The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere Discussions

Surface formation, preservation, and history of low-porosity crusts at the WAIS Divide site, West Antarctica.

John M. Fegyveresi[1,2], Richard B. Alley[2], Atsuhiro Muto[3], Anaïs J. Orsi[4], Matthew K. Spencer[5]

[1]Terrestrial and Cryospheric Sciences Branch, U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL), Hanover, NH, 03755, USA.

[2]Dept. of Geosciences, and Earth and Environmental Systems Institute, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA.

[3]Dept. of Earth and Environmental Science, College of Science and Technology, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, 19122, USA.

[4]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

[5]School of Physical Sciences, Lake Superior State University, Sault Sainte Marie, MI, 49783, USA.

Correspondence to:
J. M. Fegyveresi (fegy.john@gmail.com; john.m.fegyveresi@usace.army.mil)

Key Words:

- Antarctic snow surface, ice cores, field observations, snow-surface crusts, bubble-free layers, vapor transport, firn properties, snow physics.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere Discussions

Abstract

Observations at the WAIS Divide site show that near-surface snow is strongly altered by weather-related processes such as strong winds and temperature fluctuations, producing features that are recognizable in the deep ice core. Prominent "glazed" surface crusts develop frequently at the site during summer seasons. Surface, snow pit, and ice core observations made in this study during summer field seasons from 2008-09 to 2012-13, supplemented by Automated Weather Station (AWS) data with insolation sensors, revealed that such crusts formed during relatively low-wind, low-humidity, clear-sky periods with intense daytime sunshine. After formation, such glazed surfaces typically developed cracks in a polygonal pattern with few-meter spacing, likely from thermal contraction at night. Cracking was commonest when several clear days occurred in succession, and was generally followed by surface hoar growth; vapor escaping through the cracks during sunny days may have contributed to the high humidity that favored nighttime formation of surface hoar. Temperature and radiation observations showed that daytime solar heating often warmed the near-surface snow above the air temperature, contributing to mass transfer favoring crust formation and then surface hoar formation. Subsequent investigation of the WDC06A deep ice core revealed that crusts are preserved through the bubbly ice, and some occur in snow accumulated during winters, although not as commonly as in summertime deposits. Although no one has been on site to observe crust formation during winter, it may be favored by greater wintertime wind-packing from stronger peak winds, high temperatures and steep temperature gradients from rapid midwinter warmings reaching as high as -15°C, and perhaps longer intervals of surface stability. Time-variations in crust occurrence in the core may provide paleoclimatic information, although additional studies are required. Discontinuity and cracking of crusts likely explain why crusts do not produce significant anomalies in other paleoclimatic records.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

1: Introduction

Visual and thin-section examination of the WAIS Divide deep ice core from West Antarctica revealed an annual signal linked to bubble and grain characteristics [Fitzpatrick et al., 2014], but also numerous crusts. These crusts are bubble-free or nearly so, typically one grain and 1 mm or less in thickness, and are readily identified visually in bubbly ice (Fig. 1). Their presence in greater abundance than seen in most cores [e.g., Alley, 1988] motivated studies to understand their formation, possible influence on other paleoclimatic data, and potential for recording paleoclimatic conditions themselves.

Work by Orsi et al. [2015] and Mitchell et al. [2015] showed that no significant artifacts are introduced to paleoclimatic records by the WAIS Divide crusts. Here, we report additional studies showing that summertime crusts form under specific conditions linked to persistent high-pressure systems, so the time-series of crusts likely contains paleoclimatic information; however, many additional issues must be addressed before useful climate histories could be constructed confidently.

Bubble-free layers much thicker than the bubble-free crusts discussed here are sometimes observed in ice cores from warm sites, and provide evidence of refrozen meltwater [e.g., Das and Alley, 2005]. These are of interest as paleoclimatic records but have the potential to anomalously distort records of trapped gases or other components of ice cores. Refrozen meltwater can be identified by an excess of trapped heavy noble gases, so Orsi et al. [2015] analyzed WAIS Divide samples containing bubble-free crusts, finding that not enough meltwater was involved to significantly perturb records of other trace gases. Additionally, crusts might greatly modify gas trapping in the firn, but measured nitrogen-isotopic ratios at WAIS Divide show that gravitational fractionation occurs down to the normal trapping depth where normal amounts of air are trapped, demonstrating that the crusts are not both impermeable and laterally extensive at shallow depth [Mitchell et al., 2015; Battle et al., 2011].

Here, we report coordinated observations of crust formation over five summers (2008-09 to 2012-13) at the WAIS Divide site, involving daily observations of surface evolution, shallow snow-pit studies with a 2-m pit at least once per year, insolation measurements, and near-surface temperature profiling, supplemented with data from an on-site automated weather station (AWS). We find that crusts form most commonly in the summer but do also form in winter. In summer, crust formation primarily results from the effects of strong diurnal temperature cycling under clear-sky, low-wind, relatively warm conditions. Wintertime observations are not available, but the physical understanding gained from our summertime data suggests hypotheses for formation. Time-trends in the occurrence of summertime crusts in the core may reveal changes in the frequency of the persistent high-pressure conditions that generate crusts, although additional work will be required to quantify this.

