



# On the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet to sea level change

Michiel van den Broeke<sup>1</sup>, Ellyn Enderlin<sup>2</sup>, Ian Howat<sup>3</sup>, Peter Kuipers Munneke<sup>1</sup>, Brice Noël<sup>1</sup>, Willem Jan van de Berg<sup>1</sup>, Erik van Meijgaard<sup>4</sup>, and Bert Wouters<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Climate Change Institute and School of Earth and Climate Sciences, University of Maine, USA

<sup>3</sup>School of Earth Sciences, Ohio State University, USA

<sup>4</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, The Netherlands

*Correspondence to:* Michiel van den Broeke (m.r.vandenbroeke@uu.nl)

**Abstract.** We assess the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS) to sea level change. We use the mass budget method, which quantifies ice sheet mass balance ( $MB$ ) as the difference between surface mass balance ( $SMB$ ) and solid ice discharge across the grounding line ( $D$ ). A comparison with independent gravity change observations from GRACE shows good agreement for the overlapping period 2002-2015, giving confidence in the partitioning of recent GrIS mass changes.

- 5 The estimated 1995 value of  $D$  and the 1958-1995 average value of  $SMB$  are similar at 411 and 418 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, suggesting that ice flow in the mid-nineties was well adjusted to the average annual mass input, reminiscent of an ice sheet in approximate balance. Starting in the early to mid-1990's,  $SMB$  decreased while  $D$  increased, leading to quasi-persistent negative  $MB$ . About 60% of the associated mass loss since 1991 is caused by changes in  $SMB$  and the remainder by  $D$ . The decrease in  $SMB$  is fully driven by an increase in surface melt and subsequent meltwater runoff, which is slightly compensated
- 10 by a small ( $< 3\%$ ) increase in snowfall. The excess runoff originates from low-lying ( $< 2000$  m a.s.l.) parts of the ice sheet; higher up, increased refreezing prevents runoff of meltwater to occur, at the expense of increased firn temperatures and depleted pore space. With a 1991-2015 average annual mass loss of  $\sim 0.47 \pm 0.23$  mm sea level equivalent (SLE) and a peak contribution of 1.2 mm SLE in 2012, the GrIS has recently become a major source of global mean sea level rise.



## 1 Introduction

Three methods are commonly used to assess the mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS): gravimetry, radar/laser altimetry and the mass budget method. Each method has distinct advantages and disadvantages. The main advantage of gravity observations is that they provide, once corrected and deconvolved, a relatively direct measure of glacial mass change; as a result, several years after its launch, the dual-satellite Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) mission was able to confirm that the GrIS is losing mass (Velicogna and Wahr, 2006). The main drawbacks of this technique are the relative brevity of the time series (since late 2002), the large footprint of  $\sim 200$  km and the fact that corrections must be made for mass movements in atmosphere, ocean, soil and solid earth (e.g. glacial isostatic adjustment, or GIA) in order to isolate ice mass changes.

Like GRACE, satellite altimetry provides full coverage of the GrIS. The time series are longer, starting in the mid-1990's, with aerial photography extending some of the records back to the mid-1980's and earlier (Kjær et al., 2012; Kjeldsen et al., 2015). Difficulties in interpreting radar altimeter data arise from the variable penetration depth of the radar signal in firn (Thomas et al., 2008) and, especially for the earlier instruments, signal loss along the steep coastal margins. The radar altimeter onboard CryoSat-2, launched in 2010, partly mitigates these issues and shows GrIS elevation changes in unprecedented detail (Helm et al., 2014). ICESat's laser altimeter measured the surface elevation change accurately but was sensitive to cloud cover and had a relatively large ground track separation. The various altimeter records must be inter-calibrated and spatially interpolated between ground tracks before a continuous time series is obtained. Both radar and laser altimeters measure ice sheet volume changes which must be converted to mass changes using a model that accounts for vertical bedrock motion and variability in the depth and mass of the firn layer, which introduces additional uncertainties (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2015).

The mass budget method (MBM) estimates the difference between individual mass sources (mainly snowfall) and sinks (mainly meltwater runoff and solid ice discharge). Because it resolves the individual components of the mass balance, this method has the advantage that it identifies the physical processes that are responsible for the mass change. However, because the mass change represents the relatively small difference between three large source and sink terms, it is very sensitive to uncertainties in any of these. This is especially true for surface mass fluxes such as snowfall and meltwater runoff; because these cannot be measured from space, they must be interpolated from scarce *in situ* measurements and/or simulated using dedicated regional climate models, which introduces potentially large uncertainties (Vernon et al., 2013).

Shepherd et al. (2012) reconciled results of the three methods for the GrIS to obtain an average GrIS 1992–2011 mass loss of  $142 \pm 49$  Gt  $\text{yr}^{-1}$ . The fifth assessment report of Working Group I of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), while weighing the results of the various studies somewhat differently, arrived at a similar conclusion and shows that in 2012 the GrIS had become the largest single contributor to sea level rise (Vaughan et al., 2013). A compilation of GrIS mass balance studies covering sub-periods of the satellite era confirms that the mass loss of the GrIS is accelerating (Hanna et al., 2013).

Combining two or more methods may reduce uncertainties and may provide additional insights in the physical processes causing the mass loss. Sasgen et al. (2012) used GRACE, the MBM and altimetry to assess mass changes in seven GrIS regions; for the GrIS as a whole, between August 2002 and August 2010 they found a mass trend of  $228 \pm 22$  Gt  $\text{yr}^{-1}$ , with



an acceleration of  $15 \pm 7 \text{ Gt yr}^{-2}$ . Rignot et al. (2011) used a combination of GRACE and the MBM to show that GrIS mass loss between 1992 and 2010 accelerated by  $17\text{-}22 \text{ Gt yr}^{-2}$ . When combined with surface mass balance fields, satellite altimetry can be used to spatially separate mass losses arising from ice dynamical (i.e. driven by ice flow) and surface (i.e. driven by the atmosphere) processes (Csatho et al., 2014; Kjeldsen et al., 2015). Using anomalies relative to a reference period, Van den Broeke et al. (2009) showed that 1996-2008 GrIS mass loss was approximately equally partitioned between increased surface meltwater runoff and ice discharge. Enderlin et al. (2014) showed that the relative contribution of ice discharge to total GrIS mass loss decreased from 58% before 2005 to 32% between 2009 and 2012, indicating an increasingly important role for surface processes.

