

The Authors would like to thank anonymous reviewer R1 for the very helpful comments, following which we have decided to revise the manuscript in order to include more figures and discussions concerning the small-scale variability and the soluble/insoluble origin of the LA elemental signatures observed. Our replies are here in bold. Relevant changes in text and figures are illustrated at the end of this document, where added or modified text is highlighted in yellow.

1) This paper presents the application of a new high-resolution ($\sim 200\ \mu\text{m}$) analytical technique to a period of abrupt climate change in the NGRIP ice core (actually a 2.85 m section representing about 250 years). I actually find this paper quite hard to review: on the one hand the technical achievement is good and worth documenting. On the other hand what we learn from it is minimal, and there are many more interesting things the authors could have done.

It is correct that our paper focuses on the methodology and novel calibration with one case-study, which primarily aims at highlighting the now achievable sub-annual resolution in very deep ice cores via cryo-cell LA-ICPMS and which is not possible conventionally. On the other hand, our data do provide further evidence for an extremely abrupt mechanism that sustainably changes 'dust' proxy concentrations across Stadial-Interstadial transitions, and which – as R1 also points out – we crucially extend to this ice core depth and for such a short-lived DO event (GI.21.2 – also defined 'precursor' event after Capron et al., 2010, where conventional CFA hardly resolves such rapid changes.) Extending this to one of the earliest DO-events in conjunction with what had been observed in other DO events (Steffensen et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2008), will eventually allow the community to edge closer to identifying a mechanism driving these changes. To study especially the early Stadial-Interstadial events, we provide both the previously unavailable tool and initial results.

2) I therefore think the authors have two choices. One alternative is that they should shorten the paper and just present it as a proof of concept. The other is that they should add to it – possibly involving new analyses but certainly new data treatments, to try to give new insights into what benefits such a technique might bring.

In keeping with our reply to point 1) we have introduced a new subsection with figures and related text that display our 2D mapping of elemental concentration at specific sample cross-sections. This contribution resulted from several parallel tracks run parallel to the main ablation tracks. It helps to clarify the spatial variability of element concentrations at the (sub)mm-scale, and therefore allows further discussions about mobility of elements and soluble/insoluble impurities.

3) The positive part is that the authors have successfully used laser ablation to determine 5 elements at $200\ \mu\text{m}$ resolution. They describe the way they cleaned the samples (partly with the laser) and the novel way in which they produced quasi-homogeneous standards. I congratulate them on this.

Thank you.

4) The headline findings from the study are not new: that dust elements change very rapidly

(annual scale) at the start of a D-O event (this was already said as far back as Fuhrer et al 1999), and that they appear to change before the water isotopes (already covered by Steffensen et al and Thomas et al). Sure, this is the oldest section on which such a finding has been confirmed, but as only one event is studied it just adds an example rather than offering a generalisation, and certainly doesn't provide evidence to make new ideas about the mechanism. Of course, this is not the authors' fault. On the other hand they could have taken the opportunity to really discuss what the advantages and drawbacks of such high resolution might be. I can suggest several lines of study they could have taken:

We consider our contribution relevant not only methodologically but also application-wise as we show – as R1 (and indeed also R2) state and acknowledge as novelties – a way to achieving sub-annually resolved data for one of the very early Greenland DO-events (or indeed for other low accumulation sites). Yes, only one, but at least one of the very early ones. We simply do not consider this manuscript space-wise to be the appropriate place to show more DO-transition data plus an extended discussion; this is planned for another contribution about to be submitted for publication.

5)

1. An obvious issue is how reproducible the data from such narrow tracks are. The authors say they ran parallel tracks but then do not show us the data so we can assess. I don't know how far apart the tracks were, but parallel tracks across the core at cm distances would have given a crucial clue to reproducibility, which in turn would allow a conclusion as to whether the advantages of high resolution are real (providing evidence of climate variability) or illusory (providing evidence of depositional noise).

We have added a paragraph in the methodology section addressing track reproducibility, illustrated by a figure in the supplementary material (new Fig. S3) that displays two parallel tracks (2 mm apart) along three consecutive samples for a total of 15 cm. The data show that the patterns generally preserve the overall shape and the intensities maintain similar absolute values over the entire length, with local variations induced by a differential presence on the ablation track of micro-particles (possibly) or grain boundaries and triple junctions.

2. A second issue concerns diffusion. It is generally assumed that water isotopes diffuse a few cm in the firn and then also in solid ice, sulfate peaks appear to diffuse, while dust probably does not diffuse. What about these elements? Here are data apparently showing the retention of mm scale structure at 80 ka ago. This is interesting in its own right and would be even more so if compared to the structure at the start of DO events in the younger part of the record. It might even have been possible to derive diffusion coefficients, which might be crucial when investigating even older ice (eg in Antarctica). **Our 2D maps outline a pattern of elevated elemental concentrations in the proximity of grain boundaries (but see also Della Lunga et al., 2014). Furthermore, 2D maps of the most soluble ('sea-salt') proxies show a closer match between the high concentrations zones and the grain boundary network. This could be ascribable to a relative difference in the source of the elemental signals, being increasingly related to randomly dispersed dust micro-particles going from Na to Fe. A quantitative treatment of diffusion coefficients goes beyond what we had intended to present in this contribution but is certainly something to be reported in a future publication as it**

is contained in the PhD thesis of the first author already (DDL).

3. What is this method actually analysing and how does that compare to what CFA and IC measure? We are shown a comparison only for Na (not counting dust which cannot be compared quantitatively). Why? This seems crucial and even if the data are not yet available from the CFA for eg Ca (which is odd if Na has been measured), it would have been trivial to prepare a few 1 cm samples for IC analysis. This seems critical because Fig 5 seems to show unexpectedly poor agreement for Na, which certainly needs discussion. But in general the consideration of whether this method measures more of the insoluble component than CFA/IC would have been an important analytical discussion that could have been included.

Following on from our previous comment, we introduced further discussions of Na data and especially the differences between CFA and LA-ICPMS in terms of soluble/insoluble particles analysed. Unfortunately, high resolution Ca (CFA data) is not available for the corresponding depth interval. However, we do want to stress that this information requested by R1 is in part already contained in the original manuscript in form of Fig. S4 (now, former S3), which shows a comparison of cryo-cell-LA and solution ICPMS data.

I will discuss a few details below, but as already outlined, the issues above could be discussed; if the authors prefer not to then the paper should be cut back to an analytical proof of concept.

Detailed comments:

- 6) Page 2, para 1. You seem to come down on one side of an ongoing discussion about whether the cold period enhancement is mainly due to increased transport or to the presence of a sea ice source. It would better reflect the science if you left that open.

We have added a sentence clarifying that the role of salty brines or blowing snow on top of sea ice it is still a matter of debate concerning their contribution to the wintertime peak in sea salt aerosol.

- 7) Page 2 line 23. It gives a misleading impression to state that rge resolution is “nominally...weekly” because precipitation intermittency and snowdrift mean that weekly resolution is certainly not available. I suspect you know that with your use of the word “nominally”, and you should explain that.

We changed the expression to “50 data points per year”, avoiding the misleading impression.

- 8) Page 3, line 1. You say that the section “covers” GI21.2, and then give an age range of 370 year (84.70-85.07 ka) for that. But in the abstract you refer to it as a 250 year section, even though Fig 1 shows that it is actually wider than GI21.2. This is incompatible – please correct.

The ages of GI21.2 have now been corrected and clarified. Sorry for the confusion and thanks for pointing out the inconsistency.

- 9) Page 3, line 16. Sorry to be picky but you cite Fig 5 before Figs 2-4.

This sentence has been moved towards the end of the methodology section.

10) Eq 1 and line 25 is confusing. If I understand it m_i is the slope of intensity vs time, whereas your wording made me think it was the slope of the calibration (intensity vs standard concentration). Please clarify. I think Fig 1 would be better shown as linear rather than log plots, as the log plot hides the extent of the drift.

We have added in brackets the reference to Fig S1 to clarify that the m_{std_i} coefficient refers to the slope of instrumental drift. This figure was changed and now shows a linear y-axis.

11) Page 4, line 25. Is this the R^2 of lin-lin or log-log plots? You show log plots but then describe it as a linear regression. Please clarify.

R^2 and linear regression slopes were calculated from lin-lin plots. The plot utilizes log-log axis for the sake of display only. It has been now clarified in the text how the R^2 values and slope coefficient were derived.

12) Page 4, line 31. Here is where you say you analysed two parallel tracks to assess reproducibility but then you never do so.

