding from the NASA Cryosphere program. The research was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and at University of
- 660 Colorado Boulder and University of Alaska Fairbanks under NASA grant NNX16AJ88G.

### References

- Allison, I., and G. Hyland (2010), Amery Ice Shelf compiled and merged ice thickness datasets edited by A. A. D. C.-C. Metadata, doi:https://data.aad.gov.au/metadata/records/AIS thickness bottom [updated 2014].
- 665 Bindschadler, R. A., et al. (2013), Ice-sheet model sensitivities to environmental forcing and their use in projecting future sea level (the SeaRISE project), *J. Glaciol.*, *59*(214), 195-224, doi:10.3189/2013JoG12J125.
  - Bindschadler, R. A., and T. A. Scambos (1991), Satellite-image-derived velocity field of an Antarctic ice stream, *Science*, 252(5003), 242-246.
- Blankenship, D. D., S. D. Kempf, and D. A. Young (2012), IceBridge HiCARS 2 L2 Geolocated Ice Thickness, Version 1, edited, NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA,
  - doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/9EBR2T0VXUDG [updated 2015].
  - Boening, C., M. Lebsock, F. Landerer, and G. Stephens (2012), Snowfall-driven mass change on the East Antarctic ice sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *39*(21), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2012GL053316.

Bouzinac, C. (2015), CryoSat Product Handbook, edited, European Space Agency

https://earth.esa.int/documents/10174/125272/CryoSat Product Handbook.

- Callens, D., K. Matsuoka, D. Steinhage, B. Smith, E. Witrant, and F. Pattyn (2014), Transition of flow regime along a marine-terminating outlet glacier in East Antarctica, *Cryosphere*, 8(3), 867-875, doi:10.5194/tc-8-867-2014.
- Callens, D., N. Thonnard, J. T. M. Lenaerts, J. M. Van Wessem, W. J. Van De Berg, K. Matsuoka, and F. Pattyn (2015), Mass balance of the Sør Rondane glacial system, East Antarctica, *Ann. Glaciol.*, *56*(70), 63-69, dxii 10.2180/2015 A = C70A.010
- 680 doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A010.

675

- Christie, F. D. W., R. G. Bingham, N. Gourmelen, S. F. B. Tett, and A. Muto (2016), Four-decade record of pervasive grounding line retreat along the Bellingshausen margin of West Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, n/a-n/a, doi:10.1002/2016GL068972.
- Chuter, S. J., A. Martín-Español, B. Wouters, and J. L. Bamber (2017), Mass balance reassessment of glaciers draining into the Abbot and Getz Ice Shelves of West Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44(14), 7328-7337, doi:10.1002/2017GL073087.
  - Cuffey, K., and W. S. B. Paterson (2010), *The physics of glaciers*, xii, 693 p., [698] p. of plates pp., Butterworth-Heinemann/Elsevier, Amsterdam; Boston.
- Depoorter, M. A., J. L. Bamber, J. A. Griggs, J. T. M. Lenaerts, S. R. M. Ligtenberg, M. R. van den Broeke, and G. Moholdt
  (2013), Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of Antarctic ice shelves, *Nature, advance online publication*, doi:10.1038/nature12567.
  - Dutrieux, P., J. De Rydt, A. Jenkins, P. R. Holland, H. K. Ha, S. H. Lee, E. J. Steig, Q. Ding, E. P. Abrahamsen, and M. Schröder (2014), Strong Sensitivity of Pine Island Ice-Shelf Melting to Climatic Variability, *Science*, *343*(6167), 174-178, doi:10.1126/science.1244341.
- 695 Enderlin, E. M., I. M. Howat, S. Jeong, M.-J. Noh, J. H. van Angelen, and M. R. van den Broeke (2014), An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *41*(3), 866-872, doi:10.1002/2013GL059010.
  - Fahnestock, M., T. Scambos, T. Moon, A. Gardner, T. Haran, and M. Klinger (2015), Rapid large-area mapping of ice flow using Landsat 8, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.11.023</u>.
- Flament, T., and F. Rémy (2012), Dynamic thinning of Antarctic glaciers from along-track repeat radar altimetry, *J. Glaciol.*, *58*(211), 830-840, doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J118.
  - Fretwell, P., et al. (2013), Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica, *The Cryosphere*, 7(1), 375-393, doi:10.5194/tc-7-375-2013.
  - Gardner, A. S., et al. (2013), A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003 to 2009, *Science*, *340*(6134), 852-857, doi:10.1126/science.1234532.
- 705 Gogineni, P. (2012), CReSIS Radar Depth Sounder Data, edited, Lawrence, Kansas, USA, doi:Digital Media, http://data.cresis.ku.edu/ [updated 2015].
  - Harig, C., and F. J. Simons (2015), Accelerated West Antarctic ice mass loss continues to outpace East Antarctic gains, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 415, 134-141, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.029</u>.
- Helm, V., A. Humbert, and H. Miller (2014), Elevation and elevation change of Greenland and Antarctica derived from CryoSat-2, *The Cryosphere*, 8(4), 1539-1559, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1539-2014.
  - Hogg, A. E., et al. (2017), Increased ice flow in Western Palmer Land linked to ocean melting, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44(9), 4159-4167, doi:10.1002/2016GL072110.
    - Hulbe, C. L., T. A. Scambos, M. Klinger, and M. A. Fahnestock (2016), Flow variability and ongoing margin shifts on Bindschadler and MacAyeal Ice Streams, West Antarctica, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, n/an/a, doi:10.1002/2015JF003670.
  - Huss, M., and D. Farinotti (2014), A high-resolution bedrock map for the Antarctic Peninsula, *The Cryosphere*, 8(4), 1261-1273, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1261-2014.
    - Jacobs, S., C. Giulivi, P. Dutrieux, E. Rignot, F. Nitsche, and J. Mouginot (2013), Getz Ice Shelf melting response to changes in ocean forcing, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(9), 4152-4168, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20298.
- 720 Jacobs, S. S., H. H. Hellmer, and A. Jenkins (1996), Antarctic Ice Sheet melting in the southeast Pacific, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23(9), 957-960, doi:10.1029/96GL00723.

- Jacobs, S. S., A. Jenkins, C. F. Giulivi, and P. Dutrieux (2011), Stronger ocean circulation and increased melting under Pine Island Glacier ice shelf, *Nature Geosci*, 4(8), 519-523, doi:http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/v4/n8/abs/ngeo1188.html - supplementary-information.
- 725 Jenkins, A., D. G. Vaughan, S. S. Jacobs, H. H. Hellmer, and J. R. Keys (1997), Glaciological and oceanographic evidence of high melt rates beneath Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, J. Glaciol., 43(143), 114-121.
  - Jeong, S., and I. M. Howat (2015), Performance of Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager for mapping ice sheet velocity, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 170, 90-101, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.08.023</u>.
- Jezek, K. C., K. Farness, R. Carande, X. Wu, and N. Labelle-Hamer (2003), RADARSAT 1 synthetic aperture radar observations of Antarctica: Modified Antarctic Mapping Mission, 2000, *Radio Science*, *38*(4), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2002RS002643.
  - Khazendar, A., C. P. Borstad, B. Scheuchl, E. Rignot, and H. Seroussi (2015), The evolving instability of the remnant Larsen B Ice Shelf and its tributary glaciers, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, *419*, 199-210, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.014.
- 735 Khazendar, A., E. Rignot, D. M. Schroeder, H. Seroussi, M. P. Schodlok, B. Scheuchl, J. Mouginot, T. C. Sutterley, and I. Velicogna (2016), Rapid submarine ice melting in the grounding zones of ice shelves in West Antarctica, *Nature Communications*, 7, 13243, doi:10.1038/ncomms13243

http://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms13243 - supplementary-information.

