# **Response to reviewer #1**

#### **General comments:**

#### Reviewer #1, comment #1:

However, the relations for winter mass balances the authors try to look for are not really obvious as they are not based on the same processes. Winter balance is mainly influenced by accumulation of solid precipitation and it is in whole paper not clearly stated why winter albedo should have a correlation to accumulation. With the MODIS sensor, it is possible to detect the albedo but never the amount of accumulation, which is the most important variable for winter surface mass balance. It is also clear and the authors mention that correctly in their paper that for larger glaciers (such as Mer de Glace and Glacier d'Argentière) the correlation of integrated albedo is increasing. This is obvious as these glaciers in general have a different behaviour of accumulation than smaller glaciers. Especially in maritime environments one have sometimes even during the accumulation period strongly varying albedo because lower parts of the glaciers show more variability concerning the change between melt and accumulation. Therefore, it can be assumed that the method developed by the authors probably work better in maritime areas than in continental ones. For the small glaciers they introduced a threshold albedo, which varies with each glacier. Thanks to this threshold, they found higher correlations for two of the small glaciers like Saint-Sorlin and Talefère. However, as they state by themselves in the conclusion section: '... but albedo thresholds seem to maximize artificially the correlation between winter SMB and winter integrated surface albedo'. Therefore, the approach is not really suited for the winter mass balance and it is strongly recommended that the authors remove the part related to the winter mass balance in their paper as it is not really related to any substantial processes, which they can capture with their method. It would make the paper much more concise, shorter and more reader friendly.

## Authors reply:

The potential of winter surface albedo to be a proxy of the winter surface mass balance (SMB) has been first introduced, with success, by Sirguey et al. (2016), using an albedo threshold to improve the correlation between winter integrated albedo and winter SMB. One of the conclusions of Sirguey et al. study was on the ability of such an approach to monitor the frequency of snowfall events, themselves proxy of the accumulation of snow on the glacier.

As you notify in your comment, one of the main conclusion of our study refutes the use of the winter integrated albedo as a proxy of the winter SMB using a constant albedo threshold at regional scale. Then, we agree with your suggestion to shorten the paper and will remove the part of the manuscript focusing on the relation between albedo and winter SMB:

-Method Sect. 3.3.2 will be modified by removing equations on winter detection.

-Section 4.3.3 will be removed.

-Section 5.3 will be removed and an assessment on the attempts/conclusions/perspectives on the winter season will be added in Sect. 5.4 (*"Limits of the albedo method"*). We will also add in this section that this method should not be definitively forsaken and as expressed in our conclusions, it can be used as a void filling method for time series with missing SMB data.

-Other sentences related to winter detection in the rest of the manuscript will also be removed.

We will also add in the conclusion that: <u>"monitoring winter glacier surface albedo may provide good</u> insights on the frequency of snow accumulation at the surface of the glacier but lacks in quantifying the amount of accumulation."

The comment on the importance of the size and type (maritime *vs.* continental) of studied glaciers is of a great interest and will be added to the manuscript as a hypothesis of the different results between Sirguey *et al.* (2016) and this study.

"Another difference between our study and Sirguey et al., 2016, is that their work focused only on Brewster glacier, defined as maritime glacier. These types of glaciers, even during the accumulation period can experience strong varying albedos in their lower reaches, which lead to similar behaviors in winter as for Argentière and Mer de Glace glaciers. An interesting perspective would be to apply the method on a set of other maritime glaciers."

Sirguey, P., Still, H., Cullen, N. J., Dumont, M., Arnaud, Y., & Conway, J. P. (2016). Reconstructing the mass balance of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, using MODIS-derived glacier-wide albedo. *The Cryosphere*, *10*(5), 2465–2484. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2465-2016

## Specific comments:

## Reviewer #1, comment #2:

Introduction: General: the authors are citing a lot of their own work. It would be appropriate to acknowledge also the international literature about albedo such as the work done by Klok, Knap, Painter, Pope and Takeuchi.

#### Authors reply:

We will take into consideration the studies mentioned by Reviewer #1 and add them into the manuscript when justified. Other references will also be added to answer your comment #3, #4, #5 and one comment of Reviewer #2. Below is a list of references that will be added in the introduction of new version of the manuscript.

International literature about albedo will be added:

Greuell, W. and Knap, W. H.: Remote sensing of the albedo and detection of the slush line on the Greenland ice sheet, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 105(D12), 15567–15576, 2000.

Greuell, W., Kohler, J., Obleitner, F., Glowacki, P., Melvold, K., Bernsen, E. and Oerlemans, J.: Assessment of interannual variations in the surface mass balance of 18 Svalbard glaciers from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer/Terra albedo product, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 112, D07105/1null, 2007.

Shea, J. M., Menounos, B., Moore, R. D. and Tennant, C.: An approach to derive regional snow lines and glacier mass change from MODIS imagery, western North America, The Cryosphere, 7(2), 667–680, doi:10.5194/tc-7-667-2013, 2013.

To expand the referenced study on the snow map method, this reference will be added to the manuscript:

Chaponniere, A., Maisongrande, P., Duchemin, B., Hanich, L., Boulet, G., Escadafal, R. and Elouaddat, S.: A combined high and low spatial resolution approach for mapping snow covered areas in the Atlas mountains, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26(13), 2755–2777, 2005.

## As a complement of the quoted studies on the ELA method, following references will be added:

Braithwaite, R. J.: Can the Mass Balance of a Glacier be Estimated from its Equilibrium-Line Altitude?, J. Glaciol., 30(106), 364–368, doi:10.1017/S0022143000006237, 1984.

Chinn, T. J., Heydenrych, C. and Salinger, M. J.: Use of the ELA as a practical method of monitoring

glacier response to climate in New Zealand's Southern Alps, J. Glaciol., 51(172), 85–95, 2005.

Mernild, S. H., Pelto, M., Malmros, J. K., Yde, J. C., Knudsen, N. T. and Hanna, E.: Identification of snow ablation rate, ELA, AAR and net mass balance using transient snowline variations on two Arctic glaciers, J. Glaciol., 59(216), 649–659, 2013.

Shea, J. M., Menounos, B., Moore, R. D. and Tennant, C.: An approach to derive regional snow lines and glacier mass change from MODIS imagery, western North America, The Cryosphere, 7(2), 667–680, doi:10.5194/tc-7-667-2013, 2013.

# Additional literature will be added for studies relying on differencing digital elevation models to retrieve glacier SMB:

Belart, J. M. C., Berthier, E., Magnússon, E., Anderson, L. S., Pálsson, F., Thorsteinsson, T., Howat, I. M., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Jóhannesson, T. and Jarosch, A. H.: Winter mass balance of Drangajökull ice cap (NW Iceland) derived from satellite sub-meter stereo images, The Cryosphere, 11(3), 1501–1517, doi:10.5194/tc-11-1501-2017, 2017.

Ragettli, S., Bolch, T. and Pellicciotti, F.: Heterogeneous glacier thinning patterns over the last 40 years in Langtang Himal, Nepal, The Cryosphere, 10(5), 2075–2097, doi:10.5194/tc-10-2075-2016, 2016.

Shean, D. E., Alexandrov, O., Moratto, Z. M., Smith, B. E., Joughin, I. R., Porter, C. and Morin, P.: An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models (DEMs) from veryhigh-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery, ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens., 116, 101– 117, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012, 2016.

# Finally, international literature will be added about review studies on available methods to derive glacier SMB from remote sensing data:

Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Fischer, L., Guex, S., Paul, F., Roer, I., Salzmann, N., Schlaefli, S., Schmutz, K., Schneider, D., Strozzi, T. and Weidmann, Y.: Remote sensing of glacier- and permafrost-related hazards in high mountains: an overview, Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci, 5(4), 527–554, doi:10.5194/nhess-5-527-2005, 2005.

Rabatel, A., Sirguey, P., Drolon, V., Maisongrande, P., Arnaud, Y., Berthier, E., Davaze, L., Dedieu, J.-P. and Dumont, M.: Annual and Seasonal Glacier-Wide Surface Mass Balance Quantified from Changes in Glacier Surface State: A Review on Existing Methods Using Optical Satellite Imagery, Remote Sens., 9(5), 507, doi:10.3390/rs9050507, 2017.

*Racoviteanu, A. E., Williams, M. W. and Barry, R. G.: Optical Remote Sensing of Glacier Characteristics: A Review with Focus on the Himalaya, Sensors, 8(5), 3355–3383, doi:10.3390/s8053355, 2008.* 

#### Reviewer #1, comment #3:

Line 47: add before the references e.g.

#### Authors reply:

This has been changed. According to your comment #2 and #4 we will enrich some of the referenced studies.

"... to sea level rise (e.g. Church et al., 2013; Gardner et al., 2013; Jacob et al., 2012)."

Church, J. A., Clark, P. U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J. M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann, A., Merrifield, M., Milne, G., Nerem, R., Nunn, P. and others: Sea level change, Clim. Change 2013 Phys. Sci. Basis Work. Group Contrib. Fifth Assess. Rep. Intergov. Panel Clim. Change, 1137–1216, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014051, 2013.

Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., Berthier, E., Hock, R., Pfeffer, W. T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M. J., Hagen, J. O., Broeke, M. R. van den and Paul, F.: A Reconciled Estimate of Glacier Contributions to Sea Level Rise: 2003 to 2009, Science, 340(6134), 852–857, doi:10.1126/science.1234532, 2013.

Jacob, T., Wahr, J., Pfeffer, W. T. and Swenson, S.: Recent contributions of glaciers and ice caps to sea level rise, Nature, 482(7386), 514–518, doi:10.1038/nature10847, 2012.

#### Reviewer #1, comment #4:

*Line 49: this is not a very representative list of literature, please add more relevant literature here!* **Authors reply:** 

References will be changed for <u>"(Baraer et al., 2012; Chen and Ohmura, 1990; Immerzeel et al., 2010;</u> Kaser et al., 2010; Sorg et al., 2012)"

Baraer, M., Mark, B. G., Mckenzie, J. M., Condom, T., Bury, J., Huh, K.-I., Portocarrero, C., Gomez, J. and Rathay, S.: Glacier recession and water resources in Peru's Cordillera Blanca, J. Glaciol., 58(207), 134– 150, 2012.

Chen, J. and Ohmura, A.: Estimation of Alpine glacier water resources and their change since the 1870s, IAHS Publ, 193, 127–135, 1990.

*Immerzeel, W. W., Beek, L. P. H. van and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Climate Change Will Affect the Asian Water Towers, Science, 328(5984), 1382–1385, doi:10.1126/science.1183188, 2010.* 

Kaser, G., Grosshauser, M. and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different climate regimes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 20223–20227, doi:10.1073/pnas.1008162107, 2010.

Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O. and Beniston, M.: Climate change impacts on glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia), Nat. Clim. Change, 2(10), 725–731, doi:10.1038/nclimate1592, 2012.

## Reviewer #1, comment #5:

*Line 51: Stocker 2013 is not a very good reference at this place of the introduction section. Please add relevant literature which is more specific for the content of your sentence.* 

#### Authors reply:

We will also cite the work of <u>"Dyurgerov and Meier, 2000; Haeberli and Beniston, 1998; Oerlemans, 1994; Oerlemans, 2001"</u> for the line 51-54.

*Dyurgerov, M. B. and Meier, M. F.: Twentieth century climate change: Evidence from small glaciers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 97(4), 1406–1411, doi:10.1073/pnas.97.4.1406, 2000.* 

Haeberli, W. and Beniston, M.: Climate Change and Its Impacts on Glaciers and Permafrost in the Alps, Ambio, 27(4), 258–265, 1998.

Oerlemans: Glaciers and Climate Change, Balkema. [online] Available from: http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/22045 (Accessed 13 July 2017), 2001.

Oerlemans, J.: Quantifying Global Warming from the Retreat of Glaciers, Science, 264(5156), 243–245, 1994.

#### Reviewer #1, comment #6:

Line 55: Sort countries in brackets alphabetically

#### Authors reply:

Countries will be sorted alphabetically. "(e.g., France, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland)"

#### Reviewer #1, comment #7:

Line 57: replace 'little' by 'small'

#### Authors reply:

Your remark will be considered. "However, this represents only a small sample of the nearly 250,000 inventoried glaciers ..."

#### Reviewer #1, comment #8:

Line 64: insert 'To reach this aim (maybe replace by objective) . . .

