

Note: in the following document, the original comments made by the reviewer are copied in black, while the authors' responses to these comments follow in blue.

Authors' response to comments submitted by Reviewer #1

Summary: The study "Shifts in permafrost ecosystem structure following a decade-long drainage increase energy transfer to the atmosphere, but reduce thaw depth" by Göckede et al. investigates the impact of a drainage experiment within a wet tundra landscape on components of the surface energy balance. The study seeks to quantify differences in microclimate between a drainage site and a control site which show marked differences in vegetation cover and soil moisture. The authors, therefore, compare sensible and latent heat fluxes which were measured simultaneously at both sites by eddy covariance. In addition, differences in radiation, near surface soil temperatures, and active layer depths are presented. The found differences between the drained site and the control site are discussed in the context of differences in vegetation cover and soil moisture. The study reports increased sensible heat fluxes at the drained site, whereas much smaller differences are reported for the latent heat flux. Only minor differences are also reported for the net radiation. The authors argue that the increased sensible heat flux is related to a decreased ground heat flux which is reflected in decreased soil temperatures and lower active layer depths. The study focuses on a very important issue in permafrost research that addresses possible hydrological changes in the Arctic due to climate warming and permafrost degradation. This study is, therefore, within the scientific scope of the journal *The Cryosphere*. The study is written in a clear and understandable manner and is well structured. There are, however, some major issues that should be addressed before publication.

The authors thank the reviewer for her/his overall supportive evaluation of the findings presented in this manuscript. We would like to remark at this point that due to a minor adjustment in the quality assessment protocol for the eddy-covariance datasets, the numbers of the vertical turbulent heat fluxes presented herein have slightly changed since we submitted the first version of the manuscript to *The Cryosphere*. The overall trends remain the same, i.e. we find a shift of the energy partitioning from latent to sensible heat fluxes, leading to a significant increase in Bowen Ratios following drainage. With the new numbers, however, the absolute increase in sensible heat H has been reduced, while the latent heat LE is actually slightly reduced, leading to a budget $H+LE$ that is about the same at drainage and control sites, respectively.

General comments: The measured differences in the turbulent heat fluxes and the radiation are essential to the argumentation of this study since the ground heat flux is not determined independently. Thus, it would be highly recommended to perform a comprehensive uncertainty analysis and quality assessment of the fluxes. Uncertainty ranges should be calculated in order to evaluate whether the measured differences are significant.

In the revised version of the manuscript, we will add a comprehensive uncertainty assessment of our flux datasets, as requested by the reviewer.

It must be excluded that the differences in the heat fluxes are subject to instrument biases and/or site related methodological biases.

We used exactly the same instrumentation at both sites. Moreover, we also applied the same data processing protocol for datasets from both towers, and the quality assessment protocol includes a direct comparison of data elements from both sites. Therefore, we believe that systematic methodological bias can be excluded here. We will extend the documentation of these checks in the revised manuscript version (see below).

Due to the importance of reliable measurements, it seems to be inadequate to just refer to another study for quality assessment.

We will add a new appendix in the revised version of the manuscript that will present the core elements of our eddy-covariance data processing protocol, which will include the details of

the quality assessment protocol applied for the flux and meteorological data used within the context of this study.

The authors state that they do not see any differences in the long wave radiation budget. This is surprising since increased sensible heat fluxes are often related to increased surface temperatures. I think a discussion of this point would be highly interesting for understanding the reasons for the increased sensible heat fluxes.

We decided to leave out details on this part of the study because we believe the longwave radiation results do not provide enough additional insight to justify the required extra text passages. 'Virtually unchanged' refers to a shift in longwave radiation budgets of -0.2% (summers 2014/15) between drainage and control sites. However, there is considerable interannual variability, with higher deficits ($LW_{down} - LW_{up}$) in 2014 at both sites. Since this interannual variability turned out to be more pronounced at the control site, compared to the drainage site, we measured offsets of opposite signs in both data years, which largely cancelled each other. This pattern in interannual variability agrees well with the patterns in both sensible and latent heat fluxes observed at the two study sites. We will include links to longwave radiation patterns for the revised discussion of sensible and latent heat fluxes in the new paper version

The authors present differences in friction velocity as indicator for differences in vegetation structure (height/density). In this context, I think it would be more instructive to calculate roughness lengths during neutral conditions. In addition, a footprint analysis might be useful since vegetation seems to vary within the footprint area of the eddy covariance tower (Figure 1). It might be interesting for the process understanding to further investigate the impact of changed surface roughness on flux partition.

The author preferred to make this point based on the friction velocity since it provides a broader and thus more representative data basis (no filtering for stability of stratification). However, the same can of course be done based on the roughness length, derived from wind profile relationships during neutral stratification, as suggested by the reviewer. Also in this case, we find a systematic enhancement of roughness lengths (mean increase: 0.035m), with aerodynamically rougher surfaces in all wind sectors for the drainage site, compared to the control. Since this is rather a side aspect of the analysis presented within the context of this manuscript, we do not think a proper footprint analysis will be required to make this point, also because we lack spatially distributed information on vegetation height (a highest resolution (~2m) WorldView land cover map would be available). We will include information on differences in roughness length between both sites broken up by wind sectors to include a spatial context of the differences observed.

In general, the data analysis presented in this study is very limited and should be expanded. Besides footprint analysis, the analysis of the diurnal and seasonal signals can provide deeper insights into the processes behind flux partition. Figure 9b, for example, clearly demonstrates that more than just average differences can be observed in the time series of sensible heat fluxes. I think that the very strong and general statements made in the conclusions and the abstract are not possible based on the very limited data analysis presented here and, thus, should be toned down. Without further process analysis leading to a better understanding of heat transfer processes within and below shrubs, the presented data and results must be considered site specific and are not directly transferable to other tundra landscapes.

As mentioned in the comment above, the authors believe that a footprint analysis in this context will not provide further insights that help interpreting the differences in energy flux signals. However, a directional effect will be added. The general seasonal trends in fluxes and their differences between the two sites have been covered in Section 3.6 already, but we will refine this description in the revised version of the manuscript. This description could in theory be extended by also comparing typical diurnal courses between the treatments, but since the meteorological forcing is virtually the same at both of them, and more influential factors such as e.g. water levels, vegetation structure and soil thermal regimes vary at timescales much longer than diurnal, the authors believe that analyses on such short timescales will not

strengthen the study. We are certainly aware that we can only provide results for a single site, and the derivation of process understanding that can be transferred also to other Arctic sites will be associated with uncertainties. As suggested by the reviewer, we will therefore tone down all statements that may hint at a general applicability of our findings.

The authors do not present any data or results related to snow. However, several paragraphs in the discussion include speculations on snow including the impact of vegetation on snow, and the impact of ground heat fluxes on snow melt. As these subjects are essentially not part of the presented investigations, I strongly recommend to exclude them from the discussion and to focus on the presented results.

We discuss differences in the snow cover periods between the two study sites in Section 3.4, which are reflected in the radiation data shown in Figure 6, as well as in the numbers on albedo and SWnet summarized in Table 2. These results emphasize that snow cover dynamics are influenced by drainage disturbance, and that shifts in snow cover may cause systematic secondary effects on both the radiative budget and the soil thermal regime. We do not measure any snow properties directly at both sites in parallel, snow depth is only monitored at a single location currently. We therefore agree with the reviewer that we do not present any direct observational evidence on snow characteristics herein. Still, we have the indirect evidence on systematic shifts in the snow cover period obtained through the albedo record. The passages in the discussion that the reviewer refers to aim at pointing out mechanisms, mostly links between vegetation and snow cover, that can explain these patterns in albedo. Therefore, we believe that these discussion sections are required to interpret the complex interactions between secondary disturbance effects.

Specific comments:

p.8,1.1: Sections 0 and 0?

This was a typo based on a broken automated cross-reference, and has been updated.

p.8, 1.2: Why not including the LW budget in the analysis, in particular the outgoing LW radiation?

As mentioned in more detail above, there is virtually no difference to be seen in the net LW radiation budget, so the authors believe that including more details here would provide only very little information.

p.8, 1.6: What is the absolute accuracy of the used radiation sensor? What is the footprint of the radiation sensor? Does the sensor measure a representative area of the drained site?

We use Kipp & Zonen CNR4 as radiation sensors at both observation sites. These instruments are officially classified as 'first class' instruments (for shortwave radiation e.g. a resolution of $\pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, and a stability of $\pm 2\%$). However, based on the direct comparison of data from both instruments, we find cumulative differences below 1% of the total incoming radiation, therefore our sensors would even qualify for the next highest quality rating (secondary standard, e.g. a resolution of $\pm 1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, and a stability of $\pm 1\%$).

The CNR4 instruments have downward looking opening angles of 150 degrees. For sensor heights of 4.5m (drainage tower) and 4.66m (control tower), this translates into circular footprints with a radius of $\sim 16.8\text{m}$ and 17.4m , or footprint areas of 886m^2 and 950m^2 . We believe that the area covered for the drained site is indeed representative for the drainage area overall, but of course it is obvious that we can only cover a small fraction of the total area.

p.9, 1.1: Here and throughout the manuscript it should be thermal conductivity.

This has been corrected throughout the manuscript.

p.9,1.3-5: This is a very general statement which does not provide any quantitative information on the heat transfer processes within the soil. Please note that increased soil moisture means a higher content of latent heat which besides thermal conductivity and heat capacity determines the duration of the zero curtain.

We obviously chose a misleading term here, since with ‘heat capacity linked to higher water content’ we actually wanted to refer to the latent heat. This will be corrected in the revised version of the manuscript.

p.9,1.25-27: Besides the ground heat flux, the thaw depth is determined by the soil ice content. Are there any information on differences in soil composition between both sites?

We took soil profiles across the treatment areas during the growing season, and analyzed for e.g. carbon content and nutrients. Regarding ice content, we also have a limited number of cores taken in November, i.e. with frozen ground, where relative ice content was assessed for wet and dry microsites in both drainage and control areas. As a general trend, we found higher ice content in the wet microsites within the top ~30cm of the soil profile, while relative ice content was higher in the dry microsites between ~30-50cm below the surface. Further down, no systematic differences were observed.

p.10,1.6: This is a very small number (1,8%), is this within the accuracy of the sensor?

Please refer to the comments on p.8, 1.6 above. The relative deviations in incoming radiation between both sensors were found to be <1% of the total signal. Therefore, the deviations between net energy input described in this section are higher than the accuracy level of the employed sensors.

p.10,1.13: Is it possible to distinguish between the impact of drainage and changed vegetation cover within this study? Or is it more likely that the vegetation cover has adapted to drainage which then has modified the surface energy balance? I think it would be important to separate these things since there drainage can happen on relatively short time scales while changes in vegetation cover requires some time.

Based on the data that we have available at this time, we cannot cleanly separate between a direct impact of water level changes (drainage) and the associated effect of changes in vegetation structure as a secondary disturbance. To be able to do so, we would need more data years with differences in water levels, so that we could do proper statistics on the impact of these year-to-year changes, while vegetation stays more or less constant. The importance of covering the long-term effect of vegetation changes has been included in the discussion section.

p.11,1.13: Does ‘slightly lower’ mean a more negative sensible heat flux at the drained site?

As mentioned in the text, the mean sensible heat flux for both towers during wintertime is -3.4 Wm^{-2} . Here, the drainage tower has a mean value of -4.3 Wm^{-2} , and the control tower a mean of -2.5 Wm^{-2} . Accordingly, ‘slightly lower’ referred to a more negative sensible heat flux at the drainage site. This will be clarified in the revised version of the text. Due to the revision of quality flagging as mentioned in the first comment above, these values have slightly changed (drainage: -5.6 Wm^{-2} ; control: -4.4 Wm^{-2} ; difference: -1.2 Wm^{-2}), but the overall trend remains the same.

p.12,1.6-15: See general comment on snow cover discussion.

As mentioned above, we believe that this interpretation, fortified by the references given in this paragraph, is necessary to provide an interpretation why we see a consistently earlier buildup of a snow cover at the drained site, compared to the control (Figure 6, Table 2).

p.12,1.23-30: Why so much emphasis on discussing the impact of mosses if mosses are absent at the study site?

We decided to include this paragraph to point out a feature of the site characteristics that is different from many other places in the Arctic. As mentioned in the text, we believe that a higher abundance of mosses would significantly alter the findings we observed at our sites. Accordingly, our results may not be representative for sites at other locations that feature a higher moss coverage.

Authors' response to comments submitted by Reviewer #1

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for her/his supportive comments, and for the careful language editing throughout the text. In short, we accept all suggested changes to wording/phrasing, and will work these into the revised version of the manuscript. For those few occasions listed where a sentence was found to be confusing, or the reviewer pointed out that the meaning needed to be clarified, we will change the sentences to avoid ambiguity.

Shifts in permafrost ecosystem structure following a decade-long drainage increase energy transfer to the atmosphere, but reduce thaw depth

Mathias Göckede¹, Fanny Kittler¹, Min Jung Kwon¹, Ina Burjack¹, Martin Heimann^{1,2}, Olaf Kolle¹,
5 Nikita Zimov³, Sergey Zimov³

¹Department Biogeochemical Systems, Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

²Division of Atmospheric Sciences, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland

³North-East Science Station, Pacific Institute for Geography, Far-Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Science, Chersky, Republic of Sakha (Yakutia), Russia

10 *Correspondence to:* Mathias Göckede (mathias.goeckede@bgc-jena.mpg.de)

Abstract. Hydrologic conditions are a key factor in Arctic ecosystems, with strong influences on ecosystem structure and related effects on biogeophysical and biogeochemical processes. With systematic changes in water availability expected for large parts of the Northern high latitude region in the coming centuries, knowledge on shifts in ecosystem functionality triggered by altered water levels is crucial for reducing uncertainties in climate change predictions. Here, we present findings
15 from paired ecosystem observations in Northeast Siberia that comprise a drained and a control site. At the former, the water table has been artificially lowered by up to 30 cm in summer for more than a decade. This sustained primary disturbance in hydrologic conditions has triggered a suite of secondary shifts in ecosystem properties, including vegetation community structure, snow cover dynamics, and radiation budget, all of which influence the net drainage effects. Reduced ~~thermal~~
conductivity in dry organic soils was identified as the dominating drainage effect on energy budget and soil thermal regime.

