Final author comments on “Surface mass balance and water stable isotopes derived from firn cores on three ice rises, Fimbul Ice Shelf, Antarctica” by C. P. Vega et al.

To the referees:

The authors would like to thank the referees for the time taken to review the manuscript. We truly value the general and specific comments and suggestions made by the referees which have been very helpful when revising the manuscript. We agree in most of the comments made by the referees, and we have included their valuable suggestions in the revised version as long as it was possible.

In addition to the referees’ suggestions, we have also extended the discussion on d in section 4.3 in light of recent literature that was not included in the previous version of this manuscript.

Each of our responses has been noted as CV following each of the referee’s comments.

Response to referee #1, E. Thomas:

Ref. #1: The paper presents surface mass balance data for the Fimbul ice shelf based on three firn cores. The paper is clearly written and well presented. The authors provide a good introduction to the region and the previous studies that have taken place. The paper should be accepted and I only have very minor comments to make.

1. KC core - I am not convinced of the dating for the KC core. The seasonal cycles in figure 2 are very difficult to see. The use of nssSO4 tie points should help but the volcanic horizons presented in figure 4 could easily be shifted. The disadvantage of the coastal locations are the increased variability in the nssSO4 record and very few large volcanic events during the time period investigated. Future drilling at this site would need alternative dating methods in place.

CV. We completely understand Ref. #1 point. In fact, it was the lack of a good visualization of the seasonal cycles in the KC core that directed us to use the mean SMB for the period 2007–2011 obtained for the KC snowpit (in which the amplitude of the seasonal cycles are slightly better preserved than in the core, and in addition, the inferred SMB can be compared to stake data obtained at the site) as a reference to find possible annual layers in the KC $\delta^{18}$O profile. This mean SMB was in agreement with stake values for the KC site, therefore, we considered this reference as sufficiently good to establish a preliminarily time scale which was later complemented with the use of the nssSO4$^{2-}$ peaks as tie points. We agree with Ref. #1 that the volcanic horizons presented in Table 3 could be shifted and consequently, we estimated the dating error as ±3 years corresponding to the shifting in date based on the maximum difference between the Pinatubo volcanic signals found in the nssSO4$^{2-}$ record and the eruption date. In addition, and following Ref. #2 suggestions, we have considered peak 1 in Fig. 4 and Table 4 as to be the Cerro Hudson eruption since the appearance of a distinguishable nssSO4$^{2-}$ peak before the Pinatubo eruption has been previously reported by Cole-Dai et al. (1997), and assigned to the Cerro Hudson eruption (Please refer to the answer to Ref. #2, point 8).

We agree with Ref. #1 that complementing dating methods are needed in order to establish a more precise time scale for future cores drilled at this site, as for example, counting annual cycles not only in water stable isotope data but also in other chemical species such as MSA and Na+, and also using $^3$H measurements to identify additional tie points. Since this point is important to emphasize in the manuscript, we have added the following sentence at the end of section 4.1: “The timescale error could be reduced by complementary dating methods, such as annual cycles counting of chemical species (e.g. MSA and Na+), and $^3$H measurements, for future cores drilled at these sites.”
2. Page 5, line 1 – the words “ice rises cores” is a bit tricky to read. Could you perhaps rephrase to “ice rises and drill sites”?

CV. The sentence now says: “Table 1 presents the location of the drill sites, maximum elevation of the ice rises, and recovered core lengths.”

3. Page 5, Line 27 – You make the assumption that there is uniform precipitation throughout the year. Is this true? Have there been studies on the seasonality of precipitation in this region you could refer to? If not, what does the reanalysis data suggest for the seasonality of precipitation on FIS?

CV. Extensive records of precipitation at the core sites or at Fimbul Ice Shelf are, to our knowledge, not existent. The assumption of uniform precipitation throughout the year at the core sites was made on the basis of the precipitation regime at Dronning Maud Land (DML) by Schlosser et al. (2008) which showed high temporal variability. In their study, the authors used the high-resolution Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System (AMPS) archive data to study the precipitation regime of DML and found that high inter-annual variability of the precipitation monthly sums due to the influence of cyclone activity affecting both coastal and inland regions. Figure 5 in Schlosser et al. (2008) shows that for Neumayer, the closest station to the study sites, two precipitation maxima are identifiable for the period 2001–2006 (April and October) possible explained by the semi-annual oscillation of the circumpolar trough. As to what extent the same precipitation regime is kept at the Ice Rises is a difficult guess, even more when it comes to the estimation of the sub-annual time scale of the cores, considering that the SMB cannot directly be considered as a measure for precipitation at sites where post-depositional processes are most likely present. Considering the above, we decided to assume a linear precipitation regime throughout the year to simplify the sub-annual estimation of the time scale of the three cores, which we consider is a fair assumption since we mostly analysed the data at an annual resolution in this manuscript. In the cases when the data was interpreted at a sub-annual resolution, e.g. when discussing the d value in the KM and BI cores (Fig. 8), we explicitly noted the limitations of the method used to obtain the sub-annual time scale. We will definitely consider a more detailed approach, e.g. by using ERA-interim reanalysis, to infer the precipitation regime in further work in which sub-annual variations could be interesting to explore in more depth.

4. Page 7, Line 24 – use of the words “In addition” is not necessary

CV. The sentence now says: “The topography of the individual ice rises is a key determining factor.”

5. Page 11, Line 10 sentence starting “especially attractive…” needs rewording. Suggest “The KM and BI sites are attractive….

CV. The sentence now says: “The KM and BI sites are attractive to retrieve high-resolution (i.e. subannual timescales) ice core records...”

6. Figure 2 – the seasonal cycle at KC is very difficult to distinguish. Does altering the x-axis make the peaks any clearer?

CV. Unfortunately, modifying the x-axis does not allow for a better visualization of the peaks in Fig. 2 a. This is basically because the amplitude of the values near the top of the snow pit is larger in comparison to the deeper parts of the core. Even not considering the values at the snow pit and; and therefore, setting the x-axis range to match the amplitudes of the values measured in the core, did not improve the visualization of the cycles. We discussed more about this topic in our answer Ref. #1, point 1. Consequently, we have not changed the aspect of Figure 2.
Response to referee #2, M. Frezzotti:

Ref. #2: This paper presents the surface mass balance and water stable isotopes reconstructed using ice cores on three ice rises, Fimbul Ice Shelf, Antarctica. The main tools are high resolution oxygen isotope and identification of volcanic horizons using nssSO4 data. The paper contributes to the reconstruction of the present reconstruction of climate in the coastal area of DML area.
The manuscript subject is very appropriate for “The Cryosphere” and it is well written, but the analysis and presentation of the data and interpretation must be improved.

7. In the manuscript the Authors point out that at ice rises is present asymmetrical local meteorology conditions and surface mass balance respect to surrounding ice shelf, but the Authors do not report any information about the site location of core site respect to the ice rise and climatic condition. The location of core site respect to ice rises morphology is very important parameters for the interpretation of spatial and temporal variability of SMB and isotope.
CV. The Referee is correct in pointing this out. Consequently, we have now included 50-m contours at the three ice rises which help to visualise the topography at each of the ice rises (Fig. 1), which is also discussed in section 4.2.

8. The dating of core KM and BI is well constrained by isotope and MSA signal (seasonality of MSA for KM must be reported in a figure similar to 3, Na do not provide information and could be removed), ...
CV. Fig. 3 shows now MSA, Na+ and δ18O seasonality in both the BI and KM cores. We decided to keep the Na+ profile as comparison.