2: Methods

The main methods used are described here. Additional details are provided in Fegyveresi [2015]. The surface was observed continually by one of us (JF) during the five field seasons extending from 2008-09 to 2012-13 (Table 1). During each austral summer, a back-lit snow pit was also prepared and studied. All pits were sited within 1 km radius of the primary ice-core drilling facility, but avoided regions disturbed by camp operations or the "drift tail" of enhanced accumulation downwind of the camp. Following prior practice [e.g., Benson, 1962; Koerner, 1971; Alley, 1988], each sampling site involved excavating a pair of ~2 m cubic pits separated by a wall ~0.5 m thick, with one pit left open to supply back-light, and the other a roofed observation pit. Features such as crusts and hoar layers were easily identifiable from the observation pit on the

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

back-lit wall (Fig. 2). Pit walls were observed, mapped, sampled, and photographed (tripod-mounted > ¼ s exposures). Each pit was oriented so the prevailing wind direction, approximately north-south, ran from right-to-left along the back-lit wall.

An automatic weather station (AWS) on site at WAIS Divide (named Kominko-Slade in the University of Wisconsin AWS system; Lazzara et al. [2012]), collected data on temperature, air pressure, wind, and humidity starting in the 2009-10 season (all dates and times are GMT). Beginning in 2011-12, upward-facing and downward-facing short-wave Li-Cor LI200 pyranometers were added initially 1 m above the surface to measure incoming and outgoing shortwave radiation (0.4-1.1 μm spectral response). Both sensors were newly calibrated and mounted in a cosine-corrected head (for solar angles up to 80°), with typical operational errors in daylight of ±3% (max ±5%). A Kipp-Zonen CNR2 net radiometer with upward- and downward-facing pyranometers and pyrogeometers was added on an AWS mounting arm during the 2012-13 season, in order to measure both net short- and long-wave radiation. The pyranometers operated with a spectral response of 0.3 – 2.8 μm, operational errors of ±3.5 %, and sensitivity of 15.21 μV W^{-1} m^{-2}, while the pyrgeometers operated with a spectral response of 4.5–45 μm, operational errors of ±5.6 %, and a sensitivity of 12.52 μV W^{-1} m^{-2} respectively; typical impedances were ~7 ohms. All AWS relative humidity values reported here are expressed in terms of saturation vapor pressure over ice.

Also during the 2012-13 season, we calibrated and installed five PRD (platinum resistance detector) strings in the upper 5 m of firn in a 2 km survey line extending approximately upwind (grid-west, true-north) starting ~50 meters from the on-site AWS. The strings were designed by one of us (AM) following the procedures in Muto et al. [2011]. Each sensor string was 5 m long and consisted of 16 individual PRDs (HEL-700 series; ±0.03°C accuracy, ±0.18°C total combined error, including data-logger error) with denser sampling in the shallower firn to capture the greater variability there. Sensor calibration took place over a 60-minute period using a constantly-stirred ice-bath method, and then the newly calibrated sensors were deployed incrementally over a 10-day period starting Dec. 15th. Deployment boreholes were drilled using a 4 cm diameter hand-auger, and then back-filled once strings were installed. Campbell logging equipment (CR1000 data logger and AM/16/32 Multiplexer) and 12V sealed lead-acid batteries were housed in a foam-insulated wooden box beside each borehole and just below the surface. The first string was placed 50 m from the AWS, and the other strings were placed upwind of it by 10, 100, 1000, and 2000 m (Supplemental Table S2). Measurements were taken every minute over the survey interval. Each 12V battery was swapped out weekly with newly charged replacements to ensure that the sensor strings were continually recording. During each site visit, we took photographs, and noted local meteorological and surface conditions. Each sensor string took approximately 24 hours to equilibrate with the surrounding snow following installation due to the backfilling of the open boreholes with surface snow.

We studied crusts in the ice core as well as in the near-surface. As described in Fitzpatrick et al. [2014], the entire deep core and various associated shallower cores were inspected visually during core processing lines at the US National Ice Core Laboratory, primarily by one of us (MS), but with some intercomparisons from other observers. The core was observed on a light table in a darkened booth, and key features were noted on meter-length log books. The crusts were easily visible as thin, glassy, bubble-free or nearly bubble-free layers (e.g. Fig. 1).

Annual cycles are visible in the bubbly part of the core, arising from the tendency for near-surface processes to generate coarse-grained, low-density layers including depth hoar in summer [Fitzpatrick et al., 2014; Fegyveresi, 2015]. However, annual-layer dating of the ice core using electrical conductivity (ECM, which is primarily controlled by ice chemistry) and soluble-ion chemistry proved more accurate than dating with visible strata [Buizert et al., 2015; Sigl et al., 2016; WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013]. Here, we estimate the season in which each crust

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

occurs by assigning each summertime peak in the WD2014 time scale to January 1of its year, and then linearly interpolating; accumulation at the site is relatively evenly distributed through the year, justifying this approximation [Banta et al., 2008; Fegyveresi, 2015, Fegyveresi et al., 2016].

