

# ***Interactive comment on “Unmanned Aerial System nadir reflectance and MODIS Nadir BRDF-Adjusted surface Reflectances intercompared over Greenland” by John Faulkner Burkhart et al.***

**John Faulkner Burkhart et al.**

john.burkhart@geo.uio.no

Received and published: 1 April 2017

## **1 General Response**

We appreciate the reviewers acknowledgement of the contribution this work is making toward MODIS validation and improvements as well as the development of UAS for scientific applications.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



## 2 Specific Comments:

*In addition, the writing style can occasionally be tightened/ page 1, line 7: rephrase "allowing to integrate directly to".*

Corrected.

*p.1, l.7: "The data PROVIDE a unique opportunity to..."*

Corrected.

*p.2, l.12 should be "INTERGOVERNMENTAL Panel on Climate Change".*

Corrected.

*p.3, l.6: "Ryan et al. (2016) HAVE attempted to..."*

Corrected.

*p.3, l.25: "we discovered THAT the application of UAS..."*

Corrected.

*p.11, l.29: "We note a value of..." (no comma).*

Altered text.

*p.13, ll.4 & 5: "the UAS observations are larger overall" AND "the MODIS data may in fact provide values slightly lower than..." – give actual values / differences and say whether these are significant. Addressed.*

*p.14, l.2: "time scales" -> "timescales".*

Corrected.

*In addition, I recommend a tabulation of some of the more quantitative aspects of the comparison of nadir reflectance from the two types of platform, that are reported on pages 9 & 10 and in Figures 5 & 6.*

Per RC1 we have replaced Table 1 with a new table tabulating the information discussed in the text.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