New Fig S3 now displays two parallel tracks on three consecutive samples to assess reproducibility. A paragraph in the text was added to illustrate the figure.

13) Results, page 5-6, seems repetitive (last para page 5 and first para page 6). Combine them into something clearer?

The Results section has been revised; we removed some of the repetition in the first part and added a paragraph towards the end to describe the figures added after this revision.

14) Page 6, line 9, should be Figs 6 and 7 not 8 and 9.

Noted and changed.

15) Page 6, data comparison, lines 27-32. It is clearly not true that Na is comparable between the two techniques. While they match OK at 2689.7-2690.0, they are at least a factor 3 off in the shallower section. This needs a better and more correct discussion. (And of course I would like to see the same for Ca).

Discussion concerning Na data and soluble/insoluble origin of 'sea-salt' and 'dust' proxies has been added to address some of the discrepancy between CFA and LA-data and what can be concluded from that.

References

Della Lunga, D., Muller, W., Rasmussen, S. O., and Svensson, A. (2014). Location of cation impurities in NGRIP deep ice revealed by cryo-cell UV-laser-ablation ICPMS. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(223).

Thomas, E. R., Wolff, E. W., Mulvaney, R., Johnsen, S. J., Steffensen, J. P., and Arrowsmith, C. (2009). Anatomy of a Dansgaard-Oeschger warming transition: High-resolution analysis of the north Greenland ice core project ice core. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 114, D08102.

Steffensen, J. P., Andersen, K. K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma K., Hansson M., Johnsen S. J., Jouzel J., Masson-Delmotte V., Popp T., Rasmussen S. O., R. Röthlisberger R., Ruth U., Stauffer B., Siggaard-Andersen M. L., Sveinbjörnsdóttir Á. E., Svensson A., White, J. W. C. (2008). High-resolution Greenland ice core data show abrupt climate change happens in few years. *Science*, 321(5889), 680-684.

The Authors would like to thank R2 for the helpful comments that helped to revise and improve the manuscript. Our replies are here in bold. Relevant changes in text and figures are illustrated at the end of this document, where added or modified text is highlighted in yellow.

1) This is an interesting MS showing the powerful application of LA-ICPMS for high resolution (200 μm) ice core analysis. This may have a lot of implication especially for low accumulation sites and/or abrupt changes.

We are glad to see that R2 agrees with us that our cryo-cell LA-ICPMS methodology not only is powerful but also widely applicable.

2) There are some novelties in this paper on the way the authors prepared the standards to convert the count per seconds (intensities) into concentrations. However they use standard riverine waters (SLRS) and a suspension of NIST648 leached with ultrapure HNO_3 , which resulted in an ice matrix standard which is far from the real ice matrix. We know that the slopes of the calibration curves are highly dependent from the matrix itself and I think therefore that the results may be strongly biased by the different ionization of the ice matrix compared to the standard ones.

Ultimately we are ablating the same H_2O matrix in both samples and standards, so we respectfully disagree with R2 that they are ‘far from the real ice matrix’. Using such artificial ice standards to calibrate LA-ICPMS analyses of ice goes back – with contrasting results as to the resultant homogeneity - to the pioneering work of Reinhard et al (2003), or Sneed et al. (2015). In fact, 193 nm excimer laser-ablation is known to be relatively more matrix-tolerant (Guillong et al., 2003) compared to other ns-LA methodology) such that, for example, *accurate* results can be obtained for as contrasting matrixes such as carbonate samples standardized with Na-Ca-Al-Si-glasses (the NIST61x glass suite). If available, we would appreciate a reference supporting the assertion ‘We know that the slopes ... are highly dependent from the matrix itself...’, to be able to evaluate this ourselves.

However, we want to stress that our standardization is far from being perfect given the problem of making very homogenous ice stds. In the absence of a usable internal std (OH-signal is not sufficiently above background), this level of matrix-matching is actually state-of-the-art and thus the currently best available.

3) The authors should comment on this and clearly demonstrate how they obtain real concentration and not just relative changes that can be easily seen just looking at the variation of intensities.

All of our Ice standards were prepared by dilution between 1:10 and 1:1000 of the certified reference material with ultrapure H_2O (>18 $\text{M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$); we very mildly acidified these solutions with 1% ultrapure HNO_3 to stabilize them before freezing and to align them with the acidity of the multi-elemental standard solution ICP1 (Sigma-Aldrich), which was the only one being originally (before dilution) in 10% HNO_3 , unlike all of our other standard solutions. We believe any bias introduced is contained within the ~ 16-

18 % error involved in the calibration procedure. This has been now clarified in the methodology section.

4) In addition, the authors claim to use ^{24}Mg , ^{27}Al , ^{40}Ca , ^{56}Fe . All these masses are highly interfered by spectral and matrix interferences in ICPMS. Despite I think they used a SF-ICP-MS or a collision-cell instrument to reduce the interferences, I think that a better description of the methodology should be given. I know that most of the details are given in Della Lunga (2014), but a minimal description of the methodology is compulsory.

We have added several details regarding the methodology, including a description of the removal of interferences by the use of H_2 in the Agilent 7500cs reaction cell; but we also note that these details are provided in our earlier publication in the Journal of Glaciology which had been included at the outset (Della Lunga et al., 2014). If the ice matrix was contributing to the signals on $m/z=24, 27, 40, 56$ then we would not obtain no resolvable counts from instrumental background for our ice blanks.

5) Then, I do not fully understand the objective of the MS, since most of the findings are not new at all. I would have rather focussed the MS into a comparison between LA-ICPMS vs CFA, but this would have required a more robust statistical tool.

I would therefore suggest the authors to readdress the MS to a specific target: i) analytical (in this case the paper lacks of many details), describing in detail the new advancement of this powerful technique and duly comparing the data with CFA results; in this case the reproducibility of the analysis on different sections is a key parameter, but as far as I can see there are no evidence of this in the paper; ii) more oriented toward a climatic/environmental interpretation; in this case the real benefit of the LA-ICP-MS approach should have been better explained.

As we also state in the reply to R1, the focus of the manuscript was predominantly methodological yet with one integral case study of deep ice to illustrate the power of the significantly improved, in fact unprecedented, spatial resolution. To make this focus clearer, the revised manuscript now presents a stronger methodological section and a more detailed description of the signal emerging from ablation with 193 nm laser and its possible interpretation. The climatic picture emerging from our analysis of GI21.2 is intended to demonstrate the capability of the technique to recover extremely small scale variability together with Stadial-Interstadial fingerprint in dust and sea salt proxies for one of the most abrupt and short lived transitions in deep ice where ice layer thickness is becoming very small.

Rereferences

Della Lunga, D., Müller, W., Rasmussen, S. O., & Svensson, A. (2014). Location of cation impurities in NGRIP deep ice revealed by cryo-cell UV-laser-ablation ICPMS. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(223), 970-988.

- Guillong, M., Horn, I., & Günther, D. (2003). A comparison of 266 nm, 213 nm and 193 nm produced from a single solid state Nd: YAG laser for laser ablation ICP-MS. *Journal of analytical atomic spectrometry*, 18(10), 1224-1230.
- Reinhardt, H., Kriews, M., Miller, H., Lüdke, C., Hoffmann, E., & Skole, J. (2003). Application of LA-ICP-MS in polar ice core studies. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 375(8), 1265-1275.
- Sneed, S. B., Mayewski, P. A., Sayre, W. G., Handley, M. J., Kurbatov, A. V., Taylor, K. C. & Spaulding, N. E. (2015). New LA-ICP-MS cryocell and calibration technique for sub-millimeter analysis of ice cores. *Journal of glaciology*, 61(226), 233-242.

Calibrated cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS elemental concentrations from NGRIP ice core reveal abrupt, sub-annual variability in dust across the interstadial period GI-21.2

Damiano Della Lunga¹, Wolfgang Müller¹, Sune Olander Rasmussen², Anders Svensson², Paul Vallelonga²

¹Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

²Centre for Ice and Climate, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

Correspondence to: D. Della Lunga (dellalungadamiano@gmail.com)

Abstract.

