

Interactive comment on “The Greater Caucasus Glacier Inventory (Russia/Georgia/Azerbaijan)” by Levan G. Tielidze and Roger D. Wheate

C. R. Stokes (Editor)

c.r.stokes@durham.ac.uk

Received and published: 28 June 2017

Dear Levan,

You will, by now, have received formal notification that the open discussion of your manuscript has closed.

I would like to thank the two reviewers (Frank Paul and Maria Shahgedanova) for their very helpful comments on your manuscript. Whilst they both see the clear potential of your work, they identify a large number of important issues that need to be addressed and which would constitute major revisions and a re-review of your manuscript.

In particular, I agree that you will need to provide a much stronger rationale for the study, given that there are several similar papers from the region, including many by the

lead author. This should summarise previous work and, importantly, point out where the gaps are that still need to be addressed and why. I also agree that you need to provide a more thorough explanation of errors and uncertainties, as raised by both reviewers. Perhaps the most important issue, however, is that the analysis of your results will need to be much more detailed and substantive in order to increase the wider significance of your manuscript. In addition, both reviewers have helpfully identified a large number of specific and more minor issues that you should carefully address if you decide to re-submit your manuscript.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Kind regards,

Chris Stokes Editor

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-48>, 2017.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)