1	Grounding and Calving Cycle of Mertz Ice Tongue
2	<b>Revealed by Shallow Mertz Bank</b>
3	Xianwei Wang <sup>1,2</sup> , David M. Holland <sup>2,3</sup> , Xiao Cheng <sup>1,5</sup> and Peng Gong <sup>4,5</sup>
4	1. State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, and College of Global Change and Earth System Science,
5	Beijing Normal University. Beijing 100875, China.
6	2. Center for Global Sea Level Change, New York University Abu Dhabi. Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.
7	3. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. New York 10012, United States of America.
8	4. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, and Center for Earth System Science,
9	Tsinghua University, Beijing, China 100084.
10	5. Joint Centre for Global Change Studies, Beijing, China.
11	
12	Correspondence to: wangxianwei0304@163.com

# 13 Abstract

A recent study, using remote sensing, provided some evidence that a seafloor shoal 14 influenced the 2010 calving event of the Mertz Ice Tongue (MIT), by partially grounding the 15 MIT several years earlier. In this paper, we start by proposing a method to calculate Firn Air 16 Content (FAC) around Mertz from seafloor-touching icebergs. Our calculations indicate the FAC 17 18 around Mertz region as  $4.87 \pm 1.31$  m. We then design an indirect method of using freeboard and sea surface height data extracted from ICESat/GLAS, FAC, and relatively accurate seafloor 19 topography to detect grounding sections of the MIT between 2002 and 2008 and analyze the 20 21 process of grounding prior to the calving event. By synthesizing remote sensing data, we point 22 out that the grounding position was localized northeast of the Mertz ice front close to the Mertz Bank. The grounding outlines of the tongue caused by the Mertz Bank are extracted as well. 23 From 2002 to 2008, the grounding area increased and the grounding became more pronounced. 24 Additionally, the ice tongue could not effectively climb over the Mertz Bank in following the 25 upstream ice flow direction and that is why MIT rotated clockwise after late 2002. Furthermore, 26 we demonstrate that the area-increasing trend of the MIT changed little after calving ( $\sim 36 \text{ km}^2/a$ ), 27 thus allowing us to use remote sensing to estimate the elapsed time until the MIT can reground 28 29 on and be bent by the shoal. This period is approximately 70 years. In the calving induced by iceberg collisions, our observations suggest that calving of the MIT is a cyclical process 30 controlled by the presence of the shallow Mertz Bank location and the flow rate of the tongue. 31 32 The calving cycle of the MIT explains the cycle of sea-surface condition change around the 33 Mertz.

34 **Keywords:** Mertz Ice Tongue, firn air content, grounding, Mertz Bank, calving cycle.

35 1. Introduction

Surface-warming induced calving or disintegration of floating ice has occurred in 36 Antarctica, such as the Larsen B ice shelf (Scambos et al., 2000, 2003; Domack et al., 2005; 37 Shepherd et al., 2003). While surface or sub-surface melting has largely been recognized to 38 contribute to floating ice loss in Antarctica (Depoorter et al., 2013), calving caused by interaction 39 with the seafloor has not been widely considered. The Mertz Ice Tongue (MIT) was reported to 40 have calved in 2010, subsequent to being rammed by a large iceberg, B-9B (Legresy et al. 2010). 41 After the calving, the areal coverage of the Mertz polynya, sea ice production and dense, shelf 42 43 water formation in the region changed (Kusahara et al. 2011; Tamura et al. 2012). However, the iceberg collision may have only been an apparent cause of the calving as other factors had not 44 been fully considered such as seafloor interactions (Massom et al., 2015; Wang. 2014). By 45 comparing inverted ice thickness to surrounding bathymetry, and combining remote sensing, 46 Massom et al., (2015) considered that the seabed contact may have held the glacier tongue in 47 place to delay calving by  $\sim 8$  years. The interaction of the MIT with the seafloor, the exact 48 grounding location of the MIT before calving and the extent of grounding are still not well-49 known. 50

The MIT (66 S-68 S, 144 E-150 E, Fig. 1) is located in King George V Land, East Antarctica, with an ice tongue extending over 140 km from its grounding line to the tongue front and approximately 30 km wide at the front (Legresy et al., 2004). Much field exploration has been conducted around Mertz and the increasing availability over the last decade of remote sensing, hydrographic surveying, and bathymetric data allows the causes of ice tongue instability to gradually come into focus. From satellite altimetry, a modest elevation change rate of 0.03 m/a (Pritchard et al., 2012) and a freeboard change rate of -0.06 m/a (Wang et al., 2014) were found,

58 which implied that the combined effects of surface accumulation and basal melt were not dramatic for this ice tongue. For the MIT, investigations of tidal effects, surface velocity, rift 59 propagation, and ice front propagation (Berthier et al., 2003; Frezzotti et al., 1998; Legresy et al., 60 2004; Lescarmontier et al., 2012; Massom et al., 2010, 2015) have been conducted with an 61 objective of detecting underlying factors affecting its stability. Grounding as a potential factor 62 can affect the stability of an ice tongue by possibly holding the tongue to delay calving (Massom 63 et al. 2015). However, without highly accurate bathymetric data, it is impossible to carry out 64 such study. Fortunately, In 2010, a new and high resolution bathymetry model, with a resolution 65 66 of 100 m was released for the Terra Adelie and George V continental margin (Beaman et al., 2011), and incidentally later used to generate the Bedmap-2 (Fretwell et al., 2013). Such 67 accurate data provides an opportunity for better exploring seafloor shoals and their impacts on 68 the instability of MIT. In this study, we focus on the grounding event of the MIT from 2002 to 69 2008. A method for grounding event detection is proposed and the grounding of the MIT before 70 calving is investigated. A calving cycle of the MIT caused by grounding on seafloor shoal, Mertz 71 Bank is discussed as well. 72

# 73 **2. Data**

The primary data used to investigate grounding of the MIT in this study are Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) data onboard the Ice, Cloud and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) and the seafloor bathymetry data mentioned above. In this section, ICESat/GLAS and bathymetry data, as well as some preprocessing are introduced.

### 78 2.1 ICESat/GLAS

The ICESat is the first spacebone laser altimetry satellite orbiting the Earth, launched by
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) in 2003 (Zwally et al. 2002) with

81 GLAS as the primary payload onboard. ICESat/GLAS was operated in an orbit of ~600 km and had a geographical coverage from 86°S to 86°N. ICESat/GLAS usually observed in nadir 82 viewing geometry and employed laser pulses of both 532 nm and 1064 nm to measure the 83 distance from the sensor to the ground (Zwally et al. 2002). On the ground, ICESat/GLAS's 84 footprint covered an area of approximately 70 m in diameter, with adjacent footprints spaced by 85 ~170 m. The horizontal location accuracy of the footprint was about 6 m (Abshire et al. 2005). 86 The accuracy and precision of ICESat/GLAS altimetry data were 14 cm and 2 cm respectively 87 (Shuman et al. 2006). ICESat/GLAS usually made two or three campaigns a year from 2003 to 88 89 the end of 2009, with each campaign lasting for about one month. With billions of laser footprints received by the telescope, 15 different types of data were produced for various 90 scientific applications, named as GLA01, GLA02, ... GLA15. In this study, GLA12 data 91 (elevation data for polar ice sheet) covering the Mertz from release 33 during the interval of 2003 92 to 2009 is used, the spatial distribution of which is shown in Fig. 2. 93

94 2.2 Seafloor Topography

Detailed bathymetry maps are fundamentally spatial data for marine science studies 95 (Beaman et al., 2003, 2011) and crucially needed in the data-sparse Antarctic coastal region 96 97 (Massom et al. 2015). Regionally, around Mertz, a large archive of ship track single-beam and multi-beam bathymetry data from 2000 to 2008 were used to generate a high resolution Digital 98 Elevation Model (DEM), the spatial coverage of which can be found from Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). 99 100 The DEM product was reported as having a vertical accuracy of about 11.5 m (500 m depth) and horizontal accuracy of about 70 m (500 m depth) in the poorest situation (Beaman et al. 2011). 101 As can be seen from Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 3(c), there is no bathymetry data under the MIT, which 102 may result in large uncertainty for seafloor interpolation. The oldest bathymetry data collected 103

104 along the margin of the MIT was at least from 2000 (Beaman et al. 2011). Thus, the boundary of the MIT in 2000 is used to identify bathymetry measurement gaps, as is indicated in Fig. 6. 105 However around the Mertz ice front, for both the east and west flanks, bathymetry data does 106 exist, which provide control points for seafloor interpolation under the tongue. Since the ice front 107 has a width of ~34 km (Wang et al. 2014), the accuracy of seafloor DEM under the MIT varies 108 109 according to different distance to the control points. Inside of the 2000 boundary of the MIT, the closer to the dash-dotted polygon (Figs. 6 and 7), the better accuracy the seafloor DEM. Outside 110 of that boundary, the quality of the seafloor DEM data is much better because of the high density 111 112 of single-beam or multi-beam bathymetric measurements.