Several abrupt shifts from periods of extreme cold (Greenland stadials, GS) to relatively warmer conditions (Greenland interstadials, GI) called Dansgaard-Oeschger events are recorded in the Greenland ice cores. Using cryo-cell UV-laser-ablation inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (UV-LA-ICPMS), ~~we analysed a 2.85 m NGRIP ice core section (~250 years; 2691.50 – 2688.65 m depth) across the transitions of GI-21.2, a short-lived interstadial prior to interstadial GI-21.1~~ we analysed a 2.85 m NGRIP ice core section (2691.50 – 2688.65 m depth, age interval: 84.86 – 85.09 ka b2k, thus covering ~230 years) across the transitions of GI-21.2, a short-lived interstadial prior to interstadial GI-21.1. GI-21.2 is a ~100-year-long period with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values 3 – 4‰ higher than the following ~200 years of stadial conditions (GS-21.2), which precede the major GI-21.1 warming. We report concentrations of ‘major’ elements indicative of dust and/or sea salt (Na, Fe, Al, Ca, Mg) at a spatial resolution of ~200 μm , while maintaining detection limits in the low-ppb range, thereby achieving sub-annual time resolution even in deep NGRIP ice. We present an improved external calibration and quantification procedure using a set of five ice standards made from aqueous (international) standard solutions. Our results show that element concentrations decrease drastically (more than tenfold) at the warming onset of GI-21.2 at the scale of a single year, followed by relatively low concentrations characterizing the interstadial part before gradually reaching again typical stadial values.

Introduction

Dansgaard-Oeschger (D-O) events are abrupt climatic fluctuations between periods of full glacial conditions (called Greenland stadials, GS) and periods of relatively mild conditions during the last glacial (Greenland interstadials, GI) (Rasmussen et al., 2014).

During stadials, deposition of dust and sea salt in Greenland ice significantly increases. Sea salt aerosols in ice cores are present with several species (e.g. Na^+ , Cl^- and Mg^{2+}) as major impurities. The source of these particles is bubble bursting

over open ocean water (Lewis and Schwartz, 2004), where winds lash vigorously the sea surface. The aerosols are then transported and deposited on the ice cap. This phenomenon is strongest during stadials but also varies within a year, with the aerosol deposition peaking in wintertime (Wolff et al., 2003). This is because storminess over the ocean enhances the transport of sea salt species inland during cold conditions, although this effect has to counter the typical increase of sea-ice extent during winter that makes it more difficult for sea-salt aerosols to reach a particular site, since they have to travel further (Petit et al., 1999). This mechanism, which is thought to be the primary reason for sea-salt enrichment in ice cores during cooling events, receives possibly further contributions of sea salt from another source. When sea ice is formed, highly saline brine and fragile frost flowers form on top of the frozen surface. This brine represents a potential source of aerosol, carried over land by the wind (Wolff et al., 2003). However, a quantitative assessment of the contribution of brine, frost flowers, and blowing snow to the wintertime peak in sea salt aerosol it is still a matter of debate (Huang and Jaegle, 2016).

Studies suggest that during stadials, the increased storminess and surface wind speed together with the reduced moisture content in the atmosphere and soil facilitates the sharp increases of continental dust transport to polar areas (Yung et al., 1996; Kreutz, 2013). The source of Greenland dust includes high-elevation sites and high-latitude steppe in Asia whose area increased during cold, more arid periods (Mahowald et al., 1999).

The determination of the phasing of the different records has always been a key aim of high-resolution investigations of Greenland ice cores in order to determine the exact time sequence of variations in temperature, moisture sources, precipitation, and input of Asian dust and sea salt (e.g. Steffensen et al., 2008). In fact, the phasing of dust records in polar ice cores as inferred from the non-sea-salt fraction of ions (e.g. Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Al^{3+} , K^{+} , Fe^{2+}), which are largely the result of carbonate and silicate mineral weathering (Lewis and Schwartz., 2004), can be used to reconstruct changes of past climatic conditions and atmospheric circulation (Zhang et al., 1997).

Impurities in ice are measured routinely by Continuous Flow Analysis (CFA), which melts a section of the ice core continuously while measuring different chemical components, such as Na^{+} , NH_4^{+} , dust and conductivity, in the melt water through several detectors. Depending on melt speed and the characteristics of the analytical set-up, layers with thicknesses down to ~10 mm can typically be resolved (Bigler et al., 2011; Vallelonga et al., 2012).

The aim of the present study is to assess the sensitivity and the phasing of dust/sea-salt proxies as Na^{+} , Fe^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} at a resolution of ~200 μm , ~~i.e. nominally approximately weekly~~ (providing approximately 50 data points per calendar year at this depth), across the abrupt warming into and cooling out of the precursor event GI-21.2. Furthermore, we present an updated fully quantitative calibration for the elements under investigation, following Della Lunga et al. (2014) and Müller et al. (2011).

Methods and Calibration

NGRIP ice core samples were initially prepared at the Centre for Ice and Climate, Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen. They were cut using a band saw to fit the laser ablation cryo-cell sample holder at Royal Holloway University of London (RHUL), which is able to simultaneously hold three ice strips of dimensions 50 x 11 x 11 mm (see Della Lunga et al., 2014). For this study a section of 2.85 m of NGRIP ice from the depth interval 2688.65 – 2691.50 m was selected (Fig. 1). This section corresponds to more than two hundred years, given the layer thickness of ~10 mm (Vallelonga et al., 2012). The section covers an age range of 85.09 – 84.86 ka b2k and therefore includes GI-21.2 (Rasmussen et al., 2014). We utilized samples from a similar position within the ice core cross section as in Della Lunga et al. (2014).

The analytical methodology of cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS used for these analyses follows Müller et al. (2009, 2011) and especially Della Lunga et al. (2014) and only a brief summary will be given here. Cleaning of the ice surface has been conducted using a ceramic ‘major-elements free’ Y-doped ZrO₂ blade (American Cutting Edge, U.S.A.), mounted on a custom-built, acid-cleaned PTFE vice that allows ice scraping in steps of less than 0.5 mm and surface smoothing in order to remove contamination from handling and cutting. Approximately 2 mm of ice were removed from all the surfaces about to be analysed. Handling and smoothing procedures were conducted in a clean hood (US 10-100, ISO4-5) utilizing laboratory gloves.

The adopted methodology includes the acquisition of the following mass/charge ratios: 23(Na), 24(Mg), 27(Al), 34(S), 39(K), 40(Ca), 44(Ca), 55(Mn), 56(Fe), 65(Cu), 85(Rb), 88(Sr), 89(Y), 138(Ba), 139(La), 140(Ce), 141(Pr), 147(Sm), 153(Eu), 157(Gd), 172(Yb), 208(Pb), with dwell times ranging from 5 to 40 ms (see Della Lunga et al., 2014), and a total sweep time of 550 ms. Among these, only the following usually show resolvable signal/background ratio and will be displayed as results: 24(Mg), 27(Al), 40(Ca), 56(Fe). ~~Mass 39(K), despite resolvable signal/background ratio, is affected by a potentially significant interference of ³⁸ArH and therefore will not be considered further. The Na signal is often not resolvable from the ICPMS background and therefore its results are shown only in the overview picture (Fig. 5) to facilitate comparisons to CFA data. All elements were acquired in reaction mode, utilizing 4.5 ml/min of H₂ in the octopole cell, allowing the removal of conventional plasma interferences via charge transfer reaction, particularly significant on mass 40(Ca) and 56(Fe) from ⁴⁰Ar and ⁴⁰Ar¹⁶O. Formation of hydrides has been monitored on specific isobaric-free masses (210, 233) in no gas and H₂ mode and resulted in no significant formation of such compounds in both cases. However, mass 39(K), despite resolvable signal/background ratio, shows a potential interference from ³⁸ArH resulting from adding H₂ in the reaction cell, and therefore will not be considered further.~~ Rare Earth Elements were monitored as indicator of further possible contamination due to smoothing and were not the main target of this study.

Intensities of isotopes acquired have been recalculated as elemental intensities based on their relative isotopic abundance (Berglund and Wieser; 2011).

Correction for instrumental drift has been carried out as follows:

$$I_i^{Sa} = I_i^{raw} + \left[t - \left(\frac{t_f - t_0}{2} \right) \right] \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k [m_{std_i}] \quad (\text{eq. 1})$$

where I_i^{Sa} is the intensity of element i in the sample and surrounding background corrected for instrumental drift, I_i^{raw} is the raw intensity of element i in the sample, t indicates the time (in s) of the analysis between the finish time t_f and the start t_0 and $m_{std,i}$ represents the slope of the regression line obtained using NIST612 standard data (Fig S1, supplementary material) acquired for each element during a single ICPMS run executed during a day of analyses where k ICPMS runs are performed.

5 The typical ICPMS instrumental drift observed during a long data acquisition ‘run’ comprised of standards, cleaning and data acquisition is usually comprised between 5 and 8% per hour, with NIST 612 intensities slightly decreasing with time (see Fig. S1, supplementary material).