...whereas the stratigraphy of KC must be improved using seasonal signal of nssSO4, MSA and isotope, ...
CV. We have now added MSA and nssSO42- in Fig.6 (c-d, respectively). We have also corrected the x-axis in Fig.6 which now considers the fact that the KC core was drilled from the bottom of a 2 m deep snow pit.

...the volcanic signal reported is not well constrained respect other nssSO4 Antarctic ice core stratigraphy: Double peaks of Pinatubo (melting layer, between? Cerro Hudson eruption?) Agung eruption of 1963 is one of the signals reported in Antarctic ice cores, and it is not observed instead of two others eruptions (Deception and Puyehue), could authors provide a comment?
CV. The number of ice lenses at the depth of the nssSO42- attributed to Pinatubo is above the average at the core, however, their average thickness is below the average ice lens thickness for the whole KC core. We could speculate that the second peak attributed to Pinatubo (peak 1 in Table 4 and Fig. 4) could be produced by ion relocation due to meltwater percolation but it is more likely that peak 1 corresponds to the Cerro Hudson eruption (Cole-Dai et al. 1997). Consequently, we have now added the Cerro Hudson eruption as a probable eruption associated to peak 1 in Table 4 and mentioned it in section 4.1 of the manuscript. Peaks 1a and 1 agree with previous works that found that Pinatubo and Cerro Hudson eruptions greatly increased the nssSO42- over Antarctica during the period 1991–1993 (Dibb and Whitlow, 1996; Cole-Dai et al., 1997).
Regarding the Agung eruption in 1963, Ref. #2 is completely right in pointing this out. We realized that the Agung volcano was missing in Table 4 what it should have been and error when editing the final version of the manuscript, and we apologize for this. Consequently, we now listed the Agung eruption.
together with the eruption of the Puyehue volcano as possible sources of peak 5. Local glaciological conditions could be the reason of the relatively low nssSO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} peak assigned to Agung in comparison to other sites where the Agung peak shows intensities similar to the Pinatubo peak. Regarding the peaks assigned to the eruptions of Deception Island and the Puyehue volcano, these peaks in nssSO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} have been previously reported in ice cores from James Ross Island, Antarctic Peninsula (Vega, 2008).

9. BI and KM present similar isotope value (with 130 m of elevation difference) and presenting less depleted isotope respect to other ice cores located on ice shelf that authors correctly attributed to reduction of inversion layer, KC core present depleted isotope value and much lower SMB, the position of KC does not appear on the ice rises on the base of the data.

CV. The location of the cores sites is shown in Fig.1 and also the 50-m contours have been added now.

...The SMB and isotope value appear strictly correlated to morphological position, the discussion of the result must be introduced by the analysis of climate and morphological analysis of core site also of S100 and M2, G3, G4 and G5 site (information of these sites must be reported on Figure 1 and table 1, and if are available the firn temp a -10 m), and the profile of cores (M2, G3, G4 and G5) must be reported in figure 5 and 9.

CV. The location of the M2, G3, G4 and G5 core sites has now been added in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Temperatures at 10 m for the core sites were included in Table 1. When plotting the individual M2, G3, G4 and G5 accumulation and \( \delta^{18}O \) profiles in fig. 5 and 9, respectively, the individual lines were hard to visualize. We decided to plot the individual profiles in an additional figure that has been included as supplementary data (Fig. S1).

10. SMB of KC, S100 and Composite appear similar, whereas the KM and BI are similar, but significant different, clearly the two groups represent different climate condition and history, authors must provide some explanation in the discussion.

CV. We have now included more details regarding the point noted by the referee, please see response to point 16 in this letter for a complete overview of the added text.

...Clearly ice rises present different SMB and isotope, the KC SMB is “medium/high” for Antarctic standard condition, and with this value normally the seasonal signal is well preserved (see WAIS), the absence of annual signal is related at others factor that must be analysed.

CV. This is now discussed in section 4.2 and the first paragraph of section 5 has been modified, accordingly (for more details, please refer to answers to points 11 and 13 of this letter).

11. KC isotope profiles dO18 and deuterium appear on figure 7 very different, could be due to the melting? KC profile appears smooth in the lower part of profile, could the Authors comments?

CV. Stratigraphy in the KC core does show ice lenses, however, their thickness and number does not suggest that there is substantial surface melting or percolation at this site, as to account for the lack of a well preserved subannual isotope signal. Most of ice lenses at KC are thinner than 1 cm, with the thickest having 1.5 cm. In terms of ice content per meter of firn, the KC core has in average no more than 3 % of ice per meter during the period 1958–2012, therefore, we hypothesize than most likely a combination of wind scouring and snow redistribution is affecting the subannual leading to the lack of well-preserved seasonal cycles. We have now modified the first paragraph of section 5, accordingly.

12. At pag 7 line 15 it reports stake measurements: how many per each site? Single stake respect to single core? Explain
CV. As part of another study (V. Goel's Ph D thesis), data from an extensive network of stakes is available at the three ice rises. The data provided in this paper is from the stake closest to each coring site. In the case of KC and KM the stakes are relatively far away from the core drilling site (about 1 km) while for BI, the stake is nearby (about 40 m away from the coring site). We are aware that this is far from optimal and rather than providing the specific data points we choose to provide this more general information in the paper.

The paragraph in page 7 now says: “SMB derived from the stake closest to each core site (40 m to 1 km) at the three ice rises in 2013 are similar to average SMB values from cores at KC (0.22 and 0.24 m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) from the stake and core data, respectively) and BI (0.73 and 0.70 m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\)), but differ at KM (0.38 versus 0.68 m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\)). Differences in point estimates for single years are to be expected given the spatial variability of snow accumulation. The spatial variability of SMB on the ice rises from stake and GPR data will be presented elsewhere.”

13. Pag 7 line 18, on the base of figure 6 the melting occurs several time per year, not “some summers”. The importance of melting layer in the stratigraphy must be analysed in more depth with comparison of position respect to nssSO\(_4\), isotope and MSA, in particular for KC cores. The site present a “relatively high” SMB (0.24 m we yr\(^{-1}\)) and it is anomalous that the seasonal stratigraphy is not preserved. Could be the combination of wind scouring and melting obliterated the seasonal signal?

CV. We agree with Ref. #2 in that the stratigraphy profile needed a deeper discussion. Unfortunately, we do not have notes on the ice lenses thickness and number in the KM and BI cores, therefore, we only report the stratigraphy for BI. Consequently, we have now added MSA and nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) in Fig.6 (c-d, respectively), and the paragraph in section 4.2 has been modified as follows:

Page 7: “In all three cores, there are ice layers of varying thickness, indicating that melt occurs several times per year; we have no evidence, however, for mass transport between annual layers. Figure 6 shows the number of ice lenses and thickness related to density, \(\delta^{18}\)O, MSA and nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) concentrations in the KC core. There is no direct correspondence between SMB, \(\delta^{18}\)O and the ice layers in the core from KC (Figure 6), in agreement with what has been shown previously from the core S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2006). We compare melt features to the MSA and nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) profiles in the KC core, but do not find a systematic association between ice lenses and anomalies in the MSA or nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) concentrations, as we could expect from redistribution of ions by meltwater percolation and refreezing. Some correspondence exists between the thickest ice layers and peaks in the nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) record (e.g. at 21 m, 20 m, 18 m, and 13 m, Figure 6) but there are no such peaks in the MSA record, as would be expected for an ion that it is just as readily eluted as nssSO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\). Therefore, while redistribution of ions by meltwater cannot be ruled out, it is not likely a dominant post-depositional effect that would significantly influence the seasonal isotopic or chemical signals at the core sites. It is more likely that the development of ice lenses is a local process depending on several factors, including air and snow pack temperatures, and that the combination of post-depositional processes, such as wind scouring, contribute to the perturbation of the sub-annual signal in the KC core site.”