- King, M. A., R. J. Bingham, P. Moore, P. L. Whitehouse, M. J. Bentley, and G. A. Milne (2012), Lower satellite-gravimetry
  estimates of Antarctic sea-level contribution, *Nature*, 491(7425), 586-589,
- doi:<u>http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nature11621.html supplementary-information</u>.
  - Konrad, H., L. Gilbert, S. L. Cornford, A. Payne, A. Hogg, A. Muir, and A. Shepherd (2017), Uneven onset and pace of icedynamical imbalance in the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44(2), 910-918, doi:10.1002/2016GL070733.
- 745 Leuschen, C., P. Gogineni, F. Rodriguez-Morales, J. Paden, and C. Allen (2010), IceBridge MCoRDS L2 Ice Thickness, Version 1, edited, NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5067/GDQ0CUCVTE2Q [updated 2015].
  - Li, X., E. Rignot, M. Morlighem, J. Mouginot, and B. Scheuchl (2015), Grounding line retreat of Totten Glacier, East Antarctica, 1996 to 2013, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42(19), 8049-8056, doi:10.1002/2015GL065701.
- 750 Li, X., E. Rignot, J. Mouginot, and B. Scheuchl (2016), Ice flow dynamics and mass loss of Totten Glacier, East Antarctica, from 1989 to 2015, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(12), 6366-6373, doi:10.1002/2016GL069173.
  - Ligtenberg, S. R. M., M. M. Helsen, and M. R. van den Broeke (2011), An improved semi-empirical model for the densification of Antarctic firn, *The Cryosphere*, 5(4), 809-819, doi:10.5194/tc-5-809-2011.
- Ligtenberg, S. R. M., P. Kuipers Munneke, and M. R. van den Broeke (2014), Present and future variations in Antarctic firn air content, *The Cryosphere*, 8(5), 1711-1723, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1711-2014.
  - Macgregor, J. A., G. A. Catania, M. S. Markowski, and A. G. Andrews (2012), Widespread rifting and retreat of ice-shelf margins in the eastern Amundsen Sea Embayment between 1972 and 2011, *J. Glaciol.*, 58(209), 458-466, doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J262.
- Martín-Español, A., et al. (2016), Spatial and temporal Antarctic Ice Sheet mass trends, glacio-isostatic adjustment, and surface processes from a joint inversion of satellite altimeter, gravity, and GPS data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 121(2), 182-200, doi:10.1002/2015JF003550.
  - McMillan, M., A. Shepherd, A. Sundal, K. Briggs, A. Muir, A. Ridout, A. Hogg, and D. Wingham (2014), Increased ice losses from Antarctica detected by CryoSat-2, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41(11), 2014GL060111, doi:10.1002/2014GL060111.
- 765 Morlighem, M., E. Rignot, H. Seroussi, E. Larour, H. Ben Dhia, and D. Aubry (2011), A mass conservation approach for mapping glacier ice thickness, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38(19), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2011GL048659.
  - Mouginot, J., E. Rignot, and B. Scheuchl (2014), Sustained increase in ice discharge from the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica, from 1973 to 2013, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41(5), 1576-1584, doi:10.1002/2013GL059069.
- Mouginot, J., E. Rignot, B. Scheuchl, and R. Millan (2017), Comprehensive Annual Ice Sheet Velocity Mapping Using Landsat-8, Sentinel-1, and RADARSAT-2 Data, *Remote Sensing*, 9(4), 364.
  - 23

- Nilsson, J., A. Gardner, L. Sandberg Sørensen, and R. Forsberg (2016), Improved retrieval of land ice topography from CryoSat-2 data and its impact for volume-change estimation of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 10(6), 2953-2969, doi:10.5194/tc-10-2953-2016.
- Padman, L., S. Y. Erofeeva, and H. A. Fricker (2008), Improving Antarctic tide models by assimilation of ICESat laser
  altimetry over ice shelves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35(22).
  - Paolo, F. S., H. A. Fricker, and L. Padman (2015), Volume loss from Antarctic ice shelves is accelerating, *Science*, 348(6232), 327-331, doi:10.1126/science.aaa0940.
    - Paragios, N., Y. Chen, and O. D. Faugeras (2006), *Handbook of mathematical models in computer vision*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- 780 Pattyn, F. (2010), Antarctic subglacial conditions inferred from a hybrid ice sheet/ice stream model, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 295(3–4), 451-461, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.04.025</u>.
  - Pritchard, H. D., R. J. Arthern, D. G. Vaughan, and L. A. Edwards (2009), Extensive dynamic thinning on the margins of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, *Nature*, *461*(7266), 971-975.
- Pritchard, H. D., S. R. M. Ligtenberg, H. A. Fricker, D. G. Vaughan, M. R. van den Broeke, and L. Padman (2012),
  Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice shelves, *Nature*, 484(7395), 502-505,
  doi:http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v484/n7395/abs/nature10968.html supplementary-information.
  - Rignot, E. (2006), Changes in ice dynamics and mass balance of the Antarctic ice sheet, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 364*(1844), 1637-1655, doi:10.1098/rsta.2006.1793.
- 790 Rignot, E., S. Jacobs, J. Mouginot, and B. Scheuchl (2013), Ice-Shelf Melting Around Antarctica, Science, 341(6143), 266-270, doi:10.1126/science.1235798.
  - Rignot, E., J. Mouginot, M. Morlighem, H. Seroussi, and B. Scheuchl (2014), Widespread, rapid grounding line retreat of Pine Island, Thwaites, Smith, and Kohler glaciers, West Antarctica, from 1992 to 2011, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41(10), 3502-3509, doi:10.1002/2014GL060140.
- 795 Rignot, E., J. Mouginot, and B. Scheuchl (2011a), Ice Flow of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, *Science*, *333*(6048), 1427-1430, doi:10.1126/science.1208336.
  - Rignot, E., and R. H. Thomas (2002), Mass balance of Polar ice sheets, Science, 297(5586), 1502-1506.
- Rignot, E., I. Velicogna, M. R. van den Broeke, A. Monaghan, and J. Lenaerts (2011b), Acceleration of the contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to sea level rise, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38(5), L05503, doi:10.1029/2011gl046583.
- Scambos, T. A., E. Berthier, T. Haran, C. A. Shuman, A. J. Cook, S. R. M. Ligtenberg, and J. Bohlander (2014), Detailed ice loss pattern in the northern Antarctic Peninsula: widespread decline driven by ice front retreats, *The Cryosphere*, 8(6), 2135-2145, doi:10.5194/tc-8-2135-2014.
- Scambos, T. A., M. J. Dutkiewicz, J. C. Wilson, and R. A. Bindschadler (1992), Application of Image Cross-Correlation to the Measurement of Glacier Velocity Using Satellite Image Data, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 42(3), 177-186.
- Scambos, T. A., T. M. Haran, M. A. Fahnestock, T. H. Painter, and J. Bohlander (2007), MODIS-based Mosaic of Antarctica (MOA) data sets: Continent-wide surface morphology and snow grain size, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 111(2–3), 242-257, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.12.020</u>.
- Scheuchl, B., J. Mouginot, and E. Rignot (2012), Ice velocity changes in the Ross and Ronne sectors observed using satellite
  radar data from 1997 and 2009, *The Cryosphere*, 6(5), 1019-1030, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1019-2012.
- Scheuchl, B., J. Mouginot, E. Rignot, M. Morlighem, and A. Khazendar (2016), Grounding line retreat of Pope, Smith, and Kohler Glaciers, West Antarctica, measured with Sentinel-1a radar interferometry data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(16), 8572-8579, doi:10.1002/2016GL069287.
- Shepherd, A., et al. (2012), A Reconciled Estimate of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance, *Science*, *338*(6111), 1183-1189, doi:10.1126/science.1228102.
  - Smith, J. A., et al. (2017), Sub-ice-shelf sediments record history of twentieth-century retreat of Pine Island Glacier, *Nature*, 541(7635), 77-80, doi:10.1038/nature20136.