20 Through this effect, reduced heat transfer into deeper soil layers leads to shallower thaw depths, initially leading to a stabilization of organic permafrost soils, while the long-term effects on permafrost temperature trends still need to be assessed. At the same time, more energy is transferred back into the atmosphere as sensible heat in the drained area, which
may act as a positive feedback to permafrost degradation triggered by the warming of the lower atmospheric surface layer.

25 **Keywords:** Permafrost, Disturbance, Drainage, Energy Flux

M G 3/24/2017 12:09

Deleted: heat

M G 3/27/2017 13:06

Deleted: , with the largest fraction attributed to the sensible heat flux. Accordingly, this increase in vertical heat transfer

M G 3/27/2017 13:06

Deleted: will

1 Introduction

The current state and future evolution of Arctic permafrost, particularly its interactions with the atmosphere, are among the largest uncertainties in our understanding of the Earth's climate system (Schuur and Abbott, 2011; Stocker et al., 2013). The Arctic is one of the most susceptible regions on Earth to climate change (e.g. Serreze et al., 2000; Polyakov et al., 2003; Fyfe et al., 2013; Pithan and Mauritsen, 2014), and altered climate conditions may have enormous consequences for the sustainability of its natural environment (Schuur et al., 2008; Arneeth et al., 2010). Interactions between permafrost, climate, hydrology, and ecology have the potential to cause dramatic changes (e.g. McGuire et al., 2002; Hinzman et al., 2005), via mechanisms that are currently poorly monitored and therefore highly unpredictable (Heimann and Reichstein, 2008; van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012; Rawlins et al., 2015). The associated changes in the energy transfer between surface and atmosphere (Eugster et al., 2000; Langer et al., 2011b, a) or the emission patterns of greenhouse gases (Koven et al., 2011) raise the need for experimental studies in this region that address the key uncertainties linked to the functioning of the Arctic in the earth-climate system (Semiletov et al., 2012).

Hydrologic conditions play a pivotal role in shaping Arctic ecosystems. Lakes and rivers in the permafrost region represent an important portion of regional net carbon exchange with the atmosphere, but net emissions over different spatiotemporal scales remain highly uncertain to date (Walter-Anthony et al., 2014; Rasilo et al., 2015). Moreover, the vast carbon pool in northern permafrost regions, currently estimated at 1330-1580 petagrams of organic carbon (Schuur et al., 2015), has accumulated over past millennia since a combination of low mean temperatures and anoxic conditions in areas with flooded or water saturated soils slowed down the decomposition of organic matter (e.g. Ping et al., 2008). Accordingly, besides warming temperatures also shifts in the water balance in this region are expected to trigger profound changes in the permafrost carbon cycle (e.g. Chapin et al., 2005; Oberbauer et al., 2007). Hydrology can vary at small spatial scales and thus create fine-scale mosaics in surface conditions (Muster et al., 2012; 2013). Even minor differences in mean water levels can impose strong effects on vegetation and microbial community structures or soil thermal regimes (Zona et al., 2011a). It can thus be expected that flooding or draining a site will initiate profound shifts in ecosystem structure, and that the long-term impacts of the disturbance will look very different than the short term changes that can be observed immediately after the event (e.g. Shaver et al., 1992).

Future shifts in hydrologic conditions in the Arctic can be triggered by altered precipitation patterns, where a general trend towards increased rainfall is predicted (Kattsov and Walsh, 2000); however, patterns will vary strongly by region (Huntington, 2006; Bintanja and Selten, 2014), therefore for some areas also lower precipitation can be expected. Geomorphological processes such as subsidence (Jorgenson et al., 2006; O'Donnell et al., 2012) or the formation of a system of connected troughs through the preferential degradation of ice wedges in ice-rich permafrost (Serreze et al., 2000; Liljedahl et al., 2016) can lead to a lateral redistribution of water, and thus create both wetter and drier microsites within a formerly uniform ecosystem. Also, a deepening of the active layer can trigger reductions in waterlogged conditions and wetland extent in permafrost regions (Avis et al., 2011). In-depth insight into the net effect resulting from long-term changes in

M G 3/2/2017 12:39

Deleted: be

M G 3/2/2017 12:40

Deleted: est

M G 3/2/2017 12:30

Deleted: e.g.

M G 3/2/2017 12:41

Deleted: so that

M G 3/2/2017 12:41

Deleted: drier conditions

M G 3/2/2017 12:41

Deleted: within large areas

M G 3/2/2017 12:31

Deleted: e.g.

M G 3/2/2017 12:41

Deleted: d

hydrology therefore forms a key challenge for improved future predictions of biogeochemical cycles in Arctic ecosystems (e.g. Lupascu et al., 2014).

5 | Over the past two decades, several studies have documented the effect of an experimental manipulation of soil hydrologic conditions on biogeochemical cycles in Arctic ecosystems (e.g. Oechel et al., 1998; Olivas et al., 2010; Natali et al., 2015). Only a few of these studies examined long-term effects of manipulated water tables (e.g. Christiansen et al., 2012; Lupascu et al., 2014), and none of them explicitly addressed the net impact of hydrologic disturbance on the energy budget; only shifts in thaw depth (Zona et al., 2011a; Kim, 2015) and indirect effects of heat fluxes on the carbon cycle (Turetsky et al., 2008) were reported. To date, the only study known to the authors that examined a drainage effect on surface-atmosphere energy exchange patterns focused exclusively on the Bowen Ratio, and found no systematic short-term shifts immediately following a drainage disturbance (Merbold et al., 2009).

10 | Our study presents observational evidence of shifts in ecosystem properties and energy fluxes within a wet tussock tundra ecosystem in Northeast Siberia following a decade-long drainage disturbance, providing insight into the sustainability of ice-rich permafrost under future climate change. As a first major objective, we used paired observations within a drainage and a control area to quantify several secondary disturbance effects linked to lower water tables, including vegetation community, radiation budget and soil thermal regime. Our results demonstrate that drainage disturbance triggers a sequence of changes in ecosystem properties that can only fully develop over longer timeframes. Our second objective was to link these shifts in ecosystem properties to year-round eddy-covariance measurements of energy exchange patterns between permafrost ecosystem and atmosphere. As a net effect, we find increased energy transfer from the surface into the lower atmosphere, in the form of sensible heat, which potentially poses a positive feedback effect to Arctic warming. At the same time, severe drainage of shallow organic soil layers reduces heat transfer into deeper layers, reducing thaw depths, and thus providing a mechanism to temporarily stabilize permafrost.

2 Methods

2.1 Study site and drainage experiment

25 | Our field experiments were conducted on a wet tussock tundra site within the floodplain of the Kolyma River (68.61°N, 161.35°E), approximately 15 km south of the town of Chersky in Northeast Siberia. The area was formed by a relatively recent shift of the main channel of the Kolyma (Corradi et al., 2005), therefore the terrain is flat and no thermokarst lakes or natural drainage channels have yet formed in the vicinity of the observation sites. Still, the existing minor differences in terrain height have led to the formation of a fine-scale mosaic in microsite conditions (Figure 1), mostly triggered by redistribution of water from slightly elevated areas towards the minor depressions.

30 | Site hydrology is strongly influenced by a flooding period in spring caused by melting of the local snow cover as well as a northward moving dam of ice floes on the main channel of the Kolyma that delays melt water runoff. Depending on the timing of snowmelt in the tributary watersheds, this process leads to standing water on the site (up to 50 cm above ground

M G 3/2/2017 12:42

Deleted: presented results

M G 3/2/2017 12:44

Deleted: In a

M G 3/2/2017 12:44

Deleted: step

M G 3/2/2017 12:44

Deleted: , we

M G 3/30/2017 14:34

Deleted: , mostly

level) in most years around late May to early June. After the flood has mostly receded, stagnant water remains in large parts of the area that only gradually decreases over the course of the growing season.

Soils consist of an organic layer of 15-20 cm overlaying alluvial mineral soils (silty clay), with some organic material also present in deeper layers following cryoturbation. More information on site climatology (Section 3.1), spatiotemporal patterns in hydrology (Section 3.2) and vegetation characteristics (Section 3.3) can be found in the Results section. Further details on the study site are presented by Corradi et al. (2005), Merbold et al. (2009) and Kwon et al. (2016).

To study the effects of a lowered water table on a formerly predominantly wet permafrost ecosystem, in the fall of 2004 a drainage ring of approximately 200 m diameter was installed that is connected to the nearby river (Merbold et al. (2009), see also Figure 1). Within its watershed, this drainage system caused systematic changes in the hydrologic regime, lowering the mean water table and shifting the natural wetlands towards conditions with dry soils close to the surface; however, in the year immediately following the disturbance, Merbold et al. (2009) did not observe any significant drainage impacts on the energy budget within this site.

2.2 Meteorological towers

We established a paired experiment over drained and undisturbed control tundra to facilitate a direct evaluation of drainage effects on ecosystem characteristics and fluxes within this permafrost ecosystem. Since July 2013, two uniformly instrumented eddy-covariance towers with instrument heights around 5 m a.g.l. (Figure 1) captured the turbulent exchange processes between surface and atmosphere continuously throughout the year. Additional meteorological parameters used within the context of this study include air temperature (2 m a.g.l., KPK 1/6-ME-H38, Mela), precipitation (1 m a.g.l., heated tipping bucket rain gauge, Thies), and long- and shortwave radiation components (5 m a.g.l., CNR4, Kipp & Zonen). For the results presented within Section 3 of this manuscript, all data were aggregated to daily timesteps.

For more details regarding instrumentation setup, data processing and data quality assessment, please refer to Appendix A and Kittler et al. (2016), which also summarizes our approach to constrain eddy-covariance data uncertainty. Since we used exactly the same instrumentation at both drainage and control sites, and also the same data processing and quality assessment approaches, our experimental setup rules out systematic differences in the observational datasets between both treatments that can be linked to methodological issues.

2.3 Soil monitoring and vegetation sampling

In each of the two treatment areas (drainage and control), we set up transects of ten sampling locations placed approximately 25 m apart, yielding two transects with a total length of 225 m each (Figure 1). At each location, we measured water table depth in permanently installed perforated PVC pipes with 25 mm inner diameter. Thaw depth was assessed by pushing a metal pole into the ground. At every other site, profile probes for soil temperature with sensors at 0.05, 0.15, 0.25 and 0.35 m (Th3-s, UMS, Germany) and TDR soil moisture sensors at 0.075, 0.15 and 0.30 m below the soil surface (CS 640, 630 and 605, Campbell Scientific, USA) were permanently installed. For all these parameters measurements used within the context

M G 3/2/2017 12:45

Deleted: thus

M G 3/23/2017 13:50

Deleted: For more details regarding instrumentation setup, data processing and data quality assessment, please refer to Kittler et al. (2016). .

M G 3/23/2017 13:51

Deleted: For a comprehensive survey of ancillary parameters monitored at the towers, including a detailed description in data quality assessment, please refer to Kittler et al. (2016). .

M G 3/2/2017 12:34

Deleted: i.e.

M G 3/2/2017 12:48

Deleted: T

of this study were taken in conjunction with the operation of a flux chamber system during the period June 15 to August 20, 2014. In addition to the manual sampling, at four of the sampling locations, namely, a wet and a dry microsite in each of the treatment areas, soil temperatures were continuously sampled at 0.04, 0.16 and 0.64 m since August 2014.

In 2014, we applied a non-destructive point-intercept method to sample vegetation community structure, with 20 sampling spots of 0.60 x 0.60 m within each treatment. Structuring each sampling spot into a subgrid of 6x6 cells with a side length of 0.10 m, we recorded the vegetation species that intercepted a laser pointer beam when pointing downwards from each grid intersection. The final coverage fraction for each vegetation species was approximated through the relative frequency of occurrence of classes found at these intersection points. More details are provided by Kwon et al. (2016).

2.4 Site climatology

Long-term temperature trends for the Chersky region were analyzed based on data from the period 1960-2009 provided by the Berkeley Earth project (berkeleyearth.org, station ID 169921). These Berkeley Earth surface temperature (BEST) time series underwent thorough data quality filtering to flag dubious data, or exclude data biased by instrument issues. Long-term precipitation records for the period of 1950-1999 were obtained from a local weather station through the NOAA online climate database (<http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datasets>, station ID 00025123). No precipitation records were available for the Chersky station from 2000-09. Thus, we analyzed long-term trends from the site Ambarchik station (ID 00025034), situated 100 km north of Chersky with nearly identical mean annual distributions of monthly precipitation sums.

3 Results

3.1 Climate and weather

3.1.1 Seasonality in temperature and precipitation

The averaged (1960-2009) seasonal air temperature course (Figure 2, left panel) has an amplitude of ~45 °C, bounded by a minimum monthly average of -33 °C in January and a maximum of 12 °C in July. The mean annual temperature within these five decades is -11 °C. The averaged annual precipitation sum for Chersky amounts to 197 mm, with mean monthly precipitation varying between 7 mm in March and 30 mm in August (Figure 2, right panel). The bulk of the annual precipitation input is received during the summer (JJA, ~39 %) and fall (SON, ~31 %) months.

Figure 2 summarizes the mean monthly air temperatures observed in the period 2013-15, which represent the datasets used in the subsequent sections, which follow the general amplitude of the long-term seasonal course. Individual outliers tend to be positive, but the annual mean temperature of -10.9 °C for the period 2014/15 is only slightly above the climatological mean. Since BEST data for Chersky are currently available until November 2013, general offsets between local site-level data and long-term records can only be approximated based on a 3-month overlap period. For these three months, we find a cold bias in the local data ranging between -0.53 and -1.25 °C, explaining the good agreement between

- M G 3/2/2017 12:48
Deleted: at irregular time intervals over the course of the growing season
- M G 3/2/2017 12:34
Deleted: i.e.
- M G 3/2/2017 12:31
Deleted: e.g.
- M G 3/2/2017 12:51
Deleted: Since for the Chersky station virtually n
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: for the decade
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: in addition to this station
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: for
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: (
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: ,
- M G 3/2/2017 12:52
Deleted: which is
- M G 3/2/2017 12:53
Deleted: ~
- M G 3/2/2017 12:53
Deleted: to the N
- M G 3/2/2017 12:53
Deleted: from
- M G 3/2/2017 12:53
Deleted: and displays an almost
- M G 3/2/2017 12:53
Deleted: course
- M G 3/2/2017 12:55
Deleted: that
- M G 3/2/2017 12:55
Deleted: towards the
- M G 3/2/2017 12:55
Deleted: range

recent data years and long-term trends despite the warming trends observed in the past decade (see also Figure 3). Precipitation data display a different pattern since April 2014 (start of measurements) compared to the long-term observations. Total precipitation in 2015 was ~71 % of the long-term trend (154mm), with a much higher fraction provided during summer (JJA: +40 %), while winter and springtime contributions are negligible in these years; however, since recent wintertime precipitation measurements are persistently low at very low temperatures, these data are likely to be subject to biases caused by insufficient heating of the sensor. Accordingly, focusing just on summertime conditions our records indicate an increase in precipitation in recent years, compared to the period 1950-1999 that was used for generating the climatology.