3: Observations

3-1: Near-surface observations

We summarize key observations on crust formation here. Additional information, and complete narrative descriptions of particular crust-forming episodes, are provided in Fegyveresi [2015].

Glazed crusts were repeatedly observed to form on the snow surface (Figs. 3 and 4), primarily during late-December and January, with an interval between formation events of roughly one and two weeks (see Figs. 5-8). Crust formation often followed a storm or wind event, and occurred during a time of higher atmospheric pressure, light winds, clear sky, strong insolation, large diurnal temperature cycling, and low relative humidity.

As shown in Figure 9, the crusts were often internally complex. The upper few millimeters of firn were anomalously high-density and fine-grained, and might be termed a multi-grain crust. Within this, and especially at the top, were one or more lower-porosity single-grain crusts. To an observer, light reflected off these crusts gave the appearance of a glaze on the snow surface. (e.g. Fig. 4), [see also Orsi et al., 2015, their Fig. 5].

Typically, a glazed crust started as isolated sub-meter to few-meter patches on unshaded regions of the snow surface or sastrugi, which were most consistanly exposed to sunlight, and spaced tens of meters to more than 100 m apart. Over the first days of formation, glazed crusts expanded to form a laterally extensive interconnected surface broken by isolated sub-meter to few-meter unglazed patches on shaded faces of sastrugi. Glazed crusts were most continuous where the surface was smoothest. Reconnaissance surveys extending a few kilometers from camp showed that glazed-crust formation was consistent at least that far.

Within 2-3 days of formation, glazed features developed prominent polygonal cracks with few-meter spacing (e.g. Fig. 4). It is likely that these cracks formed by thermal contraction during nighttime cooling, which was driven by the large diurnal temperature swings observed at the time (see below). We excavated some cracks, which could be traced downward from the surface typically ~20-30 cm.

A pronounced hoar began forming within 24 hours of the onset of cracking of the glazed crust in each case observed (e.g. Fig. 3). Measured relative humidity was notably higher during hoar formation (see Figs. 5-8) than before, and sometimes (e.g., January 7th, 2010) a fog developed early in the time of hoar formation, providing a source of vapor to the surface hoar from above. Surface glazing was not required for formation of such hoar layers, as one formed quickly on December 30th, 2009 during a very warm (> -10°) fog episode with elevated measured relative humidity, but without prior formation of surface glaze.

Hoar layers that we observed during the field seasons were subsequently either buried, destroyed by wind, or gradually sublimated away over 2-3 additional days. We observed strong winds remove hoar layers, with a threshold of ~7 m s^{-1} (~13 knots). In one case, crust removal required somewhat lower speed when wind was directed orthogonal to the prevailing direction and thus sastrugi orientation, similar to observations by Champollion et al. [2013] at Dome C, East Antarctica.

No above-freezing temperatures were observed by the AWS, but on January 2, 2011, the temperature reached a high of -2.8ºC (see Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. S1). While no direct surface melt was observed, some melt was noted along exposed, vertically cut wall faces near the ice-core drilling facility (Supplemental Fig. S2). A prominent multi-grain crust was observed the next year in snow pits, likely dates from that time, and shows features that are consistent with some melting-refreezing having occurred (Supplemental Fig. S3).

The PRD strings document strong variations in subsurface temperature, following the air temperatures as expected. During the cooling phases of diurnal cycles, air temperatures and near-surface firn temperatures dropped well below temperatures deeper in the firn including the shallowest in-firn sensor at ~20 cm (Figs. 10 and 11), with the surface as much as 3ºC colder than firn at 40 cm depth (e.g. Supplemental Fig. S4). This would have driven upward mass flux from the firn towards the surface. Such conditions often developed when surface hoar was forming from fog, and thus likely with a downward as well as an upward vapor source to the near-surface layer.

3-2: Snow-pit observations

Maps of the shallow pits are presented in Fegyveresi [2015], and an additional paper detailing the isotopic, density, and other data is planned. Relevant here, the pits showed a clear annual cycle in the visual stratigraphy, but with notable "noise". Depth hoars occurred primarily in summertime layers and into autumn, but with occasional hoar layers in winter and spring layers. Similarly, crusts were most common in summertime and into autumn, but not restricted to those times.

Most commonly, crusts occurred just above depth hoars, but crusts were observed without hoar, and hoar without crust. Both single-grain-thick (~1 mm) and multi-grain (≥4 mm) crusts were observed, with the common association of single-grain crusts in and usually at the top of multi-grain crusts as noted above. Counting a multi-grain crust containing a single-grain crust as one feature, the 2-meter snow pits revealed an average of ~18.8 ± 2.5 (±1σ) total crusts, or approximately 5 crusts per year.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

3-3: Ice-core data

In the bubbly ice included in our crust logging (120-577 m depth) in the WAIS Divide core, 10,268 crusts were identified (Fig. 12). A few were discontinuous across the core, or displayed at least a few pores extending through; others appeared largely or completely continuous and impermeable at the scale of the core. Experience with independent observers showed little or no error in crust identification. We cannot rule out the possibility that bubble migration contributed to loss of some crusts in the deepest bubbly ice considered, but the crusts continued to be clear and readily identifiable, so we do not believe that the trend to fewer crusts in the deepest ice is an artifact. We cannot fully exclude the possibility that there is an observational bias related to the drop in crust prevalence over the most recent ~250 years, as the crusts are more difficult to discern in the shallow firn.

