

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Regional Greenland Accumulation Variability from Operation IceBridge Airborne Accumulation Radar" by Gabriel Lewis et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 20 November 2016

This is a generally very good study of Greenland Ice Sheet accumulation based on Ice-Bridge data, that compares the results with several different regional climate models and a kriged map of ice-core data. Finally, an attempt is made to interpret recent accumulation variations (spatial and temporal) with reference to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and North Atlantic Oscillation changes, although Greenland Blocking should also be mentioned here. This latter section is less strong and can be supplemented with some extra material from recent studies (see below). I'm not convinced, from the results presented, that the AMO is necessarily the main driver of the Greenland accumulation increase seen since 1976, and would welcome a bit more analysis of this aspect. Overall the paper is important because it presents a major new dataset of

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Greenland accumulation and highlights some major regional differences between the RCMs and IceBridge data, that need to be reconciled in future work. It helps to identify key regions where Greenland accumulation data are relatively lacking and need to be collected.

Specific comments Please use "GrIS" rather than "GIS" (Geographic Information Systems!) abbreviation for Greenland Ice Sheet. page 1, line 30: reference "Shepherd 2012" should be "Shepherd et al. 2012". I would add several further recent references here: Enderlin, E. M., I. M. Howat, S. Jeong, M.-J.Noh, J.H. van Angelen, and M.R. van den Broeke (2014) An improvedmass budget for the Greenland icesheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41,866-872,doi:10.1002/2013GL059010. Hanna, E., F.J. Navarro, F. Pattyn, C. Domingues, X. Fettweis, E. Ivins, R.J. Nicholls, C. Ritz, B. Smith, S. Tulaczyk, P. Whitehouse & J. Zwally (2013) Ice-sheet mass balance and climate change. Nature 498. 51-59. doi: 10.1038/nature12238. van den Broeke, M. R., Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., and Wouters, B.: On the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet to sea level change, The Cryosphere, 10, 1933-1946, doi:10.5194/tc-10-1933-2016, 2016. p.2, l.3: supplement van den Broeke et al. (2009) reference with van den Broeke et al. (2016) (full details above). p.2, I.5 "due to complex relationships between accumulation variability and surface melt runoff" - add reference: Hanna, E., P. Huybrechts, I. Janssens, J. Cappelen, K. Steffen, and A. Stephens (2005), Runoff and mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet: 1958-2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D13108, doi:10.1029/2004JD005641. p.2, I.8: "preferred modes of climate variability like the NAO and AMO: add Greenland Blocking Index (GBI, Hanna et al. 2016) to these: Hanna, E., T. Cropper, R. Hall, J. Cappelen (2016) Greenland Blocking Index 1851-2015: a regional climate change signal. International Journal of Climatology, MS no. JOC-15-0742.R1, accepted/in press. p.2, I.13 Suggest add text in CAPS to the following: "but are too sparse to capture the full spatial variability of GIS accumulation, especially in the southeast," ALTHOUGH ATTEMPTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO INTERPOLATE ICE-CORE-BASED ACCUMULA-TION DATA - SUPPLEMENTED WITH COASTAL PRECIPITATION DATA - TO THE

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ing accum, could equally well reflect changes in atmospheric circulation, e.g., a more

meridional airflow on average - with more moisture laden south-westerly winds, affecting Greenland. p.12, l.6: The Hanna et al. (2013) reference cited here should be for the IJOC paper referenced above, not the Nature paper - please amend. p.13, l.6: change "strongest" to "most strongly". References Box & Rinke 2003 paper has the authors' names repeated twice. Please add other author names (or et al.) of the Shepherd 2012 Science paper. Table 1: add in the caption what the plus/minus figures represent.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-248, 2016.

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