Snyder, J. P. (1987), Map projections: A working manual, Report Rep. 1395, Washington, D.C.

Thomson, J., and A. Cooper (1993), The SCAR Antarctic digital topographic database, Antarctic Science, 5(03), 239-244.

- 820 Van Liefferinge, B., and F. Pattyn (2013), Using ice-flow models to evaluate potential sites of million year-old ice in Antarctica, *Clim. Past*, 9(5), 2335-2345, doi:10.5194/cp-9-2335-2013.
  - van Wessem, J. M., et al. (2016), The modelled surface mass balance of the Antarctic Peninsula at 5.5 km horizontal resolution, *The Cryosphere*, *10*(1), 271-285, doi:10.5194/tc-10-271-2016.
- van Wessem, J. M., et al. (2014), Improved representation of East Antarctic surface mass balance in a regional atmospheric climate model, *J. Glaciol.*, *60*(222), 761-770, doi:10.3189/2014J0G14J051.
  - Velicogna, I. (2009), Increasing rates of ice mass loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets revealed by GRACE, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *36*(19), L19503, doi:10.1029/2009gl040222.
  - Walker, C. C., and A. S. Gardner (2017), Rapid drawdown of Antarctica's Wordie Ice Shelf glaciers in response to ENSO/Southern Annular Mode-driven warming in the Southern Ocean, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 476(Supplement C), 100-110, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.08.005.
  - Watkins, M. M., D. N. Wiese, D.-N. Yuan, C. Boening, and F. W. Landerer (2015), Improved methods for observing Earth's time variable mass distribution with GRACE using spherical cap mascons, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 120(4), 2648-2671, doi:10.1002/2014JB011547.
- Wouters, B., A. Martin-Español, V. Helm, T. Flament, J. M. van Wessem, S. R. M. Ligtenberg, M. R. van den Broeke, and
  J. L. Bamber (2015), Dynamic thinning of glaciers on the Southern Antarctic Peninsula, *Science*, *348*(6237), 899-903, doi:10.1126/science.aaa5727.
  - Zwally, H. J., B. M. Giovinetto, M. A. Beckley, and J. L. Saba (2002), Antarctic and Greenland Drainage Systems, *GSFC Cryospheric Sciences Laboratory, at*
  - http://icesat4.gsfc.nasa.gov/cryo\_data/ant\_grn\_drainage\_systems.php. .

840

## **Figures:**



Figure 1: Comparison between JPL JPL-auto-RIFT Weighted Average, NSIDC LISA 125m and Rignot et al. (2011) surface velocities. Top row shows Antarctic-wide velocities, middle row shows close-ups of the Hektoria Glacier, located on the Eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula for spatial detail, and the bottom row shows valid image pair velocity counts and their inter quartile range for the auto-RIFT W15 mosaic. Formal errors produced by auto-RIFT are unrealistically low so we use the IQR as a conservative estimate of the per-pixel random error.



850 Figure 2: Antarctic Ice Sheet Velocities overlain on the MODIS Mosaic of Antarctica (*Scambos et al.*, 2007). Areas of imposed zero change in velocity are shown in cyan. Areas of prescribed zero surface velocity (rock outcrops) are shown in red as defined according to the Antarctic Digital Database (www.add.scar.org).



Figure 3: Radio-echo sounding data used to compile flux gates FG1 and FG2. An overview of the use and of each data set and their references are provided in Table 1.



860 Figure 4: <u>Histograms of il</u>ce equivalent thickness (a), uncertainty in ice equivalent thickness (b), year of ice thickness measurement (c), Firn Air Content (d), uncertainty in Firn Air Content (e), surface velocity (f), change rate of ice equivalent thickness (g), and uncertainty in change rate of ice equivalent thickness (h) for GL0, FG1 and FG2 flux gates. <u>The y-axis is the percentage of flux nodes that fall within each histogram bin. Y-axis is fraction of nodes by count.</u>



865 Figure 5: Error in total Antarctic discharge (relative to best estimate) when velocity and ice thickness are averaged for increasing along\_flux\_gate resolutions prior to computing flux.



870 Figure 6: surface elevation change for the period 2011 to 2015. Flux gate FG2 shown with blue dashed line and GL0 shown with heavy black line.



Figure 7: Change in flux across FG1 flux gate (shown with green line, see methods) for the 27 basins defined by Zwally et al. 875 (2002) calculated by differencing the pan-Antarctic SAR mapping of Rignot et al. (2011a, circa-2008) with 6 different Landsat 8 velocity mappings (M14/15 = JPL median of all 2014/15 image pairs, and W14/15 = JPL weighted average of all 2014/15 image pairs. L750 = NSIDC 750 m average of all 2014-15 image pairs, L125 = NSIDC 125 m average of all 2014-15 image pairs. Basins 2, 17, 18 are complimented with differences in 1997 and 2009 SAR velocities poleward of 82.5 degrees south (Scheuchl et al., 2012). Much of the difference between velocity mappings can be attributed to product errors. W15 has the lowest uncertainties (used in 880 this study), followed by M15 then W14 and M14 with the LISA products having the highest uncertainties (See Figure A2).



Figure 8: 2015 Antarctic ice sheet surface velocities shown in log-scale determined from feature tracking of >200,000 Landsat image pairs. Glacier and Ice Streams discussed in text labeled with black numbering: (1) Alison, (2) Berry, (3) Bindschadler, (4) Bond, (5) Evans, (6) Ferrigno, (7) Flask, (8) Fox, (9) Haynes, (10) Hull, (11) Kohler, (12) Land, (13) Leppard, (14) MacAyeal, (15) Pine Isl., (16) Pope, (17) Prospect, (18) Rutford, (19) Seller, (20) Slessor, (21) Smith, (22) Stancomb-Wills, (23) Totten, and (24) Twaites. Ice Shelves labeled with white numbering 1 Amery: (2) Filchner, (3) Fimbul, (4) George VI, (5) Getz, (6) Moscow U., (7)

Riiser-Larsen, (8) Ronne, (9) Ross, (10) Scar Inlet, and (10) Sulzberger.