The seasonal distribution of the crusts is shown in Figure 13. Crusts occur year-round, but are ~45% more frequent in summertime accumulation than in wintertime. Certainly, the natural variability in seasonal distribution of snow accumulation and in the timing of peak impurity input mean that details of the shape of the seasonal distribution of crust occurrence are notably uncertain. However, given the high reliability of the annual-layer dating, and the multiple indicators that agree well [Buizert et al., 2015; Sigl et al., 2016; WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013], “summer” versus “winter” or “nonsummer” should be quite accurate.

Time-trends of seasonal crust occurrence are also shown in Supplemental Figure S5, separating the largely sunless winter (May-August) from the sunny spring-summer-fall (September-April, with at least 8 hours of sunlight per day). Both first increase and then decrease slightly over the 2400-year record, but with a larger relative change in the sunlight season.

4: Discussion

Our observations confirm and extend prior work on this topic. Depositional processes and diagenesis primarily in the upper few centimeters of the firn produce prominent layering. Wintertime accumulation, while notably variable, is more homogeneous than summertime deposits, with wind-packed layers prominent in winter, and more-variable layers including crusts and hoar more common in summer [e.g., Sorge, 1935; Benson, 1962, Gow, 1965; 1969; Weller, 1969; Colbeck, 1982; Colbeck, 1983; Alley, 1988; Alley et al., 1997]. These features are altered during subsequent burial and conversion to bubbly ice, but still produce recognizable features in the ice core that allow identification of annual layers and crusts [e.g., Alley et al., 1997; Fitzpatrick et al., 2014].

Our observations at WAIS Divide show repeating events that generate the main features of the summertime accumulation. In a typical event, a storm with strong winds brings snow accumulation, followed by a high-pressure system bringing clear skies, greatly reduced winds, initially low humidity, and strong diurnal variations in sunshine, air temperature, and net surface energy-balance.

Early in this clear-sky interval, the wind-packed upper surface develops a millimeter-thick glazed crust or possibly crusts in a few-millimeters-thick multi-grain crust. Strengthening of crusts over one to a few days is followed by polygonal cracking from contraction caused by nighttime cooling. Vapor released through the cracks contributes to rising relative humidity, and surface-hoar deposition in subsequent nights. At WAIS Divide, evolution of the crust-hoar complex typically is truncated by arrival of another storm, which may remove or bury the hoar, and typically buries the crusts below the level of fastest diagenesis, allowing them to be preserved.

Not every aspect of a typical event is observed in each case. Crusts form and can be buried by additional snowfall without growth of a surface hoar on top of them. Crusts are

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

somewhat discontinuous, and surface hoar can grow where a crust is absent. And, perhaps most importantly here, a crust that remains near the surface (in the upper few centimeters) for too long may slowly lose mass and cease to be a crust.

Our data provide strong constraints on models of many of the observed processes. Surface hoar grew especially at night when relative humidity was high, sometimes with fog, and with deposition occurring on tent ropes or other surfaces as well as on the snow surface (e.g. Supplemental Fig. S6), clearly demonstrating a source of vapor from above. Surface hoar typically formed when the upper snow surface was colder than layers beneath, indicating a vapor source from below as well. Hence, our surface hoars included elements of both depositional and sublimation hoar crystals as defined by Gallet et al. [2014] based on observations at Dome C, East Antarctica.

The high density of both single-grain and multi-grain crusts, approaching the density of ice for the glassy single-grained crusts, requires that the density of the crusts was increased over time, as wind packing has not been observed to approach these high densities. Crusts form during days when atmospheric humidity is low, however, and thus when mass is not being added from above. We have not observed bulk melting at the site (with the one possible exception noted above), nor do the gas measurements of Orsi et al. [2015] indicate bulk melting, so the density increase must arise from some combination of vapor diffusion from below and surface or volume mass transfer likely involving pseudo-liquid layers [Dash et al., 2006], as discussed next.

The data here show that frequently the upper surface is colder than snow beneath, which will lead to upward mass flux. We lack subcentimetric resolution in thermometry, but physical understanding indicates that very strong gradients likely develop on the centimeter scale just below the upper surface during rapid nighttime cooling. Physical understanding, the data here, and data from previously published studies indicate that intense sunshine generates a temperature maximum in the snow just below the surface (order of 1 cm) especially in low-density, low-

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

thermal-conductivity depth hoar [e.g., Alley et al., 1990; Brandt and Warren, 1993], also contributing to upward vapor transport. Hence, the upper surface is expected to gain mass from below during the crust- and hoar-forming events [Alley et al., 1990]. Windy conditions would drive undersaturated air into and out of pore spaces, removing mass, but crusts form during relatively still times. The temperature gradients measured here at WAIS Divide are similar to those observed at GISP2 by Alley et al. [1990] and more than sufficient to move the necessary vapor for crust development.