Around Antarctica, seafloor topography data from Bedmap-2 was produced by Fretwell 113 et al. (2013) which adopted the DEM from Beaman et al. (2011). In this study, Bedmap-2 114 seafloor topography data covering Mertz is employed to detect the contact between seafloor and 115 the MIT. Because of inconsistent elevation systems for ICESat/GLAS and seafloor topography 116 data, the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM08) geoid (Pavlis et al. 2012) with respect to 117 World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS-84) ellipsoid is taken as reference. Since seafloor 118 topography from Bedmap-2 is referenced to the so-called g104c geoid, an elevation 119 120 transformation is required and can be implemented through Eq. (1).

121 
$$E_{sf}$$
 =

 $E_{sf} = E_{seafloor} + gl04c_{to was84} - EGM2008 \tag{1}$ 

where  $E_{sf}$  and  $E_{seafloor}$  is the seafloor topography under EGM08 and g104c respectively,  $gl04c_{to\_wgs84}$  is the value needed to convert height relative to gl04c geoid to that under WGS-84, and *EGM2008* is the geoid undulation with respect to WGS-84.

- 125 **3. Methods**
- 126 **3.1 Grounding Detection Methods**

127 ICESat/GLAS data has been widely used to determine ice freeboard, or ice thickness, since its launch in 2003 (Kwok et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2011, 2014; Yi et al., 2011; Zwally et 128 al., 2002, 2008). The methods we designed for grounding detection of the MIT are now 129 130 introduced using ICESat/GLAS data. First, assuming a floating ice tongue, based on freeboard data extracted in different observation dates, the ice draft of the MIT is inverted. Next, ice 131 bottom elevation is calculated based on the inverted ice draft and the lowest sea-surface height. 132 Finally, the ice bottom is compared with seafloor bathymetry and ice grounding is detected. The 133 underlying logic for grounding detection is that if the inverted ice bottom is lower than seafloor, 134 135 we can draw a conclusion that the ice tongue is grounded rather than floating.

The method to extract a freeboard map using ICESat/GLAS from multiple campaigns over the MIT was described in Wang et al. (2014). Here, we do not revisit it in detail but introduce it schematically. Four steps are included in freeboard map production for each of the datasets from November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006 and January 31, 2008..

The first step involves data preprocessing, saturation correction, data quality control, and 140 141 tidal correction removal. The magnitude of the ICESat/GLAS waveform can become saturated because of different gain setting, or high reflected natural surface. Thus the saturated waveforms 142 143 with *i\_satElevCorr* (i.e. an attribute from GLA12 data record) greater than or equal to 0.50 m are ignored and those with *i\_satElevCorr* less than 0.50 m are corrected following the procedures in 144 Wang et al. (2012, 2013). Additionally, measurements with *i\_reflctUC* greater than or equal to 145 146 one are ignored. Furthermore, tidal correction from the TPX07.1 tide model in GLA12 data record is removed to obtain estimates of the instantaneous sea surface height. Finally, elevation 147 148 data from ICESat/GLAS related to the WGS-84 ellipsoid and EGM 08 geoid from 2003 to 2009 149 is ready for subsequent use.

150 The second step is to derive sea-surface height according to each track and to calculate freeboard for each campaign. Because of tidal variations near the MIT, surface elevations of the 151 MIT can vary as well. To derive sea-surface height from ICESat/GLAS and provide a reference 152 for freeboard calculation for different campaigns, ICESat/GLAS data over the MIT within a 153 buffer region (with 10 km as buffer radius of MIT boundary in 2007) are selected and sea-154 155 surface height is determined as the lowest elevation measurement along each track (Wang et al. 2014). Freeboard is then calculated by subtracting the corresponding sea-surface height from 156 elevation measurements of the MIT according to different tracks in the same campaign. Thus 157 158 freeboard data for different campaigns from 2003 to 2009 is obtained.

The third step is to relocate footprints using estimated ice velocity. ICESat observed the 159 MIT almost repeatedly along different tracks in different campaigns (Fig. 2). However, 160 161 observation from only one campaign cannot provide good coverage of the MIT, which drives us to combine all observations from 2003 to 2009 together to produce a freeboard map of MIT. Fig. 162 2 shows the spatial coverage of ICESat/GLAS from 2003 to 2009 over the Mertz, but the 163 164 geometric relation between tracks is not correct over the MIT because the tongue was fast moving and observed in different years by the ICESat. The region observed in an earlier 165 166 campaign would move downstream later (Wang et al. 2014). For example, consider ICES at data from track T31 on March 22, 2003 and T165 (Fig. 2) on November 1, 2003 respectively. Fig. 2 167 shows the distance between track T165 and T31 is ~7.5 km without accounting for ice advection 168 169 between observation dates. However because of the fast moving ice tongue, the distance of their actual ground tracks on the surface of the MIT should be larger because T165 was located 170 upstream and observed later. Thus footprints relocation using ice velocity is critical to obtain 171 172 accurate geometric relations among different tracks. The ice velocity data from Rignot et al.

(2011) generated from InSAR data from 2006 to 2010 is used to relocate the footprints of
ICESat/GLAS. Thus the correct geospatial relations between observations from different
campaigns can be achieved on November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006, and
January 31, 2008, through Eqs. (2) and (3).

177 
$$X = x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{xi} \Delta t + v_{xm} t_m$$
(2)

178

$$Y = y + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{vi} \Delta t + v_{vm} t_m \qquad (t_m = t_2 - t_1 - n\Delta t)$$
(3)

179 where x and y are locations in the X and Y directions from ICESat measurement directly; 180 X and Y are locations in the X and Y directions after relocation;  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are the ice velocities in 181 the X and Y directions respectively;  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are the start and end times;  $\Delta t$  is the time interval 182 and n indicates the largest integer time steps for time interval between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ;  $t_m$  is the 183 residual time; In this work,  $\Delta t$  is set as 10 days;  $v_{xi}$  and  $v_{yi}$  is derived from ice velocity field 184 according to different locations during relocation and may change in different time intervals.

The freeboard change with time should be considered as well, but this contribution is neglected because freeboard comparison from crossing tracks showed a slightly decreasing trend of -0.06 m/a on average (Wang et al. 2014). The spatial distribution of freeboard data over the MIT corresponding to November 14, 2002, is shown in Fig. 5(a).

The forth step is to interpolate the freeboard map using the relocated freeboard data from the third step. Kriging interpolation under spatial analysis toolbox of ArcGIS is selected in this study to produce freeboard maps of the MIT because it can provide an optimal interpolation estimate for a given coordinate location by considering the spatial relationships of a data set. With this method, freeboard maps of the MIT are produced on November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006, and January 31, 2008 when the ice tongue outline can be delineated from Landsat images. Ice draft is calculated with Eq. (4) assuming hydrostatic equilibrium and using the lowest
sea-surface height which is extracted from ICESat/GLAS data from all campaigns covering this
region, -3.35 m under EGM 08 (WGS-84).