In this paper we combine GRACE data and the MBM, using new *SMB* and *D* data that allow updating the time series to 2015, to identify the causes and temporal evolution of recent (1958-2015) GrIS mass loss and its contribution to sea level rise. In Section 2 we discuss methods, in Section 3 we discuss results and in Section 4 we identify outstanding problems and avenues for future research.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Definitions

The mass balance (*MB*) of an ice sheet, usually expressed in  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$ , represents the change of its mass in time  $dM/dt$ . Neglecting basal melting of grounded ice, which typically does not exceed several mm per year, and assuming the grounding line position to remain unchanged, the *MB* of the grounded ice sheet is governed by the difference between surface mass balance (*SMB*) and solid ice discharge across the grounding line (*D*):

$$MB = \frac{dM}{dt} = SMB - D \quad (1)$$

*SMB* represents the sum of mass fluxes towards and away from the ice sheet surface:

$$SMB = P_{tot} - SU_{tot} - ER_{ds} - RU \quad (2)$$

where  $P_{tot}$  is total precipitative flux (sum of snowfall *SN* and rainfall *RA*),  $SU_{tot}$  is total sublimation (from the surface and from drifting snow particles),  $ER_{ds}$  is erosion of snow by divergence of the drifting snow transport and *RU* is meltwater runoff.<sup>1</sup> The accumulation/ablation zones of an ice sheet are defined as the areas where  $SMB > 0$  and  $SMB < 0$ , respectively.

These two zones are separated by the equilibrium line, where  $SMB = 0$ .

<sup>1</sup> *SMB* as defined here, i.e. including the subsurface processes retention and refreezing, is sometimes also referred to as *climatic mass balance*, to distinguish it from processes taking place purely at the surface.



The amount of runoff  $RU$  from the ice sheet is determined by the liquid water balance  $LWB$ , the sum of all sources (mainly rainfall and melt) and sinks (mainly refreezing and capillary retention) of liquid water in the column of firn and/or ice:

$$RU = RA + CO + ME - RT - RF \quad (3)$$

where  $CO$  is condensation of water vapour at the ice sheet surface,  $ME$  is surface meltwater production,  $RT$  is retention of liquid water in the snow/firn by capillary forces and  $RF$  is refreezing of liquid water at or below the surface. Equation (3) in first order includes processes associated with the formation of perennial firn aquifers (Forster et al., 2013; Kuipers Munneke et al., 2014), but neglects the delay in runoff by storage of meltwater in semi-permanent supra-, sub- and englacial lakes and channels, which is potentially significant (Rennermalm et al., 2013).

In summary, to quantify the mass balance of the GrIS, the MBM relies on the quantification of mass sinks and sources in equations (1), (2) and (3).

## 2.2 Data sources

To calculate GrIS  $MB$  using the mass budget method we combine  $SMB$  components calculated with the regional atmospheric climate model RACMO2.3 with annual estimates of  $D$ , updated from Enderlin et al. (2014). The latter data represent discharge summed over all marine terminating glaciers wider than 1 km, and cover the 16-year period 2000-2015. Between 1996 and 2000 we assume a linear increase in  $D$  of in total 38 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> following Rignot et al. (2008). In the absence of data, no changes in  $D$  are assumed between 1958 and 1996. A seasonal cycle in ice sheet wide  $D$  is not considered, although it is well known that marine-terminating outlet glaciers can show pronounced (sub-)seasonal velocity oscillations (Moon et al., 2014). Because seasonal acceleration leads to thinning, the effect on  $D$  is smaller than based on velocity changes alone. Moreover, by using the median annual velocity, seasonal variability is accounted for to a large extent (Enderlin et al., 2014). Land-terminating glaciers also exhibit a seasonal cycle in their velocity (Van de Wal et al., 2008; Joughin et al., 2008; Bartholomew et al., 2011; Sole et al., 2013), but this does not influence  $D$ .

$SMB$  components are derived from a run with RACMO2.3 for the period January 1958-December 2015, using 40 vertical layers and a horizontal resolution of  $\sim 11 \times 11$  km<sup>2</sup> (Nöel et al., 2015). Figure 1 shows the Greenland domain of RACMO2.3, which consists of 312 (latitude) x 306 (longitude) grid cells and includes Iceland, the Svalbard archipelago and the Canadian Arctic. The model is forced at the lateral boundaries by the 40-year European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Re-Analysis (ERA-40) for the period January 1958 - December 1979 and the ECMWF Interim Reanalysis (ERA-Interim) afterwards.

The polar version of RACMO2.3 has been especially developed to simulate  $SMB$  of glaciated regions and is an update of RACMO2.1 (Ettema et al., 2009; Van Angelen et al., 2014). It is interactively coupled to a multilayer one-dimensional (column) firn model that simulates (sub-)surface processes like vertical heat transport, grain growth, firn densification, meltwater percolation, retention and refreezing. RACMO2.3 uses a prognostic calculation of snow grain size from which broadband snow albedo is derived (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2011). Ice albedo is not explicitly calculated, as it is influenced by poorly known





processes such as dust accumulation and biological activity; to account for its considerable spatial heterogeneity (Bøggild et al., 2010), ice albedo is prescribed from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard the Terra and Aqua satellites (Stroeve et al., 2005).

RACMO2.3 includes a routine for drifting snow sublimation, which removes on average 25 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> from the ice sheet (Lenaerts et al., 2012), with little interannual variability; when compared to scarce observations, this scheme was found to accurately predict the occurrence of drifting snow, but to overestimate drifting snow transport, and thus likely also overestimate drifting snow sublimation, although no direct observations are available to verify this.

Other RACMO2.3 modelled *SMB* components and atmospheric parameters have also been extensively evaluated against *in situ* observations both in the accumulation and ablation zone of the GrIS (Ettema et al., 2009; Noël et al., 2015). From these comparisons, typical uncertainties of 9% and 15 % were found for ice-sheet wide integrated accumulation and ablation, respectively. These are combined into an uncertainty for ice sheet wide *SMB* assuming accumulation and ablation to be independent. We note that this assumption is debatable, as ablation and accumulation tend to be connected via surface albedo, especially in summer (Fyke et al., 2014; Noël et al., 2015). For a more detailed description of recent changes in RACMO2.3 model physics and how that impacts the modelled *SMB* of the GrIS, the reader is referred to Noël et al. (2015) and references therein.

We compare ice sheet mass balance obtained from the mass budget method, i.e.  $MB = SMB - D$ , with monthly Gravity Recovery And Climate Experiment (GRACE) gravity field solutions. Mass variations for the Greenland Ice Sheet were derived from the CSR RL05 data release (April 2002- September 2015), following the method described in Wouters et al. (2008). In brief, regional mass anomalies are adjusted in a model consisting of eight pre-defined GrIS basins and the resulting gravity disturbance is computed. The modelled mass anomalies are then adjusted until convergence with the actual GRACE observations is reached. All standard corrections are applied to the GRACE data, including a correction for GIA, based on the model of A et al. (2013). The uncertainty in monthly GRACE values and mass trend are estimated at 40 Gt and 20 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. To assess the methodological uncertainty in the GRACE time series, results were compared to mass anomalies from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) mascons using a fully independent approach (Watkins et al., 2015). No significant differences in trend and interannual variability were found. GRACE data are typically provided for mid-month, while cumulated *SMB* values from RACMO2.3 represent the end of the month, so we interpolated the GRACE data to the end of the month. Missing monthly values were linearly interpolated. Because GRACE provides mass anomalies in time  $\delta MB(t)$  rather than mass balance  $dM/dt$ , *SMB* and *D* must be integrated in time before being subtracted and compared with GRACE. Alternatively, we could also use the temporal derivative of the GRACE time series to obtain  $dM/dt$ , but given the inherent noise in the GRACE data this would introduce large uncertainties.



### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Comparing MBM and GRACE

In this paper we are principally interested in the mass balance of the contiguous ice sheet, the main reason being that Greenland's peripheral glaciers and ice caps (GIC) are usually assumed part of the global population of glaciers when a mass balance assessment is made, e.g. Radic and Hock (2011). However, because GRACE has a footprint similar to the maximum width of the ice-free tundra in Greenland ( $\sim 200$  km), it cannot readily separate mass changes of the contiguous ice sheet from those of GIC. Moreover, GRACE mass signals also include the waxing and waning of the tundra seasonal snow cover and hydrological signals. Although the latter two processes do in principle not contribute to long-term mass changes, because of their seasonal character, they do represent a significant seasonal cycle in mass loading over Greenland that varies from year to year, modifying the amplitude of the GRACE signal (Bevis et al., 2012). To enable a meaningful comparison with GRACE therefore requires integrating surface mass fluxes over the entire island, including the GIC and ice-free tundra. This is rather straightforward, because both are explicitly modelled in RACMO2.3, albeit the seasonal snow cover with a simpler (single-layer, no refreezing) snow model (Dutra et al., 2010). This island-integrated SMB, only used in this subsection (3.1), is indicated by  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$ . No marine terminating outlet glaciers wider than 1 km wide originate from detached ice caps, so we neglect their contribution to  $D$  and assume mass changes in GIC to be solely caused by  $SMB$ , i.e.  $D_{\text{Greenland}} = D$  and  $MB_{\text{Greenland}} = SMB_{\text{Greenland}} - D$ .

The most direct way to compare MBM and GRACE is to cumulate  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  and  $D$  in time and calculate the difference to get cumulative  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$ , i.e.  $\delta MB$  relative to 1 January 1958. Figure 2 shows these cumulative values (expressed in Gt), starting at zero on 1 January 1958 when our time series of  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  starts. For reference, the equivalent mass required for 5 cm global mean sea level change is indicated on the left. Until mid-1996, cumulative  $D$  represents a straight line, because its annual value is assumed constant at the 1996 value of  $411 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ . The average value of  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  over the period 1958-1995 is within 2% of this value ( $418 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ ), resulting in an estimated pre-1996 cumulative mass balance (red line) that remains close to zero, in line with previous results of (Howat and Eddy, 2011). The fact that the estimated 1995 value of  $D$  and the 1958-1995 average value of  $SMB$  are similar suggests that ice flow in the mid-nineties was well adjusted to the average annual mass input of the previous decades, reminiscent of an ice sheet in approximate balance. Because we do not include a seasonal cycle in  $D$ , the mass curve shows a gradual wintertime increase, when  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  exceeds  $D$  in magnitude and Greenland gains mass, and a steep summer drop, when  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  becomes strongly negative and acts together with  $D$  to remove mass from Greenland.

After 1995, following the acceleration of several large outlet glaciers in the southeast and northwest (Rignot and Kanagarathnam, 2006; Moon et al., 2012),  $D$  increased while simultaneously  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  decreased. As a result, their cumulated values in Figure 2 curve upward and downward, respectively, and cumulative  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$  becomes persistently negative as a result. According to Figure 2, the most significant mass loss derives from the last 1-2 decades, and it is a fortunate coincidence that the GRACE mission covered most of this period. The recent Greenland mass evolution from the MBM agrees qualitatively well with the GRACE observations, represented by the dark grey line in Figure 2. Note that, because GRACE measures mass changes rather than absolute mass, the time series have been vertically offset by 1000 Gt for clarity without losing information.



Figure 3a zooms in on the time series of cumulative  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$  and GRACE during the overlapping period (2002-2015). Although the seasonal oscillations in the GRACE time series have lost some of their amplitude because of interpolation, Figure 3b confirms the good agreement ( $R^2 > 0.995$ ) between the fully independent time series. The seasonal and interannual variations in the GRACE time series are qualitatively well reproduced, with the largest summertime mass losses in 2007, 2010 and 2012, limited mass loss in 2013 and large interannual variability in wintertime accumulation. The magnitude of a fitted linear trend over the period 2003-2014 is also similar,  $-294 \pm 5 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  in  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$  and  $-270 \pm 4 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  in GRACE. These errors represent fitting uncertainties, the real uncertainties in the trends are estimated at  $20 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  in both time series. This good agreement between both methods is partly fortuitous owing to compensating biases in distinct  $SMB$  components (see section 4). Nonetheless, Figures 2 and 3 inspire sufficient confidence to support a more quantitative analysis of the components of year-to-year contributions of the GrIS to global average sea level rise.

### 3.2 Temporal SMB variability

In order to combine  $SMB$  with annual  $D$  in subsection 3.4 we integrated  $SMB$  components over the contiguous GrIS ice mask of RACMO2.3 and over calendar years. Figure 4 shows the resulting time series of the main  $SMB$  components, with 1991-2015 trends included as dashed lines. Table 1 lists the averages and trends over the climate period 1961-1990, for which the GrIS was assumed to be in approximate balance, and the recent melting period 1991-2015.

Total annual precipitation ( $P_{\text{tot}}$ ) typically varies between 600 and 800  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$ , without significant trend over the full period. A small positive trend in  $P_{\text{tot}}$  of 0.3% per year for the period 1961-1990 is followed by an insignificant negative trend in the subsequent decades. Although total precipitation on the GrIS has not significantly changed over the last six decades, the rainfall  $RA$  fraction has increased in response to a warmer atmosphere. During 1961-1990, 3.3% or 23  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$  of the modelled precipitation on the ice sheet fell as rain, increasing to 3.9% or 28  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$  in 1991-2015. For the full period, the annual rain fraction varied between 2.0% and 7.0%, the latter value occurring in 2012. Total sublimation and drifting snow erosion are relatively constant from year to year and do not show significant trends.