In addition, paragraph 1 in section 5 has been modified, accordingly.

14. Pag 9 if the data are not significant at 95% and it is not reported in figure 7, I do not understand their importance.

CV. In view that the data presented here is the first data set for the region, we consider that it is important to mention this information, even though not significant, in order to set the most complete picture possible for the interpretation of ice/firn cores that could be eventually retrieved at FIS in the
future. However, we are open to remove this information from the final version of the manuscript if still considered unnecessary.

15. Pag 10 line 32, the topographical and post-depositional effects are not sufficient presented in section 4.2 CV. We have now included a more detailed presentation of the post-depositional effects in section 4.2 (please refer to point 13 of this letter). We have also added 50-m contours at each ice rise which allows a better understanding of the topographic effects affecting the SMB described in section 4.2. Regarding topographical effects on SMB, please refer to the answer to point 16 in this letter.

16. The different trend in SMB between ice shelf and ice rises must be also analysed respect the observation of the behaviour of SMB Antarctica proposed by Frezzotti et al., 2013. CV. The referee is right regarding this point and consequently, we have added a more detailed discussion of the topographical effects on SMB and the temporal SMB trends in section 4.2. The added paragraphs say:

On topographical effects:
“Our results suggest that the differences in accumulation at KM and BI compared to KC and the other core sites at FIS, is most likely related to topographical effects. This can be further explored by referring to the study by Altnau et al. (2015) which presents a vast coverage of SMB and $\delta^{18}O$ for coastal and inland DML. By inspecting Figure 2 in Altnau et al. (2015), it can be observed that high annual SMB values, similar to those measured at the KM and BI sites, occur in few locations only associated with pronounced topographic features, e.g. mountain ranges and troughs, i.e. anything where orographic lift may induce precipitation in comparison to the flat areas in the proximities.”

On temporal SMB trends:
“Frezzotti et al. (2013) investigated Antarctic SMB over the last 800 years, and found that there was statistically non-significant changes in SMB over most of Antarctica, with no overall clear temporal trend over the longest timescale. However, they also report a clear increase in SMB (>10 %) since the 1960s in regions where the SMB is high, i.e. coastal regions, and over the highest part of the East Antarctic ice divide. The authors attribute these dissimilar trends between high-SMB locations and the rest of Antarctica to a higher frequency of blocking anticyclones. These anticyclones increase precipitation at coastal sites and lead to the advection of moist air at the highest areas. Strong winds producing snow redistribution and erosion would account for the reduction on SMB at windy sites. As discussed above, our results show that the SMB trends at KC is similar to SMB trends reported elsewhere at FIS and western DML (Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014). No significant temporal trends in SMB are found at KM and BI.”

Since the KM and BI records are rather short and reveal a high interannual SMB variability and no significant trend on SMB during the period that they cover 1995(96)-2014; therefore, we preferred not to venture further regarding this finding in order to not over interpret the results. We state this in section 5 as: “Due to the restricted length of the KM and BI cores, further analysis of the spatial and temporal differences of SMB and water stable isotopes at these ice rises in a climatic context would be speculative.”

17. In the figure 1 should reported the contour line at 10 m. CV. We have now included 50-m contours at the three ice rises. We consider that 10-m contours would be graphically untenable at this scale. The MOA image gives an excellent impression of the overall form
of the ice rises and together with the 50-m contours, we consider the figure has enough detail now to support the discussion in this manuscript.
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Abstract. Three shallow firn cores were retrieved in the austral summers of 2011/12 and 2013/14 on the ice rises Kupol Ciolkovskogo (KC), Kupol Moskovskij (KM), and Blåskimen Island (BI), all part of the Fimbul Ice Shelf (FIS) in western Dronning Maud Land (DML), Antarctica. The cores were dated back to 1958 (KC), 1995 (KM) and 1996 (BI) by annual layer-counting using high-resolution oxygen isotope (δ¹⁸O) data, and by identifying volcanic horizons using non-sea salt sulphate (nssSO₄²⁻) data. The water stable isotope records show that the atmospheric signature of the annual snow accumulation cycle is well preserved in the firn column, especially at KM and BI. We are able to determine the annual surface mass balance (SMB), as well as the mean SMB values between identified volcanic horizons. Average SMB at the KM and BI sites (0.68 m w.e. yr⁻¹ and 0.70 m w.e. yr⁻¹) was higher than at the KC site (0.24 m w.e. yr⁻¹), and there was greater temporal variability as well. Trends in the SMB and δ¹⁸O records from the KC core over the period of 1958–2012 agree well with other previously investigated cores in the area and thus the KC site could be considered as the most representative of the climate of the region. Cores from KM and BI appear to be more affected by local meteorological conditions and surface topography. Our results suggest that the ice rises are suitable sites for the retrieval of longer firn and ice cores, but that BI has the best preserved seasonal cycles of the three records and is thus the most optimal site for high-resolution studies of temporal variability of the climate signal. Deuterium excess data suggests a possible role of seasonal moisture transport changes on the annual isotopic signal. In agreement with previous studies, large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns most likely provide the dominant influence on water stable isotope ratios preserved at the core sites.

1 Introduction

The Antarctic ice sheet plays a major role in the global climate system; nevertheless, despite much recent attention, there are still many unresolved issues around both its mass balance and recent climate history, particularly in East Antarctica (IPCC,
Estimating mass balance for the ice sheet from field data is made difficult by the logistical challenges of collecting in situ data, as well as the enormous size of the region. Satellite methods are compromised by the fact that most of the region is close to equilibrium. Even when combining several different methods, corrections for isostatic rebound and changes in firn density, relatively poorly known quantities in Antarctica can alter the overall mass balance estimate from positive to negative (i.e. Shepherd et al., 2012; Zwally et al., 2015). Therefore, given the future projections of greenhouse gas emissions and the associated temperature rise, the onset of a possible significant contribution of Antarctica to sea level rise is difficult to predict with any high accuracy (e.g. IPCC, 2013; DeConto and Pollard, 2016).

While the interior of the continent contains most of the ice volume, the coastal regions are the most vulnerable part of Antarctica with regards to climate warming. In addition to increasing atmospheric temperatures, changes in storm tracks and the impact of warmer ocean currents penetrating further south, all will impact future behaviour of the coastal ice.

The ice shelves surrounding Antarctica stabilize the grounded interior ice (e.g. Vaughan and Doake, 1996). There has been significant thinning and even disintegration of ice shelves during the last decades (e.g. Scambos et al., 2004; Shepherd et al., 2010; Pritchard et al., 2012; Paolo et al., 2015), leading to increased outflow of glaciers and ice streams that feed the shelves. Warmer ocean water has been identified as important to the ice shelf removal (e.g. Pritchard et al., 2012), highlighting the importance of the ice-ocean interactions, particularly at the grounding zone.

Ice rises and ice rumples are elevated small-scale topographic features on ice shelves, areas of grounded ice surrounded by floating ice. They buttress the ice shelves and represent an important part of the ice sheet complex (Paterson, 1994; Matsuoka et al., 2015). Ice flow on ice rises is typically independent of the surrounding ice shelf, with radial flow due to their dome-like morphology. Furthermore, ice velocities are generally low on ice rises; this fact, together with their relatively high surface mass balance (SMB) due to their location at the coast, make ice rises potentially useful sites for ice core studies.

