Responses to Editor's Comments and Suggestions
(The answers are shown in blue)

Minor comments:

P2, L1: "the carbon" becomes "carbon"

Thank you. This has been modified.

P2, L28: "used index, i.e. MAAT and MAGT, the MAGT is the most direct indicators of the thermal" becomes "used indices (i.e. MAAT and MAGT), MAGT is the most direct indicator of the thermal"

This has been modified.

P2, L31: "Although the potential problems of the MAAT in predicting permafrost degradation are well known, for example, the performance of the MAAT model is generally affected by the thermal inertia of deep soil layers and geothermal flux (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a), the MAAT is easy to measure and has high spatial representativeness" becomes "The potential problems of using MAAT to predict permafrost degradation are well known, such as the neglecting the influences of thermal inertia of deep soil layers or geothermal heat flux (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, MAAT is easy to measure and has high spatial representativeness"

This has been modified.

P3,L1-4: Change to "measurements of MAATs are available. However, MAAT measurement stations are sparse on the QTP, especially in the western region. In previous studies MAAT measurements were interpolated onto grids based on digital elevation models (DEMs), but the uncertainty of the gridded MAAT is substantial because of the locations of weather stations and heterogeneity of the surface characteristics, including snow cover and vegetation (Vancutsem et al., 2010)."

This has been modified.

P4, L13: "and near surface air temperature and the" becomes "and near surface air temperature, and thus the"

This has been modified.

P4, L15: "The variation in the uncertainty is mainly related to the underlying surface type such as snow cover and vegetation" becomes "Variation and uncertainty in estimated near surface air temperatures are mainly related to surface conditions such as snow cover and vegetation"

This has been modified.

P4, L17-20: "Additionally, the highly accurate remote sensing-based snow cover and vegetation products are also available. Overall, the high resolution remote sensing-based LST and the long-term in situ MAAT measurement can be integrated to monitor the permafrost thermal state.
Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the thermal degradation of permafrost including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation over the QTP from 1960 to 2010 by integrating multi-criterion remote sensing observations and an air temperature observation network" becomes "Highly accurate remote sensing-based snow cover and vegetation products are now available to reduce some of the uncertainty, and solar radiation and cloud cover can be accounted for. Therefore we propose that high resolution remote sensing-based LST and the

long-term in situ MAAT measurement can be integrated to monitor the permafrost thermal state. The objective of this study is to evaluate potential thermal degradation of permafrost including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation over the QTP from 1960 to 2010 by integrating multi-criterion remote sensing observations and an air temperature observation network."

Thank you. This has been modified.

P3, L3 – P4, L3: "The system was proposed based on the MAGT measurement as an index by analysis of the three-dimensional zonation of the high altitude permafrost (vertical, latitudinal, and aridity). It is a high level summary of high altitude permafrost zonation. The MAAT index was given according to the statistical relation between MAGT, elevation, and the in situ MAAT measurement (Cheng, 1984)." Becomes "The system is a high-level summary of the relation between MAGT measurements and the three-dimensional zonation of high altitude permafrost (elevation, latitude, and aridity). Cheng's (1984) system relates air temperature to permafrost conditions according to the statistical relations between MAGT, elevation, and in situ MAAT measurements.

Thank you. This has been modified.

P4, L3: Move "On the QTP, a MAAT of −2 °C has typically been used to distinguish permafrost from seasonally frozen ground (Cheng, 1984; Ran and Li, 2016)." to Line 15, in front of "Additional, a MAAT of −1 °C is simply used to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground in this paper." Change "Additional, a MAAT of −1 °C is simply used to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground in this paper" to " Here we use a MAAT of −1 °C is to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground because …" You need to indicate why your threshold is different.

Thank you. This has been modified. Here we use a MAAT of −1 °C is to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground based on experience judgment for the region of cave ice and frozen gravel distribution area.

P4, L5: "unstable type in the system" becomes "unstable type in this system"

This has been modified.

P4, L10-11: "In the extreme case, thawing permafrost appears thermally stable, because heat is used for phase change rather than temperature change and MAGTs appear stable despite being nearly 0 °C." Becomes "In the extreme case, thawing permafrost that is nearly 0 ℃ may appear thermally stable, because heat is used for phase change rather than temperature change."

This has been modified.

P4, L12: "MAAT index," delete the comma.

This has been modified.

P4, L24: Italicize "m", the number of explanatory factors.

This has been modified.

P4, L29: "(n × m)" becomes "$(n \times m)$"

This has been modified.

P5, L1: ""(n ×1)" becomes "($n \times 1$)"
This has been modified.

P5, L2: Italicize "n", the number of MAAT observation stations
This has been modified.

P5, L8-13: This is a mix of results and methods. Also, it is a bit hard to evaluate the outcome of this analysis without knowing about the input data sets (that are introduced later on). Text relating to results should be moved to Page 8, somewhere in the first paragraph after Line 10.
Thank you very much. Yes, this is a mix. We have moved related text to result section.

P5, L13: If one model has the best explanatory power, why did you use 5 models, and which 5 of the 6 did you use? It is not at all clear.
Thank you. This is a language error. We have corrected this description.

P5, L14-25: "The SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) (Conrad et al., 2015) is used to implement the GWR. Specifically, the GWR for multiple predictor grids geoprocessing tool is used. The Gaussian weighting function and the global search range are used.
Due to the unavailability of the vegetation, snow cover, and LST datasets during the 1960s to 2000s, the effect of the dynamics of vegetation, snow cover, and LST on MAAT during this period is unknown. This will inevitably cause some errors in the estimation of MAAT. Recent studies show that vegetation is increasing overall during the past 30 years, and the 20 snow cover is decreasing overall during the past 15 years over the QTP (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The effect of vegetation and snow cover change on MAAT and its feedback process is highly complex. For example, the vegetation-snow interaction effect on MAAT is related to humidity (Zhong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). However, we believe this error mainly occurs at the local level in the nature vegetation dominated areas (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and it can be partially compensated by the in situ time series MAAT measurement over the QTP for the 25 past 50 years."
Becomes
"The GWR is implemented in SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) (Conrad et al., 2015), using the GWR for multiple predictor grids geoprocessing tool with a Gaussian weighting function and a global search range.
Due to the unavailability of vegetation, snow cover, and LST datasets during the 1960s to 2000s, the effects of the dynamics of vegetation, snow cover, and LST on MAAT during this period are unknown. This will inevitably cause some errors in the estimation of MAAT. Recent studies of the QTP show overall that vegetation increased during the past 30 years and snow cover decreased during the past 15 years (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The effect of vegetation and snow cover change on MAAT and related feedback process are highly complex. For example, the vegetation-snow interaction effect on MAAT is related to humidity (Zhong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017).
However, we believe that such effects mainly occur at the local level in vegetation dominated areas (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and they can be partially compensated by in situ time series MAAT measurements over the QTP for the past 50 years."
This has been modified.

P5, L27: "50 years. The statistical significance": becomes "50 years, and the statistical significance"
This has been modified.

P6, L1: "condition is evaluated from two perspectives" becomes "condition is assessed from two perspectives"
This has been modified.

P6, L4: "is evaluated at two levels" becomes "is assessed at two levels"
This has been modified.

P6, L5: "level, the spatial distribution of the degradation is evaluated. At the level of the permafrost types, a transfer matrix" becomes "level the spatial distribution of the degradation is evaluated, and at the level of the permafrost types a transfer matrix"
This has been modified.

P6, L13 and L25: "(2015; 2017)" becomes "(2015, 2017)" as the authorship is the same.
This has been modified.

P6, L15: "and the daily" becomes "and that the daily"
This has been modified.

P6, L21: "Wang, et al." becomes "Wang et al."
This has been modified.

P8, L12: "mean determination coefficient of the model is approximately 0.95" becomes "mean coefficient of determination of the model is approximately 0.95" What is this a mean of? I thought that Model 6 produced the best results? How did you arrive at 0.95, when all values reported in Table 2 are lower?
Thank you. The mean is the mean of determination coefficient of MODEL 6 for five decadal in the past 50 years. We have removed this sentence to avoid confusion.

P8, L17: "°Ca-1" becomes "°C a-1"
This has been modified.

P9, L10: "Overall, the warming climate has caused a degradation of permafrost thermal condition." Delete as it repeats what is said at the beginning of this section.
This sentence has been removed.

P9, L16: "The degradation of thermal condition has occurred" becomes "Degradation of permafrost thermal condition has likely occurred"
This has been modified.

P9, L17-18: "The degradation of permafrost condition in the western QTP was serious during the 1960s to the 1970s." So you mean substantial, instead of serious? You need to make it clear what this sentence means in terms of spatial variation, because it is not clear as written.

Thank you. We have modified this sentence.

P9, L26: "The reduction in the area" becomes "The potential reduction in the area"
This has been modified.

P9, L30: "over a 21×10^4 km^2 (12.02%) located" becomes "over a 21×10^4 km^2 area (12.02%) located"
This has been modified.

P10, L1: "has improved" becomes "has likely improved"
This has been modified.

P10, L4: "This is because of the lack" becomes "This relates to the lack"
This has been modified.

P10, L5-11: "The effects of snow or glacier cover may be more important than those of MAAT." Important to what? What do you mean? What exactly are the effects that glaciers or long-lasting snow pack? Please carefully edit this section so that your point is clear. Are you trying to say that because the glaciers haven't changed very much, that permafrost has also likely remained about the same?
Thank you very much. This section means that the effects of snow or glacier cover on permafrost may be more important than those of MAAT due to the former has greater latent heat than atmosphere. Also means that the glaciers and snow cover haven't changed very much. Recent study found that no evidence of widespread decline of snow cover in QTP (Wang et al., 2017). We have clear this in the revised manuscript.

P10, L12: "sensitivity. Of course, these need further investigation in the future" becomes "sensitivity, but this notion requires further investigation"
This has been modified.