199

$$\rho_w D = \rho_i (H_f + D - FAC) \tag{4}$$

where *D* is ice draft, i.e. vertical distance from sea surface to bottom of ice;  $H_f$  is freeboard, i.e. vertical distance from sea surface to top of snow;  $\rho_w$  and  $\rho_i$  are densities of ocean water and ice, respectively. In this study, ice and sea water density are taken as 915 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 1024 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (Wang et al., 2014); *FAC* is the firn air content, the decrease in thickness (in meters) that occurs when the firn column is compressed to the density of glacier ice, as defined in Holland et al., (2011) and Ligtenberg et al. (2014).

206 The lowest sea surface height -3.35 m is derived by comparing all sea-surface heights derived from different tracks and campaigns from 2003 to 2009. This constant stands for the 207 lowest sea surface height from results around Mertz from 2003 to 2009 and is directly from 208 ICESat/GLAS observation. For time varying sea-surface heights caused by tides, the minimum 209 sea-surface height can allow ice with a given draft to ground to the seafloor. Then, ice bottom 210 elevation is calculated by considering the ice draft and the lowest sea-surface height. To compare 211 the ice bottom with the seafloor, an elevation difference of both is calculated. In this way, a 212 negative value indicates that ice bottom is lower than the seafloor, which corresponds to 213 214 grounding.

The calculation of firn air content around Mertz is introduced in Section 3.2. In this work, we define the elevation of the underside (bottom) of the tongue as  $E_{ice\_bottom}$  and is calculated by Eq. (5).

218 
$$E_{ice\ bottom} = E_{sea\ level} - D$$
 (5)

where  $E_{ice\_bottom}$  corresponds to elevation of the ice bottom.  $E_{sea\_level}$  is the lowest sea-surface height among extracted sea-surface height from different tracks and different campaigns, which is -3.35 m.

Similarly, the elevation difference of ice tongue bottom and seafloor is defined as  $E_{dif}$ , which can be calculated by Eq. (6).

$$224 E_{dif} = E_{ice\ bottom} - E_{sf} (6)$$

where  $E_{sf}$  is the seafloor elevation as defined in Eq. (1).

226 **3.2. Firn Air Content Estimation Method** 

The Antarctic ice sheet is covered by a dry, thick firn layer which represents an 227 228 intermediate stage between fresh snow and glacial ice, having varying density from Antarctic inland to the coast (van den Broeke, 2008). The density and depth of the Antarctic firn layer has 229 been modeled (e.g., van den Broeke, 2008) using a combination of regional climate model output 230 231 and a steady-state firn compaction model. However, for ice thickness inversion, Firn Air Content (FAC) is usually used to make the calculation convenient (Rignot and Jacobs. 2002). FAC is 232 defined as the decrease in thickness (in meters) that occurs when the firn column is compressed 233 234 to the density of glacier ice (Holland et al., 2011). Time-dependent FAC has also been modeled by considering the physical process of the firn layer (e.g., Ligtenberg et al. 2014). For the MIT, 235 236 there are some in-situ measurements of snow thickness available from Massom et al. (2010) who 237 used a snow layer depth of 1 m to derive the thickness of surrounding multi-year, fast sea ice. 238 However on the surface of the MIT, no in-situ measurements of density or depth of firn layer is 239 available.

240 Because of different density and thickness of the firn layer on top of an ice tongue, it is 241 challenging to simulate the density profile of the MIT without in-situ measurements as control 242 points. In this study, we use FAC extracted from adjacent seafloor-touching icebergs to investigate the grounding of the MIT rather than FAC from modeling. MIT may be composed of 243 pure ice, water, air, firn or snow that will influence the density of the ice tongue. However, if 244 assuming a pure ice density only to calculate ice mass, the thickness of MIT must be corrected 245 by FAC. FAC correction to ice thickness can be inferred from surrounding icebergs calving from 246 247 MIT using Eq. (4) when knowing ice draft and freeboard assuming hydrostatic equilibrium. Thus it is critical to target and use icebergs fulfilling these requirements to solve Eq. (4), such as 248 slightly grounded icebergs above already known seafloor with observed freeboard. From Smith 249 250 (2011), icebergs can be divided into three categories based on bathymetry and seasonal pack ice 251 distributions: grounded, constrained, and free-drifting icebergs. Without occurrence of pack ice, an iceberg can be free-drifting or grounded. Free-drifting icebergs can move several tens of 252 253 kilometers per day, such as iceberg A-52 (Smith et al. 2007). Grounded icebergs can be heavily or lightly anchored. Heavily grounded icebergs have firm contact with the seafloor and can be 254 stationary for a long time, such as iceberg B-9B (Massom. 2003). However, slightly grounded 255 256 icebergs may have little contact with the seafloor and can possibly move slowly under the influence of ocean tide, ocean currents, or winds, but much slower than free-drifting icebergs. 257 258 The relation of grounded iceberg to ice drifting velocity is not well-known. However, from slowly drifting or nearly stationary icebergs in open water, we can determine if an iceberg is 259 slightly grounded. 260

Because of the heavily grounded iceberg B-9B to the east of the MIT blocking the drifting of pack ice or icebergs from the east, icebergs located between B-9B and the MIT are most likely generated from the Mertz or Ninnis glaciers. Some icebergs may be slightly grounded as can be detected from remote sensing. We calculate the FAC from these slightly

265 grounded icebergs and later apply it to grounding event detection of the MIT. Around the MIT, 266 the locations of three icebergs ('A', 'B' and 'C') were identified using MODIS and Landsat images in austral summer, 2006 and 2008 and shown in Fig. 4. Fortunately, ICESat/GLAS 267 observed these icebergs on February 23, 2006 (54th day of 2006) and February 18, 2008 (49th 268 day of 2008). This allows us to analyze the behavior of the icebergs three-dimensionally. From 269 Fig. 4a, icebergs 'A', 'B' and 'C' changed position little in about two months (from 28 to 85 day 270 of 2006). Thus we can consider these icebergs slightly grounded. For these slightly grounded 271 icebergs, hydrostatic equilibrium should still apply, so the ice draft inverted from freeboard 272 273 measurement assuming hydrostatic equilibrium should be equal to water depth. Based on this 274 analysis, we can take water depth as draft to calculate the FAC.

Because only 'A' and 'C' were observed by track T1289 of the ICESat/GLAS in 2006, freeboard and water depth from bathymetry for both are used to calculate the FAC (Figs. 3b, 3c, 4, and Table 1). However, the icebergs were not stationary, which indicates only some parts were slightly grounded. In this study, only the top two largest freeboard measurements of icebergs 'A' and 'C' from T1289 in 2006 are employed to calculate the FAC with Eq. (7) with a least-squares method under hydrostatic equilibrium.

281 
$$FAC = H_{f_k} + D_k - \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_i} D_k + \varepsilon_k$$
(7)

where *k* is used to identify different icebergs 'A' or 'C',  $H_f$  is the top two largest freeboard measurement of each iceberg, *D* is ice draft which is the same as sea water depth and is taken from seafloor bathymetry directly,  $\varepsilon$  is a residual for FAC.

Table 1 shows the freeboard and seafloor bathymetry under the icebergs in 2006 for FAC calculation and grounding detection of icebergs in 2008 (detailed freeboard values for these icebergs can be seen from S-Fig. 1). With freeboard and seafloor measurements from icebergs 'A'

and 'C' in 2006 (Table 1), FAC is calculated as about 4.87±1.31 m. Two icebergs 'A' and 'B' 288 289 were observed by the same track T1289 of the ICESat/GLAS on February 18, 2008 and thus are used to evaluate the grounding detection by using this FAC. From iceberg trajectories observed 290 291 by remote sensing (Fig. 4b), we know, iceberg 'A' drifted away from its original position. Thus it was not grounded. However, iceberg 'B' kept rotating in this period without drifting away, 292 293 from which we can consider it slightly grounded. Such grounding status determined from remote 294 sensing can also be detected with our method since the elevation difference of ice bottom and seafloor from Table 1 does clearly indicate a slightly grounded iceberg 'B' and a floating iceberg 295 296 'A'. Thus, our FAC estimation works well around Mertz.