There are numerous ice rises along the rim of the Antarctic continent and few of them have been studied for the purpose of ice core drilling. For more details on ice rises we refer to a recent review paper by Matsuoka et al. (2015). Antarctic ice and firn cores contain valuable information about the climate and chemical composition of the atmosphere. Numerous ice and firn cores have been drilled in Antarctica during the past decades. Ice core studies typically focus either on long timescales, such as the EPICA, Vostok, Dome Fuji, and WAIS Divide projects (e.g. Watanabe et al. 1999; EPICA community members, 2006; Wolff et al., 2010; WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013), or on spatial distribution of climate and glaciological parameters, e.g. within projects such as ITASE (Mayewski et al., 2005). Most studies are on ice cores drilled in the dry interior of Antarctica, where the SMB is low; there are far fewer studies of ice core records from the coastal regions, which are more sensitive to climatic changes than the interior of the continent. The higher SMB of coastal sites allows high-resolution records to be obtained, thus providing the possibility of comparing firn or ice core data to instrumental records available since the middle of the 20th century (e.g. Schlosser et al., 2014).

The primary overall goal of the project Ice Rises is to elucidate the mass-balance history of three ice rises in a section of Dronning Maud Land (DML) (Figure 1) over the past several millennia. Understanding the past changes in their SMB,
specifically during past warm anomalies, will eventually help to improve the understanding of the impact of the predicted future atmospheric and oceanic warming on the mass balance of the Antarctic ice sheet.

During two Antarctic field seasons, in the austral summer of 2011/12 and 2013/14, a number of glaciological field data have been collected at three ice rises located in the Fimbul Ice Shelf (FIS): Kupol Ciolkovskogo (KC), Kupol Moskovskij (KM), and Blåskimen Island (BI) (Figure 1). In this paper we focus on the SMB and water isotope records obtained from these cores, with emphasis on differences between the sites to evaluate their representativeness for the area. These data are an important input to mass balance models and to assess the suitability of these coastal sites as possible drill location for deeper ice core retrieval.

2 Field area

The Fimbul Ice Shelf (Figure 1) is one of many ice shelves along the coast of DML. It measures roughly 36 500 km$^2$ and is the largest ice shelf in the Haakon VII Sea. FIS is fed by the fast-flowing ice stream Jutulstraumen, whose ice velocity is $\sim$1 km yr$^{-1}$ at the grounding line, some 200 km inland from the shelf edge (Melvold and Rolstad, 2000; Rolstad et al., 2000). Jutulstraumen is the largest outlet glacier in DML, draining an area of 124 000 km$^2$, and is therefore important to the mass balance of the ice shelf. FIS is comprised of a fast-moving part that extends from Jutulstraumen and protrudes into the sea, Trolltunga, surrounded by the slower-moving ice shelf proper. Trolltunga extends north across the narrow continental shelf separating the glaciated coast from the warm water of the coastal oceanic current, making it potentially vulnerable to basal melting (e.g. Hatterman et al., 2012).

A number of ice rises varying in size from 15 to 1200 km$^2$ are found in the ice shelf. The three ice rises investigated in this study are situated approximately 200 km from each other (Figure 1). All ice rises are dome-shaped with elevations ranging from 260–400 m a.s.l. Ice radar studies at the core sites suggest ice depths from 350–460 m; ice velocities from GPS measurements show values in the order of 2 m yr$^{-1}$ or less at the core sites (Brown, Goel and Matsuoka, personal communication). The northern edges of KM and BI border the ocean, while KC is surrounded by the ice shelf (Figure 1). During three field seasons (2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14), radar, ice velocity, and stake data were collected, with the overall goal of studying ice rise mass balance evolution over time. Preliminary data analysis suggest that ice velocities across the ice rises are asymmetrical and that the surface mass balance distribution is variable over the three ice rises (Brown et al., 2014).

The SMB of the ice rises is influenced by precipitation, wind erosion and redeposition, and by sublimation from the surface and from drifting snow. FIS, like most East Antarctic ice shelves, is under the climatic influence of the circumpolar trough; precipitation comes mainly from frontal systems of cyclones moving eastwards, north of the coast, resulting in easterly or east-north-easterly surface winds (Schlosser et al., 2008). These events occur frequently, and throughout the year. Precipitation amounts during an event depend on the temperature and humidity of the involved air masses, with moisture transport from lower latitudes leading to higher precipitation amounts than cyclogenesis in the polar ocean. However, the
local meteorological conditions at the ice rises differ from the rest of the ice shelf: air temperatures are higher due to a weaker temperature inversion in winter, and wind speeds are higher due to the fact that the ice rises represent obstacles in the general atmospheric flow (Lenaerts et al, 2014). Studies have shown that the relative height of the obstacle compared to its horizontal dimensions, the wind speed, and the static stability of the atmosphere determine whether there is more precipitation on the windward or lee side of the obstacle (Rotunno and Houze, 2007; Houze, 2012). This refers to precipitation alone; redistribution of snow by wind can strongly influence the SMB of the ice rises and consequently, large differences in SMB are expected to be found over relatively short distances close to the ridge of the ice rise.

2.1 Previous work

The first scientific work in the study area was conducted during the British-Norwegian-Swedish Expedition in 1949–1952, which made detailed descriptions of both the geology and morphology of the Jutulstraumen basin, including the ice sheet and ice shelf (Swithinbank, 1957). Work in the area was continued during the International Geophysical Year (IGY) 1956/57, at the Norway Station (later re-named SANAE), on the western edge of the ice shelf between 1956 and 1960 (Lunde, 1961; Neethling, 1970). In the last three decades Norwegian groups have worked on FIS and Jutulstraumen under the auspices of the Norwegian Antarctic Research Expedition (NARE), focusing on spatial and temporal variability of SMB using shallow firn cores and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) (e.g., Melvold et al., 1998; Melvold, 1999; Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Sinisalo et al., 2013; Schlosser et al., 2012 and 2014).

As part of the EPICA project, a 100-m deep ice core (labelled S100) was drilled on the eastern part of FIS during NARE 2000/2001 (Figure 1). This core covers the period 1737–2000 A.D. ± 3 years and shows higher SMB values in the nineteenth century than in the eighteenth and twentieth century, but otherwise no significant trends (Kaczmarska et al., 2004). This core is the longest available high-resolution climate record from this part of coastal DML.

Rotschky et al. (2007) compiled a SMB map for western DML, including FIS, but data were not available to resolve fine-scale variability in the area of the ice rises. More recently, Sinisalo, et al. (2013) and Lenaerts et al. (2014) using field and model data show that the ice rises have a substantial role in shaping both local SMB and meteorological conditions. Finally, Altnau et al. (2015) compile available oxygen stable isotopes and SMB data for the last three decades; they find a negative SMB trend for the coastal regions, but a positive trend on the polar plateau over the same time period. They conclude that atmospheric dynamic effects are more important at the coast than thermodynamics, the latter being the dominant factor on the polar plateau, where changes in SMB and stable isotope ratios occur mostly in parallel.

3 Methods

3.1 Sampling

Three shallow (ca. 20 m) firn cores were retrieved at FIS (Figure 1, Table 1) in January 2012 (KC) and January 2014 (KM and BI) during field expeditions organized by the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI). Table 1 presents the location of the drill
sites, maximum elevation of the ice rises, and recovered core lengths. Each core was drilled from the bottom of a 2 m snow pit; the pit wall was sampled at 5 cm intervals for water stable isotope analysis. Bulk core density was determined for each sub-core piece (average length ~45 cm) and for each snow pit sample (20 cm). Snow and firn samples were collected following clean protocols (Twickler and Whitlow, 1997), shipped frozen to NPI, and later to the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Switzerland, for cutting and chemical analysis. Sample length ranged from 4–8 cm, depending on the sample depth and density. The presence and thickness of ice lenses were recorded during cold room analysis of the KC core. Major ions (methanesulfonate (MSA), SO$_4^{2-}$, and Na$^+$) were analysed at PSI. Sub-samples for water stable isotopes analysis were shipped to the Institute of Geology at Tallinn University of Technology (TUT), Estonia.