P10, L16: "the QTP has increased" becomes "the QTP has likely increased"
This has been modified.

P10, L18-21: "The reduction in elevation is mainly due to the degradation of the very cold permafrost type in the Kailas Mountains. This caused the fluctuation of the mean elevation for very cold permafrost during the 1970s to 1980s and reduced its statistical significance (low R in Table 5) for the increasing rate of mean elevation over the past 50 years." These statements are not clear. How does permafrost degradation cause s decrease ("reduction") in elevation. This is contrary to your major findings. Please clarify.
Thank you very much. Yes, this statement is not clear. This is not contrary with my findings. The reduction in elevation during 1960s to 1970s for very cold permafrost is mainly due to the degradation of the very cold permafrost type in the Kailas Mountains. The degradation leads to a decrease in the proportion of very cold permafrost type in high elevation area, and a decreasing mean elevation for very cold permafrost type in 1970s relative to the 1960s. This fluctuation of the mean elevation for very cold permafrost during the 1960s to 1980s reduced its statistical significance for the increasing rate of mean elevation over the past 50 years. We have clearer this in the revised manuscript.

P10, L20: do you mean R2, instead of R?

Thank you. This has been modified. R^2 is used.

P10, L31: "Zou et al., (2016) show that the difference is small. Within permafrost area, the" becomes "Zou et al. (2016) shows that the difference is small. Within permafrost areas, the"
This has been modified.

P11, L10: "which are especially sparse in high mountain areas. First, the response time" becomes "which are especially so in high mountain areas. Beyond these uncertainties, the response time"
This has been modified.

P11, L24-25: "Despite current warming, large permafrost areas may persist due to the thermal inertia of permafrost (Cheng et al., 2012). Second, the thawing of the base of the permafrost induced by the geothermal heat flux leads to the permafrost degrading from bottom to top (Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). The MAAT" becomes "To summarize, despite current warming, large permafrost areas may persist due to the effective thermal inertia of permafrost (Cheng et al., 2012). A final consideration is that the geothermal heat flux leads to thawing of the base of the permafrost (Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, the MAAT model"
This has been modified.

P12, L2: "missed geothermal heat flux. Long-term" becomes "missing geothermal heat flux component thermal inertia of permafrost. However, long-term"
This has been modified.

P12, L9: "zones will increase" becomes "zones will likely increase"
This has been modified.

P12, L28: "disappearance" becomes "drainage". Must be drainage if related to thermokarst.
Thank you. This has been modified.

P12, L29: "which may further affect greenhouse gas emissions and produce a feedback effect on climate change" becomes "which may affect greenhouse gas emissions and feedbacks to climate change"
This has been modified.

P13, L1: "evaluates the permafrost" becomes "evaluates likely permafrost"
This has been modified.

P13, L8: "°Ca^{-1}" becomes "°C a^{-1}"
This has been modified.

My previous comments on figures were overlooked and are repeated here with a few more minor comments. These changes are required:
In all maps: please move text away from other objects so that the words are clearly legible. Nearly all city and town names are affected.
Thank you. This has been modified in all maps.

Figure 1. Scale bar should span 1000 km as in other figures. Evergreen broadleaf forest, deciduous broadleaf forest, and grasslands are too similar in color to differentiate on the map. Delete the symbols and descriptions in the legend for "Boundary of China" and "Extent of QTP"
This has been modified.

Figure 2. Change warming rates in map legend from, e.g., "-0.43 - -0.15" to "-0.43 to -0.15"
Also, change (°/decade) to (°decade-1). Delete the symbol and description in the legend for "Extent of QTP"
This has been modified.

Figure 3. "<3600 m" becomes "< 3600" and ">5800 m" becomes "> 5800". "Elevation intervals" becomes "Elevation intervals (m)". Also, change (°/decade) to (°decade^{-1})
This has been modified.

Figure 4. Non-permafrost and Unchanged stability are the same color and can be confused. Please indicate areas by a different color such as grey in all panels. Also, if non-permafrost includes seasonally frozen ground and lakes, map out lakes in a different color and add to the legend, and re-name "non-permafrost" to "seasonally frozen"
Thank you. This has been modified. Non-permafrost includes seasonally frozen and non-frozen. So non-permafrost is still used.

Figure 5. There a lot of white on the maps. Please indicate with a symbol and description what it represents, i.e. seasonally frozen? The color of the lakes is hard to distinguish from the blue permafrost. Consider different colours, or higher contrast between colors.
This has been modified. Most of the white area indicate the seasonally frozen, and some area in southeast of QTP indicate non-frozen. So non-permafrost is used.

Climate warming over the past half century has led to thermal degradation of permafrost in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau

Youhua Ran[1,2], Xin Li[1,2,3], Guodong Cheng[1,4]

[1]Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing of Gansu Province, Heihe Remote Sensing Experimental Research Station, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China
[2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
[3]CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
[4]Institute of Urban Studies, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, Shanghai 200234, China

Correspondence to: Xin Li (lixin@lzb.ac.cn)

Abstract. Air temperatures increases thermally degrade permafrost, which is very important for engineering design, resource development, and environmental protection in cold regions. This study evaluates the potential thermal degradation of permafrost over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) from the 1960s to the 2000s using estimated decadal mean annual air temperatures (MAATs) by integrating remote sensing-based estimates of mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI) and fractional snow cover values, and decadal mean MAAT date from 152 weather stations with a geographically weighted regression (GWR). The results reflect a continuous rise of approximately 0.04 ℃a^{-1} in the decadal mean MAAT values over the past half century. A thermal condition classification matrix is used to convert modelled MAATs to permafrost thermal type. Result shows that the climate warming has led to a thermal degradation of permafrost in the past half century. The total area of thermally degraded permafrost is approximately 153.76×10^4 km^2, which corresponds to 88% of the permafrost area in the 1960s. The thermal condition of 75.2% of the very cold permafrost, 89.6% of the cold permafrost, 90.3% of the cool permafrost, 92.3% of the warm permafrost, and 32.8% of the very warm permafrost has been degraded to lower levels of thermal condition. Approximately 49.4% of the very warm permafrost and 96% of the likely thawing permafrost has degraded to seasonally frozen ground. The mean elevations of the very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing permafrost areas increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m, and 250 m, respectively. The degradation mainly occurred from the 1960s to the 1970s and from the 1990s to the 2000s. This degradation may lead to increases in risks to infrastructure, increased flood risks, reductions in ecosystem resilience, and positive climate feedback effects. It therefore affects the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole.

1 Introduction

Permafrost is defined as earth materials, including ice or organic material, that remain at or below 0 ℃ for at least two years (Permafrost Subcommittee, National Research Council of Canada. 1988; Williams et al., 1989). An increase in air temperatures often thermally degrades permafrost, which is very important for engineering design, construction, resource

1

development, ~~the~~ carbon and water cycles, and ecological protection in cold regions (Collett, 2002; Cheng and Wu, 2007; Tarnocai et al, 2009; Schuur et al, 2009; Schaefer et al., 2011; Hinzman et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016). In terms of middle- and high-elevation permafrost regions, the area of permafrost in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) is the largest in the world. The permafrost in the QTP experiences higher temperatures than those observed in Siberia and the Arctic, which are more sensitive to global climate warming and human activity (Wu et al., 2002; Haeberli and Hohmann, 2008; Li et al., 2008; Ran et al., 2012; Ran and Li, 2016).

Monitoring and simulation show that substantial permafrost degradation is occurring on the QTP. For example, the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) increased by approximately 0.2~0.4 ℃ from the 1970s to the late 1990s (Wang et al., 2000). From 1961–2010, the decadal average MAAT rose by 1.3 ℃, with an average rate of increase of 0.03 ℃a^{-1} (Jin et al., 2011; Ran and Li, 2016). From 1996 to 2001, the thickness of the active layer increased by 0.15~0.50 metres, and the mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) rose by 0.1–0.5 ℃ in the past 30 years (Yang et al., 2010). At Xidatan, near the city of Golmud (Figure 1) at the northern boundary of permafrost adjacent to the Qinghai-Tibet Railway (QTR), the lower elevation limit of permafrost moved upward ~ 25 m from 1975 to 2002 (Nan et al., 2003). On northern and southern slopes of the Bayan Har Mountains (Figure 1), the lower elevation limits of discontinuous permafrost have moved upward ~ 90 m and ~ 100 m, respectively, from 1991 to 2010 (Luo et al., 2012). On the southern side of the Tanggula Mountains (Figure 1), climate change and infrastructure development have resulted in permafrost degradation; from 2006 to 2012, permafrost temperatures at 10 m depth have increased by 0.03 ℃ in undisturbed areas and 0.06 ℃ beneath an embankment, and respective active layers have deepened by 0.29 m and 0.41 m (Sun et al., 2014). Cheng et al. (2012) reported on the decadal changes in permafrost distribution on the QTP over the past 50 years (1960–2009) and demonstrated that the rate of permafrost loss had accelerated since the 1980s, and about one-fifth of the total area of permafrost that existed in the 1960s has degraded.