During 1961-1990, the small positive trends in melt  $ME$  and runoff  $RU$  were offset by a similarly small precipitation increase, resulting in an insignificant trend in  $SMB$ . This changed dramatically in the ensuing period (1991-2015), during which  $ME$  and  $RU$  trends increased six- to sevenfold. In combination with a small decrease in precipitation, this led to a sharp decrease in  $SMB$  of 3.3% per year. A synchronous increase in refreezing  $RF$  has limited the mass loss: based on annual values, the refrozen fraction  $RF/(RA+ME)$  varied between 32% and 57%, underlining the great importance of firn processes for contemporary GrIS mass balance. During 1991-2015, the refrozen fraction averaged 41%, down from 44% in 1961-1990, signalling a decrease in the retention efficiency of the GrIS firn layer. In RACMO2.3 this is mainly caused by a decrease in firn pore space (Van Angelen et al., 2014), but in reality this effect is exacerbated by the formation of impenetrable ice lenses during warm summers, preventing the surface meltwater to reach the deeper firn layers and use the full retention potential (Machguth et al., 2016).

Figure 4 clearly demonstrates the large interannual variability of the major GrIS  $SMB$  components  $P_{\text{tot}}$ ,  $ME$  and  $RU$ . For  $ME$  and  $RU$ , the peak of 2012 stands out, with a modelled melt flux in excess of 1000 Gt, i.e. 1 Tt, exceeding the previous



record of 2010 ( $\sim 800$  Gt) by a wide margin. Remarkably, the summer of 2013 saw a return to near-normal melt conditions, with melt close to the 1961-1990 average. This exceptional interannual variability in the melt climate of the GrIS points towards important roles for large scale atmospheric drivers (Fettweis et al., 2013) and local feedback processes. Especially important is the albedo-melt feedback (Box et al., 2012), which constitutes the darkening of snow once it has melted, as well as the  
 5 lengthening of the exposure of dark, bare ice in the ablation zone once the winter snow has melted away (Tedesco et al., 2011). But precipitation, where feedbacks play a lesser role, is also highly variable from year to year; for instance,  $P_{\text{tot}}$  increased by  $\sim 300$  Gt  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  between 1971 and 1972, a year-to-year change equivalent to 40% of the long-term average.

### 3.3 Spatial SMB variability

In this section we discuss the spatial distribution of changes in liquid water balance ( $LWB$ ) and  $SMB$  components between  
 10 the climatic period 1961-1990 and the recent period of GrIS mass loss. For a description of spatial differences in  $D$  the reader is referred to e.g. Enderlin et al. (2014) and Csatho et al. (2014). To maximise the length and to avoid spurious trends, we let the recent period start in 1991 rather than in 1995, when the first changes became noticeable. Figures 5 to 8 show the average for 1961-1990 (a) and the difference between 1991-2015 and 1961-1990 (b) of melt  $ME$ , refreezing  $RF$ , runoff  $RU$  and  $SMB$ , respectively. In these maps, mass flux (difference) is expressed as  $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$ , equivalent to  $\text{mm w.e. yr}^{-1}$ . Note  
 15 that over non-glaciated areas, RACMO2.3 uses a simpler snow model that does not calculate refreezing, only melt and runoff;  $SMB$  is therefore only calculated and physically meaningful over glaciated areas.

Figure 5a shows that over tundra, the average annual melt rate ( $ME$ ) is limited by the annual snowfall, which explains the generally lower values when compared to the adjacent glaciated areas, where ablated ice is continuously replenished by glacier flow. Over glaciated areas,  $ME$  increases strongly with decreasing elevation and latitude, reaching  $3500$   $\text{mm w.e. yr}^{-1}$  in the  
 20 low-lying parts of the southwestern GrIS. Although higher observed melt rates have been reported for the GrIS ablation zone, this concerns mainly locally very dark ice surfaces and/or isolated glacier tongues surrounded by ice free land that are not well resolved at the model resolution of  $11$  km (Fausto and van As, 2012).

Most of the meltwater produced at higher elevations refreezes in the cold firn. Figure 6a shows that refreezing ( $RF$ ) peaks in the lower accumulation zone between  $1000$ - $2000$  m a.s.l., where significant summer melt occurs yet the firn layer still has  
 25 sufficient pore space to store the meltwater. As a result, all runoff ( $RU$ ) from the GrIS occurs from ice sheet regions roughly below  $2000$  m a.s.l. in the south and  $1500$  m a.s.l. in the north (Figure 7a). The resulting 1961-1990  $SMB$  distribution (Figure 8a) shows relatively wide ( $50$ - $150$  km) ablation zones in the dry southwest, north and northeast of the GrIS, and narrow ( $10$ - $50$  km) ablation zones in the wetter and therefore steeper-sloped northwest and southeast of the GrIS.

Relative to 1961-1990, melt in 1991-2015 has increased everywhere over the GrIS (Figure 5b). The change is not statistically  
 30 significant on the higher ice sheet domes, where melt occurs intermittently. Integrated over the contiguous GrIS, melt has increased from  $433$  Gt  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  in 1961-1990 to  $581$  Gt  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  in 1991-2015, an increase of 34% (see Table 1). Not all additional liquid water reaches the ocean: part of the mass loss is buffered by increased  $RF$ . Table 1 and Figure 4 show that 29% of the combined increase in  $ME$  and  $RA$  is buffered by increased  $RF$ . Figure 6b clearly shows that this increase in  $RF$  is confined to areas where the firn layer has sufficient storage capacity, i.e. well above the equilibrium line. As a result, the increase in  $RU$



is confined to the ablation zone and the lower accumulation zone (Figure 7b). Resulting changes in the *SMB* (Figure 8b) have two components: a significant decrease in the ablation zone and lower accumulation zone that mirrors the change in *RU*, and a partially significant increase in the interior, owing to an increase in snowfall. The result is that *SMB* gradients have steepened along the perimeter of the ice sheet, which is also visible in high-resolution altimetry (Helm et al., 2014).

- 5 In line with observations, the neighbouring ice caps in the Canadian Arctic, Iceland and Svalbard have also experienced strongly increased melt rates. *ME* decreased only over some non-glaciated areas, mainly as a result of decreased (winter) snow accumulation. Only the interior parts of the highest and coldest ice caps in the northern Canadian Arctic remain free of runoff, while ice caps in the southern Canadian Arctic, Iceland and Svalbard all produce runoff from the entire ice surface.