#### Authors reply:

Indeed, this will be corrected. "To reach this objective, the development of ..."

#### Reviewer #1, comment #9:

*Line 81: However, this method is still the best one can do and your method is also based on calibration - > therefore this is a very weak reasoning for your method! Please delete this sentence!* 

#### Authors reply:

This sentence will be deleted in the new version of the manuscript.

#### Reviewer #1, comment #10:

Line 115: but this is in fact a very strong reduction in the number of available glaciers and it reduces the representativeness of the application of the method. Therefore, it is not a very good argument against the study of Drolon et al 2016 mentioned on line 80

#### Authors reply:

According to the comment #9, sentence line 81 will be removed.

The aim of this study is to validate the method of Sirguey *et al.* (2016) at both regional and seasonal scale before applying it to unmonitored glaciers. We agree that criteria (i) and (iv) are very reductive conditions but mandatory at a validation stage of the method. Critera (ii) could be relaxed by using a higher resolution sensor than MODIS and criteria (iii) is intrinsic at the detection method itself (observation of snow/ice evolution at the surface of a glacier between 0.459 and 14.385  $\mu$ m).

Studied glaciers have been selected following four criteria related to the availability of field data and remote sensing constraints, namely: (i) the annual glacier-wide SMB for the study period had to be available; (ii) the glacier surface area had to be wide enough to allow robust multi-pixel analysis; (iii) the glacier had to be predominantly free of debris to allow remotely-sensed observations of the albedo of snow and ice surfaces; and (iv) seasonal SMB records had to be available to consider seasonal

#### variability.

Sirguey, P., Still, H., Cullen, N. J., Dumont, M., Arnaud, Y., & Conway, J. P. (2016). Reconstructing the mass balance of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, using MODIS-derived glacier-wide albedo. *The Cryosphere*, *10*(5), 2465–2484. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2465-2016

## Reviewer #1, comment #11:

Line 154: why not using the individual values to estimate better the uncertainties?

## Authors reply:

We decided to average the available mass balance datasets together to be able to derive for each glacier a single relationship SMB - albedo. Finally, we did not assess the difference in between considered datasets because these differences have been investigated by Rabatel et al. (2016). These sentences will be added in the new version of the manuscript:

"For the six glaciers where glacier-wide annual SMB are available from the two methods, i.e., in situ and satellite measurements, the average of the two estimates was used to calibrate and evaluate the albedo method, *in order to derive for each glacier a single relationship SMB vs. computed albedo. We did not assess the difference in between considered datasets because these differences have been investigated by Rabatel et al.* (2016)."

Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J. P. and Vincent, C.: Spatio-temporal changes in glacier-wide mass balance quantified by optical remote sensing on 30 glaciers in the French Alps for the period 1983–2014, J. Glaciol., 62(236), 1153–1166, doi:10.1017/jog.2016.113, 2016.

## Reviewer #1, comment #12:

Line 177: Sentence misses a verb! Authors reply: We will add a verb to this sentence. "The MODImLab toolbox also produces sensor geometrical characteristics..."

## Reviewer #1, comment #13:

Line 230: Sentence is not clear, please clarify!

## Authors reply:

This will be done as follow: <u>"Only computed  $\alpha^{a}_{min}$  occuring in summer have been considered because</u> minimum values out of summer are most likely artifacts."

## Reviewer #1, comment #14:

Line 237: What happens if you have strong summer snow fall events?

## Authors reply:

As no albedo thresholds are used for the summer detection, integrated summer albedo accounts also for snowfall events (punctual high albedos). As an example, a strong summer snow fall event leading to a rather persistent snow coverage of the glacier would 'feed' the integrated albedo and will significantly reduces the glacier melting, which has an impact on the SMB (Oerlemans and Klok, 2004). The method therefore accounts for snowfall event to retrieve the glacier SMB.

This example will be added to the manuscript:

strong summer snow fall event leading to a rather persistent snow coverage of the glacier would 'feed' the integrated albedo, and will physically reduces the glacier melting, which has an impact on the SMB (Oerlemans and Klok, 2004). The method therefore accounts for snowfall events to retrieve the glacier SMB.

Oerlemans, J., & Klok, E. J. (2004). Effect of summer snowfall on glacier mass balance. Annals of Glaciology, 38, 97–100. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781815158

## Reviewer #1, comment #15:

*Line 276-277: is good but contradicts a little bit the objective mentioned in abstract and introduction at the beginning! You want to do it simple but then you agree that it is laborious?* 

## Authors reply:

The word laborious will be changed as follows: <u>"This last step, although meticulous ..."</u>

## Reviewer #1, comment #16:

Line 333: and MODIS does not see these areas?

## Authors reply:

Yes MODIS sees these areas, but this method is only made to monitor the temporal evolution of glacier surface albedo. The MODIMLab toolbox is designed to only calculate albedo over snow or ice covered area, because of brdf tables included within the toolbox (Dumont *et al.*, 2011; 2012). Monitoring extra glacial-feature would anyway introduce biased values in the glacier-wide albedo temporal evolution, even if the toolbox could have managed accurate extra-glacial surface albedo computing.

Dumont, M., Sirguey, P., Arnaud, Y., & Six, D. (2011). Monitoring spatial and temporal variations of surface albedo on Saint Sorlin Glacier (French Alps) using terrestrial photography. *The Cryosphere*, *5*(3), 759–771. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-759-2011</u>

Dumont, M., Gardelle, J., Sirguey, P., Guillot, A., Six, D., Rabatel, A., & Arnaud, Y. (2012). Linking glacier annual mass balance and glacier albedo retrieved from MODIS data. *The Cryosphere*, *6*(6), 1527–1539. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1527-2012

## Reviewer #1, comment #17:

*Line 341: why not selecting only one or two MODIS Pixels in flat parts and observing and comparing these with the measurements and using then for evaluation?* 

## Authors reply:

This filtering part of the albedo is made to avoid detection of extra-glacial feature due to large sensor viewing angle. Comparison between single MODIS pixel and AWS measurement for validation has been performed in the Sect. 4.1 of the results.

## Reviewer #1, comment #18:

Line 381: Two main observations stand . . .

## Authors reply:

The sentence will be rewritten according to your remark as follows: <u>"Two main observations stand out</u> *from the winter part...*"

#### Reviewer #1, comment #19:

Line 401: use m as unit instead of cm!

#### Authors reply:

The unit *cm* will be replaced by *m* everywhere it is needed.

#### Reviewer #1, comment #20:

*Line 430: However, this is important or not! I do not understand the justification within this chapter?* **Authors reply:** 

#### Authors reply:

According to your comment, this section will be re-formulated and simplified.

"Looking at the 27 glaciers for which significant relationships have been found, 2001 is regularly identified as an outlier. According to existing SMB datasets, 2001 is the only year of the period 2000-2015 for which the annual SMB has been positive for all the studied glaciers (0.80 m w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> in average).

To predict correctly the surface mass balance values for the year 2001 using the albedo method, monitored minimum glacier-wide average albedo would need to be extremely high (often greater than 0.7, i.e. 0.83 and 0.95 for Rochemelon and Vallonnet glaciers, respectively), to match the regression line derived from other years of the time series (Table 1). Taking into consideration snow metamorphism during the summer period, melting at the surface and possible deposition of debris or dusts, monitoring such high albedo values averaged at the glacier scale is unrealistic. As removing 2001 from the time series does not increase the number of glaciers for which the correlation is significant, 2001 has been conserved in the time series. However, this observation reveals a limitation of the albedo method by under-estimating the annual SMB value for years with very positive annual SMB. "

## Reviewer #1, comment #21:

Line 626: such as instead of such has

## Authors reply:

The text will be corrected according to your remark: <u>"... linking morpho-topographic features of the</u> glacier such as glacier surface area, ..."

## Reviewer #1, comment #22:

*Line 632: see study of Machguth, H., Haeberli, W., and Paul, F., 2012, Mass-balance parameter derived from a synthetic network of mass-balance glaciers: Journal of Glaciology, v. 58, no. 211, p. 965-979.* 

## Authors reply:

Very interesting study. However, according to your main comment, we will remove all sections that focus on the winter season and as a consequence, the sentence pointed out in this comment will be also removed.

## **General comments:**

#### Reviewer #2, comment #1:

A lot of work has gone into this paper, but the presentation of the results is not satisfactory. The measurement of albedo is rigorously and correctly done, following Dumont et al. (2012). However, the statistical correlation analysis of the minimum summer albedo sweeps a lot of interesting processes into it, and raises more questions. Specifically, how do the spatial and temporal variability of the albedo affect the SMB? And how does the uncertainty in the albedo estimate relate to the uncertain in the absorption, i.e. "1- $\alpha$ ", which is what we really want.

Therefore, a much stronger paper would result if instead you compute the absorbed solar radiation by date, and integrate it over periods of interest. This calculation, perhaps called the radiative forcing in W m–2, takes into account the variability on the glacier, along with the different glaciers' exposure to the incoming solar radiation. Painter has used this measure. Painter and his colleagues have used this measure effectively to characterize the effects of dust on snow. You've already had to do much of the work in order to compute the albedo values, so take the next step.

#### Authors reply:

Thank you for these suggestions. However, this study presents a validation (on several glaciers and different climate conditions) of a new method proposed by Sirguey *et al.* (2016) to derive both annual and seasonal surface mass balance (SMB) of individual glaciers at regional scale, by monitoring the albedo cycle of the surface of the glacier. Focusing on the spatial and temporal variability of the albedo, how it can affect the SMB or computing the surface energy balance, based on albedo measurement from MODIS is of a great interest but deserve a whole study by itself.

We will however add a sentence in the conclusion of the new manuscript, mentioning a possible future line of research with the datasets used in this study:

"As a line of future researches, MODIS archive together with processed albedos with MODImLab could be used to compute an integrated daily absorbed solar radiation (Miller et al., 2016). This calculation could then be included in a surface energy balance computation, providing insights into the impact of the albedo variability on glacier SMB, especially in the melt season."

Furthermore, at the light of your suggestion, we admit that the title of the study can be confusing and will be changed, in order to better represent the scope of the study: <u>"Monitoring glacier albedo as a</u> proxy to derive summer and annual surface mass balances from optical remote-sensing data".

Sirguey, P., Still, H., Cullen, N. J., Dumont, M., Arnaud, Y., & Conway, J. P. (2016). Reconstructing the mass balance of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, using MODIS-derived glacier-wide albedo. *The Cryosphere*, *10*(5), 2465–2484. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2465-2016

Miller, S. D., Wang, F., Burgess, A. B., McKenzie Skiles, S., Rogers, M. and Painter, T. H.: Satellite-Based Estimation of Temporally Resolved Dust Radiative Forcing in Snow Cover, J. Hydrometeorol., 17(7), 1999–2011, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0150.1, 2016.

#### Reviewer #2, comment #2:

I agree with Anonymous Referee #1, who questions the reliability of the analysis for the accumulation phase of the annual glacier cycle, which is likely driven more by the winter snowfall. After all, there are three ways to make a glacier shrink: darken it, starve it, or warm it. The analysis presented concentrates on the darkening. Tying this into the radiation balance would strengthen the contribution.

## Authors reply:

According to Anonymous Referee #1 confirmed by your comment, we will removed the part of the study based on deriving winter SMB using the albedo proxy. The original purpose on focusing a part of the study on the relationship between albedo and winter SMB is given in the reply to Anonymous Referee #1 Comment #1.

As a complementary comment, the study does not focus on darkening but on the evolution of the glacier-wide albedo at the surface of the glacier, proxy of both melting events (lowering of the albedo because of a rising transient snowline) and accumulation events (abrupt rise of albedo after snowfall). We did not focus on monitoring albedo change due to dust or metamorphism, which could be a delicate topic according to the accuracy of sensors (Dumont *et al.*, 2014) even if some corrections are now processed within the new MODIS Collection 6 (Casey *et al.*, 2017) and as you suggested, recent studies have well performed such calculations on the impact of dusts on the snow albedo (Miller et al., 2016).

Casey, K. A., Polashenski, C. M., Chen, J. and Tedesco, M.: Impact of MODIS sensor calibration updates on Greenland ice sheet surface reflectance and albedo trends, Cryosphere Discuss, 2017, 1–24, doi:10.5194/tc-2017-38, 2017.