However, many of these studies focus on either the local or in situ scales, and few studies have focused on the regional scale. Although the decadal changes in the permafrost distribution over the QTP were simulated by Cheng et al. (2012), this study emphasized the migration of permafrost "boundaries". However, such boundaries are continuous, inexact representations of the permafrost distribution and permafrost degradation (Yang et al., 2010). As an alternative, Ran and Li (2016) used spatially distributed near-surface air temperature reanalysis data to assess spatial variation in the thermal degradation of permafrost in China over a 30-year period, but the data set has a low resolution and large uncertainties. These studies are not comprehensive and do not adequately reflect changes in the thermal state of the permafrost, especially in the interior of permafrost zones. Therefore, the thermal state of permafrost should be evaluated with a higher resolution at a longer period than previous studies. However, the evaluation is general limited by the sparse data. Of the most commonly used indices (i.e. MAAT and MAGT), MAGT is the most direct indicator of the thermal ~~used index, i.e. MAAT and MAGT, the MAGT is the most direct indicators of the thermal~~ state of permafrost (Cheng, 1984); however, long-term measurements of the MAGT is almost impossible due to the high cost of drilling boreholes. The potential problems of using MAAT to predict permafrost degradation are well known, such as the neglecting the influences of thermal inertia of deep soil layers or geothermal heat flux (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, MAAT is easy to measure and has high spatial

2

representativeness~~Although the potential problems of the MAAT in predicting permafrost degradation are well known, for example, the performance of the MAAT model is generally affected by the thermal inertia of deep soil layers and geothermal flux (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a), the MAAT is easy to measure and has high spatial representativeness~~. Importantly, long-term in situ <u>measurements of MAATs are available. However, MAAT measurement stations are sparse on the QTP, especially in the western region. In previous studies MAAT measurements were interpolated onto grids based on digital elevation models (DEMs), but the uncertainty of the gridded MAAT is substantial because of the locations of weather stations and heterogeneity of the surface characteristics, including snow cover and vegetation (Vancutsem et al., 2010).</u>~~measurements of MAATs are available. However, the MAAT measurement stations are sparse on the QTP, especially in the western part of QTP. The sparse MAAT measurements was interpolated onto grids based on digital elevation models (DEMs) in previous studies, the uncertainty of the gridded MAAT is significant because of the heterogeneity of the surface characteristics, including snow cover and vegetation, and the locations of weather stations (Vancutsem et al., 2010).~~ Fortunately, the remote sensing era has led to changes in this situation. Thermal infrared remote sensing provides direct observations of land surface temperatures (LSTs) at high spatial and temporal resolutions. For example, the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) LST product is freely available and has been validated over large areas via a series of field campaigns. Its accuracy is better than 1 °C (0.5 °C in most cases) (Wan et al, 2002; 2004; 2008). Remote sensing-based estimates of LSTs provide a key high-resolution temperature pattern of the land surface that can potentially be used in monitoring permafrost degradation; however, criteria for using LST estimates to distinguish permafrost types are not traditionally available, and the relatively short time series of LST data does not meet the needs of long-term permafrost monitoring.

Several studies have demonstrated the high correlation between satellite-based LST and near surface air temperature<u>,</u> and <u>thus</u> the potential of satellite-based methods in estimating near-surface air temperatures (Hachem et al., 2009; 2012; Vancutsem et al., 2010; Yao and Zhang, 2013; Ran et al., 2015). <u>Variation and uncertainty in estimated near surface air temperatures are mainly related to surface conditions such as snow cover and vegetation</u>~~The variation in the uncertainty is mainly related to the underlying surface type such as snow cover and vegetation~~, the amount of solar radiation, and cloud cover (Henderson-Sellers and Hughes, 1982; Zhang, 2005; Vancutsem et al., 2010; Lawrence et al., 2011; Hachem et al., 2012; Ran et al., 2015). <u>Highly accurate remote sensing-based snow cover and vegetation products are now available to reduce some of the uncertainty, and solar radiation and cloud cover can be accounted for. Therefore we propose that high resolution remote sensing-based LST and the long-term in situ MAAT measurement can be integrated to monitor the permafrost thermal state. The objective of this study is to evaluate potential thermal degradation of permafrost including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation over the QTP from 1960 to 2010 by integrating multi-criterion remote sensing observations and an air temperature observation network.</u>~~Additionally, the highly accurate remote sensing-based snow cover and vegetation products are also available. Overall, the high resolution remote sensing based LST and the long-term in situ MAAT measurement can be integrated to monitor the permafrost thermal state.~~

Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the thermal degradation of permafrost including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation over the QTP from 1960 to 2010 by integrating multi-criterion remote sensing observations and an air temperature observation network.

2 Methods and Datasets

5   In this study, the potential thermal degradation of permafrost is evaluated based on the MAAT model and the improved MAAT data over the QTP in the past half century. The MAAT in situ measurement data at 152 sites over the QTP and remote sensing data with six independent variables were combined using a Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model to estimate the MAAT with a 1 km resolution over the QTP during the past five decades.

2.1 Permafrost thermal condition classification system

10   In this study, a permafrost thermal condition classification system is used. That is defined according to the high altitude permafrost zonation proposed by Cheng (1984). In Cheng's system, permafrost is classified into extremely stable, stable, sub-stable, transitional, unstable, and extremely unstable types. The system is a high-level summary of the relation between MAGT measurements and the three-dimensional zonation of high altitude permafrost (elevation, latitude, and aridity). Cheng's (1984) system relates air temperature to permafrost conditions according to the statistical relations between MAGT,
15   elevation, and in situ MAAT measurements. The system was proposed based on the MAGT measurement as an index by analysis of the three-dimensional zonation of the high altitude permafrost (vertical, latitudinal, and aridity). It is a high-level summary of high altitude permafrost zonation. The MAAT index was given according to the statistical relation between MAGT, elevation, and the in situ MAAT measurement (Cheng, 1984). On the QTP, a MAAT of −2 ℃ has typically been used to distinguish permafrost from seasonally frozen ground (Cheng, 1984; Ran and Li, 2016). The extremely unstable type
20   in the this system refers to regions that include cave ice and frozen gravel below the lower limit of permafrost, which is a very scattered distribution. However, the thermal stability of permafrost is a function of surficial materials and ground-ice content as much as temperature. From a strictly thermal perspective, the notion that cold permafrost is more "thermally stable" than warm permafrost typically does not hold true. From a changing stability perspective, for a given increase in MAAT, MAGT in cold permafrost often responds more quickly than warm permafrost because of relatively low latent heat effects in
25   the former versus the latter. In the extreme case, thawing permafrost that is nearly 0 ℃ may appear thermally stable appears thermally stable, because heat is used for phase change rather than temperature change and MAGTs appear stable despite being nearly 0 ℃. This process intertwined with the changing geothermal flux makes it is difficult to reflect the thermal stability change using MAAT index, as a thermal condition. Therefore, we rename the permafrost stability types into thermal condition types include very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types in this paper, as shown in Table 1.
30   This system is more useful to describe permafrost degradation from a spatially distributed perspective, rather than a boundary perspective. On the QTP, a MAAT of −2 ℃ has typically been used to distinguish permafrost from seasonally

4

frozen ground (Cheng, 1984; Ran and Li, 2016). Here we use a MAAT of −1 °C is to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground based on experience judgment for the region of cave ice and frozen gravel distribution area. ~~Additional, a MAAT of −1 °C is simply used to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground in this paper.~~

5   **2.2 Simulation of MAAT using geographically weighted regression**

In this study, geographically weighted regression (GWR) is used to simulate MAATs. Local parameters are employed in the GWR model to estimate MAATs while considering the spatial locations of meteorological stations (Brunsdon et al., 1998; Kumar et al., 2012). The weighting is a function of the distance between the location of each regression point and the sites where observations are available. The GWR model used in the present study is shown below in Equation (1):

10
$$y_i = \beta_0(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_k(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) x_{ik} + \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

where y_i is the MAAT at pixel i, x_{ik} is the kth explanatory factor at pixel i, $\beta_0(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ and $\beta_k(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ represent the intercept and slope for the kth explanatory factor, m is the number of explanatory factors, and ε_i is the residual term.

The quantities $\beta_0(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ and $\beta_k(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ are estimated using Equation (2):

$$\hat{\beta}(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) = (X^T W(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) X)^{-1} X^T W(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) Y \tag{2}$$

15   where $\hat{\beta}(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ is an unbiased estimation of the regression coefficients. It is a vector that includes an intercept and m regression coefficients associated with m explanatory factors, i.e., the 6 independent variables selected by a stepwise linear regression analysis (see below). X is a matrix of explanatory factors ($n \times m$); $W(\mu_i, \upsilon_i)$ is the spatial weight matrix, which is a diagonal matrix; Y is a vector ($n \times 1$) for the dependent variables, i.e., the decadal mean MAAT in the 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s; and n is the number of MAAT observation stations for each year.

20   In this study, the Gaussian function is used as a spatial weighting function, as shown in Equation (3):

$$W(\mu_i, \upsilon_i) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d_i}{r})^2) \tag{3}$$

where d_i is the distance between the ith observation station and the point to be estimated, and r is the bandwidth parameter. To accommodate different station densities, the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) is used to determine the optimal bandwidth parameters.

25   A stepwise linear regression analysis is used to select the independent variables for the GWR model. The variance inflation factor (VIF) is used to assess the multicollinearity of the model.

A stepwise linear regression analysis is used to select the independent variables for the GWR model (Table 2). The analysis shows that the use of the mean annual land surface temperature (MAST), the leaf area index (LAI), the fractional snow cover (FSC), elevation, latitude, and longitude as independent variables results in the highest degree of explanatory power for the past five decades, and the significance level is less than 0.0001. The variance inflation factor (VIF) is used to assess the multicollinearity of the model. A VIF value <1.5 shows that the degree of tolerance is high, and the multicollinearity of the model is thus acceptable. The performance of the GWR model in the 2010s is shown in Table 2. The five GWR models are then used to estimate the decadal mean MAAT over the QTP for the past five decades. The GWR is implemented in SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) (Conrad et al., 2015), using the GWR for multiple predictor grids geoprocessing tool with a Gaussian weighting function and a global search range. The SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) (Conrad et al., 2015) is used to implement the GWR. Specifically, the GWR for multiple predictor grids geoprocessing tool is used. The Gaussian weighting function and the global search range are used.