We hypothesize here that these surface conditions cause mass fluxes that fill in open pores in wind-packed layers at the surface to form glazed crusts. A physical model might be based on the following considerations. The thermal conductivity of ice greatly exceeds that of air, so heat transport in firn is primarily conductive. Ordinarily, the grain curvature adjacent to pores tends to cause diffusive mass loss, enlarging pores by filling necks between grains or other regions of lower vapor pressure. However, because heat flow is primarily through the grain structure, pores in a surface crust will tend to be colder than interconnected grains when the upper surface is colder than the firn beneath, favoring mass transport to the pore surfaces, as shown in Figure 14 [e.g., Sommerfeld, 1983; Fukuzawa and Akitaya, 1993]. Transport may occur by vapor, surface, or volume diffusion; following Alley and Fitzpatrick [1999], vapor diffusion and surface transport in premelted films are likely to dominate. Also, mass loss from relatively warm grain bonds just beneath a growing surface crust by diffusion to the colder crust will tend to lower the crust, increasing the likelihood that a pore in the crust will move downward to intersect a pre-existing grain beneath, increasing the crust density.

Although summertime crusts dominate in the ice core, many wintertime crusts were identified, raising additional questions. We lack direct observations in winter, and so can only speculate on mechanisms active then. However, the basic picture drawn above for summertime crusts may also apply in winter. The lower temperatures, and lack of intense solar heating, make crust formation less likely. However, stronger wintertime winds would allow greater wind-packing of the upper surface, producing fewer and smaller pores to be filled to make a thin crust, and thus making crust formation easier. Although accumulation is more-or-less evenly distributed through the year, we speculate (based upon variability observed in AWS data) that there may be extended intervals up to weeks in length during the winter when the surface is relatively stable, partially or completely offsetting the slower mass transport from colder temperatures. Furthermore, the AWS data show that mid-winter temperatures have risen as high as -15°C during strong warming events accompanied by high winds (> 10 m s^{-1}), and likely linked to transport of air masses from the coast. Such warm and windy air masses would produce relatively high vapor pressures, contribute to greater surface packing, and promote temperature inversions and upward near-surface vapor flux during the subsequent cooling.

The great abundance of crusts at WAIS Divide compared to other ice cores we have studied may be because conditions are "just right" at WAIS Divide. We have observed loss of a wind-packed crust at WAIS Divide, and also at GISP2 in central Greenland; the strong mass loss from ~1 cm down in the snowpack is not conducive to long-term survival of any crust there [e.g., Alley et al., 1990]. Low but nonzero summertime accumulation thus may lead to loss of crusts, whereas higher accumulation after formation buries them below that zone of mass loss and so allows their preservation. The large wintertime variability and high wintertime temperatures at WAIS Divide may be important in generating sufficiently high mass fluxes to produce wintertime crusts.

At least in summertime, crusts do seem to record a particular meteorological pattern of storms alternating with still conditions. The time-series of frequency of occurrence of crusts thus would be affected by a change in the frequency of occurrence of these conditions. Turning this into a paleoclimatic indicator would require additional steps, however, as the frequency of preserved crusts could decrease because fewer were formed or because more were destroyed, with different causes. Information on changing frequency of meteorological events might be useful [e.g., Hammer, 1985; Alley, 1988]. We believe that the clear association of crust formation with particular events, and the clear trends in crust occurrence in the core, motivate additional research on topics including crust formation in non-summer seasons, but we do not know whether this ultimately could yield a valuable paleoclimatic indicator.

5: Conclusions

Summertime observations at the WAIS Divide site show that prominent visible strata form at or very near the surface during summer, by processes that typically are repeated a few times during each summer. A storm produces a wind-packed layer. The following high-pressure system brings light winds, warm days and cool nights, strong sunshine, and low relative humidity. High-density, single-grain-thick glazed crusts preferentially form at the surface during these high-pressure intervals, in as little as a single day, and then strengthen and evolve. Crusts are extensive, although typically broken by sub-meter or few-meter uncrusted regions spaced tens of meters to more than 100 m apart. Daytime solar heating drives upward mass transport to crusts from developing depth hoar beneath, strengthening the crusts. After formation, crusts are broken by polygonal cracks extending typically 20-30 cm deep, likely from contraction during nighttime cooling. Relative humidity then rises in the air above, contributing to growth of surface hoar during nighttime cooling. Subsequent storms typically bury the crust-hoar complexes, although crusts can be lost diagenetically during evolving surface conditions if not buried below the top one to a few centimeters.

Study of the WAIS Divide deep core shows that crusts are preserved through the bubbly ice. Crusts are most common in layers deposited during summertime, but also occur in winter accumulation. Study of AWS data suggests that the intrusion of warm coastal air during winter may generate strong temperature gradients, which may contribute to wintertime crust formation in wind-packed layers.