3.1.2 Long-term climate trends

BEST long-term temperature trends were analyzed broken up into four seasons of three months each: springtime warming (MAM), core summer (JJA), fall freeze-up (SON), and core winter periods (DJF); the setup of these periods intentionally deviates from the definition of seasons in the climatological or plant-physiological sense, instead focuses on comparing temperature trends in different parts of the seasonal course.

The results summarized in Figure 3 indicate heterogeneous trends over both decades and seasons. Using the 1960–69 temperatures as a reference, absolute values and year-to-year variability within all seasons did not change much until the end of the last century. In these first four decades of the analysis, the maximum deviation from the reference was found to be 1.01 °C (SON, 1990–1999), and only minor trends towards warmer conditions were observed for the shoulder seasons (MAM, SON) in the decades between 1970 and 2000. In contrast to this, mean temperatures in all seasons but winter display a step-change when transitioning into the 2000–2009 decade, with observed increases of 3.0 (MAM), 1.4 (JJA) and 2.8 (SON) °C between the 1990s and the 2000s. In spite of the abrupt nature of this transition to considerably warmer temperatures, decadal root mean square errors (RMSE) remain at the same level before and after the change. Wintertime temperatures, however, show no systematic trend over the analyzed five decades, but are subject to pronounced interannual variability in seasonal mean temperatures. The hiatus in warming trends since ~2000 corresponds to global trends for that time period (e.g. Trenberth and Fasullo, 2013), and has been linked to changes in atmospheric circulation and ocean currents (Trenberth et al., 2014).

Precipitation patterns were analyzed in the same way as described above for the temperatures (results not shown). In this case, no discernable trends were found, and decadal mean precipitation stayed within ranges of +/-15mm (JJA) and +/-7 mm (all other seasons) in comparison to the 1950–59 references. Based on Ambarchik data, the 2000–2009 precipitation sums also stayed within the same ranges as observed for the five preceding decades, again without discernable trends.

3.2 Changes in hydrologic regime as response to drainage

Patterns in water table depth on this wet tussock tundra site are highly variable in both space and time. Accordingly, the effect of the drainage disturbance varies with the microrelief, and changes over the course of summer. The top panels of

M G 3/2/2017 12:57
Deleted: amounts to just 154 mm
M G 3/2/2017 12:57
Deleted: (
M G 3/2/2017 12:57
Deleted: budget

M G 3/2/2017 12:31
Deleted: e.g.

M G 3/2/2017 12:58
Deleted: also

M G 3/2/2017 12:58
Deleted: also

M G 3/2/2017 12:58
Deleted: ,

Figure 4 demonstrate the spatial variability of terrain height and water table depth for a time where water levels had fallen to a seasonal low (here: Jul 14, 2014). The variability of the terrain height at fine scales leads to lateral water redistribution, with the result that one out of ten observation sites at the drained transect still reflects wet conditions, while two out of ten sites in the control transect have water tables far below the surface level. Accordingly, the area affected by the drainage is not uniformly dry, but conditions have changed from predominantly wet to predominantly dry.

Temporal patterns of the drainage effect are also complex, as highlighted by the bottom panels of Figure 4. After the high water levels from the spring flooding have mostly receded towards the end of June, soil water conditions stay more or less constant across the control transect, while the drained transect displays a pronounced seasonality. For the latter, the water table drops by an average of 10 cm over the first three weeks of July 2014, resulting in dry top soil conditions for all sites but one. This drop is followed by a persistent recovery in water levels as a response to intensive rainfall (see also Figure 2) in late July and early August. Mean water levels within the drained area are always lower than those in the control area; however, as a consequence of the different sensitivity to precipitation inputs between drained and control transects, the net drainage effect results in water table differences between 4 cm and 15 cm (2014 observations), depending on weather conditions in the respective parts of the growing season.

3.3 Vegetation structure

In its natural state, the vegetation community of this wet tussock tundra ecosystem is dominated by common cotton grasses (*E. angustifolium*), with tussock forming sedges (*C. appendiculata* and *lugens*) as the second most important species (Corradi et al., 2005; Kwon et al., 2016). This is reflected in the coverage fractions observed during our 2014 plant species assessment (Table 1), which for the control area agrees well with a 2003 plant survey that was taken before the drainage disturbance was implemented (Corradi et al., 2005, not shown). Within the drained area, the decade-long shift of the water regime has strongly reduced the abundance of cotton grasses, while tussock forming sedges as well as shrub species (mostly birch, some willows) now cover larger fractions of the surface as compared to the control.

While the plot-based vegetation inventories indicate an increase in taller shrub species (*Betula exilis* and *Salix pulchra*, Table 1) from 0.6% to 10.9% areal coverage, we do not yet have a final quantitative assessment on the increase in shrubs within the footprint areas of the drainage tower. As an approximation, a land-cover classification based on 2011 WorldView-2 satellite remote sensing data (see also Figure 1) indicates an increase in tall shrub coverage by about a factor of four within a 400x400 m² area surrounding the drainage tower (from 1.2 to 4.8%), compared to an area of the same size near the control tower. Also, a doubling of areas where tussocks and shrubs are mixed (from 34 to 67%) was observed. Our datasets do not include a direct assessment of the average height of shrubs in drained and control areas; however, a comparative analysis between both towers (Figure 5) shows that drainage has led to a median increase of 0.026 m in summertime aerodynamic roughness lengths. Increases on surface roughness were found to be omnidirectional, varying sector-wise between 0.015 m (SSE) and 0.091 m (NNE). Since both sites are exposed to the same wind conditions, this shift can only be caused by a higher abundance of tussocks as well as more and higher shrubs.

- M G 3/2/2017 12:59
Deleted: closely following
- M G 3/2/2017 12:59
Deleted: es
- M G 3/2/2017 13:14
Deleted: close to zero
- M G 3/2/2017 13:15
Deleted:
- M G 3/2/2017 13:15
Deleted: about 1
- M G 3/2/2017 13:00
Deleted: percent
- M G 3/2/2017 13:15
Deleted: (Table 1),
- M G 3/2/2017 13:16
Deleted: focusing
- M G 3/2/2017 13:16
Deleted: on
- M G 3/23/2017 22:34
Deleted: 16 %
- M G 3/23/2017 22:34
Deleted: friction velocities
- M G 3/23/2017 22:34
Deleted: climate
- M G 3/23/2017 22:34
Deleted: increases in surface roughness conditions linked to

3.4 Snow cover, albedo and radiation budget

The changes in moisture regime and vegetation structure described in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 above have a profound impact on the local radiation budget, with the most pronounced effects attributed to shifts in snow cover. While the longwave radiation budget decreased only marginally as a consequence of drainage (-0.2% during the summer months, data not shown), shortwave radiation is altered through changes in albedo, with distinct seasonal patterns in the differences between drainage and control areas that allow us to separate the 'light season' (mean daily net shortwave radiation $>5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$) into seven distinct periods (see Table 2 and Figure 6 for an analysis of the data year 2014).

In the snow free season, the shift in vegetation in the drainage area causes a minor but persistent increase in the albedo. This albedo change reduces the shortwave radiation budget by about 2 Wm^{-2} throughout the summer since more energy is directly reflected upwards by lighter colored surfaces. This reduction in energy input agrees with Eugster et al. (2000), who observed increases in albedo linked to the gradual decrease in soil moisture over the course of the growing season. Major changes in albedo are observed during the disappearance and buildup of a closed snow cover in spring and fall. As shown in Figure 6, in both cases the process is initiated earlier in the drainage area, an effect that was observed in all data years since the beginning of the experiment in 2013. Since the thawing period in May falls together with high incoming shortwave radiation, even a few extra snow-free days lead to a strong increase in incoming energy (see also Table 2). Also the prolonged period where the snow cover starts to thin in spring leads to an energy gain for the drained terrain. Conversely, the earlier buildup of a closed snow cover in fall, as indicated by a rapidly increasing albedo, has little effect on the energy budget since incoming radiation at that time of the year is already very low.

Table 2 and Figure 6 demonstrate that a negative albedo difference between the drainage and control areas is only found during the snow melt period in spring, which makes up just about 13 % of the total time with sufficient incoming radiation for this kind of analysis (net shortwave radiation $>5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$). Since this short period falls together with high incoming radiation, the average impact of albedo changes on the energy budget is still positive for the drained area, with an average gain of 0.6 Wm^{-2} over the total period of almost nine months. However, excluding this short period, the radiative energy input has been reduced as a consequence of drainage and subsequent albedo changes.

3.5 Soil thermal regime

The long-term changes in soil water conditions, vegetation coverage, radiation and snow cover listed above have increased both carbon content and bulk density (see Kwon et al., 2017, for details) and also trigger profound shifts on the soil thermal regime within this tundra ecosystem. These effects are summarized in Figure 7, where we compare continuous observations of soil temperatures down to 0.64 m below surface level between a dry and a wet microsite within the drained transect (similar relationships between moisture and soil temperature regime were observed within the control transect). We differentiate three major effects over the course of the year:

M G 3/2/2017 13:17

Deleted: 0 and 0

M G 3/23/2017 21:57

Deleted: remains virtually unchanged

M G 3/2/2017 13:18

Deleted: structure

M G 3/2/2017 13:19

Deleted: sections

M G 3/2/2017 13:20

Deleted: , which

M G 3/2/2017 13:20

Deleted: leads to a

M G 3/2/2017 13:20

Deleted: that is lowered

M G 3/2/2017 13:20

Deleted: ,

M G 3/24/2017 13:20

Deleted: consequences

1. Drier conditions close to the surface at the dry microsite reduce the heat capacity of the organic soil. As a consequence, the upper few centimeters of the soil profile get warmer in the period June to August, with differences of up to 10 °C, compared to the wet microsite. At the same time, low thermal conductivity of dry organic soil prevents vertical heat transfer, so within the dry microsite deeper layers remain cooler for most of the period June through December.
- 5 | 2. Within the period December to January, the larger latent heat linked to the higher water content in organic soil within the wet microsite extends the zero curtain period, and delays the freezing for several weeks compared to the dry microsite. Accordingly, soils under dry conditions are much colder in early winter, with temperature differences that can reach up to 10 °C.
- 10 | 3. In the second half of February, the soil temperature decrease within the wet microsite finally catches up with the dry site, and drops down to even colder temperatures. This effect can most likely be linked to deeper snow cover associated with taller vegetation in the dry areas of this tundra site. The resulting slightly warmer temperatures across the dry soil profile, which persist until June, were observed within both treatment areas in both years currently covered by the dataset.

15 | Integrated for two full data years 2014 and 2015, the average annual soil temperature decreased across the entire soil profile down to 64 cm below surface as a consequence of the drainage. The most pronounced decrease was observed at 16 cm (-0.98 °C), while both shallower (4 cm: -0.42 °C) and deeper (64 cm: -0.27 °C) showed a rather muted response to the disturbance.

20 | To study the effects of moisture on the soil thermal regime in more detail, we analyzed time series of soil temperatures, soil moisture and thaw depth at eight selected microsites. These sites were distributed across both treatments (drained: 5 sites; control: 3 sites), covering wet and dry conditions within both areas. The dataset was collected during two experiments in summer 2015, and was subsequently aggregated into four blocks of about two weeks each (Figure 8).

25 | Close to the surface at 5 cm depth (Figure 8, left panel), wetter conditions lead to colder soil temperatures, confirming the differences between drained and control conditions during summertime already highlighted in Figure 7, related to the lower heat capacity of dry organic soils. The temperature gradient between dry and wet microsites declines in late summer following the reduced radiative energy input (Figure 6). At 35 cm depth (Figure 8, center panel), opposite trends are observed. Here, higher soil moisture stimulates higher soil temperatures, which can be linked to the fact that dry organic soil is a poor heat conductor. So even though this soil is warmer close to the surface, compared to wet microsites, the energy is not transferred into deeper soil layers, insulating the underlying permafrost from the heat close to the surface. Gradients grow over the course of the growing season, resulting in temperature differences of almost 2 °C between dry and wet microsites at times.

30 |

Higher temperatures in deeper soil layers linked to wetter conditions clearly promote deeper thaw depths (Figure 8, right panel), with differences between dry and wet microsites increasing over the course of the growing season. In September, these differences can amount to more than 20 cm as a result of shifts in the soil water regime.

M G 3/24/2017 12:09

Deleted: heat

M G 3/24/2017 13:06

Deleted: heat capacity

3.6 Sensible and latent heat fluxes

The changes in ecosystem properties following the drainage disturbance have profound impacts on the surface–atmosphere exchange fluxes of energy. In the following paragraphs, all numbers referring to growing season averages or differences represent results for the months June through September (see also Table 3). The winter results are presented after the summer results in the following section.

1. **Net radiation:** Due to a closed snow cover that usually lasts well into the month of May, most of the radiative energy is reflected until around early June (see also Figure 6), when a steep increase in net radiation (R_{net}) is observed at both sites (Figure 9a). For the remaining growing season, the general trend in R_{net} follows the solar zenith angle, with only minor impacts by seasonality in albedo. Systematic differences in R_{net} between drainage and control site can only be observed during those few days in spring when the snow cover disappears earlier at the drained site (Figure 9f); however, averaged over the growing season months June through September in 2014 and 2015, which largely excludes the snow melt transition, the energy input is reduced by about -1.7 Wm^{-2} (-1.8%) caused by the higher albedo in the drainage area (see also Table 3).

2. **Sensible heat flux:** Exchange of energy between surface and atmosphere in form of sensible heat (H) displays a sawtooth pattern over the growing season correlated to the net radiation trends, with a steep incline in June followed by a gradual decrease that lasts through fall (Figure 9b). The timing between the increase in net radiation and H is not aligned, with the onset of high sensible heat fluxes in spring trailing the steep increase in R_{net} by 1-2 weeks. This decrease is interrupted in 2015 by a plateau of H fluctuating around a nearly constant mean within July and August, which is then followed by a steep decline in September. Differences in H between drainage and control area vary strongly between data years, with large differences in 2014 observed only in early summer, while in 2015 the entire growing season displays a pronounced offset (Figure 9g). Overall, sensible heat fluxes have systematically increased as a consequence of the drainage, with peak differences reaching up to 50 Wm^{-2} for single days in early summer, and an average growing season increase of 2.4 Wm^{-2} per day ($+9.4 \%$, Table 3).