Due to the unavailability of vegetation, snow cover, and LST datasets during the 1960s to 2000s, the effects of the dynamics of vegetation, snow cover, and LST on MAAT during this period are unknown. This will inevitably cause some errors in the estimation of MAAT. Recent studies of the QTP show overall that vegetation increased during the past 30 years and snow cover decreased during the past 15 years (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The effect of vegetation and snow cover change on MAAT and related feedback process are highly complex. For example, the vegetation-snow interaction effect on MAAT is related to humidity (Zhong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). However, we believe that such effects mainly occur at the local level in vegetation dominated areas (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and they can be partially compensated by in situ time series MAAT measurements over the QTP for the past 50 years. Due to the unavailability of the vegetation, snow cover, and LST datasets during the 1960s to 2000s, the effect of the dynamics of vegetation, snow cover, and LST on MAAT during this period is unknown. This will inevitably cause some errors in the estimation of MAAT. Recent studies show that vegetation is increasing overall during the past 30 years, and the snow cover is decreasing overall during the past 15 years over the QTP (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The effect of vegetation and snow cover change on MAAT and its feedback process is highly complex. For example, the vegetation-snow interaction effect on MAAT is related to humidity (Zhong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). However, we believe this error mainly occurs at the local level in the nature vegetation-dominated areas (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and it can be partially compensated by the in situ time series MAAT measurement over the QTP for the past 50 years.

2.3 Evaluation of the rate of permafrost thermal degradation

A linear regression model is used to evaluate the rates of MAAT change in the QTP over the past 50 years, and. Tthe statistical significance is evaluated using Student's *t*-test. Thirteen elevation ranges (< 3600 m, 3600-3800 m, 3800-4000 m, 4000-4200 m, 4200-4400 m, 4400-4600 m, 4600-4800 m, 4800-5000 m, 5000-5200 m, 5200-5400 m, 5400-5600 m, 5600-5800 m, and > 5800 m) are used to evaluate the elevation dependence of the warming rate.

The degradation of permafrost thermal condition is assessed from two perspectivescondition is evaluated from two perspectives, the change in area of the different permafrost types and the spatial heterogeneity of the change. For the area change, we determined the total area of each permafrost type during the past five decades and calculated the rate of change (i.e., the degradation rate) using the linear regression model. The spatial pattern of the degradation of permafrost thermal

5 condition is assessed at two levelsis evaluated at two levels. At the pixel level, the spatial distribution of the degradation is evaluated., and Aat the level of the permafrost types, a transfer matrix is used to evaluate the conversions among the types (Stehman, 1997). We also analyse the changes in the elevation histograms for each permafrost type in the past 50 years.

2.4 Datasets

2.4.1 Mean annual land surface temperature

10 MODIS Terra/Aqua daytime and nighttime LST products (MOD11A1 and MYD11A1, version 5) with a spatial resolution of 1 km and covering 2006 to 2010 were acquired from the Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) operated by the U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). These data are used in this study to estimate MASTs. A pragmatic approach proposed by Ran et al. (2015;. 2017) is employed to estimate the MASTs using the four daily MODIS LST products. This approach assumes that the arithmetic average of the daytime and nighttime LSTs represent the daily mean

15 LST with acceptable accuracy, and that the daily amplitude of LST is more homogeneous than the LST itself (Liu et al., 2006; Kogan et al., 2011; Ran et al., 2015). The approach allows the full use of every value at any time in any pixel of the MODIS LST products through the use of the temporally and spatially complete LST daily amplitude, which is interpolated using a gap filling algorithm (Garcia, 2010). This algorithm employs a penalized least squares regression based on discrete cosine transforms that explicitly utilize information from a time series to predict the missing values. The penalized least

20 squares regression is a thin-plate spline smoother for a generally one-dimensional data array, and it can trade off fidelity to the data versus the roughness of the mean function (Garcia, 2010; Wang, et al., 2012). This approach is easy to implement and independent of other observations. Validation shows that the scheme is effective in restoring the missing values in MODIS instantaneous LST observations and produces a spatially and temporally continuous daily average LST dataset that displays good agreement with observations made at the ground surface. The errors in the results originate mainly from the

25 original instantaneous LST MODIS products. A more detailed description of this scheme can be found in Ran et al. (2015;. 2017). The temporally and spatially continuous daily mean LSTs from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2010 and the corresponding MASTs used in this study are produced using the above approach.

2.4.2 Fractional snow cover

Arithmetic mean values of daily cloud-removed FSC products from 2006 to 2010 are used in this study. This product is

30 derived from the daily MODIS 500-m snow cover product (MOD10A1) using a gap filling process based on a cubic spline interpolation algorithm. A comparison with reference FSC data obtained from Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus

(ETM+) shows the high accuracy with which this product reflects snow cover information over the QTP (Tang et al., 2013). The cloud-removed FSC products were acquired from the Cold and Arid Regions Science Data Center, Lanzhou, China (http://westdc.westgis.ac.cn).

2.4.3 Leaf area index

Annual mean LAI values obtained from the Global Land Surface Satellite (GLASS), which make up a high-quality LAI product with an eight-day temporal resolution and a 1-km spatial resolution and cover the period from 2006 to 2010, are used in this study. The GLASS LAI product is derived from the fused MODIS and CYCLOPES LAI products, and the remaining effects of cloud contamination have been removed using MODIS time series surface reflectance data and general regression neural networks (Xiao et al., 2014). The results of validation show that the GLASS LAI product has a lower uncertainty than the MODIS and CYCLOPES LAI products (Xiang et al., 2014). The GLASS LAI product was acquired from the GLASS project website (http://glass-product.bnu.edu.cn/en).

2.4.4 In situ MAAT observations

The MAAT measurements, which were collected at 131 stations for the 1960s and 1970s, 133 stations for the 1980s, 144 stations for the 1990s, and 152 stations for the 2000s within the QTP and the surrounding area, were acquired from the data centre of the China Meteorological Administration (http://cdc.nmic.cn). The distribution of the 152 stations for the 2000s is shown in Figure 1. The density of stations in the eastern QTP is higher than other years. The decadal mean MAAT values over the past five decades are used in this study.

2.4.5 Validation data

Validation of the long-term permafrost thermal condition is difficult due to the limited amounts of reference data that are available. In this study, we evaluate the results by comparing the estimated permafrost distribution in the 2000s with previous regional-scale permafrost maps and borehole measurements at individual sites. The permafrost maps that cover the QTP from Li and Cheng, (1996), Nan et al. (2002), and Zou et al., (2016) are used at the regional scale. In particular, the map of Zou et al., (2016) integrates the MODIS eight-day LST product within the framework of the temperature at the top of the permafrost (TTOP) model (Smith and Riseborough, 1996), and careful validation of this map has been performed using MAGT data. At the site scale, the MAGT values used in this study were collected from 142 boreholes presented in the existing literature (Yu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2013) and the International Permafrost Association (IPA)-International Polar Year (IPY) Thermal State of Permafrost (TSP) Snapshot Borehole Inventory downloaded from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) (http://nsidc.org) (International Permafrost Association, 2010). The distribution of these boreholes is shown in Figure 1.

2.4.6 Ancillary data

The distribution of water bodies in the MODIS land cover product (MOD12Q1) and the map showing the distribution of glacier ice from the second Chinese glacier inventory are used to support the permafrost area statistics. The MOD12Q1 product is used for consistency with the other remote sensing products employed in this study. On the other hand, the glacier extents from the second Chinese glacier inventory are compiled based on Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) or ETM+ images acquired from 2004 to 2011, as well as other ancillary data, such as digital elevation models (DEMs). The robust band ratio segmentation method is first used to delineate the glacier outlines, and intensive manual improvements are then performed to improve its accuracy. An error assessment shows that the area error for all of the glaciers in China is approximately 3.2% (Guo et al., 2015).

3 Results

~~A s~~Stepwise linear regression analysis ~~is used to select the independent variables for the GWR model (Table 2). The analysis~~ shows that the use of the mean annual land surface temperature (MAST), the leaf area index (LAI), the fractional snow cover (FSC), elevation, latitude, and longitude as independent variables results in the highest degree of explanatory power for the past five decades, and the significance level is less than 0.0001 (Model 6 in Table 2). ~~The variance inflation factor (VIF) is used to assess the multicollinearity of the model. A~~The VIF value less than~~<~~ 1.5 shows that the degree of tolerance is high, and the multicollinearity of the model is thus acceptable. The performance of the GWR model in the 2010s is shown in Table 2. ~~The five GWR models are then used to estimate the decadal mean MAAT over the QTP for the past five decades.~~ Then, ~~D~~decadal mean MAAT estimates with a 1 km resolution over the QTP in the past 50 years are produced using the ~~GWR~~ model 6. ~~The mean determination coefficient of the model is approximately 0.95.~~ The permafrost stability map in the past five decades is then produced based on the simulated MAAT and the permafrost types defined in Table 1.

3.1 Change of MAAT over the QTP in the past 50 years

The MAATs over the QTP have risen continuously in the past 50 years. The mean MAAT values for the 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s are -2.38 ℃, -1.85 ℃, -1.78 ℃, -1.32 ℃, and -0.58 ℃, respectively. These values reflect a continuous rise with a rate of approximately 0.04 ℃ a^{-1}. This value is higher than the global average warming rate, as well as the estimated warming rates for the QTP reported by Cheng et al. (2012) and Ran et al. (2016) that are based on interpolated elevation-based air temperature data or surface air temperature reanalysis data. The warming rate in the western part of the QTP is higher than that in the eastern part and depends on elevation, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The warming rate increases with increasing elevation from approximately 0.33 ℃ per decade at 3600 m to 0.49 ℃ per decade at 5200 m. This finding is similar to that of previous studies (Liu and Chen, 2000; Qin et al., 2009). The physical mechanisms of this phenomenon may be related to the combined effects of the cloud-radiation and snow-albedo feedbacks (Giorgi et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2009; Pepin et al., 2015). These elevated warming rates may have a substantial impact on the thermal condition of the permafrost.

