

Interactive comment on “Landfast ice thickness in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from Observations and Models” by S. E. L. Howell et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 2 May 2016

In my review I forgot to include the comments I'd written down w.r.t. the figures. These are:

Figure 7: I would think that having separate panes for each season would make more sense. There should be much less difference between the temperature at different locations than there is between the different seasons. That way we can more easily see the trend. As it is all the lines look very flat.

Figure 8: I would like to see the observations on these figures as well. They may become a little crowded, I'll admit, but it's important to be able to see, at a glance how the models depart from observations.

Figures 9 & 10: The observations should be shown here as well.

C1

Figure 14: You say "same as figure 12f", but I just looked at the previous figure, no. 13 (without thinking) and got very confused. I think it would be better to spell out what's happening in figure 14.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-71, 2016.

C2