

TCD

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Monitoring Tropical Debris Covered Glacier Dynamics from High Resolution Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Photogrammetry, Cordillera Blanca, Peru" by Oliver Wigmore and Bryan Mark

**Anonymous Referee #2** 

Received and published: 30 May 2017

The paper presents UAV survey results from the Cordillera Blanca, Peru. The data are novel, in that they provide information on a comparatively little-studied area and add to the limited number of UAV surveys on high-altitude glaciers. However, for me, the study does not really address its objectives. It measures changes over a portion of the glacier, but does not capture the full volume change. I also question why the velocities were determined manually and for 72 points, rather than using more spatially comprehensive feature tracking. For objective three, the study does not explicitly investigate the role of debris thickness and its links to melt: it identifies debris as a secondary control, because it is thick at the terminus, but does not look at smaller scale varia-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



## **TCD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



relevant comparison glaciers, e.g. debris-covered glaciers) or why it hasn't been used previously. The paper does link to the study area at points, but it would benefit from

## **TCD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



of melt versus debris thickness, so I think it is hard to make this statement, particularly as the study only focuses on the tongue where the debris cover is thick. Debris may

well be important here for supressing melt, then accelerate melt further up. Line 10: I'm unclear how much of the change discussed earlier in 5.2. is due to this movement

of large objects, versus net change. We need to distinguish the two for e.g. forecasting water resources. Line 32: How? It would be tricky for the lake water to get very far up glacier. Could also relate to basal topography. Figure 4: I would make the dots bigger, so they are easier to see. A black outline would help. Figure 7: Make the scale bards categorised, rather than stretched, as it's easier to read of individual values. Figures 9-12. It would be useful to have some context about where these sites are, e.g. using extentboxes on Fig. 1 or 2.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2017-31, 2017.

## **TCD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