3.2 Water stable isotopes and major ion analyses

Water stable isotope ratios ($^{18}$O/$^{16}$O and $^2$H/$^1$H) were measured at TUT using a Picarro L2120-i water isotope analyser (cavity ring-down spectroscopy technology) with a high-precision A0211 vaporizer. Measurements were calibrated against both VSMOW and the Vienna Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (VSLAP) standards. Reproducibility of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H measurements was ± 0.1 ‰ and ± 1 ‰ (for 4–6 replicate measurements), respectively. Measuring both oxygen and hydrogen water stable isotopes in the ice rises cores yields deuterium excess ($d = \delta^2$H − 8 $\delta^{18}$O).

Major ions (MSA, SO$_4^{2-}$, and Na$^+$, Table 2) were analysed at PSI using a Metrohm ProfIC 850 ion chromatography system combined with an 872 Extension Module and auto-sampler. The precision of the method was around 5 % for all ions (Wendl et al., 2015). In this study we use records of major ions Na$^+$ and MSA to corroborate the dating of the three cores (see 4.1.), performed by identifying seasonal cycles in the oxygen isotope record; a detailed paleoenvironmental analysis at the ice rise sites using the ion data is the subject of a separate paper in progress.

4 Results

4.1 Dating of the firn cores

Due to higher accumulation rates, the $\delta^{18}$O seasonal variability in the KM and BI cores is better defined than at the more inland KC site (Figure 2), and dating uncertainty for the KM and BI cores is therefore lower. Dating of the firn cores is performed by annual layer-counting, using the seasonality of the water stable isotope signal. Since the KM and BI cores were drilled from the bottom of a snow pit (0.9 m w.e.), the snow pit data are used to reconstruct the period between winter-2012 and summer-2014. Winter minima and summer maxima in the $\delta^{18}$O record are identified to obtain a timescale with sub-annual resolution. Assuming a uniform distribution of precipitation throughout the year, an equidistant timescale is adapted between the summer maxima (January) and the winter minima (July). Well pronounced seasonal cycles of major ion concentrations (e.g. MSA and Na$^+$, Figure 3) are used to corroborate the dating. Based on annual layer counting, the KM and
BI cores cover the periods between winter 1995 to summer 2014, and winter 1996 to summer 2014, respectively. The error in the dating is estimated as ±1 year for both of these cores.

Counting δ¹⁸O winter minima in the KC core is not as straightforward as for the KM and BI cores, due to the lower accumulation and the lower amplitude of the seasonal signal (Figure 2a). Using δ¹⁸O snow pit data available for the surface layers at KC (Figure 2a), a SMB of 0.19 m w.e. yr⁻¹ is estimated for the period 2007–2011. Accordingly, when interpreting the seasonal variability of the δ¹⁸O stratigraphy, this mean SMB 2007–2011 value was considered as a guideline. Counting the δ¹⁸O winter minima in the deeper section of the core suggested 1958 as the date for the bottom of the core (12.93 m w.e.). Using the identified winter minima, we can identify tentative depths for summer maxima (Figure 2a). Most of the horizontal dashed lines in Figure 2a coincide with maxima in the δ¹⁸O, indicating a good estimate of the annual cycle using winter minima and SMB 2007–2011 as a reference.

Furthermore, volcanic horizons are used to corroborate the dating and estimate the dating uncertainty. We use maxima (values above the mean + 2σ level) in the non-sea salt sulphate (nssSO₄²⁻) concentrations of the KC core to identify volcanic horizons (Figure 4). NssSO₄²⁻ was calculated from the mean seawater composition using Na⁺ as standard ion, using:

$$[\text{nssSO}_4^{2-}] = [SO_4^{2-}]_{\text{total}} - k \times [Na^+]_{\text{total}}, \text{ where } k = \frac{[SO_4^{2-}]_{\text{seawater}}}{[Na^+]_{\text{seawater}}} = 0.06, \text{ when ion concentrations are in } \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}.$$

Peaks in nssSO₄²⁻ are assigned to known volcanic eruptions that could alter snow composition at the drilling site, using the Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI) (Global Volcanism Program, Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, http://www.volcano.si.edu/). The VEI is a relative measure of the explosiveness of a volcanic eruption based on the volume of released material, plume height, and qualitative remarks (e.g. by defining an eruption from gentle to mega-colossal); these parameters are used to construct an open-ended logarithmic scale starting with VEI = 0. Only eruptions with VEI ≥ 3 were considered in this analysis (Table 3). We attribute the nssSO₄²⁻ peaks in the KC core at depths of 3.8 and 4 m w.e. to the Pinatubo volcanic eruption (1991) (peak 1a, Figure 4, Table 3) and Cerro Hudson (peak 1, Figure 4, Table 3) in agreement with Cole-Dai et al. (1997). These depths correspond to late-1993 and late-1992 from the annual layer counting timescale, but delays of 1–2 years between eruption and deposition are commonly observed; the Pinatubo signal has been reported in the 1993 Antarctic snow layer (Cole-Dai et al., 1997). Another nssSO₄²⁻ peak found at 6.41 m w.e. could originate from the El Chichón volcanic eruption (1982), at a depth corresponding to the year 1983 based on the annual layer counting. Both Pinatubo and El Chichón volcanic horizons have been previously identified in dielectric profiles of other cores drilled in the region (Schlosser et al., 2012 and 2014). Additional volcanic eruptions potentially observed in the nssSO₄²⁻ record and SMB between potential volcanic horizons are listed in Table 3, e.g. Agung (peak 5). The error in the dating by annual layer counting is conservatively estimated to be ±3 years, based on the maximum difference between the Pinatubo volcanic signals found in the nssSO₄²⁻ record (i.e. peak 1a, Table 3) and the eruption date. The timescale error could be reduced by complementary dating methods, such as annual cycles counting of chemical species (e.g. MSA and Na⁺), and ³H measurements, for future cores drilled at these sites.
4.2 Surface mass balance

Annual SMB in the cores was calculated from distances between summer maxima in the $\delta^{18}O$ record (Figure 5 and Table 1). The average annual SMB for the full period covered by the KC, KM, and BI cores is estimated to be 0.24, 0.68 and 0.70 m w.e., and the average SMB for the common period covered by all three cores (1996–2012), is 0.21, 0.70 and 0.71 m w.e., respectively. The lowest inferred annual SMB values at KC, KM and BI were 0.11 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (1986), 0.39 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (2005) and 0.40 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (2004), respectively, while the highest values were 0.45 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (1982), 0.95 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (2011) and 1.21 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (2011), respectively.

These SMB values generally agree with other estimates at FIS (Sinisalo et al., 2013; Schlosser et al., 2014), obtained from shallow cores (1983–2009) and stakes (2010–2012). Furthermore, the anomalously high snowfall in DML during 2009 and 2011 recorded by GRACE satellite data (Boening et al., 2012), and by stake data at FIS (Sinisalo et al., 2013) appear to be reflected in the SMB records of KM and BI (KM: 0.78 and 0.95 m w.e.; BI: 1.00 and 1.21 m w.e., in 2009 and 2011, respectively) (Figure 5).

SMB derived from the stake closest to each core site (40 m to 1 km) at the three ice rises in 2013 are similar to average SMB values from cores at KC (0.22 and 0.24 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ from the stake and core data, respectively) and BI (0.73 and 0.70 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$), but differ at KM (0.38 versus 0.68 m w.e. yr$^{-1}$). Differences in point estimates for single years are to be expected given the spatial variability of snow accumulation. The spatial variability of SMB on the ice rises from stake and GPR data will be presented elsewhere.