The frequency of occurrence of crusts in the core varies with time, suggesting the possibility that crusts could be used as a paleoclimatic indicator. However, additional work would be required, including addressing whether crust frequency varies because of changes in formation or changes in destruction of crusts previously formed. The crusts do not produce significant anomalies in other ice-core paleoclimatic records, likely at least in part because they are discontinuous and broken by contraction cracks.

6: Data Availability:

Data policy: All data presented here are available via download from NSIDC (http://nsidc.org) or from the WAIS Divide data portal (http://waisdivide.unh.edu).

7: Author Contribution:

A.J. Orsi assisted with field observations and experiments. A. Muto designed the near-surface PRD sensor strings and developed the associated logging code. M. Spencer documented all ice-core crust observations during the WAIS Divide core processing at the National Ice Core Laboratory. J.M. Fegyveresi and R.B. Alley prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

8: Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge the following funding sources for support of this work: U.S. National Science Foundation Division of Polar Programs grants 0539578, 1043528, 1142085, 1619793. We also acknowledge Donald E. Voigt, Joan J. Fitzpatrick, Eric D. Cravens, and the staff of the U.S. National Ice Core Laboratory in Denver, Colorado, as well as the WAIS Divide Science Coordination Office at the University of New Hampshire, and the Ice Drilling Design and Operations group at the University of Wisconsin. We thank numerous colleagues involved with the WAIS Divide project, especially Kendrick Taylor, Mark Twickler, and Joseph Souney. We thank Bess Koffman, Gifford Wong, Dominic Winski, Aron Buffen, and Logan Mitchell for assistance with snow pit preparation. Lastly we thank Jonathan Thom and the University of Wisconsin-Madison Automatic Weather Station Program for assistance with weather station sensor installation. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

9: References

Alley, R.B., 1988. Concerning the deposition and diagenesis of strata in polar firn. *Journal of Glaciology*, 34: 283-290.

Alley, R.B., Saltzman, E.S., Cuffey, K.M. and Fitzpatrick, J.J., 1990. Summertime formation of depth hoar in central Greenland. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *17*(13): 2393-2396, doi:10.1029/GL017i013p02393.

Alley, R.B. and Fitzpatrick, J.J., 1999. Conditions for bubble elongation in cold ice-sheet ice. *Journal of Glaciology*, *45*(149): 147-153.

Alley, R.B., Shuman, C.A., Meese, D.A., Gow, A.J., Taylor, K.C., Cuffey, K.M., Fitzpatrick, J.J., Grootes, P.M., Zielinski, G.A., Ram, M. and Spinelli, G., 1997. Visual-stratigraphic dating of the GISP2 ice core: Basis, reproducibility, and application. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *102*(C12): 26367-26381, doi:10.1029/96JC03837.

Banta, J.R., McConnell, J.R., Frey, M.M., Bales, R.C. and Taylor, K., 2008. Spatial and temporal variability in snow accumulation at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide over recent centuries. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *113*(D23), doi: 10.1029/2008JD010235.

Battle, M.O., Severinghaus, J.P., Sofen, E.D., Plotkin, D., Orsi, A.J., Aydin, M., Montzka, S.A., Sowers, T. and Tans, P.P., 2011. Controls on the movement and composition of firn air at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(21), 11007-11021, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11007-2011.

Benson, C.S., 1962. Stratigraphic Studies on Greenland Ice Sheet and a Quantitative Classification of Glaciers. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *43*(4): 141.

Brandt, R.E. and Warren, S.G., 1993. Solar-heating rates and temperature profiles in Antarctic snow and ice. *Journal of Glaciology*, *39*(131), 99-110.

Buizert, C. et al., 2015. The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology–Part 1: Methane synchronization (68–31 ka BP) and the gas age–ice age difference. *Climate of the Past*, *11*(2): 153-173, doi:10.5194/cp-11-153-2015.

Champollion, N., Picard, G., Arnaud, L., Lefebvre, E. and Fily, M., 2013. Hoar crystal development and disappearance at Dome C, Antarctica: observation by near-infrared photography and passive microwave satellite. *The Cryosphere*, *7*(4): 1247-1262, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1247-2013.

Colbeck, S.C., 1982. An overview of seasonal snow metamorphism. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *20*(1): 45-61.

Colbeck, S.C., 1983. Theory of metamorphism of dry snow. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *88*(C9): 5475-5482.

Das, S.B. and Alley, R.B., 2005. Characterization and formation of melt layers in polar snow: observations and experiments from West Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, *51*(173): 307-312, doi:10.3189/172756505781829395.

Dash, J.G., Rempel A.W., and Wettlaufer J.S., 2006. The physics of premelted ice and its geophysical consequences, *Rev. Mod. Phys*. 78, 695-741, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.78.695.

Fegyveresi, J.M., 2015, Physical properties of theWest Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) Divide deep core: Development, evolution, and interpretation, PhD thesis, The Pennsylvania State Univ., State College, Pa.