Each element has been externally calibrated using a set of four custom-made ice standards chosen from a total of five (SLRS-5, SLRS-5_10, ICP-20, NIST1648a and Water Low), prepared at RHUL from four different standard solutions at
 10 different concentrations and different dilutions (Table S1, see supplementary material). All of our Ice standards except SLRS-5 were prepared by dilution between 1:10 and 1:1000 of the certified reference material with ultrapure H_2O ($>18 M\Omega\cdot cm$); we very mildly acidified these solutions with 1% ultrapure HNO_3 to stabilize them before freezing and to align them with the acidity of the multi-elemental standard solution ICP1 (Sigma-Aldrich), which was the only one being originally (before dilution) in 10% HNO_3 , unlike all of our other standard solutions.

15 This external calibration assumes overall comparable ablation characteristics of NGRIP ice and ice standards, which in view of their similar matrix are a satisfactory assumption. Furthermore, using $m/z=17$ (OH) as an internal standard following Reinhardt et al. (2003), is not feasible because the significantly lower sample consumption of UV-LA relative to IR-LA (Müller et al., 2011) does not result in a background-resolved ICPMS signal at $m/z=17$. Ice standards were made in a laminar-flow clean hood (US 10-100, ISO4-5) located in a clean laboratory at RHUL, using an acid-cleaned, custom-made
 20 PTFE mould shown in Fig. 2. The mould features two inner volumes, namely one round pool where liquid nitrogen can be used to cool the mould and the innermost volume that uses a polished Pyrex borosilicate glass slide as bottom surface that can be removed to extract the ice. The procedure to produce homogenous ice standards is as follows:

- i. A polyurethane box is filled with 0.5 l of liquid nitrogen (LN) (Fig. 2.b)
- ii. 1 ml of standard solution already prepared (for concentrations see Table S1, see supplementary material) is
 25 pipetted into the inner volume of the mould, to create a ~2 mm liquid layer residing on the glass (Fig 2.a).
- iii. The entire mould is dipped into the liquid nitrogen, which causes near-instantaneous shock-freezing of the liquid contained in the inner volume (Fig 2.b). The procedure indicated in ii) and iii) is then repeated 5 times to create a volume of ice of ~10 mm height, built up by shock-frozen layers of standard solution.

This procedure ensures acceptable homogeneity of elements in the ice volume at relative standard deviations (RSD) of ~10 –
 30 15 % within a single analysis (Fig. 3), improving on what has been achieved in other UV-LA-ICPMS ice core analyses (Sneed et al., 2015). A standard suspension of NIST1648a has been prepared by carefully weighing 4.92 mg of ‘Urban dust’ NIST1648 reference material which was subsequently diluted in 100 ml of ultrapure (18.2 $M\Omega\cdot cm$) water and 1 ml of HNO_3 . The solution then was homogenised through 3 cycles of 5 min of mechanical vibration of the container, before being frozen as described in i) - iii). Given the NIST1648a average particle size of 5 – 10 μm and the 90% percentile of 30 μm , we

assume a homogeneous distribution of particles at the scale of the acquisition spot size utilized (212 μm). Ice blanks were also produced following the procedure described above by shock-freezing ultrapure (18.2 M Ω -cm) water; corresponding UV-LA-ICPMS data show no significant contamination following laser cleaning of the ice surface (see Fig. S.2 and Table S.1 in the supplementary material).

5 ~~For each element, the equation of the linear regression fitting all four standards selected has been utilized to convert net intensities into concentrations (Fig. 4); the corresponding R^2 values range between 0.89 and 0.98.~~

For each element, the slope of the equation of the regression line fitting all four standards in a linear plot has been calculated (together with the corresponding R^2 value) and utilized to convert net-intensities into concentrations. For the sake of display Fig. 4 show all the regression lines in a log-log plot.

10 Analyses were carried out using laser tracks which had been preceded by three laser cleaning passages at 25 Hz with a spot size of 280 μm and a speed of 8 mm/min. This was done to remove residual contamination after cleaning with the custom-built vice. Data were acquired at 20 Hz, 212 μm spot size, 3 mm/min speed and a laser fluence of $\sim 3.5 \text{ J/cm}^2$. This gives a resolution of approximately 200 μm and a cumulative trench depth of $\sim 20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (estimated by visual imaging and a typical ablation rate per pulse of 0.1 μm ; Müller et al., 2011). Every acquisition run starts and ends with a NIST612 and ICP-
15 20/SLRS-5/NIST1648a track and comprises two parallel tracks, to assess reproducibility. Fig S.3 (supplementary material) shows raw intensities from two representative parallel ablation tracks running 2 mm apart along the length of three consecutive samples (depth range: 2691.45-2691.30 m). The tracks show that, for each element, the signal preserves its overall shape in both tracks and concentrations show identical absolute values, with only minor differentiations at sub-mm scale. The instrumental-drift-corrected intensities were then averaged between the two tracks and used for calibration. 2D
20 maps of two different 4x4 mm cross sections at specific depths were constructed interpolating the values resulted after calibration from the signal generated by static laser drilling (40s) on a grid of 12 x 12 circular spots of diameter of 128 μm at 200 μm spacing. The intensities obtained from static drilling were corrected as in Della Lunga et al., (2014).

Limit of detection were calculated as follows:

$$LOD_i^{Sa} = \left(\frac{c_i^{std}}{I_i^{std} - I_i^{bkg}} \right) 3\sigma_i^{bkg} \quad (\text{eq. 2})$$

25 where c_i^{std} is the concentration (in ppb) of the element i in the standard, σ_i^{bkg} is the standard deviation of the background for an element i, I_i^{std} is the averaged intensity of the element i in the sample and I_i^{bkg} is the averaged intensity of background of element i. The values obtained for this study are listed in Table S1 (see Supplementary material) and range between 0.6 ppb (Ca) and 48 ppb (Na). The Na LOD value is higher due to typical elevated (LA-ICPMS) sodium background, exaggerated by using routinely NIST61x glasses ($14 \pm 0.1 \text{ \% m/m Na}_2\text{O}$; Jochum et al., 2011) for other LA work. Therefore, Na data present
30 several gaps and are shown here only in overview figure (Fig. 5), mainly to allow comparison with existing CFA-Na data (Vallelonga et al., 2012). Uncertainties have been estimated using the following equation:

$$\sigma_{tot} = \sqrt{(\sigma_{nist_std})^2 + (\sigma_{ice_std})^2 + (\sigma_{id})^2 + (\sigma_{ice_calib})^2} \quad (\text{eq. 3})$$

where σ_{nist_std} and σ_{ice_std} represent the relative standard error of the signal acquired during a single run for NIST 612 and the selected ice standard respectively, while σ_{id} and σ_{ice_calib} represent the standard errors related to the instrumental drift correction and the calibration and are typical for each element. The total uncertainty σ_{tot} is on average about $\pm 16\%$, σ_{ice_std} contributing with 90% to this value.

5 Results

Results of cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS measurements of Na, Mg, Al, Ca, and Fe concentrations across the analysed section of GI-21.2 and GS-21.2 are displayed in Figs. 5-8. For each millimetre of ice analysed, we obtain 40 data points, given the chosen x-y scan speed and the ICPMS sweep time. The resolvable spatial resolution is $\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$ given the interplay between spot size, stage speed, ICPMS dwell time and laser repetition rate, making down-sampling of individual data points in the form of a moving average necessary. The matching $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profile (Vallelonga et al., 2012) at 50 mm resolution shows a $\sim 4\%$ shift to more positive values between depths of 2691.15 and 2690.70 m, representing the rapid warming into GI-21.2, after which $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ gradually returns to pre-warming values (Figs. 1 and 5). The element profiles acquired via cryo-cell-LA-ICPMS show a similar pattern (Fig. 5). The deepest 300 mm of our profile for all of the elements (depth range 2691.50 – 2691.20 m) show relatively high concentrations and several peaks. An abrupt drop is observable around a depth of 2691.20 m, with minor differences between each element. The variation is very sharp and happens over the space of approximately 10 mm, which, at this depth, represents approximately one year (Fig. 6). Towards shallower depths, most of the elements show, after some characteristic variability, a minimum in concentrations up to a depth of 2690.10 m. At these depths concentrations often fall below LODs, having the lowest values of the entire section. From depth 2690.30 m onwards, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ gently decrease from approximately -37.5% to -41% , representing the cooling phase. In this part, elemental concentrations increase gradually and the patterns present a higher degree of variability.