In all three cores, there are ice layers of varying thickness, indicating that melt occurs several times per year; we have no evidence, however, for mass transport between annual layers. Figure 6 shows the number of ice lenses and thickness related to density, $\delta^{18}O$, MSA and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the KC core. There is no direct correspondence between SMB, $\delta^{18}O$ and the ice layers in the core from KC (Figure 6), in agreement with previous results from the core S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2006). We compare melt features to the MSA and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ profiles in the KC core, but do not find a systematic association between ice lenses and anomalies in the MSA or nssSO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations, as we could expect from redistribution of ions by meltwater percolation and refreezing. Some correspondence exists between the thickest ice layers and peaks in the nssSO$_4^{2-}$ record (e.g. at 21 m, 20 m, 18 m, and 13 m, Figure 6) but there are no such peaks in the MSA record, as would be expected for an ion that it is just as readily eluted as nssSO$_4^{2-}$. Therefore, while redistribution of ions by meltwater cannot be ruled out, it is not likely a dominant post-depositional effect that would significantly influence the seasonal isotopic or chemical signals at the core sites. It is more likely that the development of ice lenses is a local process depending on several factors, including air and snow pack temperatures, and that the combination of post-depositional processes, such as wind scouring, contribute to the perturbation of the sub-annual signal in the KC core site.

In general, SMB at the sites closest to the coast, KM and BI, is higher than at KC. The topography of the individual ice rises is a key determining factor. While KM and BI are relatively symmetrical domes, KC is more elongated, with a ridge axis stretching from SW to NE (Figure 1). Therefore, air transported from the NNE during a precipitation event is lifted over a...
longer and gentler slope at KC than at KM and BI, which can lead to a weaker influence of topography than on the steeper slopes of KM and BI. Wind-redistribution is critical to accumulation patterns. Networks of stake measurements across KC and KM show an uneven snow distribution, with three-fold higher accumulation on the lowest-elevation upwind side, compared to the summit (Lenaerts et al., 2014). This spatial pattern is well replicated with the regional atmospheric climate model RACMO2, although an accurate DEM is critical in such comparisons. Our results suggest that the differences in accumulation at KM and BI compared to KC and the other core sites at FIS, are most likely related to topographical effects. This can be further explored by referring to the study by Altnau et al. (2015) which presents a vast coverage of SMB and $\delta^{18}$O for coastal and inland DML. By inspecting Figure 2 in Altnau et al. (2015), it can be observed that high annual SMB values, similar to those measured at the KM and BI sites, occur in few locations only associated with pronounced topographic features, e.g. mountain ranges and troughs, i.e. anything where orographic lift may induce precipitation in comparison to the flat areas in the proximities.

Previous studies from coastal sites in the same area of DML have reported large temporal and spatial SMB variability (Melvold, 1999; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Schlosser et al., 2014). The SMB records from the KM and BI cores reveal high interannual variability and no significant long-term trend during the period 1995(96)–2014. At the more inland KC site, SMB variability is lower, but there is also a weak, yet significant (at 95% confidence level), negative SMB trend of -0.002 m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) for the period 1958–2012. This is in agreement with previous SMB studies done in the whole region of western DML (Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014), which also document a negative trend in SMB during the 20\(^{th}\) century. A comparison between annual SMB calculated in the cores taken at KC, KM, BI, S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2004), and a composite core constructed averaging the annual SMB from four firm cores (M2, G3, G4 and G5) retrieved at Trolltunga and Jutulstraumen (Schlosser et al., 2014) (Table 1), is shown in Figure 5 (individual SMB profiles of the cores conforming the composite are shown in Figure S1a in the Supplementary material).

Overall, SMB values from the KM and BI cores are higher than for the KC, S100 cores and the composite record. Furthermore, the decreasing trend at KC agrees well with that found in the S100 and in the FIS composite core. One proposed mechanism for decreasing SMB since the 1980s is the occurrence of stronger zonal wind flow with lower-amplitude long waves during the same period, flow characterized by the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) index (Schlosser et al., 2014). During high SAM index phases meridional moisture flux decreases and consequently, there is less precipitation and SMB in Antarctica (Schlosser et al., 2014).

Frezzotti et al. (2013) investigated Antarctic SMB over the last 800 years, and found that there was statistically non-significant changes in SMB over most of Antarctica, with no overall clear temporal trend over the longest timescale. However, they also report a clear increase in SMB (>10%) since the 1960s in regions where the SMB is high, i.e. coastal regions, and over the highest part of the East Antarctic ice divide. The authors attribute these dissimilar trends between high-SMB locations and the rest of Antarctica to a higher frequency of blocking anticyclones. These anticyclones increase precipitation at coastal sites and lead to the advection of moist air at the highest areas. Strong winds producing snow redistribution and erosion would account for the reduction on SMB at windy sites. As discussed above, our results show that
the SMB trends at KC is similar to SMB trends reported elsewhere at FIS and western DML (Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014). No significant temporal trends in SMB are found at KM and BI.

4.3 Water stable isotopes

Figure 7 shows the raw and annual $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^2$H and $d$ (deuterium excess) data for the KC, KM, and BI sites. The higher accumulation rates at KM and BI allow high-resolution water stable isotope records (average 20 data points per year), whereas resolution at KC is much lower (average 7 data points per year). Table 1 shows the median values of $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^2$H and $d$ of the KC, KM, and BI cores. The $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H signals at KM and BI (Figure 7b and c) show pronounced seasonality, with seasonal amplitudes up to 10‰ and 78‰, for $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H, and up to 10‰ for $d$. Stable isotope ratios for KM and BI are similar, while KC has generally lower values of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H than the two other cores. The core site at BI is 130 m higher than that of KC and KM (see Table 1), such that differences in isotope ratios can be attributed to local effects. Similar results were found by Fernandoy et al. (2010) for firn cores drilled in the hinterland of Neumayer Station, where high-elevation cores did not always have lower $\delta^{18}$O values.

The present study is among the few that also includes deuterium, and the first involving such data from FIS. It is difficult to reliably determine the seasonal cycle of $d$ for the analysed cores because we cannot date the cores accurately at the sub-annual level, post-depositional processes such as water vapour diffusion in the firn column may alter the $d$ profile, and finally the $d$ time-series are relatively short. Nevertheless, we use the derived age-models to estimate the intra-annual variability in $d$ for the two higher-resolution cores at KM and BI. The results (Figure 8) suggest that the absolute values and magnitudes of the seasonal cycle of $d$ are in reasonable agreement with observed and modelled $d$ at other coastal Antarctic ice core locations (Schlosser et al., 2008; Schoenemann and Steig, 2016; Inoue et al., 2016). At both KM and BI, maximum values for $d$ occur in austral autumn, preceding by 3–4 months the corresponding seasonal minima in $\delta^{18}$O and, most likely, air temperatures (Figure 8). On the other hand, fresh snow sampling at nearby Neumayer station suggested a spring maximum for $d$ (Schlosser et al., 2008). We note, however, that because of the method we use to construct the core chronologies, the seasonal curve of $\delta^{18}$O is fit to have a maximum (minimum) in the first (sixth) month of the year. Nonetheless, our timescale does not alter the fact that there is a lag between $d$ and $\delta^{18}$O peaks. Such an offset is also reported at coastal locations, by Schlosser et al. (2008) and Inoue et al. (2016), and contradicts the conventional interpretation of winter maxima in $d$ being the result of shifting moisture-source regions to higher latitudes (e.g. Delmote et al., 2000; Pfahl and Sodemann, 2014).