Fegyveresi, J.M., Alley, R.B., Fitzpatrick, J.J., Cuffey, K.M., McConnell, J.R., Voigt, D.E., Spencer, M.K. and Stevens, N.T., 2016. Five millennia of surface temperatures and ice core bubble characteristics from the WAIS Divide deep core, West Antarctica. *Paleoceanography*, *31*: 416–433, doi:10.1002/2015PA002851.

Fitzpatrick, J.J., Voigt, D.E., Fegyveresi, J.M., Stevens, N.T., Spencer, M.K., Cole-Dai, J., Alley, R.B., Jardine, G.E., Cravens, E.D., Wilen, L.A. and Fudge, T.J., 2014. Physical properties of the WAIS Divide ice core. *Journal of Glaciology*, *60*(224), 1181-1198, doi:10.3189/2014JoG14J100.

Fukuzawa, T. and Akitaya E., 1993. Depth-Hoar Crystal-Growth in the Surface-Layer under High-Temperature Gradient. *Annals of Glaciology*, *18*: 39-45.

Gallet, J.C., Domine, F., Savarino, J., Dumont, M. and Brun, E., 2014. The growth of sublimation crystals and surface hoar on the Antarctic plateau. *The Cryosphere*, *8*(4): 1205-1215, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1205-2014.

Gow, A. 1965. On the accumulation and seasonal stratification of snow at the South Pole. *Journal of Glaciology*, *5*(40): 467-477.

Gow, A. 1969. On the rates of growth of grains and crystals in south polar firn. *Journal of Glaciology*, *8*(53): 241-252.

Hammer, C.U., 1985. The influence on atmospheric composition of volcanic eruptions as derived from ice-core analysis. *Annals of Glaciology*, *7*: 125-129.

Koerner, R.M. 1971. A stratigraphic method of determining the snow accumulation at Plateau Station, Antarctica, and application to South Pole-Queen Maud Land traverse 2, 1965-1966. In Crary, A.P. *Antarctic snow and ice studies II*, (Washington, DC, American Geophysical Union): 225-238.

Lazzara, M.A., Weidner, G.A., Keller, L.M. ,Thom J.E., and Cassano, J.J., 2012. Antarctic Automatic Weather Station Program 30 Years of Polar Observations. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *93*(10): 1519-1537, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00015.1.

Mitchell, L.E., Buizert, C., Brook, E.J., Breton, D.J., Fegyveresi, J., Baggenstos, D., Orsi, A., Severinghaus, J., Alley, R.B., Albert, M. and Rhodes, R.H., 2015. Observing and modeling the influence of layering on bubble trapping in polar firn. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *120*(6): 2558-2574, doi:10.1002/2014JD022766.

Muto, A., Scambos, T.A., Steffen, K., Slater, A.G. and Clow, G.D., 2011. Recent surface temperature trends in the interior of East Antarctica from borehole firn temperature measurements and geophysical inverse methods. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *38*(15), doi:10.1029/2011GL048086.

Orsi, A.J., Kawamura, K., Fegyveresi, J.M., Headly, M.A., Alley, R.B. and Severinghaus, J.P., 2015. Differentiating bubble-free layers from melt layers in ice cores using noble gases. *Journal of Glaciology*, *61*(227): 585-594, doi:10.3189/2015JoG14J237.

Sigl, M. et al., 2016. The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology–Part 2: Annual-layer counting (0–31 ka BP). *Climate of the Past*, *12*(3): 769-786, doi:10.5194/cp-12-769-2016.

Sommerfeld, R.A., 1983. A branch grain theory of temperature gradient metamorphism in snow. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *88*(C2): 1484-1494, doi:10.1029/JC088iC02p01484.

Sorge, E., 1935. Glaziologische Untersuchungen in Eismitte. *Brockamp, B., and others. Glaziologie. Leipzig, FA Brockhaus*, *935*: 62-270.

WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013. Onset of deglacial warming in West Antarctica driven by local orbital forcing. *Nature*, *500*(7463): 440-444, doi:10.1038/nature12376.

Weller, G. 1969. The heat and mass balance of snow dunes on the central Antarctic Plateau. *Journal of Glaciology*, *8*: 277-284.



Figure 1: A thick-section image of a sample prepared from a depth of ~77.260 meters showing two preserved crusts. Both layers are ~1 mm thick and appear mostly bubble-free. All bubbles here appear white, with the surrounding ice black. The general elongated shape of the bubbles is due the proximity of this sample to the bubble close-off depth at WAIS Divide of ~75 meters). This sample is from the secondary WDC05A core at the WAIS Divide site. Image modified from Orsi et al. [2015].

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 2: The lead author in a 2-meter snow pit prepared at WAIS Divide (pit 2009-10-A). Multi-grain crusts (a, b), preserved sastrugi with cross-bedding (c), and hoar layers (d) are all easily identifiable.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016



514
515 **Figure 3:** Surface "glaze" (a) that formed on a calm, sunny day (23-Dec-2012) at WAIS Divide,
516 and the subsequent surface hoar layer (b) that formed on its surface after several calm days.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016



Figure 4: Surface "glaze" seen at the WAIS Divide site. (a). A zoomed-in view shows the polygonal cracking that initiates at the surface from thermal contraction, following several sunny, clear-sky days (b). Closer inspection reveals greater detail and scale of a crack triple-junction (c).