3. **Latent heat flux:** In contrast to the patterns observed for the sensible heat flux, latent heat fluxes (LE) start displaying higher values very shortly after the snow melt, but subsequently increase slowly until reaching a maximum in July (Figure 9c). For LE, the signal fluctuates strongly over the largest part of the growing season, with longer term averages stable for the months of June and July. Absolute magnitudes in LE differ strongly between data years in late July and August, coinciding with heavy precipitation in 2014 that increased the fluxes that year. We also observed a pronounced interannual variability in differences between drainage and control areas (Figure 9h), which can be linked to variable weather conditions in different parts of the growing season (see discussion below). In 2014, latent heat fluxes in the drained area were lower compared to the control area by an average of -6.3 Wm^{-2} per day, which can mostly be attributed to reductions in LE in the months of June and July within the drainage. Conversely, during the growing season of 2015 the latent heat fluxes were higher within the drained section (average: 1.9 Wm^{-2} per day), except for a

- M G 3/2/2017 13:21
Deleted: while
- M G 3/2/2017 13:21
Deleted: for the winter season
- M G 3/2/2017 13:21
Deleted: discussed
- M G 3/2/2017 13:21
Deleted: separately further below:
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/24/2017 10:01
Deleted: are scaled with the absolute values
- M G 3/24/2017 11:02
Deleted: st
- M G 3/24/2017 11:01
Deleted: found
- M G 3/24/2017 10:02
Deleted: the
- M G 3/24/2017 10:02
Deleted: months
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/24/2017 10:04
Deleted: 16.7
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/24/2017 00:05
Deleted: w
- M G 3/30/2017 15:10
Deleted: Figure 9
- M G 3/24/2017 10:06
Deleted: 1.9
- M G 3/2/2017 13:22
Deleted: In contrast to that
- M G 3/24/2017 10:06
Deleted: 2
- M G 3/24/2017 10:06
Deleted: 8

brief period immediately following the spring flooding. Averaged over both data years, this leads to a **net decrease** of LE within the summer months of -2.2 Wm^{-2} (-7.3% , Table 3) within the drainage.

4. **Sum of surface-atmosphere energy exchange:** Summing up shifts in H and LE indicates **that the total vertical energy flux from the surface to the atmosphere does not change systematically following the drainage** (Figure 9i). Mean values and temporal patterns, however, differ strongly between years. In 2014, where **increases in H are outweighed by much lower LE fluxes** for large parts of the summer months, **we observed a net decrease** in total energy fluxes (-4.2 Wm^{-2} daily average). **Conversely**, in 2015 the positive offsets in H and LE combine to a continuous positive difference in overall energy exchange between treatments, summing up to a daily mean of 4.5 Wm^{-2} over the four growing season months. **In both data years, the largest part of the net offsets was accumulated in the later part of the growing season, indicating that temperature and moisture conditions in July and August dominate the interannual variability. Since we found opposing trends with nearly equal magnitude in both data years, averaged over both years the drainage exerts only a minor increase in summertime energy fluxes of 0.2 Wm^{-2} per day ($+0.3\%$, Table 3).**

5. **Bowen-Ratio:** The Bowen-Ratio (BR), **which is the ratio of sensible to latent heat fluxes**, summarizes how radiative energy is partitioned between the two vertical energy fluxes H and LE. The higher the BR values, the more the available energy is shifted towards the sensible heat flux, which may indicate water limitations and/or drought stress. At **our observation sites near Chersky**, absolute daily mean values of BR are usually highest during the early summer, then decrease until August and rise again towards the beginning of fall (Figure 9e). **Moving window averages of offsets between drainage and control show a pronounced peak in June, and are positive throughout the summer months (Figure 9j), implying that the share of energy transferred into sensible heat is systematically higher within the drainage area.** Mean summertime Bowen Ratios, calculated based on averaged values for H and LE demonstrate that drainage increases daily mean BR by a value of 0.15 ($+18.0\%$, Table 3).

Wintertime fluxes (here: November 2014 – March 2015) do not contribute notably to net changes in the energy budget at **our observation sites near Chersky**. **Shortwave energy input is extremely low during the polar winter, and outgoing longwave radiation usually exceeds incoming radiation. Accordingly, on average we observed negative net radiation budgets** (drainage: -12.3 Wm^{-2} ; control: -10.5 Wm^{-2}) within both treatments, and values for R_{net} were slightly lower (-1.6 Wm^{-2}) in the drainage area. Latent heat fluxes **were close to zero** for this entire period (drainage: $0.0 \pm 0.13 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$; control: $0.2 \pm 0.02 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$), and **negative average values for the sensible heat flux** (drainage: $-5.6 \pm 0.20 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$; control: $-4.4 \pm 0.11 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$) indicate **a net downward flux from the atmosphere to the surface. Combined flux shifts in H and LE of -1.4 Wm^{-2} therefore closely balance the changes in R_{net} , so that during wintertime, the aboveground energy balance ($R_{\text{net}} - H - LE$) is barely affected by the drainage disturbance.**

M G 3/24/2017 10:07
Deleted: minor ...et in...ecrease of LE w ... [1]

M G 3/24/2017 10:08
Deleted: a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from...hat the total vertical et ... [2]

M G 3/2/2017 12:35
Deleted: i.e. ...he ratio of sensible to late ... [3]

M G 3/24/2017 10:31
Deleted: the ...ur observation sites near Ch ... [4]

4 Discussion

4.1 Controls on Arctic energy balance

Previous studies have identified vegetation community, atmospheric conditions, and the underlying permafrost as major controlling factors on the biogeochemical cycles of Arctic wetland ecosystems (e.g. Rouse, 2000). Focusing on the energy budget, Eugster et al. (2000) identified the following properties as dominant features that characterize the specific feedback processes between the Arctic energy balance and climate change: short growing season with long summer days, permafrost and the existence of massive ground ice, prevalent wetlands and shallow lakes, and a nonvascular ground vegetation. All of these factors are closely linked to each other (e.g. McGuire et al., 2002), and thus the assessment of the net effect of climate change on these ecosystems needs to synthesize inter-related responses to capture the cascade of positive and negative feedback loops that affect geophysical, hydrological, and biological ecosystem characteristics (McFadden et al., 1998; Hinzman et al., 2005). This is supported by the findings from our experiment, where the impact of soil hydrology was identified as the dominant control, triggering secondary shifts in other factors and ultimately reshaping the ecosystem.

4.1.1 Vegetation impact

Many studies that examined the energy budget across ecosystem boundaries in the Arctic have identified the terrain type as the dominant control on energy flux rates as well as on the partitioning of energy between sensible, latent and soil heat fluxes (Eugster et al., 2000; Eaton et al., 2001; Beringer et al., 2005; Kasurinen et al., 2014). For example, Beringer et al. (2005) studied a vegetation transect in Alaska, and found increasing leaf area index and decreasing albedo along the transition from arctic tundra to boreal forest ecosystems, which decreased evaporation while increasing transpiration and sensible heat fluxes. Their results demonstrate that successional changes that convert low tundra vegetation into shrub tundra or woodlands have the potential to intensify vertical energy exchange with the atmosphere, and thus create a positive feedback to warmer conditions under future climate change.

The results presented in this study confirm that vegetation is a key controlling factor within the cascade of secondary shifts in ecosystem characteristics following the primary drainage disturbance. The higher abundance of shrubs, promoted by drier and warmer conditions in shallow soil layers within the drainage area, exerts the most obvious effect on the snow cover regime for a couple of reasons. The first is that shrubs and tussocks capture the horizontally drifting snow more effectively than cotton grass meadows in the control tundra sections, leading to an earlier and deeper accumulation of a closed snow cover in fall (e.g. Sturm et al., 2001a; 2005b), and subsequently to warmer soil conditions in the winter season. The second reason is that shrubs sticking out of the otherwise closed snow cover absorb and re-emit the high incoming radiation in April/May, thus contributing to the earlier snow melt observed within the drainage area (Sturm et al., 2005a; 2005b; Pomeroy et al., 2006). During the summer, it is likely that ground shading by shrub canopies counteracts the observed warming in shallow soil layers (e.g. McFadden et al., 1998); however, based on our dataset, this effect could not be separated from the soil moisture impact on soil temperatures.

M G 3/2/2017 13:30

Deleted: ,

M G 3/2/2017 13:30

Deleted: ,

M G 3/2/2017 13:30

Deleted: ,

M G 3/2/2017 13:30

Deleted: ,

M G 3/2/2017 13:31

Deleted: and

M G 3/2/2017 13:31

Deleted: e

M G 3/2/2017 13:32

Deleted: during summer

Both plot surveys and gridded maps do not include information on vegetation height. Still, the available ground-based and remote sensing information on shifts in vegetation community structure provides strong evidence that drainage induces shifts towards taller vegetation that play a major role in the overall transformation of the ecosystem structure following the drainage disturbance. During summertime, our eddy-covariance fluxes indicate an omnidirectional increase in aerodynamic roughness length (Figure 5) and mechanically generated turbulence (as represented by the friction velocity, data not shown) at the drainage tower, compared to the control tower, indicating that higher vegetation surrounding that tower intensifies turbulent exchange processes between surface and atmosphere.

The virtual absence of mosses at our observation sites (~1.8% in both transects, data not shown) is also expected to influence energy flux rates and soil thermal regime. Moss cover usually dominates the surface in high latitudes, and has been shown to play a key role in modifying temperature and moisture conditions in Arctic soils (Beringer et al., 2001; Zona et al., 2011b; Kim et al., 2014). Evaporation from mosses contributes a significant portion of the latent heat flux in Arctic ecosystems (McFadden et al., 2003; Beringer et al., 2005). Moss insulation reduces the soil heat flux and increases energy exchange with the atmosphere (Beringer et al., 2001), similar to the insulation effect of dry organic soil that was observed in the present study. It can therefore be assumed that drainage could further reinforce the moss-cover effect on energy flux partitioning and soil thermal regime, as long as the drained soil can still sustain the moss layer.

4.1.2 Atmospheric impact

Numerous studies identified atmospheric forcing as the most important control on the energy budget of individual high latitude ecosystems in the absence of vegetation shifts (e.g. Rouse et al., 1992; Harazono et al., 1998; Kodama et al., 2007; Boike et al., 2008; Langer et al., 2011b). Precipitation anomalies were found to be a major determinant for interannual variability in energy partitioning (Boike et al., 2008), and Rouse et al. (1992) listed variations in the total amount of summertime precipitation as a control for active layer depth development. Synoptic patterns exerted a strong influence on energy fluxes in both Alaskan (Harazono et al., 1998) and Siberian (Kodama et al., 2007; Boike et al., 2008; Langer et al., 2011b) study domains. Onshore winds coming from the ocean, characterized by cold and wet conditions, promote sensible heat fluxes since they increase the temperature gradient between surface and atmosphere. Warm and dry offshore winds, however, usually decrease the sensible heat flux. Also, the impact of cloud cover on the longwave radiation budget had an important influence on soil temperatures and freeze-back patterns in fall (Langer et al., 2011b).

Short-term shifts in weather conditions, such as a warm bias in August 2014 (see Figure 2), exerted only a slight influence on the seasonal trajectories of the sensible heat flux. In contrast, an average long-term reduction in net radiation of about 2% during the period June through September 2015, compared to 2014, decreased the H budget by about 9%. No systematic differences in the interannual variability of H were observed between drained and control areas, wherein the overall reductions in the flux rates were of equal magnitude, and both areas reacted uniformly to changes in atmospheric forcings. Regarding the longwave radiation, even though this parameter barely changed as a consequence of drainage the

M G 3/23/2017 22:38
Deleted: (e.g. Stull, 1988)
M G 3/23/2017 22:39
Deleted: (as represented by the friction velocity
M G 3/23/2017 22:38
Deleted: , Figure 5),

M G 3/2/2017 13:33
Deleted: :
M G 3/2/2017 12:31
Deleted: e.g.
M G 3/2/2017 13:33
Deleted: dampened
M G 3/27/2017 12:27
Deleted: 5
M G 3/27/2017 12:24
Deleted: 5
M G 3/2/2017 12:36
Deleted: i.e.
M G 3/2/2017 13:34
Deleted: percentage
M G 3/2/2017 13:34
Deleted: reductions

interannual variability of the upward directed component correlated well with the sensible heat fluxes, both in terms of absolute values and differences between treatments.

Regarding the latent heat flux, similar to Boike et al. (2008) we found an influence of precipitation patterns on interannual variability in LE, particularly in late summer and within the drainage section of our study area. For example, heavy rainfall events such as those observed in late July and early August 2014 can lead to partially waterlogged conditions within the drainage ring (Figure 4), and increased evapotranspiration rates at these times often match those in the control area. Moreover, in contrast to the sensible heat flux, we found evapotranspiration rates highly susceptible to day-to-day variability in net radiative energy input. Regarding interannual variability, we observed opposing trends in summertime LE within drained and control areas, wherein, compared to 2014, in 2015 latent heat flux rates increased by an average of ~5 % in the drained areas, while the control fluxes decreased by about 20 %.

Comparing weather conditions and energy fluxes between 2014 and 2015, the reduction in net radiation input in 2015 led to a pronounced reduction in vertical energy transfer to the atmosphere in the control section (about -16 %, combining H and LE), while the net change in turbulent fluxes in the drained area was much lower (-2 %). These findings suggest that the timing of shifts in weather conditions played an important role for latent heat fluxes within the drainage section, and dominates interannual variability. In particular, the slightly warmer conditions in June and July of 2015, in combination with the frequent occurrence of light to moderate rainfall events, resulted in higher latent heat fluxes in this period, compared to 2014.

Synoptic influences were not analyzed in detail in the context of this study. Our focus was placed on the differences in ecosystem structure and surface-atmosphere energy exchange between a drained and a control observation site. Since both sites were placed only approximately 600 m apart, it can be assumed that both are exposed to the same atmospheric forcing. A direct comparison of weather conditions measured at both towers, including air temperatures, humidity, pressure and precipitation, resulted in no systematic offsets going beyond the calibration accuracy of the employed instrumentation.