FAC varies across the Antarctica ice sheet, usually decreasing from the interior to the coast. In this section, FAC over Mertz region is derived as 4.87±1.31 m. However other time dependent modeling results from the Mertz region were close to 5-10 meters (Ligtenberg et al. 2014). Since there are no in-situ measurements available for verification, further comparison work needs to be conducted. However, this FAC value is derived according to our best knowledge over Mertz and is affected by iceberg status and the maximum freeboard used. Our method is not perfect and there are some shortcomings which should be paid attention to.

First, for FAC calculation, icebergs just touching the seafloor should be used in which case the FAC calculated assuming hydrostatic equilibrium is the same as the actual value. However, it is difficult to ascertain whether an iceberg is just touching the seafloor from remote sensing images. The near stationary or slowly rotating iceberg detected with remote sensing may be grounded more severely than those just touching the seafloor, which may result in a calculated FAC theoretically larger than the actual value. Thus, using this FAC result to detect grounding

can potentially lead to smaller grounding results. However, once an iceberg or ice tongue isdetected as grounded using this FAC content, the result is more convincing.

Second, limited observation from ICESat/GLAS may not catch the same and the thickest 312 section of an iceberg. Because ICESat/GLAS observed only several times a year on repeat tracks 313 and icebergs were rotating slowly, the elevation profile in 2006 and 2008 along the same track 314 T1289 may not come from the same ground surface. S-Fig. 1 shows the freeboard of icebergs 315 'A', 'B' and 'C' derived from ICESat/GLAS from 2006 and 2008. By comparing freeboard of 316 iceberg 'A' in 2006 (S-Fig. 1a), and 2008 (S-Fig. 1c), we can find that the maximum freeboard 317 318 was larger and the freeboard profile was longer in 2006. Comparatively, the smaller freeboard in 2008 may be caused by basal melting or observing different portion of iceberg 'A'. Since the 319 larger freeboard measured in 2006 indicates a high possibility of capturing the thickest portion, 320 the freeboard measurement in 2006 is used to invert the FAC. Additionally, iceberg 'A' and 'C' 321 did show the similar maximum freeboard (Table 1), which is another important reason to select 322 323 the measurements in 2006 to invert.

#### **4. Accuracy of Grounding Detection**

The accuracy of  $E_{dif}$  is critical to grounding detection of the MIT. From Eq. (1) to (6), we find different components of the error sources, such as from sea surface height determination, ice draft, seafloor bathymetry, and elevation transformation. Meanwhile, uncertainty of ice draft is primarily determined by that of freeboard and *FAC*. Furthermore, the uncertainty of freeboard is influenced by footprint relocation and freeboard changing rates. Considering all mentioned above, the error source of elevation difference  $E_{dif}$  can be synthesized by Eq. (8):

331 
$$\Delta E_{dif} = \Delta E_{sl} + a(\Delta H_f + \Delta E_{re} + \Delta E_{fbc} + \Delta FAC + \Delta E_{krig}) + \Delta E_{sf} + \Delta E_{trans}$$
(8)

where  $a = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_w - \rho_i}$ ;  $\Delta$  stands for error of each variable;  $\Delta E_{dif}$  stands for error of final elevation difference of ice bottom and seafloor;  $\Delta E_{sl}$ ,  $\Delta H_f$ ,  $\Delta E_{re}$ ,  $\Delta E_{fb_c}$ ,  $\Delta FAC$ ,  $\Delta E_{sf}$ ,  $\Delta E_{krig}$ , and  $\Delta E_{trans}$  stand for errors caused by sea surface height extraction, freeboard extraction, freeboard relocation, freeboard changing rates, FAC calculation, seafloor bathymetry, kriging interpolation and elevation system transformation, respectively.

Usually, the influence of elevation system transformation on final elevation difference can be neglected. Based on the error propagation law, the uncertainty of elevation difference  $E_{dif}$ can be described by Eq. (9):

340 
$$\varepsilon E_{dif} = \sqrt{(\varepsilon E_{sl})^2 + a^2[(\varepsilon H_f)^2 + (\varepsilon E_{re})^2 + (\varepsilon E_{fb_c})^2 + (\varepsilon FAC)^2 + (\varepsilon E_{krig})^2] + (\varepsilon E_{sf})^2}$$

341 where  $\varepsilon$  indicates the uncertainty of each parameter.

# 342 **4.1 Uncertainty of kriging interpolation**

Fig. 5a shows the spatial distribution of freeboard data over the MIT used for detecting grounding on November 14, 2002. The spatial difference of ICESat/GLAS between Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 is caused by footprint relocation, after which the spatial geometry between different tracks is reasonably correct. In the lower right of the Mertz ice front (Fig. 5a), the crossing track distance between track T1289 and T165 is about 7 km. In these data gaps, freeboard data used for grounding detection is interpolated using kriging. Thus, knowing the uncertainty of kriging interpolation is critical to final grounding detection.

To investigate interpolation uncertainty of the kriging method, freeboard measurements should be compared with interpolated freeboard estimates. Thus, a testing region with freeboard measurements is selected, indicated by a dashed blue square in Fig. 5a, about 7 km×7 km. A freeboard map is first interpolated with gray dots only (Fig. 5a) using kriging. Then, the freeboard measurements (284 of green dots in Fig. 5a) are compared with interpolation in the square. The spatial distribution and the histogram of freeboard difference derived by subtracting krigged freeboard from freeboard derived from ICESat/GLAS are shown in Fig. 5b.

In this square, the freeboard measurement varies from 31.6 m to 40.0 m with 36.6 m in average. However, the interpolated freeboard varies from 32.9 m to 39.6 m with 35.9 m in average. From the freeboard difference results (Fig. 5b), we find that the interpolated freeboards show similar results compared with freeboard derived from ICESat/GLAS. The interpolated freeboard has an accuracy of  $-0.7 \pm 1.8$  m. The interpolated freeboard using kriging can reflect the actual freeboard well.

### 363 4.2 Grounding Detection Robustness

Since sea surface height is extracted from ICESat/GLAS data track by track, we use 364  $\pm 0.15$  m (Zwally et al. 2002) as the uncertainty of elevation data ( $\varepsilon E_{sl}$ ). Also from Wang et al. 365 366 (2014), we can see the uncertainty of freeboard extraction ( $\varepsilon H_f$ ) is  $\pm 0.50$  m. From Rignot et al. (2011), the error of ice velocity ranged from 5 m/a to 17 m/a. Assuming that ice velocity varied 367 by 17 m/a (an upper threshold), the relocation error horizontally could reach  $\pm 54$  m in an average 368 369 of three years. Wang et al. (2014) extracted the average slope of the MIT along ice flow direction 370 as 0.00024. However, because of large crevasses on the surface, we use 50 times of this value as a conservative estimate of the average slope. In this way, we can estimate  $\varepsilon E_{re}$  as  $\pm 0.65$  m when 371 considering a three-year period. The annual rate of freeboard change from 2003 to 2009 is -0.06 372 m/a (Wang et al. 2014). Therefore, we consider the freeboard stable over this period. However 373 when combining data from different time periods,  $\varepsilon E_{fb_c}$  is estimated as about  $\pm 0.18$  m if 374 considering three-year's time difference. From Beaman et al. (2011), considering elevation 375

uncertainty at the worst situation when water depth is 500 m,  $\varepsilon E_{g104c}$  is  $\pm 11.5$  m. For kriging interpolation, from analysis in Section 4.1, 1.8 m is taken as the uncertainty. Using all these errors above, we calculate the final uncertainty of elevation difference as  $\pm 23$  m.

From the calculations above, we can say that  $E_{dif}$  less than -23 m corresponds to a very robust grounding event. However, if  $E_{dif}$  is greater than 23 m, we cannot confirm grounding.  $E_{dif}$  in the interval of -23m to 23 m corresponds to slightly grounding or floating. We can also determine different contributions of each separate factor to the overall accuracy. Seafloor bathymetry contributes the largest part and is the dominant factor affecting the accuracy of grounding detection.