Figure 9: Change in surface velocities between date of pan-Antarctic SAR mapping (*Rignot et al.*, 2011a, circa-2008) and new 2015 velocity mapping produced here from feature tracking of Landsat 8 imagery. Change in velocities shown for grounded ice only. Missing data shown in white and the 27 basin boundaries defined by Zwally et al. (2002) are shown in black.



Figure 10: Mass budget and change in discharge for the 27 basins defined by Zwally et al. (2002). Mass budget is calculated as<br/>described in Table 2 using 2008-15 average surface mass balance in the main and inset figures, respectively. Change in discharges<br/>(circles) calculated by differencing the pan-Antarctic SAR mapping of Rignot et al. (2011a, circa-2008) with weighted average of<br/>all 2015 image-pairs displacements supplemented with 2009 SAR velocities to fill missing Landsat coverage poleward of 82.5<br/>degrees south (*Scheuchl et al.*, 2012) with a correction for acquisition time differences to provide an estimate of total discharge for<br/>the interior basins (2, 17 and 18; see Table 2). Flux gates FG1 and FG2 are shown with solid green and dashed blue lines<br/>respectively.

# Tables:

Data set	GL0	FGI	FG2	Reference
IceBridge MCoRDS-2	5.3 %	16.1 %	31.5 %	Leuschen et al. 2010
IceBridge HiCARS-2	2.1 %	5.4 %	20.2 %	Blankenship et al. 2012
CReSIS	0.4 %	0.3 %	0.3 %	Gogineni 2012
BAS-surveys	1.7 %	7.4 %	9.0 %	https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/data/aerogeo/
AADC-Amery	2.6 %	2.5 %	12.1 %	Allison and Hylland 2010
BELARE/AWI	0.1 %	2.4 %	4.1 %	Callens et al. 2014; 2015
Bedmap-2 data cell	6.9 %	8.3 %	18.3 %	Fretwell et al2013 {, 2013 #222;Fretwell,
				2013 #1951}
Sum	19.2 %	42.4%	95.6 %	

Table 1: Data sources and percentages for radio-echo sounding data used to compile flux gates.

Table 2: Surface area, cross sectional area for flux gate FG2, discharge corrected for dynamic volume change and surface mass balance between flux gate FG2 and the grounding line, basal melting, surface mass balance (SMB) and net mass balance for the 27 basins defined by Zwally et al. (2002). Cumulative numbers are provided for the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS: B2-17), the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS: B1, B18-23), and the Antarctic Peninsula (AP: B24-B27). Basal melt rates are from Van Liefferinge

920

and Pattyn (2013) and calculated according to Pattyn (2010). SMB is calculated using the RACMO2.3 regional climate model at 5.5 km (*van Wessem et al.*, 2016) resolution over the Antarctic Peninsula and 27 km elsewhere (*van Wessem et al.*, 2014) and averaged over the 2008-2015 period. The net mass balance is calculated as the 2008-2015 SMB minus the average rate of discharge minus basal melt. Net mass balance for the Northern Antarctic Peninsula (Basins 25 and 26) is not determined using cacluated discharge and SMB because of Due to large and poorly constrained uncertainties in ice thickness and modeled SMB. Instead they net mass balance for Basins 25<sup>±</sup> and 26<sup>±</sup> are determined by undating the mass balance of Scambos et al. 2014 with

925 net mass balance for Basins 25<sup>±</sup> and 26<sup>±</sup> are determined by updating the mass balance estimate of Scambos et al. 2014 with changes in discharge determined here (see Appendix B). Discharge for 2008 is derived from Rignot et al. (2011) and for 2015 from the mean of <u>the JPL 2015 error weighted</u> Landsat 8 velocity mapping.

	area	flux gate	disch	narge [Gt yr	-1]	basal melt	basal melt SMB [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ] net ı		mass change		
basin	km²	km <sup>2</sup>	2008	2015	Δ	Gt yr⁻¹	2008-15	Gt yr <sup>-1</sup>	kg m⁻² yr⁻¹		
1	474800	987 ± 53	110 ± 8	112 ± 7	2 ± 3	3 ± 0	121 ± 24	7 ± 25	16 ± 54		
2	765400	305 ± 33	48 ± 6	47 ± 4	-1 ± 4	3 ± 1 52 ± 10 2 ± 12		2 ± 12	2 ± 16		
3	1556600	213 ± 18	59 ± 4	60 ± 4	1 ± 2	5 ± 2	74 ± 15	9 ± 15	6 ± 10		
4	241200	351 ± 55	41 ± 8	43 ± 7	2 ± 3	1 ± 0	45 ± 9	2 ± 12	8 ± 50		
5	185300	196 ± 30	30 ± 5	31 ± 4	1 ± 2	1 ± 0	36 ± 7	5 ± 9	26 ± 47		
6	607700	501 ± 59	60 ± 7	60 ± 6	-1 ± 3	3 ± 0	81 ± 16	17 ± 17	28 ± 29		
7	492500	495 ± 62	68 ± 8	70 ± 8	2 ± 2	2 ± 0	93 ± 19	23 ± 20	46 ± 41		
8	161200	277 ± 32	17 ± 4	18 ± 3	1 ± 2	1 ± 0	36 ± 7	18 ± 8	111 ± 50		
9	146000	219 ± 18	17 ± 3	16 ± 2	-1 ± 2	1 ± 0	17 ± 3	0 ± 5	-1 ± 31		
10	919300	55 ± 5	34 ± 4	33 ± 3	-1 ± 2	3 ± 1	42 ± 8	6 ± 9	6 ± 10		
11	255200	187 ± 14	13 ± 3	12 ± 2	-1 ± 2	1 ± 1	16 ± 3 1 ± 4		6 ± 17		
12	727100	610 ± 74	102 ± 11	$101 \pm 10$	0 ± 3	5 ± 1	128 ± 26	21 ± 28	29 ± 38		
13	1130800	667 ± 50	226 ± 19	223 ± 18	-2 ± 5	7 ± 1	201 ± 40	-31 ± 45	-27 ± 39		
14	718500	714 ± 48	130 ± 10	130 ± 10	0 ± 3	5 ± 1	125 ± 25	-10 ± 27	-14 ± 38		
15	123800	190 ± 11	26 ± 6	26 ± 5	0 ± 2	1 ± 0	25 ± 5	-2 ± 8	-16 ± 62		
16	262000	159 ± 13	13 ± 2	14 ± 2	0 ± 2	1 ± 0	10 ± 2	-5 ± 3	-18 ± 12		
17	1825800	646 ± 51	67 ± 8	67 ± 7	-1 ± 3	5 ± 2	78 ± 16	5 ± 18	3 ± 10		
18	261400	125 ± 16	9 ± 3	8 ± 2	-1 ± 2	2 ± 1	23 ± 5	13 ± 5	49 ± 21		
19	367700	258 ± 34	44 ± 6	45 ± 6	1 ± 2	3 ± 1	37 ± 7	-11 ± 10	-30 ± 26		
20	180100	490 ± 54	171 ± 15	183 ± 14	12 ± 4	2 ± 0	112 ± 22	-67 ± 27	-375 ± 149		
21	207500	179 ± 12	180 ± 12	189 ± 12	9 ± 4	2 ± 1	98 ± 20	-89 ± 23	-428 ± 111		
22	210200	112 ± 7	127 ± 8	134 ± 8	7 ± 2	2 ± 0	84 ± 17	-49 ± 19	-231 ± 89		
23	74600	249 ± 20	83 ± 8	83 ± 7	0 ± 3	1 ± 0	65 ± 13	-18 ± 15	-242 ± 204		
24	100600	211 ± 15	94 ± 7	95 ± 7	2 ± 3	1 ± 0	86 ± 17	-9 ± 19	-94 ± 186		
25*	34700	78 ± 15	88 ± 13	91 ± 12	4±5	$0 \pm 0$	100 ± 20	-10 ± 21	-297 ± 605		
26*	42000	116 ± 12	23 ± 4	25 ± 3	2 ± 2	$1 \pm 0$	29 ± 6	-17 ± 7	-406 ± 174		
27	52000	89 ± 9	12 ± 3	12 ± 2	0 ± 2	0 ± 0	18 ± 4	6 ± 5	120 ± 88		
EAIS	10118500	5786 ± 165	952 ± 31	952 ± 29	-1 ± 11	45 ± 4	1058 ± 66	61 ± 73	6 ± 7		
WAIS	1776200	2400 ± 88	724 ± 24	754 ± 23	30 ± 8	16 ± 1	541 ± 45	-214 ± 51	-120 ± 29		
AP	229200	493 ± 26	217 ± 15	223 ± 14	7±6	2 ± 0	234 ± 27	-31 ± 29	-133 ± 128		
All	12123900	8679 ± 189	1894 ± 43	1929 ± 40	36 ± 15	63 ± 4	1834 ± 84	-183 ± 94	-15 ± 8		