Overall, the record can be divided in three main intervals: (i) the deepest 300 mm (2691.50 – 2691.20 m) show relatively high concentrations for every element, with average values of 54, 490, 48, 60, 15 ppb for Na, Ca, Mg, Al and Fe respectively. Around the depth of 2691.20 m an abrupt decrease in all elemental concentrations is observable, with values dropping by a factor of ~ 10 to average concentrations of 15, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.0 ppb for Ca, Mg, Al and Fe respectively (Na is well below LOD). The second section (ii) is characterized by low values during the interstadial phase from 2691.20 to 2690.00 m; followed by (iii) a gradual increase in concentrations from depth 2690.00 to depth 2688.65 m, with most of the elements showing recurring short-term variability at multiannual time scales with more than tenfold concentration oscillations.

Figures 6 and 7 show in detail two 200-mm and 300-mm zooms of section (iii). In Fig. 6, we can also observe few minor differences between the respective elemental profiles: at a depth of 2691.28 m a clear peak in Ca, Mg and Al is not mirrored by Fe; furthermore, Al and Mg drop in concentration before Ca and especially Fe, whose decrease occurs at a shallower depth by approximately 3 to 5 mm. Similarly we observe a peak in Ca, Mg and Al at a depth of 2689.83 m (Fig. 7) that is

much less pronounced in the Fe profile, whereas the opposite feature is seen at a depth of 2689.78 m (Fig. 7), where Fe presents a very pronounced peak that is not matched by Al, Mg and Ca. Figure 8 shows a 30-mm zoom comprising 2-3 annual layer peaks identified in both CFA and LA data. LA profiles show the complex structure of a single annual peak to which several minor peaks contribute. These peaks may reflect single storm events.

5 | Figures 9 and 10 show a collection of maps of calibrated concentrations of the elements under investigation from a 4x4 mm cross section at depth of 2689.78 and 2689.65 m. These sections were chosen specifically from depths where concentrations were high and presented a considerable degree of small scale variability as inferred from our laser ablation profiles.

LA-ICPMS-CFA data comparison

For comparison, our cryo-cell LA-ICPMS data have been plotted together in Fig 5-8 with previously published CFA results from the same NGRIP depths (Vallelonga et al., 2012). In contrast to the cryo-cell LA-ICPMS resolution of ~0.2 mm, the CFA profiles of Na, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, CFA-dust and conductivity have a resolution of 3.5, 50, 1.5 and 1.5 mm respectively. The two datasets show some similarities: between a depth of 2691.50 and 2691.20 m the dust, and partly also the conductivity profiles present relatively high values, similar to what is observed for our elemental proxies, typical of the stadial GS-22 phase. At 2691.20 CFA-dust and LA data are both characterized by a decrease in concentrations, although the LA data show much clearer and abrupt features, marking the start of the GI-21.2 warm phase. Furthermore, minima for the entire section are located between depths of 2690.95 and 2690.15 m in both datasets. Also, both datasets agree in the shallowest part of the section, showing a more increasing trend starting at 2690.00 m.

In Fig.5, Na data from CFA and LA-ICPMS analyses have been plotted together on the same y-scale. The two datasets show overall analogous patterns in most of the section and in some sections broadly comparable average values, such as between 2690.00 – 2689.25 m (70 ppb and 67 ppb in the CFA and LA-ICPMS profile, respectively). However, LA-ICPMS-Na characteristically is more variable and differs from CFA data in the intervals 2689.20 – 2688.65 m and 2691.5 – 2691.5 m, where LA-ICPMS Na is either higher or lower relative to CFA-Na, respectively. This seems to indicate that there is not an overall systematic shift between the two techniques (see below). In general, the difference between LA-Na and CFA-Na, derive from the tendency of Na to show higher concentrations in the proximity of grain boundaries and junctions, as it is described in the following section. Therefore, laser ablation tracks show much higher variability as a result of scanning across several boundaries and junctions at small scale, introducing a factor of differentiation that is also reflected in our calibration since it reduces the homogeneity of our ice standards.

As a further test, we compared the cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS data acquired in the frozen state with results from the same three NGRIP samples analysed via solution-ICPMS after melting (10 ml). The three samples correspond to three different depths in the immediate vicinity of GI-21.2 and representing a wide range of concentrations: early GS-22 (sample 4940A11), late GS-22 (sample 4900A3) and GI-21.1 (sample 4882B4). Results show that calibrated solution data are consistent with our LA-ICPMS data and differ by 5 – 20 %, which is essentially within our margin of error. Sample 4882B4, representing

the last part of GS-21.2, shows the lowest concentrations amongst the three samples and also the consistently largest differences between solution and laser data (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material).

Origin of Laser ablation elemental signal

The intensity of the LA-signal associated to a certain mass/charge ratio, characteristic to one element, is built up by two different contributions: one from soluble ions present in the ice matrix and the other one from dispersed insoluble mineral particles containing the element in their structure. Micro-particles in the NGRIP ice core have a mean grain size between 1 and 2 μm (Ruth et al., 2003) and therefore are too small to be identified unequivocally with our laser camera. Visual inspection of the sample before, after, and during ablation indicated that no residual spatter of the ablation process was deposited back onto the ice surface after the laser hit the sample, indicating a complete digestion of the material removed by the ablation pulses. This suggests that no fractionation between soluble and insoluble particle is taking place by effect of the laser sampling.

We investigated the spatial distribution of Na, Mg, Al, Ca and Fe over two small horizontal planes (i.e. perpendicular to the core length axis) by analysing 2D maps of concentrations across two specific cross sections (Fig 9 and 10). These sections were constructed interpolating several acquisition points obtained via static laser drilling. Fig 9 and 10 both show concentrations spanning over a range of several tens of ppb for each element across the entire sections. The cross-sections intersect few grain boundaries and junctions (as observable in the laser camera image). The grain boundary net has been overlaid in black onto the elemental maps and shows that, in most of the cases, high concentrations areas are located in the proximity of boundaries and junction, broadly mimicking their pattern. In both cases, these patterns are somehow clearer for element like Na and Mg, related to sea salt, and become less defined going from Ca to Al and Fe. This might be associated with the fact that the elemental signal has a relative increasing contribution from micro-particles going from Ca to Al, to Fe, whereas the contribution from micro-particles to the Na and Mg signal is minimal. This would also suggest that micro-particles are slightly less inclined to be aligned on boundaries and junctions compared to soluble impurities and therefore generate a less defined pattern of concentrations in our maps.

25 Discussion

Our fully quantitative calibration of cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS net count rates to elemental concentrations is presented here for the first time. We have succeeded in producing suitably homogeneous ice standards ($\pm 10\text{--}15\%$ RSD, Fig. 3) from four

different solutions at known elemental concentrations and one frozen suspension at different dilutions. This represents an improvement to what has previously been achieved in ice standard preparation (Reinhardt et al., 2003, Wilhelm-Dick, 2008; Sneed et al., 2015). The correlation between the elemental concentrations in the standards and the resulting net-signals from cryocell-LA-ICPMS (in counts per second, cps) is good and follows the expected linear relationship (Fig. 4), with R^2 values ranging from 0.89 and 0.98.

The removal of contamination is ensured not only by surface-smoothing executed via a ‘major-element free’ ZrO_2 blade (Della Lunga et al., 2014), but also by laser cleaning performed three times before each acquisition. Its effectiveness can be demonstrated using ice blanks (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). The overall uncertainties estimation derived from analysis and calibration gives an average value of $\pm 16\%$, which has to be considered acceptable for ice core analysis where elemental concentrations are typically in the low ppb range and variability usually covers more than one order of magnitude.

Fig. 5 shows remarkably large concentration variations of all the elements, which can drop and rise by a factor of ~ 10 in as short as 10 mm, representing approximately one year at this depth and confirming that dust proxies (Na, Mg, Al, Ca, Fe) do react to natural abrupt climate change events at a time scales much shorter than the duration of short-lived interstadials such as GI-21.2. The pattern of all the elements shows high values in the deepest part before abruptly decreasing approximately by a factor of 10 down to few ppb or even ppt (below LOD). Concentrations stay low during the GI-21.2 interstadial part and then rise again more gradually showing much more pronounced oscillations, with a further increase to higher values towards the end of the section, where concentrations return to the typical high stadial concentrations. Overall, the general pattern of LA-ICPMS proxies agrees well (Fig. 5, 6 and 7) with the previously published dataset of CFA analysis for the same NGRIP depth range (Vallelonga et al., 2012).