# 385 5. Grounding Detection Results

The spatial distribution of elevation difference  $E_{dif}$  and outlines of the MIT from 2002 to 386 2008 are shown in Fig. 6. A buffer region with radius of 2 km (region between black and grey 387 388 lines in Fig. 6) is introduced to investigate grounding potential of the MIT, if it approached there. The elevation difference less than 46 m (twice of elevation difference uncertainty  $\varepsilon E_{dif}$ ) both 389 390 inside and outside of the outline is extracted and the corresponding statistics are shown in Table 391 2. Since the uncertainty to determine a grounding event is about  $\pm 23m$ , if some grid points of the MIT have elevation difference  $E_{dif}$  less than -23 m, we can conclude that this section of the 392 tongue is strongly grounded. The smaller the  $E_{dif}$ , the more robust the grounding. 393

As illustrated from Table 2, the minimum  $E_{dif}$  inside of the MIT in 2002 was 11.9 m and the minimum  $E_{dif}$  inside of the MIT were all less than -23 m after 2002. The minimum of the  $E_{dif}$  in the buffer region were all less than -23 m from 2002 to 2008. From this point of view, we conclude that the ice tongue had grounded on the shallow Mertz Bank at least since November 14, 2002. This result coincides with findings from Massom et al. (2015) who considered that the

northwestern extremity of the MIT started to contact with the seafloor shoal in late 2002 to early 399 2003. Also, it would be difficult for the MIT to approach the buffer region (indicated with yellow 400 to red colors in Fig. 6) as the surrounding Mertz Bank gets shallower and steeper, suggesting 401 substantive grounding potentials. Inside of the MIT, the minimum of elevation difference was 402 just 11.9 m on November 14, 2002, which indicates slightly grounding. However on March 8, 403 404 2004, December 27, 2006, and January 31, 2008, the minimum of elevation difference reached -46.0 m, -52.3 m and -34.8m respectively, which means strongly grounding occurred in some 405 regions. From 2002 to 2008, more regions under the MIT had  $E_{dif}$  less than 46 m, the area of 406 which increased from 8 km<sup>2</sup> to 17 km<sup>2</sup>. Additionally, the mean of  $E_{dif}$  under of the tongue for 407 those having  $E_{dif}$  less than 46 m gradually decreased from 28.8 m to 12.3m, according to which 408 we can conclude that the ice front became more firmly grounded as time passed on. Additionally, 409 since the grounding area increased from 8 km<sup>2</sup> to 17 km<sup>2</sup> (Table 2) and the mean of  $E_{dif}$ 410 decreased from 2002 to 2008, we can say that over the period from 2002 to 2008, the grounding 411 of the northwest flank of the MIT became more widespread. 412

Based on the calculated elevation difference, the grounding outlines of the MIT are 413 delineated for November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006 and January 31, 2008, 414 (Fig. 7). For the grounding part of the outline in different years, starting and ending location and 415 perimeter are also extracted, from which we can conclude that the length of the grounding 416 outline of the Mertz Bank was only limited to a few kilometers (Table 3). We find that the lower 417 right (northwest) of the MIT was always grounded and that grounding did not occur in other 418 regions (Fig. 6). The shallowest seafloor elevation the ice front touched was  $\sim -290$  m in 419 November 2002. In 2004, 2006, and 2008, the lower right (northwest) of the MIT even 420 421 approached the contour of -220 m.

# 422 **6. Discussion**

# 423 6.1 Area Changing Rate and ~70-year Calving Cycle of MIT

Using Landsat TM/ETM+ images from 1989 to 2013, outlines of the MIT are extracted manually. Assuming a fixed grounding line position, the area of the MIT over this period is calculated. Using these data, from 1989 to 2007, an increasing area rate of the MIT is shown (from 5453 km<sup>2</sup> to 6126 km<sup>2</sup>) in Fig. 8. However, the area of the MIT was almost constant from 2007 to 2010, before calving. The largest area of the MIT was 6113 km<sup>2</sup> closest to the calving event in 2010. After the calving, the area decreased to 3617 km<sup>2</sup> in November 2010.

The rate of area change for the MIT from 1989 to 2007 is also obtained using a leastsquares method, corresponding to  $35.3 \text{ km}^2/a$ . However, after the calving a slight higher areaincreasing trend of  $36.9 \text{ km}^2/a$ , is found (Fig. 8). On average, the area-increasing rate of the MIT was  $36 \text{ km}^2/a$ .

434 The surface behavior such as ice flow direction changes and middle rift changes caused by grounding was analyzed by Massom et al. (2015). In the history of the MIT, one or two large 435 calving events were suspected to have happened between 1912 and 1956 (Frezzotti et al., 1998). 436 437 Based on the interactions between the MIT and Mertz Bank suggested by our observations and described below, it is likely that only one large calving event occurred between 1912 and 1956. 438 When the ice tongue touched the bank, the bank started to affect the stability of the tongue by 439 bending the ice tongue clockwise to the east, as can be seen from velocity changes from Massom 440 et al. (2015). With continuous advection of ice and flux input from upstream, a large rift from the 441 442 west flank of the tongue would ultimately have to occur and could potentially calve the tongue. 443 A sudden length shortening of the tongue can be caused by such ice tongue calving as indeed had happened in February, 2010. We also consider that even without a sudden collision of iceberg B-444

9B in 2010, the ice tongue would eventually calve because of existence of the shallow MertzBank.

If we take  $6127 \text{ km}^2$  as the maximum area of the MIT, assuming a constant area-changing rate of about  $36.9 \text{ km}^2/\text{a}$  after 2010, it will take about 68 years to calve again. When assuming an area changing rate of about  $35.3 \text{ km}^2/\text{a}$  as before 2010, it will take a little longer, about 71 years. Therefore, without considering accidental event such as collision with other large icebergs, the MIT is predicted to calve again in ~70 years. Because of the continuous advection of ice from upstream and the fixed location of the shallow Mertz Bank, the calving is likely repeatable and a cycle therefore exists.

After the MIT calved in February, 2010, Mertz polynya size, sea-ice production, sea-ice 454 coverage and high-salinity shelf water formation changed. A sea-ice production decrease of 455 about 14-20% was found by Tamura et al. (2012) using satellite data and high-salinity shelf 456 water export was reported to reduce up to 23% using a state-of-the-art ice-ocean model 457 (Kusahara et al. 2010). Recently, Campagne et al. (2015) pointed out a ~70-year cycle of surface 458 459 ocean condition and high-salinity shelf water production around Mertz through analyzing reconstructed sea ice and ocean data over the last 250 years. They also mentioned that this cycle 460 461 was closely related to presence and activity of the Mertz polynya. However, the reason for this cycle was not fully understood. 462

From these findings addressed above and MIT calving cycle we found, our explanation is that the calving cycle of the MIT leads to the ~70-year cycle of surface ocean condition and high-salinity shelf water production around Mertz. Different length of the MIT can prevent sea ice drifting from east side differently. A long MIT contributes to maintain a large polynya because more sea ice formed on the east side could not drift to the west side. With the effect of

468 katabatic wind, sea ice produced from the west side is blown seaward which maintains polynya 469 size and stable sea ice production. Calving decreases the length of the MIT suddenly. Then, a short ice tongue reduces the size of Mertz Polynya formed by Antarctic katabatic winds, 470 471 resulting in lower sea-ice production and further lessens high-salinity shelf water production. Therefore, the cycle of ocean conditions around Mertz found by Campagne et al. (2015) is likely 472 dominated by the calving of the MIT. Additionally, the 70 year cycles of MIT calving coincides 473 with surface ocean condition change around Mertz well which makes the explanation much more 474 compelling. 475

# 476 **6.2 Seafloor DEM**

High accuracy seafloor elevation is critical to the final success of grounding detection. 477 Since Beaman et al. (2011) provided the most accurate seafloor DEM over Mertz according to 478 our best knowledge, seafloor DEM inside of dash-dotted polygon (Fig. 7) is kept and the 479 grounding detection is conducted there (Fig. 6) as well. Additionally, the ice tongue never 480 stopped flowing further into the ocean, where the bathymetry measurements density is good. 481 482 From results shown in Fig. 6 all grounding sections of MIT boundary are located outside of the 2000 boundary. Thus the analysis of grounding detection near ice front in 2002, 2004, 2006, and 483 484 2008 is convincing. Inside of the 2000 boundary, most of the grounding detection results are above 100 m, indicating a floating status of the corresponding ice. Only abnormal seafloor 485 features higher than this seafloor DEM by about 100 m can result in wide grounding inside. 486 487 Actually, no matter whether the MIT inside of the 2000 boundary was grounded or not, gradual grounding on the shallow Mertz Bank of the MIT since late 2002 is a fact, which is direct 488 evidence for us to infer the primary cause of the instability of the MIT. 489