3.2 Potential thermal degradation

Based on the map of permafrost types covering the past five decades (Figure 4a-e), we analyse the degradation from three perspectives, including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation.

3.2.1 Temporal dynamics of thermal degradation

5   Permafrost has thermally degraded continuously over the past 50 years. The area occupied by the cold types has decreased continuously, and the area occupied by the very warm types has increased continuously (Table 3). The areas occupied by the very cold, cold, cool, and warm types display net decreases of approximately 8.99×10^4 km^2 (72.79%), 27.06×10^4 km^2 (70.12%), 9.30×10^4 km^2 (27.24%), and 1.18×10^4 km^2 (4.77%) from the 1960s to the 2000s, respectively. In particular, the cold type displays the most serious degradation, and its rate of loss is approximately 6.15×10^4 km^2 (15.94%) per decade.

10 Moreover, the area occupied by the very warm type has increased by approximately 3.99×10^4 km^2 (9.02%) at a rate of 1.06×10^4 km^2 (2.4%) per decade. Specifically, this degradation mainly occurred during the 1960s to 1970s and the 1980s to 1990s for the very cold type, the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s for the cold type, and the 1980s to the 2000s for the cool type. The area occupied by the likely thawing type has not changed substantially. ~~Overall, the warming climate has caused a degradation of permafrost thermal condition.~~ If glaciers and the likely thawing type are included, the total

15 permafrost area has decreased significantly from 174.76×10^4 km^2 in the 1960s to 133.1×10^4 km^2 in the 2000s at a rate of approximately 9.52×10^4 km^2 (5.45%) per decade, and this loss of area occurred mainly during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s (Table 3).

3.2.2 Spatial variation of thermal degradation

Degradation of permafrost thermal condition has likely occurred~~The degradation of thermal condition has occurred~~ over a

20 broad region of permafrost on the QTP within the past 50 years, especially during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s. The degradation of permafrost condition in the western QTP was substantial ~~serious~~ during the 1960s to the 1970s. In the subsequent 40 years, the degradation of permafrost condition in the QTP was relatively homogeneous (Figure 4f-i). Specifically, the extents of the very cold, cold, and cool types retreated from the south to the north (Figure 4a-e). The extents of the warm, very warm, and likely thawing types extended northward correspondingly. Approximately 42.30% of the very

25 cold type, 42.09% of the cold type, and 39.83% of the cool type have degraded to the cold, cool, and warm types from the 1960s to the 1970s, respectively. At the same time, approximately 57.26% of the warm type, 29.34% of the very warm type, and 59.47% of the likely thawing type, have degraded to the very warm type, likely thawing type, and seasonally frozen ground, respectively. Overall, approximately 75.24% of the very cold type, 89.56% of the cold type, 90.3% of the cool type, 92.31% of the warm type, and 32.8% of the very warm type have degraded to lower levels of thermal condition in the past

30 50 years (Table 4). The potential reduction in the area of permafrost is mainly due to the degradation of the very warm and likely thawing types. Approximately 49.4% of the very warm type and 95.95% of the likely thawing type has degraded to

seasonally frozen ground (Table 4). The total degraded area is approximately 153.76×10^4 km^2, which accounts for 87.98% of the area occupied by the permafrost region in the 1960s (Figure 4j). Permafrost thermal condition did not change over a 21×10^4 km^2 area (12.02%) located primarily in the central part of the plateau, which contains extremely high mountains, and it is dominated by the very cold type.

Notably, the thermal condition of a permafrost area of approximately 1.63×10^4 km^2 has likely improved. This area is located primarily east of Lhasa in the southeastern part of QTP where there are numerous marine glaciers and substantial snow cover (Figure 4j). The improved permafrost thermal condition in this area may relate to large uncertainties as the MAAT trend is estimated using regression parameters that are appropriate for low-elevation areas. This relates to the lackis because of the lack of long-term MAAT measurements in the high mountain regions where glaciers and snow are prevalent. The effects of snow or glacier cover on permafrost may be more important than those of MAAT due to the former has greater latent heat than atmosphere. Recent study also shows that no evidence of widespread decline of snow cover in QTP (Wang et al., 2017). Although records of long-term snow cover and glacier changes in the past 50 years are not available in this study, the sensitivity of glacier and snow cover in a warming climate is dependent on the climate zone. Low snow–climate sensitivities have been found in continental interior climates with relatively cold and dry winters (Brown and Mote, 2009). Larger glaciers have lower climate sensitivities (Ding and Haeberli, 1996; Ye et al., 2001).

Additionally, the complex process and limited knowledge for permafrost-glacier interactions may enhance the uncertainty (Haeberli, 2005; Otto and Keuschnig, 2014). Therefore, we believe the permafrost thermal condition in this area has not changed substantially in the past 50 years, based on this low climate sensitivity, but this notion requires further investigation. Of course, these need further investigation in the future.

3.2.3 Relation of variation of thermal degradation to elevation

The elevation statistics of the permafrost type distribution over the QTP in the past five decades indicate that the mean elevation occupied by each permafrost type in the QTP has likely increased continuously (Table 5). For the very cold type, the mean elevation of the distribution decreased from 5240 m to 5161 m from the 1960s to the 1970s and then rose continuously at a rate of approximately 56.4 m per decade. The reduction in elevation during 1960s to 1970s for very cold permafrost is mainly due to the degradation of the very cold permafrost type in the Kailas Mountains. The degradation leads to a decrease in the proportion of very cold permafrost type in high elevation area and a decrease in mean elevation for very cold permafrost type in 1970s relative to the 1960s. This caused the fluctuation of the mean elevation for very cold permafrost during the 1970s1960s to 1980s and reduced its statistical significance (low R^2 in Table 5) for the increasing rate of mean elevation over the past 50 years. Overall, in the past 50 years, the mean rate of increase of the very cold type has been approximately 24.7 m per decade. Moreover, the mean elevation of the cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types have risen at a rate of 23.6 m, 36.3 m, 43 m, 36.5 m, and 56.2 m, respectively. Overall, the mean elevation of the very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m, and

250 m, respectively, over the past 50 years. As in the last section, the degradation mainly occurred from the 1960s to the 1970s and from the 1990s to the 2000s.

4 Discussion

4.1 Cross validation and uncertainty analysis

5 We validate the permafrost extent only in the 2000s because long-term records of permafrost thermal condition and extent are not available in earlier periods, as mentioned in section 2.4.5. Comparison of the estimated permafrost extent in the 2000s with the permafrost map provided by Zou et al., (2016) shows that the difference is small. Within permafrost areas, the likely thawing permafrost type mainly refers to cave ice and frozen gravel, which are distributed below the lower limit of permafrost (Cheng, 1984). This kind of permafrost is usually not counted in the total area of permafrost. Therefore, the

10 permafrost area in the 2000s is approximately $107.19 \times 10^4 \, \text{km}^2$ if glaciers and lakes are neglected. This result is similar to that of Zou et al., (2016), who showed that the permafrost area in the 2000s was approximately $106.47 \times 10^4 \, \text{km}^2$. The permafrost distribution is also very similar (consistency is 92%; kappa coefficient is 0.82) to Zou et al. (2016) (Figure 5b). At the site scale, 89% of the 142 locations are consistent with the borehole survey, whereas this proportion is only 74%, 28%, and 86% for the maps of Li and Cheng, (1996), Nan et al. (2002), and Zou et al. (2016), respectively. These proportions indicate

15 that the accuracy of the permafrost extent identified in this study is at least comparable with that of Zou et al. (2016).

The uncertainty of the results may result primarily from the MAAT model, insufficient resolution, inaccuracies in the surface station data, or the sparseness of these stations, which are especially so in high mountain areas. Beyond these uncertainties, the response time~~which are especially sparse in high mountain areas. First, the response time~~ and the depth to which permafrost is affected by climate warming depend on the extents, durations, amplitudes, and rates of climate warming and are

20 closely related to soil types, surface coverage, ice content, groundwater occurrence, geothermal anomalies, and human activities (Stieglitz et al., 2003; Zhang, 2005; Lawrence et al., 2008; Cheng and Jin, 2013; Westermann et al., 2016). For example, the low heat conductivity of soil leads to lags between increases in surface temperatures and the subsequent increases in permafrost temperature or reductions in permafrost thickness (Li et al., 1996). The delay time is longer for permafrost thickness than temperature and varies with the thermal condition (Li et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2010a). For the cold

25 permafrost, the thermal degradation may be delayed by "thermal offset" and "seasonal offset" effects in the permafrost table due to the negative heat budget; i.e., the amount of heat released from the active layer during the winter is greater than the amount of heat absorbed in summer (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Wu et al., 2010a). For the warm permafrost, a positive heat budget appears in the upper soil layer that leads to a greater degradation rate than that seen in cold permafrost, since the thickness of the warm type is smaller than that of the cold type (Li et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2010a). However, the complex

30 physical mechanisms of the interactions between climate change and permafrost are currently poorly understood (Jin et al., 2011), and a large degree of uncertainty may exist in previous evaluations as well as the permafrost area change over the past 50 years in this study. To summarize, despite current warming, large permafrost areas may persist due to the effective thermal

12

inertia of permafrost (Cheng et al., 2012). A final consideration is that the geothermal heat flux leads to thawing of the base of the permafrost (Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, ~~Despite current warming, large permafrost areas may persist due to the thermal inertia of permafrost (Cheng et al., 2012). Second, the thawing of the base of the permafrost induced by the geothermal heat flux leads to the permafrost degrading from bottom to top (Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). The~~ the MAAT

model cannot reflect the change of geothermal flux from the crustal interior. Additionally, the geothermal flux data are generally limited or unavailable. The missing geothermal heat flux may lead to a delay in permafrost degradation, especially for the cold permafrost, because the geothermal flux is independent of air temperature. Third, although the resolution of the simulation has been significantly improved to 1 km, it is still coarse relative to the degradation rate of mountain permafrost. The degradation of mountain permafrost is presented in terms of the increase in the elevation of the lower limit of the

permafrost, which is generally ~ 100 m. A 1 km change in the horizontal extent change may correspond to a change in elevation of hundreds of metres. Last, the lack of long-term MAAT measurements in the glacier- and snow-dominated high mountain regions may lead to errors in the estimated MAATs.