The slightly different pattern between $\delta^{18}O$ and elemental proxies has to be expected as the resolution of the two records is different, namely 50 mm and ~ 200 μm respectively. However, LA data seem to confirm that elemental ‘dust’ proxies react before $\delta^{18}O$ to the GI-21.2 warming onset, showing a drop in concentration at 2691.20 m, thus 100 mm before the main oxygen rise at 2691.10 m, extending and confirming the observations by Thomas et al. (2009).

CFA analysis on the same section show similar features to what we observe in UV-LA data, especially regarding the transitions from GS-22 to GI-21.2 and from GI-21.2 to GS-21.2, which occur approximately within the same depth range in both cases (2691.20 m, 2690.10 – 2689.90 m). However, elemental proxies (Fig. 5) show much clearer features in terms of abruptness and amplitude of oscillations compared to CFA data, and a more pronounced variability at the cm-scale (Fig 6, 7) that is often related to sub-annual variations, observable also in Fig. 8. This may be related to single storm events that could have originated from different dust sources, resulting in a variation in the elemental ratios (especially Ca-Al vs. Fe) at short-time scales, as observed in Fig. 6 & 7.

Most of the differences between CFA and LA-ICPMS proxies are observed at a small scale and are mainly influenced by few factors, the first of which is the effect of sample volume. In fact, we estimate that every LA-ICPMS data point corresponds to ~ 120 ng of ablated ice (based on scanning speed and ice crater depth) whereas CFA sampling resolution is about 0.1-1 g for each data point (Vallelonga et al., 2012). This introduces a difference in the sampling volume between the

two datasets that can also be influenced by surface effects and especially by the wavy nature of layers at this scale and core depth. This is particularly important for Na, whose lateral variability induced by any non-horizontal layering is also affected by diffusion of Na that has been observed at this depth, resulting in a smoothing of the CFA annual signal (Vallelonga et al., 2012). Furthermore, the CFA insoluble dust data presented here refer to measurements of particles of size >1 µm and therefore do not account for insoluble impurities of sub-micron size (Vallelonga et al., 2012).

The elemental maps shown in Fig. 9 and 10 demonstrate that, at sub-cm scale, the concentrations of impurities it is strongly influenced by the presence of boundaries and junctions even when considering horizontal planes, whose original impurity-input is assumed to be roughly identical. This introduces a main source of differentiation between LA and CFA sampling and can account for some of the small-scale variability we observe in the LA-profiles. This is again particularly relevant for element like Na and Mg whose 2D distribution seems to follow closely the grain boundary net, presenting higher concentrations in the proximity of boundary and junction. On the other hand, 'dust'-proxies as Ca, Al and Fe, do not show such a closer overlap of high intensity and presence of boundaries or junctions, possibly as a result of being increasingly associated with insoluble micro-particles dispersed in the ice matrix, which indeed constitutes the CFA-Dust signal. This would suggest that micro-particles in the ice matrix are less inclined to reside on boundaries and junction compared to soluble ions and is consistent with previous studies of deep ice cores (Della Lunga et al., 2014; Eichler et al., 2016). As a result, the averaging of LA-signal between two or more parallel tracks spaced by few mm is not only desirable but necessary.

Our LA-ICPMS data suggest that dust and sea salt proxies undergo extremely abrupt, namely sub-annual, variations during abrupt climatic change, representing most of the drop/rise in phase with CFA data from the same depth range (Fig. 5, 6 & 7). As previously observed by Steffensen et al. (2008) and Fuhrer et al. (1999) in the NGRIP and GRIP record for the much shallower GI-1 and GI-3 respectively, the variations of insoluble dust and Ca²⁺ concentrations can occur abruptly at a yearly scale for warming transitions. In contrast, for the cooling phase, the interstadial to stadial switch takes place more slowly and through several oscillations. This is compatible with the cryocell-LA-ICPMS data observed in Fig. 5, extending these patterns to one of the oldest and shortest interstadial-stadial transitions in the NGRIP record. Any mechanism responsible for these changes must be capable of producing a series of extremely abrupt shifts, and must be able to switch on and off very quickly.

A plausible explanation for short precursor-type events such as GI-21.2 could arise from a reorganization of atmospheric circulation at mid-high latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere. This enhances the mobilization at the dust sources (i.e., Asian deserts), as proposed by Fuhrer et al. (1999), and increases the residence time of particles in the atmosphere, which can account for most of the changes in concentration of proxies observable for GI-21.2. GCM simulations (Kutzbach et al., 1993) showed that during the LGM, storms strengthen their intensity and changed their trajectory originating further south and changing the pressure regime over central Asia. Even a very small increase in the maximum wind speed during episodic storms could have overtaken the threshold value for mobilization of particles of a certain size (Gillette and Passi, 1988). The first signs of the rapid warming could therefore be coeval with a decrease in Ca, Al, Mg and Fe concentrations as a result of wetter conditions in the Asian dust-source areas, where dust uplift was reduced by the increasing humidity and washout

following an intensification of precipitation. A rapid change in atmospheric transport patterns and the relative variation in dust sources would also explain sporadic changes in elemental ratios (e.g., Fe/Ca, Fe/Al), which can be identified in our profiles (Fig. 6 & 7).

Summary and Conclusions

- 5 Using cryo-cell UV-LA-ICPMS we obtained 2.85 m of dust profiles (Na, Mg, Ca, Fe, Al) from 85 ka-b2k-old NGRIP ice covering the GS-22 – GI-21.2 – GS-21.2 transitions at a resolution of $\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$, which corresponds roughly to 50 data points per year. Quantification of LA-ICPMS signals was possible using a set of five external ice standards carefully produced at RHUL, which proved to be homogeneous at the $\sim 15\%$ level. Our results for the short-lived GS-22 – GI-21.2 – GS-21.2 transition show that dust proxies vary by up to \sim tenfold in concentration at a scale of ~ 1 year, showing abrupt drops due to
- 10 rapid warming also in the deepest (and oldest) part of NGRIP record, similarly to what previously observed for GI-3 and GI-1 (Fuhrer et al., 1999; Steffensen et al., 2008). During the rise that corresponds to the cooling transition, concentrations do not vary sharply, but gradually following an increasing trend characterized by more than one oscillation. The comparison of cryo-cell-LA-ICPMS profiles with CFA data of Na, dust and conductivity corroborates the results, showing that cryo-cell-LA-ICPMS profiles present more variability and a larger frequency of high-concentration peaks across the entire record. We
- 15 suggest that wetter conditions at Asian sources could have abruptly lowered dust uplift and increased the washout during the GI-21.2, when atmospheric circulation over Asian deserts was weaker. This would have resulted in a reduction of transport efficiency and therefore a rapid decrease in dust available to Greenland at short time scales. At the onset of the following cooling period, the end of the wet conditions together with an increase in wind speed and storminess above a threshold level allowed uplift of more particles, which explains the subsequent rise of concentrations of dust to pre-warming levels.

20 Author contribution

DDL designed the experiment, performed the analysis, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. WM helped designing the experiment, performing the analysis and the data interpretation and edited the manuscript. SOR and AS contributed to the designing of the experiment, the sample preparation, the data interpretation and edited the manuscript. PV provided CFA data for comparison, helped with the data interpretation and edited the manuscript.

25 Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by a RHUL studentship granted to Damiano Della Lunga, with the analytical costs being co-funded initially via a research grant from Resonetics LLC & Laurin Technic to Wolfgang Müller, and subsequently via a Postdoctoral grant from Australian Scientific Instruments (ASI) to both Damiano Della Lunga and Wolfgang Müller. The

authors would like to thank Jerry Morris for continuing invaluable technical support at RHUL. Initial discussions with Michael Kriews and Dorothee Wilhelms-Dick helped to improve the methodology of ice standard preparation.

