The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-248-AC3, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC-BY 3.0 License.



TCD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Regional Greenland Accumulation Variability from Operation IceBridge Airborne Accumulation Radar" by Gabriel Lewis et al.

Gabriel Lewis et al.

Gabriel.m.lewis.gr@dartmouth.edu

Received and published: 7 February 2017

Comment: This is a generally very good study of Greenland Ice Sheet accumulation based on Ice-Bridge data, that compares the results with several different regional climate models and a kriged map of ice-core data. Finally, an attempt is made to interpret recent accumulation variations (spatial and temporal) with reference to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and North Atlantic Oscillation changes, although Greenland Blocking should also be mentioned here. This latter section is less strong and can be supplemented with some extra material from recent studies (see below). I'm not convinced, from the results presented, that the AMO is necessarily the main driver of the Greenland accumulation increase seen since 1976, and would welcome a bit more





analysis of this aspect. Overall the paper is important because it presents a major new dataset of Greenland accumulation and highlights some major regional differences between the RCMs and IceBridge data, that need to be reconciled in future work. It helps to identify key regions where Greenland accumulation data are relatively lacking and need to be collected.

Response: We have significantly modified the portion of the manuscript evaluating spatial and temporal variations in accumulation and their relationships with atmospheric and oceanic modes of variability. We expand the discussion of relationships with the NAO, AMO and GBI, and incorporate additional relevant references. These results are consistent with our original EOF analysis, but we think that our new discussion and figure based on correlations significantly improves the manuscript.

Comment: Specific comments: Please use "GrIS" rather than "GIS" (Geographic Information Systems!) abbreviation for Greenland Ice Sheet.

Response: This acronym has been corrected to GrIS everywhere in the paper.

Comment: page 1, line 30: reference "Shepherd 2012" should be "Shepherd et al. 2012". I would add several further recent references here: Enderlin, E. M., I. M. Howat, S. Jeong,M.-J.Noh,J.H.vanAngelen,and M.R.van den Broeke (2014) An improved mass budget for the Greenland icesheet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41,866–872,doi:10.1002/2013GL059010. Hanna, E., F.J. Navarro, F. Pattyn, C. Domingues, X. Fettweis, E. Ivins, R.J. Nicholls, C. Ritz, B. Smith, S. Tulaczyk, P. Whitehouse & J. Zwally (2013) Ice-sheet mass balance and climate change. Nature 498, 51-59, doi: 10.1038/nature12238. van den Broeke, M. R., Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., and Wouters, B.: On the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet to sea level change, The Cryosphere, 10, 1933-1946, doi:10.5194/tc-10-1933-2016, 2016.

Response: The reference has been corrected and additional references have been added.

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



C3

Comment: p.2, l.3: supplement van den Broeke et al. (2009) reference with van den Broeke et al. (2016) (full details above).

Response: The reference has been added.

Comment: p.2, I.5 "due to complex relationships between accumulation variability and surface melt runoff" - add reference: Hanna, E., P. Huybrechts, I. Janssens, J. Cappelen, K. Steffen, and A. Stephens (2005), Runoff and mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet: 1958–2003, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D13108, doi:10.1029/2004JD005641.

Response: The reference has been added.

Comment: p.2, l.8: "preferred modes of climate variability like the NAO and AMO: add Greenland Blocking Index (GBI, Hanna et al. 2016) to these: Hanna, E., T. Cropper, R. Hall, J. Cappelen (2016) Greenland Blocking Index 1851-2015: a regional climate change signal. International Journal of Climatology, MS no. JOC-15-0742.R1, accepted/in press.

Response: This text and reference have been added.

Comment: p.2, I.13 Suggest add text in CAPS to the following: "but are too sparse to capture the full spatial variability of GIS accumulation, especially in the southeast," ALTHOUGH ATTEMPTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO INTERPOLATE ICE-CORE-BASED ACCUMULATION DATA - SUPPLEMENTED WITH COASTAL PRECIPITATION DATA - TO THE WHOLE-ICE-SHEET SCALE (BALES ET AL. 2009). HOWEVER, THIS AP-PROACH MAY POSSIBLY UNDERESTIMATE ACCUMULATION IN PARTS OF THE INTERIOR COASTAL MOUNTAINS OF SOUTH-EAST GREENLAND.

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.2, I.15 -> "more spatially distributed AND REPRESENTATIVE GIS accumulation dataset..."

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Comment: p.3, l.6 (and throughout MS) - correct "principle component analysis" to "principal component analysis".

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.3, I.18: How are the IRHs related to spatial and/or temporal changes in accumulation?