Dumont, M., Brun, E., Picard, G., Michou, M., Libois, Q., Petit, J.-R., Geyer, M., Morin, S. and Josse, B.: Contribution of light-absorbing impurities in snow to Greenland/'s darkening since 2009, Nat. Geosci., 7(7), 509–512, doi:10.1038/ngeo2180, 2014.

Miller, S. D., Wang, F., Burgess, A. B., McKenzie Skiles, S., Rogers, M. and Painter, T. H.: Satellite-Based Estimation of Temporally Resolved Dust Radiative Forcing in Snow Cover, J. Hydrometeorol., 17(7), 1999–2011, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0150.1, 2016.

## Reviewer #2, comment #3:

A nit of a comment concerns the statement on Lines 74-77. David Shean's work using photogrammetry from fine-resolution commercial sensors from DigitalGlobe shows much promise for interpreting successive DEMs to understand glacier shrinking.

## Authors reply:

This reference will be updated. <u>"Several glacier surface properties have thus been used as proxies for</u> volume fluctuations: changes in surface elevation from differencing digital elevation models (DEM) (e.g. Belart et al., 2017; Berthier et al., 2016; Gardelle et al., 2013; Ragettli et al., 2016; Shean et al., 2016)"

Belart, J. M. C., Berthier, E., Magnússon, E., Anderson, L. S., Pálsson, F., Thorsteinsson, T., Howat, I. M., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Jóhannesson, T. and Jarosch, A. H.: Winter mass balance of Drangajökull ice cap (NW Iceland) derived from satellite sub-meter stereo images, The Cryosphere, 11(3), 1501–1517, doi:10.5194/tc-11-1501-2017, 2017.

Berthier, E., Cabot, V., Vincent, C. and Six, D.: Decadal Region-Wide and Glacier-Wide Mass Balances Derived from Multi-Temporal ASTER Satellite Digital Elevation Models. Validation over the Mont-Blanc Area, Front. Earth Sci., 4, doi:10.3389/feart.2016.00063, 2016.

Gardelle, J., Berthier, E., Arnaud, Y. and Kääb, A.: Region-wide glacier mass balances over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya during 1999–2011, The Cryosphere, 7(4), 1263–1286, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1263-2013, 2013. Ragettli, S., Bolch, T. and Pellicciotti, F.: Heterogeneous glacier thinning patterns over the last 40 years in Langtang Himal, Nepal, The Cryosphere, 10(5), 2075–2097, doi:10.5194/tc-10-2075-2016, 2016.

Shean, D. E., Alexandrov, O., Moratto, Z. M., Smith, B. E., Joughin, I. R., Porter, C. and Morin, P.: An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models (DEMs) from veryhigh-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery, ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens., 116, 101– 117, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012, 2016.

1	Monitoring glacier albedo as a proxy to derive summer and
2	annual surface mass balances from optical remote-sensing data
3	
4	
5	Lucas Davaze <sup>1</sup> , Antoine Rabatel <sup>1</sup> , Yves Arnaud <sup>1</sup> , Pascal Sirguey <sup>2</sup> , Delphine Six <sup>1</sup> , Anne
6	Letreguilly <sup>1</sup> , Marie Dumont <sup>3</sup>
7	
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, IGE (UMR5001), F- 38000 Grenoble, France
10	<sup>2</sup> National School of Surveying, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
11	<sup>3</sup> Météo France, CNRS, CNRM – UMR3589, CEN, F-38000 Grenoble, France
12	
13	
14	
15	Correspondence to: L. Davaze (lucas.davaze@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)
16	
17	

## 18 Abstract.

19 Less than 0.25% of the 250,000 glaciers inventoried in the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI V.5) are currently monitored with in situ measurements of surface mass balance. Increasing 20 21 this archive is very challenging, especially using time-consuming methods based on in situ 22 measurements, and complementary methods are required to quantify the surface mass balance 23 of unmonitored glaciers. The current study relies on the so-called albedo method, based on the 24 analysis of albedo maps retrieved from optical satellite imagery acquired since 2000 by the 25 MODIS sensor, onboard of TERRA satellite. Recent studies revealed substantial relationships 26 between summer minimum glacier-wide surface albedo and annual surface mass balance, 27 because this minimum surface albedo is directly related to the accumulation-area ratio and the 28 equilibrium-line altitude.

29 On the basis of 30 glaciers located in the French Alps where annual surface mass balance are 30 available, our study conducted on the period 2000-2015 confirms the robustness and reliability of the relationship between the summer minimum surface albedo and the annual surface mass 31 32 balance. For the ablation season, the integrated summer surface albedo is significantly correlated with the summer surface mass balance of the six glaciers seasonally monitored. 33 These results are promising to monitor both annual and summer glacier-wide surface mass 34 35 balances of individual glaciers at a regional scale using optical satellite images. A sensitivity 36 study on the computed cloud masks revealed a high confidence in the retrieved albedo maps, restricting the number of omission errors. Albedo retrieval artifacts have been detected for 37 38 topographically incised glaciers, highlighting limitations in the shadows correction algorithm, although inter-annual comparisons are not affected by systematic errors. 39

## 41 **1 Introduction**

Mountain glaciers represent only 3% of the ice volume on the Earth but contribute 42 43 significantly to sea level rise (e.g. Church et al., 2013; Gardner et al., 2013; Jacob et al., 2012). 44 In addition, millions of people partly rely on glaciers, either for drinking water, agriculture or related glacier hazards (Baraer et al., 2012; Chen and Ohmura, 1990; Immerzeel et al., 2010; 45 Kaser et al., 2010; Sorg et al., 2012; Soruco et al., 2015). The surface mass balance (SMB) of 46 47 glaciers is directly driven by the climate conditions; consequently, glaciers are among the most 48 visible proxies of climate change (Dyurgerov and Meier, 2000; Haeberli and Beniston, 1998; 49 Oerlemans, 2001; Stocker et al., 2013). Measuring and reconstructing glacier SMB therefore 50 provides critical insights on climate change both at global and regional scales (Oerlemans, 51 <mark>1994)</mark>.

52 Systematic SMB monitoring programs began in the late 1940s - early 1950s in most of the 53 European countries (e.g., France, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland). Gradually, more glaciers have become monitored, reaching the present worldwide figure of 440. However, this 54 55 represents only a small sample of the nearly 250,000 inventoried glaciers worldwide (Pfeffer et al., 2014). Among the existing methods to quantify changes in glacier SMB, the well-56 57 established glaciological method has become a standard widely used worldwide yielding most 58 of the reference datasets (World Glacier Monitoring Service, WGMS, Zemp et al., 2015). 59 Based on repeated in situ measurements, this method requires intensive fieldwork. This method is however unable to reconstruct SMB of unmonitored glaciers. The Global Terrestrial 60 61 Network for Glaciers (GTN-G) aims at increasing substantially the number of monitored 62 glaciers to study regional climate signal through changes in SMB. To reach this objective, the 63 development of methods complementary to the ground-based glaciological method is therefore required. Since the 1970s, several methods have taken advantage of satellite imaging to 64 65 compute changes in glacier volume (Kääb et al., 2005; Rabatel et al., 2017; Racoviteanu et al., 2008). Several glacier surface properties have thus been used as proxies for volume 66 67 fluctuations: changes in surface elevation from differencing digital elevation models (DEM)

68 (e.g. Belart et al., 2017; Berthier et al., 2016; Gardelle et al., 2013; Ragettli et al., 2016; Shean 69 et al., 2016); end-of-summer snow line elevation from high spatial resolution optical images 70 (Braithwaite, 1984; Chinn et al., 2005; Meier and Post, 1962; Mernild et al., 2013; Rabatel et 71 al., 2005, 2008, 2016; Shea et al., 2013); mean regional altitude of snow from low spatial resolution optical images (Chaponniere et al., 2005; Drolon et al., 2016); or changes in the 72 73 glacier surface albedo from high temporal resolution images (Brun et al., 2015; Dumont et al., 2012; Greuell, W. et al., 2007; Greuell and Knap, 2000; Shea et al., 2013; Sirguey et al., 74 75 2016). Widely used over icecaps or large ice masses, satellite derived DEM could not yet be confidently used to compute annual or seasonal SMB of mountain glaciers, even if recent 76 77 studies have revealed promising results for determining SMB changes of large mountainous glacierized areas (Belart et al., 2017; Ragettli et al., 2016). The method based on the 78 79 correlation between the regional snow cover and glacier SMB have shown satisfying results to 80 retrieve seasonal SMB, especially for the winter period. This method allowed the quantification of 55 glaciers SMB in the European Alps over the period 1998-2014 (Drolon et 81 82 al., 2016). The method based on the identification on high spatial resolution optical images of the end-of-summer snow line altitude has shown encouraging results in the French Alps, 83 84 multiplying by six the available long-term annual SMB time series (Rabatel et al., 2016), but 85 need to be automatized to compute glacier SMB at regional scales. In addition, monitoring glacier surface properties on the daily or weekly basis and over large glacierized regions is still 86 challenging with high spatial resolution images. The current study is based on the albedo 87 88 method used in Dumont et al. (2012), Brun et al. (2015) and Sirguey et al. (2016). Images 89 from the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) are processed to compute 90 daily albedo map of 30 glaciers in the French Alps over the period 2000-2015. Then, we rely 91 on the methodological framework proposed by Sirguey et al. (2016) on Brewster Glacier 92 (New-Zealand), looking at the relationships between annual and seasonal SMB and the glacier-wide averaged surface albedo  $\alpha$ . Our overall objective is to study the relationships 93

94 between glacier SMB and albedo by: (i) reconstructing the annual albedo cycle for 30 glaciers in the French Alps for the period 2000-2015; (ii) linking the albedo signal to the summer 95 96 components of the SMB as well as to its annual values for 6 and 30 glaciers, respectively; (iii) 97 assessing the sensitivity of the retrieved albedo towards tuning parameters (cloud coverage threshold for images processing, reliability of detected shadows). Section 2 presents the 98 99 available SMB datasets used for the comparison and describes briefly the in situ automatic 100 weather stations (AWS) used to assess the quality of MODIS retrieved albedo. The method to 101 retrieve albedo maps is described in Sect. 3. Results are presented and discussed in Sect. 4 and 102 5. The conclusion gathers the main results of the study and provides perspectives for future 103 works.

104 **2** Study area and data

# 105 **2.1** Site description

The study focuses on 30 glaciers located in the French Alps (Fig. 1). Each glacier can be classified as mountain glacier, extending over an altitudinal range from around 1600 m a.s.l. (Argentière and Mer de Glace glaciers) to 4028 m a.s.l. (Blanc Glacier), and located between the coordinates: 44°51" N to 46° N and 6°09" E to 7°08" E. The cumulative glacial coverage considered in the present study is 136 km<sup>2</sup>, i.e. half of the glacier surface area covered by 593 inventoried glaciers over the French Alps for the period 2006-2009 (Gardent et al., 2014).

Studied glaciers have been selected following four criteria related to the availability of field data and remote sensing constraints, namely: (i) the annual glacier-wide SMB for the study period had to be available; (ii) the glacier surface area had to be wide enough to allow robust multi-pixel analysis; (iii) the glacier had to be predominantly free of debris to allow remotelysensed observations of the albedo of snow and ice surfaces; and (iv) summer SMB records had to be available to consider summer variability. Finally, 11 glaciers have been selected in the Ecrins range, 14 in Vanoise and 5 in Mont-Blanc (Fig. 1, and listed Table 1).



120

Figure 1 Map of the region of interest with the studied glaciers shown in red (numbers refer to
Table 1). The four AWS used in the present study were set up on Saint-Sorlin Glacier (n°20).
Adapted from Rabatel et al. (2016).