### **Appendix A: Uncertainty Quantification**

### **1.1A Ice Discharge**

The uncertainty in flux estimates were calculated for each of the 27 basins as:

935

$$\sigma F = \sqrt{\sigma F_H^2 + \sigma F_{dH}^2 + \sigma F_V^2 + \sigma F_{SMB}^2 + \sigma F_{dV_{dyn}/dt}^2 + \sigma F_{bm}^2} + \sigma F_{\bar{V}}$$

where  $\sigma F_H$  is due to uncertainties in ice-equivalent thickness,  $\sigma F_{dH}$  and due to uncertainties in the change of ice-equivalent thickness between the measurement times of ice thickness and surface velocity, and  $\sigma F_V$  is due to uncertainties in measured velocity  $\sigma F_{\overline{V}}$  is due to the assumption that the depth averaged velocity ( $\overline{V}$ ) is equal to the surface velocity and is added as a bias (outside of the quadrature sum) to both sides of the error envelope for simplicity.  $\sigma F_{dV_{dyn}/dt}$ ,  $\sigma F_{SMB}$  and  $\sigma F_{bm}$  are uncertainties introduced by dynamic volume change, surface mass balance, and basal melt –corrections applied between the flux gate the true grounding line.  $\sigma F_{dV_{dyn}/dt}$  was taken to be 0.1 m yr<sup>-1</sup> for surfaces moving faster than 200 m yr<sup>-1</sup>.  $\sigma F_{SMB}$ was taken to be 20% of the SMB. Uncertainties in flux resulting from uncertainties in ice thickness, changes in ice thickness and surface velocity were propagated assuming a conservative correlation length along the flux gate as follows:

945

940

$$\sigma F_H = \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n_H} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_H} \sigma H_i W_i V_i\right)^2}$$

$$\sigma F_{dH} = \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n_{dH}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{dH}} \sigma \frac{dH}{dt_i} dt_i W_i V_i\right)^2}$$

$$\sigma F_V = \sqrt{\sum_{1}^{n_V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_V} \sigma V_i W_i H_i\right)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{nn} \sigma V_{0i} W_i H_i$$

950

Where *m* is the number of point estimates of flux (x) for each correlation length distance along the flux gate and *n* is the number of discrete uncorrelated lengths for each basin for measurements of ice thickness (*H*), changes in ice thickness (*dH*) and the surface velocity normal to the flux gate (*V*). Uncertainties in ice thickness ( $\sigma H_i$ ) are taken as the RSS of the

thickness estimate and the FAC. Uncertainties in changes in ice thickness ( $\sigma \frac{dH}{dt}$ ) are determined as the RSS of uncertainty

- 955 due to changes in FAC and surface elevation. dt is the difference in time between the measurement of ice thickness and the measurement of surface velocity.  $\sigma F_V$  is modeled using a velocity uncertainty component  $\sigma V_0$  that is fully correlated at lengths smaller than an estimated correlation length and uncorrelated at larger lengths ( $\sigma V$ ). Comparing Landsat and SAR velocities measured at flux gate nodes for basins with minimal change in ice discharge (B1-19 & B27), i.e. where velocity differences are assumed to be indicative measurement uncertainty, we were able to model the observed RMSE between
- 960 Landsat and SAR observations (Figure A2) setting  $\sigma V_0 = 3 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  and  $\sigma V = 1 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  with a correlation length of 1000 km for both the SAR and Landsat mappings. Uncertainties in velocities can be as high as 20-30 m yr<sup>-1</sup> locally but are largely uncorrelated at basin scales. There is insufficient data to determine rigorous estimates of the correlation lengths for ice thickness, change in ice thickness, and surface velocity, all of which are likely spatially variable. Instead we took a conservative approach and assigned a correlation length of 1000 km to all three measurements.

965



Figure A2: RMSE of the Landsat component of velocity that is normal to the flux gate cross section at FG1 (a) and FG2 (b) flux nodes relative to ~2008 SAR velocities (Rignot et al., 2011) as a function of averaging distance (L). MOD is the modeled uncertainty assuming a fully-correlated uncertainty of 1 m yr<sup>-1</sup> plus a 3 m yr<sup>-1</sup> uncertainty that is uncorrelated at distances greater than 1000 km.

When calculating ice flux we assumed that the surface velocity was equal to the depth-averaged velocity. This approach neglects vertical gradients in ice velocity that result from the stress-dependent plastic deformation (creep) of ice. Since surface velocities are always larger than the depth averaged velocity this introduced a positive bias into our estimates of ice flux. Neglecting sliding and assuming a depth constant creep parameter (*A*) the depth-averaged velocity is 80% of the

surface velocity (*Cuffey and Paterson*, 2010). Assuming parallel flow and a linear increase in shear stress with depth, the surface velocity due to creep (Vs) can be calculated as follows:

$$Vs = \frac{2A}{1+n}t_b^n H$$

980

where n is the creep exponent, H is the ice thickness, and t<sub>b</sub> is the driving stress at the bed. n is typically assumed to be 3 and so is done here. t<sub>b</sub> is calculated using the surface slope and ice depth (*Cuffey and Paterson*, 2010). The creep parameter A (Figure A3a) is taken from Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM) output generated as part of the Sea-level Response to Ice Sheet Evolution (SeaRISE) experiments (*Bindschadler et al.*, 2013). We calculated surface slope from a CryoSat-2 DEM that was smoothed at a scale of several times the ice thickness (20 km). Ice thickness was taken from Bedmap-2 (*Fretwell et al.*, 2013). *Vs* varied between 0 m yr<sup>-1</sup> at the ice divides to 10 m yr<sup>-1</sup> in steeply sloped outlet glaciers near the coast (Figure A3b). We considered 20% of V<sub>s</sub> to be the upper bound of the bias introduced into our flux estimates due to vertical gradients in the velocity field (*σF<sub>x</sub>*), calculated as:

$$\sigma F_{\overline{V}} = 0.2 \sum_{x=1}^{nn} V s_i W_i H_i$$

990

where *nn* is the number nodes along the basin flux gate. This is an upper bound scenario, as *A* increases rapidly with temperature, and ice sheet temperature is typically at a maximum near the bed. This results in a higher concentration of shear deformation near the base of the ice sheet than inferred from a depth-constant *A*.