### 3.4 Temporal MB variability

- 10 Figure 9 combines GrIS integrated values of *SMB* and *D* into ice sheet mass balance (*MB*) with uncertainties as defined in section 2.2. Linear trends for the period 1991-2015 are indicated by dashed lines. The equivalent sea level rise (Eq. SLR) for negative *MB* is provided on the lower right axis. The *MB* values before 1996 are uncertain because reliable estimates of *D* are missing, although previous work reported little difference between discharge estimates from the early 1960's and the mid 1990's (Rignot et al., 2008). Before 1995, under the assumption of constant ice discharge, we see that *MB* typically varied  
 15 between +200 and -200 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, with an average close to zero. After 1995, *MB* becomes persistently negative, with a minimum in 2012 of  $-446 \pm 114$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, equivalent to a SLR of  $1.2 \pm 0.3$  mm yr<sup>-1</sup>. In 2013, *MB* sharply increased in response to a summer with near-normal surface melt conditions; this temporarily limited GrIS mass loss but did not eliminate it, because *D* remained elevated. After 2013, *ME* and *RU* increased once more, reducing *SMB* and increasing the mass loss to values again approaching 1 mm equivalent SLR in 2015.
- 20 The period-average mass loss we obtain here can for instance be compared to the Ice sheet Mass Balance Intercomparison Experiment (IMBIE) results in Shepherd et al. (2012), which used data of gravimetry, altimetry and MBM to reconcile differences in ice sheet mass balance. For the periods 1992-2000 and 2000-2011, average values for GrIS *MB* in that (this) study are  $-51 \pm 65$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> ( $-35 \pm 79$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>) and  $-211 \pm 37$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup> ( $-236 \pm 86$  Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>). Although not fully independent, this good agreement suggests that the uncertainties in these numbers may actually be smaller than stated.
- 25 The decrease in *MB* since 1991 is significant and indicates an acceleration of the mass loss of  $16.8 \pm 2.8$  Gt yr<sup>-2</sup>. This is somewhat less than the  $21.9 \pm 1$  Gt yr<sup>-2</sup> reported by Rignot et al. (2011) for the period 1992-2010, which is obviously caused by the inclusion of years after 2012 with higher *MB*. For the same period 1992-2010 we obtain  $21.8 \pm 3.7$  Gt yr<sup>-2</sup>, i.e. a number very close to Rignot et al. (2011). Using trends in *SMB* and *D* as reliable indicators for mass loss partitioning, the acceleration is caused for 61% by a decrease in *SMB* and for the remainder by an increase in *D*. Again owing to the inclusion  
 30 of the years 2013-2015, this partitioning between the two components is somewhat closer to equality than reported in Enderlin et al. (2014).



#### 4 Discussion and conclusions

These results show that GrIS  $MB$  has been persistently negative since 1998, and continues to be negative in spite of a temporal rebound in 2013. The mass loss that occurred each year between 2006 and 2012 was unprecedented since 1958. How significant are these recent mass losses and how do they impact the future resilience of the ice sheet?

- 5 First we note that the capacity of the firn layer to buffer runoff (Harper et al., 2012) is compromised by increased meltwater refreezing. The associated release of latent heat warms the firn, reducing its cold content and enhances dry firn compaction, which together with the refrozen mass reduces the pore space where meltwater can be stored and refrozen. Figure 10 shows results of calculations with an offline firn densification model forced with RACMO2.3 output. It shows that firn temperatures in response to enhanced melt and refreezing have increased by up to 5 K in the lower accumulation area (Figure 10a). Firn air  
10 content, defined as the vertically integrated depth of the air column (expressed in m), decreased by up to 6 m in the same areas (Figure 10b). These changes are highly significant considering that typical values for total firn air content in the dry snow zone range between 20-25 m (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2015). Recent research has shown that warm summers can generate thick ice layers that prevent meltwater from reaching the deeper pores, further reducing the meltwater buffering capacity (Machguth et al., 2016).
- 15 The mass overturning rate of an ice sheet is defined as its total mass divided by the annual mass gain. We approximate the latter by the 1961-1990 average of  $SN - SU_{tot} = 632 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ , assuming most rainfall to fall on the lower ice sheet margins and to runoff quickly. Combined with the estimated volume of the GrIS of  $2.67 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$  (Vaughan et al., 2013) and an ice density of  $900 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ , we obtain a mass overturning rate of 4,200 years. If we interpret this as the reaction time scale of an ice sheet to adjust its dynamics to changes in accumulation, it is clear that the recent changes owing to melt, with a typical timescale of  
20 decades, by far outpace a potential ice sheet thickening owing to increased snowfall in a warmer climate. In spite of that, at the current rate of mass loss, it would still take over 10,000 years to melt the entire ice sheet.

- Alternatively, we may state that the GrIS is significantly out of balance, noting that the average 1991-2015 mass loss ( $171 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ ) represents a sizeable fraction (27%) of the annual mass gain. Because  $D$  is definite positive, the situation in which  $SMB$  becomes persistently negative leads to a definite negative  $MB$ , even when the ice sheet has lost contact with the ocean,  
25 i.e.  $D = 0$ . This is therefore sometimes labelled a 'tipping point' for GrIS mass balance, beyond which the ice sheet will not be able to recover. At the current rate of  $SMB$  decrease ( $10.2 \pm 2.3 \text{ Gt yr}^{-2}$ ), this tipping point would be reached between 2024 and 2043. The limited length of the time series and our incomplete knowledge of the main drivers of changes in  $SMB$  and  $D$  for now preclude firm statements about how realistic such a scenario is. It is therefore desirable that both  $MB$  components be reconstructed as far back in time as possible; this will require the smart use of climate archives, such as firn cores from the  
30 accumulation zone of the ice sheet (Box and Colgan, 2013), robust re-analysis products that cover the full 20th century (Lee and Biasutti, 2014) and early satellite products and photogrammetry (Kjeldsen et al., 2015).

Because pre-1995 values of  $SMB$  and  $D$  are similar, in this study it was not necessary to define a reference period from which cumulative anomalies are defined (Van den Broeke et al., 2009). Instead, absolute mass fluxes could simply be integrated in time and subtracted to obtain ice sheet  $MB$  for comparison with GRACE (Figure 2). But this pre-1995 agreement is almost





certainly in part fortuitous, because uncertainties in especially  $SMB$ , which is a modelled quantity, and to a lesser extent in  $D$ , which is largely observed, remain significant. For instance, current model horizontal resolution of RACMO2.3 (11 km) is insufficient to resolve the individual, low-lying outlet glaciers of the GrIS where runoff is especially large; as a result  $RU$  increases when the 11 km field is statistically downscaled to 1 km resolution. This unresolved mass loss is likely in part error-  
5 compensated by snowfall in RACMO2.3 being underestimated in some regions of the ice sheet (Overly et al., 2015). In a recent study, it was moreover demonstrated that while RACMO2.3 tends to time drifting snow events well, the model likely overestimates drifting snow transport and therewith drifting snow sublimation (Lenaerts et al., 2012). This leads to uncertainties in  $SMB$  of 60 - 100 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>, clearly dominating the uncertainty in  $MB$  (Fig. 9).