Recent studies show that the relationship between $d$ and moisture source parameters is more complex than previously thought since $d$ is also strongly sensitive to both equilibrium and kinetic fractionation during precipitation formation (Steen-Larsen et al., 2014; Dittmann et al., 2016; Schoenemann and Steig, 2016). The $d$ measured in precipitation is controlled by different processes, exerting opposite effects. We hypothesize that the observed $d$ to $\delta^{18}$O offsets for coastal locations, which...
High correlation coefficients between the annual $d$ and austral spring to summer SAM indices of -0.55 (significant at the 95% confidence level) for KM and -0.33 (not significant) for BI cores point to a possible role of seasonal changes in moisture transport and precipitation in the area in shaping the annual isotopic signal. A positive SAM index is generally associated with stronger zonal westerlies and comparatively little exchange of moisture and energy between middle and high latitudes (Marshall et al., 2013; Schlosser et al., 2016), hence increasing the contribution of local, less depleted of $^{18}$O, moisture sources in precipitation (Noone and Simmonds, 2002). Decreased meridional southward moisture transport during the positive SAM phase may vary the annual moisture balance towards a higher fraction of local spring and summer moisture. Compared to moisture originating from more remote lower latitude sources, local sources in spring and summer typically have lower $d$ values, leading to generally negative annual $d$ anomalies preserved in the snow. The multidecadal positive trend in SAM (e.g. Marshall 2003), which is especially pronounced for austral summers, may in turn drive the weak negative trend of 0.1‰ per decade (not significant at the 95% confidence level) in $d$ detected in the longer KC core, also contributing to an observed positive trend in $^{18}$O in the regional core network.

Figure 9 shows the mean annual $^{18}$O for the KC, KM and BI cores compared to the S100 and composite core from Schlosser et al. (2014) (individual $^{18}$O profiles of the cores conforming the composite are shown in Figure S1b in the Supplementary material). Overall, annual $^{18}$O values at the three ice rise cores are higher than at S100 or for the composite core. However, both the inferred multiannual means and the standard deviations of $^{18}$O for the three ice rise cores fall within the typical range of variability for other cores from the coastal DML (Altmann et al., 2015).

The positive linear trends in $^{18}$O observed in the KC and BI cores also agree well with linear trends reported for the S100 and FIS composite cores (Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014). However, none of the linear trends observed in the KC, KM or BI cores are significant at the 95% confidence level. Similar to earlier studies (e.g. Schlosser et al., 2014) no correlation is found between $^{18}$O of the ice rise cores and measured air temperature at Neumayer Station, the closest station suitable for comparison. Neumayer is situated on a small ice shelf, with synoptic conditions similar to FIS; no temporal trend is found in air temperature since the founding of the station in 1981. Likewise, no relationship between stable isotopes and SMB is seen in the ice rise cores (compare with Figure 5). This confirms previous studies, which find poor correspondence between SMB and proxy-temperatures, suggesting that it is large-scale atmospheric circulation rather than the thermodynamic relationship between SMB and temperature that is the determining factor here.

This was also found in a recent study by Fudge et al. (2016), who investigated the temperature-SMB relationship using data from the WAIS Divide ice core.
5 Discussion and conclusions

Hitherto, small ice rises in Antarctica have not been fully utilised as ice core sites. Based on the data presented we conclude that the stratigraphic records of water stable isotopes and major ions (in particular MSA and Na⁺) are well preserved during the last decades so that reliable annual dating can be performed, especially in the KM and BI sites. Neither the stratigraphy nor the chemistry profiles in the cores suggest that there is substantial surface melting or percolation at these sites, which would perturb the stratigraphic signal. On the other hand, the KC core presents less well preserved annual cycles than the KM and BI cores. Melt features in the KC core, i.e. the number of ice lenses, ice lenses thickness, and density profiles (Figure 6) show that most ice lenses are thinner than 1 cm, with the thickest having 1.5 cm. In terms of ice content per meter of firm, the KC core has in average no more than 3% of ice per meter during the period 1958–2012, therefore, it is likely that a combination of post-depositional effects (e.g. wind scouring), is affecting the subannual record at this site leading to the lack of well-preserved seasonal cycles, although the site still adequate to obtain core chronologies by combining annual layer counting and the identification of volcanic layers.

Considering the above, the core timescales were constructed based in annual layer counting of δ¹⁸O (KC, KM and BI) together with the identification of volcanic layers using the nssSO₄²⁻ record (KC). These approaches appear to provide reliable methods for the dating of these firm cores involving dating errors of ±1 year (KM and BI) and ±3 years (KC).

The SMB records from the different sites show that topography likely leads to local effects that are superimposed on the regional climate signal. This is particularly the case for the KM and BI ice rise sites which have much higher SMB (and hence higher variability) than the KC, S100 and composite cores, with trends also opposing the findings from the other records. It is therefore of great importance to further investigate if the data from the KM and BI ice rises have also a regional significance.

The longest SMB record, from KC, is in general agreement with other regional ice core records (S100 and the composite core), and shows that the negative trend observed during the 20th century in the longer S100 core retrieved nearby (Figure 1) continues during the first decade of the 21st century. This decrease in SMB since the 1980s has been proposed to be related to diminishing meridional moisture flux and consequently, a decrease in precipitation and SMB at FIS (Schlosser et al., 2014). The most commonly used Antarctic SMB maps (e.g. Arthern et al. 2006; Monaghan et al., 2006; Lenaerts et al., 2012) all have a too low resolution to properly incorporate the high variability that ice rises induces.

The first data available for d at FIS point to a possible role of seasonal moisture transport changes and precipitation in shaping the annual isotopic signal at the area, as inferred from the high correlation found between annual d in the KM and BI cores and austral spring to summer SAM indices. When considering the factors behind the water stable isotope values, the poor correspondence between SMB and proxy-temperature derived from water stable isotopes suggests that large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns are the determining factors for isotope ratios, in agreement with previous studies at FIS (Schlosser et al., 2014). Due to the restricted length of the KM and BI cores, further analysis of the spatial and temporal differences of SMB and water stable isotopes at these ice rises in a climatic context would be speculative. However, the ice...
rises coring sites show potential for investigating past variations in water stable isotopes given on the well preserved profiles, with annual to bi-annual resolution at the KC site, and subannual resolution at the KM and BI sites.

In summary, the ice rises are suitable drilling sites for the retrieval of longer cores if local influences are kept in mind when reconstructing the past climate and environmental signals recorded in the cores. The KM and BI sites are attractive to retrieve high-resolution (i.e. subannual timescales) ice core records due to their high accumulation rates and well preserved physical and chemical properties, bearing in mind that these sites may also be strongly affected by local snow deposition patterns. On the other hand, the KC location could be considered as the most representative for the climate of the area although, even if it is not possible to obtain subannual dating due to the lower annual snow accumulation at that site. Since drifting snow processes are of major importance on ice rises, detailed knowledge of both topography and the spatial pattern of SMB are required for deciding on possible future ice core locations. Consequently, the three ice rises investigated here offer attractive locations for the retrieval of longer ice cores that would contribute to elucidate the climate and environmental history of the FIS, and to infer its role in a changing climate.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the number of people who helped to collect, transport, sample and analyse the firn cores and snow pits at FIS. We would like to thank V. Goel, J. Brown and K. Matsuoka for providing the contour and stake data. In addition, we thank E. Thomas and M. Frezzotti for their constructive and thorough revision of the manuscript. Financial support for this work came from the Norwegian Research Council through NARE and the Centre for Ice, Climate and Ecosystems (ICE) at the Norwegian Polar Institute in Tromsø, Norway.

References

Brown, J., K. Matsuoka, E. Isaksson, and J. Kohler Asymmetric mass balance patterns over three ice rises in the Fimbul Ice Shelf, East Antarctica, EGU spring meeting, 2014.


IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, IPCC Working group.


The horizons identified in the ice shelf edge was obtained using the GIS package Quantarctica (www.quantarctica.org). Both annual layer counting and volcanic horizons identified in the nssSO₄ were used to obtain timescales for the cores. Significant values (at 95% confidence level) are shown in bold. (*) refers to Schlosser et al. (2012) and (2014), respectively, (*) to Kaczmarska et al. (2004) and (*) Divine et al. 2009.

### Table 1: Ice rises core locations, sampling details, SMB rates derived from the KC, KM and BI δ¹⁸O records as annual average values between summer maxima. Median water stable isotope deltas (in ‰) quantified in each core are also shown. Distance of the core locations to the ice shelf edge was obtained using the GIS package Quantarctica (www.quantarctica.org). Both annual layer counting and volcanic horizons identified in the nssSO₄ were used to obtain timescales for the cores. Significant values (at 95% confidence level) are shown in bold. (*) refers to Schlosser et al. (2012) and (2014), respectively, (*) to Kaczmarska et al. (2004) and (*) Divine et al. 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Elevation m a.s.l.</th>
<th>Core length (Ice depth)</th>
<th>Shortest distance from the ice shelf edge</th>
<th>Time coverage (Dating error)</th>
<th>Average SMB rate (mm, max.)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression (δ)</th>
<th>Median δ¹⁸O (‰)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ¹⁸O (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>70°31'S, 2°57'E</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>20.0 (460)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1958–2012 (±3)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.11, 0.45)</td>
<td><strong>-0.002 (±7×10⁻⁴)</strong></td>
<td>-19.4</td>
<td>-150.2 (±0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>70°8'S, 1°12'E</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>19.6 (410)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1995–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.39, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.004 (±9×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>-133.6 (±0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>70°24'S, 3°2'W</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>19.5 (460)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1996–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.40, 1.21)</td>
<td>0.006 (±1×10⁻²)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-134.5 (±0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2 *</td>
<td>70°19'S, 0°7'W</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10.0 (-)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1981–2009</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td><strong>-0.002 (±4×10⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 *</td>
<td>69°49'S, 0°37'W</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17.5 (-)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1993–2009</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td><strong>-0.001 (±8×10⁻³)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 *</td>
<td>70°54'S, 0°24'W</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.7 (-)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1983–2009</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td><strong>-0.008 (±3×10⁻³)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 *</td>
<td>70°33'S, 0°2'W</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14.5 (-)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1983–2009</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td><strong>-0.003 (±4×10⁻³)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M2, G3, G4, G5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overlapping period 1996-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>SMB rate (m w.e. y⁻¹)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression (δ)</th>
<th>Median δ¹⁸O (‰)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ¹⁸O (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>0.21 (0.42, 0.11)</td>
<td><strong>-0.007 (±5×10⁻³)</strong></td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-0.27 (±0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>0.70 (0.39, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.01)</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>0.71 (1.21, 0.40)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.01)</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td>0.03 (±0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Median ion concentrations (in \( \mu \text{mol L}^{-1} \)) in the KC, KM and BI firn cores. Ion concentrations at the top 2 m of the KC, KM and BI cores were not measured. Non-detected concentrations were set as half the detection limit for each ion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>( \text{MSA} )</th>
<th>( \text{SO}_4^{2-} )</th>
<th>( \text{Na}^+ )</th>
<th>( \mu \text{mol L}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1958–2007</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>\ Monterey s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>1995–2014</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>\ Monterey s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>1996–2014</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>\ Monterey s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Volcanic eruptions inferred from the KC nss\( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentrations. Only volcanoes with a volcanic explosivity index \( \geq 3 \) were considered. The SMB rate was obtained using the timescale obtained by \( \delta^{18} \text{O} \) cycles counting. Ref.: ¹Karlöf et al. (2000), ²Palmer et al. (2001), ³Nishio et al. (2002), ⁴Stenni et al. (2002), ⁵Zhang et al. (2002), ⁶Cole-Dai et al. (1997), ⁷Dibb and Whitlow (1996), ⁸Kohno and Fujii (2002), ⁹Global Volcanism Program, ¹⁰Legrand and Delmas (1987), ¹¹Vega (2008), Kaczmarska et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak No.</th>
<th>Bottom depth m w.e</th>
<th>Year in the cycles counting timescale</th>
<th>Assigned volcano (Year of eruption)</th>
<th>SMB rate m w.e. yr(^{-1} ) (Period)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1993.9</td>
<td>Pinatubo, Philippines (1991)</td>
<td>0.21 (1991–2011)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1992.8</td>
<td>Cerro Hudson, Chile (1991)</td>
<td>0.21 (1991–2011)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>1982.8</td>
<td>El Chichón, Mexico (1982)</td>
<td>0.26 (1982–1990)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>1968.3</td>
<td>Deception island, Antarctic Peninsula (1967)</td>
<td>0.16 (1967–1969)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>1961.7</td>
<td>Agung, Indonesia (1963)</td>
<td>0.28 (1960–1966)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>1959.1</td>
<td>Carran-Los Venados, Chile (1955)</td>
<td>0.33 (1959)</td>
<td>3, 11, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Satellite image of the Fimbul Ice Shelf (FIS), East Antarctica showing the KC, KM, and BI core sites (this study), S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2004), M2, G3, G4 and G5 core sites (Schlosser et al., 2014), Jutulstraumen and Trolltunga. In addition, 50-m contours are shown at each ice rise, as derived from GPS profiles (V. Goel, personal communication). Map image is from the MODIS Mosaic of Antarctica (MOA). Information regarding additional sampling sites and traverses in FIS can be found in Schlosser et al. (2014).
Figure 2: $\delta^{18}$O-depth profiles of a) KC, b) KM, and c) BI. The blue lines indicate the $\delta^{18}$O values for the 2-m snow pits at each core site. Seasonal variations are used to date the KM and BI cores; horizontal lines mark the summer maxima inferred in the KC core and identified in the KM and BI cores.
Figure 3: Seasonality of a) MSA, b) Na⁺ and c) δ¹⁸O for the KM and BI cores. Dashed lines and dotted lines indicate winter (summer) minima (maxima). An MSA outlier observed in year 2002 in the KM core was removed from the series since it was 30 times higher than the median MSA values.
Figure 4: Non-sea salt $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ concentrations measured in KC, using the timescale derived from annual layer counting in the $\delta^{18}$O profile. Potential layers of volcanic eruptions are marked with numbers: 1, 1a (Pinatubo), 2 (El Chichón), 3, 4 (Deception Island), 5 (Agung, Indonesia; Puyehue, Chile), 6 (Caran-Los Vernados, Chile) and summarized in Table 3.
Figure 5: Annual SMB for KC, KM, and BI cores compared to S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2004) and the composite FIS core record (Schlosser et al., 2014). The dashed lines are the linear regression for the entire period covered by the respective cores.
Figure 6: a) Number of ice lenses per meter (bars), ice lenses thickness (stems) and density profiles (line) available at KC. b), c) and d) Same as a) but with the $\delta^{18}$O, MSA and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ profile (line), respectively, instead of density.
Figure 7: Water stable isotope data for KC, KM, and BI: a) $\delta^{18}O$, b) $\delta^2H$, c) deuterium excess ($d$).
Figure 8: Seasonal variations in $d$ (black) and $\delta^{18}O$ (red) in cores a) KM and b) BI. Dashed lines show ± $\sigma$. 
Figure 9: Mean annual $\delta^{18}$O for KC, KM, and BI compared to S100 (Divine et al., 2009) and the composite FIS core record (Schlosser et al., 2014). The dashed lines are the linear regression for the entire period covered by the respective cores.