4.1.3 Impact of permafrost

A primary characteristic of permafrost landscapes is that the ice-rich frozen layer inhibits vertical water losses, preserving water-logged conditions during large parts of the summer, and thus facilitating the establishment of wetlands even in areas with relatively low precipitation input (Rouse, 2000). Within permafrost landscapes, a large portion of the net energy input from radiation is used to thaw the frozen ground, and increase the thaw depth over the course of the growing season (e.g. Lund et al., 2014). Permafrost constitutes a substantial heat sink, reducing the soil temperatures and also the energy available to feed turbulent heat flux exchange with the atmosphere (Eugster et al., 2000; Langer et al., 2011b). Accordingly, permafrost acts as an efficient buffer against the intensification of energy exchange that might be triggered by a warmer future climate in high latitude regions, but this controlling mechanism would be reduced in case the thaw depth increases (Lund et al., 2014). Another particular feature of northern permafrost soils is that the very cold frozen ground generates a downward directed heat flux from the snow in spring. This increases the amount of energy required to melt the snowpack,

- M G 3/2/2017 13:35
Deleted: in accordance with the results presented by
- M G 3/2/2017 13:35
Deleted: our results indicate
- M G 3/27/2017 12:08
Deleted: two isolated
- M G 3/27/2017 12:09
Deleted: . Together with the higher energy availability for H and LE as a result of reduced heat transfer into deeper layers after drainage, these conditions yielded a strong
- M G 3/27/2017 12:09
Deleted: in
- M G 3/27/2017 13:36
Deleted: derived
- M G 3/2/2017 13:36
Deleted: :
- M G 3/2/2017 13:37
Deleted: the
- M G 3/2/2017 13:37
Deleted: growing season budget
- M G 3/27/2017 12:13
Deleted: 1
- M G 3/27/2017 12:14
Deleted: 8
- M G 3/27/2017 12:15
Deleted: close to zero
- M G 3/27/2017 12:15
Deleted: 0.6
- M G 3/2/2017 13:37
Deleted: :
- M G 3/2/2017 13:37
Deleted: T
- M G 3/27/2017 12:16
Deleted: in the drained section
- M G 3/27/2017 12:21
Deleted: In contrast, the major reduction in net radiation in August, together with cooler and drier conditions, did not have such a strong effect since soils in the drained area had already largely dried out by then.

and accordingly delays melt (Eugster et al., 2000). We speculate that the warmer soil conditions found within the drainage area in late winter are the result of better ground insulation through increased snow depth captured by higher shrubs. This effect reduces the downward heat flux, and therefore also contributes to an earlier snowmelt.

For the reasons listed above, wet permafrost ecosystems are often characterized by a large fraction of the soil heat flux in the energy budget (Boike et al., 2003; Langer et al., 2011b) that can be of the same order of magnitude as latent and sensible heat fluxes (Eugster et al., 2000). The partitioning of net radiation in permafrost landscapes is particularly sensitive towards the moderation of bulk surface resistance by the vegetation, and the thermal conductivity of shallow soil layers (Harding et al., 2002; Liljedahl et al., 2011). In the current study, soil heat fluxes were not measured directly, so their magnitude can only be approximated based on the difference of energy supply from net radiation and energy demand for latent and sensible heat fluxes. This residual amounted to 38–40% depending on data year and site, or 18–20% assuming that 20% of the net radiation is attributed to an unclosed energy balance (Foken et al., 2011) or additional energy sinks such as heat storage in water (Harazono et al., 1998). While residuals in 2014 and 2015 were similar in the drainage area, values differed by about 8% in the control section, mostly driven by shifts in LE.

4.1.4 Impact of soil hydrology

The moisture and thermal regulation of Arctic wetland ecosystems is strongly influenced by the presence of an organic soil layer, which features an extremely large water content when wet, and equally large air content when dry (Rouse, 2000). Water saturation conditions affect heat flux rates into soils, and also alter thaw depth and the net ecosystem-atmosphere heat exchange (Jorgenson et al., 2010; Subin et al., 2013). Moreover, waterlogged conditions can increase solar absorption, contributing to increased thaw depths and potentially to a subsequent lowering of the water table with respect to the surface (Olivas et al., 2010). Conversely, lowering the water table can also preserve moisture in the ecosystem in the absence of plants with high leaf area index and deep roots, since higher albedo reduces net radiation, and poor thermal conductivity in dry soils keeps deeper layers colder (Eugster et al., 2000).

We found both direct and indirect effects of shifts in soil hydrology on the energy budget of our study site, with the latter likely to have a stronger impact on the long-term trajectory of this permafrost ecosystem than the former. The combined impact of the most prominent indirect effects, the shifts in vegetation community structure and snow cover regime, will be discussed in more detail in the following section. Direct effects comprise the decrease in both heat capacity as well as thermal conductivity when drying out organic soils, which in our case resulted in a reduction of heat transfer into the soil. The observed patterns between soil water content and progress of thaw depth over the course of the growing season agree well with findings previously reported for Arctic ecosystems in Alaska (Hinzman et al., 1991; Shiklomanov et al., 2010; Sturtevant et al., 2012). A second direct effect of drier conditions in shallow soil layers is a shift in energy partitioning towards higher Bowen Ratios, with a larger portion attributed to sensible heat fluxes. Also, after drainage particularly the latent heat fluxes become more dependent on short-term atmospheric forcing, with strong variability observed in LE rates related to precipitation input.

- M G 3/2/2017 13:38
Deleted: presented
- M G 3/27/2017 12:30
Deleted: 40
- M G 3/27/2017 12:30
Deleted: 8
- M G 3/27/2017 12:30
Deleted: 20
- M G 3/27/2017 12:30
Deleted: 8
- M G 3/2/2017 12:31
Deleted: e.g.
- M G 3/27/2017 12:35
Deleted: control
- M G 3/27/2017 12:36
Deleted: 10
- M G 3/27/2017 12:36
Deleted: drained
- M G 3/27/2017 12:36
Deleted: highlighting that the permafrost buffer against variability in atmospheric forcing has been weakened as a consequence of the long-term drainage
- M G 3/2/2017 13:38
Deleted: thus
- M G 3/2/2017 13:39
Deleted: between ecosystem and atmosphere, as well as thaw depth
- M G 3/24/2017 12:08
Deleted: heat
- M G 3/2/2017 12:36
Deleted: i.e.
- M G 3/24/2017 12:08
Deleted: heat
- M G 3/27/2017 12:39
Deleted: , and an increase of turbulent energy exchange with the atmosphere
- M G 3/2/2017 12:36
Deleted: i.e.

Regarding the net effect of dry soils on soil heat fluxes and thermal regimes, different pathways are possible, depending largely on soil type and the severity of the disturbance. Rouse et al. (1992) observed that higher temperatures and associated moderate increases in evapotranspiration moved water tables beneath the surface, but the peat soils at their study site remained wet. As a result, thermal conductivity decreased less rapidly than heat capacity while the thermal diffusivity was enhanced, which led to deeper thaw depth with warm and dry climate conditions. In contrast, Bonan et al. (1990) postulated that the greater evapotranspiration accompanying climate warming would dry out the surface organic layer, causing a reduction of soil heat fluxes and thus stabilize the existence of permafrost. Our observation of cooler summertime temperatures in deeper soil layers following drainage, and a substantial reduction of thaw depth in the drained section, support the statement by Bonan et al. (1990); however, including secondary drainage effects, where the combination of higher vegetation and higher snow pack leads to a warmer soils during winter, over longer timeframes drainage may also lead to a gradual warming of soil temperatures, and therefore contribute to permafrost degradation.

M G 3/24/2017 12:08

Deleted: heat

4.2 Implications for feedback processes with climate change

4.2.1 Interrelated ecosystem responses

Sophisticated numerical models are needed for assessing the complex feedback processes between permafrost ecosystems and climate change, but is unclear yet which influence factors and ecosystem characteristics need to be explicitly resolved in these models (Eugster et al., 2000). Our findings indicate that process-based models representing permafrost ecosystems to a high degree of detail would be required for this objective. We found direct effects of the primary drainage disturbance on the energy budget of our study site, but also secondary effects based on shifts in other ecosystem properties following the lowered water tables. Also interactions between secondary effects were observed, including feedback processes to the primary drainage. Neglecting this network of positive and negative feedback loops on permafrost ecosystem energy budgets will likely lead to biased net effects, and therefore distort both the simulations regarding the sustainability of individual high latitude ecosystems under climate change, as well as their relevance at regional to global scales. In fact, at the time when our observations took place, a clean separation between e.g. primary drainage effect and the changes in vegetation cover that followed it could not be made without additional manipulation to the ecosystem.

M G 3/2/2017 13:41

Deleted: level of detail these models

M G 3/2/2017 13:41

Deleted: represent

The specific hydrologic conditions created by permafrost ecosystems, characterized by a barrier to infiltration posed by the frozen ground, provided the prerequisite to form the original wet tussock tundra ecosystem. Regarding the primary disturbance (the lowering of the water table), impacts on the ecosystem were initially restricted to shifts in the partitioning of energy between sensible, latent and soil heat fluxes during summertime. As a direct secondary effect, a taller vegetation community with higher aerodynamic roughness was established, causing higher turbulent exchange fluxes and also a slightly higher albedo in summer. The vegetation shift then triggered substantial changes in the snow cover regime, with higher albedo and warmer soils in late winter and spring, and an energy pulse in early summer related to the expedited snow melt.

M G 3/2/2017 12:37

Deleted: i.e. the lowering of the soil water table,

Every single effect will influence the net annual energy budget of this permafrost ecosystem, and accordingly they can only be analyzed as a network of closely linked properties when assessing the net impact of drainage disturbance.

One particularly interesting network effect of combined shifts in ecosystem properties is the interaction between drainage and vegetation shifts. The increased abundance of shrubs in high latitude regions has been studied extensively (e.g. Sturm et al., 2001b; Myers-Smith et al., 2011; DeMarco et al., 2014a), and multiple links with factors such as snow cover (Sturm et al., 2001a; Pomeroy et al., 2006), radiation regime (Bewley et al., 2007) and nutrient cycling (Myers-Smith and Hik, 2013; DeMarco et al., 2014b) have been identified. Regarding soil temperatures, the isolated assessment of shrub expansion indicates that capturing of drifting snow by shrubs increases soil temperatures in winter, while shading decreases them in summer (e.g. Sturm et al., 2001a). Assessing the net impact of these opposing effects depends on details like shrub density, which complicates the evaluation of this effect at landscape to pan-Arctic level. Further, including a drainage effect increases the complexity. For example, during winter, the shifts in snow cover caused by the taller vegetation still persist, but the warming effect is modulated by the low heat capacity of dry organic soil, which leads to colder soil temperatures in early winter. During summer, in shallow layers the warming triggered by the low heat capacity of dry organic soil dominates over the shading effect of the shrub canopy, while in deeper layers the shrub cooling effect is reinforced by low thermal conductivity. Accordingly, compared to the isolated assessment of shrub expansion, a drained permafrost ecosystem with a higher abundance of shrubs experiences less warming in winter, and also shows colder temperatures in deeper layers in summer.

The severity of the drainage needs to be considered when interpreting the outcome of hydrologic disturbance effects. As summarized in the introduction, many studies have treated the impact of a lowered water table on biogeochemical cycles in permafrost ecosystems. However, for most of them the water table was lowered less than 10 cm, so the differences before and after disturbance were not as pronounced as to be expected after the formation of a trough system following the degradation of ice-rich permafrost. According to Rouse et al. (1992), moist organic soils can still act as good thermal conductors, so reduction of thaw depth, as observed in our experiment, can only be found after a dramatic shift in soil water tables that largely dry out the shallow organic layers. Accordingly, gradually drying out tundra soils does not lead to a corresponding smooth transition from one state of energy flux partitioning to another, but instead it can be assumed that a tipping point in soil moisture levels exist that, once reached, non-linearly transitions from one state to another.

4.2.2 Consideration of long-term effects

As pointed out in the previous section, a combination of primary and secondary disturbance effects, and their interactions, generates the net impact of the drainage disturbance on the energy budget. In this context, temporal aspects need to be considered as well when interpreting the results. While the primary disturbance immediately affected the water table, other key components like the vegetation community structure take longer to change. Consequently, very different net effects were found in the year immediately following the disturbance (Merbold et al., 2009), compared to our more recent results from about 10 years later (Kittler et al., 2016). At the same time, it can be assumed that the system is even now not fully

M G 3/2/2017 12:32

Deleted: e.g. ...now cover (Sturm et al., 20... [5]

M G 3/2/2017 13:45

Deleted: ;...h...owever, for most of them t... [6]

M G 3/2/2017 13:46

Deleted: superposition ...ombination of pr... [7]

equilibrated towards the new conditions, and further shifts in ~~factors like shrub coverage and canopy height~~ can be expected for the long-term trajectory of this site. Still, we expect that the major elements of change are already established by now, and no major shifts in the overall functionality of the energy budget feedback processes are expected for the future.

Another factor with a long-term trajectory regarding the response towards drainage disturbance is temperature trends in deeper soil layers. Throughout the studied section of the soil profile, we observed alternating periods dominated by warming or cooling over the course of the year, ~~with all layers down to 64 cm below surface experiencing a net cooling following the drainage. Accordingly, particularly in deeper soil layers we see a complex combined impact of a reduced cooling down of soils in winter, probably linked to the improved snow insulation, and shifts in the insulation by dry organic soils, preventing vertical heat transfer in summer.~~ Whether or not the wintertime warming will be ~~eventually be~~ substantial enough to dominate over the summertime cooling, ~~and impact~~ thaw depth, cannot be evaluated with the currently available database. An assessment of the long-term temperature trends would either require a suitable process-based modeling framework, or longer-term temperature observations, ideally including deeper boreholes, and thus is beyond the objectives of this study.

4.2.3 Implications for Arctic climate change

An increase in sensible heat flux rates as a response to shifts in the partitioning of the available net radiation is the most direct pathway to change the temperature of the atmospheric boundary layer (Eugster et al., 2000; Lund et al., 2014). This statement is supported by Chapin et al. (2000), who expect a high potential for positive feedbacks between land-atmosphere energy exchange and regional temperature changes throughout high-latitude regions, disregarding potential shifts in land cover structure. Eugster et al. (2000) therefore count the unknown magnitudes of changes in energy partitioning as well as the lack of long-term energy balance data from Siberia among the most important uncertainties for assessment of susceptibility and vulnerability of Arctic ecosystems to climate change.