124

# 125 2.2 MODIS satellite images

The MODIS sensor, onboard the TERRA - EOS/AM-1 satellite is acquiring near-daily images
of the Earth since February 25<sup>th</sup>, 2000. With 36 spectral bands ranging from 0.459 to 14.385
μm, and spatial resolution ranging from 0.25 to 1 km depending on the spectral band, MODIS

129 is nowadays one of the most used optical sensors for land surface observations. Because of its

10

130 short temporal revisit time, its long acquisition period and its moderate resolution, images

from MODIS are the most suitable for the present work. We therefore rely on about 15,000

#	Name	Mask size	$b_a = P_1^a \bar{\alpha}_a^{min} + P_2^a$		$b_s = P_1^s \bar{\alpha}_s^{int} + P_2^b$					
		[Pixei]	2	DMSE	$\mathbf{D}^{a}$	$D^a$	2	DMSF	$D^{s}$	$D^{s}$
1	Tour	71	0.78	0.61	$\frac{1}{14.0}$	-7.8	1	TUMBE	11	12
2	Argentière	111	0.76	0.01	16.8	-8.4	0.76	0.27	12.3	-10.1
3	Talèfre	40	0.11	0.00	10.0	-8.0	0.10	0.21	15.9	-12.1
	Mer de Glace	246	0.40	0.15	87	-5.8	0.40	0.05	15.3	-12.1
5	Tré la Tête	38	0.10 0.43	1.25	22.8	-10.0	0.05	0.01	10.0	-12.1
6	Savinaz	7	0.10	1.20	12.0	-74				
7	Gurraz	17	0.20	0.77	9.8	-5.8				
8	Sassière	10	0.20	0.67	8.2	-4.9				
9	Grande Motte	30	0.82	0.53	13.6	-6.5				
10	Mulinet	18	0.33	0.62	77	-4.5				
11	Grand Méan	11	0.00	0.64	7.8	-4.2				
12	Arcelin	37	0.44	0.52	6.6	-3.7				
12	Pelve	44	0.04 0.41	0.02	0.0 8 7	-5.7				
14	Arpont	41	0.41	1.0	9.1	-5.8				
15	Mahure	20	0.55	0.66	10.1	-5.1				
16	Vallonnet	19	0.36	0.66	34	-2.0				
17	Gebroulaz	23	0.62	0.00	9.1	-4.6	0.76	0.28	9.8	-79
18	Baounet	11	0.02	0.10	2.8	-2.5	0.10	0.20	0.0	1.5
19	Bochemelon	11	0.10	0.67	4.3	-2.8				
20	Saint-Sorlin	31	0.86	0.37	13.8	-6.3	0.94	0.21	147	-11.0
21	Quirlies	15	0.60	0.54	11.4	-5.2	0.01	0.11		11.0
$\frac{-1}{22}$	Mont de Lans	35	0.69	0.64	11.4	-5.4				
23	Girose	60	0.70	0.43	9.1	-4.7				
24	Selle	13	0.79	0.41	9.0	-4.4				
25	Casset	7	0.73	0.47	8.9	-4.6				
26	Blanc	44	0.82	0.29	7.9	-3.9	0.72	0.26	9.2	-7.3
27	Vallon Pilatte	7	0.68	0.56	16.0	-7.2				
28	Rouies	14	0.72	0.68	18.0	-7.8				
29	Sélé	12	0.63	0.61	10.9	-5.1				
30	Pilatte	18	0.68	0.83	28.1	-13.1				

132 MODIS calibrated Level 1B (L1B) swath images.

133

**Table 1:** List of studied glaciers, characteristics and albedo/mass balance correlations over 2000-2015, except for summer coefficients (over 2000-2010). For localization, refer to Fig. 1. Highlighted rows exhibit glaciers where annual and summer in situ glacier-wide SMB data are available. The mask size is expressed in number of pixels. To obtain the glacier mask area in  $km^2$ , one should multiply the mask size by 0.0625 km<sup>2</sup>. Determination coefficients are expressed for each glacier (full plotted results are shown in supplementary material). Note the units of  $r^2$  (%), *RMSE*,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  (*m* w. *e*.).

## 142 2.3 Surface mass balance data

143 In the French Alps, six glaciers allow both the summer and annual analyses to be conducted, 144 due to the availability of summer SMB data ( $b_s$ ) obtained from in situ measurements with the 145 glaciological method (unpublished data, LGGE internal report, listed in Table 1). In addition, 146 glacier-wide annual SMB of the 30 studied glaciers were computed by Rabatel et al. (2016) 147 using the end-of-summer snow line measured on optical remote-sensing images and the 148 glacier-wide mass change quantified from DEMs differencing.

For the six glaciers where glacier-wide annual SMB are available from the two methods, i.e., in situ and satellite measurements, the average of the two estimates was used to calibrate and evaluate the albedo method, in order to derive for each glacier a single relationship SMB *vs.*computed albedo. We did not discuss here the difference in between the considered datasets because these differences have been investigated by Rabatel et al. (2016).

# 154 2.4 In situ albedo measurements

155 Albedo measurements acquired punctually using an AWS on Saint-Sorlin Glacier have been 156 used to evaluate the MODIS retrieved albedo. In situ albedo measurements were available for 157 three periods in the ablation zone (July-August 2006; June-August 2008; June-September 2009) and for one period in the accumulation zone (June-September 2008). Albedo data from 158 159 these AWS have been calculated as the ratio of the reflected to incident shortwave radiation 160 (0.3 to 2.8 µm) using two Kipp and Zonen pyranometers. With a potential tilt of the instrument with respect to surface melting and the intrinsic sensor accuracy ( $\pm 3\%$ , Six et al., 2009), the 161 162 calculated albedo at the AWS shows a ±10% accuracy (Kipp and Zonen, 2009; Dumont et al., 163 2012).

164 **3 Methods** 

## 165 **3.1 MODImLab products**

MODIS L1B images were processed using the MODImLab toolbox (Sirguey, 2009). Image fusion between MOD02QKM bands 1 and 2 at 250 m resolution and MOD02HKM bands 3 to 7 at 500 m resolution allows 7 spectral bands at 250 m resolution to be produced (Sirguey et 169 al., 2008). Then, atmospheric and topographic corrections are applied that include multiple 170 reflections due to steep surrounding topography (Sirguey, 2009). Various products are derived 171 from the corrected ground reflectance including snow and ice surface albedo (Dumont et al., 172 2012). As recommended by Dumont et al. (2012) the WhiteSky (WS) albedo (estimated value 173 of the surface albedo under only diffuse illumination) is considered. The use of an anisotropic 174 reflection model for snow and ice has been preferred to the isotropic case, due to its closer 175 agreement with in situ measurements (Dumont et al., 2012). The MODImLab toolbox also 176 produces sensor geometrical characteristics at the acquisition time such as the solar zenith 177 angle (SZA) and the observation zenith angle (OZA) used for post-processing the images 178 (Sect. 3.4). The MODImLab cloud detection algorithm is more conservative than the original 179 MODIS product (MOD35), and has been preferred as recommended in (Brun et al., 2015).

According to Dumont et al. (2012) and further assessed by (Sirguey et al., 2016) the overall
accuracy of MODImLab albedo product under clear-sky conditions is estimated at ±10%.

182 To mitigate the impact of shadows over the glaciers, MODImLab uses a DEM from the 183 Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM – 90 m resolution – acquired in 2000) to estimate 184 the sky obstruction by the surrounding topography and to correct the impact of shadows (see 185 Sirguey et al., 2009). The algorithm implemented in MODImLab is fully described in (Sirguey 186 et al., 2009) and inspired from (Dozier et al., 1981; Dozier and Frew, 1990) for the sky obstruction factor processing (Horizon and Vsky in Sirguey et al., 2016), and from (Richter, 187 188 1998) for correction of shadows. It is first computed at 125 m resolution, providing Boolean-189 type products of self and cast shadows per pixel. Results are then averaged and aggregated to 190 250 m resolution, producing sub-pixel fraction of shadow (further detailed in Sirguey et al., 191 2009). Finally, MODIS data processed with MODImLab provides, among others, near-daily 192 maps of white-sky albedo at 250 m resolution together with cloud masks and cast and 193 projected shadows.

Albedo maps have been processed for 5,068 images for the Ecrins range, 4,973 for MontBlanc and 5,082 for Vanoise over the period 2000-2015. Only images acquired between 09h50

and 11h10 AM UTC (+2h in summer for local time conversion) were selected to get minimum

197 SZA and limit projected shadows of surrounding reliefs.

## 198 **3.2** Glacier masks

Following Dumont et al. (2012) and Brun et al. (2015), we manually created raster masks of the 30 glaciers, based on the glaciers' outlines from 1985-87 (Rabatel et al., 2013) and high spatial resolution (6 m) SPOT-6 images from 2014. All debris-covered areas, together with mixed pixel (rock-snow/ice) have been removed to capture only the snow/ice albedo signal. The resulting number of pixels per glacier is listed in Table 1.

## 204 **3.3** Surface albedo and glacier-wide mass balance relationship

# 205 **3.3.1 Basis of the method**

For one glacier in the Alps (Dumont et al., 2012), two in the Himalayas (Brun et al., 2015) and one in the Southern Alps of New Zealand (Sirguey et al., 2016), the summer minimum glacierwide averaged albedo ( $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$ ) has been significantly correlated to the glacier-wide annual SMB. The relationship between  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  and glacier-wide SMB results from the fact that solar radiation is the main source of energy for melting snow and ice, both at the surface and within the first centimeters below the surface (Van As, 2011). But this is not sufficient to explain why averaged surface albedo is suitable for monitoring glacier SMB.

213 If we consider a temperate glacier in the mid-latitudes, its surface is fully covered by snow in winter, leading to high and uniform surface albedo ( $\overline{\alpha} \approx 0.8$  in Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). 214 215 During the ablation season, the accumulation area is still covered with snow conversely to the 216 ablation area where the ice is exposed and sometimes covered by debris. The overall albedo of 217 the glacier surface is therefore decreasing over the course of the ablation season, providing 218 information on the ratio of these two areas. The ratio between the size of the accumulation 219 zone and the entire glacier, called the accumulation-area ratio (AAR) has often been used as a 220 predictor of SMB both qualitatively (LaChapelle, 1962; Meier and Post, 1962; Mercer, 1961) or quantitatively (Dyurgerov et al., 2009). Therefore, assessing  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  provides insights of the 221

- relative share between the exposed ice and the snow-covered areas at the end of the ablation
- season, also quantified by the AAR.

## 224 **3.3.2** From annual to summer surface mass balances

- In this study,  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  has been computed for the 30 glaciers in order to validate the method at a
- 226 regional scale. Only the  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  occurring in summer have been considered because minimum
- 227 values out of the summer period are artifacts. Then,  $\overline{\alpha}_{a}^{\min}$  has been directly correlated to 228 available annual SMB data (listed in Table 1).
- Following the work by Sirguey et al. (2016) on Brewster Glacier, a similar approach has been used in order to validate the method at a summer scale but only on six glaciers (within our sample of 30) for which the summer SMB are available. Conversely to Sirguey et al. (2016), the summer SMB  $b_s$  has been compared to the integrated albedo signal  $\overline{\alpha}_s^{int}$  during the entire ablation season (1<sup>st</sup> May to 30<sup>th</sup> September) computed as follow and illustrated in Fig. 2.

234 
$$\overline{\alpha}_{s}^{\text{int}} = \int_{05.01}^{09.30} \overline{\alpha}(t) dt$$
 Eq. (1)

235





Figure 2: Schematic of a typical albedo cycle over one summer, displaying parameters which have been linked to annual and summer (between 1<sup>st</sup> May and 30<sup>th</sup> September in the northern

240 hemisphere) SMB.  $\overline{\alpha}_s^{\text{int}}$  is retrieved using Eq. (1). The summer minimum value of albedo is 241 represented by  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$ .

242	Integrated summer albedos allow to account for snowfall events that can occur during the
243	ablation period (punctual high albedos). As an example, a strong summer snowfall event
244	leading to a rather persistent snow coverage of the glacier will 'feed' the integrated albedo, and
245	physically reduces the glacier melting, which has an impact on the SMB (Oerlemans and
246	Klok, 2004). The method therefore accounts for snowfall events to retrieve the glacier summer
247	SMB. To compare each year together and remove the impact of the variable integration time
248	period for each glacier, $\overline{\alpha_s}^{\text{int}}$ has been divided by the number of integrated days.

249 **3.4 Data filtering** 

MODIS offers the opportunity to get daily images, but retrieving daily maps of Earth surface albedo remains challenging. Indeed, various sources of error require filtering the available images in order to only capture physical changes of the observed surface and not artifacts. Clouds are known to be a major problem in optical remote sensing of the Earth surface especially in the case of ice and snow covered surfaces. Even if some algorithms exist to differentiate clouds and snow-covered areas (e.g., Ackerman et al., 1998; Sirguey et al., 2009), omission errors are difficult to avoid, leading to erroneous albedo of the surface.