995 Uncertainties in flux estimates were assumed to be uncorrelated between basins. <u>A detailed</u> <u>accounting of each flux</u> term and their associated error is provided in Tables A1 through A3. Table A1 provides detailed breakdown for the total discharge calculated using *FG2* as the flux gate. This approach produces the discharge estimate with the lowest error and is the approach used in the main manuscript. For comparison, Tables A2 and A3 provide detailed breakdowns for the total discharge calculated using *FG1* and *GL0*, respectively.



Figure A3: Creep parameter (A: s<sup>-1</sup> Pa<sup>-3</sup>) shown in log scale (a). Estimated surface velocity due to ice creep  $(U_s)$ .

Table A1: Detailed breakdown of total discharge estimate (D) presented in Table 2 using JPL auto-RIFT 2015 weighted average velocity (W15), FG2 flux gate and  $GL\theta$  grounding line. Surface areas are for the total basin area upstream of the grounding line, flux gate and the area between the flux gate and the grounding line. F is the total flux across the flux gate. SMB, BM,  $dV_{dyn}/dt$  are the surface mass balance, basal melt and dynamic volume change integrated over the area between the flux gate and their propagation are describe in Section 1.1A.

ID	surf	ace area [k	(m²]	length [km]	] flux through <i>FG2</i> [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]					additional flux between FG2 and GL0 [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]						total dischage [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]		
basin	GL0	FG2	GL0 - FG2	FG2	F	σF <sub>H</sub>	σF <sub>dH</sub>	σFv	$\sigma F_{V_{bar}}$	σF	SMB	σF <sub>SMB</sub>	BM	$\sigma F_{BM}$	dV <sub>dyn</sub> /dt	$\sigma F_{dVdyn/dt}$	D	σD
1	474821	463896	10926	1170	108.8	5.6	0.3	3.3	0.2	6.8	2.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	111.7	6.8
2	765381	757558	7824	359	46.0	3.2	0.0	1.8	0.1	3.7	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	47.1	3.7
3	1556551	1545527	11024	253	58.1	3.4	0.1	1.6	0.0	3.8	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	59.8	3.8
4	241158	226961	14197	680	39.5	7.0	0.4	2.0	0.1	7.4	3.8	0.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.2	43.3	7.4
5	185337	182253	3084	415	29.3	4.0	0.4	1.5	0.1	4.4	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	30.8	4.4
6	607737	583513	24224	740	53.8	5.3	0.2	2.4	0.2	6.0	6.0	1.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.2	59.7	6.1
7	492518	451677	40841	799	49.1	5.9	0.3	2.4	0.2	6.6	20.6	4.1	-0.4	0.0	-0.3	0.6	69.9	7.9
8	161243	144458	16785	485	11.9	1.9	0.2	1.8	0.1	2.7	6.2	1.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.5	0.1	17.7	3.0
9	146003	140392	5612	386	15.0	1.1	0.2	1.6	0.1	2.0	1.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	16.4	2.1
10	919320	918041	1278	56	33.0	2.9	0.2	1.2	0.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	33.1	3.2
11	255178	249424	5753	275	11.9	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.7	2.4	0.4	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	12.3	2.4
12	727088	698205	28883	882	89.0	8.8	0.6	2.7	1.0	10.2	11.0	2.2	-0.6	0.0	0.7	0.8	101.2	10.5
13	1130843	1095837	35006	804	192.7	15.9	0.9	2.8	1.4	17.5	27.5	5.5	-0.7	0.0	2.5	1.0	223.3	18.5
14	718511	667028	51483	734	102.2	6.7	0.5	3.0	0.5	7.9	26.9	5.4	-0.8	0.0	0.1	0.8	130.0	9.7
15	123780	14735	109044	187	1.3	0.1	0.0	1.5	0.1	1.7	24.5	4.9	-0.5	0.1	0.2	0.4	26.4	5.3
16	262005	236067	25937	339	10.7	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.0	1.6	2.9	0.6	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	13.7	1.7
17	1825799	1667954	157845	775	46.0	3.8	0.2	2.8	0.4	5.2	22.9	4.6	-0.4	0.0	-2.3	1.8	67.0	7.3
18	261357	234457	26900	185	7.4	1.1	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.7	2.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	-1.9	1.2	7.5	2.2
19	367678	347019	20659	353	42.9	5.6	0.1	1.7	0.0	5.8	2.4	0.5	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	45.5	5.9
20	180072	139929	40143	714	140.6	11.4	0.9	2.3	0.5	12.2	33.9	6.8	-0.5	0.0	8.2	2.5	183.2	14.3
21	207491	203265	4227	276	180.8	11.1	0.7	1.5	0.2	11.4	4.7	0.9	-0.1	0.0	3.7	1.1	189.3	11.5
22	210237	206351	3886	177	130.9	7.8	0.3	1.3	0.0	7.9	2.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	134.5	7.9
23	74562	53294	21269	396	60.6	5.1	0.6	1.7	0.3	5.7	20.2	4.0	-0.3	0.0	1.5	0.5	82.5	7.0
24	100567	93479	7088	429	86.3	5.9	0.5	1.6	0.2	6.3	7.5	1.5	-0.1	0.0	1.3	0.4	95.2	6.5
25	34657	18312	16345	475	34.4	3.5	0.8	1.2	0.2	3.9	54.9	11.0	-0.1	0.0	2.0	0.6	91.4	11.8
26	42025	30162	11863	469	17.3	1.7	0.4	1.3	0.5	2.7	7.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	24.7	3.1
27	51962	40319	11643	297	6.9	0.6	0.1	1.2	0.0	1.4	5.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	1.7
Total	12123881	11410110	713770	13108	1606.3	31.8	2.2	10.2	2.2	35.2	301.5	17.9	-5.3	0.1	16.1	4.1	1929.2	39.9

