

TCD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A sixty year ice-core record of regional climate from Adélie Land, coastal Antarctica" by S. Goursaud et al.

B. Stenni (Referee)

barbara.stenni@unive.it

Received and published: 4 November 2016

The paper by Goursaud and co-authors is presenting a new isotopic and snow accumulation rate records obtained from an ice core drilled in the Adelie Land coastal area (in the proximity of the French Dumont d'Urville station) in a site which is characterized by a relatively high snow accumulation rate (about 220 mm w.eq. yr-1) and covering the 1947-2006 period. The paper is much focused on the dating issues and on the comparison with the data simulated from the high resolution atmospheric general circulation ECHAM5-wiso model (δ 18O as well as precipitation) and with the regional atmospheric model MAR. The authors also suggest a method to improve the dating considering particular isotopic signature in the inter annual variability of ECHAM5-wiso isotopic data. The obtained results suggest that also a single ice core from a coastal area can capture the main climatic signals although a multi-core approach should be

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



desirable in order to reduce the stratigraphic noise which is unavoidable. The paper is interesting, the data are well presented and I have found the reading quite smooth although some parts could be reduced a little (e.g. paragraph 3.1.3). I recommend its publication after the authors have been considered to the following comments.

Page 2, line 3: ice core chronology: the period covered by the core should be 1947-2006 rather than 2007, in fact the drilling has been carried out in January 2007, so the topmost snow layers should at maximum be referred to the year 2006. Please, check this in the whole manuscript, as well as in the tables, figures and related captions. I did not understand if this is just a refuse or a real mistake. Page 3, line 3: the reference Ahmed is not correct! Please change into "PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013". Page 3, line 5: the reference Jones et al., in press..... is published. Page 3, line 26: please add here Schlosser et al., 2008 (Neumayer station data) as well as Stenni et al., 2016 (TC). Page 4, line 6: delete the "." Page 4, lines 25-27: the sentence "Data obtained context." is not clear at all. Please explain what do you mean. Page 4, line 31: please change the Laboratory of Glaciology into LGGE, already defined before. Page 5, lines 13-14: the citations Delmas and Pourchet (1977) and Magand et al (??) (2009) are lacking in the Reference. Page 5, line 21: why not shown? May you consider to have a figure on this in the Supplement? Page 5, line 27: delete "e" and add "the". The method is the "CO2/H2O equilibration method". Please add. Page 5, line 28: add \pm before 0.05 and after ‰ add (1 sigma). Page 5, line 29: I would not refer to the figure 2 here but rather in the result section. Page 6, line 10: delete "in" after Antarctic sites and add "the". Page 6, line 29: add "from" before the Nimbus Page 7, line 25: the citation Bintanja (2000) is lacking in the Reference. Page 7, lines 27-29, line 31: the citations Kessler (1969), Lin et al. (1983), Meyers et al. (1992), Levkov et al. (1992), Morcrette (2002) and Galle et al. (2013) are lacking in the Reference. Page 8, line 4: the authors refer here to one year DDU record of precipitation. Are these data available? Are these the same data cited later on in the text (paragraph 3.3.1)? Please, explain better. Perhaps the data could be added in the Supplement? Page 9, line 1: paragraph 3.1.3: I suggest to reduce a little this paragraph by using a table or a figure..... Page 10,

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



3 years only. Here, on the other hand, you are considering inter-annual variability. Page 12, line 14: rather than metamorphism I would say exchanges between surface snow and water vapour. Page 12, line 26: the first reported values (r=-0.48 and p=8.0E-3) seem significant. Please, check. Page 13, line 21-22: in Antarctica this problem was nicely shown by Frezzotti et al, 2007 JGR. Also for snow accumulation rate values

as those found by the authors for this Adelie Land site S1C1 and also considering the wind effects (see comment by E. Isaksson)! Page 13, line 27: add "scale" after "

horizontal". Page 14, line 15: the year should be 1986 and not 1985. Page 15, line 7: add a space between "the" and "period". Page 15, line 10: what do you mean by

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Why vou did not discuss in the text the relationship between Techam and δ 18O S1C11

and S1C12, if I am not wrong? (see my comment above Page 18, line 10-12). Figure 1: add "hatched area" in the caption after "Passive Microwave Data". Figure 2: in the

caption: you do not have dimethylsulfure data but MSA data!! I would also make the labels larger than they are. Figure 4: the different lines are not distinguishable. Also in

this case I would use larger labels. In the caption: resampled data: specify with which step. The annual mean is calculated by the annual layer dating? Specify. Figure 5: Also in this case I would use larger labels. In the caption, some typos.... Figure 6: I had some difficulty in comparing the values referring to the red (cityscape) and the blue lines. Moreover, check also here the correct period covered by the core. The first year is 2006, the accumulation record seems OK but for the δ 18O why you have a value for 2007? Here the dimensions of the different labels are OK. Figure 7: Also in this case I would use larger labels. Figure 8: Also in this case I would use larger labels. Also here the first δ 18O seems to be 2007.... To be checked.

Interactive comment on The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-179, 2016.

TCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