257 In this study, all images with a presence of cloud greater than 30% of the total glacier surface 258 area have been discarded. This threshold is higher than that chosen in Brun et al. (2015) on the 259 Chhota Shigri Glacier (20%), and we thus discuss Sect. 5.1 the impact of the computed cloud threshold on the derived albedo results. When determining  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$ , 0% of cloud cover has been 260 261 imposed as a condition and visual check for each year and each glacier has been performed. 262 Snapshots from the fusion of MODIS bands 1 to 3 and from bands 4 to 6 (Sirguev et al., 2009) have been used to visually check the images, together with images from other satellites 263 264 (mostly from the Landsat archive) and pictures and comments from mountaineering forums.

265 This last step, although laborious meticulous when studying 30 glaciers allowed the 266 identification of the summer minimum to be improved. Visual check of the images also 267 confirms that projected shadows of clouds are not affecting the albedo map. Another source of 268 error is the impact of the OZA. As mentioned in Sirguey et al. (2016), accuracy of the MODIS retrieved albedo strongly decreases for viewing angles above 45° as pixel size increases from 269 2 to 5-folds from OZA =  $45^{\circ}$  to  $66^{\circ}$  (Wolfe et al., 1998). This phenomenon is accentuated 270 271 when observing steep-sided snow/ice surfaces, surrounded by contrasted surfaces (rocks, 272 forests, lakes...). This distortion could lead to capture the mean albedo of a glacier plus its 273 surroundings. Following this, we decided to filter the images according to their OZA angle, as 274 further described Sect. 4.1.

275 4 Results

276 4.1 Retrieved albedo assessment

277 A quantitative evaluation of the retrieved albedo has been performed with AWS deployed on Saint-Sorlin Glacier. Measurements have been synchronized between punctual albedo for 278 279 MODIS and a 2-hour averaged albedo around MODIS acquisition time for the AWS. It is 280 worth reminding some differences between the in situ measured albedo data and the one 281 retrieved using MODIS. The downward facing pyranometer stands at around 1 m above the surface, corresponding to a monitored footprint of ca, 300 m<sup>2</sup> (theoretical value for a flat 282 terrain) while the pixel area of MODImLab products matches 62,500 m<sup>2</sup>. Quantified albedos 283 284 from each method are therefore not representative of the same area. On the other hand, 285 incoming radiation data are extremely sensitive to a tilt of the sensor located on the AWS and maintaining a constant angle throughout the monitoring period remains challenging, especially 286 during the ablation season. For instance, a tilt of 5° of the pyranometer at the summer solstice 287 288 can increase by 5% the error on the irradiance measurement (Bogren et al., 2016). No sensor 289 tilt was deployed on the AWS, thus preventing the application of tilt-correction methods (e.g., 290 Wang et al., 2016). Nonetheless, regular visit allowed to maintain the sensor horizontal and to 291 limit errors in the irradiance measurements.



Figure 3: MODIS albedo and AWS albedo data for different OZA classes on Saint-Sorlin 294 295 Glacier. Years indicated in the caption correspond to the year of acquisition while subscripts 296 express the AWS location in the accumulation or ablation areas. The mean discrepancy 297 between MODIS and AWS albedo per OZA is quantified by the RSS (residual sum of square). Correlation coefficient per OZA classes are also provided, with r<sup>2</sup>, RMSE together with the 298 299 of coefficients number compared measurements (Nb), and of the equation:  $MODIS_{albedo} = P_1^{MODIS} AWS_{albedo} + P_2^{MODIS}$ 300 The continuous grey line illustrates the 1:1 301 relationship between AWS and MODIS retrieved albedo. Thin and dotted lines represent the 302 combined uncertainties on both AWS and MODIS retrieved albedo (absolute value of 10% for 303 each), only accounting for intrinsic sensor accuracy and not for errors related to the acquisition 304 context, e.g. size of the footprint.

Figure 3 illustrates the comparison between the retrieved and measured albedos at the AWS locations for various OZA classes. One can note minor differences between the data plotted in Fig. 3 and those presented in Dumont et al. (2012, Fig. 2). These differences are related to changes in the MODImLab algorithm and different computation of the in situ albedo, integrated over a two-hour period in the current study.

In Fig. 3, the spread between MODIS and AWS albedos is higher for low albedos (i.e. ablation 311 312 area). This is related to the footprint difference as described earlier, accentuating the albedo 313 differences when monitoring heterogeneous surface (snow patches, melt pounds...), even 314 more pronounced in summer. One can also note that MODIS albedo often over-estimate the 315 AWS albedo value. This over-estimation could be explained by: (1) the MODImLab albedo 316 retrieval algorithm. Indeed, under-estimation of the incoming radiation computed in the 317 MODImLab algorithm would lead to over-estimated retrieved albedo values, in addition the 318 atmospheric corrections used to compute the incident radiation could be hypothesized as 319 source of error (e.g. modeled transmittance through a simplified computed atmosphere, refer 320 to (Sirguey et al., 2009) for further description); (2) the AWS albedo measurements. Indeed, 321 view angles of AWS pyranometers (170°) could influence the retrieved albedo by monitoring 322 out-of-glacier features (e.g. moraines, rock walls, ...), resulting in under-estimated albedo 323 values. However, it is worth noting that most of the points are within the combined uncertainty of both sensors and these differences in albedo retrieved from MODIS and the AWS are thus 324 325 hard to interpret.

Finally, Fig. 3 shows substantial differences between OZA<10° and other OZA classes. For OZA<10, MODIS albedos better agree with AWS albedos than for the three other classes. Integrating MODIS images with OZA>10° substantially deteriorate the agreement with AWS albedos (in term of  $r^2$ , *RMSE* and the slope  $P_1^{MODIS}$ ), especially on "narrow" targets as alpine mountain glaciers. We therefore chose to prioritize images acquired with low OZA to avoid

331 detection of non-glacierized surfaces. Therefore, four classes of images have been selected

332	following t	he criteria	presented i	in Table 2.
	0		r · · · · · ·	

Class	OZA (°)	Criteria		
Ι	$OZA \le 10$	All retained		
II	$10 < OZA \le 20$	Retained if more		
		than 7 days		
		between con-		
		secutive images		
		from class I		
III	$20 < OZA \le 30$	Retained if more		
		than 7 days		
		between con-		
		secutive images		
		from class I+II		
IV	OZA > 30	Not retained		

333



Table 2: Filtering the images from OZA values.

335

For the rest of the computation, the absolute  $\pm 10\%$  accuracy per pixel estimated in Dumont et al. (2012) has been considered. We determined the uncertainty on  $\overline{\alpha}$  by accounting for the spatial variability of the albedo signal within the glacier and considering that our sets of pixels are independent from each other (Eq. (2)):

340

$$\sigma_{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}} \qquad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

341 where  $\sigma$  stands for the standard deviation of the pixels albedo with N the number of pixels.

## 342 **4.2** Temporal variability of the albedo signal

Using the "step-by-step" filtering procedure explained in Sect. 3.4, the ~16-yr albedo cycle of each of the 30 glaciers was obtained (results available in the supplementary material). Figure 4 illustrates the entire albedo time series for Saint-Sorlin Glacier over the period 2000-2015. We observed that the albedo decreases from the beginning of summer (dashed red line), reaching  $\overline{\alpha}_{a}^{\min}$  in August/September and rising again at the end of September. This cyclicality is a proxy of surface processes. The snow cover decreases at the beginning of summer until reaching its 349 lowest extent, and finally increases again with the first snowfall in late summer to reach its350 maximum extent in winter/spring.



Figure 4: ~16-yr albedo course for Saint-Sorlin Glacier. Glacier-wide averaged albedo is represented with the continuous black line. The green dots spot for each summer the minimum average albedo, and have been manually checked for all years and glaciers. Dashed red and blue lines stand for the beginning of the defined ablation and accumulation seasons (May and October 1<sup>st</sup> respectively).

357

358 The periodicity of the albedo signal is however not so well defined for some of the studied glaciers. For instance, Argentière Glacier exhibits a severe drop of  $\overline{\alpha}$  in winter, reaching 359 values as low as summer minimums ( $\overline{\alpha} \approx 0.4$ ). The observed drop of albedo in winter occurs 360 during more than one month centered on the winter solstice (December 21<sup>st</sup>) and is observed 361 362 for nine glaciers (Argentière, Baounet, Casset, Blanc, Girose, Pilatte, Vallon Pilatte, Tour and 363 Sélé glaciers, refers to supplementary material for full results). These glaciers are located within the three studied mountain ranges but have the common characteristic to be very 364 365 incised with steep and high surrounding faces. We studied the albedo series as a function of 366 the SZA to reveal possible shadowing on the observed surfaces. Figure 5 displays the same 367 cycle as Fig. 4 for Argentière Glacier but providing information about SZA. As a reminder, 368 the MODImLab white-sky albedo is independent of the illumination geometry but the 369 computed albedo for each pixel can be subject to shadowing from the surrounding topography.

370 Two main observations stand out from the winter part of the cycle in Fig. 5: (i) most of MODIS  $\overline{\alpha}$  severely decrease under  $\overline{\alpha} = 0.6$  for SZA greater than 60° corresponding to 371 372 November to January images, (ii) these drops are not systematic and we rather observe a 373 dispersion cone than a well-defined bias. As there are no physical meanings to systematic change of the surface albedo during a part of the winter period and owing to the fact that this 374 375 dispersion is only observed for topographically incised glaciers, these decreases in albedo have 376 been considered as artifacts. These observations led us to perform a sensitivity study on the validity of the shadow mask produced by MODImLab, and to study the impact of these 377 378 shadows on the retrieved glacier-wide albedo (see 5.2).



379

**Figure 5:** Albedo cycle for Argentière Glacier as a function of the SZA. Each point corresponds to glacier-wide averaged albedo for each available image. The 16 years are displayed. Color scale gives indication on the date of the used image. The thick grey line describes the weekly albedo averaged over the entire study period. For readability purpose, the averaged albedo has been smoothed, using a 7 points running average.

385

## 386 4.3 Albedo and glacier-wide surface mass balance

387 **4.3.1**  $\alpha_a^{\text{max}}$  and annual surface mass balance

The summer minimum average albedo for each year and each glacier has been linearly correlated to the glacier-wide annual SMB. Figure 6 illustrates the relationship between  $\overline{\alpha}_{a}^{\min}$ and  $b_{a}$  for Blanc Glacier (all the other glaciers are shown in the supplementary material). Error bars result from the dispersion of the SMB dataset for each year, and from the glacier intrinsic variability of the albedo signal the day of  $\overline{\alpha}_{a}^{\min}$  acquisition. For the glaciers where the glacierwide annual SMB is available from the SLA method, the uncertainty is about  $\pm 0.22 m w.e$ . on average (ranging from 0.19 to 0.40 m w.e. depending on the glacier, Rabatel et al., 2016).



Figure 6: Annual SMB as a function of the MODIS retrieved summer minimum glacier-wide average albedo for Blanc Glacier. Error bars result on the dispersion of the available annual SMB data and on the quadratic sum of the systematic errors made on each albedo measurement. The thin dashed grey line illustrates the line of best fit, along with regression coefficients and significance.

401

402 Twenty-seven glaciers show significant correlations (refer to Table 1 for full results) if 403 considering a risk of error of 5% (according to a Student's t test) and confirms the robust 404 correlation between  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  and  $b_a$ . However, the linear correlation has no statistical significance for three glaciers with  $r^2 < 0.25$ . A possible explanation is the high number of removed images in summer due to manually checked thin overlying clouds not detected by the MODImLab cloud algorithm.

408 Looking at the 27 glaciers for which significant relationships have been found, 2001 is 409 regularly identified as an outlier. According to existing SMB datasets, 2001 is the only year of the period 2000-2015 for which the annual SMB has been positive for all the studied glaciers 410  $(+0.80 \text{ m w.e. yr}^{-1} \text{ in average}).$ 411 To predict correctly the surface mass balance values for the year 2001 using the albedo 412 method, monitored minimum glacier-wide average albedo would need to be extremely high 413 414 (often greater than 0.7, i.e. 0.83 and 0.95 for Rochemelon and Vallonnet glaciers, 415 respectively), to match the regression line derived from other years of the time series (Table 416 1). Taking into consideration snow metamorphism during the summer period, melting at the 417 surface and possible deposition of debris or dusts, monitoring such high albedo values averaged at the glacier scale is unrealistic. As removing 2001 from the time series does not 418 419 increase the number of glaciers for which the correlation is significant, 2001 has been 420 conserved in the time series. However, this observation reveals a limitation of the albedo method by under-estimating the annual SMB value for years with very positive annual SMB. 421

422 **4.3.2**  $\overline{\alpha}_s^{\text{int}}$  and summer surface mass balance

Studying the integral of the albedo signal during the ablation season can provide insights on the intensity of the ablation season and thus on the summer SMB  $b_s$ . As described in Sect. 3.3.2,  $\overline{\alpha}_s^{\text{int}}$  has been computed and connected to the in situ  $b_s$ . Figure 7 illustrates the results for Saint-Sorlin Glacier.