References

- Barker, S., Chen, J., Gong, X., Jonkers, L., Knorr, G., & Thornalley, D. (2015). Icebergs not the trigger for North Atlantic cold events. *Nature*, 520(7547), 333-336.
- Berglund, M., and Wieser, M. E. (2011). Isotopic compositions of the elements 2009 (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 83(2), 397-410.
- Bigler, M., Svensson, A., Kettner, E., Vallelonga, P., Nielsen, M. E., & Steffensen, J. P. (2011). Optimization of high-resolution continuous flow analysis for transient climate signals in ice cores. *Environmental science & technology*, 45(10), 4483-4489.
- Boch, R., Cheng, H., Spötl, C., Edwards, R. L., Wang, X., and Häuselmann, P. (2011). NALPS: a precisely dated European climate record 120–60 ka. *Climate of the Past*, 7(4), 1247-1259.
- Broecker, W., Bond, G., Klas, M., Clark, E., & McManus, J. (1992). Origin of the northern Atlantic's Heinrich events. *Climate Dynamics*, 6(3-4), 265-273.
- Broecker, W. S. (2003). Does the trigger for abrupt climate change reside in the ocean or in the atmosphere?. *Science*, 300(5625), 1519-1522.
- Capron, E., A. Landais, J. Chappellaz, A. Schilt, D. Buiron, Dahl-Jensen D., Johnsen S.J., Jouzel J., Lemieux-Dudon B., Loulergue L., Leuenberger M., Masson-Delmotte V., Meyer H., Oerter H., Stenni B. "Millennial and sub-millennial scale climatic variations recorded in polar ice cores over the last glacial period." *Climate of the Past* 6, 3 (2010): 345-365.
- Clark, P. U., Pisias, N. G., Stocker, T. F., & Weaver, A. J. (2002). The role of the thermohaline circulation in abrupt climate change. *Nature*, 415(6874), 863-869.
- Clement, A. C., Cane, M. A., & Seager, R. (2001). An Orbitally Driven Tropical Source for Abrupt Climate Change. *Journal of Climate*, 14(11), 2369-2375.
- Clement, A. C., & Peterson, L. C. (2008). Mechanisms of abrupt climate change of the last glacial period. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 46(4).
- Dansgaard, Willi, S. J. Johnsen, H. B. Clausen, D. Dahl-Jensen, N. S. Gundestrup, C. U. Hammer, C. S. Hvidberg, Steffensen J.P., Svelnbjornsdottir A.E., Jouzel J., Bond G.. (1993): "Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record." *Nature*, 364, no. 6434 218-220.
- Della Lunga, D., Muller, W., Rasmussen, S. O., and Svensson, A. (2014). Location of cation impurities in NGRIP deep ice revealed by cryo-cell UV-laser-ablation ICPMS. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(223).

- Deplazes, G., Lückge, A., Peterson, L. C., Timmermann, A., Hamann, Y., Hughen, K. A., Röhl U., Laj C., Cane M. A., Sigman D.M., Haug, G. H. (2013). Links between tropical rainfall and North Atlantic climate during the last glacial period. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(3), 213-217.
- 5 [Eichler, J., Kleitz, I., Bayer, M., Jansen, D., Kipfstuhl, S., Shigeyama, W., Weikusat, C., and Weikusat, I. \(2016\): Location and distribution of micro-inclusions in the EDML and NEEM ice cores using optical microscopy and in-situ Raman spectroscopy. *The Cryosphere Discuss.*, in review.](#)
- Fuhrer, K., Wolff, E. W., and Johnsen, S. J. (1999). Timescales for dust variability in the Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP) ice core in the last 100,000 years. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* (1984–2012), 104(D24), 31043-31052.
- Gillette, D. A., and Passi, R. (1988). Modeling dust emission caused by wind erosion. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* (1984–2012), 93(D11), 14233-14242.
- 10 Grachev, A. M., Brook, E. J., Severinghaus, J. P., and Pias, N. G. (2009). Relative timing and variability of atmospheric methane and GISP2 oxygen isotopes between 68 and 86 ka. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23(2).
- Grootes, P. M., and Stuiver, M. (1997). Oxygen 18/16 variability in Greenland snow and ice with 10– 3-to 105-year time resolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* (1978–2012), 102(C12), 26455-26470.
- 15 [Huang, J., & Jaeglé, L. \(2016\) Wintertime enhancements of sea salt aerosol in polar regions consistent with a sea-ice source from blowing snow. *The Cryosphere*, in review.](#)
- Huber, C., Leuenberger, M., Spahni, R., Flückiger, J., Schwander, J., Stocker, T. F., Johnsen S., Landais A., Jouzel, J. (2006). Isotope calibrated greenland temperature record over marine isotope stage 3 and its relation to CH₄. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 243(3-4), 504-519.
- 20 Jochum, K. P., Weis, U., Stoll, B., Kuzmin, D., Yang, Q., Raczek, I., Jacob D.E., Stracke A., Birbaum K., Frick D. A., Günther D., and Enzweiler, J. (2011). Determination of reference values for NIST SRM 610–617 glasses following ISO guidelines. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 35(4), 397-429.
- Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dansgaard, W., Fuhrer, K., Gundestrup, N., Hammer, C. U., Iversen P., Jouzel J., Stauffer B., Steffensen, J. P. (1992). Irregular glacial interstadials recorded in a new Greenland ice core. *Nature*, 359(6393), 311-313.
- 25 Kreutz, K.J., and Koffman, B., (2013), *Glaciochemistry*, in *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science* 2nd edition, S. Elias, ed., Elsevier Publishers, 326-333.
- Kutzbach, J. E., Guetter, P. J., Behling, P. J., and Selin, R. (1993). Simulated climatic changes: results of the COHMAP climate-model experiments. *Global climates since the last glacial maximum*, 24-93.
- Lewis, E. R., & Schwartz, S. E. (2004). Sea salt aerosol production: mechanisms, methods, measurements, and models-A critical review. *American Geophysical Union*, 2004.
- 30 MacAyeal, D. R. (1993). Binge/purge oscillations of the Laurentide ice sheet as a cause of the North Atlantic's Heinrich events. *Paleoceanography*, 8(6), 775-784.

- Mahowald, N., Kohfeld, K., Hansson, M., Balkanski, Y., Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Schulz M., Rodhe, H. (1999). Dust sources and deposition during the last glacial maximum and current climate: A comparison of model results with paleodata from ice cores and marine sediments. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104(D13), 15895-15916.
- Masson-Delmotte, V., Jouzel J., Landais A., Stievenard M., Johnsen S.J., White J. W. C., Werner M., Sveinbjornsdottir A., Fuhrer K (2005). "GRIP deuterium excess reveals rapid and orbital-scale changes in Greenland moisture origin." *Science* 309, no. 5731 (2005): 118-121.
- Müller, W., Shelley, M., Miller, P., and Broude, S. (2009). Initial performance metrics of a new custom-designed ArF excimer LA-ICPMS system coupled to a two-volume laser-ablation cell. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 24(2), 209-214.
- Müller, W., Shelley, J. M. G., and Rasmussen, S. O. (2011). Direct chemical analysis of frozen ice cores by UV-laser ablation ICPMS. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 26(12), 2391-2395.
- Petersen, S. V., Schrag, D. P., & Clark, P. U. (2013). A new mechanism for Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles. *Paleoceanography*, 28(1), 24-30.
- Petit, J. R., Jouzel, J., Raynaud, D., Barkov, N. I., Barnola, J. M., Basile, I, M. Bender, J., Chappellaz, M. Davis, G. Delaygue, M. Delmotte, V. M. Kotlyakov, M. Legrand, V. Y. Lipenkov, C. Lorius, L. PÉpin, C. Ritz, E. Saltzman and Stievenard, M. (1999). Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica. *Nature*, 399(6735), 429-436.
- Randall, D.A., R.A. Wood, S. Bony, R. Colman, T. Fichet, J. Fyfe, V. Kattsov, A. Pitman, J. Shukla, J. Srinivasan, R.J. Stouffer, A. Sumi and K.E. Taylor, (2007). *Climate Models and Their Evaluation*. In: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Rasmussen, S. O., Bigler, M., Blockley, S. P., Blunier, T., Buchardt, S. L., Clausen, H. B. and Winstrup, M. (2014). A stratigraphic framework for abrupt climatic changes during the Last Glacial period based on three synchronized Greenland ice-core records: refining and extending the INTIMATE event stratigraphy. *Quaternary Science Reviews*. volume 106, 14–28.
- Reinhardt, H., Kriews, M., Miller, H., Lüdke, C., Hoffmann, E., & Skole, J. (2003). Application of LA-ICP-MS in polar ice core studies. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 375(8), 1265-1275.
- [Ruth, U., Wagenbach, D., Steffensen, J. P., & Bigler, M. \(2003\). Continuous record of microparticle concentration and size distribution in the central Greenland NGRIP ice core during the last glacial period. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 108\(D3\).](#)
- Seierstad, I. K., Abbott, P. M., Bigler, M., Blunier, T., Bourne, A. J., Brook, E., Buchardt S. L., Buizert C., Clausen H. B., Cook E., Dahl-Jensen D., Davies S. M., Guillevic M., Johnsen S. J., Pedersen D. S., Popp T. J, Rasmussen S. O., Severinghaus J. P., Svensson A. & Vinther, B. M. (2014). Consistently dated records from the Greenland GRIP, GISP2 and