#### 490 **6.3 Influence of Mertz Bank on MIT**

491 Fig. 7 shows the extension line of west flank in November, 2002, from which we can see that if the MIT advected along the former direction, the ice flow would be seriously blocked 492 when approaching the Mertz Bank. The shallowest region of the Mertz Bank has an elevation of 493 about -140 m and the MIT would have to climb the 140 m obstacle to cross it. The shallow Mertz 494 Bank would have caused strongly grounding during the climbing. This special feature of seafloor 495 496 shoal facing the MIT can further explain why the ice velocity differed along the east and west flanks of the MIT before calving and why the ice tongue was deflected clockwise to the east, as 497 pointed out by Massom et al. (2015). However, because of sparsely-distributed bathymetry data 498 499 (point measurements) in Mertz region used in Massom et al. (2015), this effect could not be easily seen. Here, from our grounding detection results and surrounding high-accuracy 500 bathymetry data, this effect is more clearly observed. 501

# 502 7. Conclusion

In this study, a method of FAC calculation from seafloor-touching icebergs around Mertz 503 region is presented as an important element of understanding MIT grounding. The FAC around 504 505 the Mertz is about 4.87±1.31 m. This FAC is used to calculate ice draft based on sea surface height and freeboard extracted from ICESat/GLAS and is verified working well. A method to 506 507 extract grounding sections of the MIT is described based on comparing inverted ice draft assuming hydrostatic equilibrium with seafloor bathymetry. The final grounding results explain 508 the surface behavior of the MIT. Previous work by Massom et al. (2015) has also provided some 509 510 evidence for seafloor interaction, in showing that the MIT front had an approximate 280 m draft with the nearby seafloor as shallow as 285 m, suggesting the possibility of grounding. In our 511 work, we have provided ample detailed bathymetry and ice draft calculations. Specifically, ice 512 513 bottom elevation is inverted using ICESat/GLAS data and compared with seafloor bathymetry

during 2002, 2004, 2006, and 2008. From those calculations we show conclusively that the MIT was indeed grounded along a specific portion of its northwest flank over a limited region. We also point out that even without collision by iceberg B-9B in early 2010 the ice tongue would eventually have calved because of ice advection from the upstream and glacier flow being increasingly opposed by a reaction force from the seafloor shoal of the Mertz Bank.

From remote sensing images we are able to quantify the rate of increase of area of the 519 MIT before and after the 2010 calving. While the area-increasing trend of the MIT after calving 520 is slightly larger than before, we use the averaged rate to estimate a timescale required for the 521 522 MIT to re-advance to the area of the shoaling bathymetry from its retreated, calved position. Our estimate is ~70-years, which is remarkably consistent with Campagne et al. (2015) who found a 523 similar period of sea surface changes using seafloor sediment data. A novel point we bring out in 524 525 our study is that it is the shoaling of the seafloor combined with the rate of advance of the MIT that leads to the 70-year repeat cycle. Also the calving cycle of the MIT explains the observed 526 cycle of sea surface conditions change well, which indicates the calving of the MIT is the 527 dominant factor for sea-surface condition change. Understanding the mechanism underlying the 528 periodicity of MIT calving is important as the presence or absence of the MIT has a profound 529 530 impact on sea ice and hence of bottom water formation in the local region.

#### 531 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Fundamental Research Fund for the Central University, the Center for Global Sea Level Change (CSLC) of NYU Abu Dhabi (Grant: G1204), the Open Fund of State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science (Grant: OFSLRSS201414), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant: 2012M520185, 2013T60077). We are grateful to the Chinese Arctic and Antarctic Administration, the European Space Agency for free data

537 supply under project C1F.18243, the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) for the availability of the ICESat/GLAS data (http://nsidc.org/data/order/icesat-glas-subsetter) and 538 MODIS image archive the Mertz glacier (http://nsidc.org/cgi-539 over 540 bin/modis iceshelf archive.pl), British Antarctica Survey for providing Bedmap-2 seafloor topography data (https://secure.antarctica.ac.uk/data/bedmap2/), the National Geospatial-541 542 Intelligence Agency for publicly released EGM2008 GIS data (http://earthinfo.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/egm2008/egm08 gis.html), and the USGS for Landsat 543 data (http://glovis.usgs.gov/). Fruitful discussions with M. Depoorter, P. Morin, T. Scambos and 544 545 R. Warner, and constructive suggestions from Editor Andreas Vieli and two anonymous reviewers are acknowledged. 546

### 547 **References**

- Abshire, J. B., Sun, X., Riris, H., Sirota, J. M., McGarry, J. F., Palm, S., ... & Liiva, P.
   (2005). Geoscience laser altimeter system (GLAS) on the ICESat mission: on orbit
   measurement performance. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(21).
- Beaman, R. J., & Harris, P. T. (2003). Seafloor morphology and acoustic facies of the
   George V Land shelf. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*,
   50(8), 1343-1355.
- Beaman, R. J., O'Brien, P. E., Post, A. L., & De Santis, L. (2011). A new high-resolution
   bathymetry model for the Terre Ad die and George V continental margin, East Antarctica.
   *Antarctic Science*, 23(01), 95-103.
- 4. Berthier, E., Raup, B., & Scambos, T. (2003). New velocity map and mass-balance
  estimate of Mertz Glacier, East Antarctica, derived from Landsat sequential imagery. *Journal of Glaciology*, 49(167), 503-511.

560	5.	Campagne, P., Crosta, X., Houssais, M. N., Swingedouw, D., Schmidt, S., Martin, A.,
561		& Mass é, G. (2015). Glacial ice and atmospheric forcing on the Mertz Glacier Polynya
562		over the past 250 years. Nature Communications, 6.
563	6.	Depoorter, M. A., Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M.,
564		van den Broeke, M. R., & Moholdt, G. (2013). Calving fluxes and basal melt rates of
565		Antarctic ice shelves. Nature, 502(7469), 89-92.
566	7.	Domack, E., Duran, D., Leventer, A., Ishman, S., Doane, S., McCallum, S., & Prentice,
567		M. (2005). Stability of the Larsen B ice shelf on the Antarctic Peninsula during the
568		Holocene epoch. Nature, 436(7051), 681-685.
569	8.	Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Bamber, J. L., Barrand, N. E., Bell, R.,
570		& Fujita, S. (2013). Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for
571		Antarctica. <i>Cryosphere</i> , 7(1).
572	9.	Frezzotti, M., Cimbelli, A., & Ferrigno, J. G. (1998). Ice-front change and iceberg
573		behaviour along Oates and George V Coasts, Antarctica, 1912-96. Annals of Glaciology,
574		27, 643-650.
575	10.	Holland, P. R., Corr, H. F., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Arthern, R. J., Jenkins, A.,
576		& Tedesco, M. (2011). The air content of Larsen ice shelf. Geophysical Research Letters,
577		38(10).
578	11.	Kusahara, K., Hasumi, H. & Williams, G. D. (2011), Impact of the Mertz Glacier Tongue
579		calving on dense water formation and export. Nature communications, 2, 159.
580	12.	Kwok, R. Cunningham, G. F., Zwally, H. J., & Yi, D. (2007). Ice, Cloud, and land
581		Elevation Satellite (ICESat) over Arctic sea ice: retrieval of freeboard. Journal of
582		Geophysical Research, 112, C12013, doi:10.1029/2006JC003978.