Figure 7: Summer SMB  $b_s$  expressed as a function of the integrated albedo over the entire ablation season for Saint-Sorlin Glacier. Error bars result from the uncertainties related to the glaciological method (measurements and interpolation at the glacier scale of the punctual measurements,  $\pm 0.20 \ m w.e.$  in total), and on the quadratic sum of the systematic errors made on each albedo measurement. Thin dashed grey line represents the linear regression showing the best correlation between the two variables, together with correlation coefficients.

434

Saint-Sorlin Glacier, together with the five other seasonally surveyed glaciers showed a 435 significant correlation between the two observed variables (from  $r^2 = 0.46$  to  $r^2 = 0.94$  with an 436 error risk < 5%, all statistics referred in Table 1). Conversely to  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha}_s^{int}$  is slightly more 437 438 robust to the presence of undetected clouds as its value does not rely on a single image. The 439 lowest correlation has been found for Talèfre Glacier. The latter accounts for a relatively large 440 debris-covered tongue that has been excluded when delineating the glacier mask (see supplementary material). Consequently, the low correlation could be partly explained by this 441 442 missing area, considered in the glaciological method but not remotely sensed. To conclude, 443  $\overline{\alpha}_{s}^{\text{int}}$  has been significantly correlated to  $b_{s}$  and is therefore a reliable proxy to record the 444 ablation season.

445

# 446 5 Discussion

In this section, we first discuss the impact of the threshold applied to the cloud cover fraction on the obtained results. Then, a sensitivity study focused on the algorithm correcting the shadows is presented. We finally express the main limitations and assessments of the albedo method.

## 451 **5.1** Cloud coverage threshold

452 As stated in Sect. 3.4, a value of 30% of cloud coverage over the glacier mask has been 453 defined as the acceptable maximum value for considering the albedo map of the day. We 454 computed a sensitivity study on the impact of this threshold on the value of the obtained 455 correlations between the integrated summer albedo and the in situ summer SMB. The summer 456 period has been chosen as it represents the period when the albedo of the glacier is the most 457 contrasted, between bare ice and snow/firn. The glacier-wide average albedo in this period is 458 therefore more sensitive to possible shading of a part of the glacier. Figure 8 illustrates the 459 results for the six seasonally surveyed glaciers. The used value of the allowed cloud coverage 460 appears not to have a substantial impact on the correlation. This observation first implies that 461 the MODImLab cloud product is reliable enough to only compute surface albedo and to avoid too frequent misclassification between the clouds and the surface. It also suggests that 462 463 removing too many images because of partial cloud cover removes information about the 464 glacier-wide average albedo variability. However, allowing all images, even when the glacier-465 wide average albedo is computed on only 10% of the glacier (90% of detected cloud 466 coverage), does not reduce significantly the correlation for most of the six glaciers.





Figure 8: r<sup>2</sup> for the six seasonally surveyed glaciers for the albedo summer integral *versus* summer SMB relationship against the cloud threshold above which images have been discarded during the summer season. For the computation, hundred thresholds have been tested between 0 and 100%. The inner histogram illustrates the number of considered images per summer and averaged on the six glaciers.

473

474 Nevertheless, hypothesizing that the glacier-wide average albedo of a small fraction of the 475 glacier (e.g., 10%) is suitable to represent the entire glacierized surface is questionable. It therefore depends on the size of the observed glacier, where 10% of a glacier of 3 and 30 km<sup>2</sup> 476 477 have not the same meaning, but also on the delineated mask (ablation area not entirely considered because of debris coverage...). The summer-integrated albedo is also highly 478 479 dependent on the time gap between useful images. In other words, if an image has an 480 "anomalous" glacier-wide average albedo because of high cloud coverage, the impact on the 481 integrated value will be smaller if "normal condition" albedos are monitored at nearby dates.

482 The average number of available images per year does not largely differ between the various computed cloud coverage thresholds. It varies in average from 95 to 123 images per summer 483 484 period for respectively 0% and 100% cloud coverage threshold. Intermediate values are 106, 485 111 and 116 images per summer for 30, 50 and 75% cloud coverage threshold, respectively. The difference in significance of  $r^2$  (according to a Student's t test) between opting for 0% and 486 487 100% is almost negligible, and choosing the best cloud threshold value is rather a compromise 488 between the number of used images and the resulting correlation with glacier-wide SMB. We 489 finally concluded that selecting cloud coverage threshold to 30% presents the best 490 determination coefficients between the integrated summer albedo and the summer balance for 491 most of the six glaciers without losing too much temporal resolution.

# 492 **5.2** Assessment of the impact of shadows on retrieved albedos

493 In light of the documented dispersion on  $\overline{\alpha}$  during some of the winter months on several 494 studied glaciers (Sect. 4.2), sensitivity of the MODIS retrieved albedo against correction of 495 shadows had been assessed. This work has only been conducted on the 250 m resolution raster 496 products and specifically on the cast shadow product because self-shadow corrections can be 497 considered as reliable enough because only related to the DEM accuracy. We thus defined a pixel as "corrected" when at least one of its sub-pixels was classified as shadowed. From then 498 on, two glacier-wide albedos  $\overline{\alpha}$  have been defined: (i)  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$  computed on non-corrected 499 pixels only, classified as non-shadowed; (ii)  $\overline{\alpha}$  of both corrected and non-corrected pixels, 500 501 equal to the glacier-wide average albedo. Figure 9 illustrates the difference between  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$ and  $\overline{\alpha}$  as a function of the percentage of corrected pixels over the entire glacier. The study 502 was performed on Argentière Glacier (111 pixels) that exhibited large  $\overline{\alpha}$  artifacts in winter 503 504 (Fig. 5). The inner diagram allows emphasizing the annual "cycle" of modeled shadows, 505 contrasted between nearly no cast shadows in summer and an almost fully shadowed surface in winter. We represent the 1 standard deviation of  $\overline{\alpha}$ , averaged by classes of 5% corrected 506 507 pixels. In other words, it illustrates the mean variability of the glacier-wide surface albedo.

Therefore, for images with  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$  -  $\overline{\alpha}$  within the interval defined by 1 st.dev. of  $\overline{\alpha}$ , errors 508 resulting from the correction algorithm are smaller than the spatial variability of the glacier-509 wide albedo glacier. We also selected only significant values, following a normal distribution 510 of the averaged  $\overline{\alpha}$ . Consequently, only values at  $\pm 1\sigma$  (68.2%) in term of percentage of 511 512 corrected pixel have been retained (i.e. when the relative share of corrected pixels ranged from 15.9 to 84.1%). Between 0 and 15.9%,  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  are not sufficiently independent 513 because of low number of corrected pixels, and beyond 84.1%,  $\overline{\alpha}_{\text{non-cor}}$  is computed over a too 514 515 small number of pixels. As a consequence, even if the albedo correction in the shadowed parts 516 of the glacier could be improved, most of the errors related to this correction do not depreciate the results. Above 80% of corrected pixels (December to early February), differences between 517  $\overline{\alpha}_{\text{non-cor}}$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  exceed the monitored spatial variability of  $\overline{\alpha}$ . These anomalies are at the root 518 519 of the observed artifacts Fig. 5 by the severe drops of albedos and described Sect. 4.2.



**Figure 9:** Impact of the ratio of corrected pixels toward the difference between non-corrected and glacier-wide albedo. Each point corresponds to one acquisition and the 16 years are therefore displayed on this graph. Color scale gives indication on the date of the acquired image. Grey shaded areas correspond to ratios of corrected pixels for which  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor} - \overline{\alpha}$  has

525 low statistical robustness (refer to the main text). Thin grey lines represent  $1\sigma$  standard 526 deviation of  $\overline{\alpha}$ , averaged by classes of 5% corrected pixels. The inner graph illustrates the 527 amount of corrected pixel, function of the selected month.

528

In addition, a seasonality in the albedo signal can be observed with  $\overline{\alpha}_{\text{non-cor}} - \overline{\alpha} > 0$  in early 529 spring (February to April) while  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$  -  $\overline{\alpha} < 0$  in summer and autumn (June to November). 530 531 This could be explained by different localizations of shadowed area for a given ratio of 532 corrected pixel. As an example, a glacier could have in October a snow- and shadow-free 533 snout and a covered by fresh snow and shadowed upper section. This configuration would 534 induce a negative difference as we observe from June to November. Conversely, this glacier could present in March (same ratio of corrected pixels than October) a complete snow 535 coverage, leading to a smaller difference between  $\overline{\alpha}_{non-cor}$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  (<0.1) that could even result 536 537 on positive difference as we observe from February to April.

Finally, observed albedo artifacts in winter are most likely due to the correction of shadows.
On the other hand, correcting shadows accurately and consistently is extremely challenging.
As illustrated by Fig. 9, a way to confidently consider the albedo signal is to exclude values
with too large share of corrected pixels. However, because of the inter-annual approach carried
out in this study, such systematic artifact is not depreciating the results but would be a major
issue on studies focused on albedo values themselves (e.g. maps of snow extents...).

# 544 **5.3 Limits of the albedo method**

545 In agreement with Dumont et al. (2012) and Brun et al. (2015), retrieving the glacier annual 546 SMB from albedo summer minimums proves to be an efficient method. Low correlations often 547 result from high and persistent cloud coverage during summer, reducing the chance of spotting 548 the albedo summer minimum. For SMB reconstruction purpose, a future line of research could 549 rest upon linking morpho-topographic features of the glacier such as glacier surface area, 550 mean altitude or slope to the regression coefficients of both annual and seasonal SMB *vs.*  551 albedo relationships, giving the opportunity to establish analogy between monitored and 552 unmonitored glaciers. Tests have been carried out but no significant and satisfying results have 553 been obtained, due to a presumably too heterogeneous data set, where large glaciers (>10 km<sup>2</sup>) 554 and/or south-facing glaciers are largely under-represented. Larger scale studies and multi-555 variable correlations in between morpho-topographic features could be for instance envisaged. 556 Rabatel et al., (2017) recently proposed an alternative approach to reconstruct the annual mass balance of unmonitored glacier on the basis of the albedo method. This approach relies on the 557 ELA-method (Rabatel et al., 2005), but using the remotely sensed monitored  $\overline{\alpha}_a^{\min}$  together 558 with the accumulation area ration (AAR), the glacier hypsometry, and the regional SMB-559 560 elevation gradient (which is the annual SMB gradient in the vicinity of the glacier ELA). For 561 an exhaustive description of this approach, refer to Rabatel et al., (2017). 562 Using the albedo method for the summer period has shown promising results, with significant 563 correlations found for the six seasonally monitored glaciers. There is still in this approach a 564 step to retrieve the summer SMB of an unmonitored glacier with high confidence. The winter period has also been considered in the framework of this study, but has not been 565 566 presented in the main body of this publication because of underwhelming results. The albedo signal between 1<sup>st</sup> October and 30<sup>th</sup> April has been computed similarly to Sirguey et al. (2016) 567

568 by integrating the winter albedo signal, only when exceeding a certain threshold  $\overline{\alpha}_{\tau}$ , as 569 described by Eq. (3)):

570 
$$\overline{\alpha}_{w}^{\text{int}} = \int \overline{\alpha}(t) \begin{cases} \text{if } \overline{\alpha}(t) \text{ is found between 10.01 and 04.30} \\ \text{Only if } \overline{\alpha}(t) \ge \overline{\alpha}_{T} \end{cases} \text{Eq. (3)}$$

According to Sirguey et al., 2016, the use of 
$$\overline{\alpha}_{T}$$
 allows to detect all snowfall events on the  
glacier, by monitoring abrupt rises of  $\overline{\alpha}$ . One of the main conclusions of the latter study was  
the ability of the computed  $\overline{\alpha}_{w}^{int}$  to monitor the frequency of snowfall events, themselves proxy  
of the accumulation of snow on the glacier, known to be one of the main component of the  
winter SMB.