Overall, the "accelerated degradation" effect of the MAAT model may be partly counteracted by the "delayed degradation" effect of the missing geothermal heat flux component thermal inertia of permafrost. However, long-term~~missed geothermal~~

~~heat flux. Long-term~~ observation shows that the mean increasing rate of ground temperature at 10-20 m depth in the QTP is approximately 0.024 ℃ (Zhao et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010b; Jin et al., 2011), which is comparable with the warming rate of air temperature. This shows that the evaluation results of permafrost thermal degradation using the MAAT model is generally accepted at the overall QTP scale.

4.2 The implications of the degradation of thermal condition

The degradation of permafrost thermal condition in the QTP has important impacts on the safety of infrastructure in permafrost regions, water quality, ecosystem health, and the feedbacks on regional and global climates. First, as the permafrost thermal degrades, the risk of deterioration and damage to engineered structures in permafrost zones will likely increase. This indicates that the measures used to prevent permafrost degradation may need to be enhanced for new structures. For example, permafrost accounted for 90.1% of a 10-km-long segment of the QTR from Golmud to Lhasa in the 1960s, and these permafrost areas were dominated by the cool type; however, after 50 years (i.e., in the 2000s), these permafrost areas accounted for only 67.77% and were dominated by the very warm type. For the very warm permafrost, an enhanced measure to prevent permafrost degradation, i.e., the proactive roadbed cooling approach, has been successfully applied in constructing the QTR (Cheng, 2004; 2005; Cheng et al., 2008). Second, the degradation of permafrost in the QTP may affect the hydrologic cycle in the Third Pole region, which includes the QTP and the surrounding arid regions. Permafrost controls the distribution, recharge, flow paths, discharge, dynamics, and hydrochemistry of groundwater (Cheng and Jin, 2013). The degradation of permafrost affects the interactions among the surface water, subsoil water, and groundwater by changing the hydraulic conductivity and hydraulic connectivity of the soil. The degradation of the ice-rich permafrost itself makes important contributions to surface runoff and the development of thermokarst lakes in the inner Tibetan Plateau (Zhang et al.,

2013). The enhanced drainage may lead to increases in flood risk (Larsen et al., 2008) and reductions in ecosystem resilience via seasonal shifts in stream flow and groundwater abundance, because the decrease in permafrost water storage capacity in the QTP will lead to a reduction in dry-season water availability. All of these changes will affect the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole, which contains the headwater areas of several of the major rivers in Southeast Asia, such as the Yellow, Yangtze, Mekong, Yarlung Zangbo and Shiquan Rivers. The Third Pole also includes many inland rivers, such as the Shiyang, Heihe, Shule, and Tarim Rivers, in northwestern China. Last, the permafrost region in the QTP contains approximately 160 Pg of organic carbon (Mu et al., 2015), many thermokarst lakes, and wetlands (Niu et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2015). Thawing of the permafrost may lead to the drainage disappearance or growth of thermokarst lakes (Smith et al., 2005), which may affect greenhouse gas emissions and feedbacks to climate change.which may further affect greenhouse gas emissions and produce a feedback effect on climate change (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Schuur et al. 2009; Schaefer et al., 2011; McCalley et al., 2014). Additionally, changes in thermokarst lakes may both accelerate and delay permafrost thawing (Westermann et al., 2016; You et al., 2017).

5 Conclusions

This study evaluates likely the permafrost thermal degradation over the QTP from the 1960s to the 2000s based on the improved decadal means of the mean annual air temperatures (MAATs) data over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) in the past 50 years obtained by integrating remote sensing-based mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI), and fractional snow cover values, as well as decadal mean MAATs measured at 152 weather stations using a geographically weighted regression (GWR) model. Cross validation shows that the accuracy of the estimated permafrost extent is greater than that of previous maps.

The decadal mean MAATs reflect a continuous rise at a rate of approximately 0.04 ℃ a^{-1} during the past half century. The warming rate increases with increasing elevation from approximately 0.33 ℃ per decade at 3600 m to 0.49 ℃ per decade at 5200 m and then decreases as elevation increases further. Climate warming has led to the thermal degradation of permafrost in the past half century. The area occupied by the cold permafrost types has continuously decreased, and the area occupied by the very warm types has continuously increased. The total degraded area is approximately 153.76×10^4 km^2, which accounts for 87.98% of the permafrost area in the 1960s. The thermal condition for all permafrost types have degraded to lower levels. The extent of the very cold, cold, and cool types retreated from the south to the north, whereas the extent of the warm, very warm, and likely thawing types extended northward. The mean elevations of the very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m and 250 m, respectively. The degradation mainly occurred during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s. The thermal degradation of permafrost in the QTP has important impacts on the safety of infrastructure, flood risks, ecosystem resilience, and climate feedbacks, as well as the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole.

The uncertainties inherent in this analysis cannot be discounted. These uncertainties are due to asynchronous changes in near-surface air temperatures and deep soil layer temperatures, the missing geothermal flux, insufficient resolution, or the inaccuracies and sparseness of the surface station data employed. In order to reduce the uncertainties, a deep layers soil map, surficial geology, and ground ice map are required. All of this will benefit from the accumulation of field data in the future, especially from boreholes.

Acknowledgements:

References

Brown, R. D. and Mote, P. W.: The response of Northern Hemisphere snow cover to a changing climate. Journal of Climate, 22(8), 2124-2145, 2009.

Brunsdon, C., Fotheringham, S., and Charlton, M.: Geographically weighted regression. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series D (The Statistician), 47(3), 431-443, 1998.

Cheng, G. D.: Problems on zonation of high-altitude permafrost. ACTA Geographica Sinica, 39,185–193, 1984. (in Chinese)

Cheng, G.: Influences of local factors on permafrost occurrence and their implications for Qinghai-Xizang Railway design. Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences, 47(8), 704-709, 2004.

Cheng, G.: A roadbed cooling approach for the construction of Qinghai–Tibet Railway. Cold regions science and technology, 42(2), 169-176, 2005.

Cheng, G. D. and Wu, T. H.: Responses of permafrost to climate change and their environmental significance, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112, F02S03, doi: 10.1029/2006JF000631, 2007.

Cheng, G., Sun, Z., and Niu, F.: Application of the roadbed cooling approach in Qinghai–Tibet railway engineering. Cold regions science and technology, 53(3), 241-258, 2008.

Cheng, W.M., Zhao, S.M., Zhou, C.H., and Chen, X.: Simulation of the Decadal Permafrost Distribution on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (China) over the Past 50 Years. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 23(4), 292–300, 2012.

Cheng, G.D. and Jin, H.J.: Permafrost and groundwater on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and in northeast China. Hydrogeology Journal, 21(1), 5–23, 2013.

Collett, T. S.: Energy resource potential of natural gas hydrates. AAPG bulletin, 86(11), 1971-1992, 2002.

Conrad, O., Bechtel, B., Bock, M., Dietrich, H., Fischer, E., Gerlitz, L., Wehberg, J., Wichmann, V., and Böhner, J.: System for automated geoscientific analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1. 4. Geoscientific Model Development, 8(7), 1991-2007, 2015.

Ding, Y. and Haeberli, W.: Compilation of long-term glacier-fluctuation data in China and a comparison with corresponding records from Switzerland. Journal of glaciology, 42(141), 389-400, 1996.

5 Garcia, D.: Robust smoothing of gridded data in one and higher dimensions with missing values. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 54, 1167-1178, 2010.

Giorgi, F., Hurrell, J. W., Marinucci, M. R., and Beniston, M.: Elevation dependency of the surface climate change signal: a model study. Journal of Climate, 10(2), 288-296, 1997.

Guo, W., Liu, S., Xu, J., Wu, L., Shangguan, D., Yao, X., Wei, J., Bao, W., Yu, P., Liu, Q., and Jiang, Z.: The second Chinese
10   glacier inventory: data, methods and results. Journal of Glaciology, 61(226), 357-372, 2015.

Hachem, S., Allard, M., and Duguay, C.: Using the MODIS land surface temperature product for mapping permafrost: an application to Northern Quebec and Labrador, Canada. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 20(4), 407-416, 2009.

Hachem, S., Duguay, C. R., and Allard, M.: Comparison of MODIS-derived land surface temperatures with near-surface soil and air temperature measurements in continuous permafrost terrain. The Cryosphere, 6, 51-69, 2012.

15 Haeberli, W.: Investigating glacier – permafrost relationships in high-mountain areas: historical background, selected examples and research needs. In: Harris, C. and J.B. Murton (eds.): Cryospheric Systems – Glaciers and Permafrost. Geological Society Special Publication 242. London: 29–37, 2005.

Haeberli, W. and Hohmann, R.: Climate, glaciers and permafrost in the Swiss Alps 2050: scenarios, consequences and recommendations. In Proceedings Ninth International Conference on Permafrost, 1, 607-612, 2008.

20 Henderson-Sellers, A. and Hughes, N. A.: Albedo and its importance in climate theory. Progress in Physical Geography, 6(1), 1-44, 1982.

Hinzman, L. D., Deal, C. J., McGuire, A. D., Mernild, S. H., Polyakov, I. V., and Walsh, J. E.: Trajectory of the Arctic as an integrated system. Ecological Applications, 23(8), 1837-1868, 2013.

Huang, X., Deng, J., Wang, W., Feng, Q., and Liang, T. Impact of climate and elevation on snow cover using integrated remote
25   sensing snow products in Tibetan Plateau. Remote Sensing of Environment, 190, 274-288, 2017.