583	13. Legresy, B., Wendt, A., Tabacco, I. E., Remy, F., & Dietrich, R. (2004). Influence of
584	tides and tidal current on Mertz Glacier, Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 50(170), 427-
585	435.
586	14. Legresy, B., N. Young, L. Lescarmontier, R. Coleman, R.Massom, B. Giles, A. Fraser, R.
587	Warener, B. Galton-Fenzi, L. Testut, M. Houssais and G. Masse (2010), CRAC !!! in the
588	Mertz Glacier, Antarctica.
589	http://www.antarctica.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0004/22549/ml_402353967939815_
590	mertz_final_100226.pdf
591	15. Lescarmontier, L., Legr ésy, B., Coleman, R., Perosanz, F., Mayet, C., & Testut, L. (2012).
592	Vibrations of Mertz glacier ice tongue, East Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 58(210),
593	665-676.
594	16. Ligtenberg, S., Kuipers Munneke, P., & Van Den Broeke, M. R. (2014). Present and
595	future variations in Antarctic firn air content. The Cryosphere, 8(5), 1711-1723.
596	17. Massom, R. A. (2003). Recent iceberg calving events in the Ninnis Glacier region, East
597	Antarctica. Antarctic Science, 15(02), 303-313.
598	18. Massom, R. A., Giles, A. B., Fricker, H. A., Warner, R. C., Legrésy, B., Hyland, G.,
599	Young, N., & Fraser, A. D. (2010). Examining the interaction between multi-year
600	landfast sea ice and the Mertz Glacier Tongue, East Antarctica: Another factor in ice
601	sheet stability? Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, C12027,
602	doi:10.1029/2009JC006083.
603	19. Massom, R. A., Giles, A. B., Warner, R. C., Fricker, H. A., Legr &y, B., Hyland, G., &
604	Young, N. (2015). External influences on the Mertz Glacier Tongue (East Antarctica) in

- the decade leading up to its calving in 2010. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth *Surface*, 120(3), 490-506.
- 20. Pavlis, N. K., Holmes S. A., Kenyon, S. C., & Factor, J. K. (2012). The development and
  evaluation of the Earth Gravitational Model 2008 (EGM2008), *Journal of Geophysical Research.* 117, B04406, doi:10.1029/2011JB008916.
- 610 21. Pritchard, H. D., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Fricker, H. A., Vaughan, D. G., Van den Broeke,
- M. R., & Padman, L. (2012). Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice
  shelves. *Nature*, 484(7395), 502-505.
- 613 22. Rignot, E., Mouginot, J. & Scheuchl, B. (2011), Ice flow of the Antarctic ice sheet.
  614 *Science*, 333(6048), 1427-1430.
- 615 23. Rignot, E., & Jacobs, S. S. (2002). Rapid bottom melting widespread near Antarctic ice
  616 sheet grounding lines. *Science*, 296(5575), 2020-2023.
- 617 24. Scambos, T. Hulbe, A., C. & Fahnestock, M. A. (2003). Climate-induced ice shelf
  618 disintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Antarctic Research Series*, 79, 79-92.
- 619 25. Scambos, T. Hulbe, A., C. Fahnestock, M. A. & Bohlander, J. (2000). The link between
- climate warming and breakup of ice shelves in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Journal of Glaciology*, 46(154), 516-530.
- 622 26. Shepherd, A., Wingham, D., Payne, T., & Skvarca, P. (2003). Larsen Ice Shelf has
  623 progressively thinned. *Science*, 302(5646), 856-859.
- 624 27. Shuman, C. A., Zwally, H. J., Schutz, B. E., Brenner, A. C., DiMarzio, J. P., Suchdeo, V.
- P., & Fricker, H. A. (2006). ICESat Antarctic elevation data: Preliminary precision and
  accuracy assessment. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(7).

627	28. Smith, K. L., Robison, B. H., Helly, J. J., Kaufmann, R. S., Ruhl, H. A., Shaw, T. J., &
628	Vernet, M. (2007). Free-drifting icebergs: hot spots of chemical and biological
629	enrichment in the Weddell Sea. Science, 317(5837), 478-482.
630	29. Smith, K. L. (2011). Free-drifting icebergs in the Southern Ocean: an overview. Deep Sea
631	Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58(11), 1277-1284.
632	30. Tamura, T., Williams, G. D., Fraser, A. D. & Ohshima, K. I. (2012). Potential regime
633	shift in decreased sea ice production after the Mertz Glacier calving, Nature
634	communications, 3, 826.
635	31. Van den Broeke, M. (2008). Depth and density of the Antarctic firn layer. Arctic,
636	Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 40(2), 432-438.
637	32. Wang, X.W., Cheng, X., Gong, P., Huang, H. B., Li Z., & Li, X. W. (2011). Earth
638	Science Applications of ICESat/GLAS: a Review. International Journal of Remote
639	Sensing, 32, 23, 8837-8864, doi: 10.1080/01431161.2010.547533
640	33. Wang, X.W., Cheng, X., Gong, P., Shum, C. K., Holland, D.M., & Li, X.W. (2014).
641	Freeboard and mass extraction of the disintegrated Mertz Ice Tongue with remote sensing
642	and altimetry data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 144, 1-10.
643	34. Wang, X.W. (2014). Mertz ice tongue evolutions from satellite observed data,
644	Postdoctoral Research Report, College of Global Change and Earth System Science,
645	Beijing Normal University, China. doi: 10.13140/2.1.1006.1603
646	35. Wang, X., Cheng, X., Li, Z., Huang, H., Niu, Z., Li, X., & Gong, P. (2012). Lake water
647	footprint identification from time-series ICESat/GLAS data. Geoscience and Remote
648	Sensing Letters, IEEE, 9(3), 333-337.

649	36. Wang, X., Gong, P., Zhao, Y., Xu, Y., Cheng, X., Niu, Z., & Li, X. (2013). Water-
650	level changes in China's large lakes determined from ICESat/GLAS data. Remote Sensing
651	of Environment, 132, 131-144.
652	37. Yi, D., Zwally, H.J., & Robbins, J. (2011). ICESat observations of seasonal and
653	interannual variations of sea-ice freeboard and estimated thickness in the Weddell Sea,
654	Antarctica (2003-2009). Annals of Glaciology, 52(57), 43-51.
655	38. Zwally, H. J., Schutz, B., Abdalati, W., Abshire, J., Bentley, C., Brenner, A., Buftona, J.,
656	Deziof, J., Hancocka, D., Hardinga, D., Herringg, T., Minsterh, B., Quinng, K., Palmi,
657	S., Spinhirnea, J., & Thomasj, R. (2002). ICESat's laser measurements of polar ice,
658	atmosphere, ocean, and land. Journal of Geodynamics, 34, 405-445.
659	39. Zwally, H. J., Yi, D., Kwok, R., & Zhao, Y. (2008). ICESat measurements of sea ice
660	freeboard and estimates of sea ice thickness in the Weddell Sea. Journal of Geophysical
661	Research, 113, C02S15, doi:10.1029/2007JC004284.
662	



664

Figure 1. Mertz Ice Tongue (MIT), East Antarctica. Landfast sea ice is attached to the east flank
of the MIT and the Mertz Polynya is to the west. The background image is from band 4 Landsat
7, captured on February 2, 2003. The green square found in the upper left inset indicates the
location of the MIT in East Antarctica. A polar stereographic projection with -71 S as standard
latitude is used.









highlighted in red as is used in Fig. 4. The background image is from band 4 Landsat 7, captured

on February 2, 2003. A polar stereographic projection with -71 °S as standard latitude is used.