Response: We have added the following text: "We calculate accumulation between each pair of adjacent IRHs for every radar trace along the flight lines. Spatial changes in accumulation are evident from varying distances between IRHs along each flight line. Temporal changes in accumulation are evident from examining accumulation during different epochs at one location."

Comment: p.5, I.17, Equation 3: Is rho(z) the *mean* density of the respective layer?

Response: Yes, rho(z) is the mean density between IRHs. This has been clarified.

Comment: p.6, l.14: missing full stop at end of sentence.

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.8, l.21: "data set" -> "dataset".

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.9, l.29: ->"where ice cores were collected several decades ago".

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.9, l.31: "data poor regions" -> "data-poor regions".

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.10, l.10: you can't really have a percentage of SMB as there is no absolute zero point, so I'm not sure this makes sense.

Response: These are accumulation percent differences calculated using (Model - Ice-

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Bridge)/IceBridge, which we use extensively in Figure 8 and Table 2.

Comment: p.10, I.26 slightly reword to "These correlations indicate AN ASSOCIATION BETWEEN the AMO AND Greenland precipitation ALTHOUGH, DUE TO COLLINEAR-ITY, ANY PHYSICAL RELATION COULD PARTLY BE ACTING THROUGH NAO CHANGES."

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: pp.10/11 overlap: Point out that the positive GrIS precipitation-AMO correlation, with warmer North Atlantic & Greenland temperatures, might also be due to associated storm-track or blocking changes (e.g. Hanna et al. 2013 IJOC, Hanna et al. 2016). Hanna, E., J.M. Jones, J. Cappelen, S.H. Mernild, L. Wood, K. Steffen & P. Huybrechts (2013) The influence of North Atlantic atmospheric and oceanic forcing effects on 1900–2010 Greenland summer climate and ice melt/runoff. Int. J. Climatol. 33, 862–880, doi: 10.1002/joc.3475.

Response: The suggested references to storm-tracks and the GBI have now been included in our revised section on accumulation relationships with climate modes.

Comment: p.11, I.7 "Negative correlations in the northern and western regions...are indicative of greater precipitation during NAO negative conditions..." - but there should be positive correlations for Greenland overall (Greenland precip more generally reduces under negative NAO) because negative NAO is usually linked with positive GBI (anticyclonic conditions over Greenland, which should overall suppress precipitation) - please clarify. Obviously there are well-documented regional variations of this relation.

Response: The confusion here may stem from the seasonality of the NAO correlations (winter) and the GBI correlations (primarily summer, when the GBI and NAO indices show the largest differences). Our new figure [reproduced below] using seasonal-annual correlations with the NAO, GBI and AMO clarifies these relationships. Our analysis of EOF2 in the context of the wintertime NAO is supported by the similar

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



temporal variability of the wintertime NAO index and EOF time series, and the strong similarity of the EOF2 vs. IceBridge accumulation correlation map to the wintertime NAO vs. IceBridge accumulation correlation map. Our new figure shows a weak positive correlation between IceBridge accumulation and summertime GBI – i.e. slightly higher accumulation during enhanced blocking. While this may seem counter-intuitive, this relationship is driven by enhanced meridional flow and moisture advection into Greenland under the weak zonal flow associated with GBI positive (NAO negative) conditions (Hanna et al., 2016). We respectfully disagree that there should be positive correlations between Greenland precipitation and the NAO overall. Box et al. (2013) found that the sign of this correlation between the NAO and Greenland-wide precipitation from 1870-2009 and 1950-2009 (their Table 7).

Comment: p.11, I.25 -> "used to validate THE study".

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.11, I.30 "we hypthesize that rising accumulation over most of the GIS interior since 1976 is related to an increasing AMO index" – rising accum. could equally well reflect changes in atmospheric circulation, e.g. a more meridional airflow on average - with more moisture laden south-westerly winds, affecting Greenland.

Response: We no longer discuss this recent accumulation rise because it is not statistically significant. The relevant figure (original Figure 11) has also been removed.

Comment: p.12, I.6: The Hanna et al. (2013) reference cited here should be for the IJOC paper referenced above, not the Nature paper - please amend.

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: p.13, l.6 : change "strongest" to "most strongly". References Box & Rinke 2003 paper has the authors' names repeated twice. Please add other author names (or et al.) of the Shepherd 2012 Science paper.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Comment: Table 1: add in the caption what the plus/minus figures represent.

Response: The suggested changes have been made.

Figure 12: Correlation map between 1899-2014 IceBridge accumulation and epochaveraged climate indices. Statistically significant correlations are shown as larger data points. Maps show correlation of IceBridge data with a) Wintertime Jones (1997) NAO. b) Annual Jones (1997) NAO. c) Summer GBI. d) Annual AMO.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-248, 2016.

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



TCD





Printer-friendly version

Fig. 1.