International Permafrost Association (IPA).: IPA-IPY Thermal State of Permafrost (TSP) Snapshot Borehole Inventory, Version 1. Boulder, Colorado USA. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. doi: 10.7265/N57D2S25, 2010.

Jin, H., Luo, D., Wang, S., Lü, L., and Wu, J.: Spatiotemporal variability of permafrost degradation on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions, 3(4), 281-305, 2011.

30 Jin, H., Zhao, L., Wang, S., and Jin, R.: Thermal regimes and degradation modes of permafrost along the Qinghai-Tibet Highway. Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences, 49(11), 1170-1183, 2006.

Jorgenson, M. T., Romanovsky, V., Harden, J., Shur, Y., O'Donnell, J., Schuur, E. A., Kanevskiy, M., and Marchenko, S.: Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 40(7), 1219-1236, 2010.

Kogan, F., Powell, A., and Fedorov, O.: Use of Satellite and In-Situ Data to Improve Sustainability. Springer, 2011.

Kumar, S., Lal, R., and Liu, D.: A geographically weighted regression kriging approach for mapping soil organic carbon stock. Geoderma, 189, 627-634, 2012.

Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A. G., Romanovsky, V. E., and Nicolsky, D. J.: Sensitivity of a model projection of near-surface
5   permafrost degradation to soil column depth and representation of soil organic matter. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 113(F2), 2008.

Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng X.B., Yang Z. L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in version 4 of the Community Land Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 3(1), 2011.

10 Larsen, P. H., Goldsmith, S., Smith, O., Wilson, M. L., Strzepek, K., Chinowsky, P., and Saylor, B.: Estimating future costs for Alaska public infrastructure at risk from climate change. Global Environmental Change,18(3), 442-457, 2008.

Li, X., Cheng, G., Jin, H., Kang, E., Che, T., Jin, R., Wu, L., Nan, Z.T., Wang, J., and Shen, Y.: Cryospheric change in China. Global and Planetary Change, 62(3), 210-218, 2008.

Li, S. D. and Cheng, G.D.: Map of permafrost on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (1:3,000,000). Gansu Culture Press: Lanzhou,
15   1996. (in Chinese).

Li, S., Cheng, G., and Guo, D.: The future thermal regime of numerical simulating permafrost on Qinghai-Xizang (Tibet) Plateau, China, under climate warming. Science in China Series D-earth Sciences, 39(4), 434-441, 1996.

Lin, Z., Niu, F., Xu, Z., Xu, J., and Wang, P.: Thermal regime of a thermokarst lake and its influence on permafrost, Beiluhe Basin, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 21(4), 315-324, 2010.

20 Liu, X. and Chen, B.: Climatic warming in the Tibetan Plateau during recent decades. International journal of climatology, 20(14), 1729-1742, 2000.

Liu, X. D., Yin, Z.Y., Shao, X. M., and Qin, N. S.: Temporal trends and variability of daily maximum and minimum, extreme temperature events, and growing season length over the eastern and central Tibetan Plateau during 1961–2003. Journal of Geophysical Research, 111(D19109), doi:10.1029/2005JD006915, 2006.

25 Liu, X., Cheng, Z., Yan, L., and Yin, Z. Y.: Elevation dependency of recent and future minimum surface air temperature trends in the Tibetan Plateau and its surroundings. Global and Planetary Change, 68(3), 164-174, 2009.

Luo, D. L., Jin, H. J., Lin, L., You, Y. H., Yang, S. Z., and Wang, Y. P.: Distributive features and controlling factors of permafrost and the active layer thickness in the Bayan Har Mountains along the Qinghai-Kangding Highway on Northeastern Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Scient Geograph Sin, 33, 635-640, 2013. (In Chinese, English abstract)

30 Luo, J., Niu, F., Lin, Z., Liu, M., and Yin, G.: Thermokarst lake changes between 1969 and 2010 in the beilu river basin, qinghai–tibet plateau, China.Science Bulletin, 60(5), 556-564, 2015.

McCalley, C. K., Woodcroft, B. J., Hodgkins, S. B., Wehr, R. A., Kim, E. H., Mondav, R., Crill, P.M., Chanton, J. P., Rich, V.I., Tyson, G.W., and Saleska, S. R.: Methane dynamics regulated by microbial community response to permafrost thaw. Nature, 514(7523), 478-481, 2014.

Mu, C., Zhang, T., Wu, Q., Peng, X., Cao, B., Zhang, X., and Cheng, G.: Editorial: Organic carbon pools in permafrost regions on the Qinghai–Xizang (Tibetan) Plateau. The Cryosphere, 9(2), 479-486, 2015.

Nan, Z. T., Li, S. X., and Liu, Y, Z.: Mean annual ground temperature distribution on the Tibetan Plateau: Permafrost distribution mapping and further application. Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology, 24(2), 142-148, 2002. (in Chinese)

Nan, Z., Gao, Z., Li, S., and Wu, T.: Permafrost changes in the northern limit of permafrost on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau in the last 30 years. Acta Geographica Sinica, 58(6): 817–823, 2003. (In Chinese, English abstract)

Niu, F., Lin, Z., Liu, H., and Lu, J.: Characteristics of thermokarst lakes and their influence on permafrost in Qinghai–Tibet Plateau. Geomorphology,132(3), 222-233, 2011.

Otto, J. C., and Keuschnig, M.: Permafrost-Glacier Interaction–Process Understanding of Permafrost Reformation and Degradation. permAfrost–Austrian Permafrost Research Initiative. Final Report, Innsbruck: Institute for Interdisciplinary Mountain Research, ÖAW, 3-16, 2014.

Permafrost Subcommittee, National Research Council of Canada.: Glossary of Permafrost and Related Ground-ice Terms. National Research Council of Canada Technical Memorandum, 1988.

Pepin, N., Bradley, R. S., Diaz, H. F., Baraer, M., Caceres, E. B., Forsythe, N., Fowler, H., Greenwood, G., Hashmi, M. Z., Liu, X. D., Miller, J. R., Ning, L., Ohmura, A., Palazzi, E., Rangwala, I., Schöner, W., Severskiy, I., Shahgedanova, M., Wang, M. B., Williamson, S. N., and Yang, D. Q.: Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. Nature Climate Change, 5, 424-430, 2015.

Qin, J., Yang, K., Liang, S., and Guo, X.: The altitudinal dependence of recent rapid warming over the Tibetan Plateau. Climatic Change, 97, 321-327, 2009.

Ran, Y., Li, X., Cheng, G., Zhang, T., Wu, Q., Jin, H., and Jin, R.: Distribution of permafrost in China: an overview of existing permafrost maps. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 23(4), 322-333, 2012.

Ran, Y., Li, X., Jin, R., and Guo, J.: Remote sensing of the mean annual surface temperature and surface frost number for mapping permafrost in China. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 47(2), 255-265, 2015.

Ran, Y. H. and Li, X.: Evaluation of the permafrost stability degradation from 1980 to 2010 in China. Sciences in Cold and Arid Regions, 8(5), 0359–0366, doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1226.2016.00359, 2016.

Ran, Y.H., Li, X., and Cheng, G.D.: A permafrost thermal stability map over third pole by integrated remotely sensed land surface temperature, leaf area index, soil properties, and ground boreholes measurement. Submitted to Remote Sensing of Environment, 2017.

Schaefer, K., Zhang, T., Bruhwiler, L., and Barrett, A. P.: Amount and timing of permafrost carbon release in response to climate warming. Tellus B, 63(2), 165-180, 2011.

Schuur, E. A., Vogel, J. G., Crummer, K. G., Lee, H., Sickman, J. O., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The effect of permafrost thaw on old carbon release and net carbon exchange from tundra. Nature, 459(7246), 556-559, 2009.

Smith, M. W. and Riseborough, D. W.: Permafrost monitoring and detection of climate change. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 7(4), 301-309, 1996.

Smith, M. W., and Riseborough, D. W.: Climate and the limits of permafrost: a zonal analysis. Permafrost Periglacial Process, 13, 1-15, 2002.

Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M., and Hinzman, L. D. Disappearing arctic lakes. Science, 308(5727), 1429-1429, 2005.

Sun, Z. Z., Wu, G. L., Yun, H. B., Liu, G. J., and Rui, P. F.: Permafrost degradation under an embankment of the Qinghai-Tibet Railway in the southern limit of permafrost. Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology, 36(4), 767-771, doi: 10.7522/j.issn.1000-0240.2014.0092, 2014. (In Chinese, English abstract)

Stehman, S. V.: Selecting and interpreting measures of thematic classification accuracy. Remote sensing of Environment, 62(1), 77-89, 1997.

Stieglitz, M., Déry, S. J., Romanovsky, V. E., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The role of snow cover in the warming of arctic permafrost. Geophysical Research Letters, 30(13), 2003.

Tang, Z., Wang, J., Li, H., and Yan, L.: Spatiotemporal changes of snow cover over the Tibetan plateau based on cloud-removed moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer fractional snow cover product from 2001 to 2011. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, 7(1), 073582-073582, 2013.

Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S.: Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. Global biogeochemical cycles, 23(2), 2009.

Vancutsem, C., Ceccato, P., Dinku, T., ~~&~~and Connor, S. J.: Evaluation of MODIS land surface temperature data to estimate air temperature in different ecosystems over Africa. Remote Sensing of Environment, 114(2), 449-465, 2010.

Wan, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and Li, Z.L.: Validation of the land-surface temperature products retrieved from Terra Mode rate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 83, 163-180, 2002.

Wan, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and Li, Z.L.: Quality assessment and validation of the MODIS global land surface temperature. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 25, 261-274, 2004.

Wan, Z.: New refinements and validation of the MODIS land-surface temperature/emissivity products. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(1): 59-74, 2008.