- 576  $\overline{\alpha}_{T}$  has been chosen to maximize the correlation between the retrieved cumulative winter 577 albedo  $\overline{\alpha}_{w}^{\text{int}}$  and the winter SMB. Threshold values have been computed independently for each 578 of the six seasonally monitored glaciers. To evaluate the impact of this threshold,  $\overline{\alpha}_{w}^{\text{int}}$  has also 579 been computed without threshold over winter months (equivalent to  $\overline{\alpha}_{T} = 0$ ). Table 3 gathers 580 all the coefficients obtained from the relationship  $\overline{\alpha}_{w}^{\text{int}}$  vs.  $b_{w}$ , with and without the use of an
- 581 albedo threshold  $\overline{\alpha}_{T}$ .

Glacier	$\bar{\alpha}_T$	$r^2$ using $\bar{\alpha}_T$	$r^2$ without $\bar{lpha}_T$
Saint-Sorlin	0.76	0.75	0.21
Argentière	0.58	0.88	0.76
Talèfre	0.68	0.59	0.25
Mer de Glace	0.53	0.90	0.87
Gebroulaz	0.75	0.36	0.25
Blanc	0.70	0.33	0.21

582

583 **Table 3:** Coefficients of determination for the relationship between the winter SMB  $b_w$  and the

584 integrated winter albedo, computed with and without the albedo threshold  $\overline{\alpha}_T$ .

585	For Argentière and Mer de Glace glaciers, a significant correlation is found whatever the value
586	of the albedo threshold $\overline{\alpha}_T$ . For the four other glaciers, using $\overline{\alpha}_T$ largely improves the
587	correlation. However, $\overline{\alpha}_T$ is far from being uniform on the six glaciers (0.53 $\geq \overline{\alpha}_T \geq$ 0.76). In
588	addition, for most of the considered glaciers, correlation coefficients abruptly deteriorate when
589	changing this threshold, which does not allow using a "regional" threshold for all considered
590	glaciers. On the other hand, Argentière and Mer de Glace without the use of $\overline{\alpha}_{T}$ provide the
591	best correlation coefficients compared to the other four glaciers; it is noteworthy that they are
592	by far the largest glaciers of our monitoring set (14.59 and 23.45 km <sup>2</sup> for Argentière and Mer
593	de Glace glaciers, respectively). With a glacier snout reaching 1600 m a.s.l., the tongue of
594	these glaciers can experience melting events (resulting in contrasted pixels in terms of albedo
595	value), even during the winter season. Another difference between our study and Sirguey et al.
596	(2016) is that their work focused only on Brewster glacier defined as a maritime glacier

597 These types of glaciers, even during the accumulation period can experience strong varying albedos in their lower reaches, which leads to similar behaviors in winter as for Argentière and 598 599 Mer de Glace glaciers. We therefore reconsider the idea of Sirguey et al. (2016) to use a 600 threshold as a representative value of fresh snowfall, as there is no physical reason that this 601 threshold varies, at least within the same region. However, an interesting perspective would be to apply the method without threshold, on a set of other maritime or large glaciers (> 10  $km^2$ ). 602 603 An additional approach has been carried out, aiming at retrieving  $b_w$  by deduction from the reconstructed  $b_a$  and  $b_s$  from the albedo signal. This approach, not using the winter albedo 604 signal, is poorly correlated ( $r^{2} < 0.16$ ) to in situ  $b_{w}$  for the six seasonally monitored glaciers. 605 606 Indeed, the result extremely depends on the quality of the correlations between  $b_a$ ,  $b_s$  and the 607 albedo signals. Saint-Sorlin Glacier is a good example, being one of the glaciers with the highest correlations for the annual ( $r^2 = 0.86$ ) and summer ( $r^2 = 0.94$ ) SMB. Subtracting  $b_s$ 608 609 from  $b_a$  to computed  $b_w$  leads to an average difference between computed and measured  $b_w$  of 610  $\pm 0.41$  m w.e for the 10 simulated years. As a consequence, in case of low correlations between 611 SMB and albedo, errors in the computed winter SMB become exacerbated.

612

#### 613 6 Conclusion

614 In this study, we used the so-called albedo method to correlate annual and summer SMB to 615 glacier-wide average albedos obtained from MODIS images. This method has been carried on 616 30 glaciers located in the French Alps, over the period 2000-2015. Images processing has been 617 performed using the MODImLab algorithm, and filters on the images have been applied, 618 removing images with more than 30% cloud coverage, and excluding images with satellite 619 observation angles greater than 30°. Quality assessment has been performed and close 620 agreement has been found between albedos from AWS installed on Saint-Sorlin Glacier and 621 MODIS retrieved albedo values. Annual SMB have been significantly correlated to the 622 summer minimum albedo for 27 of the 30 selected glaciers, confirming this variable as a good 623 proxy of the glacier-wide annual SMB. For the six seasonally monitored glaciers, summer 624 SMBs obtained from the glaciological method have been significantly linked to the integral of 625 the summer albedo. However, calculating the integral of the winter albedo to quantify the 626 winter SMB as done by Sirguey et al. (2016) has shown underwhelming results. Monitoring 627 winter glacier surface albedo may provide good insights on the frequency of snow 628 accumulation at the surface of the glacier but lacks in quantifying the amount of accumulation. Glaciers that experience complete snow coverage during most of the winter season showed the 629 lowest correlation ( $r^2 \le 0.33$ ) while the two glaciers showing the best correlations are subject 630 631 to some events of surface melting in their lower reaches. Yet, this approach should not be definitively forsaken but requires improvements to confidently retrieve winter SMB. 632 633 Sensitivity study on the impact of the considered cloud coverage has revealed a high 634 confidence in the MODImLab cloud algorithm, limiting pixel misclassifications, and a rather 635 high tolerance of the integrated signal to the number of partly cloud-covered images. This 636 confidence on cloud filters is very promising to document unmonitored glaciers. Correction of 637 shadows by the MODImLab algorithm has however revealed some limitations when a large 638 share of the glacier is shadowed by the surrounding topography (around winter solstice). 639 Despite this, severe and artificial drops of albedo in winter have not been identified as an 640 obstacle for monitoring both summer and winter SMB. Such systematic errors are not an issue 641 for inter-annual studies, but would be a serious issue on studies focused on albedo values 642 themselves. As a line of future researches, MODIS archive together with albedo maps processed with MODImLab could be used to compute an integrated daily absorbed solar 643 644 radiation (Miller et al., 2016). This calculation could then be included in a surface energy balance computation, providing insights into the impact of the albedo variability on glacier 645 646 SMB, especially in the melt season.

To conclude, the use of optical satellite images to estimate glacier surface processes and quantify annual and summer SMB from the albedo cycle is very promising and should be expanded to further regions. Using images from different satellites, combining high spatial and temporal resolution instruments, could substantially reduces uncertainties, especially for spotting the albedo summer minimum with more confidence, but also to improve the temporal
resolution. This method could then in the short term, become reliable for retrieving SMB of
monitored and unmonitored glaciers.

654

## 655 Acknowledgment

656 This study was conducted within the Service National d'Observation GLACIOCLIM. Equipex 657 GEOSUD (Investissements d'avenir - ANR-10-EQPX-20) is acknowledged for providing the 658 2014 SPOT-6 images. The MODIS Level-1B data were processed by the MODIS Adaptive 659 Processing System (MODAPS) and the Goddard Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) 660 and are archived and distributed by the Goddard DAAC. In situ mass balance data for the Glacier Blanc were kindly provided by the Parc National des Ecrins. The authors 661 662 acknowledge the contribution the Labex OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir - ANR10 663 LABX56). Pascal Sirguey thanks the University of Grenoble Alpes and Grenoble-INP for the 664 6-month "invited professor grant" obtained in 2015-16.

# 666 **REFERENCES**

- Ackerman, S. A., Strabala, K. I., Menzel, W. P., Frey, R. A., Moeller, C. C. and Gumley, L. E.:
  Discriminating clear sky from clouds with MODIS, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24), 32–141,
  doi:10.1029/1998JD200032, 1998.
- Baraer, M., Mark, B. G., Mckenzie, J. M., Condom, T., Bury, J., Huh, K.-I., Portocarrero, C.,
  Gomez, J. and Rathay, S.: Glacier recession and water resources in Peru's Cordillera
- 672 Blanca, J. Glaciol., 58(207), 134–150, 2012.
- 673 Belart, J. M. C., Berthier, E., Magnússon, E., Anderson, L. S., Pálsson, F., Thorsteinsson, T.,
- Howat, I. M., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Jóhannesson, T. and Jarosch, A. H.: Winter mass balance
- 675 of Drangajökull ice cap (NW Iceland) derived from satellite sub-meter stereo images, The
- 676 Cryosphere, 11(3), 1501–1517, doi:10.5194/tc-11-1501-2017, 2017.
- 677 Berthier, E., Cabot, V., Vincent, C. and Six, D.: Decadal Region-Wide and Glacier-Wide Mass
- 678 Balances Derived from Multi-Temporal ASTER Satellite Digital Elevation Models.
- Validation over the Mont-Blanc Area, Front. Earth Sci., 4, doi:10.3389/feart.2016.00063,2016.
- Bogren, W. S., Burkhart, J. F. and Kylling, A.: Tilt error in cryospheric surface radiation
- 682 measurements at high latitudes: a model study, The Cryosphere, 10(2), 613–622, 682 doi:10.5104/to.10.612.2016.2016
- 683 doi:10.5194/tc-10-613-2016, 2016.
- Braithwaite, R. J.: Can the Mass Balance of a Glacier be Estimated from its EquilibriumLine Altitude?, J. Glaciol., 30(106), 364–368, doi:10.1017/S0022143000006237, 1984.
- Brun, F., Dumont, M., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Azam, M. F., Shea, J. M., Sirguey, P., Rabatel,
  A. and Ramanathan, A.: Seasonal changes in surface albedo of Himalayan glaciers from
  MODIS data and links with the annual mass balance, The Cryosphere, 9(1), 341–355,
- 689 doi:10.5194/tc-9-341-2015, 2015.
- Chaponniere, A., Maisongrande, P., Duchemin, B., Hanich, L., Boulet, G., Escadafal, R. and
  Elouaddat, S.: A combined high and low spatial resolution approach for mapping snow
  covered areas in the Atlas mountains, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26(13), 2755–2777, 2005.
- 693 Chen, J. and Ohmura, A.: Estimation of Alpine glacier water resources and their change 694 since the 1870s, IAHS Publ, 193, 127–135, 1990.
- Chinn, T. J., Heydenrych, C. and Salinger, M. J.: Use of the ELA as a practical method of
  monitoring glacier response to climate in New Zealand's Southern Alps, J. Glaciol.,
  51(172), 85–95, 2005.
- 698 Church, J. A., Clark, P. U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J. M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann, A.,
- 699 Merrifield, M., Milne, G., Nerem, R., Nunn, P. and others: Sea level change, Clim. Change
- 700 2013 Phys. Sci. Basis Work. Group Contrib. Fifth Assess. Rep. Intergov. Panel Clim.
- 701 Change, 1137–1216, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014051, 2013.
- Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The Physics of Glaciers, Elsevier Science. [online]
  Available from: https://books.google.fr/books?id=Jca2v1u1EKEC, 2010.
- 704 Dozier, J. and Frew, J.: Rapid calculation of terrain parameters for radiation modeling
- from digital elevation data, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 28(5), 963–969,
- 706 doi:10.1109/36.58986, 1990.