To reduce these biases and increase our diagnostic and prediction skills of GrIS mass balance, it is imperative that  $SMB$  and  
10 firm models are further improved and their horizontal resolution enhanced. This can be achieved through statistical/dynamical downscaling in combination with targeted in-situ observations. Examples of important processes that are poorly or not at all represented in current models are interactive snow/ice darkening by future enhanced dust/black carbon deposition or micro-biological processes (Stibal et al., 2012), and sub-, supra- and englacial hydrology, including vertical and horizontal flow of meltwater in firn or over ice lenses (Machguth et al., 2016). Other emerging research topics of GrIS melt climate are the im-  
15 pact of rain on ice sheet motion (Doyle et al., 2015), the effect of liquid water clouds on the surface energy balance and melt (Bennartz et al., 2013; Van Tricht et al., 2016) and the increased role of turbulent heat exchange during strong melting episodes over the margins of the GrIS (Fausto et al., 2016). Finally, it is desirable that, once developed and tested, a single, sophisticated snow model is used to simulate both the deep firn layer over the ice sheet and the seasonal snow cover over the tundra.

*Acknowledgements.* MRvdB, PKM, BN, WJvdB and BW acknowledge support of the Polar Program of the Netherlands Organisation for  
20 Scientific Research (NWO) and the Netherlands Earth System Science Centre (NESSC).





## References

- A, G., Wahr, J., and Zhong, S.: Computations of the viscoelastic response of a 3-D compressible Earth to surface loading: an application to Glacial Isostatic Adjustment in Antarctica and Canada, *Geophysical Journal International*, 192, 557–572, 2013.
- Bartholomew, I. D., Nienow, P., Sole, A., Mair, D., Cowton, T., King, M. A., and Palmer, S.: Seasonal variations in Greenland ice sheet motion: Inland extent and behaviour at higher elevations, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 307, 271–278, 2011.
- 5 Bennartz, R., Shupe, M. D., Turner, D. D., Walden, V. P., Steffen, K., Cox, C. J., Kulie, M. S., Miller, N. B., and Pettersen, C.: July 2012 Greenland melt extent enhanced by low-level liquid clouds, *Nature*, 496, 83–86, 2013.
- Bevis, M., Wahr, J., Khan, S. A., Madsen, F. B., Brown, A., Willis, M., Kendrick, E., Knudsen, P., Box, J. E., van Dam, T., Caccamise, D. J., Johns, B., Nylen, T., Abbott, R., White, S., Miner, J., Forsberg, R., Zhou, H., Wang, J., Wilson, T., Bromwich, D., and Francis, O.: Bedrock displacements in Greenland manifest ice mass variations, climate cycles and climate change, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 11 944–11 948, 2012.
- 10 Bøggild, C. E., Brandt, R. E., Brown, K. J., and Warren, S. G.: The ablation zone in northeast Greenland: ice types, albedos and impurities, *Journal of Glaciology*, 56, 101–113, 2010.
- Box, J. E. and Colgan, W.: Greenland Ice Sheet Mass Balance Reconstruction. Part III: Marine Ice Loss and Total Mass Balance (1840–2010), *Journal of Climate*, 26, 6990–7002, 2013.
- 15 Box, J. E., Fettweis, X., Stroeve, J. C., Tedesco, M., Hall, D. K., and Steffen, K.: Greenland ice sheet albedo feedback: thermodynamics and atmospheric drivers, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 821–839, 2012.
- Csatho, B. M., Schenk, A. F., van der Veen, C. J., Babonis, G., Duncan, K., Rezvanbehbahani, S., van den Broeke, M. R., Simonsen, S. B., Nagarajan, S., and van Angelen, J. H.: Laser altimetry reveals complex pattern of Greenland ice sheet dynamics, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 18 478–18 483, 2014.
- 20 Doyle, S. H., Hubbard, A., van de Wal, R. S. W., Box, J. E., van As, D., Scharrer, K., Meierbachtol, T. W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Harper, J. T., Johansson, E., Mottram, R. H., Mikkelsen, A. B., Wilhelms, F., Patton, H., Christoffersen, P., and Hubbard, B.: Amplified melt and flow of the Greenland ice sheet driven by late-summer cyclonic rainfall, *Nature Geosci*, 8, 647–653, 2015.
- Dutra, E., Balsamo, G., Viterbo, P., Miranda, P. M. A., Beljaars, A., Schär, C., and Elder, K.: An Improved Snow Scheme for the ECMWF Land Surface Model: Description and Offline Validation, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 11, 899–916, 2010.
- 25 Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Jeong, S., Noh, M.-J., van Angelen, J. H., and van den Broeke, M. R.: An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 2013GL059 010+, 2014.
- Ettema, J., van den Broeke, M. R., van Meijgaard, E., van de Berg, W. J., Bamber, J. L., Box, J. E., and Bales, R. C.: Higher surface mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet revealed by high-resolution climate modeling, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L12 501+, 2009.
- 30 Fausto, R. S. and van As, D.: Ablation observations for 2008 - 2011 from the Programme for Monitoring of the Greenland Ice Sheet (PROMICE), *GEUS Bulletin*, 26, 73–76, 2012.
- Fausto, R. S., van As, D., Box, J. E., Colgan, W., Langen, P. L., and Mottram, R. H.: The implication of nonradiative energy fluxes dominating Greenland ice sheet exceptional ablation area surface melt in 2012, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 2649–2658, 2016.
- Fettweis, X., Hanna, E., Lang, C., Belleflamme, A., Erpicum, M., and Gallée, H.: Brief communication: Important role of the mid-tropospheric atmospheric circulation in the recent surface melt increase over the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 241–248, 2013.
- 35