Wang, X., Wu, C., Wang, H., Gonsamo, A., and Liu, Z.: No evidence of widespread decline of snow cover on the Tibetan Plateau over 2000–2015. Scientific reports, 7(1), 14645, 2017.

Wang, S.L., Jin, H.J., Li, S.X., and Zhao, L.: Permafrost degradation on the Qinghai-Xizang (Tibet) Plateau and its environmental impacts. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 11, 43–53, 2000.

Wang, G., Garcia, D., Liu, Y., de Jeu, R., and Dolman, A.J.: A three dimensional gap filling method for large geophysical datasets: application to global satellite soil moisture observations. Environmental Modelling & Software, 30, 139-142, 2012.

Wang, Q. F., Zhang, T. J., Wu, J. C., Peng, X. Q., Zhong, X. Y., Mou, C., Wang, K., Wu, Q. B., and Cheng, G. D.: Investigation on permafrost distribution over the upper reaches of the Heihe River in the Qilian Mountains. Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology, 35(1), 19-25, 2013. (In Chinese, English abstract)

Wang, T., Peng, S., Lin, X., and Chang, J.: Declining snow cover may affect spring phenological trend on the Tibetan Plateau.Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(31), E2854-E2855, 2013.

Wang, W., Feng, Q., Yu, H., Liang, T., and Guo, N.: Spatio-temporal change of vegetation on Tibetan Plateau based on AVHRR-NDVI data. In Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2016 IEEE International (pp. 1374-1377). IEEE.

Westermann, S., Langer, M., Boike, J., Heikenfeld, M., Peter, M., Etzelmüller, B., and Krinner, G. Simulating the thermal regime and thaw processes of ice-rich permafrost ground with the land-surface model CryoGrid 3. Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 523-546, 2016.

Williams, P. J. and Smith, M.W.: The frozen earth: fundamentals of geocryology. UK, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Wu, Q.B., Zhu, Y.L., and Liu, Y.Z.: Evaluation model of permafrost thermal stability and thawing sensibility under engineering activity. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 34(1), 19–30, 2002.

Wu, J., Sheng, Y., Wu, Q., and Wen, Z.: Processes and modes of permafrost degradation on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Science China Earth Sciences, 53(1), 150-158, 2010a.

Wu, Q. B., Zhang, Z. Q., and Liu, Y. Z.: Long-term thermal effect of asphalt pavement on permafrost under embankment. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 60: 221–229, 2010b.

Wu, D., Zhao, X., Liang, S., Zhou, T., Huang, K., Tang, B., and Zhao, W.: Time-lag effects of global vegetation responses to climate change. Global change biology, 21(9), 3520-3531, 2015.Xiang, Y., Xiao, Z. Q., Ling, S. L., Wang, J. D., and Song, J. L.: Validation of Global LAnd Surface Satellite (GLASS) leaf area index product. J. Remote Sens, 18, 573-596, 2014.

Xiao, Z., Liang, S., Wang, J., Chen, P., Yin, X., Zhang, L., and Song, J.: Use of general regression neural networks for generating the GLASS leaf area index product from time-series MODIS surface reflectance. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52(1), 209-223, 2014.

Yang, M., Nelson, F. E., Shiklomanov, N. I., Guo, D., and Wan, G.: Permafrost degradation and its environmental effects on the Tibetan Plateau: A review of recent research. Earth-Science Reviews, 103(1), 31-44, 2010.

Yao, Y. and Zhang, B.: MODIS-based estimation of air temperature of the Tibetan Plateau. Journal of Geographical Sciences, 23(4), 627-640, 2013.

Ye, B. S., Ding, Y. J., and Liu, C. H.: Response of Valley Glaciers in Various Size and Their Runoff to Climate Change. Journal of Glaciolgy and Geocryology, 23(2), 103-110, 2001. (In Chinese, English abstract)

Yoshikawa, K., and Hinzman, L. D.: Shrinking thermokarst ponds and groundwater dynamics in discontinuous permafrost near Council, Alaska. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 14(2), 151-160, 2003.

You, Y., Yu, Q., Pan, X., Wang, X., Guo, L., and Wu, Q. Thermal effects of lateral supra-permafrost water flow around a thermokarst lake on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Hydrological Processes, 1-9, 2017.

Yuan, X., Wang, W., Cui, J., Meng, F., Kurban, A., and De Maeyer, P.: Vegetation changes and land surface feedbacks drive shifts in local temperatures over Central Asia. Scientific Reports,7, 2017.

Yu, H., Wu, Q. B., and Liu, Y. Z.: The long-term monitoring system on permafrost regions along the Qinghai-Tibet Railway. Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology, 30(3), 475-481, 2008. (In Chinese, English abstract)

Zhang, T.: Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An overview. Reviews of Geophysics, 43(4), 2005.

5　Zhang, G., Yao, T., Xie, H., Kang, S., and Lei, Y.: Increased mass over the Tibetan Plateau: from lakes or glaciers?. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(10), 2125-2130, 2013.

Zhao, L., Wu, Q., Marchenko, S. S., and Sharkhuu, N.: Thermal state of permafrost and active layer in Central Asia during the International Polar Year. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 21(2), 198-207, 2010.

Zhong, L., Ma, Y., Salama, M. S., and Su, Z.: Assessment of vegetation dynamics and their response to variations in
10　precipitation and temperature in the Tibetan Plateau. Climatic Change, 103(3-4), 519-535, 2010.

Zhu, Z., Kang, G., Ma, Y., Xie, Q., Zhang, D., and Ning, J.: Temperature damage and constitutive model of frozen soil under dynamic loading. Mechanics of Materials, 102, 108-116, 2016.

Zou, D., Zhao, L., Sheng, Y., Chen, J., Hu, G., Wu, T., Wu, J., Xie, C., Wu, X., Pang, Q., Wang, W., Du, E., Li, W., Liu, G., Li J., Qiu, Y., Qiao, Y., Wang, W., Shi, J., and Cheng, G.: A New Map of the Permafrost Distribution on the Tibetan Plateau.
15　The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-187, 2016.

Table 1. Classification system used to assess permafrost thermal degradation (Modified according to Cheng, 1984)

Type	Mean annual air temperature (℃)	Mean annual ground temperature (℃)
Very cold	<-8.5	<-5.0
Cold	-6.5~-8.5	-3.0~-5.0
Cool	-5.0~-6.5	-1.5~-3.0
Warm	-4.0~-5.0	-0.5~-1.5
Very warm	-2.0~-4.0	+0.5~-0.5
Likely thawing	-1.0~-2.0	>+0.5

Table 2. The statistics of the stepwise linear regression analysis

Model	Independent variables	Adjusted R^2
1	MAST	0.83
2	MAST, LAI	0.87
3	MAST, LAI, FSC	0.88
4	MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation	0.90
5	MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation, Longitude	0.91
6	MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation, Longitude, Latitude	0.93

Table 3. The area statistics of the permafrost types over the QTP in the past 50 years ($\times 10^4$ km^2)

Permafrost type	1960s	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s	Net change (1960s to 2000s)		2000s excluding glaciers	Change rate ($\times 10^4$ km^{2}decade^{-1})
						Area	Percent (%)		
Very cold	12.35	8.56	8.74	5.66	3.36	-8.99	-72.79	1.86	-2.09
Cold	38.59	28.30	27.64	20.91	11.53	-27.06	-70.12	10.39	-6.15
Cool	34.14	34.75	34.09	31.94	24.84	-9.30	-27.24	24.03	-2.14
Warm	24.73	23.95	23.59	23.39	23.55	-1.18	-4.77	23.12	-0.29
Very warm	44.22	43.89	43.70	46.51	48.21	3.99	9.02	47.80	1.06
Likely thawing	20.73	21.05	20.54	20.16	21.63	0.90	4.34	21.56	0.09
Total area	174.76	160.50	158.32	148.57	133.10	-41.66	-23.84	128.76	-9.52

Table 4. Transfer matrix of permafrost types from the 1960s to the 2000s in the QTP (%)

1960s / 2000s	Very cold	Cold	Cool	Warm	Very warm	Likely thawing	Seasonally frozen ground
Very cold	24.75	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cold	59.42	9.67	1.33	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cool	15.82	50.93	8.37	1.45	0.03	0.00	0.00
Warm	0.00	35.91	23.18	6.16	0.57	0.00	0.00
Very warm	0.00	2.72	67.07	66.82	17.19	0.66	0.00
Likely thawing	0.00	0.00	0.05	25.49	32.80	3.39	0.12
Seasonally frozen ground	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	49.40	95.95	99.88
Class changes	75.25	90.34	91.63	93.84	82.81	96.61	0.13

Table 5. The mean elevation change of the permafrost types over the QTP in the past 50 years (unit: metre)

Permafrost type	1960s	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s	Rate (m decade^{-1})	R^2
Very cold	5240	5161	5169	5232	5328	24.7	0.34
Cold	5050	5052	5055	5094	5147	23.6	0.80
Cool	4881	4932	4937	4985	5036	36.3	0.96
Warm	4756	4799	4804	4859	4941	43.0	0.91
Very warm	4614	4670	4675	4713	4775	36.5	0.94
Likely thawing	4392	4503	4513	4565	4642	56.2	0.94

Figure 1. The distribution of in situ MAAT observation stations and MAGT boreholes over the QTP.

Figure 2. Spatial variability of MAAT warming rates over the QTP in the past 50 years.

Figure 3. Warming rates with increasing elevation. These rates are derived from MAATs estimated by integrating remote sensing-based mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI) and fractional snow cover values, and decadal mean MAAT date from 152 weather stations with a geographically weighted regression. Error bars display the standard deviations.

5

Figure 4. The permafrost map in each decade (a-e) and its spatial changes from the 1960s to the 2000s (f-j) over the QTP during the past 50 years.

Figure 5. Comparison of the permafrost extent between the results of this study (a) and the permafrost map presented by Zou et al., (2016) (b).