# **Table A2: Same as Table A1 but using the** *FG1* **for the flux gate.**

ID	surf	ace area [k	m²]	length [km]	] flux through <i>FG1</i> [Gt yr-1]					additional flux between FG1 and GL0 [Gt yr-1]						total dischage [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]		
basin	GLO	FG1	GLO - FG1	- FG1	F	σF <sub>H</sub>	$\sigma F_{dH}$	$\sigma F_V$	$\sigma F_{V_{bar}}$	σF	SMB	σF <sub>SMB</sub>	ВМ	$\sigma F_{BM}$	dV <sub>dyn</sub> /dt	σF <sub>dVdyn/dt</sub>	D	σD
1	474821	466855	7967	1287	109.8	5.3	0.3	3.2	0.2	6.5	1.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	111.7	6.5
2	765381	761534	3847	370	46.9	2.9	0.1	1.9	0.0	3.6	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	47.5	3.6
3	1556551	1553115	3437	299	59.6	3.8	0.1	1.6	0.0	4.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	60.0	4.2
4	241158	239208	1950	843	44.5	10.7	0.5	1.9	0.0	10.9	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.1	10.9
5	185337	184737	600	489	30.4	4.6	0.4	1.6	0.1	5.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	5.0
6	607737	604178	3559	1080	59.0	9.1	0.2	2.3	0.1	9.4	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.1	59.7	9.4
7	492518	492159	359	1253	45.1	23.0	1.4	1.6	0.0	23.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.3	23.1
8	161243	160984	259	554	14.8	7.3	0.4	1.4	0.0	7.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.9	7.5
9	146003	145979	24	466	14.5	2.0	0.1	1.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	2.7
10	919320	919149	171	36	37.3	3.6	0.1	1.2	0.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.3	4.0
11	255178	255033	145	333	9.0	1.3	0.1	1.6	0.8	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	2.8
12	727088	726521	567	1072	124.6	38.6	1.8	2.0	0.1	38.8	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	124.9	38.8
13	1130843	1125684	5159	1005	202.3	35.7	2.0	2.6	1.1	36.9	4.1	0.8	-0.1	0.0	1.3	0.4	207.8	36.9
14	718511	716677	1834	1129	134.0	24.6	1.6	2.2	0.1	24.8	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	135.3	24.8
15	123780	123620	160	1102	19.7	14.0	0.4	1.7	0.7	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.7	14.8
16	262005	261418	587	554	7.2	1.7	0.2	1.2	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	2.1
17	1825799	1823861	1938	1235	53.8	10.9	0.3	2.1	0.1	11.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.1	53.9	11.2
18	261357	259869	1488	444	10.6	2.0	0.1	1.6	0.0	2.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	10.6	2.6
19	367678	366585	1094	419	44.9	6.2	0.1	1.7	0.0	6.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	45.0	6.4
20	180072	173181	6891	1382	146.9	23.4	1.1	2.1	0.4	23.9	7.4	1.5	-0.2	0.0	6.1	1.8	160.6	24.0
21	207491	205221	2271	326	181.9	11.9	0.8	1.5	0.2	12.2	2.3	0.5	-0.1	0.0	3.7	1.1	188.0	12.3
22	210237	208363	1874	219	132.1	8.4	0.4	1.3	0.0	8.6	1.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	134.2	8.6
23	74562	72800	1763	881	78.2	17.3	1.1	1.9	0.0	17.4	2.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	81.6	17.5
24	100567	97297	3271	511	88.1	8.9	0.7	1.6	0.2	9.3	2.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	92.0	9.3
25	34657	33834	823	1360	62.9	25.6	1.9	1.3	0.0	25.8	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	65.4	25.8
26	42025	41888	138	1300	26.1	7.7	0.6	1.5	0.5	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	8.4
27	51962	51562	400	703	8.9	2.4	0.1	1.5	0.0	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	2.8
Total	12123881	12071309	52572	20653	1792.9	80.3	4.6	9.5	1.7	82.0	29.3	2.0	-0.6	0.0	14.4	2.3	1837.3	82.1

# Table A3: Same as Table A1 but using the $GL\theta$ for the flux gate.

ID	surf	ace area [k	(m²]	length [km]		flux th	rough	<i>GLO</i> [0	St yr-1]		additional flux between GLO and (			d <i>GL0</i> [Gt	yr-1]	total discha	ge [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	
basin	GL0	GL0	GLO - GLO	GL0	F	σF <sub>H</sub>	$\sigma F_{dH}$	σF <sub>v</sub>	$\sigma F_{V_{bar}}$	σF	SMB	σF <sub>SMB</sub>	ВМ	$\sigma F_{BM}$	dV <sub>dyn</sub> /dt	$\sigma F_{dVdyn/dt}$	D	σD
1	474821	474821	0	1651	112.1	8.2	0.3	3.9	0.4	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	112.1	9.5
2	765381	765381	0	457	39.4	4.0	0.1	2.3	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.4	4.6
3	1556551	1556551	0	325	50.9	7.4	0.2	1.7	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	7.6
4	241158	241158	0	920	47.3	14.2	0.6	2.0	0.0	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.3	14.4
5	185337	185337	0	530	29.2	5.0	0.4	1.7	0.1	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	5.3
6	607737	607737	0	1144	58.5	13.2	0.3	2.2	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.5	13.4
7	492518	492518	0	1265	44.5	22.5	1.4	1.6	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.5	22.6
8	161243	161243	0	574	13.7	6.9	0.4	1.4	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.7	7.0
9	146003	146003	0	554	14.1	2.0	0.2	1.9	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	2.8
10	919320	919320	0	35	33.4	3.2	0.1	1.2	0.2	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.4	3.5
11	255178	255178	0	343	8.8	1.3	0.1	1.6	0.8	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	2.9
12	727088	727088	0	1144	125.7	40.2	1.9	2.1	0.1	40.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	125.7	40.4
13	1130843	1130843	0	1248	202.6	37.7	1.9	2.8	0.4	38.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	202.6	38.2
14	718511	718511	0	1162	129.7	32.4	1.8	2.1	0.0	32.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	129.7	32.6
15	123780	123780	0	1121	20.3	14.3	0.4	1.7	0.8	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	15.2
16	262005	262005	0	597	7.5	2.2	0.2	1.3	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	2.6
17	1825799	1825799	0	1288	53.3	9.9	0.3	2.2	0.1	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	10.3
18	261357	261357	0	510	10.4	2.2	0.1	1.7	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	2.8
19	367678	367678	0	540	44.6	6.9	0.2	1.9	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.6	7.1
20	180072	180072	0	1581	139.2	34.6	1.3	2.2	0.1	34.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	139.2	34.7
21	207491	207491	0	370	181.7	16.1	1.5	1.6	0.2	16.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	181.7	16.4
22	210237	210237	0	266	128.9	10.6	0.5	1.3	0.0	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	128.9	10.7
23	74562	74562	0	936	80.7	24.6	1.4	1.9	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.7	24.7
24	100567	100567	0	557	78.7	23.0	1.4	1.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.7	23.1
25	34657	34657	0	1323	62.4	35.4	2.4	1.3	0.0	35.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.4	35.5
26	42025	42025	0	1326	27.5	8.9	0.7	1.5	0.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	9.2
27	51962	51962	0	784	10.6	4.7	0.3	1.5	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.6	4.9
Total	12123881	12123881	0	22550	1755.6	98.0	5.3	10.1	1.3	99.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1755.6	99.2

### 1.2A Change in Ice Discharge

Uncertainty in flux-change estimates ( $\sigma dF$ ) are calculated as:

$$\sigma dF = \sqrt{\sigma dF_H^2 + \sigma dF_{dH}^2 + \sigma dF_V^2 + \sigma dF_{no\_data}^2}$$

1020 where  $\sigma dF_H$  is the thickness-related uncertainty and is calculated as:

$$\sigma dF_H = \sigma F_{H0} \frac{dF}{F}$$

where dF is the change in flux and F is the total flux.  $\sigma dF_{dH}$  is calculated in the same way as  $\sigma F_{dH}$  but setting dt to the time separation between repeat measurements of velocity.  $\sigma dF_v$  is the flux-change uncertainty from the measured velocity and is 1025 determined as:

$$\sigma dF_v = \sqrt{\sigma F_{v1}^2 + \sigma dF_{v2}^2}$$

where  $\sigma F_v$  is the uncertainty in flux introduced from uncertainties in surface velocity for two measurement epochs (1 & 2).  $\sigma dF_{no\_data}$  is the flux-change uncertainty introduced by the assumption of zero change in flux for areas lacking reliable 1030 repeat measurements ( $\sigma F_{no\_data}$ ) and for areas between the flux gate and the grounding line ( $\sigma F_{SMB}$ ) and is calculated as:

$$\sigma dF_{no_data} = 0.1 (\sigma F_{SMB} + \sigma F_{no_data})$$

Uncertainties in flux change estimates were assumed to be uncorrelated between basins. <u>A detailed accounting of each</u> change in flux term and their associated error is provided in Tables A4.