Our experiment triggered an artificial lateral redistribution of water, converting a formerly uniform wet tussock tundra ecosystem into severely drained terrestrial areas intersected by a drainage channel. This approach mimics the preferential degradation of ice wedges in ice-rich permafrost under climate change, which can lead to the formation of a system of connected troughs (Serreze et al., 2000; Liljedahl et al., 2016). Our results can therefore provide observational evidence of energy budget shifts that can be expected in regions susceptible for this type of degradation. Ice-rich permafrost (Yedoma) covers large parts of the North American and Siberian Arctic (e.g. Strauss et al., 2013), and in the Siberian plains has been found to contain very high ice contents that made up 40 to 70% of the soil volume (Zimov et al., 1997). It can be expected that geomorphological evolution and hydrological responses to permafrost degradation as a result of longer-term effects of a warming Arctic climate (e.g. Hinzman et al., 2005) will constitute a large-scale phenomenon with potential pan-Arctic and even global feedback implications on climate change.

Regional changes in energy fluxes will probably interact with the carbon cycle by changing ~~the~~ disturbance regime, regional temperature and precipitation, and the depth of the boundary layer (Chapin et al., 2000). Moreover, shifts in energy budgets may also alter the delicate patterns of regional scale energy transfer within the Arctic and beyond. The redistribution

M G 3/2/2017 12:32

Deleted: e.g.

M G 3/2/2017 13:52

Deleted: fraction

M G 3/27/2017 12:54

Deleted: While the strong warming during summer appears to dominate the annual thermal regime within shallow soil layers,

M G 3/27/2017 12:55

Deleted: the impact on long-term temperature trajectories is more complicated to assess:

M G 3/27/2017 12:56

Deleted: has been reduced here

M G 3/27/2017 12:57

Deleted: while in summer

M G 3/27/2017 12:57

Deleted: s

M G 3/27/2017 12:57

Deleted: , thus keeping deeper layers cooler

M G 3/2/2017 13:52

Deleted: ,

M G 3/2/2017 13:52

Deleted: and therefore also have an effect on the propagation of

M G 3/2/2017 13:53

Deleted: ,

M G 3/30/2017 14:16

Formatted: normal_indent

of energy from certain regions in the Arctic and boreal zone to northern areas which is observed under current conditions (for example the heat flows from Alaska in both northerly and easterly directions) may increase under a warming climate whenever the energy transfer from surface to atmosphere increases (Eugster et al., 2000). Accordingly, the effects of shifts in energy exchange patterns following the degradation of ice-rich permafrost will not be restricted to these areas, but are likely to exert effects of substantial magnitude at the pan-Arctic scale.

5 Conclusion

Degradation of ice-rich permafrost under future climate change holds the potential to transform geomorphological and hydrological characteristics within large parts of the Arctic. Persistently drier or wetter conditions may alter ecosystem structure dramatically, and lead to systematic shifts in biogeophysical and biogeochemical processes. Both the effects of long-term equilibration and non-linear feedback processes between shifts in ecosystem components complicate the assessment of the net impact of this type of disturbance, therefore the long-term trajectory of ice-rich permafrost ecosystems in the Arctic is highly uncertain to date.

We presented observational evidence on the potential long-term consequences of sustained drainage in a previously wet tussock tundra ecosystem in Northeast Siberia. Our multi-disciplinary datasets indicate that a decade-long lowering of the water table triggered a cascade of secondary changes at our observation sites, including shifts towards taller vegetation, modification of the snow-cover period, and profound shifts in soil temperatures. Warmer conditions were found throughout the soil profile towards the end of winter; during the summer, however, the low heat capacity of dry organic soils led to warmer conditions in shallow layers, while at the same time poor thermal conductivity kept deeper layers colder. Since dry organic soils are efficient insulators, drainage can reduce thaw depth, and can initially protect deep permafrost carbon pools from degradation under warmer climate conditions. However, the net impact of wintertime warming and summertime cooling on long-term permafrost temperature trends following drainage still remains to be assessed.

With heat transfer into the soil systematically reduced, the primary drainage disturbance in combination with related secondary changes led to a minor increase in energy transfer back to the atmosphere (see also Figure 10), even though higher albedo following vegetation shifts slightly lowered the energy input through net radiation. Mean sensible heat flux rates increased in the drainage area (+2.4 Wm⁻², or +9.4 %), closely balanced by a parallel decrease in averaged latent heat fluxes (-2.2 Wm⁻², or -7.3 %). This shift in energy flux partitioning, reflected by a Bowen Ratio increase of ~1.8 %, may lead to secondary feedback effects in temperature and moisture regimes of the lower atmosphere, and can thus aggravate climate change impacts once the degradation of ice-rich permafrost has initiated hydrologic redistribution. If our local scale results can be confirmed for different Arctic regions, and different hydrologic disturbance scenarios, the demonstrated effects could therefore have a profound impact on forecasts of the sustainability of Arctic permafrost ecosystems under future climate change.

M G 3/2/2017 13:54
Deleted: ...e.g. ...eat flows from Alaska it ... [8]

M G 3/27/2017 13:00
Moved down [1]: 4.3 Data quality considerations -

M G 3/30/2017 14:16
Deleted: - ... [9]

M G 3/24/2017 11:08
Deleted: s...a cascade of secondary chang ... [10]

M G 3/24/2017 11:12
Deleted: sustained ...inor increase in ener ... [11]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported through funding by the European Commission (PAGE21 project, FP7-ENV-2011, Grant Agreement No. 282700, and PerCCOM project, FP7-PEOPLE-2012-CIG, Grant Agreement No. PCIG12-GA-201-333796), the German Ministry of Education and Research (CarboPerm-Project, BMBF Grant No. 03G0836G), and the AXA Research Fund (PDOC_2012_W2 campaign, ARF fellowship M. Göckede). The authors appreciate the contribution of staff members of the Northeast Scientific Station in Chersky for facilitating the field experiments, especially Galina Zimova and Nastya Zimova. We would also like to thank the administration and service departments within the Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry, most notably the Field Experiments & Instrumentation group, for their contributions to planning and logistics, and for supporting field work activities.

10 We applied sequence-determines-credit (authors 1-3) and equal-contribution (alphabetical sequence, all other authors) methods for the order of authors.

References

- Armeth, A., Sitch, S., Bondeau, A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Foster, P., Gedney, N., de Noblet-Ducoudre, N., Prentice, I. C., Sanderson, M., Thonicke, K., Wania, R., and Zaehle, S.: From biota to chemistry and climate: towards a comprehensive description of trace gas exchange between the biosphere and atmosphere, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 121-149, 2010.
- 15 Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J., and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude wetlands in response to permafrost thaw, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 444-448, 2011.
- Beringer, J., Lynch, A. H., Chapin, F. S., Mack, M., and Bonan, G. B.: The representation of arctic soils in the land surface model: The importance of mosses, *J. Clim.*, 14, 3324-3335, 2001.
- 20 Beringer, J., Chapin, F. S., Thompson, C. C., and McGuire, A. D.: Surface energy exchanges along a tundra-forest transition and feedbacks to climate, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 131, 143-161, 2005.
- Bewley, D., Pomeroy, J. W., and Essery, R. L. H.: Solar radiation transfer through a subarctic shrub canopy, *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, 39, 365-374, 2007.
- Bintanja, R., and Selten, F. M.: Future increases in Arctic precipitation linked to local evaporation and sea-ice retreat, *Nature*, 509, 479-482, 2014.
- 25 Boike, J., Roth, K., and Ippisch, O.: Seasonal snow cover on frozen ground: Energy balance calculations of a permafrost site near Ny-Alesund, Spitsbergen, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 2003.
- Boike, J., Wille, C., and Abnizova, A.: Climatology and summer energy and water balance of polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 113, 2008.
- 30 Bonan, G. B., Shugart, H. H., and Urban, D. L.: The sensitivity of some high-latitude boreal forests to climatic parameters, *Clim. Change*, 16, 9-29, 1990.
- Chapin, F. S., McGuire, A. D., Randerson, J., Pielke, R., Baldocchi, D., Hobbie, S. E., Roulet, N., Eugster, W., Kasischke, E., Rastetter, E. B., Zimov, S. A., and Running, S. W.: Arctic and boreal ecosystems of western North America as components of the climate system, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 6, 211-223, 2000.
- 35 Chapin, F. S., Sturm, M., Serreze, M. C., McFadden, J. P., Key, J. R., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Rupp, T. S., Lynch, A. H., Schimel, J. P., Beringer, J., Chapman, W. L., Epstein, H. E., Euskirchen, E. S., Hinzman, L. D., Jia, G., Ping, C. L.,

- Tape, K. D., Thompson, C. D. C., Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M.: Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming, *Science*, 310, 657-660, 2005.
- Christiansen, C. T., Svendsen, S. H., Schmidt, N. M., and Michelsen, A.: High arctic heath soil respiration and biogeochemical dynamics during summer and autumn freeze-in - effects of long-term enhanced water and nutrient supply, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 18, 3224-3236, 2012.
- 5 Corradi, C., Kolle, O., Walter, K., Zimov, S. A., and Schulze, E. D.: Carbon dioxide and methane exchange of a north-east Siberian tussock tundra, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1910-1925, 2005.
- DeMarco, J., Mack, M. C., and Bret-Harte, M. S.: Effects of arctic shrub expansion on biophysical vs. biogeochemical drivers of litter decomposition, *Ecology*, 95, 1861-1875, 2014a.
- 10 DeMarco, J., Mack, M. C., Bret-Harte, M. S., Burton, M., and Shaver, G. R.: Long-term experimental warming and nutrient additions increase productivity in tall deciduous shrub tundra, *Ecosphere*, 5, 2014b.
- Eaton, A. K., Rouse, W. R., Lafleur, P. M., Marsh, P., and Blanken, P. D.: Surface energy balance of the western and central Canadian subarctic: Variations in the energy balance among five major terrain types, *J. Clim.*, 14, 3692-3703, 2001.
- Eugster, W., Rouse, W. R., Pielke, R. A., McFadden, J. P., Baldocchi, D. D., Kittel, T. G. F., Chapin, F. S., Liston, G. E., 15 Vidale, P. L., Vaganov, E., and Chambers, S.: Land-atmosphere energy exchange in Arctic tundra and boreal forest: available data and feedbacks to climate, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 6, 84-115, 2000.
- Foken, T., and Wichura, B.: Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 78, 83-105, 1996.
- Foken, T.: *Micrometeorology*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- 20 Foken, T., Aubinet, M., Finnigan, J. J., Leclerc, M. Y., Mauder, M., and U, K. T. P.: Results of a panel discussion about the energy balance closure correction for trace gases, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 92, ES13-ES18, 2011.
- Fyfe, J. C., von Salzen, K., Gillett, N. P., Arora, V. K., Flato, G. M., and McConnell, J. R.: One hundred years of Arctic surface temperature variation due to anthropogenic influence, *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 2013.
- Harazono, Y., Yoshimoto, M., Mano, M., Vourlitis, G. L., and Oechel, W. C.: Characteristics of energy and water budgets over wet sedge and tussock tundra ecosystems at North Slope in Alaska, *Hydrol. Proc.*, 12, 2163-2183, 1998.
- 25 Harding, R. J., Jackson, N. A., Blyth, E. M., and Culf, A.: Evaporation and energy balance of a sub-Arctic hillslope in northern Finland, *Hydrol. Proc.*, 16, 1419-1436, 2002.
- Heimann, M., and Reichstein, M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks, *Nature*, 451, 289-292, 2008.
- 30 Hinzman, L. D., Kane, D. L., Gieck, R. E., and Everett, K. R.: Hydrologic and thermal properties of the active layer in the Alaskan Arctic, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 19, 95-110, 1991.
- Hinzman, L. D., Bettez, N. D., Bolton, W. R., Chapin, F. S., Dyurgerov, M. B., Fastie, C. L., Griffith, B., Hollister, R. D., Hope, A., Huntington, H. P., Jensen, A. M., Jia, G. J., Jorgenson, T., Kane, D. L., Klein, D. R., Kofinas, G., Lynch, A. H., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Nelson, F. E., Oechel, W. C., Osterkamp, T. E., Racine, C. H., Romanovsky, V. E., Stone, R. 35 S., Stow, D. A., Sturm, M., Tweedie, C. E., Vourlitis, G. L., Walker, M. D., Walker, D. A., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., Winker, K., and Yoshikawa, K.: Evidence and implications of recent climate change in northern Alaska and other arctic regions, *Clim. Change*, 72, 251-298, 2005.
- Huntington, T. G.: Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: Review and synthesis, *J. Hydrol.*, 319, 83-95, 2006.
- 40 Jorgenson, M. T., Shur, Y. L., and Pullman, E. R.: Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in Arctic Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, 2006.