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 5: Surface evolution over 29 days in 2009-10 season, and AWS data. Shading shows episodes of surface hoar, glazes, and polygonal cracking; storm events are also shown. Letters near the top refer to photographs above of specific features or events. All dates and times are GMT (-12 WAIS local time). The errors for all AWS instruments are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 6: Surface evolution over 20 days in 2010-11 season, and AWS data. Shading shows episodes of surface hoar, glazes, and polygonal cracking; storm events are also shown. Letters near the top refer to photographs above of specific features or events. All dates and times are GMT (-12 WAIS local time). The errors for all AWS instruments are listed in Supplemental Table S1.



Figure 7: Surface evolution over 12 days in 2011-12 season, and AWS data. Shading shows episodes of surface hoar, glazes, and polygonal cracking; storm events are also shown. Letters near the top refer to photographs above of specific features or events. All dates and times are GMT (-12 WAIS local time). The errors for all AWS instruments are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016



Figure 8: Surface evolution over 12 days in 2012-13 season, and AWS data. Shading shows episodes of surface hoar, glazes, and polygonal cracking; storm events are also shown. Letters near the top refer to photographs above of specific features or events. All dates and times are GMT (-12 WAIS local time). The errors for all AWS instruments are listed in Supplemental Table S1.



Figure 9: A firn sample excavated from a glazed area at WAIS Divide before the onset of polygonal cracking, showing a couplet of an evolved high-density ~3 mm multi-grain surface crust containing single-grain crusts, and overlying a lower-density hoar layer.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 10: Temperature measurements (1 min interval) in firn from the 2012-13 season, from the upper-most three PRDs (surface down to 40 cm). Data are from the four sensor stations closest to the station. The shaded area corresponds to an episode of glaze and hoar growth (see Fig. 8). Distinct near-surface temperature inversions occurred each night during this 3-day period (see Fig. 11). Sensor #4 was not installed until Dec 22nd, and therefore did not equilibrate until early on the 23rd as indicated. Air temperature is also shown as recorded by the AWS (errors listed in Supplemental Table S1). The AWS temperature sensor is located ~1 meter above the snow surface. All dates and times are GMT (-12 WAIS local time).

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 11: Snap-shot temperature readings for PRD-string stations #1-4, taken on 24-Dec-2012 at ~12:30 GMT, showing the temperature inversion with colder air (AWS data) and upper surface over warmer near-surface firn.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016



Figure 12: History of crust occurrence (crusts year^{-1}) in the bubbly-ice zone of the WDC06A core that we studied in detail (~120 – 577 m depth); ages (C.E.) are from the WD2014 depth-age scale). 10,268 unique crusts were documented in the core, for an average rate of 4.3 ± 2 per year (dashed blue line). Data are shown as 20-yr moving averages for ease of view, with an added 1st-order LOESS smoothing trend-curve (200-yr bin-width). The sharp decline in crust prevalence after ~1750 C.E. may be due to observational biasing in the shallow firn.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016



Figure 13: Crust distribution by month (1=January, 2=February,…12=December) based on assumption that each summer pick in the WD2014 depth-age scale is January 1, and then interpolating linearly. Crusts occur year-round but more commonly in summer accumulation. The smoothed curve is a 1st-order LOESS trend curve (width = 2).

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere
Discussions
Open Access



Figure 14: Schematic illustrating possible mass and heat transports during during formation of a single-grain glazed crust, when the near-subsurface is warmer than the surface. Heat flow is primarily through the grain structure (blue), so pores (white) in the surface layer will be colder than interconnected grains, favoring mass transport from the grains to those pores, increasing density of the surface layer.

The Cryosphere Discuss., doi:10.5194/tc-2016-155, 2016
Manuscript under review for journal The Cryosphere
Published: 29 June 2016

The Cryosphere Discussions
Open Access
EGU

Table 1: Field observation table (see also Figs. 5, 6, 7, 8).

Field Season	Observation Window	Observation Duration	AWS	Other Instrumentation	Pit
2008-2009[1]	12-Dec-2008 : 10-Jan-2009	~29 days	--	--	x
2009-2010[1]	27-Dec-2009 : 25-Jan-2010	~29 days	W,H,T	--	x
2010-2011	20-Dec-2010 : 09-Jan-2011	~20 days	W,H,T	--	x
2011-2012[1]	25-Dec-2011 : 04-Jan-2012	~12 days	W,H,T,I	Dual Li-Cor LI200 sensors	x
				Kipp-Zonen CNR2 sensor	
2012-2013[1]	18-Dec-2012 : 30-Dec-2012	~12 days	W,H,T,I	Shallow PRD strings[2]	x

W,H,T,I - Wind, Humidity, Temperature, Insolation
[1]Fegyveresi, 2015
[2]Muto et al., 2011