Table A4: Detailed breakdown of the change in discharge ( $\Delta D$ ) estimate presented in Table 2 using JPL auto-RIFT 2015 weighted average velocity (W15), ~2008 velocities from Rignot et al. 2011a, the *FG1* flux gate and *GL0* grounding line. *dF* is change in flux across the grounding line and  $ddV_{dyw}/dt$  is the change in dynamic volume change for the area between *FG1* and *GL0*. All error terms and their propagation are describe in Section 1.2A.

										additional $\Delta$ flux between		
ID	surface area [km <sup>2</sup> ]		length [km]	] $\Delta$ flux through FG1 [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]					FG1 and GL [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	total <b>A</b> disch	age [Gt yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	
basin	GL	FG1	GL - FG1	FG1	dF	σdF <sub>H</sub>	σdF <sub>dH</sub>	σdF <sub>v</sub>	σdF <sub>no_data</sub>	ddV <sub>dyn</sub> /dt	dD	σdD
1	474821	466855	7967	1287	2.0	0.1	0.6	3.0	1.1	-0.4	1.6	3.2
2	765381	761534	3847	370	-0.5	0.0	0.1	2.0	3.8	-0.3	-0.8	4.3
3	1556551	1553115	3437	299	0.8	0.0	0.4	2.1	0.1	-0.1	0.7	2.2
4	241158	239208	1950	843	2.2	0.4	1.0	2.4	0.4	0.0	2.2	2.7
5	185337	184737	600	489	0.7	0.1	0.8	2.0	0.3	0.1	0.7	2.2
6	607737	604178	3559	1080	-0.6	0.1	0.7	2.5	0.6	0.2	-0.3	2.7
7	492518	492159	359	1253	1.9	0.2	0.7	2.1	0.3	0.0	1.9	2.2
8	161243	160984	259	554	0.7	0.1	0.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.0
9	146003	145979	24	466	-0.7	0.1	0.2	2.2	0.0	-0.1	-0.8	2.2
10	919320	919149	171	36	-1.2	0.1	0.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	-1.2	1.7
11	255178	255033	145	333	-1.2	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	-1.1	2.0
12	727088	726521	567	1072	-0.3	0.0	1.3	2.8	0.4	0.0	-0.3	3.1
13	1130843	1125684	5159	1005	-2.3	0.2	2.3	3.3	2.8	0.0	-2.3	4.9
14	718511	716677	1834	1129	-0.4	0.0	1.5	2.8	0.5	0.4	0.0	3.2
15	123780	123620	160	1102	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
16	262005	261418	587	554	0.3	0.0	0.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.7
17	1825799	1823861	1938	1235	-0.5	0.0	0.4	2.1	2.5	0.2	-0.3	3.3
18	261357	259869	1488	444	-1.5	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.2	0.1	-1.4	1.9
19	367678	366585	1094	419	1.0	0.1	0.3	2.0	0.1	0.2	1.2	2.0
20	180072	173181	6891	1382	11.6	0.9	2.6	2.8	1.1	0.7	12.2	4.1
21	207491	205221	2271	326	9.4	0.6	2.8	2.1	0.2	0.1	9.5	3.6
22	210237	208363	1874	219	7.2	0.4	1.1	1.8	0.1	-0.1	7.1	2.2
23	74562	72800	1763	881	0.1	0.0	1.7	2.6	0.5	-0.1	0.0	3.2
24	100567	97297	3271	511	1.7	0.1	2.1	2.2	0.4	-0.8	0.8	3.1
25	34657	33834	823	1360	3.6	0.3	0.9	1.6	4.3	-0.6	3.0	4.7
26	42025	41888	138	1300	1.7	0.2	0.9	2.1	0.4	0.0	1.7	2.3
27	51962	51562	400	703	0.3	0.0	0.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	2.1
Total	12123881	12071309	52572	20653	35.9	1.3	6.2	11.7	7.3	-0.5	35.4	15.2

### Appendix B: Northern Antarctic Peninsula Net Mass Balance

Narrow deep fjords and steep spatial and temporal gradients in surface mass balance for the North Antarctic Peninsula (B25-

- 1045 26) introduced large and poorly characterized uncertainties into estimates of ice discharge and  $\sigma F_{dv/dt}$  that propagated to highly uncertain estimates of net mass change. For this reason, we derived our estimates of net mass change using previously published estimates from repeat surface elevation measurements that we added to our estimates of change in ice discharge. Work by Scambos et al. (2014), based on elevation changes and recent gravity work (*Harig and Simons*, 2015), suggest that the northern Antarctic Peninsula region (precise study extents vary) has seen continued mass losses at more or
- 1050 less a constant rate of 25-30 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> for the period 2003-2015; this is further supported by examination of JPL mascon (*Watkins et al.*, 2015) mass anomalies and RACMO surface mass budget anomalies (See Figure B1). Estimates based on CryoSat-2 (*McMillan et al.*, 2014) suggest a reduced mass loss for B25 and B26 (below the significance level) for the period 2010-2013, but usable data from CryoSat-2 for this rugged region are sparse.



1055

Figure B1: Rates of 2002-2014 mass change as derived from linear fits to cumulative anomalies of RACMO surface mass balance determined at 5.5 km (blue line) and 27 km (red line), and JPL V2.0 mascon anomalies (black line: grace.jpl.nasa.gov/data/get-data/jpl\_global\_mascons/) for the North Antarctic Peninsula. Surface mass balance and JPL mascon anomalies were integrated for the the 7 mascons overlapping the Northern Antarctic Peninsula (4324, 4325, 4372, 4373, 4374, 4415, 4416). For plotting purposes the surface mass balance anomalies were determined relative to the 1979-2003 mean. JPL mascons are corrected for changes in solid earth using the glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) correction modeled by Geruo A and John Wahr. *This figure is provided to support the argument for a relatively steady rate of North Antarctic Peninsula mass change between the 2003 and 2015 and not to support the magnitude of that change which is sensitive to the choice of the model used for the GIA correction.* 

To estimate the net mass balance for basins B25 and B26, we used estimates of glacier mass loss determined from repeat elevation measurements for the 2003-2011 period as a starting point (*Scambos et al.*, 2014). Since this study was restricted to areas north of 66°S, we added our estimate of change in ice discharge south of 66°S (6 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>: <u>Table 2</u>Table 2) to estimate

the basin-wide net mass balances for 2008-2015. The uncertainty in the net budget was calculated as the RSS of the uncertainty in the basin estimate of change in discharge, the uncertainty in the net balance estimated in Scambos et al.

(2014), and the uncertainty in the surface mass budget. Basin totals and uncertainties are provided in Table 2. 1070