- Jorgenson, M. T., Romanovsky, V., Harden, J., Shur, Y., O'Donnell, J., Schuur, E. A. G., Kanevskiy, M., and Marchenko, S.: Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 40, 1219-1236, 2010.
- Kasurinen, V., Alfredsen, K., Kolari, P., Mammarella, I., Alekseychik, P., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Bernier, P., Boike, J., Langer, M., Belelli Marchesini, L., van Huissteden, K., Dolman, H., Sachs, T., Ohta, T., Varlagin, A., Rocha, A., Arain, A., Oechel, W., Lund, M., Grelle, A., Lindroth, A., Black, A., Aurela, M., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., and Berninger, F.: Latent heat exchange in the boreal and arctic biomes, *Glob. Change Biol.*, n/a-n/a, 2014.
- Kattsov, V. M., and Walsh, J. E.: Twentieth-century trends of arctic precipitation from observational data and a climate model simulation, *J. Clim.*, 13, 1362-1370, 2000.
- Kim, Y., Nishina, K., Chae, N., Park, S. J., Yoon, Y. J., and Lee, B. Y.: Constraint of soil moisture on CO₂ efflux from tundra lichen, moss, and tussock in Council, Alaska, using a hierarchical Bayesian model, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 5567-5579, 2014.
- Kim, Y.: Effect of thaw depth on fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ in manipulated Arctic coastal tundra of Barrow, Alaska, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 505, 385-389, 2015.
- Kittler, F., Burjack, I., Corradi, C. A. R., Heimann, M., Kolle, O., Merbold, L., Zimov, N., Zimov, S., and Göckede, M.: Impacts of a decadal drainage disturbance on surface-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide in a permafrost ecosystem, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 5315-5332, 2016.
- Kodama, Y., Sato, N., Yabuki, H., Ishii, Y., Nomura, M., and Ohata, T.: Wind direction dependency of water and energy fluxes and synoptic conditions over a tundra near Tiksi, Siberia, *Hydrol. Proc.*, 21, 2028-2037, 2007.
- Kolle, O., and Rebmann, C.: EddySoft: Dokumentation of a Software Package to Acquire and Process Eddy Covariance Data, Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, 2007.
- Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 14769-14774, 2011.
- Kwon, M. J., Heimann, M., Kolle, O., Luus, K. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Zimov, N., Zimov, S. A., and Göckede, M.: Long-term drainage reduces CO₂ uptake and increases CO₂ emission on a Siberian floodplain due to shifts in vegetation community and soil thermal characteristics, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 4219-4235, 2016.
- Kwon, M. J., Beulig, F., Ilie, I., Wildner, M., Küsel, K., Merbold, L., Mahecha, M. D., Zimov, N., Zimov, S. A., Heimann, M., Schuur, E. A. G., Kostka, J. E., Kolle, O., Hilke, I., and Göckede, M.: Plants, microorganisms, and soil temperatures contribute to a decrease in methane fluxes on a drained Arctic floodplain, *Glob. Change Biol.*, (early view, DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13558), 2017.
- Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K., and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia - Part 2: Winter, *Cryosphere*, 5, 509-524, 2011a.
- Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K., and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia - Part 1: Spring to fall, *Cryosphere*, 5, 151-171, 2011b.
- Liljedahl, A. K., Hinzman, L. D., Harazono, Y., Zona, D., Tweedie, C. E., Hollister, R. D., Engstrom, R., and Oechel, W. C.: Nonlinear controls on evapotranspiration in arctic coastal wetlands, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 3375-3389, 2011.
- Liljedahl, A. K., Boike, J., Daanen, R. P., Fedorov, A. N., Frost, G. V., Grosse, G., Hinzman, L. D., Iijma, Y., Jorgenson, J. C., Matveyeva, N., Necsoiu, M., Reynolds, M. K., Romanovsky, V. E., Schulla, J., Tape, K. D., Walker, D. A., Wilson, C. J., Yabuki, H., and Zona, D.: Pan-Arctic ice-wedge degradation in warming permafrost and its influence on tundra hydrology, *Nat. Geosci.*, 9, 312-319, 2016.
- Liu, H. P., Peters, G., and Foken, T.: New equations for sonic temperature variance and buoyancy heat flux with an omnidirectional sonic anemometer, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 100, 459-468, 2001.

- Lund, M., Hansen, B. U., Pedersen, S. H., Stiegler, C., and Tamstorf, M. P.: Characteristics of summer-time energy exchange in a high Arctic tundra heath 2000-2010, *Tellus B*, 66, 2014.
- Lupascu, M., Welker, J. M., Seibt, U., Maseyk, K., Xu, X., and Czimeczik, C. I.: High Arctic wetting reduces permafrost carbon feedbacks to climate warming, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 4, 51-55, 2014.
- 5 Mauder, M., Cuntz, M., Drüe, C., Graf, A., Rebmann, C., Schmid, H. P., Schmidt, M., and Steinbrecher, R.: A strategy for quality and uncertainty assessment of long-term eddy-covariance measurements, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 169, 122-135, 2013.
- Mauder, M., and Foken, T.: Documentation and Instruction Manual of the Eddy-Covariance Software Package TK3, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, 2015.
- McFadden, J. P., Chapin, F. S., and Hollinger, D. Y.: Subgrid-scale variability in the surface energy balance of arctic tundra, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 103, 28947-28961, 1998.
- 10 McFadden, J. P., Eugster, W., and Chapin, F. S.: A regional study of the controls on water vapor and CO₂ exchange in arctic tundra, *Ecology*, 84, 2762-2776, 2003.
- McGuire, A. D., Wirth, C., Apps, M., Beringer, J., Clein, J., Epstein, H., Kicklighter, D. W., Bhatti, J., Chapin, F. S., de Groot, B., Efremov, D., Eugster, W., Fukuda, M., Gower, T., Hinzman, L., Huntley, B., Jia, G. J., Kasischeke, E., Melillo, J., Romanovsky, V., Shvidenko, A., Vaganov, E., and Walker, D.: Environmental variation, vegetation distribution, carbon dynamics and water/energy exchange at high latitudes, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 13, 301-314, 2002.
- 15 Merbold, L., Kutsch, W. L., Corradi, C., Kolle, O., Rebmann, C., Stoy, P. C., Zimov, S. A., and Schulze, E. D.: Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO₂/CH₄) in a tundra ecosystem, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 15, 2599-2614, 2009.
- Moore, C. J.: Frequency-response corrections for eddy-correlation systems, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 37, 17-35, 1986.
- 20 Muster, S., Langer, M., Heim, B., Westermann, S., and Boike, J.: Subpixel Heterogeneity of Ice-Wedge Polygonal Tundra: A Multi-Scale Analysis of Land Cover and Evapotranspiration in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, *Tellus B*, 64, 17301, 2012.
- Muster, S., Heim, B., Abnizova, A., and Boike, J.: Water Body Distributions Across Scales: A Remote Sensing Based Comparison of Three Arctic Tundra Wetlands, *Rem. Sens.*, 5, 1498-1523, 2013.
- 25 Myers-Smith, I. H., Forbes, B. C., Wilking, M., Hallinger, M., Lantz, T., Blok, D., Tape, K. D., Macias-Fauria, M., Sass-Klaassen, U., Levesque, E., Boudreau, S., Ropars, P., Hermanutz, L., Trant, A., Collier, L. S., Weijers, S., Rozema, J., Rayback, S. A., Schmidt, N. M., Schaepman-Strub, G., Wipf, S., Rixen, C., Menard, C. B., Venn, S., Goetz, S., Andreu-Hayles, L., Elmendorf, S., Ravolainen, V., Welker, J., Grogan, P., Epstein, H. E., and Hik, D. S.: Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and research priorities, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6, 2011.
- 30 Myers-Smith, I. H., and Hik, D. S.: Shrub canopies influence soil temperatures but not nutrient dynamics: An experimental test of tundra snow-shrub interactions, *Ecol. Evol.*, 3, 3683-3700, 2013.
- Natali, S. M., Schuur, E. A. G., Mauritz, M., Schade, J. D., Celis, G., Crummer, K. G., Johnston, C., Krapek, J., Pegoraro, E., Salmon, V. G., and Webb, E. E.: Permafrost thaw and soil moisture driving CO₂ and CH₄ release from upland tundra, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 120, 525-537, 2015.
- O'Donnell, J. A., Jorgenson, M. T., Harden, J. W., McGuire, A. D., Kanevskiy, M. Z., and Wickland, K. P.: The Effects of Permafrost Thaw on Soil Hydrologic, Thermal, and Carbon Dynamics in an Alaskan Peatland, *Ecosystems*, 15, 213-229, 2012.
- 35 Oberbauer, S. F., Tweedie, C. E., Welker, J. M., Fahnestock, J. T., Henry, G. H. R., Webber, P. J., Hollister, R. D., Walker, M. D., Kuchy, A., Elmore, E., and Starr, G.: Tundra CO₂ fluxes in response to experimental warming across latitudinal and moisture gradients, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 77, 221-238, 2007.
- 40 Oechel, W. C., Vourlitis, G. L., Hastings, S. J., Ault, R. P., and Bryant, P.: The effects of water table manipulation and elevated temperature on the net CO₂ flux of wet sedge tundra ecosystems, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 4, 77-90, 1998.

- Olivas, P. C., Oberbauer, S. F., Tweedie, C. E., Oechel, W. C., and Kuchy, A.: Responses of CO₂ flux components of Alaskan Coastal Plain tundra to shifts in water table, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 115, 2010.
- Ping, C.-L., Michaelson, G. J., Jorgenson, M. T., Kimble, J. M., Epstein, H., Romanovsky, V. E., and Walker, D. A.: High stocks of soil organic carbon in the North American Arctic region, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 615-619, 2008.
- 5 Pithan, F., and Mauritsen, T.: Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary climate models, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 181-184, 2014.
- Polyakov, I. V., Bekryaev, R. V., Alekseev, G. V., Bhatt, U. S., Colony, R. L., Johnson, M. A., Maskshas, A. P., and Walsh, D.: Variability and trends of air temperature and pressure in the maritime Arctic, 1875-2000, *J. Clim.*, 16, 2067-2077, 2003.
- Pomeroy, J. W., Bewley, D. S., Essery, R. L. H., Hedstrom, N. R., Link, T., Granger, R. J., Sicart, J. E., Ellis, C. R., and
 10 Janowicz, J. R.: Shrub tundra snowmelt, *Hydrol. Proc.*, 20, 923-941, 2006.
- Rannik, Ü., Peltola, O., and Mammarella, I.: Random uncertainties of flux measurements by the eddy covariance technique, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 5163-5181, 2016.
- Rasilo, T., Prairie, Y. T., and del Gioglio, P. A.: Large-scale patterns in summer diffusive CH₄ fluxes across boreal lakes, and contribution to diffusive C emissions, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 21, 1124-1139, 2015.
- 15 Rawlins, M. A., McGuire, A. D., Kimball, J. S., Dass, P., Lawrence, D., Burke, E., Chen, X., Delire, C., Koven, C., MacDougall, A., Peng, S., Rinke, A., Saito, K., Zhang, W., Alkama, R., Bohn, T. J., Ciais, P., Decharme, B., Gouttevin, I., Hajima, T., Ji, D., Krinner, G., Lettenmaier, D. P., Miller, P., Moore, J. C., Smith, B., and Sueyoshi, T.: Assessment of model estimates of land-atmosphere CO₂ exchange across Northern Eurasia, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4385-4405, 2015.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T.,
 20 Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1424-1439, 2005.
- Rouse, W. R., Carlson, D. W., and Weick, E. J.: Impacts of summer warming on the energy and water-balance of wetland
 25 tundra, *Clim. Change*, 22, 305-326, 1992.
- Rouse, W. R.: The energy and water balance of high-latitude wetlands: controls and extrapolation, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 6, 59-68, 2000.
- Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V., Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P.,
 Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C.,
 30 Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S. A.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the global carbon cycle, *Bioscience*, 58, 701-714, 2008.
- Schuur, E. A. G., and Abbott, B.: High risk of permafrost thaw, *Nature*, 480, 32-33, 2011.
- Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schaedel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P.,
 Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and Vonk,
 35 J. E.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, *Nature*, 520, 171-179, 2015.
- Semiletov, I. P., Shakhova, N. E., Sergienko, V. I., Pipko, II, and Dudarev, O. V.: On carbon transport and fate in the East Siberian Arctic land-shelf-atmosphere system, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 7, 2012.
- Serreze, M. C., Walsh, J. E., Chapin, F. S., Osterkamp, T., Dyurgerov, M., Romanovsky, V., Oechel, W. C., Morison, J.,
 Zhang, T., and Barry, R. G.: Observational evidence of recent change in the northern high-latitude environment, *Clim.*
 40 *Change*, 46, 159-207, 2000.
- Shaver, G. R., Billings, W. D., Chapin, F. S., Giblin, A. E., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Oechel, W. C., and Rastetter, E. B.: Global change and the carbon balance of Arctic ecosystems, *Bioscience*, 42, 433-441, 1992.

- Shiklomanov, N. I., Streletskiy, D. A., Nelson, F. E., Hollister, R. D., Romanovsky, V. E., Tweedie, C. E., Bockheim, J. G., and Brown, J.: Decadal variations of active-layer thickness in moisture-controlled landscapes, Barrow, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 115, 2010.
- 5 Strauss, J., Schirmer, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzsich, U., and Hubberten, H.-W.: The deep permafrost carbon pool of the Yedoma region in Siberia and Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 6165-6170, 2013.
- Sturm, M., McFadden, J. P., Liston, G. E., Chapin, F. S., Racine, C. H., and Holmgren, J.: Snow-shrub interactions in Arctic tundra: A hypothesis with climatic implications, *J. Clim.*, 14, 336-344, 2001a.
- Sturm, M., Racine, C., and Tape, K.: Climate change - Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic, *Nature*, 411, 546-547, 2001b.
- 10 Sturm, M., Douglas, T., Racine, C., and Liston, G. E.: Changing snow and shrub conditions affect albedo with global implications, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 110, 2005a.
- Sturm, M., Schimel, J., Michaelson, G., Welker, J. M., Oberbauer, S. F., Liston, G. E., Fahnestock, J., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Winter biological processes could help convert arctic tundra to shrubland, *Bioscience*, 55, 17-26, 2005b.
- 15 Sturtevant, C. S., Oechel, W. C., Zona, D., Kim, Y., and Emerson, C. E.: Soil moisture control over autumn season methane flux, Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 1423-1440, 2012.
- Subin, Z. M., Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., Torn, M. S., Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: Effects of Soil Moisture on the Responses of Soil Temperatures to Climate Change in Cold Regions, *J. Clim.*, 26, 3139-3158, 2013.
- Trenberth, K. E., and Fasullo, J. T.: An apparent hiatus in global warming?, *Earth Future*, 1, 19-32, 2013.
- Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T., Branstator, G., and Phillips, A. S.: Seasonal aspects of the recent pause in surface warming, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 4, 911-916, 2014.
- 20 Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., Waldrop, M. P., Waddington, J. M., Harden, J. W., and McGuire, A. D.: Short-term response of methane fluxes and methanogen activity to water table and soil warming manipulations in an Alaskan peatland, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 113, n/a-n/a, 2008.
- van Huissteden, J., and Dolman, A. J.: Soil carbon in the Arctic and the permafrost carbon feedback, *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.*, 4, 545-551, 2012.
- Walter-Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Iii, F. S. C., Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch, *Nature*, 511, 452-456, 2014.
- 30 Zimov, S. A., Voropaev, Y. V., Semiletov, I. P., Davidov, S. P., Prosiannikov, S. F., Chapin, F. S., Chapin, M. C., Trumbore, S., and Tyler, S.: North Siberian lakes: A methane source fueled by Pleistocene carbon, *Science*, 277, 800-802, 1997.
- Zona, D., Lipson, D. A., Zulueta, R. C., Oberbauer, S. F., and Oechel, W. C.: Microtopographic controls on ecosystem functioning in the Arctic Coastal Plain, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 116, 2011a.
- 35 Zona, D., Oechel, W. C., Richards, J. H., Hastings, S., Kopetz, I., Ikawa, H., and Oberbauer, S.: Light-stress avoidance mechanisms in a Sphagnum-dominated wet coastal Arctic tundra ecosystem in Alaska, *Ecology*, 92, 633-644, 2011b.