- Forster, R. R., Box, J. E., van den Broeke, M. R., Miège, C., Burgess, E. W., van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Koenig, L. S., Paden, J., Lewis, C., Gogineni, S. P., Leuschen, C., and McConnell, J. R.: Extensive liquid meltwater storage in firn within the Greenland ice sheet, *Nature Geoscience*, 7, 95–98, 2013.
- Fyke, J. G., Vizcano, M., Lipscomb, W., and Price, S.: Future climate warming increases Greenland ice sheet surface mass balance variability, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 470–475, 2014.
- Hanna, E., Navarro, F. J., Pattyn, F., Domingues, C. M., Fettweis, X., Ivins, E. R., Nicholls, R. J., Ritz, C., Smith, B., Tulaczyk, S., Whitehouse, P. L., and Zwally, H. J.: Ice-sheet mass balance and climate change, *Nature*, 498, 51–59, 2013.
- Harper, J., Humphrey, N., Pfeffer, W. T., Brown, J., and Fettweis, X.: Greenland ice-sheet contribution to sea-level rise buffered by meltwater storage in firn, *Nature*, 491, 240–243, 2012.
- Helm, V., Humbert, A., and Miller, H.: Elevation and elevation change of Greenland and Antarctica derived from CryoSat-2, *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1539–1559, 2014.
- Howat, I. M. and Eddy, A.: Multi-decadal retreat of Greenland’s marine-terminating glaciers, *Journal of Glaciology*, 57, 389–396, 2011.
- Joughin, I., Das, S. B., King, M. A., Smith, B. E., Howat, I. M., and Moon, T.: Seasonal Speedup Along the Western Flank of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Science*, 320, 781–783, 2008.
- Kjær, K. H., Khan, S. A., Korsgaard, N. J., Wahr, J., Bamber, J. L., Hurkmans, R., van den Broeke, M. R., Timm, L. H., Kjeldsen, K. K., Bjørk, A. A., Larsen, N. K., Jørgensen, L. T., Færch-Jensen, A., and Willerslev, E.: Aerial Photographs Reveal Late-20th-Century Dynamic Ice Loss in Northwestern Greenland, *Science*, 337, 569–573, 2012.
- Kjeldsen, K. K., Korsgaard, N. J., Bjørk, A. A., Khan, S. A., Box, J. E., Funder, S., Larsen, N. K., Bamber, J. L., Colgan, W., van den Broeke, M. R., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Nuth, C., Schomacker, A., Andresen, C. S., Willerslev, E., and Kjær, K. H.: Spatial and temporal distribution of mass loss from the Greenland ice sheet since AD 1900, *Nature*, 528, 396–400, 2015.
- Kuipers Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Flanner, M. G., Gardner, A. S., and van de Berg, W. J.: A new albedo parameterization for use in climate models over the Antarctic ice sheet, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, d05114, 2011.
- Kuipers Munneke, P., M. Ligtenberg, S. R., van den Broeke, M. R., van Angelen, J. H., and Forster, R. R.: Explaining the presence of perennial liquid water bodies in the firn of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 476–483, doi:10.1002/2013GL058389, 2014.
- Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Noël, B. P. Y., Howat, I. M., Box, J. E., Mosley-Thompson, E., McConnell, J. R., Steffen, K., Harper, J. T., Das, S. B., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Elevation change of the Greenland ice sheet due to surface mass balance and firn processes, 1960 - 2014, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 2009–2025, 2015.
- Lee, D. E. and Biasutti, M.: Climatology and Variability of Precipitation in the Twentieth-Century Reanalysis, *Journal of Climate*, 27, 5964–5981, 2014.
- Lenaerts, J. T. M., van den Broeke, M. R., van Angelen, J. H., van Meijgaard, E., and Déry, S. J.: Drifting snow climate of the Greenland ice sheet: a study with a regional climate model, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 891–899, 2012.
- Machguth, H., MacFerrin, M., van As, D., Box, J. E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., Fausto, R. S., Meijer, H. A. J., Mosley-Thompson, E., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: Greenland meltwater storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation, *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 390–393, 2016.
- Moon, T., Joughin, I., Smith, B., and Howat, I.: 21st-Century Evolution of Greenland Outlet Glacier Velocities, *Science*, 336, 576–578, 2012.
- Moon, T., Joughin, I., Smith, B., van den Broeke, M. R., van de Berg, W.-J., Nol, B., and Usher, M.: Distinct patterns of seasonal Greenland glacier velocity, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 7209–7216, 2014.



- Nöel, B., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., Kuipers Munneke, P., van de Wal, R. S. W., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Evaluation of the updated regional climate model RACMO2.3: summer snowfall impact on the Greenland Ice Sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 1831–1844, 2015.
- Overly, T. B., Hawley, R. L., Helm, V., Morris, E. M., and Chaudhary, R. N.: Greenland annual accumulation along the EGIG line, 1959 - 2004, from ASIRAS airborne radar and detailed neutron-probe density measurements, *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 9, 6791–6828, 2015.
- 5 Radic, V. and Hock, R.: Regionally differentiated contribution of mountain glaciers and ice caps to future sea-level rise, *Nature Geoscience*, 4, 91–94, 2011.
- Rennermalm, A. K., Smith, L. C., Chu, V. W., Box, J. E., Forster, R. R., van den Broeke, M. R., Van As, D., and Moustafa, S. E.: Evidence of meltwater retention within the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1433–1445, 2013.
- Rignot, E. and Kanagarathnam, P.: Changes in the velocity structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Science*, 311, 986–990, 2006.
- 10 Rignot, E., Box, J. E., Burgess, E., and Hanna, E.: Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet from 1958 to 2007, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L20 502+, 2008.
- Rignot, E., Velicogna, I., van den Broeke, M. R., Monaghan, A., and Lenaerts, J. T. M.: Acceleration of the contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to sea level rise, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L05 503+, 2011.
- Sasgen, I., van den Broeke, M. R., Bamber, J. L., Rignot, E., Sørensen, L. S., Wouters, B., Martinec, Z., Velicogna, I., and Simonsen, S. B.:  
15 Timing and origin of recent regional ice-mass loss in Greenland, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 333–334, 293–303, 2012.
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Geruo, A., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K. H., Bromwich, D. H., Forsberg, R., Galin, N., Horwath, M., Jacobs, S., Joughin, I., King, M. A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Li, J., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Luckman, A., Luthcke, S. B., McMillan, M., Meister, R., Milne, G., Mouginot, J., Muir, A., Nicolas, J. P., Paden, J., Payne, A. J., Pritchard, H., Rignot, E., Rott, H., Sørensen, L. S., Scambos, T. A., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E. J. O., Smith, B., Sundal, A. V., van Angelen, J. H., van de Berg, W. J., van den Broeke,  
20 M. R., Vaughan, D. G., Velicogna, I., Wahr, J., Whitehouse, P. L., Wingham, D. J., Yi, D., Young, D., and Zwally, H. J.: A reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance, *Science*, 338, 1183–1189, 2012.
- Sole, A., Nienow, P., Bartholomew, I., Mair, D., Cowton, T., Tedstone, A., and King, M. A.: Winter motion mediates dynamic response of the Greenland Ice Sheet to warmer summers, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 3940–3944, 2013.
- Stibal, M., Sabacka, M., and Zarsky, J.: Biological processes on glacier and ice sheet surfaces, *Nature Geoscience*, 5, 771–774, 2012.
- 25 Stroeve, J., Box, J. E., Gao, F., Liang, S., Nolin, A., and Schaaf, C.: Accuracy assessment of the MODIS 16-day albedo product for snow: comparisons with Greenland in situ measurements, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 94, 46–60, 2005.
- Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., van den Broeke, M. R., van de Wal, R. S. W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., van de Berg, W. J., Serreze, M. C., and Box, J. E.: The role of albedo and accumulation in the 2010 melting record in Greenland, *Environmental Research Letters*, 6, 014 005, 2011.
- Thomas, R., Davis, C., Frederick, E. and Krabill, W., Li, Y., Manizade, S., and Martin, C.: A comparison of Greenland ice-sheet volume  
30 changes derived from altimetry measurements, *Journal of Glaciology*, 54, 203–212, 2008.
- Van Angelen, J. H., van den Broeke, M. R., Wouters, B., and Lenaerts, J. T. M.: Contemporary (1960–2012) Evolution of the Climate and Surface Mass Balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 35, 1155–1174, 2014.
- Van de Wal, R. S. W., Boot, W., van den Broeke, M. R., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Reijmer, C. H., Donker, J. J. A., and Oerlemans, J.: Large and rapid melt-induced velocity changes in the ablation zone of the Greenland ice sheet, *Science*, 321, 111–113, 2008.
- 35 Van den Broeke, M. R., Bamber, J., Ettema, J., Rignot, E., Schrama, E. J. O., van de Berg, W. J., van Meijgaard, E., Velicogna, I., and Wouters, B.: Partitioning recent Greenland mass loss, *Science*, 326, 984–986, 2009.
- Van Tricht, K., Lhermitte, S., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Gorodetskaya, I. V., L’Ecuyer, T. S., Noel, B., van den Broeke, M. R., Turner, D. D., and van Lipzig, N. P. M.: Clouds enhance Greenland ice sheet meltwater runoff, *Nature Communications*, 7, 2016.