- NGRIP ice cores for the past 104 ka reveal regional millennial-scale δ 18 O gradients with possible Heinrich event imprint. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 106, 29-46.
- Severinghaus, J. P., and Brook, E. J. (1999). Abrupt climate change at the end of the last glacial period inferred from trapped air in polar ice. *Science*, 286(5441), 930-934.
- 5 Sneed, S. B., Mayewski, P. A., Sayre, W., Handley, M. J., Kurbatov, A. V., Taylor, K. C., Bohleber P., Wagenbach D., Erhardt T., Spaulding, N. E. (2015). Instruments and Methods New LA-ICP-MS cryocell and calibration technique for sub-millimeter analysis of ice cores. *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(226), 233.
- Spötl, C., & Mangini, A. (2002). Stalagmite from the Austrian Alps reveals Dansgaard–Oeschger events during isotope stage 3: Implications for the absolute chronology of Greenland ice cores. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 203(1), 507-518.
- 10 Steffensen, J. P., Andersen, K. K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma K., Hansson M., Johnsen S. J., Jouzel J., Masson-Delmotte V., Popp T., Rasmussen S. O, R. Röthlisberger R., Ruth U., Stauffer B., Siggaard-Andersen M. L., Sveinbjörnsdóttir Á. E., Svensson A., White, J. W. C. (2008). High-resolution Greenland ice core data show abrupt climate change happens in few years. *Science*, 321(5889), 680-684.
- Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, L.V. Alexander, S.K. Allen, N.L. Bindoff, F.-M. Bréon, J.A. Church, U. Cubasch, S. Emori, P. Forster, P. Friedlingstein, N. Gillett, J.M. Gregory, D.L. Hartmann, E. Jansen, B. Kirtman, R. Knutti, K. Krishna Kumar, P. Lemke, J. Marotzke, V. Masson-Delmotte, G.A. Meehl, I.I. Mokhov, S. Piao, V. Ramaswamy, D. Randall, M. Rhein, M. Rojas, C. Sabine, D. Shindell, L.D. Talley, D.G. Vaughan and S.-P. Xie, 2013: Technical Summary. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 33–115,
- 15 Svensson, A., Nielsen, S. W., Kipfstuhl, S., Johnsen, S. J., Steffensen, J. P., Bigler, M., Ruth, U., Rothlisberger, R. (2005). Visual stratigraphy of the north Greenland ice core project (NorthGRIP) ice core during the last glacial period. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 110(D2), D02108.
- 20 Thomas, E. R., Wolff, E. W., Mulvaney, R., Johnsen, S. J., Steffensen, J. P., and Arrowsmith, C. (2009). Anatomy of a Dansgaard-Oeschger warming transition: High-resolution analysis of the north Greenland ice core project ice core. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 114, D08102.
- Vallelonga, P., Bertagna, G., Blunier, T., Kjaer, H. A., Popp, T. J., Rasmussen, S. O., Stowasser C., Svensson A. S., Winstrup M., Kipfstuhl, S. (2012). Duration of Greenland stadial 22 and ice-gas Δ age from counting of annual layers in Greenland NGRIP ice core. *Climate of the Past Discussions*, 8(4), 2583-2605.
- 30 Voelker, A. H. (2002). Global distribution of centennial-scale records for Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 3: a database. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21(10), 1185-1212.
- Wang, Y. J., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., An, Z. S., Wu, J. Y., Shen, C. C., & Dorale, J. A. (2001). A high-resolution absolute-dated late Pleistocene monsoon record from Hulu Cave, China. *Science*, 294(5550), 2345-2348.

Wilhelm-Dick, D. (2008). Enhanced analysis of stratified climate archives through upgrade of Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Quadrupole to Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry? (Doctoral dissertation, Berlin, Univ., Diss.).

Wolff, E. W., Rankin, A. M., and Röthlisberger, R. (2003). An ice core indicator of Antarctic sea ice production? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(22).

Wolff, E. W., Chappellaz, J., Blunier, T., Rasmussen, S. O., & Svensson, A. (2010). Millennial-scale variability during the 20 last glacial: The ice core record. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 29(21), 2828-2838.

Yung, Y. L., Lee, T., Wang, C. H., & Shieh, Y. T. (1996). Dust: A diagnostic of the hydrologic cycle during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Science*, 271(5251), 962-963.

Zhang, X. Y., Arimoto, R., & An, Z. S. (1997). Dust emission from Chinese desert sources linked to variations in atmospheric circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research. D. Atmospheres*, 102, 28-041.

Zhang, X., Lohmann, G., Knorr, G., & Purcell, C. (2014). Abrupt glacial climate shifts controlled by ice sheet changes. *Nature*. 512, 290–294

Figures Captions




Figure 1: $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profile across the transition from GS-22 to GI-21.1 (modified from Vallelonga et al., 2012). Stadial and interstadial periods are highlighted in blue and red, respectively. The black box and arrow indicate the corresponding section of ice core analysed for this study.




Figure 2: Ice standard preparation at RHUL. a) 1 ml of aqueous standard solution is pipetted into the inner volume of a PTFE mould featuring a removable glass surface at the bottom to allow the solution to spread uniformly creating a thin layer of water. b) The mould is dipped into liquid nitrogen to instantaneously shock-freeze the solution. This procedure is repeated five times to build up an ice volume by shock-freezing layer by layer of 5 ml total volume resulting in an ice volume approximately 45x10x10 mm. Each ice standard was then surface-cleaned using our PTFE vice before analysis (see text).




Figure 3: Example of raw intensity data of NIST612 glass (first and last peak) compared to one of the ice standards prepared for this study (ICP-20). Standard data were acquired following three cleaning runs, and show that the ice standard appears rather homogeneous with typical RSD values between ± 10 and 15% . See text for details.




Figure 4: Calibration lines for elements under investigation obtained utilizing the ice standards listed in Table S1 (supplementary material). LOD indicates limits of detection. See text for details.




Figure 5: Cryo-cell-LA-ICPMS element concentration profiles of Na, Mg, Al, Ca, and Fe and corresponding Na, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and CFA-dust profiles at 3.5, 50 and 1.5 mm resolution respectively (the latter three from Vallelonga et al., 2012) across 2.85 m of NGRIP ice core that spans from approximately 85090 to 84860 a b2k (± 20 a) and contains GI-21.2. The coloured lines are individual LA-ICPMS data points; black lines represent adjacent-element moving average (period 200). It should be noted that cryo-cell-LA-ICPMS Na LOD is 48.3 ppb, which renders most of the interstadial and some stadial Na data undetectable. Overall, Na is mainly shown to allow some comparability with existing CFA Na data (Vallelonga et al., 2012). See text for details.




Figure 6: Zoomed-in cryo-cell LA-ICPMS profiles of a 200 mm window from the deepest part of the GI-21.2 section (cold/warm transition), analysed for the most significant elements and spanning about two decades around 85.1 ka b2k. Coloured lines represent LA data, black lines are 30-points moving averages. A switch between stadial and interstadial typical concentrations is observable around 2691.20 m, happening over the space of just ~10 mm. Conductivity and CFA-dust are from Vallelonga et al. (2012).




Figure 7: Zoomed-in cryo-cell LA-ICPMS profiles of a 300 mm window from the middle part of the GI-21.2 section analysed for the most significant elements and spanning about two decades around 85.0 ka b2k (cold-warm transition). Coloured lines represent LA data, black lines are 30-points moving averages. A gradual increase in dustiness is observable starting from a depth of 2689.95 m going towards shallower depths, representing the GI-21.2 – GS-21.2 transition, which in this case takes place over the space of ~150 mm. Conductivity and CFA-dust are from Valleslonga et al. (2012).




Figure 8: CFA conductivity, CFA dust, LA-Fe, LA-Ca, LA-Al and LA-Mg direct comparison across a detailed 3-cm zoom. In this case, laser ablation data have not been smoothed. Conductivity and CFA-dust are from Vallenga et al. (2012). The profiles show sub-annual variations that contribute to the CFA annual signal.

5

10




Figure 9: 2D maps of calibrated concentrations of elements under investigation (Na, Mg, Ca, Al, Fe) across a 4x4 mm cross section with overlaid grain boundary net in black as observed in transmitted light (upper right) from a depth of 2689.78 m.




Figure 10: 2D maps of calibrated concentrations of elements under investigation (Na, Mg, Ca, Al, Fe) across a 4x4 mm cross section with overlaid grain boundary net in black as observed in transmitted light (upper right) from a depth of 2689.65 m.