- 707 Dozier, J., Bruno, J. and Downey, P.: A faster solution to the horizon problem, Comput.
- 708 Geosci., 7, 145–151, doi:10.1016/0098-3004(81)90026-1, 1981.
- 709 Drolon, V., Maisongrande, P., Berthier, E., Swinnen, E. and Huss, M.: Monitoring of
- 710 seasonal glacier mass balance over the European Alps using low-resolution optical
- 711 satellite images, J. Glaciol., 62(235), 912–927, doi:10.1017/jog.2016.78, 2016.
- 712 Dumont, M., Sirguey, P., Arnaud, Y. and Six, D.: Monitoring spatial and temporal variations
- of surface albedo on Saint Sorlin Glacier (French Alps) using terrestrial photography,
- 714 The Cryosphere, 5(3), 759–771, doi:10.5194/tc-5-759-2011, 2011.
- 715 Dumont, M., Gardelle, J., Sirguey, P., Guillot, A., Six, D., Rabatel, A. and Arnaud, Y.: Linking
- 716 glacier annual mass balance and glacier albedo retrieved from MODIS data, The
- 717 Cryosphere, 6(6), 1527–1539, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1527-2012, 2012.
- Dyurgerov, M., Meier, M. F. and Bahr, D. B.: A new index of glacier area change: a tool for
  glacier monitoring, J. Glaciol., 55(192), 710–716, doi:10.3189/002214309789471030,
- 720 2009.
- Dyurgerov, M. B. and Meier, M. F.: Twentieth century climate change: Evidence from
  small glaciers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 97(4), 1406–1411, doi:10.1073/pnas.97.4.1406,
  2000.
- Gardelle, J., Berthier, E., Arnaud, Y. and Kääb, A.: Region-wide glacier mass balances over
  the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya during 1999–2011, The Cryosphere, 7(4), 1263–1286,
  doi:10.5194/tc-7-1263-2013, 2013.
- Gardent, M., Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.-P. and Deline, P.: Multitemporal glacier inventory of
  the French Alps from the late 1960s to the late 2000s, Glob. Planet. Change, 120, 24–37,
  doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.05.004, 2014.
- Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., Berthier, E.,
- Hock, R., Pfeffer, W. T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M. J., Hagen, J. O.,
- 732 Broeke, M. R. van den and Paul, F.: A Reconciled Estimate of Glacier Contributions to Sea
- 733 Level Rise: 2003 to 2009, Science, 340(6134), 852–857, doi:10.1126/science.1234532,
- 734 2013.
- Greuell, W. and Knap, W. H.: Remote sensing of the albedo and detection of the slush line
  on the Greenland ice sheet, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 105(D12), 15567–15576,
- 737 2000.
- 738 Greuell, W., Kohler, J., Obleitner, F., Glowacki, P., Melvold, K., Bernsen, E. and Oerlemans,
- J.: Assessment of interannual variations in the surface mass balance of 18 Svalbard
- 740 glaciers from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer/Terra albedo
- 741 product, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 112, D07105/1null, 2007.
- Haeberli, W. and Beniston, M.: Climate Change and Its Impacts on Glaciers and
  Permafrost in the Alps, Ambio, 27(4), 258–265, 1998.
- 744 Immerzeel, W. W., Beek, L. P. H. van and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Climate Change Will Affect the
  745 Asian Water Towers, Science, 328(5984), 1382–1385, doi:10.1126/science.1183188,
- 746 2010.

- Jacob, T., Wahr, J., Pfeffer, W. T. and Swenson, S.: Recent contributions of glaciers and ice
  caps to sea level rise, Nature, 482(7386), 514–518, doi:10.1038/nature10847, 2012.
- 749 Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Fischer, L., Guex, S., Paul, F., Roer, I., Salzmann, N., Schlaefli, S.,
- Schmutz, K., Schneider, D., Strozzi, T. and Weidmann, Y.: Remote sensing of glacier- and
  permafrost-related hazards in high mountains: an overview, Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci,
- 752 5(4), 527–554, doi:10.5194/nhess-5-527-2005, 2005.
- 753 Kaser, G., Grosshauser, M. and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water
- availability in different climate regimes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 20223–20227,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1008162107, 2010.
- 756 Kipp and Zonen: Instruction Manuel CNR1 Net radiometer, 2009.
- LaChapelle, E.: Assessing glacier mass budgets by reconnaissance aerial photography, J.Glaciol., 4, 290–297, 1962.
- Meier, M. F. and Post, A.: Recent variations in mass net budgets of glaciers in western
  North America, IASH Publ, 58, 63–77, 1962.
- Mercer, J. H.: The Response of Fjord Glaciers to Changes in the Firn Limit, J. Glaciol.,
  3(29), 850–858, doi:10.1017/S0022143000027222, 1961.
- 763 Mernild, S. H., Pelto, M., Malmros, J. K., Yde, J. C., Knudsen, N. T. and Hanna, E.:
- 764 Identification of snow ablation rate, ELA, AAR and net mass balance using transient
- snowline variations on two Arctic glaciers, J. Glaciol., 59(216), 649–659, 2013.
- Miller, S. D., Wang, F., Burgess, A. B., McKenzie Skiles, S., Rogers, M. and Painter, T. H.:
  Satellite-Based Estimation of Temporally Resolved Dust Radiative Forcing in Snow
  Cover, J. Hydrometeorol., 17(7), 1999–2011, doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0150.1, 2016.
- 769 Oerlemans: Glaciers and Climate Change, Balkema. [online] Available from:
- 770 http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/22045 (Accessed 13 July 2017), 2001.
- Oerlemans, J.: Quantifying Global Warming from the Retreat of Glaciers, Science,264(5156), 243–245, 1994.
- Oerlemans, J. and Klok, E. J.: Effect of summer snowfall on glacier mass balance, Ann.
  Glaciol., 38, 97–100, doi:10.3189/172756404781815158, 2004.
- Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O.,
- Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., Miles, E. S., Moholdt, G., Mölg, N., Paul, F., Radić, V.,
- 777 Rastner, P., Raup, B. H., Rich, J. and Sharp, M. J.: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a
- globally complete inventory of glaciers, J. Glaciol., 60(221), 537–552,
- 779 doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J176, 2014.
- 780 Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.-P. and Vincent, C.: Using remote-sensing data to determine
- 781 equilibrium-line altitude and mass-balance time series: validation on three French
- glaciers, 1994–2002, J. Glaciol., 51(175), 539–546, doi:10.3189/172756505781829106,
  2005.
- Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.-P., Thibert, E., Letréguilly, A. and Vincent, C.: 25 years (1981–2005)
- of equilibrium-line altitude and mass-balance reconstruction on Glacier Blanc, French

- 786 Alps, using remote-sensing methods and meteorological data, J. Glaciol., 54(185), 307– 314, doi:10.3189/002214308784886063, 2008. 787
- 788 Rabatel, A., Letréguilly, A., Dedieu, J.-P. and Eckert, N.: Changes in glacier equilibrium-line 789 altitude in the western Alps from 1984 to 2010: evaluation by remote sensing and
- 790 modeling of the morpho-topographic and climate controls, The Cryosphere, 7(5), 1455– 791 1471, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1455-2013, 2013.
- 792 Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J. P. and Vincent, C.: Spatio-temporal changes in glacier-wide mass 793 balance quantified by optical remote sensing on 30 glaciers in the French Alps for the
- 794 period 1983–2014, J. Glaciol., 62(236), 1153–1166, doi:10.1017/jog.2016.113, 2016.
- 795 Rabatel, A., Sirguey, P., Drolon, V., Maisongrande, P., Arnaud, Y., Berthier, E., Davaze, L.,
- 796 Dedieu, J.-P. and Dumont, M.: Annual and Seasonal Glacier-Wide Surface Mass Balance
- 797 Quantified from Changes in Glacier Surface State: A Review on Existing Methods Using 798 Optical Satellite Imagery, Remote Sens., 9(5), 507, doi:10.3390/rs9050507, 2017.
- 799
- Racoviteanu, A. E., Williams, M. W. and Barry, R. G.: Optical Remote Sensing of Glacier 800 Characteristics: A Review with Focus on the Himalaya, Sensors, 8(5), 3355–3383,
- 801 doi:10.3390/s8053355,2008.
- 802 Ragettli, S., Bolch, T. and Pellicciotti, F.: Heterogeneous glacier thinning patterns over the
- 803 last 40 years in Langtang Himal, Nepal, The Cryosphere, 10(5), 2075–2097, 804 doi:10.5194/tc-10-2075-2016, 2016.
- 805 Shea, J. M., Menounos, B., Moore, R. D. and Tennant, C.: An approach to derive regional
- 806 snow lines and glacier mass change from MODIS imagery, western North America, The 807 Cryosphere, 7(2), 667–680, doi:10.5194/tc-7-667-2013, 2013.
- 808 Shean, D. E., Alexandrov, O., Moratto, Z. M., Smith, B. E., Joughin, I. R., Porter, C. and Morin,
- 809 P.: An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models
- 810 (DEMs) from very-high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery, ISPRS J.
- 811 Photogramm. Remote Sens., 116, 101–117, doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012, 2016.
- 812 Sirguey, P.: Simple correction of multiple reflection effects in rugged terrain, Int. J.
- 813 Remote Sens., 30(4), 1075–1081, doi:10.1080/01431160802348101, 2009.
- 814 Sirguey, P., Mathieu, R., Arnaud, Y., Khan, M. M. and Chanussot, J.: Improving MODIS
- 815 Spatial Resolution for Snow Mapping Using Wavelet Fusion and ARSIS Concept, IEEE
- 816 Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., 5(1), 78-82, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2007.908884, 2008.
- 817 Sirguey, P., Mathieu, R. and Arnaud, Y.: Subpixel monitoring of the seasonal snow cover
- 818 with MODIS at 250 m spatial resolution in the Southern Alps of New Zealand:
- Methodology and accuracy assessment, Remote Sens. Environ., 113(1), 160–181, 819
- 820 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2008.09.008, 2009.
- 821 Sirguey, P., Still, H., Cullen, N. J., Dumont, M., Arnaud, Y. and Conway, J. P.: Reconstructing 822 the mass balance of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, using MODIS-derived glacier-wide 823 albedo, The Cryosphere, 10(5), 2465–2484, doi:10.5194/tc-10-2465-2016, 2016.
- 824 Six, D., Wagnon, P., Sicart, J. E. and Vincent, C.: Meteorological controls on snow and ice
- 825 ablation for two contrasting months on Glacier de Saint-Sorlin, France, Ann. Glaciol.,
- 826 50(50), 66-72, doi:10.3189/172756409787769537, 2009.

- 827 Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O. and Beniston, M.: Climate change impacts on
- glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia), Nat. Clim. Change, 2(10), 725–731,
- 829 doi:10.1038/nclimate1592, 2012.
- 830 Soruco, A., Vincent, C., Rabatel, A., Francou, B., Thibert, E., Sicart, J.-E. and Condom, T.:
- 831 Contribution of glacier runoff to water resources of La Paz city, Bolivia (16 degrees S),
- Ann. Glaciol., 56(70), 147–154, doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A001, 2015.
- 833 Stocker, T., Qin, D., Plattner, G., Tignor, M., Allen, S., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, B.
- and Midgley, B.: IPCC, 2013: climate change 2013: the physical science basis.
- Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmentalpanel on climate change, 2013.
- Van As, D.: Warming, glacier melt and surface energy budget from weather station
  observations in the Melville Bay region of northwest Greenland, J. Glaciol., 57(202), 208–
  220, doi:10.3189/002214311796405898, 2011.
- 840 Wang, W., Zender, C. S., van As, D., Smeets, P. C. J. P. and van den Broeke, M. R.: A
- 841 Retrospective, Iterative, Geometry-Based (RIGB) tilt-correction method for radiation
- 842 observed by automatic weather stations on snow-covered surfaces: application to
- 843 Greenland, The Cryosphere, 10(2), 727–741, doi:10.5194/tc-10-727-2016, 2016.
- 844 Wolfe, R. E., Roy, D. P. and Vermote, E.: MODIS land data storage, gridding, and
- compositing methodology: Level 2 grid, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 36(4), 1324–
- 846 1338, doi:10.1109/36.701082, 1998.
- 847 Zemp, M., Frey, H., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S. U., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Haeberli, W.,
- 848 Denzinger, F., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Anderson, B., Bajracharya, S., Baroni, C., Braun, L. N.,
- 849 Cáceres, B. E., Casassa, G., Cobos, G., Dávila, L. R., Delgado Granados, H., Demuth, M. N.,
- Espizua, L., Fischer, A., Fujita, K., Gadek, B., Ghazanfar, A., Hagen, J. O., Holmlund, P.,
- 851 Karimi, N., Li, Z., Pelto, M., Pitte, P., Popovnin, V. V., Portocarrero, C. A., Prinz, R.,
- 852 Sangewar, C. V., Severskiy, I., Sigurðsson, O., Soruco, A., Usubaliev, R. and Vincent, C.:
- Historically unprecedented global glacier decline in the early 21st century, J. Glaciol.,
- 854 61(228), 745–762, doi:10.3189/2015JoG15J017, 2015.