684 Figure 3. (a) Seafloor topography from bathymetry around Mertz region and outlines of the MIT from 2002 to 2008. The outlines of the MIT in different years are marked with different 685 colored polygons. The shallow Mertz Bank is located in the lower right (northeast). The yellow 686 687 dash-dotted line indicates the shape of the MIT on January 25, 2000, which is used to identify the bathymetry gap under the ice tongue. The dashed red inset box corresponds to location of 688 689 Figs. 6 and 7. (b) : multi-beam bathymetry dataset coverage over the Mertz region. The 690 embedded figure in the lower left is the zoom in of the red rectangle which shows the positions of iceberg 'A' and 'B' (polygon filled in red) on February 19, 2008 (Fig. 4). (c): single-beam 691 692 bathymetry dataset coverage over the Mertz region. Blue polylines show the contours around the Mertz Bank and black dots are measurement profiles. (b) and (c) are redrawn from Beaman et al. 693 (2011) because original spatial coverage of the single and multi-beam bathymetry data is not 694 available. However, for being able to use the Figures from Beaman et al. (2011), we geo-695 registered it and put the contour around Mertz Bank and location of icebergs used in the text over 696 it, from which the density of bathymetry measurement can be clear. From the coastline from 697 Radarsat Antarctic Mapping Project-2000 indicated with the thick gray line in (b) and (c), we can 698 conclude that the geo-registration is successful as it coincides with that from Beaman et al. (2011) 699 700 well in most parts. This Figure is under a projection of polar stereographic projection with -71 S as standard latitude. 701





703 Figure 4. Freeboard extracted from Track 1289, ICESat/GLAS, the location of which can be found in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3(b). (a) and (b) show the freeboard extracted from ICESat/GLAS on 704 February 23, 2006 (2006054) and February 18, 2008 (2008049) respectively. In each image, 705 positions of three icebergs (with name labeled as 'A', 'B' and 'C') closest to ICESat/GLAS 706 observation time are plotted with green, red and blue polygons respectively. The dates are 707 708 indicated with seven numbers (yyyyddd) in legend. 'yyyyddd' stands for day 'ddd' in year 'yyyy'. 'MODIS02' and 'LE7' indicate that the image used to extract iceberg outline is from 709 MODIS and Landsat 7 ETM+, respectively. 710



Figure 5. Evaluation of kriging interpolation method over the MIT using freeboard data derived 715 716 from ICESat/GLAS. (a) shows profile locations of freeboard derived from ICESat/GLAS after 717 relocation over the MIT. Gray dots indicate ICESat/GLAS used for interpolation using kriging 718 method. The blue dashed square indicates the region used to investigate interpolation accuracy of 719 kriging method, about 7 km  $\times$ 7 km. Inside of the square, freeboard data marked with green dots 720 are used to check the accuracy of freeboard interpolated with kriging. (b) is the freeboard 721 comparison result derived by subtracting krigged freeboard from freeboard derived from 722 ICESat/GLAS. The spatial distribution and the histogram of freeboard difference are shown in 723 the lower left and upper right respectively. The black polygon filled with light blue shows the boundary of MIT on November 14, 2002. 724

726



Figure 6. Elevation difference of Mertz ice bottom and seafloor topography. (a), (b), (c) and (d)
correspond to elevation difference assuming hydrostatic equilibrium under the minimum sea
surface height -3.35 m on November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006, and January

735 31, 2008, respectively. The contours in the lower right indicate seafloor topography (unit: m) of the Mertz Bank with an interval of 20 m. The solid black line indicates the boundary of the MIT 736 and the thick gray line outlines a buffer region of the boundary with 2 km as buffer radius. The 737 738 dash-dotted line indicates the shape of the MIT on January 25, 2000, which is used to identify the bathymetry gap under the ice tongue. In the legend, negative values mean that ice bottom is 739 lower than the seafloor, which of course is impossible. Therefore, the initial assumption of a 740 741 floating ice tongue was incorrect in those locations (yellow to red colors), and the ice was 742 grounded. Regions with more negative values indicate more heavily grounding inside of the MIT or more heavily grounding potential in the buffer region. 743





Figure 7. Digital Elevation Map (DEM) of seafloor around Mertz and grounding section of the 745 boundaries extracted from 2002 to 2008. The grounding sections of the MIT boundary in 2002, 746 2004, 2006 and 2008 is marked with thick red, purple, green and blue polylines respectively and 747 MIT boundaries are indicated with polygons with the same legend as Fig. 3a. Additionally, MIT 748 boundary in 2000 indicated with dash-dotted yellow polygon is used to show the different quality 749 750 of seafloor DEM. Inside of this polygon no bathymetry data was collected or used. The dashed red line indicates the 'extension line' of the west flank of MIT on November 14, 2002, passing 751 752 the shallowest region of the Mertz Bank (about -140 m).



Figure 8. Time series of area change of the MIT. The area covers the entire ice tongue, to the
grounding line as indicated with thick blue line in Fig. 3a. The area is extracted from Landsat
images from 1988 to 2013.

# Tables

**Table 1**. Statistics of the icebergs used to inverse FAC with least-square method and validation of grounding iceberg detection using this FAC. Icebergs 'A', 'B' and 'C' are the same as what are used in Fig. 4 and S-Fig 1. Measurements from icebergs 'A' and 'C' in February 2006 are used to derive FAC with least-squares method. Icebergs 'A' and 'B' in 2008 are used for validation.

						Sea		
		Latitude	Longitude	Freeboard	Seafloor	Surface	Е	E <sub>dif</sub>
Icebergs	date		( )	()	(	Height	(	
		()	()	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
						(m)		
Δ	Feb 23,	-67.1737	146.6595	66.88	-528.48	-1.92	0.89	
A	2006	-67.1752	146.6604	66.34	-527.01	-1.92	1.30	
	<b>F</b> 1 <b>0</b> 0	-67.1085	146.6247	66.37	-505.84	-1.92	-	
С	Feb 23, 2006						1.25	
		-67.1100	146.6255	66.28	-507.08	-1.92	- 1.01	
		-67 119/	1/6 6303	58.88	-522 52	-2.08		69.14
А	Feb 18,	-07.1174	140.0303	50.00	-522.52	-2.00		07.14
	2008	-67.1209	146.6311	59.58	-524.16	-2.08		64.88
		-67.0906	146.6151	67.22	-500.92	-2.08		-
B	Feb 18,							22.45
Ъ	2008	(7.0001	146 6150	66.10	500 47	2 00		-
		-67.0921	146.6159	66.10	-500.47	-2.08		13.55

763

764	Table 2. Statistics of grounding grids inside or grounding potentials outside of the Mertz Ice
765	Tongue (MIT) ('I': inside of thick black line, Fig. 6; Number in brackets indicates how many
766	grids are located inside of the 2000 Mertz boundary; 'O': between the black and gray lines, Fig.
767	6) on November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006 and January 31, 2008 respectively.
768	Each grid covers an area of 1 km <sup>2</sup> . The Mean, Minimum and Standard deviation is calculated
769	without considering those fallen inside of the 2000 Mertz boundary, but only those having
770	elevation difference less than 46 m and out of 2000 Mertz boundary.

Elevation difference (subtracting seafloor	2002-11-14		2004-03-08		2006-12-27		2008-01-31	
from ice bottom)	Ι	0	Ι	0	Ι	0	Ι	0
23-46 (m)	9(3)	10(0)	6(0)	3(0)	10(1)	1(0)	10(3)	5(0)
0-23 (m)	2(0)	6(0)	1(0)	1(0)	9(0)	2(0)	4(0)	2(0)
<0 (m)	0(0)	8(0)	2(0)	5(0)	7(0)	21(0)	6(0)	18(0)
Mean (m)	28.8	9.8	15.8	-1.1	10.9	-41.9	12.3	-31.0
Minimum (m)	11.9	-81.5	-46.0	-44.5	-52.3	-102.8	-34.8	-103.0
Standard deviation (m)	9.2	36.8	29.6	31.4	24.7	37.6	27.3	38.0
Number of grids	8	24	9	9	25	24	17	25

773	Table 3. Statistics	of grounding	outlines of the	MIT as shown	with thick pe	olvlines in	Fig. 7 on
,,,,	Lable 5. Statistics	of grounding	, outlines of the	will as shown	with the p	JI yIIIICS III	11 <u>5</u> . / 011

	2002-11-14	2004-03-08	2006-12-27	2008-01-31
Start location ( )	146.124 °E,	146.155 °E,	146.093 °E,	146.088 °E,
	66.696 S	66.681 <sup>°</sup> S	66.700 S	66.699 S
End location ( )	146.240 °E,	146.256 °E,	146.304 °E,	146.292 °E,
	66.693 S	66.683 S	66.669 S	66.668 S
Perimeter (km)	7.0	6.4	24.7	20.9

774 November 14, 2002, March 8, 2004, December 27, 2006 and January 31, 2008 respectively