- Vaughan, D. G., Comiso, J. C., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Kaser, G., Kwok, R., Mote, P., Murray, T., Paul, F., Ren, J., Rignot, E., Solomina, O., Steffen, K., and Zhang, T.: Observations: Cryosphere, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., chap. 4, pp. 317–382, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- 5 Velicogna, I. and Wahr, J.: Acceleration of Greenland ice mass loss in spring 2004, *Nature*, 443, 329–331, 2006.
- Vernon, C. L., Bamber, J. L., Box, J. E., van den Broeke, M. R., Fettweis, X., Hanna, E., and Huybrechts, P.: Surface mass balance model intercomparison for the Greenland ice sheet, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 599–614, 2013.
- Watkins, M. M., Wiese, D. N., Yuan, D.-N., Boening, C., and Landerer, F. W.: Improved methods for observing Earth’s time variable mass distribution with GRACE using spherical cap mascons, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 120, 2648–2671, 2015.
- 10 Wouters, B., Chambers, D., and Schrama, E. J. O.: GRACE observes small-scale mass loss in Greenland, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, 2008.




**Table 1.** Contiguous ice sheet (GrIS) averages (1961-1990,  $\text{Gt yr}^{-1}$  with standard deviation) and trends (1961-1990 and 1991-2015,  $\text{Gt yr}^{-2}$ , with standard error) of *SMB* components, discharge *D* and mass balance *MB*.

SMB component	Average (1961-1990)	Trend (1961-1990)	Average (1991-2015)	Trend (1991-2015)
$P_{\text{tot}}$	$695 \pm 79$	$2.1 \pm 1.6$	$712 \pm 64$	$-1.7 \pm 1.8$
SN	$673 \pm 77$	$1.9 \pm 1.6$	$684 \pm 61$	$-2.0 \pm 1.7$
RA	$23 \pm 6$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$28 \pm 9$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$
$SU_{\text{tot}}$	$41 \pm 6$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$42 \pm 4$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$
$ER_{\text{ds}}$	$1 \pm 0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$1 \pm 0$	$-0.0 \pm 0.0$
ME	$433 \pm 68$	$1.9 \pm 1.4$	$581 \pm 145$	$11.4 \pm 3.4$
RF	$200 \pm 27$	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	$245 \pm 59$	$3.2 \pm 1.5$
RU	$256 \pm 51$	$1.3 \pm 1.1$	$363 \pm 102$	$8.4 \pm 2.3$
SMB	$398 \pm 112$	$0.8 \pm 2.4$	$306 \pm 120$	$-10.2 \pm 2.3$
D	-	-	$477 \pm 51$	$6.6 \pm 0.4$
MB	-	-	$-171 \pm 157$	$-16.8 \pm 2.8$




**Figure 1.** RACMO2.3 Greenland domain with ice sheet mask (white), land mask (green) and elevation contours (dashed lines, every 500 m asl). Boundary relaxation zone indicated by dots every other gridpoint.




**Figure 2.** Cumulative surface mass balance  $SMB_{\text{Greenland}}$  for the full island (indicated by subscript 'Greenland', amber line), i.e. including marginal glaciers and ice caps (GIC) and seasonal snow on the tundra; cumulative ice discharge  $D$  (blue line) and resulting cumulative mass balance  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$  (red line). GRACE time series included (grey line) has been offset by 1000 Gt for clarity).







**Figure 3.** As Figure 2 but for the GRACE epoch (2003-2014), cumulative  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$  (red line) and the GRACE time series (grey lines, offset by 1000 Gt for clarity). Scatter plot (right) shows direct linear correlation between the GRACE time series and cumulative  $MB_{\text{Greenland}}$ .




**Figure 4.** Annual values of main *SMB* components integrated over the contiguous GrIS: total precipitation  $P_{tot}$ , melt  $ME$ , runoff  $RU$ , refreezing  $RF$  and rainfall  $RA$ . Dashed lines indicate 1991-2015 trends.




**Figure 5.** Modelled 1961-1990 average melt (a) and 1991-2015 minus 1961-1990 difference (b). Stippled areas indicate differences that are not significant at the 95% level. Dashed contours are 500 m elevation intervals, thick solid contour represents glacier mask.




**Figure 6.** Modelled 1961-1990 average refreezing (a) and 1991-2015 minus 1961-1990 difference (b). Stippled areas indicate differences that are not significant at the 95% level. Dashed contours are 500 m elevation intervals, thick solid contour represents glacier mask. Note that refreezing is not considered outside of the glacier mask.



**Figure 7.** Modelled 1961-1990 average runoff (a) and 1991-2015 minus 1961-1990 difference (b). Stippled areas indicate differences that are not significant at the 95% level. Dashed contours are 500 m elevation intervals, thick solid contour represents glacier mask.




**Figure 8.** Modelled 1961-1990 average surface mass balance *SMB* (a) and 1991-2015 minus 1961-1990 difference (b). Stippled areas indicate differences that are not significant at the 95% level. Dashed contours are 500 m elevation intervals, thick solid contour represents glacier mask. Note that *SMB* is only defined for the glacier mask.



**Figure 9.** Annual values of *D*, *SMB* and *MB* integrated over the contiguous GrIS. Dashed lines indicate 1991-2015 trends. The equivalent sea level rise (Eq. SLR) for negative *MB* is provided on the right axis.





**Figure 10.** Modelled 1991-2015 minus 1961-1990 difference in 2-10 m average firn temperature (a) and change in firn air content (b) for the contiguous GrIS. Dashed contours are 500 m elevation intervals, thick solid contour represents glacier mask.