Appendix A: Eddy covariance instrumental setup and data processing

The eddy-covariance observations at our field site near Chersky comprised a drainage tower (68.61 °N and 161.34 °E, instrument height 4.91 m a.g.l.) and a control tower (68.62 °N and 161.35 °E, 5.11 m a.g.l.) representing undisturbed natural conditions (see also Figure 1). Both towers were placed at an elevation of approximately 6 m a.s.l., with an average vegetation height of 0.7 m during summer. Each eddy tower featured a heated sonic anemometer (uSonic-3 Scientific, METEK GmbH) to monitor three-dimensional wind fields and sonic temperatures, and a closed-path gas analyzer (FGGA, Los Gatos Research Inc.) to capture mixing ratios of CH₄, CO₂ and H₂O. A heated and insulated sampling line (16.0 m and 12.8 m length for drainage and control towers, respectively) connected the inlets close to the sonic anemometer with the gas analyzers. An external vacuum pump (N940, KNF) facilitated a nominal flow rate of 13 L min⁻¹, equivalent to a replacement rate of ~2–2.5 Hz in the measurement cell.

Eddy-covariance data was recorded at 20 Hz. We collected primary data using the software package EDDYMEAS (Kolle and Rebmann, 2007), and based all further processing of the high frequency data on the TK3 software (Mauder and Foken, 2015). The flux processing sequence included 2D coordinate rotation of the wind field, cross-wind correction (Liu et al., 2001), spectral correction (Moore, 1986), and an online conversion of gas analyzer output to dry mole fractions before processing by the TK3 software. We furthermore applied cut-off frequencies of 2 Hz for the H₂O data to correct losses linked to tubing effects and limited gas replacement rates in the closed-path analyzers.

At the core of our post-processing quality control scheme, we follow the flux data quality flagging scheme presented by Foken (2008), which is an update of the method proposed by Foken and Wichura (1996). Their original tests on stationarity and well-developed turbulence were amended for this study by flags reflecting e.g. overall errors in the log file recorded by the sonic anemometer, accordance of instrument operational limits with prevailing boundary conditions, and sonic anemometer heating status. Gapfilling and flux partitioning were both based on the method of Reichstein et al. (2005), using separate gapfilling and flux partitioning data pools for different seasons.

The assessment of eddy-covariance flux data uncertainty was based on well-established concepts (e.g. Aubinet et al., 2012) that assess the total error as the combination of random and systematic errors. Systematic errors are composed of errors associated with unmet theoretical assumptions and methodological challenges of the eddy-covariance technique, data processing errors, and instrumental calibration issues (Mauder et al., 2013). Since within this study, we were primarily focusing on flux differences between two equally equipped eddy-covariance systems set up close to each other, the first two items in this list could be neglected, based on the fact that a uniform data processing has been applied that considers all recommended quality filters and correction procedures. Regarding instrument calibration, we did not find a systematic offset in the frequency distributions of wind speed, sonic temperature and water vapor mixing ratios between both towers (data not shown), indicating that the calibration of the uniform instrumentation did not introduce a systematic bias into the data as well. Summarizing, systematic errors did not play a role for the uncertainty assessment of the dataset presented herein, and were therefore excluded from the analysis.

5 Random errors in time series of eddy-covariance fluxes are mostly linked to turbulence sampling errors, instrument errors, and uncertainties of the footprint (Rannik et al., 2016). We neglected the footprint errors in this context, since both towers are exposed to the same wind climatology. Assessment of the turbulent sampling error and instrument errors for each 30-minute flux value are a standard output of the flux processing software employed here (TK3, Mauder and Foken, 2015). These values were treated as independent errors when combined to a total error. Uncertainty assessments for the gapfilled flux values were taken from the output of the MDS-routine (Reichstein et al., 2005) employed for this purpose. The random errors of the ensemble averaged fluxes were computed following e.g. Rannik et al. (2016)

10 Regarding the accuracy of radiation measurements, the Kipp & Zonen CNR4 radiation sensors employed here at both observation sites are officially classified as 'first class' instruments (for shortwave radiation e.g. a resolution of +/-5 Wm⁻², and a stability of +/-2%). However, based on the direct comparison of data from both instruments, we find cumulative differences below 1% of the total incoming radiation, therefore our sensors would even qualify for the next highest quality rating (secondary standard, e.g. a resolution of +/-1 Wm⁻², and a stability of +/-1%). The CNR4 instruments have downward looking opening angles of 150 degrees. For sensor heights of 4.5m (drainage tower) and 4.66m (control tower), this translates into circular footprints with a radius of 16.8 m and 17.4 m, or footprint areas of 886 m² and 950 m².

15 Meteorological data from all other (slow) instruments were collected at 10-second intervals and stored as 10-minute averages. The final dataset was averaged to 30-minute intervals. A separate quality assessment protocol was developed for this part of the dataset, comprising e.g. a test for failure of the power supply, checks of range and variability of time series, a flat lining test, a spike test, and finally a test for sensors malfunctioning based on plausibility limits.

20 All datasets presented within the context of this study were based on the same instrumentation, as well as a uniform data processing and quality assessment routine, for both drainage and control sites. Manual measurements such as vegetation community studies, or measurement of active layer depth and water table depth, were carried out by the same persons in both study areas within each specific observation period, so that also here site intercomparison results cannot be subject to systematic errors based on subjective decisions by the observer. All datasets, including eddy-covariance fluxes, slow meteorology and manual sampling, have undergone a thorough quality assessment protocol (Kittler et al., 2016; Kwon et al., 2016), which included plausibility checks based on the intercomparison of observations between both study areas. Still, it cannot be ruled out that parts of the absolute values presented here are subject to systematic offsets; however, since both drainage and control datasets should be affected by such systematic offsets in the same way, the differences between these datasets will not be affected, and can thus be fully attributed to drainage effects on ecosystem characteristics and energy flux rates. A comprehensive description on instrumentation and data processing procedure can be found in Kittler et al. (2016).

25

30

M G 3/27/2017 13:00
Moved (insertion) [1]

M G 3/27/2017 13:00
Deleted: 4.3 Data quality considerations -

Unknown
Field Code Changed

Table 1: Relative abundance of the major vascular plant species found within both disturbance regimes in the context of a non-destructive sampling campaign in 2014. The statistics exclude mosses, dead plants and bare soil, as well as other plant species with a coverage fraction much smaller than one percent.

Species name	Common name	drained area [%]	control area [%]
<i>Betula nana subsp. exilis</i>	Arctic dwarf birch	9.3 ±21.1	0.6 ±2.8
<i>Calamagrostis purpurascens</i>	Purple reedgrass	4.3 ±19.3	0.3 ±1.4
<i>Carex appendiculata</i> and <i>lugens</i>	(Tussock forming sedge)	49.2 ±42.8	35.7 ±46.5
<i>Chamaedaphne calyculata</i>	Leatherleaf	3.4 ±10.7	0.4 ±1.3
<i>Eriophorum angustifolium</i>	Common cottongrass	18.6 ±29.0	43.3 ±37.7
<i>Potentilla palustris</i>	Marsh cinquefoil	2.3 ±8.1	9.3 ±20.7
<i>Salix fuscescens</i>	Alaska bog willow	11.4 ±14.5	10.3 ±14.6
<i>Salix pulchra</i>	Diamondleaf willow	1.6 ±6.3	0.0 ±0.0

M G 3/2/2017 13:08
Formatted Table

M G 3/2/2017 13:08
Deleted: canadensis

M G 3/2/2017 13:11
Deleted: Canadian

M G 3/2/2017 13:09
Deleted: myrtillifolia

M G 3/2/2017 13:11
Deleted: Common osier

Table 2: Definition of sub-seasons that reflect the pattern in albedo differences between drainage and control areas. Differences for albedo and net shortwave radiation budget SW(net) were calculated as seasonal means of daily values, drainage minus control. Dates reflect the conditions in data year 2014, and may changes between years.

period	start date	end date	duration [days]	albedo difference [-]	SW(net) difference [Wm⁻²]
closed snow cover	2/14	4/27	73	2.4	-2.30
thinning of snow cover	4/28	5/22	25	-2.6	7.47
snow cover disappears	5/23	5/31	9	-15.3	48.31
flooding transition	6/1	6/18	18	0.2	-0.54
snow free season	6/19	9/28	102	1.9	-2.18
first snow patches	9/29	10/12	14	3.4	-0.98
snow cover buildup	10/13	10/30	18	12.1	-2.41

5

Table 3: Energy flux components averaged over the summer months (June-September) within data years 2014 and 2015, including average and relative differences between drainage and control area observations.

parameter	drainage	control	difference	change [%]
Net radiation, R_{net} [Wm^{-2}]	94.0	95.7	-1.7	-1.8
Sensible heat flux, H [Wm^{-2}]	<u>27.9 ±0.13</u>	<u>25.5 ±0.12</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>9.4</u>
Latent heat flux, LE [Wm^{-2}]	<u>28.4 ±0.11</u>	<u>30.6 ±0.12</u>	<u>-2.2</u>	<u>-7.3</u>
Sum of H + LE [Wm^{-2}]	<u>56.2 ±0.18</u>	<u>56.1 ±0.17</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>
Bowen Ratio, BR [-]	<u>0.983</u>	<u>0.833</u>	<u>0.150</u>	<u>18.0</u>

5

**Land cover map
based on
WorldView-2 data
2011**




Figure 1: Land cover structure and instrumentation setup at the Chersky observation site.






Figure 2: Left panel: Average annual course and recent observations of monthly mean surface temperature (T_{air} , 1960-2009); right panel: Long-term average and recent observations of monthly precipitation sums (PRCP, 1950-1999) for the Chersky region.



5 **Figure 3: Temperature trends for the Chersky station, taken from the BEST database. Top left: springtime warming period; top right: core summer period; bottom left: fall freeze-up period; bottom right: core winter period. All temperatures were normalized against the mean seasonal temperatures from the 1960-69 decade. Blue crosses and dashed blue lines give annual data, thick blue lines the decadal mean with vertical grey bars showing decadal RMSE.**



5 Figure 4: Top panels: Variability of terrain height (green lines, boxes indicating microsite study positions) and water table depth (blue lines) along transects in the drained (left) and control (right) sections of the observation site (water table depth reflects conditions in mid July 2014). Blue shading in drained panel indicates position of drainage channel, where interpolation of terrain height was modified; bottom panels: Development of water table depth over time for the period Jun 18 to Aug 14, 2014 (interpolated over both space and time). Values are given relative to the surface, with negative values indicating water table below the surface level.




Figure 5: Frequency distribution of the **shifts in aerodynamic roughness length z_0** (drained-control) in the drained area, compared to the control area. Data covers the months June through September in 2014/15.

- M G 3/23/2017 22:31
Deleted: relative increase
- M G 3/23/2017 22:31
Deleted: /control [%]
- M G 3/23/2017 22:32
Deleted: in friction velocity





Figure 6: (top) 2014 annual course of the daily mean incoming shortwave radiation; (center) daily mean albedo derived from the ratio of downward and upward shortwave radiation. The grey line indicates the daily difference between drained and control towers (scales on the right), with the black horizontal bars giving the mean values for seven sub-seasons (separated by vertical dashed lines, see Table 2 for definitions); (bottom) same structure as used in the center panel, here to show the net shortwave radiation budget from both towers and their seasonal differences. All plots have been restricted to the period where $SW(in) > 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$.

5



5 **Figure 7:** Daily mean soil temperature profiles at a dry (upper panel) and wet (center panel) microsite within the drained transect, and the difference (dry-wet, bottom panel) for the calendar year 2015. Data for three measurement depths (dotted lines) are linearly interpolated along the vertical profile. Values in the difference plot were truncated to the range [-5; 5] degC to enhance visibility of fine-scale patterns, while values fell within the range [-11.3; 10.5] degC.




Figure 8: Influence of soil moisture on soil temperatures and thaw depth at eight microsites covering both disturbance treatments. Observations from summer 2015 are aggregated by site over a period of about two weeks each (Jun 13-30; Jul 01-13; Aug 21-31; Sep 01-12). Lines represent linear regression fits to emphasize trends.




Figure 9: (a-e) Summary of daily averaged energy fluxes within drainage (red line) and control (blue line) areas. (f-j) Daily differences (drainage minus control, grey crosses) between the two treatments, with black lines giving the average differences for a 15-day moving window. (a, f) net radiation; (b, g) sensible heat fluxes; (c, h) latent heat fluxes; (d, i) sum of sensible and latent heat fluxes; (e, j) Bowen ratio, **which is the** ratio of sensible to latent heat fluxes. Vertical scales of difference plots have been truncated to enhance display of fine-scale patterns in overall trends.

5)

M G 3/2/2017 12:38
Deleted: i.e.




Figure 10: Expected changes in growing season properties of a tundra ecosystem as response to drainage disturbance.

Page 11: [1] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:07**

minor

1.

Page 11: [1] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:07**

minor

2.

Page 11: [1] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:07**

minor

3.

Page 11: [1] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:07**

minor

4.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

5.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

6.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

7.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

8.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

9.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

10.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

11.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

12.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

13.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

14.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

15.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

16.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

17.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

18.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

19.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

20.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

21.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

22.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

23.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

24.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

25.

Page 11: [2] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:08**

a systematic trend towards higher energy fluxes from

26.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

27.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

28.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

29.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

30.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

31.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

32.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

33.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

34.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

35.

Page 11: [3] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:35**

i.e.

36.

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 11: [4] Deleted **M G** **03/24/17 10:31**

the

Page 17: [5] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 12:32**

e.g.

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [6] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:45**

;

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted **M G** **03/02/17 13:46**

superposition

Page 17: [7] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:46
superposition		
Page 17: [7] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:46
superposition		
Page 17: [7] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:46
superposition		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [8] Deleted	M G	03/02/17 13:54
,		
Page 19: [9] Deleted	M G	03/30/17 14:16
Page 19: [9] Deleted	M G	03/30/17 14:16
Page 19: [9] Deleted	M G	03/30/17 14:16
Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
S		
Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
S		
Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
S		
Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
S		
Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
S		

S

Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

S

Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

S

Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

S

Page 19: [10] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:08
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

S

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

Page 19: [11] Deleted	M G	03/24/17 11:12
------------------------------	------------	-----------------------

sustained

sustained